

SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON LIE GROUPS WITH PURELY DISCRETE SPECTRUM

TOMMASO BRUNO AND MATTIA CALZI

ABSTRACT. On a Lie group G , we investigate the discreteness of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators of the form $\mathcal{L} + V$, where \mathcal{L} is a subelliptic sub-Laplacian on G and the potential V is a locally integrable function which is bounded from below. We prove general necessary and sufficient conditions for arbitrary potentials, and we obtain explicit characterizations when V is a polynomial on G or belongs to a local Muckenhoupt class. We finally discuss how to transfer our results to weighted sub-Laplacians on G .

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study the discreteness of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators on Lie groups, that is, operators of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_V = \mathcal{L} + V,$$

where \mathcal{L} is the subelliptic sub-Laplacian on the group associated with a left-invariant sub-Riemannian structure, and V is a locally integrable function which is essentially bounded from below. When the group is a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , these operators reduce to the classical Schrödinger operators $\Delta + V$, where Δ is the non-negative Laplacian. The study of their spectrum, of its discreteness in particular, has a long-standing tradition and history; see, e.g., [25, 26, 28–30, 37], and, more recently, [17]. Besides their independent interest, Schrödinger operators arise from the study of “weighted” Laplacians, namely the natural substitutes of the Laplacian when \mathbb{R}^d is endowed with an absolutely continuous measure. The prototypical example is that of the Gaussian measure and of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator.

Outside the Euclidean setting, not much is known. Kondratiev and Shubin’s influential paper [26], following Molčanov [30], considers the case of Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry, whose nature allows however to reduce several problems at a Euclidean level. On certain subfamilies of stratified Lie groups, some results were obtained by Inglis [18] and the authors [12, 13]. Such results, however, were mainly motivated by the study of weighted sub-Laplacians, and the potentials considered therein were related to those arising from these sub-Laplacians, for which weak results are often enough. General, yet powerful, results for Schrödinger operators with arbitrary potentials on Lie groups are, to the best of our knowledge, still missing. The goal of this paper is to make a first step to fill this gap.

We consider a noncompact connected Lie group G , whose Lie algebra we denote with \mathfrak{g} and we identify with the algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G . We endow \mathfrak{g} with an inner product, we fix a subspace \mathcal{H} of \mathfrak{g} which generates \mathfrak{g} as a Lie algebra, and choose an orthonormal basis (X_1, \dots, X_ν) of \mathcal{H} . We choose a left Haar measure μ on G and denote with d the Carnot–Carathéodory distance induced by

Key words and phrases. Lie groups, Schrödinger operators, discrete spectrum, Muckenhoupt weights.

Math Subject Classification. Primary: 22E30, 35J10, 58J50 Secondary: 47A10, 35R03.

T. Bruno gratefully acknowledges support by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO) through the postdoctoral grant 12ZW120N.

\mathcal{H} ; the metric measure space (G, d, μ) is then locally – but in general not globally – doubling. The second order differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (X_j^2 + c_j X_j),$$

where $c_j = (X_j \delta_G)(e)$, δ_G is the modular function of G and e is the identity, is a subelliptic operator which depends only on G , \mathcal{H} and its scalar product, and is symmetric on $L^2(\mu)$. In other words, once the left-invariant sub-Riemannian structure on G has been chosen, \mathcal{L} is an intrinsic operator; cf. [4]. For a potential $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mu)$ and bounded from below, the Hermitian form

$$Q: (f, g) \mapsto \int_G \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (X_j f)(X_j \bar{g}) + V f \bar{g} \right) d\mu$$

induces a self-adjoint operator \mathcal{H}_V on $L^2(\mu)$ which, on its domain, acts as $\mathcal{L} + V$ meant in the distributional sense. Our aim is to determine under what conditions on V the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V is purely discrete, i.e., it is a discrete set and consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

We are interested in two types of results. On the one hand, we look for “universal” results, namely necessary or sufficient conditions for the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V with no other assumptions on V than local integrability and boundedness from below. These results are usually expressed in terms of growth conditions, or rather decay, of V and the associated form Q . Due to the high generality, however, such conditions are often hard to verify. On the other hand, then, we also look for more explicit conditions which are easier to test, for specific choices of potentials. Following [6, 17, 29], we consider the cases when V is a polynomial and when V is a local Muckenhoupt weight.

Inspired by results of Kondratiev and Shubin [26] and Metafune and Pallara [29], more specifically, our main “universal” results for the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V are:

- a characterization expressed in terms of the decay, outside compact sets, of the L^2 -norm of functions in the “unit ball” of Q (i.e. such that $(\min V + 1)\|f\|_2^2 + Q(f) \leq 1$; Proposition 3.3);
- a characterization in terms of the growth of the Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L} + V$ on balls whose centres go to infinity (Theorem 4.5);
- a sufficient condition in terms of the thinness at infinity, in a measure-theoretic sense, of the sublevel sets of V (Proposition 4.6).

Besides their intrinsic interest, the preceding results may be applied to the study of two specific families of potentials, namely polynomials and Muckenhoupt weights. In this case, we obtain more descriptive characterizations.

- If V is a polynomial, we characterize the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V in terms of the vanishing properties of the right-invariant derivatives of V (Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.6);
- if V is in a local Muckenhoupt A_p class, $p \in [1, \infty)$, or if V is in a local A_∞ Muckenhoupt class and the measure with density V with respect to μ is locally doubling, we characterize the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V in terms of the growth of the L^1 norm of V on balls whose centres go to infinity (Theorem 6.6).

The above results are inspired respectively by works of Metafune and Pallara [29] and by Auscher and Ben Ali [6] and Dall’Ara [17] on \mathbb{R}^d . We emphasize, however, that the generality of our setting requires substantially new ideas and techniques. To the best of our knowledge, they are the first results of their kind outside the

Euclidean context. We also point out that the case of polynomial potentials allows us to shed some light on certain harmonic oscillators on Heisenberg groups of recent introduction, cf. [19, 35, 36].

Finally, we discuss and describe how Schrödinger operators are related to weighted sub-Laplacians with possibly non-smooth weights, by means of a unitary equivalence at the L^2 level.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In the following Section 2, we describe the setting in detail, we introduce all the relevant objects of the paper and fix the notation. Section 3 contains a first, fundamental characterization of the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V , which is then applied in Section 4 to obtain universal results. Section 5 is devoted to the study of polynomial potentials, while Section 6 to potentials belonging to local Muckenhoupt classes. The final Section 7 discusses weighted sub-Laplacians and their unitary equivalence to Schrödinger operators.

Acknowledgements. We thank Fulvio Ricci for drawing our attention to the study of Schrödinger operators on Lie groups, and Gioacchino Antonelli for several discussions about polynomials and sub-Riemannian geometry. The two authors were partially supported by the GNAMPA 2020 project “Fractional Laplacians and sub-Laplacians on Lie groups and trees”. Part of this research was carried out during a visit of the two authors to the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach in June 2021, through the “Research in Pairs” program. We sincerely thank the Institute for the opportunity and all its staff for their kind hospitality.

2. LIE GROUPS AND SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

2.1. Preliminaries on Lie groups. Let G be a noncompact connected Lie group with identity e . We fix a left Haar measure μ on G , and denote by δ_G the modular function on G , defined so that $\mu(Ax) = \delta_G(x)\mu(A)$ for every measurable subset A of G and $x \in G$. Then, δ_G is an analytic character of G and the measure whose density is δ_G^{-1} with respect to μ is a right Haar measure on G .

We identify the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G with the algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G . We denote by \exp_G , or simply \exp if there is no risk of confusion, the exponential map from \mathfrak{g} to G ; we recall that \exp_G is a local diffeomorphism at 0. We endow \mathfrak{g} with a scalar product whose induced norm we denote by $|\cdot|$.

We fix a subspace \mathcal{H} of \mathfrak{g} which generates \mathfrak{g} as a Lie algebra, and we define the associated left-invariant “horizontal” gradient $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ so that $\langle \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f(e), X \rangle = (Xf)(e)$ for every $f \in C^1(G)$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}$. We identify \mathcal{H} with the corresponding left-invariant distribution on G given by $\mathcal{H}_x = \{X_x : X \in \mathcal{H}\}$ for $x \in G$. We also endow such distribution with the left-invariant sub-Riemannian structure induced by the scalar product on \mathfrak{g} , namely $\langle X_x, Y_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in G$.

The distribution \mathcal{H} induces a distance on G , called Carnot–Carathéodory distance, which can be defined as follows. For $x, y \in G$, define $\Gamma(x, y)$ as the set of all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = y$, and $\gamma'(t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma(t)}$ for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$. Then, define

$$d(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)| dt : \gamma \in \Gamma(x, y) \right\}.$$

By the left invariance of \mathcal{H} , the distance d is left invariant:

$$d(xy, z) = d(y, x^{-1}z), \quad x, y, z \in G.$$

Equivalently, if for $x \in G$ we denote by L_x the left translation operator by x , then $d(L_x y, L_x z) = d(y, z)$.

Note that the topology induced by d is the same as the original topology of G by Chow's theorem (cf. [5, Theorem 3.31]), and that every bounded subset of G is relatively compact by [5, Proposition 3.47 and Corollary 7.51].

For $x \in G$ and $r > 0$, we shall denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centred at x with radius r with respect to d . We recall, cf. [31], that there is $d_0 > 0$ such that for all $R > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}r^{d_0} \leq \mu(B(e, r)) \leq Cr^{d_0}, \quad r \in (0, R]. \quad (2.1)$$

Observe that by the left invariance of d and μ , one has

$$\mu(B(x, r)) = \mu(B(e, r))$$

for all $x \in G$ and $r > 0$. By (2.1), then, the metric measure space (G, d, μ) is locally doubling; that is, for every $R > 0$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C\mu(B(x, r)), \quad \forall x \in G, r \in (0, R]. \quad (2.2)$$

The global doubling condition holds if and only if G is of polynomial growth, see, e.g., [21, Theorem II.3].

2.2. L^p spaces and approximation procedures. We denote by $L^p(G)$ and $L^p_{\text{loc}}(G)$, or simply L^p and L^p_{loc} if there is no risk of confusion, the usual Lebesgue and local Lebesgue spaces with respect to μ , respectively. We denote by $L^2_{\mathcal{H}}$ the space of square integrable sections of \mathcal{H} ; $L^p_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $L^p_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}$ are defined similarly. The space of smooth and compactly supported functions on G will be denoted by $C_c^\infty(G)$, or simply C_c^∞ , and the spaces $C^\infty(G)$ and $C_c(G)$ are defined accordingly.

We now introduce some families of functions which are particularly useful in approximation procedures. We first recall that if $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$ are given so that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$, then the convolution of $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$ is given by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y) = \int_G f(xy^{-1})g(y)\delta_G^{-1}(y) d\mu(y),$$

and it satisfies Young's inequality (see, e.g., [24, Remark 2.2])

$$\|f * (\delta_G^{1/p'} g)\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (2.3)$$

where p' denotes the conjugate index to p .

By an *approximate identity* on G we shall mean a sequence (η_j) , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of positive C_c^∞ functions such that

$$\int_G \eta_j \delta_G^{-1} d\mu = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } \eta_j \subseteq B(e, 1/(j+1)), \quad \text{for every } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With this choice, $1 * \eta_j = 1$ and $f * \eta_j \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$. In addition, $f * \eta_j \rightarrow f$ and $\eta_j * f \rightarrow f$ in L^p (resp. L^p_{loc}) whenever $f \in L^p$ (resp. L^p_{loc}) and $p \in [1, \infty)$. If $f \in L^p$, this follows from (2.3) and the density of C_c in L^p . If $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$, this follows from the convergence in L^p , since

$$(f * \eta_j)(x) = [(f \mathbf{1}_{B(e, r+1)}) * \eta_j](x), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, r > 0,$$

for every $x \in B(e, r)$, and similarly for $\eta_j * f$. Here and all throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbf{1}_\Omega$ the characteristic function of a given measurable subset Ω of G .

By an *approximate unity* on G we shall mean a family of functions of the form $(\mathbf{1}_{B(e, r+1)} * \psi)_{r>0}$, where $\psi \in C_c^\infty$ is positive and such that

$$\int_G \psi \delta_G^{-1} d\mu = 1, \quad \text{supp } \psi \subseteq B(e, 1).$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{1}_{B(e, r)} \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(e, r+1)} * \psi \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(e, r+2)}, \quad r > 0,$$

so that $(\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r+1)} * \psi)$ is uniformly bounded and converges locally uniformly to 1 when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, if X is a left-invariant differential operator without constant term on G , then the functions $X(\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)} * \psi) = \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)} * X\psi$ are uniformly bounded on G and converge locally uniformly to $1 * X\psi = 0$ when $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

2.3. Sub-Laplacians and Schrödinger operators. We fix once and for all an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_\nu)$ of \mathcal{H} , so that the horizontal gradient can be written as

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (X_j f) X_j.$$

We denote by $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}^*$ the formal adjoint of $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ on L^2 , and define the symmetric sub-Laplacian

$$\mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\mathcal{H}}^* \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (X_j^2 + c_j X_j), \quad c_j = (X_j \delta_G)(e),$$

so that

$$\int_G (\mathcal{L}\varphi) \bar{\psi} \, d\mu = \int_G \varphi (\mathcal{L}\bar{\psi}) \, d\mu = \int_G \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\psi} \, d\mu$$

for every $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty$. We recall that \mathcal{L} is an intrinsic operator, namely it depends only on the choice of \mathcal{H} and the scalar product thereon, and that it is a sum of squares (i.e. has no drift) if and only if the group G is unimodular; cf. [4, 23].

Let V be a function in L_{loc}^1 which is essentially bounded from below. Up to shifting V , we may assume that $V \geq 1$. Given

$$\text{Dom}(Q) = \left\{ f \in L^2 : \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \in L_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \sqrt{V}f \in L^2 \right\},$$

we define the Hermitian form

$$Q : (f, g) \mapsto \int_G (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{g} + V f \bar{g}) \, d\mu, \quad f, g \in \text{Dom}(Q).$$

We adopt the customary notation $Q(f) = Q(f, f)$. Since $V \geq 1$, the form Q induces a norm on $\text{Dom}(Q)$ given by $f \mapsto Q(f)^{1/2}$.

Observe that Q is a positive, continuous and closed form on L^2 ; it is also densely defined, since $C_c^\infty \subseteq \text{Dom}(Q)$. Thus, it induces a self-adjoint operator \mathcal{H}_V on L^2 (cf., e.g., [33, Definition 1.21]) whose domain we denote by $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_V)$. Since

$$\langle \mathcal{H}_V f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \mathcal{L}f + Vf, \varphi \rangle_{L^2}$$

for every $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_V)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$, the function $\mathcal{H}_V f$ coincides with the distribution $(\mathcal{L} + V)f$ (observe that $fV = \sqrt{V}f\sqrt{V} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1$). We shall show in Lemma 2.1 below that C_c^∞ is actually dense in $\text{Dom}(Q)$; this implies that, if $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ and $(\mathcal{L} + V)f \in L^2$, then $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_V)$, namely that

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_V) = \{f \in \text{Dom}(Q) : (\mathcal{L} + V)f \in L^2\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The space C_c^∞ is dense in $\text{Dom}(Q)$.*

Proof. If $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ and $(\psi_r)_{r>0}$ is an approximate unity, then $f\psi_r \rightarrow f$ in $\text{Dom}(Q)$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we may reduce to showing the density of C_c^∞ in the space of functions in $\text{Dom}(Q)$ with compact support.

Let now $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded, Lipschitz, and smooth function which is the identity on $B(0, 1)$, and define $\varphi_k = k\varphi(\cdot/k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ is compactly supported, then $\varphi_k \circ f$ is bounded and compactly supported, belongs to $\text{Dom}(Q)$, and converges to f in $\text{Dom}(Q)$ by dominated convergence, since

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi_k \circ f) = (\varphi'_k \circ f) \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f,$$

$$|\varphi_k \circ f| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty |f|, \text{ and } |\varphi'_k \circ f| \leq \|\varphi'\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, one may in turn reduce to the problem of approximating an $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ which is bounded and compactly supported. To do this, it is enough to consider $\eta_j * f$ where (η_j) is an approximate identity. \square

As mentioned above, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_V)$ is the set of the functions $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ such that $(\mathcal{L} + V)f$, defined distributionally, belongs to L^2 , and $\mathcal{H}_V f = \mathcal{L}f + Vf$ for every such f . In addition, C_c^∞ is a core for $\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_V}$, but in general C_c^∞ is not even contained in $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{H}_V)$, unless $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^2$. When $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^2$, one may actually show that C_c^∞ is a core for \mathcal{H}_V , which is therefore essentially self-adjoint on the domain C_c^∞ . The proof is a verbatim adaptation of the proof of [12, Theorem 3.2] (see also [34, Theorem X.28]), which is based on Kato's inequality for \mathcal{L} , see [34, Theorem X.27], by using approximate unities as defined above.

Proposition 2.2. *If $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^2$, then C_c^∞ is a core for \mathcal{H}_V .*

3. A FIRST CHARACTERIZATION

Our first result is inspired by [26], and concerns a characterization of the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V in terms of the decay of the L^2 -norm of functions in $\text{Dom}(Q)$ outside compact sets. It may be thought of as one of the cornerstones of the paper. In order to prove it, we need a few lemmas.

The first one is elementary. Its proof is an easy adaptation of [1, Theorems 2.32 and 2.33], but for the ease of the reader we provide all the details.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then a subset $A \subset L^p$ is relatively compact if and only if $A_r = \{\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)} f : f \in A\}$ is relatively compact for all $r > 0$, and*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in A} \int_{G \setminus B(e,r)} |f|^p d\mu = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Assume that A is relatively compact, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there is a finite subset F of A such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} B(f, \varepsilon/2),$$

where the balls are meant with respect to the L^p norm. In other words, for all $f \in A$ there is $f_0 \in F$ such that $\|f - f_0\|_p < \varepsilon/2$. Moreover, there is $r > 0$ such that $\|(1 - \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)})f_1\|_p < \varepsilon/2$ for all $f_1 \in F$. Hence

$$\|f(1 - \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)})\|_p \leq \|f_0(1 - \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)})\|_p + \|(f - f_0)(1 - \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)})\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

This proves (3.1). Since the linear map $f \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)} f$ is continuous from L^p into itself, A_r is relatively compact for every $r > 0$, and the ‘‘only if’’ part is proved.

Conversely, assume that A_r is relatively compact for every $r > 0$ and that (3.1) holds. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $r > 0$ so that $\|(1 - \mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)})f\|_p < \varepsilon/3$ for every $f \in A$. In addition, choose a finite subset F of A such that

$$A_r \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F_r} B(f, \varepsilon/3), \quad F_r = \{\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)} f : f \in F\}.$$

Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} B(f, \varepsilon)$, whence A is relatively compact. \square

For the next lemma we shall need Sobolev spaces on G . Given $\alpha \geq 0$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, define

$$L_\alpha^p = \{f \in L^p : \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2} f \in L^p\},$$

endowed with the norm $f \mapsto \|f\|_p + \|\mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2} f\|_p$. These spaces have been introduced and studied in [14]. In particular they interpolate well with respect to the complex

method, see [14, Lemma 3.1], and by [14, Theorem 4.4], given $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \subseteq L_{\alpha_2}^{p_2} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{d_0} \leq \frac{1}{p_2} \leq \frac{1}{p_1}, \quad (3.2)$$

where we recall that d_0 is the local dimension of G determined by (2.1). The following lemma is the generalization of a result in [20] to the case of general Lie groups.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ be given. Then the linear map*

$$T_\varphi: L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{p_2}, \quad T_\varphi f = f\varphi,$$

is compact for all $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha_1 \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} < \frac{\alpha_1}{d_0}$.

Proof. First of all we notice that, by means of a finite partition of the unity, we may reduce to the case when φ is supported in the domain of a local chart (U, ψ) with U being relatively compact. Now, define

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{H}^{(k)} + [\mathcal{H}^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}^{(k)}], \quad k \geq 1,$$

so that $(\mathcal{H}^{(k)})$ is an increasing sequence of subspaces of \mathfrak{g} whose union is \mathfrak{g} by the bracket-generating property of \mathcal{H} .

Let m be the smallest integer such that $\mathcal{H}^{(m)} = \mathfrak{g}$. Then, every left-invariant differential operator of order at most k on G can be decomposed as a finite linear combination of differential operators of the form $X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_\ell}$, where $\ell \leq km$ and $j_1, \dots, j_\ell \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$. Then, the map $f \mapsto (T_\varphi f) \circ \psi^{-1}$ maps $L_{km}^{p_1}$ continuously into $W^{p_1, k}(\psi(U))$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the latter being the Euclidean Sobolev space on $\psi(U)$ (cf. [14, Proposition 3.3]). Since we may assume that $\psi(U)$ is a ball of some Euclidean space, the classical Rellich–Kondrakov theorem implies that $T_\varphi: L_{km}^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{p_1}$ is compact if k is large enough.

The result follows now by interpolation. Indeed, by [14, Lemma 3.1], for all $\alpha_1 > 0$ the space $L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ is intermediate between $L_{km}^{p_1}$ and L^{p_1} (up to taking k large enough). Hence, since trivially $T_\varphi: L^{p_1} \rightarrow L^{p_1}$, by [7, Theorems 3.8.1 and 4.7.1] one gets that T_φ induces a compact linear map from $L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ to L^{p_1} . Hence, the statement is proved for $p_2 = p_1$.

Let now $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $p_2 > p_1$ be such that $\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} < \frac{\alpha_1}{d_0}$. Choose $\beta_1 < \alpha_1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} < \frac{1}{d_0}(\alpha_1 - \beta_1).$$

Then, by combining the map $T_\varphi: L_{\beta_1}^{p_2} \rightarrow L^{p_2}$ which is compact by the previous step, with the continuous embedding $L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \subseteq L_{\beta_1}^{p_2}$ given by (3.2), one gets that T_φ induces a compact map from $L_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ to L^{p_2} . \square

We are now in a position to state the first aforementioned characterization of the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V . It will be a fundamental criterion for the remainder of the paper.

Proposition 3.3. *The operator \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum if and only if*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{f \in \text{Dom}(Q) \\ Q(f) \leq 1}} \int_{G \setminus B(e, r)} |f|^2 d\mu = 0.$$

Proof. Observe first that \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum if and only if $\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_V}$ has purely discrete spectrum. This holds if and only if the (bounded) inverse $\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_V}^{-1}$ of $\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_V}$, which exists since $\mathcal{H}_V \geq 1$, is compact; cf. [32, Theorem 11.3.13]. This is, in turn, equivalent to saying that the closed subset

$$A = \{f \in \text{Dom}(Q): Q(f) \leq 1\}$$

of L^2 is compact. Observe that A is contained in the unit ball of L_1^2 (cf. [14, Proposition 3.3]), so that for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ the set $\varphi A = \{\varphi f : f \in A\}$ is precompact in L^2 by Lemma 3.2. The statement then follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.4. The results of this section can be extended to the case of general relatively invariant measures, with minor modifications. Consider a continuous positive character χ of G , the measure μ_χ with density $\chi\delta_G^{-1}$ with respect to μ , and the sub-Laplacian

$$\Delta_\chi = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (X_j^2 + c_j X_j), \quad c_j = (X_j \chi)(e). \quad (3.3)$$

Then Δ_χ is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(\mu_\chi)$, and all the sub-Laplacians with drift which are symmetric on $L^2(\eta)$ for some measure η are of the form (3.3), with $\eta = \mu_\chi$; cf. [14, 23]. One can consider the form

$$Q_\chi : (f, g) \mapsto \int_G (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{g} + V f \bar{g}) \, d\mu_\chi$$

with its natural domain, and obtain a Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H}_{V, \chi}$ which coincides, on its domain, with the operator $\Delta_\chi + V$ meant in the distributional sense. When $\chi = \delta_G$, one obtains the form Q and the Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H}_V . All the results of this section hold with the obvious modifications (and the same proofs) in this more general setting; we shall not go into details here, however, as they would be an unnecessary complication. All the results from the next section on will indeed require a left-invariant measure.

4. GENERAL NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

4.1. Dirichlet and Neumann spectra. Following [26], for all non-empty open subsets U of G we define the bottoms of the Dirichlet and Neumann spectra of \mathcal{H}_V , respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_D(U) &= \inf \left\{ \int_U (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V|f|^2) \, d\mu : f \in C_c^\infty(U), \|f\|_2 = 1 \right\}, \\ \sigma_N(U) &= \inf \left\{ \int_U (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V|f|^2) \, d\mu : f \in C^\infty(G), \|\mathbf{1}_U f\|_2 = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It immediately follows from the definition that $\sigma_N(U) \leq \sigma_D(U)$. The aim of this section is to characterize the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V in terms of the behaviour of $\sigma_D(B)$ and $\sigma_N(B)$ when B is a ball; see Theorem 4.5 below. We begin with a partial result.

Proposition 4.1. *If \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum, then $\sigma_D(B(x, r)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for all $r > 0$. Conversely, if there is $r > 0$ such that $\sigma_N(B(x, r)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and observe that by Proposition 3.3 there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{G \setminus B(e, R)} |f|^2 \, d\mu < \varepsilon$$

for all $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ such that $Q(f) \leq 1$. Therefore, if $x \in G \setminus B(e, R + r)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(B(x, r))$ satisfies $\|f\|_2 = 1$, we have $Q(f) \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$, whence $\sigma_D(B(x, r)) \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$. The conclusion follows by the arbitrariness of ε .

Conversely, assume that there is $r > 0$ such that $\sigma_N(B(x, r)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. By [2, Lemma 1], see also [11, Lemma 2.3], there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a countable subset

\mathfrak{U} of G such that

$$G = \bigcup_{x \in \mathfrak{U}} B(x, r)$$

and such that, for all $x_0 \in \mathfrak{U}$, the intersection $B(x_0, r) \cap B(x, r)$ is non-empty for at most n elements $x \in \mathfrak{U}$.

For $R > 0$, define

$$\tilde{\sigma}_R = \inf\{\sigma_N(B(x, r)) : x \in \mathfrak{U}, x \notin B(e, R + r)\},$$

so that, by assumption, $\tilde{\sigma}_R \rightarrow \infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Then, define

$$I_R = \{x \in \mathfrak{U} : x \notin B(e, R + r)\}.$$

If $f \in C_c^\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G \setminus B(e, R)} (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V|f|^2) d\mu &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in I_R} \int_{B(x, r)} (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V|f|^2) d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_R}{n} \sum_{x \in I_R} \int_{B(x, r)} |f|^2 d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_R}{n} \int_{G \setminus B(e, R+2r)} |f|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{G \setminus B(e, R+2r)} |f|^2 d\mu \leq \frac{n}{\tilde{\sigma}_R} Q(f)$$

for every $f \in C_c^\infty$. Since C_c^∞ is dense in $\text{Dom}(Q)$ by Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{Q(f) \leq 1} \int_{G \setminus B(e, R+2r)} |f|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

hence \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum by Proposition 3.3. \square

Corollary 4.2. *If \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum, then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(x, r)} V d\mu = \infty$$

for all $r > 0$.

Proof. Fix $r > 0$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B(e, r))$ so that $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$. For every $x \in G$, define $\psi_x = L_x \psi$ and observe that $\|\psi_x\|_2 = 1$ for all $x \in G$. Then

$$\sigma_D(B(x, r)) \leq \int_{B(x, r)} (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \psi_x|^2 + V|\psi_x|^2) d\mu \leq C \left(1 + \int_{B(x, r)} V d\mu \right)$$

where $C := \max(\|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \psi\|_2^2, \|\psi\|_\infty^2)$ is independent of x . The conclusion follows, since $\sigma_D(B(x, r)) \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ by Proposition 4.1. \square

We shall now proceed to show that $\sigma_D(B(x, r)) \rightarrow \infty$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if the same holds for $\sigma_N(B(x, r))$. This will refine Proposition 4.1 into a characterization of the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V , which is Theorem 4.5 below. For notational convenience, given a measurable subset U of G such that $0 < \mu(U) < \infty$, we define the mean of $f \in L^1(U)$ as

$$\int_U f d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(U)} \int_U f d\mu.$$

We will also need the Poincaré inequality on G , see [15, Theorem 3.1]. Given $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $R > 0$, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{B \times B} |f(x) - f(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq Cr^p \int_B |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^p d\mu \quad (4.1)$$

for all balls B of radius $r \in (0, R]$ and $f \in C^\infty$. For $x \in G$ and $r > 0$, we shall denote by $S_{x,r}$ the space

$$S_{x,r} = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(G) : \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 d\mu = 1 \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $x \in G$ and $r > 0$ be given. If (f_k) is a sequence in $S_{x,r}$, then*

$$\left(f_k - \int_{B(x,r)} f_k d\mu \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

has a convergent subsequence in $L^2(B(x,r))$.

Proof. Combining the Poincaré inequality (4.1) with the local doubling condition (2.2) and with [22, Theorem 9.7 and Corollary 9.5], we find $q > 2$ and $C > 0$ such that, for all $f \in C^\infty$,

$$\left(\int_{B(x,r)} |f - \tilde{f}|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \leq Cr \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\tilde{f} := \int_{B(x,r)} f d\mu$. Hence, the conclusion follows by [22, Theorem 8.1 and 9.7], again by the Poincaré inequality (4.1). \square

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $r > 0$ and define*

$$C(r) = \frac{4\mu(B(e,r))}{\mu(B(e,r/2))} + 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, there is a decreasing function $\omega_r : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ such that, for all $x \in G$, $t \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in C^\infty$,

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |f|^2 d\mu \leq C(r) \int_{B(x,tr)} |f|^2 d\mu + \omega_r(t) \int_{B(x,r)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 d\mu, \quad (4.4)$$

and such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \omega_r(t) = 0$.

When G is endowed with a Riemannian structure, it is possible to prove that $\omega_r(t) = O((1-t)^2)$ for $t \rightarrow 1^-$, at least when r is sufficiently small (cf. [26, Lemma 2.8]). Since the proof uses a good parametrization of the geodesics (namely, the exponential map, for small balls), however, it is not clear to us whether it can be extended to a sub-Riemannian setting. We shall then adopt a different strategy from that of [26].

Proof. For every $t \in (0, 1)$, define

$$\omega_{x,r}(t) = \sup_{f \in S_{x,r}} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |f|^2 d\mu - C(r) \int_{B(x,tr)} |f|^2 d\mu \right),$$

where $S_{x,r}$ was given in (4.2). Observe that $\omega_{x,r} = \omega_{e,r}$ for all $x \in G$ by left invariance, and that $\omega_{e,r}$ is decreasing on $(0, 1)$. We then define $\omega_r = \omega_{e,r}$, so that (4.4) holds.

By taking a non-zero $f \in C_c^\infty(B(e,r) \setminus \overline{B}(e,tr))$ with normalized L^2 norm of the gradient, one also sees that $\omega_r(t) > 0$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$, so that $\omega_r : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty]$. It remains only to prove that $\omega_r(t) \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 1^-$. For notational convenience, we shall just write ω and C in place of ω_r and $C(r)$ in the remainder of the proof.

Assume by contradiction that $\omega(t)$ does not tend to 0 when $t \rightarrow 1^-$, and fix a strictly increasing sequence (t_k) converging to 1 such that $t_0 \geq 1/2$. Then, there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence (f_k) in $S_{e,r}$ such that

$$\int_{B(e,r)} |f_k|^2 d\mu \geq \varepsilon + C \int_{B(e,t_k r)} |f_k|^2 d\mu \quad (4.5)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 4.3, we may assume that the sequence $(f_k - \tilde{f}_k)$ converges to some f in $L^2(B(e, r))$, where

$$\tilde{f}_k := \int_{B(e, r)} f_k \, d\mu.$$

Since $(f_k - \tilde{f}_k)$ converges to f also in $L^1(B(e, r))$, one has $\int_{B(e, r)} f \, d\mu = 0$. We now distinguish two cases, depending on the behaviour of (\tilde{f}_k) .

Assume first that the sequence (\tilde{f}_k) in \mathbb{C} has some bounded subsequence. Up to passing to a subsequence, we may therefore assume that (\tilde{f}_k) converges to some $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, so that (f_k) converges to $f + c_0$ in $L^2(B(e, r))$. Hence, taking the limit in (4.5),

$$\int_{B(x, r)} |f + c_0|^2 \, d\mu \geq \varepsilon + C \int_{B(x, r)} |f + c_0|^2,$$

which is a contradiction, since $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C \geq 1$.

Assume then that $(\tilde{f}_k) \rightarrow \infty$. By (4.5), for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B(e, r)} |f_k - \tilde{f}_k|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} &\geq \left(\int_{B(e, r)} |f_k|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} - |\tilde{f}_k| \\ &\geq \varepsilon' + C' \left(\int_{B(e, t_k r)} |f_k|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} - |\tilde{f}_k|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where we set

$$\varepsilon' = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\mu(B(e, r))} \right)^{1/2}, \quad C' = \left(\frac{C\mu(B(e, r/2))}{2\mu(B(e, r))} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Observe moreover that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(e, t_k r)} |f_k|^2 \, d\mu &= \int_{B(e, t_k r)} |f_k - \tilde{f}_k|^2 \, d\mu + |\tilde{f}_k|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{\tilde{f}_k} \int_{B(e, t_k r)} (f - \tilde{f}_k) \, d\mu \right) \\ &\geq \int_{B(e, t_k r)} |f_k - \tilde{f}_k|^2 \, d\mu + |\tilde{f}_k|^2 - 2|\tilde{f}_k| \left| \int_{B(e, t_k r)} (f - \tilde{f}_k) \, d\mu \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.6) implies that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B(e, r)} |f_k - \tilde{f}_k|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} &\geq \varepsilon' + C' \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(e, t_k r)} |f_k - \tilde{f}_k|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + |\tilde{f}_k| \left(\frac{C'}{\sqrt{2}} - 1 \right) - \sqrt{2} C' \left(|\tilde{f}_k| \left| \int_{B(e, t_k r)} (f - \tilde{f}_k) \, d\mu \right| \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

For $k \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\int_{B(e, t_k r)} |f_k - \tilde{f}_k|^2 \, d\mu \rightarrow \int_{B(e, r)} |f|^2 \, d\mu, \quad \int_{B(e, t_k r)} (f - \tilde{f}_k) \, d\mu \rightarrow 0,$$

and $C' > \sqrt{2}$ by (4.3), the right hand side in (4.7) goes to $+\infty$ while the left hand side remains bounded. This gives a contradiction and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $r > 0$ be given. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum;
- (2) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_D(B(x, r)) = +\infty$;
- (3) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_N(B(x, r)) = +\infty$.

Proof. To begin with, we observe that the statements (1) \implies (2) and (3) \implies (1) follow from Proposition 4.1. Thus, we only need to prove that (2) implies (3).

Let $C = C(r)$ be as in (4.3) and ω_r as in Lemma 4.4. Fix $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\omega_r(t_0) < \infty$, so that $\omega_r(t) \in (0, \omega_r(t_0)]$ for all $t \in [t_0, 1)$. Choose a decreasing homeomorphism $\omega: [t_0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $\omega_r \leq \omega$ on $[t_0, 1)$.

For $t > 0$, pick a positive $\eta_t \in C_c^\infty$ such that

$$\int_G \eta_t \delta_G^{-1} d\mu = 1, \quad \text{supp } \eta_t \subseteq B(e, t).$$

We then select a strictly increasing sequence (t_k) , $k \geq 1$, such that $t_1 > t_0$ and $t_k \rightarrow 1$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, we define

$$\tau_t = \mathbf{1}_{B(x, \frac{r}{2}(1+t_{k+1}))} * \eta_{\frac{r}{2}(1-t_{k+1})}.$$

Then for $t \in (t_0, 1)$

$$\tau_t \in C_c^\infty(B(x, r)), \quad \mathbf{1}_{B(x, tr)} \leq \tau_t \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(x, r)}.$$

In addition, by defining

$$\alpha: (t_0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty), \quad \alpha(t) = \sup\{\|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \tau_s\|_\infty : s \in (t_0, t]\} + \frac{1}{1-t},$$

one gets

$$|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \tau_t| \leq \alpha(t) \quad \forall t \in (t_0, 1).$$

Notice that $\alpha(t)$ is strictly increasing because of the extra term $1/(1-t)$.

By definition of $\sigma_N(B(x, r))$, there is $f \in C^\infty$ such that

$$\int_{B(x, r)} |f|^2 d\mu = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad Q(f) \leq \sigma_N(B(x, r)) + 1. \quad (4.8)$$

Then, for every $t \in (t_0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(f\tau_t)|^2 + V|f\tau_t|^2 &\leq |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V|f|^2 + |f|^2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \tau_t|^2 + 2|\tau_t f| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f| |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \tau_t| \\ &\leq 2(|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V|f|^2 + |f|^2 |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \tau_t|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by integrating over $B(x, r)$ and using (4.8),

$$Q(f\tau_t) \leq 2Q(f) + 2\alpha^2(t) \leq 2\sigma_N(B(x, r)) + 2 + 2\alpha^2(t). \quad (4.9)$$

Now, define

$$t_x = \omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_N(B(x, r)) + 2}\right),$$

and observe that, by the choice of ω and of f as in (4.8),

$$\omega_r(t_x) \int_{B(x, r)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 d\mu \leq \omega(t_x) Q(f) \leq \omega(t_x) (\sigma_N(B(x, r)) + 1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, by the definition of ω_r , see Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{B(x, r)} |f|^2 d\mu \leq C \int_{B(x, t_x r)} |f|^2 d\mu + \omega_r(t_x) \int_{B(x, r)} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq C \int_{B(x, t_x r)} |f|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this last inequality and the fact that $\tau_{t_x} = 1$ on $B(x, t_x r)$, we deduce that

$$\int_{B(x, r)} |f\tau_{t_x}|^2 d\mu \geq \int_{B(x, t_x r)} |f|^2 d\mu \geq \frac{1}{2C}. \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore, from (4.10) and (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_D(B(x, r)) &\leq 2CQ(f\tau_{t_x}) \\ &\leq 4C \left[\sigma_N(B(x, r)) + 1 + \alpha^2 \left(\omega^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma_N(B(x, r)) + 2} \right) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Observe now that the function $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$h(s) = s + 1 + \alpha^2 \left(\omega^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2s + 2} \right) \right)$$

is well defined, continuous, and strictly increasing, since α is strictly increasing while both ω^{-1} and $s \mapsto 1/(2s + 2)$ are strictly decreasing. In addition, since $h(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, also $h^{-1}(s) \rightarrow \infty$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_N(B(x, r)) \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h^{-1} \left(\frac{\sigma_D(B(x, r))}{4C} \right) = +\infty,$$

whence (3). \square

4.2. Thin potentials. The following sufficient condition is inspired by [29, Theorem 3.1]. As an application, see Corollary 4.7 below, we obtain a generalization of a theorem of Simon in the Euclidean setting concerning ‘‘polynomially thin’’ potentials [37, Theorem 2]; see also [12, Theorem 4.2] on stratified Lie groups.

Proposition 4.6. *If there is $r > 0$ such that, for every $M > 0$,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{y \in G: V(y) \leq M\} \cap B(x, r)) = 0,$$

then \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum.

Proof. Given $M > 0$, set $\Omega_M = \{y \in G: V(y) \leq M\}$. For every $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$ and $x \in G$,

$$\int_{B(x, r) \cap (G \setminus \Omega_M)} |f|^2 \leq \frac{1}{M} \int_{B(x, r)} V |f|^2 d\mu. \quad (4.11)$$

Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty$ be such that $\mathbf{1}_{B(e, r)} \leq \psi \leq \mathbf{1}_{B(e, 2r)}$, and for $x \in G$ consider its translate $\psi_x = L_x \psi$. By the left invariance of the measure, the Sobolev embeddings (3.2) and [14, Proposition 3.3], there are $p > 2$ and two constants $C_1, C'_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B(x, r)} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} &\leq \|\psi_x f\|_p \leq C'_1 \|\psi_x f\|_{L_1^2} \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\int_{B(x, 2r)} (|f|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(f\psi_x)|^2) d\mu \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(f\psi_x)| \leq |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f| + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \psi_x| |f| \leq C_2 (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f| + |f|),$$

one gets that there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B(x, r)} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{B(x, 2r)} (|f|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2) d\mu \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $x \in G$ and $f \in \text{Dom}(Q)$. Hence, by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\int_{B(x, r) \cap \Omega_M} |f|^2 d\mu \leq C^2 \mu(\Omega_M \cap B(x, r))^{1-2/p} \int_{B(x, 2r)} (|f|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2) d\mu. \quad (4.12)$$

Fix now $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$C^2 \mu(\Omega_M \cap B(x, r))^{1-2/p} \leq \varepsilon$$

if $d(x, e) > R$; take $M \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$. Then, by (4.11) and (4.12),

$$\int_{B(x, r)} |f|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int_{B(x, 2r)} (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + (1 + V)|f|^2) d\mu, \quad d(x, e) > R. \quad (4.13)$$

We use again a covering lemma, see [11, Lemma 2.3] and [2, Lemma 1], to get a countable subset \mathfrak{U} of G such that $G = \bigcup_{x \in \mathfrak{U}} B(x, r)$ and such that for all $x_0 \in \mathfrak{U}$, $B(x_0, 2r) \cap B(x, 2r)$ is non-empty for at most n elements $x \in \mathfrak{U}$. Since $B(x, r) \cap (G \setminus B(e, r + R)) \neq \emptyset$ implies $x \notin B(e, R)$, by (4.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G \setminus B(e, r + R)} |f|^2 d\mu &\leq \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathfrak{U} \\ B(x, r) \cap (G \setminus B(e, r + R)) \neq \emptyset}} \int_{B(x, r)} |f|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{U}} \int_{B(x, 2r)} (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + (1 + V)|f|^2) d\mu \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon n Q(f). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion then follows from Proposition 3.3. \square

As a corollary, we obtain the aforementioned generalization of [37, Theorem 2] and [12, Theorem 4.2] for polynomially thin potentials.

Corollary 4.7. *Assume that for every $M > 0$ there is $\ell > 0$ such that $\Omega_M = \{y \in G : V(y) \leq M\}$ satisfies*

$$\int_{\Omega_M} \mu(\Omega_M \cap B(x, r))^\ell d\mu(x) < \infty$$

for every $r > 0$. Then \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, it is enough to show that, if a measurable subset Ω of G is such that, for some $\ell > 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu(\Omega \cap B(x, r))^\ell d\mu(x) < \infty$$

for all $r > 0$, then there is $s > 0$ such that $\mu(\Omega \cap B(x, s)) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$. We shall actually show that such condition holds for all $s > 0$.

Pick $s > 0$ and assume, by contradiction, that there exist a sequence (x_n) going to ∞ in G , and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mu(\Omega \cap B(x_n, s)) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $d(x_n, x_m) \geq 2s$ for all $n \neq m$, so that the balls $B(x_n, s)$ are pairwise disjoint. It follows that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \Omega \cap B(x_n, s)$,

$$\mu(\Omega \cap B(x, 2s)) \geq \mu(\Omega \cap B(x_n, s)) \geq \varepsilon. \quad (4.14)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mu(\Omega \cap B(x, 2s))^\ell d\mu(x) &\geq \sum_n \int_{\Omega \cap B(x_n, s)} \mu(\Omega \cap B(x, 2s))^\ell d\mu(x) \\ &\geq \varepsilon^\ell \sum_n \mu(\Omega \cap B(x_n, s)) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (4.14). The proof is complete. \square

4.3. A prelude to polynomial potentials. The last result of this section is a characterization of the discreteness of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators whose potential is of the form $V = f \circ p$, where $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a function in a finite-dimensional left-invariant space of functions and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a proper map. We recall that a space W of functions on G is said to be left-invariant if the left-translates of its elements still belong to W . This result will lay the ground for the next section, where p will be a polynomial map. The characterization is again provided in terms

of the decay property at infinity of the measure of the sublevel sets of V . We begin with some lemmas.

Lemma 4.8. *Let W be a finite-dimensional left-invariant space of functions from G to some \mathbb{R}^n . Then, every element of W is real analytic.*

Proof. Observe that the map $L: G \rightarrow GL(W)$ given by $x \mapsto L_x$ is a group homomorphism. In addition, if we endow W with the unique Hausdorff topology which is compatible with its vector space structure and $GL(W)$ with the topology of point-wise convergence, then L is continuous. Therefore, L is real analytic by [9, Theorem 1, Ch. 3, §8, No. 1]. Hence, for every $p \in W$ the map $x \mapsto L_{x^{-1}}p(e) = p(x)$ is real analytic. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let W be a finite-dimensional left-invariant space of functions from G to some \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\delta, r > 0$ be given. Then, there is $C > 0$ such that, for every $M > 0$, $x \in G$, and $p \in W$, if*

$$\mu(\{y \in G: |p(y)| \leq M\} \cap B(x, r)) \geq \delta$$

then $\|\mathbf{1}_{B(x,r)}p\|_\infty \leq CM$.

Proof. We may reduce to proving the assertion for $x = e$ and $M = 1$, up to replacing p with $Mp(x^{-1} \cdot)$. Then, assume by contradiction that there is a sequence (p_k) of elements of W such that

$$\mu(\{y \in G: |p_k(y)| \leq 1\} \cap B(e, r)) \geq \delta$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)}p_k\|_\infty = \infty. \quad (4.15)$$

Observe that the map $p \mapsto \|\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)}p\|_\infty$ is a continuous semi-norm on W ; it is a norm by Lemma 4.8.

Since W is finite dimensional, we may assume that the sequence $(p_k/\|\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)}p_k\|_\infty)$ converges to some $p \in W$. By continuity, $\|\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)}p\|_\infty = 1$.

Now, set

$$F_k = \{y \in G: |p_k(y)| \leq 1\} \cap B(e, r), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that, by assumption, $\mu(F_k) \geq \delta$. If we define

$$F = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{h \geq k} F_h,$$

then $F \subseteq B(e, r)$ and

$$\mu(F) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{h \geq k} F_h\right) \geq \delta.$$

Because of (4.15) and since $|p_k(y)| \leq 1$ for all $y \in F_k$, one gets

$$|p(y)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|p_k(y)|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)}p_k\|_\infty} = 0 \quad \forall y \in F,$$

namely $p(F) = \{0\}$. Therefore, p vanishes on a set of strictly positive measure; since it is analytic by Lemma 4.8, we obtain $p = 0$, while $\|\mathbf{1}_{B(e,r)}p\|_\infty = 1$: this is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let W be a finite-dimensional left-invariant space of functions from G to some \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $V = f \circ p$ for some $p \in W$ and some proper map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and suppose $r > 0$. Then \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum if and only if, for every $M > 0$,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{y \in G: V(y) \leq M\} \cap B(x, r)) = 0.$$

Proof. Sufficiency is a special case of Proposition 4.6. Conversely, assume that there are $M, \delta > 0$, and a sequence (x_k) of elements of G such that $(x_k) \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\mu(\{y \in G: V(y) \leq M\} \cap B(x_k, r)) \geq \delta.$$

Observe that we may assume that the balls $B(x_k, r)$ are pairwise disjoint; in addition, since f is proper, there is a constant $M' > 0$ such that

$$\{y \in G: V(y) \leq M\} \subseteq \{y \in G: |p(y)| \leq M'\}.$$

By Lemma 4.9 we get that $\|\mathbf{1}_{B(x_k, r)} p\|_\infty \leq CM'$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so that V is uniformly bounded on $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B(x_k, r)$. Now, if $u \in C_c^\infty(B(e, r))$ is non-zero, then the sequence $(u(x_k^{-1} \cdot))$ is bounded in the domain of \mathcal{H}_V , but has no convergent subsequence. Hence, \mathcal{H}_V does not have purely discrete spectrum by [32, Theorem 11.3.13]. \square

As its proof shows, Proposition 4.10 can be rephrased in an equivalent way which will be convenient to us in the following. For future reference, we state it as a remark.

Remark 4.11. Under the assumption of Proposition 4.10, \mathcal{H}_V does *not* have purely discrete spectrum if and only if there is a sequence (x_k) in G such that $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ and such that p is uniformly bounded on the sets $B(x_k, r)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. POLYNOMIAL POTENTIALS

In this section we consider the special case when the potential V is a polynomial, and obtain a characterization of the discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{H}_V in terms of the vanishing properties of the right-invariant derivatives of V . Though inspired by [29], such result needs substantially different ideas and techniques. In the final part of the section we discuss some notable examples, namely harmonic oscillators on Heisenberg groups.

We begin by recalling two definitions of polynomials on general connected Lie groups. Given a left-invariant vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by X^R the unique right-invariant vector field such that $X_e^R = X_e$. We follow closely [3]; see also [27].

Definition 5.1. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

- (i) A function $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of class C^m is said to be a \mathfrak{g} -polynomial of degree at most m if $(X^R)^m p = 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- (ii) A function $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of class C^{m+1} is said to be a Leibman polynomial of degree at most m if $X_1^R \cdots X_{m+1}^R p = 0$ for every $X_1, \dots, X_{m+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The space of \mathfrak{g} -polynomials of degree at most m will be denoted by \mathcal{P}_m .

Observe that even though [3] considers left-invariant vector fields, its theory holds as well with the right-invariant ones by considering the opposite group of G (i.e., by considering the group which switches the role of the first and second factor). Moreover, [3] considers only scalar-valued polynomials, but its results can be applied in our setting componentwise. Our definition of Leibman polynomials is an equivalent formulation of that in [27] given in [3, Proposition 5.1].

We first prove a preliminary result about the structure of the space of \mathfrak{g} -polynomials and the properties of its elements.

Lemma 5.2. *For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{P}_m is a finite-dimensional space and is invariant both under left and right translations. Further, every element of \mathcal{P}_m is real analytic.*

Proof. The fact that \mathcal{P}_m is finite dimensional and that its elements are real analytic is proved in [3, Theorem 1.1]. In addition, it follows from the definition that \mathcal{P}_m is right invariant. We are then left with proving that \mathcal{P}_m is also left invariant. For $g \in G$, define $\text{Ad}_g: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ as the differential of the inner automorphism $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ of G ,

and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then, since $\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}X$ is left invariant and $(X^R)L_g = L_g(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}X)^R$, one gets

$$(X^R)^m L_g p = L_g [(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}X)^R]^m p = 0,$$

so that $L_g p \in \mathcal{P}_m$ by the arbitrariness of X . \square

The following theorem is one of the main results of this section. We recall that an exponential group is a group whose exponential is a global diffeomorphism. Notable examples are simply connected nilpotent Lie groups.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that G is an exponential group, and that $V = f \circ p$ for a \mathfrak{g} -polynomial $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and a proper map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum if and only if there is no non-zero $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X^R p = 0$.*

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$. Assume that there is no non-zero $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X^R p = 0$, and by contradiction assume also that \mathcal{H}_V does not have purely discrete spectrum. Fix $r > 0$. By Remark 4.11, there is a sequence (x_j) of elements of G such that $x_j \rightarrow \infty$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$ and such that the sequence $(\mathbf{1}_{B(x_j, r)} p)$ is uniformly bounded.

Observe that, since \exp is onto, there are $t_j \geq 0$ and $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $|X_j| = 1$ and $x_j = \exp(t_j X_j)$. Since $x_j \rightarrow \infty$, one has $t_j \rightarrow +\infty$; we may then assume that $t_j \geq 1$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $r' > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\exp(Y)B(e, r') \subseteq B(e, r) \quad \forall Y \in B_{\mathfrak{g}}(0, \varepsilon), \quad (5.1)$$

and let us prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $C_k > 0$ such that, for all $X \in \overline{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}(0, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{\exp(tX)B(e, r')} (X^R)^k p\|_{\infty} \leq C_k \|\mathbf{1}_{\exp(tX)B(e, r)} p\|_{\infty}. \quad (5.2)$$

Indeed, observe first that since $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $y \in G$ one has

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^m p(\exp(tX)y) = (X^R)^m p(\exp(tX)y) = 0.$$

Hence the functions $t \mapsto p(\exp(tX)y)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, are polynomials on \mathbb{R} of degree at most $m - 1$, whose vector space we denote by W . Since derivatives are linear operators on the finite dimensional vector space W , they are continuous with respect to any norm on it; in particular, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $C_k > 0$ such that

$$|q^{(k)}(0)| \leq C_k \|\mathbf{1}_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} q\|_{\infty}$$

for every $q \in W$. By the translation invariance of W , one gets

$$|q^{(k)}(t)| \leq C_k \|\mathbf{1}_{(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)} q\|_{\infty} \quad (5.3)$$

for every $q \in W$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by (5.3) applied to the polynomial

$$t \mapsto p(\exp(tX)y),$$

and by (5.1), for $X \in \overline{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}(0, 1)$, $y \in B(e, r')$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$|(X^R)^k p(\exp(tX)y)| \leq C_k \|\mathbf{1}_{(t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)} p(\exp(\cdot X)y)\|_{\infty} \leq C_k \|\mathbf{1}_{\exp(tX)B(e, r)} p\|_{\infty},$$

whence our claim (5.2).

Now observe that, by (finite) Taylor expansion,

$$[(X^R)^k p](\exp(tX)y) = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k-1} \frac{t^h}{h!} (X^R)^{k+h} p(y)$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in G$, and $k = 0, \dots, m - 1$. By (5.2), for $k = 0, \dots, m - 1$ the functions

$$[(X_j^R)^k p](\exp(t_j X_j) \cdot) = \sum_{h=0}^{m-k-1} \frac{t_j^h}{h!} (X_j^R)^{k+h} p = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} a_{k, \ell}^{(j)} t_j^{\ell} (X_j^R)^{\ell} p$$

are uniformly bounded on $B(e, r')$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where we set

$$a_{k,\ell}^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\ell-k)!t_j^k} & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \ell \leq m-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k, \ell \leq m-1, \ell < k. \end{cases}$$

Since, for every j , the matrices $(a_{k,\ell}^{(j)})$ are upper triangular with diagonal elements constantly equal to 1, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ they are uniformly bounded and have determinant 1. Hence, the matrices $(a_{k,\ell}^{(j)})^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded as well, as $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we deduce that the functions

$$t_j^\ell (X_j^R)^\ell p, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

are uniformly bounded on $B(e, r')$ for every $\ell = 0, \dots, m-1$. In particular, the functions

$$t_j X_j^R p, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

are uniformly bounded on $B(e, r')$.

Since $|X_j| = 1$ for all j , up to considering a subsequence we may assume that (X_j) converges to some X_∞ in \mathfrak{g} , with $|X_\infty| = 1$. Moreover, since $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ and $(t_j X_j^R p)$ is bounded on $B(e, r')$, the sequence $(X_j^R p)_j$ converges uniformly to 0 on $B(e, r')$, so that $X_\infty^R p = 0$ on $B(e, r')$. Since p is analytic by Lemma 5.2, $X_\infty^R p = 0$ on G : contradiction.

Conversely, assume that $X^R p = 0$ for some non-zero $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let us prove that \mathcal{H}_V does not have purely discrete spectrum. By Taylor expansion, as before,

$$p(\exp(tX)y) = \sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^h}{h!} (X^R)^h p(y) = p(y),$$

whence the left hand side is uniformly bounded as $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and y lies in a relatively compact open subset of G . Since $\exp(tX) \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$, the conclusion follows from Remark 4.11. \square

The next goal in this section is to prove an analogue of Theorem 5.3 on groups which are not exponential. To do this, we shall consider Leibman polynomials, and reduce the problem from a non-exponential group to a nilpotent group. The reason for considering Leibman polynomials here is that they behave well when passing to a quotient with respect to an element of the lower central series of the group; and this will be one of the steps of our reduction.

The characterization that we obtain is in terms of vector fields whose flow is proper. In this order of ideas, we proceed with proving Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 below. Since the latter will be applied to some groups other than G , we distinguish from G the Lie group therein.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Leibman polynomial. Then, there is a closed connected normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is simply connected and nilpotent, and such that $p = q \circ \pi$, where $\pi: G \rightarrow G/N$ is the canonical projection and q is a Leibman polynomial on G/N .*

Proof. By the definition of a Leibman polynomial, there is an element $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the lower central series of \mathfrak{g} such that

$$X^R p = 0, \quad \forall X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}. \quad (5.4)$$

For the sake of clarity, we summarize here the strategy of the proof.

Step 1. We show that if H is the closure of the integral subgroup of G corresponding to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, then p is right H -invariant, hence it induces a Leibman polynomial on the nilpotent Lie group G/H , whose Lie algebra we denote with \mathfrak{m} .

- Step 2. We observe that G/H is the quotient of the simply connected Lie group M with same Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} , modulo a discrete subgroup D of the center of M .
- Step 3. We prove that the polynomial on M induced by p is right invariant with respect to the smallest integral subgroup of M containing D , so that it induces a Leibman polynomial on the quotient. We then reconstruct p in terms of this last Leibman polynomial.

Step 1. Let \tilde{H} be the connected integral subgroup of G whose tangent Lie algebra is $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, and observe that \tilde{H} is a normal subgroup, cf. [9, Def. 1, Thm. 2, Prop. 14, Ch. III, §6]. Then, the closure H of \tilde{H} is a closed normal Lie subgroup of G , hence its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} containing $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$.

We now show that

$$p(xy) = p(x) \quad \forall x \in G, y \in H, \quad (5.5)$$

so that $X^R p = 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. By (5.4), property (5.5) holds when $y \in \exp_G(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$, hence when y is a finite products of elements of $\exp_G(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$. Since $\exp_G(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a symmetric neighbourhood of the origin in \tilde{H} , (5.5) holds for every $y \in \tilde{H}$. By continuity, this property extends to every $y \in H$.

By (5.5), p is constant on xH for all x , hence it induces a function p_1 on G/H . In other words, if $\pi_1: G \rightarrow G/H$ is the canonical projection, then

$$p = p_1 \circ \pi_1.$$

By [27, Proposition 1.11], p_1 is a Leibman polynomial on G/H .

Step 2. Let M be a simply connected Lie group with nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, and observe that, since M is nilpotent, it may be identified with its Lie algebra by means of the exponential map. In addition, by [9, Theorem 3, Ch. III, §6, No. 3], there is an analytic homomorphism $\pi_2: M \rightarrow G/H$ such that π_2 is onto and $\ker \pi_2$ is a discrete subgroup D of the centre Z of M . Observe that Z can be identified with the centre \mathfrak{z} of \mathfrak{m} (as a manifold and as a group) by means of the exponential map by [9, Propositions 13 and 15, Ch. III, §9, No. 5]. We define $D' = \exp_M^{-1}(D)$, and observe that D' is a (closed) discrete subgroup of \mathfrak{z} .

Step 3. Again by [27, Proposition 1.11], $p_1 \circ \pi_2$ is a Leibman polynomial on M ; that is, since M is nilpotent,

$$p_2 := p_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \exp_M$$

is a polynomial on \mathfrak{m} , by [3, Corollary 1.4].

In addition, since $D = \ker \pi_2$, one has $p_2(X_1 + X_2) = p_2(X_1)$ for every $X_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $X_2 \in D'$, hence for every X_2 in the vector subspace \mathcal{V} of \mathfrak{z} generated by D' . Therefore, p_2 induces a polynomial map p_3 on the simply connected nilpotent Lie group $M/\exp_M \mathcal{V}$, i.e.

$$p_2 = p_3 \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \exp_M$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}: M \rightarrow M/\exp_M \mathcal{V}$ is the canonical projection.

To conclude, observe that $\exp_M \mathcal{V}/D$ is a closed connected normal subgroup of M/D , so that there are a closed connected normal subgroup N of G (containing H), an isomorphism $\pi_3: M/\exp_M \mathcal{V} \rightarrow G/N$, and a Leibman polynomial q on G/N such that

$$q \circ \pi_3 = p_3.$$

Therefore, $q \circ \pi = p$, where $\pi: G \rightarrow G/N$ denotes the canonical projection. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let H be a connected noncompact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Then, there is $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that the map $t \mapsto \exp_H(tX)$ is proper.*

Proof. We claim that the statement holds when \mathfrak{h} is compact and when \mathfrak{h} is solvable. Assuming the claim, we complete the proof.

By [39, Theorem 3], H can be decomposed as $H = KS$ where K is a closed connected subgroup of H whose Lie algebra is compact, and S is a solvable connected subgroup of H . Observe that \overline{S} is a solvable connected subgroup of H by [9, Corollary 2 to Proposition 1, Ch. III, §9, No. 1], so that we may assume that S is closed in H . Since H is not compact, either K or S is not compact.

Therefore H has a closed, connected and noncompact subgroup H_1 , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_1 , with the property that, by the claim, there is $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ such that $t \mapsto \exp_{H_1}(tY)$ is proper. By identifying \mathfrak{h}_1 with a subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} , we get $\exp_{H_1} = \exp_H$ on \mathfrak{h}_1 . Since the embedding $H_1 \rightarrow H$ is proper, as H_1 is closed in H , the conclusion follows. We are then left with proving the claim.

Assume first that \mathfrak{h} is compact. By [10, Proposition 5, Ch. IX, §1, No. 4], there are a closed, central, simply connected subgroup N of H and a connected compact subgroup K of H such that H is the direct product $N \times K$. Observe that N is an abelian group and, since H is not compact, N is not trivial. If \mathfrak{n} is the Lie algebra of N , identified with an ideal of \mathfrak{h} , then \exp_H induces an isomorphism of \mathfrak{n} onto N , so that, for every non-zero $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, the map $t \mapsto \exp_H(tX)$ is proper.

Assume now that \mathfrak{h} is solvable. Then, there are a simply connected Lie group S with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , and a surjective analytic homomorphism $\pi: S \rightarrow H$ such that $d\pi$ is the identity and $\ker \pi$ is a discrete closed subgroup of the centre of S (cf. [9, Theorem 3, Ch. III, §6, No. 3]).

By [16, Theorem 1], there are a basis (X_1, \dots, X_k) of \mathfrak{h} as a vector space and integers $0 \leq r \leq k$, $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq k$ such that

- (i) $\ker \pi$ is a free abelian group of rank r ;
- (ii) the map

$$\varphi: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow S, \quad \varphi(t) = \exp_S(t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp_S(t_k X_k),$$

is an analytic bijection;

- (iii) X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_r} generate an abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} ;
- (iv) $(\exp_S(X_{j_1}), \dots, \exp_S(X_{j_r}))$ is a basis of $\ker \pi$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

Observe that if $r = k$, then \mathfrak{h} is abelian, hence $S = \mathbb{R}^k$ and, up to a change of coordinates, $\ker \pi = \mathbb{Z}^k$. Thus $H \cong \mathbb{T}^k$, in particular H is compact. Since this is not the case, we actually have $r < k$. Then, there is $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$. Let us prove that the map $t \mapsto \exp_H(tX_{j_0})$ is proper.

Assume by contradiction that there exists a compact subset L of H such that $A := \{t \in \mathbb{R}: \exp_H(tX_{j_0}) \in L\}$ is unbounded. Notice that since $\exp_H(nX_{j_\ell}) = \exp_H(X_{j_\ell})^n$ is in the centre of S for every $\ell = 1, \dots, r$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\varphi(t) \prod_{\ell=1}^r \exp_H(X_{j_\ell})^{n_{j_\ell}} = \varphi\left(t + \sum_{\ell=1}^r n_{j_\ell} e_{j_\ell}\right)$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $(e_j)_{j=1, \dots, k}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^k . In addition, by [8, Proposition 18, Ch. IX, §2, No. 10], there is a compact subset L' of \mathbb{R}^k such that $L = \pi(\varphi(L'))$, so that

$$Ae_{j_0} \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(L)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{Z}e_{j_\ell} + L'.$$

This is a contradiction because Ae_{j_0} is unbounded, while $\mathbb{R}e_{j_0} \cap (\sum_{\ell=1}^r \mathbb{Z}e_{j_\ell} + L')$ is bounded. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Assume that $V = f \circ p$ for some Leibman polynomial $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and a proper map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum if and only*

if there is no $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X^R p = 0$ and such that the map $t \mapsto \exp_G(tX)$ is proper.

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, there is a closed connected normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is simply connected and nilpotent, and such that p factors through the canonical projection $\pi: G \rightarrow G/N$, namely $p = q \circ \pi$, where q is a Leibman polynomial on G/N .

Fix $r > 0$. By Remark 4.11, \mathcal{H}_V does not have purely discrete spectrum if and only if there is a sequence (x_k) in G such that $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ and such that p is uniformly bounded on the sets $B(x_k, r)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This happens if and only if q is uniformly bounded on the sets $\pi(B(x_k, r))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Two possibilities may arise:

- (a) the sequence $(\pi(x_k))$ stays in a compact subset K of G/N ;
- (b) there is a subsequence of $(\pi(x_k))$ which goes to ∞ in G/N .

If (a) holds, then $\ker \pi = N$ is not compact. Therefore, there is X in the ideal of \mathfrak{g} tangent to N such that the map $t \mapsto \exp_G(tX) \in G$ is proper by Lemma 5.5, and clearly $X^R p = X^R(q \circ \pi) = 0$. Thus, the “only if” part is proved when condition (a) holds.

Assume instead that (b) holds. By Remark 4.11 applied to G/N , $d\pi(\mathcal{L})$, and $f \circ q$, the operator $d\pi(\mathcal{L}) + f \circ q$ does not have purely discrete spectrum. Then, by Theorem 5.3, there is a non-zero right-invariant vector field Y on G/N such that $Yq = 0$ on G/N . Since there is $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $d\pi(X)^R = Y$ as $d\pi$ is surjective, we deduce $X^R p = 0$. In addition, the map $t \mapsto \exp_G(tX) \in G$ is proper, for otherwise there would be a compact subset L of G such that $\exp_G(AX) \subseteq L$ for some unbounded subset A of \mathbb{R} , whence $\exp_{G/N}(AY^R) \subseteq \pi(L)$, which is a contradiction. Thus, the “only if” part is proved also if condition (b) is satisfied, hence its proof is complete.

To show the “if” part, by Remark 4.11 it suffices to show that, if there is $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X^R p = 0$ and such that the map $t \mapsto \exp_G(tX)$ is proper, then the sequence $(\exp_G(kX))$ in G converges to ∞ and p is uniformly bounded on the balls $B(\exp_G(kX), r)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since p is then constant on the integral curves of X^R , which are of the form $t \mapsto \exp_G(tX)x$ for $x \in G$, the assertion follows. \square

We conclude this section with some remarks and notable examples of Schrödinger operators with polynomial potentials on the Heisenberg groups.

5.1. Examples and remarks. The Heisenberg groups. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n is the 2-step stratified Lie group whose underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, endowed with the group law

$$(x, y, t) \cdot (x', y', t') = \left(x+x', y+y', t+t' + \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot y' - y \cdot x') \right), \quad x, y, x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t, t' \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}^n is spanned, as a vector space, by the left-invariant vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, T$ given by

$$X_j = \partial_{x_j} - \frac{1}{2}y_j \partial_t, \quad Y_j = \partial_{y_j} + \frac{1}{2}x_j \partial_t, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad T = \partial_t,$$

which satisfy the relations

$$[X_j, Y_j] = T, \quad [X_j, T] = [Y_j, T] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Their corresponding right-invariant vector fields are

$$X_j^R = \partial_{x_j} + \frac{1}{2}y_j \partial_t, \quad Y_j^R = \partial_{y_j} - \frac{1}{2}x_j \partial_t, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad T^R = \partial_t.$$

The group \mathbb{H}^n is unimodular, and if μ is the left (and right) Haar measure, namely the Lebesgue measure, then $\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^2 + Y_j^2)$ is a sum-of-squares sub-Laplacian. If $n = 1$, we just write $X_1 = X$ and $Y_1 = Y$.

It has recently become of interest the problem of introducing on \mathbb{H}^n an analogue of the Euclidean harmonic oscillator $\Delta + |\cdot|^2$, where $\Delta = -(\partial_{x_1}^2 + \cdots + \partial_{x_n}^2)$ is the classical Laplacian. Since the Euclidean harmonic oscillator is a Schrödinger operator (with $|\cdot|^2$ as a potential), it is reasonable to expect that its analogue on \mathbb{H}^n is a Schrödinger operator as well. Two different candidates have been proposed, in [19] and [35, 36] respectively, as Schrödinger operators in the form $\mathcal{L} + V$, for some polynomial potentials V , and a quantitative description of their spectrum has been given. By Theorem 5.3, we can obtain at once a qualitative, though not quantitative, description of their spectrum and shed some light on the nature of their difference.

In [19], it was proved that, if $n = 1$, then the spectrum of

$$-X^2 - Y^2 + x^2 + y^2$$

is a half-line. In particular, it is *not* discrete; this latter fact can be easily obtained from Theorem 5.3, as $\partial_t(x^2 + y^2) = 0$. In [35, 36], an harmonic oscillator was defined by means of the representation theory of the Dynin–Folland group, having the form

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^2 + Y_j^2) + \gamma t^2,$$

for a suitable $\gamma > 0$. It was shown in [36] that its spectrum is discrete, and asymptotic estimates were given for the distribution of its eigenvalues. Again, the discreteness of the spectrum of this operator follows at once from Theorem 5.3; the reader can in fact easily verify that there are no nonzero right-invariant vector fields which annihilate $(x, y, t) \mapsto \gamma t^2$.

Observe, moreover, that there are several polynomial potentials (in the wide sense as above, possibly involving a proper map) which may give rise to good candidates for harmonic oscillators on \mathbb{H}^n . For example, one may consider a Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H}_V where $V = N^2$, being N a homogeneous norm on \mathbb{H}^n such as the Kaplan norm

$$N(x, y, t) = \sqrt[4]{(|x|^2 + |y|^2)^2 + 16t^2}.$$

By Proposition 4.6, such an \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum.

As a final remark, we also observe that one cannot replace the right-invariant vector fields with the left-invariant ones in Theorem 5.3, in general. The polynomial

$$p(x, y, t) = y^2x + 2yt$$

on \mathbb{H}^1 is annihilated by X , while it is not annihilated by any right-invariant vector fields, hence by Theorem 5.3 the corresponding Schrödinger operator has purely discrete spectrum. Analogously, the polynomial

$$p(x, y, t) = y^2x - 2yt$$

on \mathbb{H}^1 is annihilated by X^R , while it is not annihilated by any left-invariant vector field.

6. MUCKENHOUP POTENTIALS

The aim of this section is to study the case when the potential V is a local Muckenhoupt weight. To do this, we first develop a basic theory for such weights on G ; recall that as a metric measure space, G is locally doubling but, in general, not doubling. For more information about Muckenhoupt weights on *doubling* measure spaces, we refer the reader to [38].

For $R > 0$, let \mathcal{B}_R be the set of all balls of radius at most R . If B is a ball of radius r and $\lambda > 0$, we denote by λB the ball with same centre and radius λr . For a non-zero positive $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, we denote by μ_w the measure with density w with respect to μ , and consider the following conditions.

- (1) There are $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$ and every Borel subset F of B ,

$$\mu(F) \leq \varepsilon \mu(B) \implies \mu_w(F) \leq \delta \mu_w(B).$$

- (2) There are $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $C > 0$ such that, for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$ and every Borel subset F of B ,

$$\frac{\mu_w(F)}{\mu_w(B)} \geq C \left(\frac{\mu(F)}{\mu(B)} \right)^p.$$

- (3) There are $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $C > 0$ such that, for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$,

$$\left(\int_B w \, d\mu \right) \left(\int_B w^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \leq C.$$

- (4) There are $\delta, c > 0$ such that, for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in B : w(x) \geq \delta \int_B w \, d\mu \right\} \right) \geq c \mu(B).$$

Note that, a priori, condition (2) is meaningless if $\mu_w(B) = 0$. However, if (2) holds for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$ such that $\mu_w(B) > 0$, then the local doubling property of μ (recall (2.2)) implies that μ_w is doubling on the balls in \mathcal{B}_R . Since G is connected and $\mu_w \neq 0$ by assumption, one gets $\mu_w(B) > 0$ for all balls B .

The following result is a local version of [38, Corollary 14], and will lead us to the definition of some classes of local Muckenhoupt weights.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $R > 0$ and a positive $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ be given. Then*

$$(4) \implies (1), \quad (3) \implies (2) \implies (1), \quad \text{and} \quad (3) \implies (4).$$

If there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mu_w(5B) \leq C \mu_w(B) \tag{6.1}$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, then conditions (1)–(4) are equivalent.

Proof. The arguments used to prove [38, Corollary 14] show that (3) \implies (2) \implies (1), and that if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that (6.1) holds for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, then (1) implies (3).

We now prove that (4) implies (1). By (4), there are $\delta, c > 0$ such that

$$\mu(E_\delta(B)) \geq c \mu(B) \tag{6.2}$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, where to simplify the notation we have set

$$E_\delta(B) = \left\{ y \in B : w(y) \geq \delta \int_B w \, d\mu \right\}. \tag{6.3}$$

Notice that $c \leq 1$.

Set $\alpha = c/2$ and $\beta = 1 - c\delta/2$. Take $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, and let F be a Borel subset of B such that $\mu(F) \leq \alpha \mu(B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_\delta(B) \setminus F) &= \mu(B \setminus F) + \mu(E_\delta(B)) - \mu((B \setminus F) \cup E_\delta(B)) \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha)\mu(B) + c\mu(B) - \mu(B) \\ &= \frac{c}{2}\mu(B), \end{aligned}$$

so that by (6.2)

$$\int_{B \setminus F} w \, d\mu \geq \int_{E_\delta(B) \setminus F} w \, d\mu \geq \frac{c}{2} \mu(B) \delta \int_B w \, d\mu = (1 - \beta) \int_B w \, d\mu.$$

Hence,

$$\mu_w(F) \leq \beta \mu_w(B),$$

which proves (1).

To conclude the proof, it will then be enough to prove that (3) implies (4). More precisely, we shall prove that for every $c \in (0, 1)$ we may find $\delta \in (0, 1)$ so that (4) holds. For $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, set

$$\bar{w} = \inf \{ t > 0 : \mu(\{y \in B : w(y) > t\}) \leq c\mu(B) \},$$

so that clearly

$$\mu(\{y \in B : w(y) > \bar{w}\}) \leq c\mu(B),$$

that is,

$$\mu(\{y \in B : w(y) \leq \bar{w}\}) \geq (1 - c)\mu(B). \quad (6.4)$$

Observe that, in addition,

$$\mu(\{y \in B : w(y) \geq \bar{w}\}) \geq c\mu(B). \quad (6.5)$$

By (6.4)

$$\left(\int_B w^{-p'/p} d\mu \right)^{p/p'} \geq (1 - c)^{p/p'} \bar{w}^{-1},$$

and this together with (3) implies

$$\int_B w d\mu \leq C \left(\int_B w^{-p'/p} d\mu \right)^{-p/p'} \leq (1 - c)^{-p/p'} C \bar{w}.$$

Therefore,

$$\{y \in B : w(y) \geq \bar{w}\} \subseteq E_{(1-c)^{p/p'} C^{-1}}(B),$$

whence, by (6.5),

$$\mu(E_{(1-c)^{p/p'} C^{-1}}(B)) \geq c\mu(B).$$

The proof is complete. \square

The previous result brings us to the following definitions. For notational convenience, we shall maintain the notation (6.3).

Definition 6.2. We define $A_{\infty, \text{loc}}$ as the space of non-zero positive functions $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ for which there are $R, \delta, c > 0$ such that

$$\mu(E_{\delta}(B)) \geq c\mu(B) \quad (6.6)$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$. We define $\tilde{A}_{\infty, \text{loc}}$ as the space of $w \in A_{\infty, \text{loc}}$ such that there are $R, C > 0$ such that

$$\mu_w(2B) \leq C\mu_w(B)$$

for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$. If $p \in [1, \infty)$, we define $A_{p, \text{loc}}$ as the set of non-zero positive functions $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ for which there are $R, C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_B w d\mu \right) \left(\int_B w^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \leq C$$

if $p > 1$, and

$$\left(\int_B w d\mu \right) \|w^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq C$$

if $p = 1$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$.

By Jensen's inequality, $A_{p, \text{loc}} \subseteq A_{q, \text{loc}}$ for every $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, and by the implication (3) \implies (4) in Proposition (6.1), $A_{p, \text{loc}} \subseteq \tilde{A}_{\infty, \text{loc}}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. We are now going to show that the spaces $A_{p, \text{loc}}$, $p > 1$, and $\tilde{A}_{\infty, \text{loc}}$ may be equivalently defined by requiring that the stated conditions hold for every $R > 0$ (instead for some $R > 0$).

Proposition 6.3. *If $w \in \tilde{A}_{\infty, \text{loc}}$, then the measure μ_w is locally doubling and conditions (1)–(4) hold for every $R > 0$.*

Proof. Define $\widehat{A}_{p,R}$, for every $R > 0$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, as the set of positive non-zero functions $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mu)$ for which there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu_w(F)}{\mu_w(B)} \geq C \left(\frac{\mu(F)}{\mu(B)} \right)^p \quad (6.7)$$

for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, and every Borel subset F of B .

We claim that

$$\widehat{A}_{p,R} = \widehat{A}_{p,R'} \quad \forall R, R' > 0. \quad (6.8)$$

The statement follows from the claim, as we now show. If $w \in \widetilde{A}_{\infty, \text{loc}}$, then there are $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $R > 0$ such that $w \in \widehat{A}_{p,R}$, by Proposition 6.1. Hence, $w \in \widehat{A}_{p,R'}$ for every $R' > 0$, by the claim. In particular, the measure μ_w is locally doubling (apply (6.7) with $F = \frac{1}{2}B$, and use the fact that μ is locally doubling). Therefore, the assertion follows from Proposition 6.1.

It then remains to prove the claim (6.8). Pick $R > 0$ and $w \in \widehat{A}_{p,R}$, so that there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu_w(F)}{\mu_w(B(x,r))} \geq C_1 \left(\frac{\mu(F)}{\mu(B(x,r))} \right)^p \quad (6.9)$$

for every $x \in G$ and $r \in (0, R]$, and for every Borel subset F of $B(x, r)$. Observe that, since μ is locally doubling, there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mu(B(e, 2r)) \leq C_2 \mu(B(e, r)) \quad (6.10)$$

for every $r \in (0, (5/4)R]$. Combining the preceding inequalities, we then see that

$$\mu_w(B(x, 2r)) \leq C_3 \mu_w(B(x, r))$$

for every $x \in G$ and $r \in (0, R/2]$, where $C_3 := C_1^{-1} C_2^p$.

Then, fix $x \in G$, $r \in (R, (5/4)R]$, and a Borel subset F of $B(x, r)$. Let $(y_j)_{j \in J}$ be a (finite) family of points in $B(x, (3/4)R)$ which is maximal for the property that $d(y_j, y_k) \geq R/2$ for every $j, k \in J$ with $j \neq k$. Then, the balls $B(y_j, R/4)$ are pairwise disjoint and contained in $B(x, R)$; let us prove that

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} B(y_j, R) \supseteq B(x, (5/4)R) \supseteq B(x, r).$$

Indeed, take $y \in B(x, (5/4)R)$, and let γ be a minimizing geodesic joining x and y , which exists by [5, Corollaries 3.49 and 7.51]. Then, there is z in the support of γ such that $d(x, z) < (3/4)R$ and $d(z, y) < R/2$. By maximality, there is $j \in J$ such that $d(z, y_j) < R/2$, so that $d(y, y_j) \leq d(y, z) + d(z, y_j) < R$, whence $y \in B(y_j, R)$.

In addition, since

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq C_2^3 \mu(B(x, R/4)) = C_2^3 \mu(B(y_j, R/4))$$

for all $j \in J$, one gets

$$\#J C_2^{-3} \mu(B(x, r)) \leq \sum_{j \in J} \mu(B(y_j, R/4)) \leq \mu(B(x, r)),$$

so that

$$\#J \leq C_2^3. \quad (6.11)$$

Now, observe that

$$\mu_w(B(x, r)) \leq \sum_j \mu_w(B(y_j, R)) \leq C_3^2 \sum_j \mu_w(B(y_j, R/4)) \leq C_3^2 \mu_w(B(x, R)),$$

and that, by (6.9),

$$\mu_w(B(x, R)) \leq C_1^{-1} \mu_w(B(y_j, R/4)) \left(\frac{\mu(B(x, R))}{\mu(B(y_j, R/4))} \right)^p \leq C_1^{-1} C_2^{2p} \mu_w(B(y_j, R/4)),$$

so that

$$\mu_w(B(x, r)) \leq C_4 \mu_w(B(y_j, R)) \quad (6.12)$$

with $C_4 := C_3^2 C_1^{-1} C_2^{2p}$, for every $j \in J$.

Finally, observe that we may find a partition $(F_j)_{j \in J}$ of F into Borel sets such that $F_j \subseteq B(y_j, R)$ for every $j \in J$. Hence, by (6.12) and (6.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_w(F)}{\mu_w(B(x, r))} &= \sum_j \frac{\mu_w(F_j)}{\mu_w(B(x, r))} \\ &\geq C_4^{-1} \sum_j \frac{\mu_w(F_j)}{\mu_w(B(y_j, R))} \geq C_1 C_4^{-1} \sum_j \left(\frac{\mu(F_j)}{\mu(B(y_j, R))} \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

while by (6.11) and (6.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \left(\frac{\mu(F_j)}{\mu(B(y_j, R))} \right)^p &\geq \#J^{1-p} \left(\sum_j \frac{\mu(F_j)}{\mu(B(y_j, R))} \right)^p \\ &\geq C_2^{3(1-p)} C_2^{-2p} \left(\sum_j \frac{\mu(F_j)}{\mu(B(y_j, R/4))} \right)^p \\ &\geq C_2^{3-5p} \left(\sum_j \frac{\mu(F_j)}{\mu(B(x, r))} \right)^p \\ &\geq C_2^{3-5p} \left(\frac{\mu(F)}{\mu(B(x, r))} \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $w \in \widehat{A}_{p, (5/4)R}$. By iteration, one gets $w \in \widehat{A}_{p, (5/4)^k R}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\widehat{A}_{p, R} \subseteq \widehat{A}_{p, R'}$ if $R > R'$, the claim (6.8) follows. \square

As a corollary, we also see that if the condition defining $A_{p, \text{loc}}$ holds for some R , then it holds for all R 's.

Corollary 6.4. *Suppose $p \in (1, \infty)$. If $w \in A_{p, \text{loc}}$, then for every $R > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\int_B w \, d\mu \right) \left(\int_B w^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} \leq C$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$. Moreover, $A_{p, \text{loc}} \subseteq \widetilde{A}_{\infty, \text{loc}}$ for every $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. Observe first that by the implication (3) \implies (2) in Proposition 6.1, the measures μ and μ_w are equivalent, so that $w(x) > 0$ for almost every $x \in G$.

Then, $w^{-p'/p} \in A_{p', \text{loc}}$. Now, as the proof of the implication (3) \implies (4) in Proposition 6.1 shows, for every $R > 0$ we may find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in B : w(x) \geq \delta \int_B w \, d\mu \right\} \right) \geq \frac{2}{3} \mu(B)$$

and

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in B : w(x)^{-p'/p} \geq \delta \int_B w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right\} \right) \geq \frac{2}{3} \mu(B)$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$. Hence,

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in B : w(x) \geq \delta \int_B w \, d\mu, \quad w(x)^{-p'/p} \geq \delta \int_B w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right\} \right) \geq \frac{1}{3} \mu(B),$$

and in particular there exists x_0 belonging to the set in the left hand side. Then

$$\left(\int_B w \, d\mu \right) \left(\int_B w^{-p'/p} \, d\mu \right)^{-p/p'} \leq \frac{w(x_0)}{\delta} \frac{w(x_0)^{-1}}{\delta^{p/p'}} = \frac{1}{\delta^{1+p/p'}}$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$, whence the first statement. To conclude, notice that by combining the fact that $A_{p,\text{loc}} \subseteq A_{\infty,\text{loc}}$ with the implication (3) \implies (2) in Proposition 6.1, with the first part of the statement and with the local doubling property of μ , see (2.2), one gets $A_{p,\text{loc}} \subseteq \tilde{A}_{\infty,\text{loc}}$ when $p > 1$. The case $p = 1$ follows as well since $A_{1,\text{loc}} \subseteq A_{p,\text{loc}}$ for all $p > 1$. \square

Proposition 6.5. *If the function $r \mapsto \mu(B(e, r))$ is continuous on $(0, R]$ for some $R > 0$, then $A_{\infty,\text{loc}} = \tilde{A}_{\infty,\text{loc}}$.*

Proof. Take $w \in A_{\infty,\text{loc}}$. Then, by Proposition 6.1 there are $R' > 0$ and $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mu(F) \leq \varepsilon \mu(B) \implies \mu_w(F) \leq \delta \mu_w(B) \quad (6.13)$$

for every ball $B \in \mathcal{B}_{R'}$, and every Borel subset F of B . We may assume that $R' < R/2$.

Since μ is locally doubling, there is a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\mu(B(e, 2r)) \leq C \mu(B(e, r))$$

for every $r \in (0, R]$. Take the smallest $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $(1 - \varepsilon)^\ell \leq C^{-1}$, and observe that, by the continuity of the map $r \mapsto \mu(B(e, r))$ on $(0, R]$, for every $r \in (0, R/2]$ we may find $r = r_0 < \dots < r_\ell = 2r$ such that

$$\mu(B(x, r_{j-1})) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \mu(B(x, r_j))$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $x \in G$. In addition, $\ell \leq 1 - \frac{\log C}{\log(1 - \varepsilon)} =: \ell^*$. Therefore, by (6.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_w(B(x, r)) &= \mu_w(B(x, r_0)) \geq (1 - \delta) \mu_w(B(x, r_1)) \\ &\geq \dots \geq (1 - \delta)^\ell \mu_w(B(x, r_\ell)) \\ &= (1 - \delta)^\ell \mu_w(B(x, 2r)) \\ &\geq (1 - \delta)^{\ell^*} \mu_w(B(x, 2r)), \end{aligned}$$

whence $w \in \tilde{A}_{\infty,\text{loc}}$. \square

The proof of the following result is inspired by [17, Theorem 6], which deals with cubes instead of balls, and with matrix-valued potential. The interested reader can actually adapt our proof (and Corollary 4.2, which is used therein) to the matrix-valued case, but we limit ourselves to the scalar case under consideration. See also [6].

Theorem 6.6. *Assume that $V \in \tilde{A}_{\infty,\text{loc}}$. Then, \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum if and only if*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(x, R)} V \, d\mu = \infty \quad (6.14)$$

for some (equivalently for all) $R > 0$.

Observe that $V \in \tilde{A}_{\infty,\text{loc}}$ if $V \in A_{p,\text{loc}}$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$ by Corollary 6.4, or if $V \in A_{\infty,\text{loc}}$ and the map $r \mapsto \mu(B(x, r))$ is continuous on $(0, R]$ for some $R > 0$ by Proposition 6.5.

Proof. If \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum, the statement follows by Corollary 4.2. It is then enough to show that, if (6.14) holds and $V \in \tilde{A}_{\infty,\text{loc}}$, then \mathcal{H}_V has purely discrete spectrum. By Proposition 3.3, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{f \in C_c^\infty \\ Q(f) \leq 1}} \int_{G \setminus B(e, r)} |f|^2 = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

For a ball B of radius $r > 0$, let us define

$$M(B) = r^2 \int_B V \, d\mu.$$

Observe that, by Proposition 6.3 and (2.1), there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$M(2B) \leq CM(B), \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}_{R/2}.$$

Define $\mathcal{B}'_{R'}$, for $R' > 0$, as the set of balls of radius R which meet $G \setminus B(e, R')$. By (6.14), for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there is $R_k \geq k$ such that $M(B) \geq C^k$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{R_k}$. Therefore,

$$M(2^{-k}B) \geq C^{-k}M(B) \geq 1$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{R_k}$. By Proposition 6.3, there are two constants $c, \delta > 0$ such that, for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_R$,

$$\mu(E_\delta(B)) \geq c\mu(B). \quad (6.16)$$

Hence, for all $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{R_k}$ and $y \in E_\delta(2^{-k}B)$,

$$V(y) \geq \delta \int_{2^{-k}B} V \, d\mu = \frac{\delta 4^k}{R^2} M(2^{-k}B) \geq \frac{\delta 4^k}{R^2}. \quad (6.17)$$

Let now $f \in C_c^\infty$ be such that $Q(f) \leq 1$, and $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{R_k}$. Then, by (6.16) and (6.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{-k}B} |f|^2 \, d\mu &\leq 2 \int_{E_\delta(2^{-k}B) \times (2^{-k}B)} |f(y) - f(y')|^2 \, d\mu(y) \, d\mu(y') \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{E_\delta(2^{-k}B)} |f(y)|^2 \, d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{c} \int_{(2^{-k}B) \times (2^{-k}B)} |f(y) - f(y')|^2 \, d\mu(y) \, d\mu(y') \\ &\quad + \frac{2R^2}{c\delta 4^k} \int_{2^{-k}B} V |f|^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Denote by C_R the constant in the Poincaré inequality (4.1), and observe that

$$\int_{2^{-k}B} |f|^2 \, d\mu \leq C_R \frac{2R^2}{c4^k} \int_{2^{-k}B} |\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 \, d\mu + \frac{2R^2}{c\delta 4^k} \int_{2^{-k}B} V |f|^2 \, d\mu. \quad (6.19)$$

Let now F_k be a subset of $G \setminus B(e, R_k)$ which is maximal for the property that $d(y, y') \geq 2^{-k}R$ for every $y, y' \in F_k$, $y \neq y'$. Then, by maximality,

$$G \setminus B(e, R_k) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F_k} B(x, 2^{-k}R).$$

In addition, if $x \in F_k$ and

$$F_{k,x} := \{y \in F_k : d(x, y) < 2^{-k+1}R\},$$

then the balls $B(y, 2^{-k-1}R)$, for $y \in F_{k,x}$, are pairwise disjoint and contained in $B(x, 2^{-k+2}R)$. Therefore, by the left-invariance of μ ,

$$\#F_{k,x} \leq \frac{\mu(B(e, 2^{-k+2}R))}{\mu(B(e, 2^{-k-1}R))} \leq C'^3,$$

where C' is the doubling constant of μ for balls of radii at most R . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G \setminus B(e, R_k)} |f|^2 \, d\mu &\leq \sum_{x \in F_k} \int_{B(x, 2^{-k}R)} |f|^2 \, d\mu \\ &\leq \max(C_R, \delta^{-1}) \frac{2R^2}{c4^k} \sum_{x \in F_k} \int_{B(x, 2^{-k}R)} (|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f|^2 + V |f|^2) \, d\mu \\ &\leq \max(C_R, \delta^{-1}) C'^3 \frac{2R^2}{c4^k} Q(f) \leq \max(C_R, \delta^{-1}) C'^3 \frac{2R^2}{c4^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$r \mapsto \sup_{\substack{f \in C_c^\infty \\ Q(f) \leq 1}} \int_{G \setminus B(e,r)} |f|^2$$

is decreasing, and since $R_k \rightarrow +\infty$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$, (6.15) follows. \square

7. WEIGHTED SUB-LAPLACIANS

In this section, we denote by w a positive function in L^1_{loc} such that $w^{-1} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$. As in the preceding section, we denote by μ_w the measure with density w with respect to μ . We consider the positive Hermitian form

$$Q_w : (f, g) \mapsto \int_G \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{g} \, d\mu_w,$$

with domain

$$\text{Dom}(Q_w) = \{f \in L^2(\mu_w) : \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \in L^2_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu_w)\}.$$

We emphasize that if $f \in L^2(\mu_w)$, then $fw^{1/2} \in L^2$, so that $f = (fw^{1/2})w^{-1/2} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$. Hence, $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f$ is well defined in the distributional sense.

Observe that Q_w is closed, continuous, and positive, and that $C_c^\infty \subseteq \text{Dom}(Q_w)$, so that Q_w is also densely defined. Then, it defines a positive self-adjoint operator \mathcal{L}_w on $L^2(\mu_w)$ such that

$$Q_w(f, g) = \langle \mathcal{L}_w f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu_w)}$$

for all $f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_w)$ and $g \in \text{Dom}(Q_w)$.

Proposition 7.1. *The space C_c^∞ is dense in $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$ with respect to the graph norm. If in addition $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w \in w^{1/2} L^2_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}$, then $C_c^\infty \subseteq \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_w)$ and for $f \in C_c^\infty$*

$$\mathcal{L}_w f = \mathcal{L}f - \frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{w} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f.$$

Proof. Mutatis mutandis, the density of C_c^∞ can be shown as in Lemma 2.1.

Assume now that $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w \in w^{1/2} L^2_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}} \subseteq L^1_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}$, and observe that also

$$\frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{w} \in w^{-1/2} L^2_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}} \subseteq L^1_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}.$$

Then, for every $f, g \in C_c^\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_w(f, g) &= \int_G \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{g} w \, d\mu \\ &= \int_G \left((\mathcal{L}f) \bar{g} w - (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w) \bar{g} \right) d\mu \\ &= \left\langle \mathcal{L}f - \frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{w} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \mid g \right\rangle_{L^2(\mu_w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}f - \frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{w} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \in L^2(\mu_w)$, and since C_c^∞ is dense in $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$, this implies that $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_w)$ contains C_c^∞ and

$$\mathcal{L}_w f = \mathcal{L}f - \frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{w} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f$$

for every $f \in C_c^\infty$. \square

Assume now that $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w \in L^1_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}} \cap (wL^2_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}})$, $\mathcal{L}w \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \cap (wL^1_{\text{loc}})$, so that the associated potential

$$V_w = -\frac{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w|^2}{4w^2} - \frac{\mathcal{L}w}{2w} \quad (7.1)$$

is in L^1_{loc} . We also assume that V_w is bounded from below, say $V_w \geq -m + 1$. Observe that due to the specific form of such potential, one cannot easily reduce to the case $V \geq 1$ as before. Then, the corresponding Hermitian form

$$Q_{V_w} : (f, g) \mapsto \int_G (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{g} + V_w f \bar{g}) d\mu,$$

with domain

$$\text{Dom}(Q_{V_w}) = \{f \in L^2 : \nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f \in L^2_{\mathcal{H}}, f \sqrt{V_w + m} \in L^2\},$$

defines a Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H}_{V_w} on L^2 . We endow $\text{Dom}(Q_{V_w})$ with the norm

$$f \mapsto \sqrt{m \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + Q_{V_w}(f)}.$$

In the next proposition, we show that under the under slightly stronger, but necessary, assumptions the weighted sub-Laplacian \mathcal{L}_w and the Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H}_{V_w} are unitarily equivalent.

Proposition 7.2. *Assume that*

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w \in (\sqrt{w} L^2_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}) \cap (w L^2_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}), \quad \mathcal{L}w \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \cap (w L^1_{\text{loc}}), \quad (7.2)$$

and that the associated potential satisfies $V_w \geq -m + 1$ for some $m > 0$. Then, the map $f \mapsto f w^{1/2}$ induces an isometry of $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$, endowed with the norm

$$f \mapsto \sqrt{m \|f\|_{L^2(w)}^2 + Q_w(f)},$$

onto $\text{Dom}(Q_{V_w})$. In particular, it intertwines Q_w and Q_{V_w} .

Proof. By the assumptions in (7.2), $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w \in L^1_{\mathcal{H}, \text{loc}}$ and $V_w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$. Let us first notice that

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(w^{1/2}) = \frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{2w^{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(w^{-1/2}) = -\frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{2w^{3/2}} \quad (7.3)$$

in the distributional sense. These formulae hold for $w + \varepsilon$ in place of w by the smoothness of the functions $t \rightarrow \sqrt{t}$ and $t \rightarrow t^{-1/2}$ on $(\varepsilon/2, +\infty)$. Then, by our assumptions, the fact that $(w + \varepsilon)^{-1/2} \leq w^{-1/2}$ and by dominated convergence, we see that the right hand sides in (7.3), for $w + \varepsilon$ in place of w , converge in L^1_{loc} to the right hand sides in (7.3). Analogously, since $(w + \varepsilon)^{\pm 1/2}$ converge to $w^{\pm 1/2}$ in L^1_{loc} by dominated convergence, the left hand sides in (7.3), for $w + \varepsilon$ in place of w , converge in the sense of distributions to the left hand sides in (7.3).

We can now show that $f w^{1/2} \in \text{Dom}(Q_{V_w})$ for every $f \in C_c^\infty$. By our assumptions, $f w^{1/2} \in L^2$ and

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(f w^{1/2}) = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} f) w^{1/2} + f \frac{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w}{2w^{1/2}} \in L^2_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Moreover, since

$$w V_w = -\frac{|\nabla_{\mathcal{H}} w|^2}{4w} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}w \in L^1_{\text{loc}},$$

one gets $w(V_w + m) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, and hence $f \sqrt{w} \sqrt{V_w + m} \in L^2$, that is, $f \sqrt{V_w + m} \in L^2(\mu_w)$.

A simple integration by parts now shows that

$$Q_{V_w}(f w^{1/2}, g w^{1/2}) = Q_w(f, g)$$

for all $f, g \in C_c^\infty$.

Since C_c^∞ is dense in $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$, the map $f \mapsto f \sqrt{w}$ extends to an isometry

$$I : \text{Dom}(Q_w) \rightarrow \text{Dom}(Q_{V_w}).$$

Since $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$ and $\text{Dom}(Q_{V_w})$ embed continuously in $L^2(\mu_w)$ and L^2 respectively, I is the restriction on $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$ of the surjective isometry $J : L^2(\mu_w) \rightarrow L^2$ given by $J(f) = f \sqrt{w}$. To show that I is surjective, it is enough to show that $J^{-1}(C_c^\infty) \subseteq$

$\text{Dom}(Q_w)$, so that $C_c^\infty \subseteq I(\text{Dom}(Q_w))$. Indeed, this implies that the image of I , which is closed since I is an isometry, is dense in $\text{Dom}(Q_w)$; whence $I(\text{Dom}(Q_w)) = \text{Dom}(Q_w)$.

Since J is an isometry, in order to show that $fw^{-1/2} \in \text{Dom}(Q_w)$ when $f \in C_c^\infty$, it will suffice to prove that $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(fw^{-1/2}) \in L^2_{\mathcal{H}}(\mu_w)$. This is a consequence of the equality

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}(fw^{-1/2}) = (\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}f)w^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}f(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}w)w^{-3/2},$$

and the assumptions (7.2) on w . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, J. J. F. Fournier, “Sobolev spaces”. Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), 140.
- [2] J.-P. Anker, *A short proof of a Classical Covering Lemma*, Monatsh. Math. 107 (1989), no. 1, 5–7.
- [3] G. Antonelli, E. Le Donne, *Polynomial and horizontally polynomial functions on Lie groups*, arXiv:2011.13665.
- [4] A. Agrachev, U. Boscain, J.-P. Gauthier, F. Rossi, *The intrinsic hypoelliptic Laplacian and its heat kernel on unimodular Lie groups*. J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), no. 8, 2621–2655.
- [5] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, U. Boscain, *A comprehensive Introduction to Sub-Riemannian Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [6] P. Auscher, B. Ben Ali, *Maximal inequalities and Riesz transform estimates on L^p spaces for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57 (2007), no. 6, 1975–2013.
- [7] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, “Interpolation Spaces”, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [8] N. Bourbaki, “Topologie Générale”, Chap. 5–10 (Éléments de Mathématique), Springer, 2006.
- [9] ———, “Groupes et Algèbres de Lie”, Chap. 2–3 (Éléments de Mathématique), Springer, 2006.
- [10] ———, “Groupes et Algèbres de Lie”, Chap. 9 (Éléments de Mathématique), Springer, 2007.
- [11] T. Bruno, *Maximal hypoellipticity for left-invariant differential operators on Lie groups*. J. Lie Theory 29 (2019), no. 3, 801–809.
- [12] T. Bruno, M. Calzi, *Weighted sub-Laplacians on Métivier groups: essential self-adjointness and spectrum*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), no. 8, 3579–3594.
- [13] ———, *Asymptotics for the heat kernel on H-type groups*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 197 (2018), no. 4, 1017–1049.
- [14] T. Bruno, M. M. Peloso, A. Tabacco, M. Vallarino, *Sobolev spaces on Lie groups: Embedding theorems and algebra properties*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), p. 3014–3050.
- [15] T. Bruno, M. M. Peloso, M. Vallarino, *Local and nonlocal Poincaré inequalities on Lie groups*, arXiv:2107.08664
- [16] C. Chevalley, *On the Topological Structure of Solvable Groups*, Ann. Math. **42** (1941), p. 668–675.
- [17] G. M. Dall’Ara, *Discreteness of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with non-negative matrix-valued potentials*. J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), no. 12, 3649–3679.
- [18] J. Inglis, *Spectral inequalities for operators on H-type groups*. J. Spectr. Theory 2 (2012), no. 1, 79–105.
- [19] V. Fischer, *The Heisenberg oscillator*. Bull. Math. Sci. 2 (2012), no. 1, 181–191.
- [20] G. B. Folland, *Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups*, Ark. Mat. 13 (1975) 161–207.
- [21] Y. Guivarc’h, *Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 101 (1973), 333–379.
- [22] P. Hajlasz, P. Koskela, *Sobolev met Poincaré*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2000), no. 688, x+101 pp.
- [23] W. Hebisch, G. Mauceri, S. Meda, *Spectral multipliers for Sub-Laplacians with drift on Lie groups*, Math. Z. 251 (2005), no. 4, 899–927.
- [24] A. Klein, B. Russo, *Sharp inequalities for Weyl operators and Heisenberg groups*. Math. Ann. 235 (1978), no. 2, 175–194.
- [25] V. Kondratiev, V. Maz’ya, M. Shubin, *Gauge optimization and spectral properties of magnetic Schrödinger operators*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 34 (2009), no. 10–12, 1127–1146.
- [26] V. Kondrat’ev, M. Shubin, *Discreteness of spectrum for the Schrödinger operators on manifolds of bounded geometry*. The Maz’ya anniversary collection, Vol. 2 (Rostock, 1998), 185–226, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 110, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [27] A. Leibman, *Polynomial mappings of groups*, Israel J. Math. **129** (2002), p. 29–60.

- [28] V. Maz'ya, M. Shubin, *Discreteness of spectrum and positivity criteria for Schrödinger operators*. Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 2, 919–942.
- [29] G. Metafuno, D. Pallara, *Discreteness of the spectrum for some differential operators with unbounded coefficients in R^n* . Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 11 (2000), no. 1, 9–19.
- [30] A. M. Molčanov, *On conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of self-adjoint differential equations of the second order*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 2 (1953) 169–199.
- [31] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein, S. Wainger, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields. I. Basic properties*. Acta Math. 155 (1985), no. 1-2, 103–147.
- [32] C. R. de Oliveira, “Intermediate spectral theory and quantum dynamics”, Progress in Mathematical Physics, vol. 54, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [33] E. M. Ouhabaz, “Analysis of heat equations on domains,” London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, 31. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [34] M. Reed, B. Simon, “Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, II,” Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [35] D. Rottensteiner, M. Ruzhansky, *The harmonic oscillator on the Heisenberg group*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 358 (2020), no. 5, 609–614.
- [36] ———, *Harmonic and anharmonic oscillators on the Heisenberg group*, arXiv:1812.09620.
- [37] B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with purely discrete spectrum*. Methods Funct. Anal. Topology 15, 61–66 (2009)
- [38] J.-O. Strömberg, A. Torchinsky, “Weighted Hardy spaces”. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1381. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [39] M. Wüstner, *Factoring a Lie Group into a Compactly Embedded and a Solvable Subgroup*, Monasth. Math. 130 (2000), p. 49–55.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM
Email address: `tommaso.bruno@ugent.be`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA C. SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
Email address: `mattia.calzi@unimi.it`