ON RATIONALITY FOR C_2 -COFINITE VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

ROBERT MCRAE

ABSTRACT. Let V be an N-graded, simple, self-contragredient, C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. We show that if the S-transformation of the character of V is a linear combination of characters of V-modules, then the category C of grading-restricted generalized V-modules is a rigid tensor category. We further show, without any assumption on the character of V but assuming that C is rigid, that C is a factorizable finite ribbon category, that is, a not-necessarily-semisimple modular tensor category. As a consequence, we show that if the Zhu algebra of V is semisimple, then C is semisimple and thus V is rational. The proofs of these theorems use techniques and results from tensor categories together with the method of Moore-Seiberg and Huang for deriving identities of two-point genus-one correlation functions associated to V .

We give two main applications. First, we prove the conjecture of Kac-Wakimoto and Arakawa that C_2 -cofinite affine W-algebras obtained via quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction of admissible-level affine vertex algebras are strongly rational. The proof uses the recent result of Arakawa and van Ekeren that such W -algebras have semisimple (Ramond twisted) Zhu algebras. Second, we use our rigidity results to reduce the "coset rationality problem" to the problem of C_2 -cofiniteness for the coset. That is, given a vertex operator algebra inclusion $U \otimes V \hookrightarrow A$ with A, U strongly rational and U, V a pair of mutual commutant subalgebras in A , we show that V is also strongly rational provided it is C_2 -cofinite.

CONTENTS

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B69, 18D10, 81R10.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the highlights in the theory of vertex operator algebras is Huang's proof, culminating in [\[Hu4\]](#page-82-0), that the module category of a "strongly rational" vertex operator algebra is a semisimple modular tensor category. While vertex operator algebras are expected to provide a mathematically rigorous construction of two-dimensional chiral conformal quantum field theories, modular tensor categories have well-known deep connections to low-dimensional topology and physics, including topological quantum field theories, knot invariants, and topological quantum computing. Thus one can view the modular tensor category of modules for a strongly rational vertex operator algebra V as containing the topological information of a conformal field theory associated to V .

To apply Huang's modularity theorem, one needs examples of strongly rational vertex operator algebras. Many examples are known, starting from certain simple affine and Virasoro vertex operator algebras [\[FZ,](#page-82-1) [Wan\]](#page-84-0), but in general it is difficult to show that a given vertex operator algebra is strongly rational. A vertex operator algebra V is strongly rational if it is simple, positive-energy (also known as CFT-type), self-contragredient as a module for itself, C_2 -cofinite, and rational. The first three conditions are relatively minor, while the last two are rather deep: The technical C_2 -cofiniteness condition, introduced by Zhu [\[Zh\]](#page-84-1) to prove convergence of conformal-field-theoretic genus-one correlation functions associated to V , guarantees finiteness of the category of V -modules. Rationality, in the presence of the other conditions, is equivalent to semisimplicity of the category of V -modules.

The definition of strongly rational vertex operator algebra combined with Huang's modularity theorem suggests two questions. Let V be a simple positive-energy (or more generally N-graded) self-contragredient C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra; following [\[CG\]](#page-81-1), we will call such V strongly finite. First, are there checkable criteria that guarantee the category of V-modules is semisimple, and thus V is rational? And if on the other hand V has a non-semisimple module category, is there still something we can say about its structure?

This paper will address both questions: we will show that a strongly finite vertex operator algebra is rational if its Zhu algebra $[Zh]$ is semisimple, and we will show that if the module category for a strongly finite vertex operator algebra is a rigid tensor category, then it is a factorizable finite ribbon category, also known as a non-semisimple modular tensor category. As a first application, we will obtain rationality for all C_2 -cofinite affine W-algebras obtained via quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction of affine vertex operator algebras at admissible levels; the Zhu algebras of these W-algebras were recently proved semisimple by Arakawa and van Ekeren $[AvE]$. As a second application, we will show that the coset, or commutant, of a strongly rational subalgebra of a strongly rational vertex operator algebra is also strongly rational, provided the coset is C_2 -cofinite. We discuss these results in more detail next.

1.1. Results. For V a positive-energy C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra, Huang showed $[Hu5]$ that the category C of (grading-restricted generalized) V-modules at least admits the vertex algebraic braided tensor category structure of Huang-Lepowsky-Zhang [\[HLZ1\]](#page-83-0)-[\[HLZ8\]](#page-83-1). Huang then conjectured $[Hu6]$ that if V is in addition self-contragredient, then C should be a rigid tensor category, and that the braiding on $\mathcal C$ should be nondegenerate in some sense, similar to the definition of (semisimple) modular tensor category. This conjecture, that $\mathcal C$ should be a not-necessarily-semisimple version of a modular tensor category, has also appeared in several more recent works, for example [\[CG,](#page-81-1) [GR\]](#page-82-4).

There are actually several notions of non-semisimple modular tensor category (the first formulated by Lyubashenko $[L_y]$ for the purpose of constructing non-semisimple topological quantum field theories), but Shimizu [\[Sh\]](#page-84-2) has recently shown that all these definitions are equivalent. We shall here use the terminology of [\[ENO\]](#page-82-5) and call non-semisimple modular tensor categories factorizable finite ribbon categories, since the categories we consider may indeed be semisimple. However, the definition we will use derives from Müger $[M\ddot{u}]$: a finite ribbon category $\mathcal C$ is factorizable if and only if the only transparent objects in $\mathcal C$ are finite direct sums of the unit object. (An object W in $\mathcal C$ is transparent if the double braiding $\mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2 \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(W \boxtimes X)$ is the identity for all objects X.)

Thus the conjecture of $[Hu6, CG, GR]$ $[Hu6, CG, GR]$ $[Hu6, CG, GR]$ $[Hu6, CG, GR]$ may be stated as follows: If V is a strongly finite vertex operator algebra, then the category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V-modules should be a factorizable finite ribbon category. This conjecture includes the assertion that the tensor category $\mathcal C$ should be rigid, with duals given by the contragredient modules of [\[FHL\]](#page-82-6). Our first main theorem is that if C is already known to be rigid, then C is indeed factorizable (see Theorem [5.3](#page-50-0) below):

Main Theorem 1. Let V be an N-graded simple self-contragredient C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra and let C be the braided tensor category of grading-restricted generalized V modules. If C is rigid, then C is a factorizable finite ribbon category.

This theorem applies to at least one series of vertex operator algebras with non-semisimple representation theory, namely, the triplet algebras $W(p)$ for integers $p \geq 2$, which are subalgebras of certain rank-one lattice vertex operator algebras with modified conformal vectors. They are C_2 -cofinite $[AdM]$ and their module categories are rigid $[TW]$, so Main Theorem [1](#page-2-1) implies that their module categories are factorizable (although it is not too difficult to check directly that their braidings are nondegenerate $\lfloor \text{GN} \rfloor$. Another well-known class of nonrational $C₂$ -cofinite vertex operator algebras is the even subalgebras of symplectic fermion vertex operator superalgebras $[Ab]$, which are certain extensions of tensor powers of $W(2)$. It is probably possible to use the vertex operator algebra extension theory of [\[CKM1\]](#page-81-5) to show that symplectic fermion algebras have rigid module categories, and then Main Theorem [1](#page-2-1) will apply to these examples as well.

Our second main theorem gives a criterion for the module category $\mathcal C$ of a strongly finite vertex operator algebra V to be rigid, in terms of the characters of V -modules. The *character* of a V-module W is the graded trace of the vertex operator Y_W , and it is a genus-one correlation function in the conformal field theory associated to V :

$$
ch_W(v;\tau) = \text{Tr}_W Y_W(\mathcal{U}(1)v, 1) q_\tau^{L(0) - c/24},
$$

where $v \in V$, $q_{\tau} = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ for τ in the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}, \mathcal{U}(1)$ is a certain linear operator on V associated to local coordinate changes at punctures on a torus, $L(0)$ is the Virasoro algebra zero-mode, and c is the Virasoro central charge of V. Zhu showed Zh that if V is C_2 -cofinite, then ch_W converges absolutely to a function $V \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ linear on V and holomorphic on

 \mathbb{H} , and that if V is in addition rational, then the characters of irreducible V-modules form a basis for a representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the S-transformation of ch_W, given by

$$
S(\mathrm{ch}_W)(v;\tau) = \mathrm{ch}_W\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}v; -\frac{1}{\tau}\bigg),\,
$$

is a linear combination of characters. More recently, Miyamoto showed $[Mi2]$ that if V is C_2 -cofinite but not necessarily rational, then $S(\text{ch}_W)$ is in general a linear combination of both traces and pseudo-trace functions on indecomposable V -modules.

It is natural to conjecture (see for instance $[CG, Section 3.1.1])$ that a strongly finite vertex operator algebra V is rational precisely when no pseudo-traces appear in the S transformations of characters of V -modules. In any case, if we assume no pseudo-traces in the S-transformation of the character of V itself, then we can use the two-point genusone correlation function methods of [\[MS,](#page-84-6) [Hu3,](#page-82-8) [CM\]](#page-81-6) to prove rigidity for the category of V -modules (see Corollary [5.9](#page-56-0) below):

Main Theorem 2. Let V be an N-graded simple self-contragredient C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. If $S(\text{ch}_V)$ is a linear combination of characters of V-modules, then the tensor category of grading-restricted generalized V -modules is rigid.

Zhu's modular invariance proof for characters in [\[Zh\]](#page-84-1) uses an associative algebra now called the Zhu algebra. For any N -graded vertex operator algebra V , the irreducible representations of this associative algebra $A(V)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with those of V. Zhu also showed that $A(V)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra if V is rational; if V is C_2 cofinite but not necessarily rational, then $A(V)$ is at least finite dimensional $[DLM2]$. Modular invariance of characters for rational C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras follows directly from the semisimplicity of $A(V)$ and does not require the full strength of the rationality assumption, so we can combine Main Theorems [1](#page-2-1) and [2](#page-3-1) to conclude that if V is a strongly finite vertex operator algebra and $A(V)$ is semisimple, then V-modules form a factorizable finite ribbon category. We can then address whether the category of V -modules is semisimple: in Theorem [3.4,](#page-20-0) we show that semisimplicity of $A(V)$ and properties of projective covers in factorizable ribbon categories imply that all irreducible V -modules are projective. Thus we get our third main theorem (see Theorem [5.10](#page-57-0) below):

Main Theorem 3. Let V be an N-graded simple self-contragredient C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. If the Zhu algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple, then V is rational. In particular, the category of grading-restricted generalized V -modules is a semisimple modular tensor category, and if V has positive energy, then V is strongly rational.

1.2. Applications. A nice feature of Main Theorem [3](#page-3-2) is that it gives purely internal criteria for V to be rational, even though the definition of rational vertex operator algebra involves V-modules. That is, one can in principal show that V is C_2 -cofinite and compute $A(V)$ without any knowledge about V -modules other than V itself. In practice, it may be difficult to compute $A(V)$ without knowing about V-modules, but there is a rich class of C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras whose Zhu algebras were recently shown to be semisimple:

Given a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , a level $k \in \mathbb{C}$, and a nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, the simple affine W-algebra $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is the simple quotient of the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction, relative to f, of the universal affine vertex operator algebra $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ at level k [\[FF,](#page-82-10) [KRW\]](#page-83-3). The W-algebra $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is called exceptional [\[KW2,](#page-83-4) [Ara3,](#page-81-7) [AvE\]](#page-81-2) if $k \in \mathbb{Q}$ is an admissible level for $\mathfrak g$ and f is contained in a certain nilpotent orbit associated to the denominator of k. Kac-Wakimoto $\overline{KW2}$ and Arakawa $\overline{Ara3}$ conjectured that all exceptional W-algebras are

rational. Indeed, Arakawa showed that all exceptional W-algebras are C_2 -cofinite [\[Ara3\]](#page-81-7) and that exceptional W-algebras associated to principal nilpotent elements are rational $[{\text{Ara4}}]$. Recently, Arakawa-van Ekeren showed $[AvE]$ that all exceptional W-algebras have semisimple Ramond twisted Zhu algebras (for N-graded vertex operator algebras, these are the ordinary Zhu algebras). So now Main Theorem [3](#page-3-2) immediately proves the Kac-Wakimoto-Arakawa rationality conjecture for all N-graded self-contragredient exceptional W-algebras.

Whether $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is N-graded and self-contragredient depends on its conformal vector, which is not unique. The standard conformal vector in $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is obtained from the Dynkin grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$, where $\{e, h, f\}$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple containing f and \mathfrak{g}_j is the h-eigenspace of g with eigenvalue 2j. It turns out that $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is always self-contragredient with respect to the Dynkin conformal vector, but it is $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded when the Dynkin grading of $\mathfrak g$ is not integral. Nevertheless, we show that $W_k(\mathfrak{g},f)$ is still rational as long as it is N-graded with respect to a possibly different conformal vector, and existence of a suitable conformal vector amounts to a purely Lie algebraic condition on the nilpotent element centralizer \mathfrak{g}^f (see Theorem [6.4](#page-62-0) below). We check this condition for all nilpotent orbits which give rise to exceptional W-algebras, so we conclude (see Theorem [6.8](#page-64-0) below):

Main Theorem 4. All exceptional affine W-algebras $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ are strongly rational with respect to the Dynkin conformal vector, possibly as $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded vertex operator algebras.

The most-studied nilpotent elements in a simple Lie algebra are probably those in the principal, subregular, and minimal nilpotent orbits. Thus Main Theorem [4](#page-4-0) finishes the proof that all exceptional W -algebras associated to these three nilpotent orbits are strongly rational; many cases (including all principal W-algebras) were already known thanks to [\[Wan,](#page-84-0) [Ara2,](#page-81-9) [Ara4,](#page-81-8) [AvE,](#page-81-2) [CL1,](#page-81-10) [CL2,](#page-82-11) [CL3,](#page-82-12) [Fa\]](#page-82-13).

The proof of Main Theorem [4](#page-4-0) for $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded W-algebras requires a generalization of Main Theorem [3](#page-3-2) where, instead of assuming that $A(V)$ is semisimple, we assume that V is the fixed-point subalgebra of a finite-order automorphism of a larger vertex operator algebra that has a semisimple Zhu algebra. This more general result recovers, in slightly stronger form, Carnahan and Miyamoto's theorem [\[CM\]](#page-81-6) that the fixed-point (or "orbifold") subalgebra of a strongly rational vertex operator algebra under a finite-order automorphism is strongly rational. This indicates that our results in this paper should be useful towards addressing one of the long-standing problems in the theory of vertex operator algebras: when do subalgebras of rational vertex operator algebras inherit rationality? Carnahan and Miyamoto's solution of the "orbifold rationality problem" for finite cyclic (and by extension finite solvable) automorphism groups is probably the first general result in this direction.

Another well-known subalgebra rationality problem is the "coset rationality problem," which may be stated as follows: If $U \otimes V \hookrightarrow A$ is a vertex operator algebra inclusion with A, U strongly rational and U, V a pair of mutual commutant subalgebras in A , is the coset subalgebra V also strongly rational? It is still not known in general whether V is C_2 -cofinite in this setting, but in Theorem 6.13 below, we use Main Theorem [2](#page-3-1) to show that if V is in fact C_2 -cofinite, then V is also strongly rational:

Main Theorem 5. Let A be a strongly rational vertex operator algebra and let $U \subseteq A$ be a vertex subalgebra which is a strongly rational vertex operator algebra with respect to a conformal vector $\omega_U \in U \cap A_{(2)}$ such that $L(1)\omega_U = 0$. Assume also that:

- The subalgebra U is its own double commutant: $U = C_A(C_A(U)).$
- The commutant, or coset, $V = C_A(U)$ is $C₂$ -cofinite.

Then V is also strongly rational.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{ROBERT MCRAE} \end{array}$

We do not use Main Theorem [3](#page-3-2) to prove this result because precise relations between the Zhu algebras of A, U , and V are not clear. However, there is an alternate method that was used to prove orbifold rationality in [\[CM\]](#page-81-6) and was generalized to prove rationality for more general subalgebras in [\[McR2\]](#page-83-5). One first induces from the category of $U \otimes V$ -modules to the category of A-modules to prove that $U \otimes V$ is projective as a module for itself. Then since a rigid tensor category with projective unit object is semisimple, $U \otimes V$, and then V also, will be rational if the category of $U \otimes V$ -modules is rigid. In fact, it is sufficient to show that the category of V -modules is rigid, and this follows from Main Theorem [2](#page-3-1) once we show in Theorem [6.12,](#page-66-0) using the multivariable trace functions of $\overline{[KM]}$ and the rationality of A and U, that $S(\ch_V)$ is a linear combination of characters.

An interesting class of C_2 -cofinite coset vertex operator algebras is certain principal affine W-algebras associated to simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak g$ in simply-laced types [\[ACL\]](#page-81-11). Thus Main Theorem [5](#page-4-1) provides a third rationality proof for these W-algebras, besides the original proof in [\[Ara4\]](#page-81-8) and Main Theorem [4.](#page-4-0)

1.3. Methods. Now we discuss the proofs of Main Theorems [1](#page-2-1) through [3](#page-3-2) in reverse order. For Main Theorem [3,](#page-3-2) the first question is how semisimplicity of the Zhu algebra affects the representation theory of a strongly finite vertex operator algebra V. If $A(V)$ is semisimple, then non-split extensions between irreducible V -modules with the same lowest conformal weight are impossible, but a semisimple Zhu algebra does not directly rule out non-split extensions when the difference between lowest conformal weights is a non-zero integer. Thus we need a different approach to show that all V-modules are semisimple.

Since every irreducible V-module W has a projective cover $p_W : P_W \rightarrow W$ by [\[Hu5\]](#page-82-2), we can show that the category of V-modules is semisimple by proving p_W is an isomorphism for all irreducible W. In fact, in Subsection [3.1,](#page-19-1) we use semisimplicity of $A(V)$ to show that p_W restricts to an isomorphism on lowest conformal weight spaces, and it then follows that p_W is an isomorphism provided P_W contains W as a submodule (and not just as a quotient). But by [\[ENO\]](#page-82-5), this is indeed a property of projective covers in factorizable finite ribbon categories. So Main Theorem [3](#page-3-2) reduces to Main Theorems [1](#page-2-1) and [2.](#page-3-1)

To prove Main Theorems [1](#page-2-1) and [2,](#page-3-1) we need to establish (under the relevant hypotheses on V and its module category \mathcal{C}), that C is rigid and has nondegenerate braiding. To prove that C is rigid, we need to show in particular that for any V-module W in C, there are suitably-compatible evaluation and coevaluation maps

$$
e_W: W' \boxtimes W \to V, \qquad i_W: V \to W \boxtimes W',
$$

where W' is the contragredient module of W defined in [\[FHL\]](#page-82-6). Since V is self-contragredient, the evaluation can be obtained from the vertex operator Y_W using symmetries of intertwining operators from [\[FHL\]](#page-82-6) and the intertwining operator universal property (see for example [\[HLZ3\]](#page-83-7)) that defines vertex algebraic tensor products. We can similarly obtain a homomorphism $\widetilde{e}_W : W \boxtimes W' \to V$. However, since C is not assumed semisimple, it is not so easy to get maps into vertex algebraic tensor products, which means we do not have a coevaluation a priori. In fact, the a posteriori formula for the coevaluation shown in Remark [5.8](#page-56-1) is rather complicated and not obviously a V -module homomorphism. Thus we are forced to use somewhat indirect methods to prove rigidity.

Given a V-module W with evaluation maps $e_W : W' \boxtimes W \to V$ and $\widetilde{e}_W : W \boxtimes W' \to V$, there is a natural map $(W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W') \rightarrow V$ given by evaluating both the inner and outer pairs of W and W'. This map induces a homomorphism $\Phi_W : W \boxtimes W' \to (W \boxtimes W')',$ and a straightforward tensor-categorical argument shows that W is rigid if and only if Φ_W is an isomorphism. Moreover, Φ_W is an isomorphism if and only if it is injective, since $W \boxtimes W'$ and $(W \boxtimes W')'$ are isomorphic as graded vector spaces. We then use an argument similar to the proof of $[McR1, Theorem 4.7]$ to show that Φ_W is injective if it is "surjective enough," that is, Im Φ_W should contain the image of the contragredient map $(\widetilde{e}_W)' : V \cong V' \to (W \boxtimes W')'.$ In this case, the coevaluation i_W is obtained by composing $(\widetilde{e}_W)'$ with Φ_W^{-1} .
The group that $\text{Im } (\widetilde{\phi}_W)' \subseteq \text{Im } \Phi$ are ability from two propositions of

To prove that $\text{Im}(\widetilde{e}_W)' \subseteq \text{Im} \Phi_W$, we shift from tensor-categorical to vertex-algebraic methods. Specifically, as in the rigidity proofs of [\[Hu3,](#page-82-8) [Hu4,](#page-82-0) [CM\]](#page-81-6), we use the method of Moore-Seiberg $[MS]$ and Huang $[Hu3]$ to derive identities of two-point genus-one correlation functions associated to a C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra V. These functions are (multivalued analytic extensions of) trace functions of the form

$$
\mathop{\rm Tr}\nolimits_X\mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_1})w_1,q_{z_1})\mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_2})w_2,q_{z_2})q_\tau^{L(0)-c/24},
$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2$ are intertwining operators involving V-modules W_1, W_2 , and X with $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$; where z_1, z_2 are punctures on the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ and $\mathcal{U}(q_{z_1}), \mathcal{U}(q_{z_2})$ are certain linear automorphisms of W_1 , W_2 related to local coordinates at the punctures; and where $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For Main Theorem [1,](#page-2-1) we also need pseudo-traces of products of intertwining operators [\[Mi2,](#page-84-5) [Ari,](#page-81-12) [AN,](#page-81-13) [Fi\]](#page-82-14). The convergence of two-point (pseudo-)trace functions in suitable regions was proved in [\[Hu2,](#page-82-15) [Fi\]](#page-82-14), and they extend to multivalued analytic functions with singularities possible only where $z_1 - z_2 \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$.

The Moore-Seiberg-Huang method is based on expanding two-point genus-one functions as series about the singularities $z_1 - z_2 = m + n\tau$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and then using the S-transformation $\tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau}$ to relate these series expansions and derive identities of genus-one functions. In particular, to prove the rigidity assertion of Main Theorem [2,](#page-3-1) one uses the pentagon and triangle identities for tensor categories and the cyclic symmetry of traces to identify a genusone function that involves \tilde{e}_W with another that involves Φ_W for any V-module W (see Theorem [5.6\)](#page-52-0). Using this identity, we then realize non-zero vectors in $\text{Im}(\tilde{e}_W)'$ as the images under Φ_W of rather complicated vectors defined in terms of intertwining operators involving W and modules X appearing in the S-transformation of the character of V (see Remark [5.8\)](#page-56-1). As discussed above, this proves Main Theorem [2.](#page-3-1)

The assumption in Main Theorem [2](#page-3-1) that $S(\text{ch}_V)$ involves only characters of V-modules, and no pseudo-traces, probably should not be necessary. That is, the module category of a strongly finite vertex operator algebra should be rigid without this condition, as is conjectured in [\[Hu6\]](#page-82-3) and known for the triplet vertex operator algebras. But removing this condition may require a better understanding of two-point pseudo-trace functions than is currently available: A key step in proving the identities for Main Theorem [2](#page-3-1) uses cyclic symmetry to switch the order of two intertwining operators in a trace, and it is not clear if this step remains valid in the pseudo-trace generality. See Remark [4.9](#page-44-0) below for a discussion of this issue.

Now to prove Main Theorem [1,](#page-2-1) we need to show that the braiding on the category $\mathcal C$ of V-modules is nondegenerate if $\mathcal C$ is rigid. In particular, the only rigid simple V-module W such that $\mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2 = \text{Id}_{W \boxtimes X}$ for all V-modules X should be V itself. Showing this uses the fact that the series expansions of two-point genus-one functions about the singularities $z_1-z_2 = \pm 1$ contain information about the double braiding on C. More precisely, we prove (in Theorem [5.2\)](#page-48-0) a logarithmic conformal field theory generalization of a well-known formula from rational conformal field theory that relates the S-transformation $S(\text{ch}_W)$ for any V-module W to $S(\ch_V)$ (which may involve pseudo-traces) and the open Hopf link endomorphisms $h_{W,X} \in \text{End}_V(X)$ for V-modules X appearing in $S(\text{ch}_V)$. See [\(5.4\)](#page-47-0) for a graphical definition of $h_{W,X}$. Once this formula is proved, it is easy to see that if $\mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2 = \text{Id}_{W \boxtimes X}$ for all X

appearing in $S(\ch_V)$, then \ch_W is a multiple of \ch_V . As characters of distinct irreducible V -modules are linearly independent, this proves Main Theorem [1.](#page-2-1)

1.4. Outline. We now summarize the remaining contents of this paper. Section [2](#page-8-0) recalls definitions and notation from the theories of tensor categories, vertex operator algebras, and vertex algebraic tensor categories. To prepare for W -algebras in Subsection [6.1,](#page-60-1) Subsections [2.2](#page-9-0) and [2.3](#page-14-0) also contain results on the effects (or not) that different conformal vectors in a vertex operator algebra have on that vertex operator algebra's representation category.

Section [3](#page-19-0) contains the steps in the proofs of Main Theorems [2](#page-3-1) and [3](#page-3-2) that are primarily categorical in nature. In Subsection [3.1,](#page-19-1) we show that if the braided tensor category of modules for an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra V is a factorizable finite ribbon category, and if the Zhu algebra of V is semisimple, then the category of V -modules is semisimple and thus V is rational. In Subsection [3.2,](#page-21-0) we assume V is a self-contragredient vertex operator algebra and $\mathcal C$ is a braided tensor category of V-modules closed under contragredients, and we derive a criterion for V-modules in $\mathcal C$ to be rigid, with duals given by contragredients. This is the most heavily tensor-categorical subsection of the paper, incorporating substantial amounts of graphical calculus computation.

Section [4](#page-30-0) reviews results on convergence and series expansions for (pseudo-)traces of compositions of intertwining operators among modules for a C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra V , which are genus-one correlation functions in the conformal field theory associated to V . Most of these results have appeared previously, especially in [\[Zh,](#page-84-1) [Mi2,](#page-84-5) [Hu2,](#page-82-15) [Hu3,](#page-82-8) [Fi,](#page-82-14) [CM\]](#page-81-6), but we give detailed proofs for some series expansion results, mainly to emphasize which single-valued branches of multivalued analytic functions are equal on intersections of convergence regions. These series expansion results use a standard theorem on analyticity in a parameter for series solutions to regular-singular-point differential equations; for the reader's convenience, we have included a proof of this differential equations theorem in Appendix [A.](#page-68-0)

We prove Main Theorems [1](#page-2-1) through [3](#page-3-2) in Section [5.](#page-45-0) Subsection [5.1](#page-46-0) contains the proof of Main Theorem [1,](#page-2-1) while we prove Main Theorems [2](#page-3-1) and [3](#page-3-2) in Subsection [5.2.](#page-51-0) Actually, we prove somewhat more general theorems in Subsection [5.2:](#page-51-0) we assume that V is the fixed-point subalgebra under a finite-order automorphism of a larger C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra A that has a semisimple Zhu algebra. This generalization is needed to prove rationality for $\frac{1}{2}$ N-graded affine W-algebras in Subsection [6.1,](#page-60-1) and it also recovers Carnahan and Miyamoto's solution [\[CM\]](#page-81-6) to the orbifold rationality problem for finite cyclic automorphism groups.

Section [6](#page-60-0) applies Main Theorems [2](#page-3-1) and [3](#page-3-2) to prove rationality for C_2 -cofinite affine Walgebras and coset vertex operator algebras. In Subsection [6.1,](#page-60-1) we prove the conjecture of Kac-Wakimoto and Arakawa that C_2 -cofinite affine W-algebras obtained via quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction of admissible-level affine vertex operator algebras are strongly rational. For $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded W-algebras, the proof requires case-by-case checking of a Lie algebraic condition on certain nilpotent orbits, which is carried out in Appendix [B.](#page-73-0) Finally, Subsection [6.2](#page-65-0) reduces the coset rationality problem to the problem of C_2 -cofiniteness for the coset.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Thomas Creutzig for discussions on W-algebras and Yi-Zhi Huang for discussions on differential equations and genus-one correlation functions, and I would like to thank Florencia Orosz-Hunziker, Corina Calinescu, and Christopher Sadowski for opportunities to present preliminary versions of this work. I would also like to thank Toshiyuki Abe, Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, Bin Gui, Yi-Zhi Huang, and Jinwei Yang for comments on the literature.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review definitions and notation from the theories of braided tensor categories, vertex operator algebras, and vertex tensor categories.

2.1. Braided tensor categories. In this paper, we use the term $(\mathbb{C}\text{-}linear)$ tensor category to mean a (C-linear) abelian category $\mathcal C$ with a monoidal category structure such that the tensor product bifunctor ⊠ is bilinear on morphisms. Note that this is less restrictive than the definition of tensor category in [\[EGNO\]](#page-82-16). We use l and r to denote the left and right unit isomorphisms in a tensor category \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{A} will denote the associativity isomorphisms. A tensor category C is *braided* if it has natural braiding isomorphisms R that satisfy the hexagon axioms. In this subsection, we will use 1 to denote the unit object of a tensor category, although in later sections the unit object will be a vertex operator algebra denoted V (and 1 will be the vacuum vector in V).

We say that a tensor category C is rigid if every object W in C has a dual (W^*, e_W, i_W) where the *evaluation* morphism $e_W : W^* \boxtimes W \to \mathbf{1}$ and *coevaluation* $i_W : \mathbf{1} \to W \boxtimes W^*$ satisfy the rigidity conditions: both compositions

$$
W \xrightarrow{l_W^{-1}} \mathbf{1} \boxtimes W \xrightarrow{i_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W} (W \boxtimes W^*) \boxtimes W \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W,W^*,W}^{-1}} W \boxtimes (W^* \boxtimes W) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W} W \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{r_W} W
$$

and

$$
W^* \xrightarrow{r_{W^*}^{-1}} W^* \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{W^*} \boxtimes i_W} W^* \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W^*)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W^*, W, W^*}} (W^* \boxtimes W) \boxtimes W^* \xrightarrow{e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W^*}} \mathbf{1} \boxtimes W^* \xrightarrow{l_{W^*}} W^*
$$

are identities. Note that what we have called a dual is more properly termed a left dual, but all of our rigid tensor categories will be ribbon categories, in which left duals can be naturally identified with right duals. Thus we shall make no distinction between left and right duals here. In a rigid tensor category, duals define a contravariant functor from $\mathcal C$ to itself, with the dual $f^*: W_2^* \to W_1^*$ of a morphism $f: W_1 \to W_2$ defined to be the composition

$$
W_2^* \xrightarrow{r_{W_2^*}^{-1}} W_2^* \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{W_2^*} \boxtimes i_{W_1}} W_2^* \boxtimes (W_1 \boxtimes W_1^*) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{W_2^*} \boxtimes (f \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W_1^*})} W_2^* \boxtimes (W_2 \boxtimes W_1^*)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W_2^*, W_2, W_1^*}} (W_2^* \boxtimes W_2) \boxtimes W_1^* \xrightarrow{e_{W_2} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}^*} \mathbf{1} \boxtimes W_1^* \xrightarrow{l_{W_1^*}} W_1^*.
$$

We will, however, use a different characterization of dual morphisms in Subsection [3.2](#page-21-0) below.

In a braided tensor category $\mathcal C$, the monodromy is the natural double braiding isomorphism, which we denote \mathcal{R}^2 by slight abuse of notation, that is, \mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}^2 is the composition

$$
W_1\boxtimes W_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}} W_2\boxtimes W_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{W_2,W_1}} W_1\boxtimes W_2
$$

for objects W_1 and W_2 in C. We then define a twist to be a natural isomorphism θ : Id_C \rightarrow Id_C such that $\theta_1 = \text{Id}_1$ and the *balancing equation* holds:

$$
\theta_{W_1 \boxtimes W_2} = \mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}^2 \circ (\theta_{W_1} \boxtimes \theta_{W_2})
$$

for objects W_1 and W_2 in C. If C is a rigid braided tensor category with twist θ , we say that C is a ribbon category if $\theta_{W^*} = \theta_W^*$ for all objects W in C.

Now following [\[EGNO\]](#page-82-16), we define the notion of finite tensor category as follows:

Definition 2.1. A C-linear tensor category C is *finite* if the following conditions hold:

(1) The category $\mathcal C$ is finite as a $\mathbb C$ -linear abelian category. This means:

- (a) All morphism spaces in $\mathcal C$ are finite dimensional as $\mathbb C$ -vector spaces.
- (b) Every object of $\mathcal C$ has finite length.
- (c) There are finitely many equivalence classes of simple objects in \mathcal{C} .
- (d) Every simple object in $\mathcal C$ has a projective cover.
- (2) The tensor category C is rigid, and moreover $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{1} = \mathbb{C} \text{Id}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

A finite braided ribbon category is modular if it is semisimple and its braiding is nondegenerate. There are several equivalent ways to define nondegeneracy, and Shimizu has recently shown [\[Sh\]](#page-84-2) that these formulations, suitably interpreted, remain equivalent when the semisimplicity assumption is dropped. We shall use the terminology of [\[ENO\]](#page-82-5) and call a finite braided ribbon category that satisfies any of these nondegeneracy conditions *factoriz*able; for our purposes, the most convenient definition of nondegeneracy is the following:

Definition 2.2. A *factorizable finite ribbon category* is a finite braided ribbon category \mathcal{C} with trivial Müger center. That is, if an object W in C satisfies $\mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2 = \text{Id}_{W\boxtimes X}$ for all objects X in C, then $W \cong \mathbf{1}^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A modular tensor category is a semisimple factorizable finite ribbon category.

Remark 2.3. Factorizable finite ribbon categories could also be called non-semisimple modular tensor categories, but we avoid this terminology because most of the factorizable finite ribbon categories we shall consider will turn out to be semisimple (though they will not be so a priori).

2.2. Vertex operator algebras and modules. We use the definition of vertex operator algebra $(V, Y_V, 1, \omega)$ as well as standard vertex algebraic notation from [\[FLM,](#page-82-17) [LL\]](#page-83-9), except that in general we allow V to be $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by conformal weights: $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$. Note, however, that our main focus will be on N-graded vertex operator algebras. For $v \in V_{(n)}$, we write wt $v = n$. The linear map

$$
Y_V : V \otimes V \to V((x))
$$

$$
u \otimes v \mapsto Y_V(u, x)v = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n v x^{-n-1}
$$

is the vertex operator of V, $\mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$ is the vacuum vector of V, and $\omega \in V_{(2)}$ is the conformal vector. The modes of the vertex operator

$$
Y(\omega, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) x^{-n-2}
$$

span a representation of the Virasoro Lie algebra on V with *central charge* $c \in \mathbb{C}$, that is,

$$
[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n,0}c
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $V_{(n)}$ is the $L(0)$ -eigenspace with $L(0)$ -eigenvalue n, that is, $L(0)v = (wt v)v$ for homogeneous $v \in V$.

We recall the notion of grading-restricted generalized V-module:

Definition 2.4. Let V be a vertex operator algebra. A *grading-restricted generalized* V module (W, Y_W) is a graded vector space $W = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]}$ equipped with a vertex operator

$$
Y_W : V \to (\text{End } W)[[x, x^{-1}]]
$$

$$
v \mapsto Y_W(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}
$$

which satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) The grading restriction conditions: For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $W_{[h+n]} = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently negative, and moreover dim $W_{[h]} < \infty$.
- (2) Lower truncation: For all $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, $v_nw = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently positive, that is, $Y(v, x)w \in W((x))$.
- (3) The vacuum property: $Y_W(1, x) = \text{Id}_W$.
- (4) The *Jacobi identity*: For $v_1, v_2 \in V$,

$$
x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_W(v_1, x_1)Y_W(v_2, x_2) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right)Y_W(v_2, x_2)Y_W(v_1, x_1)
$$

= $x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right)Y_W(Y_V(v_1, x_0)v_2, x_2).$

- (5) The $L(0)$ -grading condition: For all $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $W_{[h]}$ is the generalized $L(0)$ -eigenspace with generalized eigenvalue h.
- (6) The $L(-1)$ -derivative property: For $v \in V$,

$$
\frac{d}{dx}Y_W(v,x) = Y_W(L(-1)v,x).
$$

We shall frequently abbreviate the term "grading-restricted generalized V-module" as "Vmodule," though note that some definitions require $L(0)$ to act semisimply on a V-module. In fact, $L(0)$ necessarily acts semisimply on irreducible V-modules, so we shall sometimes speak of "irreducible grading-restricted V-modules."

Given a grading-restricted generalized V-module W , the *contragredient* V-module is constructed on the graded dual $W' = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]}^*$ as in [\[FHL\]](#page-82-6):

$$
\langle Y_{W'}(v,x)w',w\rangle = \langle w', Y_W(e^{xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)}v,x^{-1})w\rangle \tag{2.1}
$$

for $v \in V$, $w' \in W'$, and $w \in W$.

A weak V -module W satisfies all axioms of a grading-restricted generalized V -module except for all properties related to the grading. That is, a weak V-module need not be graded by generalized $L(0)$ -eigenvalues. A weak V-module W is N-gradable, or admissible, if it admits an N-grading $\overline{W} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W(n)$ such that

$$
v_n W(m) \subseteq W(m + wt v - n - 1)
$$

for all homogeneous $v \in V$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Such an N-grading need not be unique; all grading-restricted generalized V -modules are N-gradable since a suitable N-grading can be constructed out of the conformal weight \mathbb{C} -grading. For $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator algebras, the notion of $\frac{1}{2}N$ -gradable weak V-module is defined similarly.

In Subsection [6.1,](#page-60-1) we will study affine W-algebras which are \mathbb{Z} - or $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded with respect to more than one conformal vector. Thus suppose ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are two conformal vectors in a vertex operator algebra V whose zero-modes $L(0)$ and $L(0)$, respectively, both give V (possibly different) $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings. Since both conformal vectors satisfy the L(-1)-derivative property of a vertex operator algebra, we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{x} Y_{V}(\omega, x) = L(-1) = \operatorname{Res}_{x} Y_{V}(\widetilde{\omega}, x)
$$

with $L(-1)v = v_{-2}1$ for $v \in V$ (see for example [\[LL,](#page-83-9) Proposition 3.1.18]). But we also want

$$
\operatorname{Res}_x Y_W(\omega, x) = \operatorname{Res}_x Y_W(\widetilde{\omega}, x)
$$

on any weak V-module W. In fact the $L(-1)$ -derivative property for W,

$$
Y_W(v_{-2}\mathbf{1},x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y_W(v,x),
$$

implies that indeed $\text{Res}_x Y_W(\tilde{\omega}-\omega,x)=0$ if $\tilde{\omega}=\omega+v_{-2}\mathbf{1}$ for some $v\in V$. Thus we will always assume this relation between different conformal vectors in a vertex operator algebra.

We will also want generalized $L(0)$ - and $L(0)$ -eigenvalue gradings of weak V-modules to be compatible, and for this it will be sufficient to assume

$$
[L(0),L(0)]=0
$$

on any weak V-module. Given $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2}\mathbf{1}$ for some $v \in V$, the Jacobi identity implies that

$$
[L(0), L(0)] = [v_0, L(0)] = (v_0 \omega)_1.
$$

So it is enough to assume $v_0 \omega = 0$. Under this assumption, we have:

Lemma 2.5. Let V be a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator algebra with respect to either of two conformal vectors ω and $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2} \mathbf{1}$, where $v \in V$ satisfies $v_0 \omega = 0$. If W is a gradingrestricted generalized V-module with respect to ω , then W is the direct sum of simultaneous generalized $L(0)$ - and $\widetilde{L}(0)$ -eigenspaces, where

$$
L(0) = \operatorname{Res}_{x} x Y_{W}(\omega, x), \quad \widetilde{L}(0) = \operatorname{Res}_{x} x Y_{W}(\widetilde{\omega}, x).
$$

Proof. By hypothesis, $W = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]}$ where $W_{[h]}$ is the finite-dimensional generalized $L(0)$ eigenspace with generalized eigenvalue h. Then because our assumptions on $\tilde{\omega}$ imply that $L(0)$ commutes with $L(0)$, each $W_{[h]}$ is $L(0)$ -stable. Thus because $W_{[h]}$ is finite dimensional, it decomposes as the direct sum of generalized $\overline{L}(0)$ -eigenspaces.

Lemma [2.5](#page-11-0) will show that the graded dual vector space of a grading-restricted generalized V -module is independent of the conformal vector. Thus in the setting of the lemma, let W be a grading-restricted generalized V-module with respect to both conformal vectors ω and $\tilde{\omega}$, with generalized $L(0)$ -eigenspace decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]}$ and generalized $\widetilde{L}(0)$ -eigenspace decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{\widetilde{h} \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(\widetilde{h})}$. Then both graded duals $\bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]}^*$ and $\bigoplus_{\widetilde{h}\in\mathbb{C}}W_{(\widetilde{h})}^*$ embed into the full dual vector space W^* in the obvious way, and we have:

Proposition 2.6. In the setting of Lemma [2.5,](#page-11-0) let W be a grading-restricted generalized V-module with respect to both conformal vectors ω and $\tilde{\omega}$. Then the graded duals of W with respect to the generalized $L(0)$ - and $L(0)$ -eigenvalue gradings embed as the same vector subspace of W^* .

Proof. By Lemma [2.5,](#page-11-0) $W = \bigoplus_{h,\widetilde{h} \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]} \cap W_{(\widetilde{h})}$, so for any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $W_{[h]} = \bigoplus_{\widetilde{h} \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]} \cap W_{(\widetilde{h})}$. Since dim $W_{[h]} < \infty$, the set of \tilde{h} such that $W_{[h]} \cap W_{(\tilde{h})} \neq 0$ is finite, so as subspaces of W^* ,

$$
W^*_{[h]} = \bigoplus_{\widetilde{h} \in \mathbb{C}} (W_{[h]} \cap W_{(\widetilde{h})})^* \subseteq \bigoplus_{\widetilde{h} \in \mathbb{C}} W^*_{(\widetilde{h})}.
$$

Thus $\bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{C}}W^*_{[h]}\subseteq \bigoplus_{\widetilde{h}\in\mathbb{C}}W^*_{(\widetilde{h})}$ as subspaces of W^* , and $\bigoplus_{\widetilde{h}\in\mathbb{C}}W^*_{(\widetilde{h})}\subseteq \bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{C}}W^*_{[h]}$ similarly. \Box

Given a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator algebra $(V, Y_V, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$, there is a well-known construction of a conformal vector $\tilde{\omega}$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma [2.5:](#page-11-0) we take v such that

$$
L(n)v = \delta_{n,0}v, \quad v_n v = k\delta_{n,1}\mathbf{1}
$$
\n
$$
(2.2)
$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and for some $k \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, v is a Virasoro primary vector of conformal weight 1 (for the representation of the Virasoro algebra on V induced by ω), and moreover v generates a Heisenberg vertex subalgebra of V of level k. The condition $v_0 \omega = 0$ holds by skew-symmetry for vertex operator algebras (see for example [\[LL,](#page-83-9) Proposition 3.1.19]):

$$
v_0 \omega = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{L(-1)^i}{i!} \omega_i v = -L(-1)v + L(-1)L(0)v = 0.
$$

Now $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2} \mathbf{1}$ has vertex operator $Y_V(\tilde{\omega}, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{L}(n) x^{-n-2}$ where

$$
\widetilde{L}(n) = L(n) - (n+1)v_n
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a straightforward computation shows that the operators $\tilde{L}(n)$ satisfy the Virasoro algebra commutation relations with central charge $c - 12k$ (where c is the central charge of V with respect to ω). In particular, as long as V is still suitably $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by $L(0)$ -eigenvalues, $\tilde{\omega}$ is another conformal vector in V.

We now discuss the Zhu algebra of a vertex operator algebra. For V an N-graded vertex operator algebra, Zhu showed $[Z_h]$ that irreducible N-gradable weak V-modules are in oneto-one correspondence with irreducible modules for a certain associative algebra $A(V)$. As a vector space, $A(V) = V/O(V)$ where

$$
O(V) = \text{span}\left\{ \text{Res}_x x^{-2} Y_V \big((1+x)^{L(0)} u, x \big) v \mid u, v \in V \right\},\
$$

and the associative product on $A(V)$ is induced from the product

$$
u * v = \operatorname{Res}_{x} x^{-1} Y_{V} ((1+x)^{L(0)} u, x) v.
$$

on V. The unit of $A(V)$ is $1 + O(V)$. If $W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W(n)$ is an N-gradable weak V-module, then $[\mathbf{Z}h]$, Theorem 2.1.2 shows that the top level $W(0)$ is an $A(V)$ -module with action

$$
(v + O(V)) \cdot w = o(v)w
$$

for $v \in V$ and $w \in W(0)$, where $o(v) = \text{Res}_x x^{-1} Y_W(x^{L(0)}v, x)$ is the degree-preserving component of $Y_W(v, x)$. If v is homogeneous, then $o(v) = v_{wt v-1}$. In particular, an indecomposable grading-restricted generalized V -module has a conformal weight grading of the form $W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{[h_W + n]}$ for a unique *conformal dimension* $h_W \in \mathbb{C}$, so the lowest conformal weight space $W_{[h_W]}$ is a finite-dimensional $A(V)$ -module. If W is an irreducible V-module, then $W_{[h_W]}$ is an irreducible $A(V)$ -module.

The Zhu algebra $A(V)$ can be defined in the same way when V is a $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded vertex operator algebra, but now there is one-to-one correspondence between irreducible $A(V)$ -modules and irreducible N-gradable weak Ramond twisted V-modules [\[DSK\]](#page-82-18). These are twisted V-modules for the automorphism of V that acts as the identity on the N-graded vertex operator subalgebra and as -1 on the $(N + \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$)-graded subspace.

Because the definition of the Zhu algebra of V depends on $L(0)$, and thus also on the choice of conformal vector in V, we will sometimes denote it by $A(V, \omega)$ to emphasize this dependence. However:

Proposition 2.7. Let V be a vertex operator algebra which is $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded with respect to two conformal vectors ω and $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2} \mathbf{1}$, where $v \in V$ satisfies [\(2.2\)](#page-11-1). Then the Zhu algebras $A(V, \omega)$ and $A(V, \widetilde{\omega})$ are isomorphic as unital associative algebras.

See [\[Ara4,](#page-81-8) Section 5] for a proof of this proposition. The isomorphism $A(V,\tilde{\omega}) \to A(V,\omega)$ is induced by Li's ∆-operator

$$
\Delta(v,1) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} v_n\right)
$$

introduced in [\[Li2,](#page-83-10) Section 2].

A $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator algebra V is *strongly rational* if it satisfies the following:

- (1) V has positive energy, or CFT type: $V_{(n)} = 0$ for all $n < 0$ and $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}$.
- (2) V is self-contragredient: As V-modules, $V \cong V'$; equivalently, there is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$.
- (3) V is C_2 -cofinite: dim $V/C_2(V) < \infty$, where

$$
C_2(V) = \text{span} \{u_{-2}v \mid u, v \in V\}.
$$

(4) *V* is *rational*: Every $\frac{1}{2}N$ -gradable weak *V*-module is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible grading-restricted V -modules.

The first two conditions imply that V is a simple vertex operator algebra: Any proper ideal $I \subsetneq V$ must intersect the generating subspace $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}1$ trivially. From the characterization of invariant bilinear forms on V in $[L_i, T_i]$, this means that I is contained in the radical of any such bilinear form. But V has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form since V is self-contragredient, so $I = 0$ and V is simple.

If we drop rationality from the definition of strongly rational vertex operator algebra, we get vertex operator algebras which are called "strongly finite" in [\[CG\]](#page-81-1). Since we will sometimes need to relax the positive energy condition, in this paper, we will say that a vertex operator algebra is *strongly finite* if it is N-graded, simple, self-contragredient, and C_2 -cofinite.

Zhu showed in $[Zh]$, Theorem 2.2.3 that if V is rational, then $A(V)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple associative algebra. If V is C_2 -cofinite, then $A(V)$ is finite dimensional [\[DLM2,](#page-82-9) Proposition 3.6] but not necessarily semisimple. Our main result in this paper will be a partial converse of the first statement: If V is strongly finite and $A(V)$ is semisimple, then V is rational. Thus we now review some properties of N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras. The following spanning set result is [\[Mi2,](#page-84-5) Lemma 2.4], and the $W = V$, $w = 1$ case is [\[GN,](#page-82-7) Proposition 8]:

Lemma 2.8. Let V be an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra and let $T \subseteq V$ be a finite-dimensional graded subspace such that $V = T + C_2(V)$. Then for any weak V-module W and any $w \in W$, the submodule generated by w has the following spanning set:

$$
\langle w \rangle = \text{span}\{v_{n_1}^{(1)} \cdots v_{n_k}^{(k)} w \mid v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(k)} \in T, n_1 < \ldots < n_k\}.
$$

In particular,

$$
V = \text{span}\{v_{-n_1}^{(1)} \cdots v_{-n_k}^{(k)} \mathbf{1} \mid v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(k)} \in T, n_1 > \cdots > n_k > 0\}.
$$

Remark 2.9. The proof of Lemma [2.8](#page-13-0) given in [\[Mi2\]](#page-84-5) remains valid when V is a $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra, and also when the conformal weight spaces of V are a priori possibly infinite dimensional. Then the $W = V$ case of Lemma [2.8](#page-13-0) shows that the conformal weight spaces of a $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra are actually always finite dimensional.

Now as defined in [\[CM\]](#page-81-6), V is C_2^0 -cofinite if dim $V/C_2^0(V) < \infty$, where

$$
C_2^0(V)=\text{span}\bigg\{u_{-2}v\ \bigg|\ u\in \bigoplus_{n>0}V_{(n)}, v\in V\bigg\}.
$$

Although C_2^0 -cofiniteness might appear to be stronger than C_2 -cofiniteness in general, Lemma [2.8](#page-13-0) shows they are equivalent at least for N-graded vertex operator algebras:

Lemma 2.10. If V is an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra, then V is C_2^0 -cofinite.

Proof. Let $T \subseteq V$ be as in Lemma [2.8,](#page-13-0) so that Lemma [2.8](#page-13-0) shows that

$$
V = \widetilde{T} + \sum_{n \ge 3} \text{span}\{u_{-n}v \mid u \in T, v \in V\},\
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{T} = \text{span}\{v_{-n_1}^{(1)} \cdots v_{-n_k}^{(k)} \mathbf{1} \mid v^{(1)}, \cdots, v^{(k)} \in T, 3 > n_1 > \cdots > n_k > 0\}
$$

is finite dimensional. Now since $u_{-n} = (n-1)(L(-1)u)_{-n+1}$ by the $L(-1)$ -derivative property and since V is N-graded,

$$
\mathrm{span}\{u_{-n}v \mid u \in T, v \in V\} \subseteq C_2^0(V)
$$

for $n \geq 3$. It follows that V is C_2^0 -cofinite.

As another consequence of Lemma [2.8,](#page-13-0) as well as results from [\[DLM2,](#page-82-9) [Mi2,](#page-84-5) [Hu5\]](#page-82-2), we now show that if V is C_2 -cofinite and N-graded (with respect to some conformal vector), then the grading-restricted generalized V-module category depends only on V as a vertex algebra, and not on the choice of conformal vector in V :

Proposition 2.11. If V is an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra, then the category of grading-restricted generalized V -modules equals the category of finitely-generated weak V modules.

Proof. Since V is C_2 -cofinite, $A(V)$ is finite dimensional by $DLM2$, Proposition 3.6 (see also [\[Mi2,](#page-84-5) Theorem 2.5] and [\[Hu5,](#page-82-2) Proposition 2.14]). Then [Hu5, Corollary 3.16] shows that any grading-restricted generalized V -module has finite length and thus is finitely generated.

Conversely, if W is a finitely generated weak V-module, then because V is N-graded and C_2 -cofinite, Mi2, Theorem 2.7(3) implies that W has a finite generating set consisting of generalized $L(0)$ -eigenvectors. Then the spanning set of Lemma [2.8](#page-13-0) shows that the submodule of W generated by each of the finitely many homogeneous generators is a generalized V module with a lower bound on the conformal weights (see for example the second assertion in [\[Mi2,](#page-84-5) Lemma 2.4]) and such that each conformal weight space is finite dimensional. Thus W is a grading-restricted generalized V-module since it is a finite sum of such submodules. \square

2.3. Vertex tensor categories. Huang showed in $[Hu5]$ that the category of gradingrestricted generalized modules for a positive-energy C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra is a finite abelian category and is also a braided tensor category as constructed in [\[HLZ1\]](#page-83-0)- [\[HLZ8\]](#page-83-1). Then in [\[CM,](#page-81-6) Lemma 3.3], Carnahan and Miyamoto checked that the results of [\[Hu5\]](#page-82-2) also apply to N-graded C_2^0 -cofinite vertex operator algebras. So by Lemma [2.10,](#page-14-1) the full module category of an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra is a vertex algebraic braided tensor category. In this subsection, we review this braided tensor category structure.

Tensor products of modules for a vertex operator algebra V are defined in terms of (possibly logarithmic) intertwining operators:

Definition 2.12. Let W_1 , W_2 , and W_3 be grading-restricted generalized V-modules. An intertwining operator of type ${W_3 \choose W_1 W_2}$ is a linear map

$$
\mathcal{Y}: W_1 \otimes W_2 \to W_3[\log x] \{x\}
$$

$$
w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (w_1)_{h,k} w_2 x^{-h-1} (\log x)^k
$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1) Lower truncation: For any $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, and $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $(w_1)_{h+n,k}w_2 = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, independently of k .
- (2) The *Jacobi identity*: For $v \in V$ and $w_1 \in W_1$,

$$
x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_{W_3}(v, x_1)\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x_2) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right)\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x_2)Y_{W_2}(v, x_1)
$$

= $x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right)\mathcal{Y}(Y_{W_1}(v, x_0)w, x_2).$

(3) The $L(-1)$ -derivative property: For $w_1 \in W_1$,

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{Y}(w_1,x) = \mathcal{Y}(L(-1)w_1,x).
$$

For any V-module W, the vertex operator Y_W is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W}{V_W}$. If $f_i: W_i \to X_i$ are V-module homomorphisms for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\mathcal Y$ is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{X_1 X_2}$, then $f_3 \circ \mathcal{Y} \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{X_3}{W_1 W_2}$. We can also obtain new intertwining operators from old ones using the skew-symmetry and adjoint constructions of [\[FHL,](#page-82-6) [HL2,](#page-83-12) [HLZ2\]](#page-83-13): Let \mathcal{Y} be an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$ and fix $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We then define an intertwining operator $\Omega_r(\mathcal{Y})$ of type ${W_3 \choose W_2 W_1}$ by

$$
\Omega_r(\mathcal{Y})(w_2, x)w_1 = e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}(w_1, e^{(2r+1)\pi i}x)
$$
\n(2.3)

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$. We can also define $A_r(\mathcal{Y})$ of type ${W'_2 \choose W_1 W'_3}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\langle A_r(\mathcal{Y})(w_1, x)w_3', w_2 \rangle = \langle w_3', \mathcal{Y}(e^{xL(1)}e^{(2r+1)\pi i L(0)}x^{-2L(0)}w_1, x^{-1})w_2 \rangle \tag{2.4}
$$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2' \in W_2'$, and $w_3' \in W_3'$. For a V-module W, $A_r(Y_W)$ and $\Omega_r(Y_W)$ are independent of r, so we will denote $\Omega_r(Y_W)$ simply by $\Omega(Y_W)$ (and $A_r(Y_W) = Y_{W'}$).

Definition 2.13. Let \mathcal{C} be a category of grading-restricted generalized V-modules and let W₁ and W₂ be modules in C. A tensor product of W₁ and W₂ in C is a pair $(W_1 \boxtimes W_2, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}),$ with $W_1 \boxtimes W_2$ a V-module in C and \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2} an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_1 \boxtimes W_2 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$, that satisfies the following universal property: If W_3 is a V-module in C and Y is an intertwining operator of type ${W_3 \choose W_1 W_2}$, then there is a unique V-module homomorphism $f: W_1 \boxtimes W_2 \to W_3$ such that $\mathcal{Y} = f \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}$.

Remark 2.14. Given an arbitrary category $\mathcal C$ of V-modules, it is not typically clear that tensor products of modules in $\mathcal C$ exist.

Remark 2.15. From a geometric point of view, it is more natural to consider $P(z)$ -tensor products, defined in $[HLZ3]$ in terms of $P(z)$ -intertwining maps, which are intertwining operators with the formal variable x suitably specialized to a non-zero complex number z . This is because the geometric formulation of conformal field theory introduced by Segal [\[Se\]](#page-84-7) and Vafa [\[Va\]](#page-84-8) requires a tensor product functor associated to each point in the moduli space of spheres with two positively-oriented punctures, one negatively-oriented puncture, and local coordinates at the punctures. In particular, $P(z)$ is the sphere with positively-oriented punctures at 0 and z, negatively-oriented puncture at ∞ , and local coordinates $w \mapsto w$, $w \mapsto w - z$, $w \mapsto 1/w$ at the punctures.

A vertex tensor category [\[HL1\]](#page-83-14) is a category of V -modules with a tensor product functor for each conformal equivalence class of spheres with punctures and local coordinates, together with suitable natural isomorphisms that satisfy suitable coherence properties. As shown in [\[HLZ8\]](#page-83-1), vertex tensor category structure on a category $\mathcal C$ of V-modules induces a braided tensor category structure that can be completely described using the $P(z)$ -tensor products for $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Moreover, all $P(z)$ -tensor products are naturally isomorphic to each other via parallel transport isomorphisms. In particular, we may take the tensor product functor for the braided tensor category $\mathcal C$ to be the $P(1)$ -tensor product, and then tensor product modules satisfy the universal property of Definition [2.13.](#page-15-0) We describe this braided tensor category structure in more detail below.

Fix a category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V-modules that contains V itself and is closed under tensor products, that is, every pair of modules W_1 and W_2 in C has a tensor product $(W_1 \boxtimes W_2, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2})$ in C. It is then easy to show that tensor products define a functor $\mathbb{\boxtimes} : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, that V is a unit object in C, and that the tensor product is commutative:

• Given morphisms $f_1: W_1 \to X_1$ and $f_2: W_2 \to X_2$ in C, the tensor product

$$
f_1 \boxtimes f_2 : W_1 \boxtimes W_2 \to X_1 \boxtimes X_2
$$

is the unique morphism induced by the intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}_{X_1,X_2} \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2)$ of type $\binom{X_1 \boxtimes X_2}{W_1 W_2}$ and the universal property of $(W_1 \boxtimes W_2, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2})$. That is,

$$
(f_1 \boxtimes f_2) (\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,x)w_2) = \mathcal{Y}_{X_1,X_2}(f_1(w_1),x) f_2(w_2)
$$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$.

• Given a V-module (W, Y_W) in C, the left unit isomorphism $l_W : V \boxtimes W \rightarrow W$ is induced by the intertwining operator Y_W and the universal property of $(V \boxtimes W, \mathcal{Y}_{V,W})$:

$$
l_W(\mathcal{Y}_{V,W}(v,x)w) = Y_W(v,x)w
$$

for $v \in V$, $w \in W$. Similarly, the right unit isomorphism $r_W : W \boxtimes V \to W$ is induced by the intertwining operator $\Omega(Y_W)$:

$$
r_W(\mathcal{Y}_{W,V}(w,x)v) = \Omega(Y_W)(w,x)v = e^{xL(-1)}Y_W(v,-x)w
$$

for $v \in V$, $w \in W$.

• Given modules W_1 and W_2 in \mathcal{C} , the braiding isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}: W_1\boxtimes W_2\to W_2\boxtimes W_1
$$

is induced by the intertwining operator $\Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}_{W_2,W_1})$ of type ${W_2 \boxtimes W_1 \choose W_1\,W_2}$ and the universal property of $(W_1 \boxtimes W_2, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2})$:

 $\mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,x)w_2\right)=\Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}_{W_2,W_1})(w_1,x)w_2=e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}_{W_2,W_1}(w_2,e^{\pi i}x)w_1$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$. The inverse braiding isomorphism is characterized by

$$
\mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{W_2,W_1}(w_2,x)w_1) = e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,e^{-\pi i}x)w_2,
$$

and the monodromy isomorphism by

 $\mathcal{R}_{W_1,W_2}^2(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,x)w_2)=\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,e^{2\pi i}x)w_2,$ for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$.

• The category C also has a twist given by $\theta_W = e^{2\pi i L(0)}$ for W in C. The twist satisfies the balancing equation by the $L(0)$ -conjugation property for intertwining operators [\[HLZ2,](#page-83-13) Proposition 3.36(b)]. It also satisfies $\theta_V = \text{Id}_V$ as long as V is Z-graded.

Associativity isomorphisms in $\mathcal C$ are much more difficult to construct and do not follow simply from the universal property of tensor products. Their description, assuming they exist, requires some analytic preparation.

Given a V-module $W = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]},$ the *algebraic completion* is $\overline{W} = \prod_{h \in \mathbb{C}} W_{[h]}$; it is the vector space dual of the graded dual W', since each conformal weight space $\hat{W}_{[h]}$ is finite dimensional. For $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $\pi_h : \overline{W} \to W_{[h]}$ denotes the canonical projection. Then if $\mathcal Y$ is a V-module intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$, the substitution $x \mapsto z$ in \mathcal{Y} for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ induces a linear map

$$
I_{\mathcal{Y}}: W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_3}
$$

$$
w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2,
$$

called a $P(z)$ -intertwining map (see for instance [\[HLZ3\]](#page-83-7)); since the intertwining operator $\mathcal Y$ may involve non-integral powers of x, as well as powers of log x, we need to choose a branch of log z to make the substitution $x \mapsto z$ precise. In general, to emphasize that we are substituting formal variables using some branch of logarithm $\ell(z)$, we use the notation $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, e^{\ell(z)})w_2$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{Y}(w_1, e^{\ell(z)})w_2 = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2\big|_{x^h = e^{h\ell(z)}, \log x = \ell(z)}.
$$

We will most frequently use the principal branch of logarithm on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, which we will denote by $\log z$, that is,

$$
\log z = \ln |z| + i \arg z \tag{2.5}
$$

where $-\pi < \arg z \leq \pi$.

Now existence of associativity isomorphisms for tensor products in a category $\mathcal C$ of Vmodules requires the convergence of products and iterates of intertwining operators. Given V-modules W_1 , W_2 , W_3 , W_4 , M and intertwining operators \mathcal{Y}_1 , \mathcal{Y}_2 of types $\binom{W_4}{W_1 M}$ and $\binom{M}{W_2 W_3}$, respectively, we say that the product of \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 converges if the series

$$
\sum_{h\in\mathbb{C}}\left\langle w_4',\mathcal{Y}_1(w_1,z_1)\pi_h(\mathcal{Y}_2(w_2,z_2)w_3)\right\rangle
$$

converges absolutely for all $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, $w_3 \in W_3$, $w'_4 \in W'_4$ and for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, where the substitutions $x_1 \mapsto z_1, x_2 \mapsto z_2$ are accomplished using any choices for branch of logarithm. If the product of \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 converges, then it defines an element

$$
\mathcal{Y}_1(w_1,z_1)\mathcal{Y}_2(w_2,z_2)w_3 = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{C}} \langle \cdot, \mathcal{Y}_1(w_1,z_1)\pi_h(\mathcal{Y}_2(w_2,z_2)w_3) \rangle \in (W'_4)^* = \overline{W_4}.
$$

Moreover, $[HLZ5,$ Proposition 7.20] shows that for any branches of logarithm ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 defined on simply-connected domains of \mathbb{C}^{\times} , the equality

$$
\langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}_1(w_1, e^{\ell_1(z_1)}) \mathcal{Y}_2(w_2, e^{\ell_2(z_2)}) w_3 \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \langle w_4', (w_1)_{h_1, k_1} [(w_2)_{h_2, k_2} w_3] \rangle e^{h_1 \ell_1(z_1)} (\log z_1)^{k_1} e^{h_2 \ell_2(z_2)} (\log z_2)^{k_2}
$$

holds, so that in particular the product of \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 defines a multivalued analytic function on the region $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$.

Similarly, suppose \mathcal{Y}^1 and \mathcal{Y}^2 are intertwining operators of types $\binom{W_4}{MW_3}$ and $\binom{W}{W_1 W_2}$, respectively. Then we say that the iterate of \mathcal{Y}^1 and \mathcal{Y}^2 converges if the series

$$
\mathcal{Y}^{1}(\mathcal{Y}^{2}(w_{1}, z_{0})w_{2}, z_{2})w_{3} = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{C}} \langle \cdot, \mathcal{Y}^{1}(\pi_{h}(\mathcal{Y}^{2}(w_{1}, z_{0})w_{2}), z_{2})w_{3} \rangle \in (W_{4}')^{*} = \overline{W_{4}}
$$

converges absolutely for $z_0, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $|z_2| > |z_0| > 0$. As for products, if the iterate of \mathcal{Y}^1 and \mathcal{Y}^2 converges, then it defines a multivalued analytic function on the region $|z_2| > |z_0| > 0.$

Now we can describe the associativity isomorphisms in a braided tensor category $\mathcal C$ of V -modules. The basic assumption needed for their existence is convergence of products and iterates of intertwining operators among V-modules in \mathcal{C} ; for the remaining conditions, see the assumptions in [\[HLZ6,](#page-83-16) [HLZ8\]](#page-83-1).

• Fix $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r_1 > r_2 > r_1 - r_2 > 0$. Then for modules W_1, W_2 , and W_3 in \mathcal{C} , the associativity isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,W_3}: W_1 \boxtimes (W_2 \boxtimes W_3) \to (W_1 \boxtimes W_2) \boxtimes W_3
$$

is characterized by the relation

$$
\overline{\mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,W_3}}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2\boxtimes W_3}(w_1, e^{\ln r_1})\mathcal{Y}_{W_2,W_3}(w_2, e^{\ln r_2})w_3\right)
$$

= $\mathcal{Y}_{W_1\boxtimes W_2,W_3}(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1, e^{\ln (r_1-r_2)})w_2, e^{\ln r_2})w_3$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, $w_3 \in W_3$, where $\overline{\mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,W_3}}$ denotes the obvious extension of $\mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,W_3}$ to algebraic completions.

Remark 2.16. The associativity isomorphisms are independent of the choice of $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ because the subset of $(r_1, r_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^{\times})^2$ defined by the condition $r_1 > r_2 > r_1 - r_2 > 0$ is simply connected (see [\[CKM1,](#page-81-5) Proposition 3.32]).

The general assumptions on the category $\mathcal C$ of V-modules that were used in [\[HLZ1\]](#page-83-0)-[\[HLZ8\]](#page-83-1) to construct braided tensor category structure are rather extensive. Nevertheless, as mentioned above, $[Hu5]$ shows that they hold when V is N-graded C_2 -cofinite and C is the full category of grading-restricted generalized V-modules. Thus in this case $\mathcal C$ is a braided tensor category with a twist, as described above.

Sometimes we will need to consider V as an N-graded vertex operator algebra with respect to two different conformal vectors ω and $\tilde{\omega}$, so we need to consider whether the braided tensor category C depends on the conformal vector. The operator $L(0)$ appears in the definition of the category $\mathcal C$ itself and in the definition of graded dual vector spaces and algebraic completions, while $L(-1)$ appears in the $L(-1)$ -derivative property for intertwining operators and the braiding isomorphisms. However, if V is C_2 -cofinite and N-graded with respect to either conformal vector, Proposition [2.11](#page-14-2) shows that the category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V -modules is independent of the conformal vector. Moreover, as noted in the previous subsection, the operator $L(-1)$ on V-modules in C is also independent of the conformal vector provided $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2}$ 1 for some $v \in V$. Finally, Lemma [2.5](#page-11-0) and Proposition [2.6](#page-11-2) show that algebraic completions and graded duals of V-modules in $\mathcal C$ do not depend on which $L(0)$ we use, provided that $v_0 \omega = 0$. Thus we have:

Proposition 2.17. Let V be a C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra which is N-graded with respect to either of two conformal vectors ω and $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2}$, where $v \in V$ satisfies

 $v_0 \omega = 0$. Then the category C of grading-restricted generalized V-modules and the braided tensor category structure on C do not depend on whether ω or $\tilde{\omega}$ is used as the conformal vector of V.

Remark 2.18. In the setting of Proposition [2.17,](#page-18-0) the twist $e^{2\pi i L(0)}$ on the braided tensor category C does depend on whether ω or $\tilde{\omega}$ is used as the conformal vector of V, as does the V -module structure on contragredient modules.

3. Categorical results

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and $\mathcal C$ a vertex algebraic braided tensor category of grading-restricted generalized V -modules. In this section, we isolate steps towards the main theorems that do not require technical calculations with genus-one correlation functions. First, we show that if V is N-graded C_2 -cofinite and the braided tensor category C of gradingrestricted generalized V-modules is a factorizable finite ribbon category, then semisimplicity of C follows from semisimplicity of the Zhu algebra $A(V)$. This proof assumes in particular that C is rigid; thus in the second subsection we derive a sufficient condition for C to be a rigid tensor category, assuming V is self-contragredient with respect to some conformal vector.

3.1. **Semisimplicity.** Here, we assume that V is an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra V satisfying two additional conditions:

- (1) The braided tensor category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V-modules is a factorizable finite ribbon category.
- (2) The (finite-dimensional) Zhu algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple.

Our goal is to show that under these conditions, $\mathcal C$ is in fact semisimple and thus V is rational.

We begin with some properties of projective covers. By $[Hu5]$, every irreducible V-module W has a projective cover; recall this is a pair (P_W, p_W) such that P_W is a projective Vmodule, $p_W : P_W \to W$ is a surjection, and for any surjection $p : P \to W$ with P projective, there is a surjection $q: P \to P_W$ such that

$$
P_{\text{W}} \xrightarrow{\text{q}} \text{W} \text{W}
$$

commutes. We need a couple elementary lemmas about the projective covers:

Lemma 3.1. For any irreducible V-module W, the projective cover P_W is indecomposable.

Proof. Suppose $P_W = P_1 \oplus P_2$ for two submodules $P_i \subseteq P_W$; as direct summands of a projective module, P_1 and P_2 are both projective. Moreover, for at least one i,

$$
p_W|_{P_i}: P_i \to W
$$

is non-zero and thus surjective since W is irreducible. Then by the property of the projective cover, there is a surjection

$$
q: P_i \to P_W
$$

such that $p_W \circ q = p_W |_{P_i}$. Since there is also an injection $P_i \to P_W$, and since both P_i and P_W are grading-restricted generalized V-modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces, they have the same graded dimension. Thus $P_W = P_i$ for either $i = 1$ or $i = 2$.

Lemma 3.2. For any irreducible V-module W, the projective cover P_W is generated by any $\widetilde{w} \in P_W \setminus \text{Ker } p_W$.

Proof. For $\widetilde{w} \in P_W \setminus \text{Ker } p_W$, let \widetilde{W} denote the submodule of P_W generated by \widetilde{w} . Since $p_W(\widetilde{w}) \neq 0$ and W is irreducible, $p_W |_{\widetilde{W}} : \widetilde{W} \to W$ is surjective. So by projectivity of P_W , there exists $q: P_W \to \widetilde{W}$ such that

commutes. As the inclusion $i : \widetilde{W} \to P_W$ satisfies $p_W \circ i = p_W |_{\widetilde{W}}$, we get

$$
p_W \circ (i \circ q)^N = p_W
$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $i \circ q$ is an endomorphism of a (finite-length) indecomposable module by Lemma [3.1,](#page-19-2) it is either an isomorphism or nilpotent by Fitting's Lemma. It cannot be nilpotent since $p_W \neq 0$, so it is an isomorphism. In particular, i is surjective as well as injective, and we get $P_W = \widetilde{W}$.

We use the assumption that $\mathcal C$ is factorizable in the next proposition:

Proposition 3.3. For any irreducible V-module W, there is an injection $W \to P_W$.

Proof. Since C is factorizable, $[ENO, Proposition 4.5]$ (see also $[EGNO, Proposition 8.10.10]$) shows that C is unimodular, which means that for any irreducible V-module W, $P'_W \cong P'_{W'}$ (see [\[EGNO,](#page-82-16) Sections 6.4 and 6.5]). Thus the surjection $p_{W'} : P_{W'} \to W'$ induces an injection

$$
W \cong (W')' \xrightarrow{p'_{W'}} P'_{W'} \cong (P'_{W})' \cong P_{W}
$$

for any irreducible V-module W.

Now we establish that $\mathcal C$ is semisimple using the preceding proposition and the assumption that $A(V)$ is semisimple:

Theorem 3.4. Under the assumptions on V and C in this subsection, C is semisimple. In particular, V is rational and $\mathcal C$ is a semisimple modular tensor category.

Proof. We will show that $P_W \cong W$ for every irreducible V-module W. Then every irreducible V-module is projective and so C is semisimple. In fact, since C is rigid, it would be enough to show that V itself is a projective V-module (see for example $[EGNO, Corollary 4.2.13]$, the proof of $[CM, Theorem 5.24]$, or $[McR2, Lemma 3.6]$.

We first consider the case that the lowest conformal weight of P_W is the same as the lowest conformal weight h_W of W. Then $(P_W)_{[h_W]}$ is a finite-dimensional $A(V)$ -module and

$$
p = p_W|_{(P_W)_{[h_W]}} : (P_W)_{[h_W]} \to W_{[h_W]}
$$

is an $A(V)$ -module surjection. Since we assume that $A(V)$ is semisimple, this surjection splits and we get $q: W_{[h_W]} \to (P_W)_{[h_W]}$ such that $p \circ q = \text{Id}_{W_{[h_W]}}$. Now for any non-zero $w \in W_{[h_W]}$, Lemma [3.2](#page-19-3) shows that $\tilde{w} = q(w)$ generates P_W , so that

$$
P_W = \text{span}\{v_n\widetilde{w} \mid v \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\
$$

by [\[LL,](#page-83-9) Proposition 4.5.6]. In particular,

$$
(P_W)_{[h_W]} = \text{span}\{o(v)\widetilde{w} \mid v \in V\} = A(V) \cdot \widetilde{w} = q(W_{[h_W]}),
$$

so $(P_W)_{[h_W]} \cong W_{[h_W]}$ as an $A(V)$ -module. It is now clear that the injection $i: W \to P_W$ guaranteed by Proposition [3.3](#page-20-1) restricts to an $A(V)$ -module isomorphism

$$
i|_{W[h_W]}: W_{[h_W]} \to (P_W)_{[h_W]}.
$$

In particular, the vector \tilde{w} that generates P_W is contained in the image of i, showing that i is surjective as well as injective, and therefore $P_W \cong W$.

We now order the (finitely many) distinct irreducible V -modules W by their lowest conformal weights h_W and prove inductively that each $P_W \cong W$. Since projective objects in rigid tensor categories are also injective (see $[EGNO,$ Proposition 6.1.3]), this will also show that W injective in C. For W such that h_W is minimal, the lowest conformal weight space of P_W is necessarily $(P_W)_{[h_W]}$, since P_W is a finite-length grading-restricted generalized V-module whose composition factors all have weights greater than or equal to h_W . Thus the argument of the preceding paragraph shows that $P_W \cong W$ when h_W is minimal.

Now let W be any irreducible V -module and assume by induction that all irreducible V modules with conformal dimension strictly less than h_W are both projective and injective. If P_W had any composition factor X with $h_X < h_W$, the subquotient X would be both projective and injective and thus would be a direct summand of P_W . Given that P_W cannot equal X (since X does not surject onto the non-isomorphic irreducible module W), this would contradict the indecomposability of P_W from Lemma [3.1.](#page-19-2) Thus all composition factors of P_W must have conformal weights greater than or equal to h_W , so $(P_W)_{[h_W]}$ is the lowest conformal weight space of P_W and the argument of the second and third paragraphs shows that $P_W \cong W$. This completes the induction step of the proof that every irreducible Vmodule is projective.

Because all irreducible V-modules are projective, in particular V itself, it follows that $\mathcal C$ is semisimple. So $\mathcal C$ is a semisimple modular tensor category, and moreover Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 of [\[CM\]](#page-81-6) (see also [\[McR1,](#page-83-8) Proposition 4.16]) show that V is rational.

The assumption in Theorem [3.4](#page-20-0) that $\mathcal C$ is factorizable in particular includes the assumption that $\mathcal C$ is a rigid tensor category. Thus our next goal will be to determine conditions under which objects of $\mathcal C$ are rigid.

3.2. Contragredients in vertex tensor categories. Let V be a vertex operator algebra and $\mathcal C$ a category of grading-restricted generalized V-modules that is closed under contragredients and admits the vertex and braided tensor category structures of [\[HLZ1\]](#page-83-0)-[\[HLZ8\]](#page-83-1), as described in Subsection [2.3.](#page-14-0) When V is self-contragredient, contragredient modules give $\mathcal C$ the structure of what is sometimes called a weakly rigid tensor category [\[KL,](#page-83-17) Appendix] or an r-category \boxed{BD} ; this is shown in $\boxed{CKM2}$ for example. When V is not necessarily selfcontragredient, C still has the structure of what $|BD|$ calls a *Grothendieck-Verdier category* with dualizing object V' ; this was shown recently in [\[ALSW,](#page-81-16) Theorem 2.12]. But it is not usually obvious whether contragredients are duals satisfying rigidity. In this section we use tensor-categorical methods to find a criterion under which $\mathcal C$ is in fact rigid with duals given by contragredient modules.

Now assume that V is \mathbb{Z} -graded and self-contragredient. Since V may be suitably \mathbb{Z} - or 1 $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded with respect to several different conformal vectors, and since (2.1) shows that the contragredient of V may depend on the conformal vector, our assumption here is simply that V has a conformal vector with respect to which V is \mathbb{Z} -graded and self-contragredient. We will thus use such a conformal vector, together with the accompanying contragredient modules, throughout this subsection.

Fix a V-module isomorphism $\varphi: V \to V'$. We will also use the notation of Subsection [2.3](#page-14-0) in what follows. Contragredients in $\mathcal C$ define an exact contravariant functor such that the contragredient of a homomorphism $f : W_1 \to W_2$ is given by

$$
\langle f'(w'_2), w_1 \rangle = \langle w'_2, f(w_1) \rangle
$$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2' \in W_2'$. Moreover, for any module W in C, there is a natural isomorphism $\delta_W : W \to W''$ defined by

$$
\langle \delta_W(w), w' \rangle = \langle w', w \rangle.
$$

Using the skew-symmetry (2.3) and adjoint (2.4) intertwining operator constructions, for any module W in \mathcal{C} , there is an evaluation homomorphism

$$
e_W:W'\boxtimes W\to V
$$

induced by the intertwining operator

$$
\mathcal{E}_W = \varphi^{-1} \circ \Omega_0(A_0(\Omega(Y_W)))
$$

of type $\begin{pmatrix} V \\ W'W \end{pmatrix}$. A calculation shows that \mathcal{E}_W is characterized by the relation

$$
\langle (\varphi' \circ \delta_V)(v), \mathcal{E}_W(w', x) w \rangle = \langle e^{-x^{-1}L(1)} w', Y_W(e^{xL(1)}v, x^{-1}) e^{-xL(1)} e^{-\pi i L(0)} x^{-2L(0)} w \rangle
$$

for $v \in V$, $w' \in W'$, and $w \in W$. We also define the homomorphism $\widetilde{e}_W : W \boxtimes W' \to V$ by

$$
\widetilde{e}_W = e_W \circ \mathcal{R}_{W,W'} \circ (\theta_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'})
$$

and the intertwining operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_W = \widetilde{e}_W \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}$ of type $\binom{V}{W W'}$. A calculation shows that $\mathcal{E}_W = \varphi^{-1} \circ A_{-1}(\Omega(Y_W)).$

As explained in [\[CKM2,](#page-81-15) Sections 2.1 and 5.1], the pair (W', e_W) for any module W in C satisfies a universal property (thus making $\mathcal C$ an r-category in the sense of $[BD]$): for any module X in C and homomorphism $f: X \boxtimes W \to V$, there is a unique map $g: X \to W'$ such that the diagram

commutes. This universal property gives a convenient way of characterizing the V-module isomorphisms φ and δ_W , as well as contragredient homomorphisms:

Proposition 3.5. In the setting of this subsection:

• The V-module isomorphism $\varphi: V \to V'$ is the unique map such that the diagram

commutes.

• For any homomorphism $f: W_1 \to W_2$ in $\mathcal{C}, f': W_2' \to W_1'$ is the unique homomorphism such that the diagram

commutes.

• For any module W in C, $\delta_W : W \to W''$ is the unique homomorphism such that the diagram

$$
W \boxtimes W'
$$

\n
$$
\delta_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'} \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
W'' \boxtimes W' \longrightarrow \epsilon_{W'} \longrightarrow V
$$

\n
$$
W'' \boxtimes W' \longrightarrow \epsilon_{W'} \longrightarrow V
$$
\n(3.3)

commutes.

Proof. Due to the uniqueness assertion in the universal property of contragredients, it is enough to show that all three diagrams in the proposition commute. For (3.2) and (3.3) , this was done in $[CMY1, Lemma 4.3.4]$. For (3.1) , we calculate

$$
\langle (\varphi' \circ \delta_V)(u), [e_V \circ (\varphi \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_V)] \mathcal{Y}_{V,V}(v_1, x) v_2 \rangle = \langle (\varphi' \circ \delta_V)(u), \mathcal{E}_V(\varphi(v_1), x) v_2 \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle e^{-x^{-1}L(1)} \varphi(v_1), Y_V(e^{xL(1)}u, x^{-1}) e^{-xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)} v_2 \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle \varphi(v_1), Y_V(e^{-xL(1)}(-x^{-2})^{L(0)} v_2, -x^{-1}) e^{xL(1)} u \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle Y_{V'}(v_2, -x) \varphi(v_1), e^{xL(1)} u \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle \varphi(e^{xL(-1)} Y_V(v_2, -x) v_1), u \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle \delta_V(u), \varphi(Y_V(v_1, x) v_2) \rangle = \langle (\varphi' \circ \delta_V)(u), l_V(Y_{V,V}(v_1, x) v_2) \rangle,
$$

for $u, v_1, v_2 \in V$, using skew-symmetry of the vertex operator Y_V twice as well as the fact that φ is a V-module homomorphism. Since $\mathcal{Y}_{V,V}$ is surjective and $\varphi' \circ \delta_V$ is an isomorphism, this shows $l_V = e_W \circ (\varphi \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_V)$.

For brevity and clarity, it will be convenient to use graphical calculus in subsequent proofs in this section. To illustrate the graphical calculus conventions that we will use, we express $(3.1), (3.2), \text{ and } (3.3), \text{ respectively, as follows:}$ $(3.1), (3.2), \text{ and } (3.3), \text{ respectively, as follows:}$ $(3.1), (3.2), \text{ and } (3.3), \text{ respectively, as follows:}$ $(3.1), (3.2), \text{ and } (3.3), \text{ respectively, as follows:}$ $(3.1), (3.2), \text{ and } (3.3), \text{ respectively, as follows:}$ $(3.1), (3.2), \text{ and } (3.3), \text{ respectively, as follows:}$

It is straightforward, although perhaps tedious, to convert all graphical proofs presented below into more detailed arguments through appropriate use of the triangle, pentagon, and hexagon axioms and their consequences.

Now for any module W in \mathcal{C} , we define

$$
\Phi_W: W\boxtimes W'\to (W\boxtimes W')'
$$

to be the unique homomorphism such that the diagram

commutes. Graphically,

The following result may be found, for example, in [\[CMY1,](#page-82-19) Proposition 4.4.5], but here we give a more streamlined proof:

Proposition 3.6. If Φ_W is an isomorphism, then W is rigid with dual W'.

Proof. Assuming Φ_W is an isomorphism, we first define the coevaluation

$$
i_W: V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V' \xrightarrow{(\widetilde{e}_W)'}(W \boxtimes W')' \xrightarrow{\Phi_W^{-1}} W \boxtimes W'.
$$

To show that (W', e_W, i_W) is a dual of W in C, [\[CMY2,](#page-82-20) Lemma 4.2.1] implies it is enough to show that the rigidity composition \mathfrak{R}_W given by

$$
W\xrightarrow{l_W^{-1}}V\boxtimes W\xrightarrow{i_W\boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W} (W\boxtimes W')\boxtimes W\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1}} W\boxtimes (W'\boxtimes W)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_W\boxtimes e_W} W\boxtimes V\xrightarrow{r_W} W\otimes W
$$

and

is the identity on W. Then since (3.3) shows that (W, \tilde{e}_W) is a contragredient of W', the universal property of contragredients implies that it is enough to show

$$
\widetilde{e}_W \circ (\mathfrak{R}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) = \widetilde{e}_W.
$$

This can be proved graphically as follows:

where the first equality is the definition of \mathfrak{R}_W , the second is the definition of Φ_W , the third follows from (3.2) , the fourth from (3.1) , and the last equality comes from naturality of the left unit isomorphisms.

To find a sufficient condition for Φ_W to be an isomorphism, and thus for W to be rigid, we define two more homomorphisms using the universal property of contragredients. First, let

$$
\Psi_W:W'\boxtimes(W\boxtimes W')'\to W'
$$

be the unique morphism such that the diagram

commutes. Graphically,

Secondly, we define

 $\widetilde{\Psi}_W : (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes W \to W$

to be the unique morphism such that the diagram

$$
((W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{(W \boxtimes W')',W,W'}^{-1}} (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W') \newline \widetilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \newline W \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{\widetilde{e}_W} V
$$

commutes (recall from [\(3.3\)](#page-23-1) that (W, \widetilde{e}_W) is a contragredient of W' in \mathcal{C}).

Lemma 3.7. For any V-module W in C ,

- (1) $\Psi_W \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \Phi_W) = l_{W'} \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,W'}$ as morphisms $W' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W') \to W'.$
- (2) $\widetilde{\Psi}_W \circ (\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W) = r_W \circ (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1} \text{ as morphisms } (W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes W \to W.$

Proof. To prove the first assertion, the universal property of the contragredient (W', e_W) of W implies that it is enough to show

 $e_W \circ ([\Psi_W \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \Phi_W)] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W) = e_W \circ ([l_{W'} \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,W'}] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W).$ (3.4) Beginning with the left side, we calculate

using the definitions of Ψ_W and Φ_W , properties of the braiding and unit isomorphisms, and the definition of \tilde{e}_W . Now since $\theta_W = e^{2\pi i L(0)}$, it is easy to see that $\theta_{W'} = \theta'_W$. Thus [\(3.2\)](#page-23-0) implies $e_W \circ (\theta_{W'}^{-1} \boxtimes \theta_W) = e_W$ so that the above composition becomes

which is the right side of [\(3.4\)](#page-26-0)

For the second assertion, it is enough to show

$$
\widetilde{e}_W \circ ([\widetilde{\Psi}_W \circ (\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W)] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) = \widetilde{e}_W \circ ([r_W \circ (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1}] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}),
$$

which has the straightforward graphical proof

using the definitions of $\widetilde{\Psi}_W$ and Φ_W .

Using this lemma, we can now prove the following theorem; a related result in terms of a notion called "semi-rigidity" was obtained in [\[Mi3,](#page-84-9) Lemma 19]:

Theorem 3.8. Suppose W is a V-module in C and $\text{Im}(\widetilde{e}_W)' \subseteq \text{Im } \Phi_W$. Then Φ_W is an isomorphism, and thus also W is minid. isomorphism, and thus also W is rigid.

Proof. Since $W \boxtimes W'$ and $(W \boxtimes W')'$ are grading-restricted generalized V-modules which are isomorphic as graded vector spaces, it is enough to show that Φ_W is injective. We will prove injectivity similar to [\[McR1,](#page-83-8) Theorem 4.7].

Let K be the kernel of Φ_W with $k: K \to W \boxtimes W'$ the kernel morphism; we need to show that $k = 0$. To this end, we define the morphism

$$
F: (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes K \to W \boxtimes W'
$$

to be the composition

$$
(W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes K \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{(W \boxtimes W')'} \boxtimes k} (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W')
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{(W \boxtimes W')', W, W'}} ((W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}} W \boxtimes W'.
$$

We shall show:

(1) $F \circ ((\widetilde{e}_W)' \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ (\varphi \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ l_K^{-1} = k,$ (2) $F \circ (\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) = 0.$

To show why these two claims imply that $k = 0$, we first factorize

$$
\Phi_W: W\boxtimes W'\xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{Im} \Phi_W\xrightarrow{q} (W\boxtimes W')',\qquad (\widetilde{e}_W)':V'\xrightarrow{\widetilde{p}} \operatorname{Im} (\widetilde{e}_W)' \xrightarrow{\widetilde{q}} (W\boxtimes W')',
$$

where p, \tilde{p} are surjective and q, \tilde{q} are injective. By hypothesis, there is an injection j: $\text{Im}(\widetilde{e}_W)' \to \text{Im} \Phi_W$ such that $q \circ j = \widetilde{q}$.

Since p is surjective and the tensoring functor $\bullet \boxtimes K$ is right exact (see [\[HLZ3,](#page-83-7) Proposition 4.26]), $p \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K$ is also surjective. This surjectivity combined with Claim (2) implies that

$$
F \circ (q \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) = 0.
$$

But then using Claim (1),

$$
k = F \circ ((\tilde{e}_W)' \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ (\varphi \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ l_K^{-1}
$$

= $F \circ ((\tilde{q} \circ \tilde{p}) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ (\varphi \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ l_K^{-1}$
= $F \circ ((q \circ j) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ ((\tilde{p} \circ \varphi) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ l_K^{-1}$
= $F \circ (q \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ ((j \circ \tilde{p} \circ \varphi) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) \circ l_K^{-1} = 0$,

which will prove the theorem once we have proven Claims (1) and (2).

To prove Claim (1), naturality of the left unit isomorphisms implies that it is enough to show that the composition

$$
W \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}W \boxtimes W'} V \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W') \xrightarrow{[(\tilde{e}_W)' \circ \varphi] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes W'}} (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W')
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{(W \boxtimes W')',W,W'}} ((W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}} W \boxtimes W'
$$

is the identity on $W \boxtimes W'$. Then naturality of the associativity isomorphisms and properties of the left unit reduce us to showing that

$$
W\xrightarrow{l_W^{-1}}V\boxtimes W\xrightarrow{[(\widetilde{e}_W)' \circ \varphi] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W} (W\boxtimes W')'\boxtimes W\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Psi}_W} W
$$

is the identity on W . For this, the universal property of contragredients implies that it is enough to show

$$
\widetilde{e}_W \circ \left([\widetilde{\Psi}_W \circ (((\widetilde{e}_W)' \circ \varphi) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W) \circ l_W^{-1}] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}\right) = \widetilde{e}_W.
$$

In fact, the definition of $\widetilde{\Psi}_W$ implies that the left side is

$$
W \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{l_W^{-1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}} (V \boxtimes W) \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{(([\widetilde{e}_W)' \circ \varphi] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}} ((W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{A_{(W \boxtimes W')',W,W'}} (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W') \xrightarrow{e_W \boxtimes w'} V.
$$

We apply naturality of associativity, and then use properties of the left unit isomorphisms as well as (3.2) , to get

$$
W \boxtimes W' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}_{W \boxtimes W'}} V \boxtimes (W \boxtimes W') \xrightarrow{\varphi \boxtimes \widetilde{e}_W} V' \boxtimes V \xrightarrow{e_V} V.
$$

Then [\(3.1\)](#page-22-0) together with naturality of the unit isomorphisms reduces this composition to \tilde{e}_W , completing the proof of Claim (1).

To prove Claim (2), we calculate

$$
F \circ (\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K) = (\widetilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{(W \boxtimes W')', W, W'} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{(W \boxtimes W')'} \boxtimes k) \circ (\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_K)
$$

\n
$$
= (\widetilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ ((\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W', W, W'} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes W'} \boxtimes k)
$$

\n
$$
= ([r_W \circ (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W, W', W}^{-1}] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W', W, W'} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes W'} \boxtimes k)
$$

\n
$$
= (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes l_{W'}) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'})) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W, W' \boxtimes W, W'}^{-1} \circ (\mathcal{A}_{W, W', W}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'})
$$

\n
$$
\circ \mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W', W, W'} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes W'} \boxtimes k)
$$

\n
$$
= (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes [l_{W'} \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W', W, W'}^{-1}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W, W', W \boxtimes W'}^{-1} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes W'} \boxtimes k)
$$

\n
$$
= (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes \Psi_W) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \Phi_W)) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes k)) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W, W', K}^{-1} = 0,
$$

using the definition of F , naturality of the associativity isomorphisms, Lemma [3.7\(](#page-26-1)2), the triangle axiom and naturality of associativity, the pentagon axiom, Lemma [3.7\(](#page-26-1)1) and naturality of associativity, and finally the fact that $\Phi_W \circ k = 0$ since (K, k) is the kernel of Φ_W . This proves Claim (2) and thus also the theorem. \Box

To verify the condition of Theorem 3.8 for a V-module W in Section [5,](#page-45-0) we will need to know the relation between Φ_W and its contragredient $\Phi'_W : (W \boxtimes W')'' \to (W \boxtimes W')'$:

Proposition 3.9. For W a V-module in C, $\Phi_W = \Phi'_W \circ \delta_{W \boxtimes W'}$.

Proof. In view of the definition of Φ_W and the universal property of the contragredient $((W \boxtimes W')', e_{W \boxtimes W'}),$ it is enough to show that

$$
e_{W\boxtimes W'} \circ ([\Phi'_W \circ \delta_{W\boxtimes W'}] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W\boxtimes W'})
$$

= $\tilde{e}_W \circ (r_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ ((\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ (\mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W\boxtimes W',W,W'}.$
(3.5)

From the definitions and [\(3.3\)](#page-23-1), the left side is

$$
e_{W\boxtimes W'} \circ ([\Phi'_W \circ \delta_{W\boxtimes W'}] \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W\boxtimes W'})
$$

= $e_{(W\boxtimes W')'} \circ (\delta_{W\boxtimes W'} \boxtimes \Phi_W)$
= $e_{W\boxtimes W'} \circ \mathcal{R}_{W\boxtimes W' , (W\boxtimes W')'} \circ (\theta_{W\boxtimes W'} \boxtimes \Phi_W)$
= $e_{W\boxtimes W'} \circ (\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W\boxtimes W'}) \circ \mathcal{R}_{W\boxtimes W', W\boxtimes W'} \circ (\theta_{W\boxtimes W'} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{(W\boxtimes W')'}).$

We continue analyzing this composition graphically, using the definition of Φ_W , the hexagon axioms, and the balancing property of $\theta_{W\boxtimes W'}$ to begin:

4. Series expansions of correlation functions

Here we present results on the convergence and modular invariance of genus-one correlation functions associated to a vertex operator algebra that we will use in the next section. Most of the results in this section have appeared at some level of generality in one or more of the works of Zhu [\[Zh\]](#page-84-1), Dong-Li-Mason [\[DLM2\]](#page-82-9), Miyamoto [\[Mi1,](#page-84-10) [Mi2\]](#page-84-5), Huang [\[Hu2,](#page-82-15) [Hu3\]](#page-82-8), Fiordalisi [\[Fi\]](#page-82-14), and Carnahan-Miyamoto $\lbrack CM \rbrack$. However, some of the results in $\lbrack Hu2, Hu3, CM \rbrack$ $\lbrack Hu2, Hu3, CM \rbrack$ $\lbrack Hu2, Hu3, CM \rbrack$ on certain

multivalued analytic functions need to be enhanced to include specific information about convergence regions for single-valued branches, and about relations between single-valued branches on different but overlapping simply-connected domains.

We assume V is an N-graded C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. As mentioned in Sub-section [2.3,](#page-14-0) the category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V-modules has vertex algebraic braided tensor category structure. Also, all results from [\[Fi\]](#page-82-14) and from Sections 1 through 5 of $[Hu2]$ that we quote below hold when V is N-graded and C_2 -cofinite.

4.1. Geometrically-modified genus-zero correlation functions. We will use the linear automorphism $U(1)$ of V, and indeed of any V-module, introduced in [\[Hu2,](#page-82-15) Section 1]:

$$
\mathcal{U}(1) = (2\pi i)^{L(0)} \exp\bigg(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j L(j)\bigg),\tag{4.1}
$$

where the A_j are the unique complex numbers such that

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi i}(e^{2\pi ix} - 1) = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j x^{j+1} \frac{d}{dx}\right) \cdot x.
$$

When $\mathcal{U}(1)$ acts on generalized V-modules with non-integral conformal weights, or with non-semisimple $L(0)$ -actions, we must interpret $(2\pi i)^{L(0)}$ using a fixed choice of branch of logarithm. Recall the principal branch log z from [\(2.5\)](#page-17-0), so that in particular log $2\pi i$ = $\ln 2\pi + i \frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$. We interpret $(2\pi i)^{L(0)}$ to mean $e^{(\log 2\pi i)L(0)}$, which is a well-defined operator on each (finite-dimensional) conformal weight space of a grading-restricted generalized V-module (see the discussion surrounding [\[HLZ2,](#page-83-13) Equation 3.69]).

Because $\mathcal{U}(1)$ is constructed from Virasoro operators, $\mathcal{U}(1)$ commutes with any V-module homomorphism. Moreover, $[Hu2, Proposition 1.2]$ shows how $\mathcal{U}(1)$ conjugates intertwining operators: If \mathcal{Y} is a V-module intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$, then

$$
\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}(w_1,x)w_2 = \mathcal{Y}(e^{2\pi ixL(0)}\mathcal{U}(1)w_1, e^{2\pi ix} - 1)\mathcal{U}(1)w_2
$$
\n(4.2)

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$; the logarithmic intertwining operator generality is discussed in [\[Fi,](#page-82-14) Lemma 1.25] and [\[CM,](#page-81-6) Lemma 5.5]. When $\mathcal Y$ involves non-integral powers of x, or powers of log x, we must interpret the substitution of $e^{2\pi ix} - 1$ in $\mathcal Y$ properly. If $\mathcal Y$ involves powers of $\log x$, we interpret $\log(e^{2\pi ix} - 1)$ to mean

$$
\log(e^{2\pi ix} - 1) = \log 2\pi i + \log x + \log \left(\frac{e^{2\pi ix} - 1}{2\pi ix} \right),
$$

where the third term on the right is the standard power series for $log(1+y)$ centered at $y=0$, with $y = \frac{e^{2\pi ix} - 1}{2\pi ix} - 1$. (Since y is a power series in x with no constant term, $\log(1 + y)$ is also a well-defined power series in x with no constant term.) Similarly, if $\mathcal Y$ contains non-integral powers x^h , we interpret $(e^{2\pi ix} - 1)^h$ to mean

$$
(e^{2\pi ix} - 1)^h = e^{(\log 2\pi i)h} x^h \left(\frac{e^{2\pi ix} - 1}{2\pi ix}\right)^h,
$$

where the third factor on the right is the binomial expansion of $(1 + y)^h$ at $y = \frac{e^{2\pi ix} - 1}{2\pi ix} - 1$.

Now for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we introduce the notation $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$ and define $\mathcal{U}(q_z) = e^{2\pi i z L(0)} \mathcal{U}(1)$. For substituting the complex number q_z in an intertwining operator, we use the conventions

$$
\log x|_{x=q_z} = 2\pi i z, \qquad x^h|_{x=q_z} = e^{2\pi i z h}.
$$

With this convention, $\log x|_{x=q_z}$ does not equal the principal branch $\log q_z$ unless $-\frac{1}{2}$ < $\text{Re } z \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Now given two V-module intertwining operators \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 of types ${W_4 \choose W_1 M}$ and $\binom{M}{W_2 W_3}$ respectively, the product

$$
\langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_1})w_1, q_{z_1})\mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_2})w_2, q_{z_2})w_3 \rangle
$$

= $\sum_{h \in \mathbb{C}} \langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_1})w_1, q_{z_1})\pi_h(\mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_2})w_2, q_{z_2})w_3) \rangle$

for $w'_4 \in W'_4$, $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, $w_3 \in W_3$ converges absolutely when $|q_{z_1}| > |q_{z_2}| > 0$, where π_h is the projection $M \to M_{[h]}$. For simplicity, we will always take $z_2 = 0$ and set $z_1 = z$; moreover, we will use the simplified notation $\mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)$ to denote $\mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(q_0)w_2, q_0)$. Thus,

$$
P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}(w'_4, w_1, w_2, w_3; z) = \langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, q_z) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1) w_3 \rangle
$$

defines a (single-valued) analytic function on the simply-connected basic convergence region for products of geometrically-modified intertwining operators:

$$
U_0^{prod} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z < 0 \}.
$$

The subscript in U_0^{prod} $_{0}^{proa}$ indicates that $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ is (a single-valued branch of) a genus-zero cor-relation function. By the genus-zero differential equations in [\[Hu1\]](#page-82-21), $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ can be extended to a multivalued analytic function on the region where $q_z \neq 1$, that is,

$$
U_0=\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z},
$$

with principal branch given by $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}$ on U^{prod}_0 0^{prou} . We will use $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ to denote the multivalued extension of $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ to U_0 .

We will now use associativity of intertwining operators and (4.2) to find a series expansion for $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ about its singularity $z=0$. First, the universal property of V-module tensor products implies that there are unique V-module homomorphisms $f_1 : W_1 \boxtimes M \to W_3$ and $f_2: W_2 \boxtimes W_3 \to M$ such that

$$
f_1\circ\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,M}=\mathcal{Y}_1,\qquad f_2\circ\mathcal{Y}_{W_2,W_3}=\mathcal{Y}_2.
$$

Then by definition of the associativity isomorphisms in the category of V-modules, for $r_1, r_2 \in$ \mathbb{R}_+ such that $r_1 > r_2 > r_1 - r_2 > 0$, we have

$$
\mathcal{Y}_1(w_1, e^{\ln r_1}) \mathcal{Y}_2(w_2, e^{\ln r_2}) w_3
$$
\n
$$
= (f_1 \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, M})(w_1, e^{\ln r_1}) (f_2 \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_2, W_3})(w_2, e^{\ln r_2}) w_3
$$
\n
$$
= \overline{f_1 \circ (\text{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes f_2)} \left(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2 \boxtimes W_3}(w_1, e^{\ln r_1}) \mathcal{Y}_{W_2, W_3}(w_2, e^{\ln r_2}) w_3 \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \overline{f_1 \circ (\text{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes f_2)} \circ \mathcal{A}_{W_1, W_2, W_3}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1 \boxtimes W_2, W_3}(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, e^{\ln (r_1 - r_2)}) w_2, e^{\ln r_2} \right) w_3
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{Y}^0 \left(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, e^{\ln (r_1 - r_2)}) w_2, e^{\ln r_2} \right) w_3 \tag{4.3}
$$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, and $w_3 \in W_3$, where

$$
\mathcal{Y}^0 = f_1 \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes f_2) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,W_3}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1 \boxtimes W_2,W_3}
$$

is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_4}{W_1 \boxtimes W_2 W_3}$. We also introduce some notation for the expansion of \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2} as a formal series:

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,x)w_2 = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^K (w_1 \boxtimes_{h,k} w_2) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^k,
$$

where $w_1 \boxtimes_{h,k} w_2 \in W_1 \boxtimes W_2$ for each h, k (with conformal weight wt $w_1 + w w_2 - h - 1$ if w_1 and w_2 are homogeneous).

Remark 4.1. The outer sum in the expansion of \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2} is over Q because the conformal weights of W_1 , W_2 , and $W_1 \boxtimes W_2$ are rational numbers (see [\[Mi2,](#page-84-5) Corollary 5.10]). Moreover, the bound $K \in \mathbb{N}$ on the maximum power of $\log x$ in $\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,x)w_2$ can be fixed independently of $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, and $h \in \mathbb{Q}$: Using [\[HLZ2,](#page-83-13) Proposition 3.20(a)] and using $L(0)_{nil}$ to denote the nilpotent part of $L(0)$, we can take $K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ where k_1, k_2 , and k_3 are the greatest non-negative integers such that $L(0)_{nil}^{k_i} \neq 0$ on W_1 , W_2 , and $W_1 \boxtimes W_2$, respectively. Note that each $k_i < \infty$ because W_1, W_2 , and $W_1 \boxtimes W_2$ are finitely generated with finite-dimensional weight spaces.

Using the above notation and the intertwining operator \mathcal{Y}^0 , we can obtain a series expansion for $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ about $z=0$ from [\[Hu2,](#page-82-15) Proposition 1.4]. However, we shall need to enhance this result to include specific branch and convergence region information. Thus we now introduce the basic simply-connected convergence region for iterates of geometrically-modified intertwining operators:

$$
U_0^{it} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1, \arg z \neq \pi \}.
$$

Now the extension of $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}$ to U_0^{it} is as follows:

Proposition 4.2. The analytic extension of the principal branch $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}$ of $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}$ to the $simply-connected \ domain \ U_0^{it} \ is \ given \ by$

$$
I_{\mathcal{Y}^{0},\mathcal{Y}_{W_{1},W_{2}}}(w'_{4},w_{1},w_{2},w_{3};z)
$$
\n
$$
= \langle w'_{4}, \mathcal{Y}^{0} \left(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}_{W_{1},W_{2}}(w_{1},e^{\log z})w_{2},1 \right) w_{3} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \langle w'_{4}, \mathcal{Y}^{0} \left(\mathcal{U}(1)(w_{1} \boxtimes_{h,k} w_{2}),1 \right) w_{3} \rangle x^{-h-1} (\log x)^{k} \Big|_{\log x = \log z}.
$$
\n(4.4)

In particular, the indicated series converges absolutely for $0 < |z| < 1$.

Proof. Take $z = ir$, with $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$
|q_z| > 1 > |q_z - 1| > 0,
$$

so q_z , 1, and $q_z - 1$ are positive real numbers satisfying the conditions for associativity of intertwining operators in (4.3) . That is, we assume r satisfies

$$
1 < e^{-2\pi r} < 2, \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad -\frac{\ln 2}{2\pi} < r < 0.
$$

Then by (4.3) ,

$$
P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}(w'_4, w_1, w_2, w_3; z)
$$

= $\langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_{ir})w_1, e^{-2\pi r})\mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)w_3 \rangle$
= $\langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}^0(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(\mathcal{U}(q_{ir})w_1, e^{\ln(e^{-2\pi r} - 1)})\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)w_3 \rangle$
= $\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}^0(\pi_h(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, e^{\log(e^{2\pi iz} - 1)})\mathcal{U}(1)w_2), 1)w_3 \rangle.$ (4.5)

We would like to treat the right side of this equation as a series in powers of z and $\log z$ and then apply [\(4.2\)](#page-31-1), but we must first justify rearranging the sum. To do so, we introduce some more notation for the series expansion of \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2} .

By projecting to the indecomposable summands of W_1 , W_2 , and $W_1 \boxtimes W_2$, we can write $\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2} = \sum_{j=1}^J \mathcal{Y}^{(j)}$ such that the powers of x in each intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}^{(j)}$ of type $\binom{W_1 \boxtimes W_2}{W_1 W_2}$ are all congruent mod Z (since the conformal weights of an indecomposable Vmodule are all congruent mod \mathbb{Z}). Thus there are $h_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $1 \leq j \leq J$ such that

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, x) w_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{K} x^{h_j} (\log x)^k \mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}(w_1, x) w_2,
$$
\n(4.6)

with each $\mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}$ $(k_k^{(j)}(w_1, x)w_2 \in (W_1 \boxtimes W_2)((x))$ for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$. We use the notation

$$
\mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}(w_1, x)w_2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_1(j, k; n)w_2 x^n
$$

for $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$.

We now allow z to vary in the open set such that $0 < |q_z - 1| < 1$, since the right side of (4.5) is absolutely convergent in this region. By $[HLZ5,$ Proposition 7.20, the right side of [\(4.5\)](#page-33-0) also equals the absolutely-convergent series

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}^0 \big([\mathcal{U}(q_z) w_1](j,k;n) \mathcal{U}(1) w_2, 1 \big) w_3 \rangle \left(e^{2\pi i z} - 1 \right)^{h_j + n} \left(\log(e^{2\pi i z} - 1) \right)^k. \tag{4.7}
$$

Since this converges absolutely, so does each series

$$
g_{j,k}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}^0\big([\mathcal{U}(q_z) w_1](j,k;n) \mathcal{U}(1) w_2, 1 \right) w_3 \right\rangle (e^{2\pi i z} - 1)^n \tag{4.8}
$$

when $0 < |q_z - 1| < 1$. Assuming as we may that $\mathcal{U}(1)w_1$ is $L(0)$ -homogeneous, we can write

$$
g_{j,k}(z) = e^{2\pi i (wt\mathcal{U}(1)w_1)z} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{2\pi i z} - 1)^n.
$$

$$
\sum_{l=0}^K \frac{(2\pi i z)^l}{l!} \langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}^0([L(0)^l_{nil}\mathcal{U}(1)w_1](j,k;n)\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)w_3 \rangle.
$$

Here, the double series (and not just the iterated series) converges absolutely because for each l , the series

$$
\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}^0([L(0)^l_{nil}\mathcal{U}(1)w_1](j,k;n)\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)w_3 \right\rangle (e^{2\pi i z} - 1)^n
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}^0([L(0^l\mathcal{U}(q_2)\widetilde{w}_1^{(l)}](j,k;n)\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)w_3 \right\rangle (e^{2\pi i z} - 1)^n,
$$
 (4.9)

with $\tilde{w}_1^{(l)} = \mathcal{U}(q_z)^{-1} L(0)_{nil}^l \mathcal{U}(1) w_1$, converges absolutely just as [\(4.8\)](#page-34-0) does. From the absolute convergence of [\(4.9\)](#page-34-1), it is easy to see that the series [\(4.8\)](#page-34-0) converges uniformly on compact subsets of the region $0 < |q_z - 1| < 1$ and therefore converges to a single-valued analytic function.

The coefficients of the Laurent series expansion of $g_{i,k}(z)$ about $z = 0$ can be computed by contour integrals, and by uniform convergence, these contour integrals commute with the sum in [\(4.8\)](#page-34-0). Thus for z sufficiently close to 0, say $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$, $g_{j,k}(z)$ agrees with the (absolutelyconvergent) series obtained by rearranging [\(4.8\)](#page-34-0) into a (well-defined) Laurent series in z. Returning to [\(4.7\)](#page-34-2), note that $(e^{2\pi i z}-1)^{h_j}$ and $\log(e^{2\pi i z}-1)$ also have expansions as series in powers of z and log z that converge absolutely for sufficiently small |z|. Thus for $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$

with ε small enough, the series in [\(4.7\)](#page-34-2) agrees with its rearrangement as a well-defined and absolutely-convergent series in powers of z and $log z$. However, for comparing with [\(4.5\)](#page-33-0), we must be careful to use the correct series expansion of $log(e^{2\pi i z} - 1)$ that yields the principal branch of logarithm.

We now return to fixing $z = ir$ as in the beginning of the proof; we may assume in addition that $|z| = -r < \varepsilon$. As our assumptions on r imply that $-2\pi r > 0$, the principal branch of logarithm equals:

$$
\log(e^{2\pi i z} - 1) = \ln\left(-2\pi r \cdot \frac{e^{-2\pi r} - 1}{-2\pi r}\right) = \ln 2\pi + \ln(-r) + \ln\left(\frac{e^{-2\pi r} - 1}{-2\pi r}\right). \tag{4.10}
$$

Then because $0 < -2\pi r < \ln 2$ and $\frac{e^x - 1}{r}$ $\frac{y-1}{x}$ is increasing for $0 < x < \ln 2$, we have

$$
0 < \frac{e^{-2\pi r} - 1}{-2\pi r} < \frac{1}{\ln 2} < 2,
$$

so we can use the standard power series for $\log(1+y)$ at $y = \frac{e^{-2\pi r} - 1}{-2\pi r} - 1$ to evaluate the third term in [\(4.10\)](#page-35-0). Therefore,

$$
\log(e^{2\pi i z} - 1) = \ln 2\pi + \ln(-r) + \log(1+y)|_{y=\frac{e^{-2\pi r} - 1}{-2\pi r} - 1}
$$

= $\left(\ln 2\pi + i\frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \left(\ln(-r) - i\frac{\pi}{2}\right) + \log(1+y)|_{y=\frac{e^{-2\pi r} - 1}{-2\pi r} - 1}$
= $\log 2\pi i + \log z + \log(1+y)|_{y=\frac{e^{2\pi i z} - 1}{2\pi i z} - 1}.$

This is the correct series expansion to use in (4.7) , given $z = ir$; it agrees with the expansion used for the formal series $\log(e^{2\pi ix} - 1)$ in [\(4.2\)](#page-31-1).

The above discussion now shows that for $z = ir$ such that $0 < |q_z - 1| < 1 < |q_z|$ and $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$, we can rewrite (4.5) as

$$
P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}(w'_4, w_1, w_2, w_3; z)
$$

= $\langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}^0(\mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(e^{2\pi ixL(0)}\mathcal{U}(1)w_1, e^{2\pi ix} - 1)\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1)w_3 \rangle|_{\log x = \log z}$,

where the right side is a (well-defined and absolutely-convergent) series in powers of z and $\log z$, expanded using the convention described in the paragraph following [\(4.2\)](#page-31-1). By (4.2), this is precisely the series [\(4.4\)](#page-33-1) that defines $I_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}$. In particular, (4.4) converges absolutely for all $z = ir$ such that $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$, for some sufficiently small ε ; from this we see (using [\[HLZ5,](#page-83-15) Lemma 7.7] and its proof, for example) that $I_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}$ converges absolutely to a (single-valued) analytic function on the region $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$, $\arg z \neq \pi$. Moreover, for $z = ir$,

$$
P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}(w'_4, w_1, w_2, w_3; z) = I_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}(w'_4, w_1, w_2, w_3; z)
$$
\n(4.11)

for all $w'_4 \in W'_4$, $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$, $w_3 \in W_3$. Since $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ and $I_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}$ are both analytic on their respective domains, and since (4.11) holds on a subset of $\mathbb C$ with an accumulation point, $I_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}$ is the unique analytic extension of $P_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}$ to some simply-connnected domain that contains the region $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$, arg $z \neq \pi$.

Finally, we need to show that the series defining $I_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}$ is absolutely convergent for $0 < |z| < 1$. It is enough to show that each Laurent series

$$
f_{j,k}(z) = \langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}^0(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}(w_1, z)w_2, 1) w_3 \rangle
$$
converges for $0 < |z| < 1$; at first, we only know that $f_{i,k}(z)$ is convergent for $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$. We use [\[HLZ2,](#page-83-0) Equations 3.113 and 3.115], which show that

$$
x^{h_j} \mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}(w_1, x) w_2 = \sum_{t=k}^K (-1)^{k+t} \binom{t}{k} (\log x)^{t-k} \mathcal{Y}^{(j,t)}(w_1, x) w_2,
$$
\n(4.12)

where $\mathcal{Y}^{(j,t)}$ is a linear combination of intertwining operators of the form $L(0)_{nil}^l \circ \mathcal{Y}^{(j)}$ o $(L(0_{nil}^m \otimes L(0)_{nil}ⁿ)$ for $l + m + n = t$. Since $\mathcal{Y}^{(j,t)} = f^{(t)} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}$ for a unique $f^{(t)} \in$ End $_V(W_1 \boxtimes W_2)$, and since $f^{(t)}$ commutes with $\mathcal{U}(1)$, we get

$$
f_{j,k}(z) = e^{-h_j \log z} \sum_{t=k}^{K} (-1)^{k+t} {t \choose k} (\log z)^{t-k} \langle w'_4, \mathcal{Y}^0(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}^{(j,t)}(w_1, e^{\log z})w_2, 1) w_3 \rangle
$$

= $e^{-h_j \log z} \sum_{t=k}^{K} (-1)^{k+t} {t \choose k} (\log z)^{t-k} I_{\mathcal{Y}^0 \circ (f^{(t)} \otimes \text{Id}_{W_3}), \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}(w'_4, w_1, w_2, w_3; z)$

for $0 < |z| < \varepsilon$.

By associativity of intertwining operators, each $I_{\mathcal{Y}^{0} \circ (f^{(t)} \otimes \text{Id}_{W_3}), \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}$ is a branch of the multivalued analytic function $\overline{P}_{\tilde{y}_t, y_{W_2,W_3}}$ for some intertwining operator \widetilde{y}_t of type $\binom{W_4}{W_1 W_2 \boxtimes W_3}$. Since each $P_{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_t, \mathcal{Y}_{W_2, W_3}}$ is analytic in particular on the region $0 < |z| < 1$, $f_{j,k}(z)$ has a multivalued extension $F_{j,k}(z)$ to this region. But in fact $F_{j,k}(z)$ is single valued because it is determined by a single-valued branch defined on a full punctured disk. Thus $f_{i,k}(z)$ is the Laurent series expansion of the analytic function $F_{j,k}(z)$ about its singularity $z = 0$; since $F_{j,k}(z)$ is analytic on the punctured disk $0 < |z| < 1$, $f_{j,k}(z)$ converges absolutely for $0 < |z| < 1$ as required.

4.2. Genus-one correlation functions. We now begin to consider genus-one correlation functions associated to V-module intertwining operators. Let

$$
\mathbb{H} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau > 0 \}
$$

denote the upper half plane. For $\mathcal Y$ an intertwining operator of type $\binom{X}{W X}$, it was shown in [\[Mi1,](#page-84-0) [Hu2,](#page-82-0) [Fi\]](#page-82-1) that the series

$$
F_{\mathcal{Y}}(w;\tau) = \text{Tr}_X \, \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w, q_z) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24},
$$

where c is the central charge of V, is independent of z and converges absolutely for $0 < |q_{\tau}|$ 1. In particular, $F_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an analytic function on H. When \mathcal{Y} is the vertex operator Y_X for a V-module X , then we get the *character*

$$
\operatorname{ch}_X(v;\tau) = \operatorname{Tr}_X Y_X(\mathcal{U}(q_z)v, q_z) q_\tau^{L(0)-c/24} = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Tr}_{X_{[h]}} o(\mathcal{U}(1)v) q_\tau^{h-c/24}
$$
(4.13)

for $v \in V$, where $o(\bullet) = \text{Res}_x x^{-1} Y_X(x^{L(0)}(\bullet), x)$ denotes the grading-preserving component of the vertex operator. The characters of the distinct irreducible V -modules are linearly independent as functions on $V \times \mathbb{H}$ (see [\[Zh,](#page-84-1) Theorem 5.3.1] and also [\[CM,](#page-81-0) Lemma 5.10]).

Remark 4.3. The function $F_y(w; \tau)$ is a one-point correlation function associated to the torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ with a puncture at z, and with standard local coordinate $w \mapsto w - z$ at the puncture. The lack of dependence on z in F_y reflects the fact that the conformal automorphism group of the torus acts transitively on punctures with standard local coordinates.

Since the trace of an operator on a finite-dimensional vector space is the same as the trace of its semisimple part, the nilpotent part of $L(0)$ (if any) does not appear in the characters ch_X(v; τ). Thus the expansion of ch_X(v; τ) as a series in q_{τ} about the singularity $q_{\tau} = 0$ in [\(4.13\)](#page-36-0) indeed involves no $\log q_{\tau}$ terms. When V is C₂-cofinite but non-rational, the nilpotent part of $L(0)$ acting on logarithmic V-modules can be detected by Miyamoto's pseudo-trace functions $[Mi2]$; see also $[Ari, AN, Fi]$ $[Ari, AN, Fi]$ $[Ari, AN, Fi]$ $[Ari, AN, Fi]$. In this paper, pseudo-traces will be necessary only for one main result and its applications to non-rational vertex operator algebras, so here we add only a brief discussion to establish notation, using the definition of pseudo-trace functions from $[AN, Fi]$ $[AN, Fi]$. The reader who is only interested in rationality theorems for C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras may safely assume that all pseudo-traces below are actually traces (see especially Remark [5.13](#page-59-0) below).

Suppose P is a finite-dimensional associative algebra and $\phi: P \to \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric linear function, that is, $\phi(ab) = \phi(ba)$ for all $a, b \in P$. Then for any finitely-generated projective P-module X , there is a pseudo-trace map defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi}: \operatorname{End}_{P}(X) \to \mathbb{C}
$$

$$
f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{I} (\phi \circ b_{i}' \circ f)(b_{i}),
$$

where $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^I$ is a projective basis of X and $\{b_i'\}_{i=1}^I \subseteq \text{Hom}_P(X, P)$ is the corresponding dual basis. Pseudo-traces associated to ϕ enjoy the same cyclic symmetry of traces, that is, if $f: X_1 \to X_2$ and $g: X_2 \to X_1$ are P-module homomorphisms with X_1 and X_2 finitely-generated projective P-modules, then

$$
\mathrm{Tr}^{\phi}_{X_1}(g \circ f) = \mathrm{Tr}^{\phi}_{X_2}(f \circ g).
$$

For $P = \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}}$, pseudo-traces are simply traces of linear maps.

Now suppose X is a grading-restricted generalized V-module and the associative algebra P acts on X by V-module endomorphisms. Suppose also that $\mathcal Y$ is a V-module intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ W X \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\mathcal{Y}(w, x)$ commutes with the P-action on X for all $w \in W$, that is, every coefficient of $\mathcal{Y}(w, x)$ is a P-module endomorphism of X. If X is projective as a P-module, then its conformal weight spaces $X_{[h]}$ are finitely-generated projective P-modules and we can define the pseudo-trace function

$$
F_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi}(w;\tau) = \text{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(1)w, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}^{\phi} o(\mathcal{U}(1)w) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
(4.14)

for any symmetric linear function $\phi : P \to \mathbb{C}$.

We can define two-point genus-one correlation functions using pseudo-traces of V-module intertwining operators similarly. Suppose W_1, W_2, M , and X are grading-restricted generalized V-modules such that M and X are also modules for some associative algebra P acting by V-module endomorphisms, with X a projective P-module. Suppose also that \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 are intertwining operators of types $\binom{X}{W_1 M}$ and $\binom{M}{W_2 X}$, respectively, that commute with the P-actions on M and X. Then for ϕ a symmetric linear function on P, [\[Fi,](#page-82-1) Propositions 2.8 and 2.14] show that the series

$$
\operatorname{Tr}^{\phi}_X \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_1}) L(0)^{k_1}_{nil} w_1, q_{z_1}) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_2}) L(0)^{k_2}_{nil} w_2, q_{z_2}) q^{L(0) - c/24}_{\tau},
$$
\n(4.15)

for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, where $L(0)_{nil}$ is the nilpotent part of $L(0)$, satisfy a finite system of linear differential equations with regular singular points. As a consequence, these series converge absolutely to analytic functions when $1 > |q_{z_1}| > |q_{z_2}| > |q_{\tau}| > 0$, equivalently $0 < \text{Im } z_1 <$ $\text{Im } z_2 < \text{Im } \tau$, and these analytic functions can be extended to multivalued analytic functions on the region

$$
\{(z_1, z_2, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{H} \mid z_1 - z_2 \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau\}.
$$

See also [\[Hu2\]](#page-82-0) for the case of ordinary traces of non-logarithmic intertwining operators.

It turns out that [\(4.15\)](#page-37-0) actually converges on a larger set than indicated above:

Lemma 4.4. The series [\(4.15\)](#page-37-0) converges absolutely for all $(z_1, z_2, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{H}$ such that $0 < \text{Im}(z_2 - z_1) < \text{Im}\,\tau.$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ case of [\(4.15\)](#page-37-0). If z_1 , z_2 , and τ satisfy the conditions in the lemma, then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}$ we have

$$
0 < \operatorname{Im}\varepsilon < \operatorname{Im}(z_2 - z_1 + \varepsilon) < \operatorname{Im}\tau.
$$

We then use the $L(0)$ -conjugation formula for intertwining operators and the cyclic symmetry of pseudo-traces to prove the following equality of double series indexed by the conformal weights of X and M :

$$
\begin{split} \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q_{\varepsilon})w_{1},q_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{2}-z_{1}+\varepsilon})w_{2},q_{z_{2}-z_{1}+\varepsilon})q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\ &= \sum_{h,m\in\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q_{\varepsilon})w_{1},q_{\varepsilon})\pi_{m}\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{2}-z_{1}+\varepsilon})w_{2},q_{z_{2}-z_{1}+\varepsilon})q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\ &= \sum_{h,m\in\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}^{\phi} q_{-z_{1}+\varepsilon}^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{1}})w_{1},q_{z_{1}})\pi_{m}\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{2}})w_{2},q_{z_{2}})q_{z_{1}-\varepsilon}^{L(0)}q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\ &= \sum_{h,m\in\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{1}})w_{1},q_{z_{1}})\pi_{m}\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{2}})w_{2},q_{z_{2}})q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{1}})w_{1},q_{z_{1}})\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z_{2}})w_{2},q_{z_{2}})q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} .\end{split}
$$

Since the two double series have exactly the same terms and the first converges absolutely by $[Hu2, Theorem 4.1], [Fi, Proposition 2.17], the second converges absolutely as well. $\square$$ $[Hu2, Theorem 4.1], [Fi, Proposition 2.17], the second converges absolutely as well. $\square$$ $[Hu2, Theorem 4.1], [Fi, Proposition 2.17], the second converges absolutely as well. $\square$$

Lemma [4.4](#page-38-0) implies that z_2 in [\(4.15\)](#page-37-0) can be arbitrary; as in the previous subsection, we will always choose $z_2 = 0$ for simplicity and then set $z_1 = z$. Thus the series

$$
F_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z, \tau) = \text{Tr}_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, q_z) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

converges absolutely to a single-valued analytic function on the simply-connected basic genusone convergence region

$$
U_1^{prod} = \{(z,\tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \mid 0 < \text{Im}(-z) < \text{Im}\,\tau\}.
$$

By the differential equations in [\[Hu2,](#page-82-0) [Fi\]](#page-82-1), $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2}$ extends to a multivalued analytic function $\overline F_1^{\phi}$ $\overset{\varphi}{\mathcal{Y}}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2$ on the region

$$
U_1 = \{ (z, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \mid z \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \}.
$$

We call the single-valued analytic function $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1,\mathcal{Y}_{2}}(w_{1},w_{2};z,\tau)$ on the simply-connected domain U_1^{prod} t_1^{prod} the principal branch of the multivalued function $\overline{F}_{\lambda}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2}$. We will need to expand $\overline F_{\mathcal V}^\phi$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1, \mathcal{Y}_2}$ as series in z in open domains near certain singularities. Considering $z = 0$ first, we expect $\overline{F}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi}$ y_{1}, y_{2} to have a series expansion that converges on any punctured disk $0 < |z| < R$ that avoids the non-zero singularities. Thus for $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, we define

$$
R_{\tau} = \min\left\{ |m + n\tau| \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0, 0) \right\}
$$

and then

$$
U_1^{it} = \{(z,\tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \mid 0 < |z| < R_{\tau}, \arg z \neq \pi\}.
$$

As in the previous subsection, $\mathcal{Y}_1 = f_1 \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,M}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_2 = f_2 \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_2,X}$ for unique V-module homomorphisms f_1 and f_2 . Now we prove the following using Proposition [4.2,](#page-33-0) enhancing the genus-one associativity result of $[Hu2, Theorem 4.3]$ and $[Fi, Proposition 2.19]$:

Proposition 4.5. The analytic extension of $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $\overset{\phi}{\mathcal{Y}_1},\overset{\phi}{\mathcal{Y}_2}(w_1,w_2;z,\tau)$ from U_1^{prod} $_1^{prod}$ to the simplyconnected domain U_1^{it} is given by

$$
G_{\mathcal{Y}^{0},\mathcal{Y}_{W_{1},W_{2}}}^{\phi}(w_{1},w_{2};z,\tau)
$$

= Tr_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^{0}\left(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}_{W_{1},W_{2}}(w_{1},e^{\log z})w_{2},1\right) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \left(\text{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^{0}\left(\mathcal{U}(1)(w_{1} \boxtimes_{h,k} w_{2}),1\right) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \right) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^{k} \Big|_{\log x = \log z}

for $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{it}$, where

$$
\mathcal{Y}^0 = f_1 \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes f_2) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,X}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1 \boxtimes W_2,X}.
$$

Proof. From the definition of $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2}$ and Proposition [4.2,](#page-33-0) we have

$$
F_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z, \tau) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, q_z) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^0(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, e^{\log z}) w_2, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}, \qquad (4.16)
$$

for $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$. This is an absolutely convergent series in powers of q_{τ} , and possibly also $\log q_{\tau}$ if $L(0)$ acts non-semisimply on X, whose coefficients are analytic functions in z with series expansion given in Proposition [4.2.](#page-33-0) The coefficient of each power of q_{τ} and $\log q_{\tau}$ on the right side of [\(4.16\)](#page-39-0) converges as long as $0 < |z| < 1$, independently of τ . To prove the proposition, we need to rearrange the right side of [\(4.16\)](#page-39-0) as a convergent series in powers of z and $\log z$ whose coefficients are analytic in τ . To justify this, we will show that the corresponding double sum in powers of z and q_{τ} converges absolutely for |z| and $|q_{\tau}|$ sufficiently small.

To begin, we view the right side of (4.16) as a formal series in powers of q and log q whose coefficients are analytic functions of z on the region U_0^{it} . These coefficients can be analytically extended across the branch cut in U_0^{it} , so we can also view them as multivalued functions on the punctured unit disk. We use $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $S_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2}^{\phi}(w_1,w_2;z,q)$ and $G_{\mathcal{Y}_1}^{\phi}$ $\overset{\varphi}{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}}(w_1,w_2;z,q)$ to denote the formal q-series determined by the left and right sides of (4.16) , respectively.

Next, from the differential equations in $[Hu2,$ Theorem 3.9 and \overline{F} , Propositions 2.8 and 2.14], there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the finite set

$$
\left\{ \left(q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right)^i \frac{\partial^j}{\partial z^j} F_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}^{\phi}(L(0)_{nil}^{k_1} w_1, L(0)_{nil}^{k_2} w_2; z, q) \right\}_{0 \le i, j < m, 0 \le k_1, k_2 \le K},
$$

with K defined as in Remark [4.1,](#page-33-1) form the components of a vector solution $\Phi(w_1, w_2; z, q)$ to a first-order system

$$
q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\Phi = A(z,q)\Phi.
$$
\n(4.17)

Here $A(z, q)$ is a matrix whose entries are built from q-series expansions of Eisenstein series, Weierstrass \wp -functions $\wp_m(z, q)$, and their derivatives. Thus the coefficients of powers of q in $A(z,q)$ are analytic for $z \in U_0^{it}$, since the coefficients of powers of q in each $\wp_m(z,q)$ converge in this region (see for example [\[Hu2,](#page-82-0) Equation 2.11]).

By [\(4.16\)](#page-39-0), G^{ϕ}_{χ} $\overset{\varphi}{\mathcal{Y}}^{0}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}(w_1,w_2;z,q)$ is also a component of a formal solution to (4.17) for $z \in U_0^{prod} \cap U_0^{it}$. But in fact this is a formal solution for all $z \in U_0^{it}$ since the coefficients of powers of q in $G^{\phi}_{\mathcal{V}}$ $\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}$, its partial derivatives, and $A(z, q)$ are defined and analytic on this connected region. Since [\(4.17\)](#page-39-1) has a regular singular point at $q=0$, the q-series $G_{\mathcal{N}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}$ converges absolutely in a punctured disk surrounding $q = 0$ for any fixed $z \in U_0^{it}$, with radius of convergence at least the minimum $R \leq 1$ such that the punctured disk $0 < |q| < R$ does not contain any singularities of the Weierstrass \wp -functions, which are

$$
\{(z,q=q_{\tau})\,|\,z\in\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau\}.
$$

In particular, for any fixed $0 < \delta < 1, G_{\lambda}^{\phi}$ $y_{0,y_{W_1,W_2}}^{\varphi}$ converges absolutely in the polyannulus

$$
PA_{\delta} = \left\{ (z, q) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \middle| 0 < |z| < \min\left(1, -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \delta\right), 0 < |q| < \delta \right\}.
$$

Also, since the entries of the matrix $A(z, q)$ are analytic in z for (z, q) in this polyannulus, G^{ϕ}_{χ} $\mathcal{Y}^{\varphi}_{\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}}(w_1,w_2;z,q)$ is analytic in z as well as in q (see Theorem [A.2](#page-69-0) in Appendix [A\)](#page-68-0).

Now if G^{ϕ}_{χ} $\overset{\varphi}{\mathcal{Y}}^{0}, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}$ were a Laurent series in q and z, we could immediately conclude that the double sum corresponding to the right side of [\(4.16\)](#page-39-0) converges absolutely, and we would be justified in reversing the order of summation for suitable q and z . To deal with the problem that G^{ϕ}_{χ} $\overset{\varphi}{\mathcal{Y}}^{0}, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}$ is not a Laurent series, we borrow notation from (4.6) to write

$$
G_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z, q) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{K} e^{h_j \log z} (\log z)^k f_{j,k}(z, q),
$$

where

$$
f_{j,k}(z,q) = \text{Tr}_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^0 \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}(w_1, z) w_2, 1 \right) q^{L(0) - c/24}
$$

involves only integral powers of z. Then by the argument in the proof of Proposition [4.2](#page-33-0) beginning with [\(4.12\)](#page-36-1),

$$
f_{j,k}(z,q) = e^{-h_j \log z} \sum_{t=k}^{K} (-1)^{k+t} {t \choose k} (\log z)^{t-k} G_{\mathcal{Y}^{0} \circ (f^{(t)} \otimes \text{Id}_X), \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}(w_1, w_2; z, q) \qquad (4.18)
$$

for suitable $f^{(t)} \in \text{End}_V(W_1 \boxtimes W_2)$, and the preceding arguments show G_V^{ϕ} ${\mathcal Y}^{0} \circ (f^{(t)} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_X), {\mathcal Y}_{W_1, W_2}$ converges absolutely on PA_{δ} to an analytic function in both q and z. Moreover, by restricting \mathcal{Y}^0 to direct summands of X whose minimal conformal weights $h_{j'}$ for $1 \leq j' \leq J'$ are noncongruent mod \mathbb{Z} , we have an expansion

$$
G_{\mathcal{Y}^{0}\circ(f^{(t)}\otimes \text{Id}_{X}),\mathcal{Y}_{W_{1},W_{2}}}(w_{1},w_{2};z,q)=\sum_{j'=1}^{J'}\sum_{k'=0}^{K}g_{j',k';t}(w_{1},w_{2};z,q)q^{h_{j'}-c/24}(\log q)^{k'}\qquad(4.19)
$$

where

$$
g_{j',k';t}(w_1, w_2; z, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{X_{[h_{j'}+n]}}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{j'}^0 \left(\mathcal{U}(1)(f^{(t)} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2})(w_1, e^{\log z}) w_2, 1 \right) \frac{L(0)^{k'}}{k'!} \right) q^n;
$$

the $\mathcal{Y}_{j'}^0$ are restrictions of \mathcal{Y}^0 to direct summands of X. By Theorem [A.2,](#page-69-0) each $g_{j',k';t}$ converges absolutely to an analytic function in q and z on PA_{δ} , so by combining [\(4.18\)](#page-40-0) and [\(4.19\)](#page-40-1) and rearranging the resulting absolutely-convergent series, we get

$$
f_{j,k}(z,q) = \sum_{j'=1}^{J'} \sum_{k'=0}^{K} g_{j,k;j',k'}(w_1, w_2; z, q) q^{h_{j'}-c/24} (\log q)^{k'},
$$

where

$$
g_{j,k;j',k'}(w_1, w_2; z, q) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left(\text{Tr}_{X_{[h_{j'}+n]}}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{j'}^0 \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_k^{(j)}(w_1, z) w_2, 1 \right) \frac{L(0)^{k'}}{k'!} \right) q^n \tag{4.20}
$$

is a power series in q , whose coefficients are Laurent series in z , that converges absolutely to a (single-valued) analytic function in q and z on the polyannulus (4.2) .

Since $g_{j,k;j',k'}$ is an analytic function on a polyannulus, it has a Laurent series expansion, whose coefficients can be computed by contour integrals, which is absolutely convergent as a double sum. This Laurent series must be the double sum rearrangement of the iterated sum in powers of q and z on the right side of (4.20) . Since this double sum converges absolutely, we can reverse the order of summation in [\(4.20\)](#page-41-0); the definitions then yield

$$
G_{\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z, q)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^K \left(\text{Tr}_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^0(\mathcal{U}(1)(w_1 \boxtimes_{h,k} w_2), 1) q^{L(0) - c/24} \right) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^k \Big|_{\log x = \log z}
$$
 (4.21)

for $(z, q) \in PA_{\delta}$ for any $0 < \delta < 1$.

We still need to determine the minimum possible radius of convergence for (4.21) when $q =$ q_{τ} for any fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. So far, we have shown convergence when $0 < |z| < \min(1, -\frac{1}{2\tau})$ $rac{1}{2\pi}$ $\ln \delta$) for any δ such that $|q_{\tau}| < \delta < 1$. But using the identity [\(4.18\)](#page-40-0) for each $f_{j,k}(z, q_{\tau})$, viewed as a Laurent series in powers of z , the argument that concludes Proposition [4.2](#page-33-0) shows that $f_{j,k}(z, q_\tau)$ converges absolutely on any punctured disk $0 < |z| < R$ that does not intersect the potential singularity set $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ for two-point genus-one correlation functions. That is, the series [\(4.21\)](#page-41-1) converges for $0 < |z| < R_{\tau}$. Finally, since $U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$ contains (z, τ) with arbitrarily small $|q_{\tau}|$ and $|z|$, [\(4.16\)](#page-39-0) and [\(4.21\)](#page-41-1) show that there is a non-empty open subset of $U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$ on which $G_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}^0, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, w_2; z, \tau)$ as defined in the statement of the proposition agrees with $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2}(w_{1}, w_{2}; z, \tau)$. Thus $G^{\phi}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ $\psi^{\phi}_{\mathcal{Y}^{0},\mathcal{Y}_{W_{1},W_{2}}}$ analytically continues $F^{\phi}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle\prime}{\nu}_1,\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle\prime}{\nu}_2$ to U_1^{it} \Box

We can now use the preceding proposition to find series expansions of $\overline{F}_{\lambda}^{\phi}$ $\overset{\varphi}{\mathcal{Y}}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2$ about its singularities in \mathbb{Z} ; this result amounts to $[Hu3, Equation 4.10]$ and $[CM, Lemma 5.12]$:

Proposition 4.6. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the analytic extension of the principal branch $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2$ of $\overline{F}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2$ to the simply connected domain $U_1^{it} - (n,0)$ is given by

$$
G_{\mathcal{Y}^n, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z - n, \tau)
$$

= Tr_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^n \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, e^{\log z}) e^{2\pi i n L(0)} w_2, 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}

$$
= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^K \left(\text{Tr}_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^n \left(\mathcal{U}(1)(w_1 \boxtimes_{h,k} e^{2\pi i n L(0)} w_2), 1 \right) q_\tau^{L(0) - c/24} \right) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^k \Big|_{\log x = \log z}.
$$

for $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{it}$, where

$$
\mathcal{Y}^n = f_1 \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes f_2) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes (\mathcal{R}_{W_2,X}^2)^n) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,X}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1 \boxtimes W_2,X}.
$$

Proof. Suppose $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$; then $(z - n, \tau)$ is also in U_1^{prod} $_1^{prox}$ and

$$
F_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z - n, \tau)
$$

= Tr_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_{z-n})w_1, q_{z-n}) \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
= Tr_X^{\phi} e^{-2\pi i n L(0)} \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, q_z) e^{2\pi i n L(0)} \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{U}(1)w_2, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
= Tr_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, q_z) \mathcal{Y}_2(e^{2\pi i n L(0)} \mathcal{U}(1)w_2, e^{2\pi i n}) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
= Tr_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_1(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w_1, q_z) (f_2 \circ (\mathcal{R}_{W_2, X}^2)^n \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_2, X})(\mathcal{U}(1)e^{2\pi i n L(0)}w_2, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24},

where the last step uses the characterization of the monodromy isomorphisms for V -modules and the fact that $\mathcal{U}(1)$ commutes with the V-module homomorphism $e^{2\pi i L(0)}$. Now Proposition [4.5](#page-39-2) says that

$$
F_{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2}^{\phi}(w_1, w_2; z - n, \tau) = \text{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^n \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}(w_1, e^{\log z}) e^{2\pi i n L(0)} w_2, 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$

for $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$, where

$$
\mathcal{Y}^n = f_1 \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes f_2) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W_1} \boxtimes (\mathcal{R}_{W_2,X}^2)^n) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W_1,W_2,X}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W_1 \boxtimes W_2,X}
$$

is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{X}{W_1 \boxtimes W_2 X}$. In other words, $F^{\phi}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ $y_{1, y_{2}}^{ \varphi}(w_{1}, w_{2}; z-n, \tau)$ agrees with the series $G^{\phi}_{\mathcal{Y}^n, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}(w_1, w_2; z-n, \tau)$ defined in the statement of the proposition when $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$. Then since the pseudo-trace of the iterate of \mathcal{Y}^n and \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2} (expanded as a series in z) is analytic on U_1^{it} by Proposition [4.5,](#page-39-2) $G_{\mathcal{Y}^n,\mathcal{Y}_{W_1,W_2}}^{\phi}$ is analytic on $U_1^{it} - (n,0)$. Since moreover $G_{\mathcal{Y}^n, \mathcal{Y}_{W_1, W_2}}^{\phi}$ agrees with $F_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi}$ $\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2}$ on a non-empty open set, it is the unique analytic extension of $F_{\mathcal{V}}^{\phi}$ y_1^{ϕ} , y_2 to $U_1^{it} - (n, 0)$.

We next consider the series expansion of a two-point genus-one correlation function at the singularity $z = -\tau$. Since this will be more complicated, we will only consider this problem for the particular $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2$ that we shall need, and we will consider only traces rather than more general pseudo-traces. Thus we fix V-modules W' , W , X and a V-module homomorphism $e_W: W' \boxtimes W \to V$. For example, W' could be the contragredient of W and e_W could be an evaluation map as in Subsection [3.2,](#page-21-0) although this assumption is not necessary at the moment. In any case we will as in Subsection [3.2](#page-21-0) use the notation $\mathcal{E}_W = e_W \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W',W}$. We now define the intertwining operator

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^X = l_X \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,X} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}
$$

of type $\binom{X}{W'W\boxtimes X}$. Then similar to [\[CM,](#page-81-0) Definition 5.6], we define

$$
Z_{W,X}(w',w;z,\tau) = \text{Tr}_X \mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^X(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w',q_z) \mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w,1) q_\tau^{L(0)-c/24},\tag{4.22}
$$

which is the principal branch of a multivalued analytic function $\overline{Z}_{W,X}$. The definition of $\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^X$ combined with Proposition [4.5](#page-39-2) quickly implies:

Proposition 4.7. The analytic continuation of $Z_{W,X}(w', w; z, \tau)$ to U_1^{it} is

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_X Y_X \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{E}_W(w', e^{\log z}) w, 1 \right) q_\tau^{L(0) - c/24}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^K \operatorname{ch}_X \left(e_W(w' \boxtimes_{h,k} w); \tau \right) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^k \Big|_{\log x = \log z}
$$

.

The next proposition, which is more or less $[Hu3, Equation 4.11]$, or $[CM, Lemma 5.13]$, describes the analytic extension of $Z_{W,X}$ to a region about the singularity $z = -\tau$:

Proposition 4.8. The series

$$
Z_{W,X}(w', w; -z - \tau, \tau) = \text{Tr}_X \mathcal{Y}_{W', W \boxtimes X}^X(\mathcal{U}(q_{-z-\tau})w', q_{-z-\tau}) \mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

converges absolutely to an analytic function for $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod}$ $_1^{proa}$. The analytic continuation of this function to $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{it}$ is given by

Tr_{W\boxtimes X}
$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W', W\boxtimes X}^{W\boxtimes X}
$$
 $(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(w, e^{\log z})w', 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}$
\n
$$
= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \left(\text{Tr}_{W\boxtimes X} \mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W', W\boxtimes X}^{W\boxtimes X} (\mathcal{U}(1)(w \boxtimes_{h,k} w'), 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \right) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^k \Big|_{\log x = \log z},
$$

where the intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W', W \boxtimes X}^{W \boxtimes X}$ of type $\binom{W \boxtimes X}{W \boxtimes W' W \boxtimes X}$ is

$$
(r_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ ((\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ (\mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W',W,X} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W \boxtimes X}.
$$

Proof. First, Lemma [4.4](#page-38-0) shows that $Z_{W,X}(w', w; -z-\tau, \tau)$ converges when $(-z-\tau, \tau) \in U_1^{prod}$ $_1^{prou}$ that is, when

$$
0 < \operatorname{Im}(z + \tau) < \operatorname{Im} \tau.
$$

However, the two inequalities here are equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{Im}(-z) < \operatorname{Im} \tau, \qquad \operatorname{Im}(z) < 0,
$$

which means that $(-z - \tau, \tau) \in U_1^{prod}$ i_1^{prod} if and only if $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod}$ $_1^{prod}$. So $Z_{W,X}(w', w; -z-\tau, \tau)$ converges absolutely to an analytic function on U_1^{prod} $\frac{1}{1}$

To determine the analytic extension to U_1^{it} , we deduce the following equality of double series indexed by the weights of X and $W \boxtimes X$, using the $L(0)$ -conjugation formula for intertwining operators and the cyclic symmetry of traces:

$$
\begin{split}\n&\text{Tr}_{X}\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^{X}(\mathcal{U}(q_{-z-\tau})w',q_{-z-\tau})\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w,1)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\
&=\sum_{h,m\in\mathbb{Q}}\text{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}q_{z+\tau}^{-L(0)}\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^{X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w',1)q_{z+\tau}^{L(0)}\pi_{m}\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w,1)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\
&=\sum_{h,m\in\mathbb{Q}}\text{Tr}_{X_{[h]}}q_{z+\tau}^{-L(0)}\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^{X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w',1)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}\pi_{m}\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z})w,q_{z})q_{z+\tau}^{L(0)} \\
&=\sum_{h,m\in\mathbb{Q}}\text{Tr}_{(W\boxtimes X)_{[m]}}\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z})w,q_{z})\pi_{h}\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^{X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w',1)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \\
&=\text{Tr}_{W\boxtimes X}\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(q_{z})w,q_{z})\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^{X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w',1)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}.\n\end{split} \tag{4.23}
$$

for $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod}$ 1^{prod} . By Proposition [4.5,](#page-39-2) the analytic extension of the right side trace to U_1^{it} is $\text{Tr}_{W \boxtimes X} \, \mathcal{Y}^0 \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(w,e^{\log z}) w',1 \right) q_\tau^{L(0)-c/24},$

where

$$
\mathcal{Y}^0 = F \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W', W \boxtimes X}
$$

with $F : (W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X) \to W \boxtimes X$ given by the composition

$$
(W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W,W', W \boxtimes X}^{-1}} W \boxtimes (W' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X))
$$

$$
(W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X) \longrightarrow W \boxtimes (W' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X))
$$

\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{W',W,X}} W \boxtimes ((W' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X)} W \boxtimes (V \boxtimes X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes l_X} W \boxtimes X.
$$

By the triangle axiom, naturality of the associativity isomorphisms, and the pentagon axiom, F is the same composition as

$$
(W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W',W,X}} ((W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes W) \boxtimes X
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} (W \boxtimes (W' \boxtimes W)) \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} (W \boxtimes V) \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{r_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} W \boxtimes X.
$$

Thus $F \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W', W \boxtimes X}$ is the intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W', W \boxtimes X}^{W \boxtimes X}$ in the statement of the proposition, so that

$$
\begin{split} \operatorname{Tr}_{W \boxtimes X} \mathcal{Y}_{W,X} \left(\mathcal{U}(q_z) w, q_z \right) & \mathcal{Y}_{W',W \boxtimes X}^X(\mathcal{U}(1) w', 1) q_\tau^{L(0) - c/24} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{W \boxtimes X} \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W \boxtimes X}^{W \boxtimes X} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(w, e^{\log z}) w', 1 \right) q_\tau^{L(0) - c/24} \\ &) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}. \end{split}
$$

for (z, τ) $1^{prod} \cap U$ 1

Remark 4.9. We conjecture that a result similar to Proposition [4.8](#page-43-0) also holds when $Z_{W,X}$ is replaced by an analogous pseudo-trace on X associated to a symmetric linear function ϕ on a finite-dimensional algebra P acting on X by V-module endomorphisms, with X projective as a P-module. However, the above proof does not immediately generalize, because the step in the calculation [\(4.23\)](#page-43-1) that uses the cyclic symmetry of traces is only valid for pseudo-traces if $W \boxtimes X$ is a projective P-module. While P does act naturally on $W \boxtimes X$ by P-module endomorphisms, it does not seem obvious that $W \boxtimes X$ is a projective P-module. This is in fact the only obstruction at the moment to using the methods of this paper to prove that the module category of any strongly finite vertex operator algebra is rigid.

There are two reasons to think that $W \boxtimes X$ would be a projective P-module if the tensoring functor $W \boxtimes \bullet$ were exact (and not just right exact). First, [\[Mi2,](#page-84-2) Theorem 3.10] shows that it is enough to assume P is a basic algebra, that is, all irreducible P -modules are one-dimensional. In this case, [\[Mi2,](#page-84-2) Definition 3.4] shows that if the pseudo-trace Tr_X^{ϕ} is defined, then $\text{Tr}_{W \boxtimes X}^{\phi}$ will be as well provided $\text{Ker}(\text{Id}_W \boxtimes f_i) \cong W \boxtimes \text{Ker } f_i$, where the f_i are certain basis elements of $Soc(P)$ acting on X by V-module endomorphisms. Second, $[GR, Remark 5.6]$ suggests that it should be enough to assume that X is a projective generator of the finite abelian category of V-modules, and that $P = \text{End}_V(X)$. In this case, [\[GR,](#page-82-3) Proposition 5.2] shows that X is indeed projective as an End_V(X)-module, and the proof would generalize to $W \boxtimes X$ if the functor $(W \boxtimes \bullet)_{[h]}$ were exact for all conformal weights $h \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Exactness of $\hat{W} \boxtimes \bullet$ would hold if W were a rigid V-module. But unfortunately, we will need Proposition [4.8](#page-43-0) precisely to prove rigidity of W in Section [5,](#page-45-0) so at the moment we can only prove rigidity in situations where no pseudo-traces appear.

To conclude this section, we discuss the S-transformation of one- and two-point genus-one correlation functions. For a V -module W and a function

$$
F:W\times\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{C}
$$

that is linear in $w \in W$ and holomorphic in $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, we define

$$
S(F)(w;\tau) = F\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w; -\frac{1}{\tau}\bigg),\,
$$

where $\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$ $\frac{1}{\tau}$ _{$\int^{L(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}L(0)}$ is defined using the principal branch of logarithm. Note that} $S(F)$ is also linear in w and holomorphic in τ . It is easy to see that the S-transformation $F \mapsto S(F)$ is invertible with inverse defined by

$$
S^{-1}(F)(w;\tau) = F\bigg(\tau^{-L(0)}w; -\frac{1}{\tau}\bigg),\,
$$

where $\tau^{-L(0)} = e^{-(\log \tau)L(0)}$ is defined using the principal branch of logarithm. We will in particular apply the S-transformation to characters of V -modules: for any V -module W , [\[Mi2,](#page-84-2) Theorem 5.5] shows there are pseudo-trace functions Tr_{X}^{ϕ} such that

$$
ch_W\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}v; -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sum_{X,\phi} \text{Tr}_X^{\phi} Y_X(\mathcal{U}(1)v, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
(4.24)

for all $v \in V$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Moreover, if the Zhu algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple, the results in [\[Zh\]](#page-84-1) show that $S(\text{ch}_W)$ is in fact a linear combination of characters ch_X , that is, the sum in [\(4.24\)](#page-45-1) runs over the distinct irreducible V-modules and $\text{Tr}_X^{\phi} = s_{W,X} \text{Tr}_X$ for certain $s_{W,X} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 4.10. As mentioned in [\[AN,](#page-81-2) Remark 3.3.5] and discussed in the proof of [\[CM,](#page-81-0) Theorem 5.1, the proof of $[M_12, Th_12, T]$ implicitly assumes that V has no finitedimensional irreducible modules. This assumption always holds if V is simple and infinite dimensional since a finite-dimensional irreducible module is necessarily a simple quotient of V itself. If V is simple and finite dimensional, then $V = \mathbb{C}1$ and (4.24) is obvious.

For a two-point function associated to intertwining operators \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 of types $\binom{X}{W_1 M}$ and $\binom{M}{W_2 X}$, we define $S(\overline{F}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi})$ $(\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2})$ to be the multivalued analytic function in z and τ with principal branch

$$
S(F^{\phi}_{\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2})(w_1, w_2; z, \tau)
$$

= Tr_X^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_1 \left(\mathcal{U}(q_{-z/\tau}) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} w_1, q_{-z/\tau} \right) \mathcal{Y}_2 \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} w_2, 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}

defined on the region

$$
0<\operatorname{Im}\frac{z}{\tau}<\operatorname{Im}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right).
$$

Note that (z, τ) is in the domain of the principal branch for both $\overline{F}_{\lambda}^{\phi}$ $\overset{\phi}{\mathcal{Y}}_1, \overset{\phi}{\mathcal{Y}}_2$ and $S(\overline{F}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{\phi})$ $(\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2)$ if and only if $-z$ is in the open parallelogram P_{τ} determined by 1 and τ , that is,

$$
-z = a + b\tau
$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < a, b < 1$.

5. The main theorems

In this section, we assume that V is an N-graded simple C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra with grading-restricted generalized module category C.

5.1. Factorizability from rigidity. In this subsection, we assume V is self-contragredient; we prove that if $\mathcal C$ is rigid, then it is factorizable, that is, the braiding on $\mathcal C$ is nondegenerate. The idea is to show that for a rigid V-module W, the S-transformation of ch_W contains information about the monodromy of W with other objects of \mathcal{C} . To show this, we will use the method developed by Moore-Seiberg [\[MS\]](#page-84-3) and Huang [\[Hu3\]](#page-82-2) for deriving identities of series expansions of two-point genus-one correlation functions.

Given a V-module W (not necesarily simple or rigid) and its contragredient W' , we use the evaluation $e_W : W' \boxtimes W \rightarrow V$ of Subsection [3.2](#page-21-0) in the two-point correlation functions defined in (4.22) . For V-modules W and U, we start by relating the S-transformation of $Z_{W,U}$ to the S-transformation of ch_U:

Lemma 5.1. Let W and U be V -modules, and let

$$
S(\text{ch}_U)(v;\tau) = \sum_{X,\phi} \text{Tr}_X^{\phi} Y_X(\mathcal{U}(1)v, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

for all $v \in V$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$
Z_{W,U}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w;-\frac{z}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X,\phi}\text{Tr}_X^{\phi}\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^X(\mathcal{U}(q_z)w',q_z)\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w,1)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
(5.1)

for all $w \in W$, $w' \in W'$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, and $-z \in P_{\tau}$.

Proof. The pseudo-trace functions on the right side of (5.1) are well defined by [\[Fi,](#page-82-1) Proposition 1.31], that is, the intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}_{W,X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^X$ commute with the actions of the associative algebra P on X and $W \boxtimes X$. Now fix $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and consider the non-empty open set of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
-z \in P_{\tau}, \quad 0 < |z| < \min(R_{\tau}, |\tau| R_{-1/\tau}), \quad \arg z, \arg \left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right) \neq \pi. \tag{5.2}
$$

These conditions imply

$$
(z,\tau), \left(-\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it},
$$

so we get the following for such z from Proposition [4.7](#page-43-2) and the $L(0)$ -conjugation formula:

$$
Z_{W,U}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w;-\frac{z}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)
$$

= Tr_U Y_U\left(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{E}_{W}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',e^{\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w,1\right)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
= Tr_U Y_U\left(\mathcal{U}(1)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}\mathcal{E}_{W}\left(w',e^{\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)-\log\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)}\right)w,1\right)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}.(5.3)

Now since $-z \in P_\tau$, so that in particular $-z \in \mathbb{H}$, we have $-\pi < \arg z < 0$. Thus because $0 < \arg(-\frac{1}{\tau})$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) < \pi$,

$$
\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right) = \ln\left|-\frac{z}{\tau}\right| + i\left[\arg z + \arg\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\right] = \log z + \log\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right).
$$

Consequently, the right side of [\(5.3\)](#page-46-1) is

$$
\begin{split}\n\operatorname{Tr}_{U} Y_{U} \Big(& \mathcal{U}(1) \Big(-\frac{1}{\tau} \Big)^{L(0)} \mathcal{E}_{W} \left(w', e^{\log z} \right) w, 1 \Big) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \\
&= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \operatorname{ch}_{U} \Big(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} e_{W}(w' \boxtimes_{h,k} w); -\frac{1}{\tau} \Big) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^{k} \Big|_{\log x = \log z} \\
&= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{X, \phi} \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} Y_{X} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) e_{W}(w' \boxtimes_{h,k} w), 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \right) x^{-h-1} (\log x)^{k} \Big|_{\log x = \log z} \\
&= \sum_{X, \phi} \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} Y_{X} \left(U(1) \mathcal{E}_{W}(w', e^{\log z}) w, 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \\
&= \sum_{X, \phi} \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{W', W \boxtimes X}^{X} (\mathcal{U}(q_{z}) w', q_{z}) \mathcal{Y}_{W, X} (\mathcal{U}(1) w, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \\
& \end{split}
$$

for z as in [\(5.2\)](#page-46-2), where the last step comes from Proposition [4.5.](#page-39-2) Thus for any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the two sides of (5.1) are equal for z in a non-empty open set. As both sides are analytic in z, they are equal for all (z, τ) for which both are defined, that is, for (z, τ) such that $-z \in P_{\tau}$. \square

Now suppose W is a rigid V-module; since V is self-contragredient, the dual of W is necessarily W' with evaluation e_W and some coevaluation $i_W : V \to W \boxtimes W'$. Then for any V-module X, the open Hopf link endomorphism $h_{W,X} \in End_V(X)$ associated to W is the composition

$$
X \xrightarrow{l_X^{-1}} V \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{i_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} (W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{(\theta_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} (W \boxtimes W') \boxtimes X
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_{W,W'} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} (W' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W',W,X}^{-1}} W' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_W \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2} W' \boxtimes (W \boxtimes X)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{W',W,X}} (W' \boxtimes W) \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X} V \boxtimes X \xrightarrow{l_X} X,
$$

where $\theta_W = e^{2\pi i L(0)}$. Graphically,

Note that $h_{W,X}$ is central in End_V(X) by naturality of the unit, associativity, and braiding isomorphisms in C; that is, $h_{W,\bullet}$ is a natural automorphism of the identity functor on C.

We now apply the Moore-Seiberg-Huang method to relate the S -transformation of ch $_W$ to $S(\ch_V)$ when W is rigid:

Theorem 5.2. Suppose W is a rigid V-module and

$$
S(\mathrm{ch}_V)(v;\tau) = \sum_{X,\phi} \mathrm{Tr}_X^{\phi} Y_X(\mathcal{U}(1)v,1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

for $v \in V$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

$$
S(\text{ch}_W)(v;\tau) = \sum_{X,\phi} \text{Tr}_X^{\phi} (h_{W,X} \circ Y_X)(\mathcal{U}(1)v, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
(5.5)

for $v \in V$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. The pseudo-trace functions in the statement of the theorem are well defined because $h_{W,X}$ central in End $_V(X)$ means that $h_{W,X} \circ Y_X$ commutes with any endomorphism algebra of X. Now fix $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. The idea is to analyze

$$
Z_{W,V}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w;\frac{z}{\tau}+\frac{1}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \tag{5.6}
$$

in two different ways.

On the one hand, if $\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)$ $\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$, then Proposition [4.8,](#page-43-0) the $L(0)$ -conjugation property, and the cyclic symmetry of traces say this is

Tr_WW_VW_{WW}^{WW},_WW_V
$$
\left(U(1)\mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w, e^{\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w', 1\right)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}_{W}(r_{W}\circ\mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W',W\boxtimes V}^{W\boxtimes V})\left(U(1)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}\mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}\left(w, e^{\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)-\log\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)}\right)w', 1\right)r_{W}^{-1}q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Tr}_{W}\mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W',W}^{W}\left(U(1)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}\mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}\left(w, e^{\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)-\log\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)}\right)w', 1\right)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24},
$$

where

$$
\begin{split} \mathcal{Y}^W_{W\boxtimes W',W} &= r_W\circ \mathcal{Y}^{W\boxtimes V}_{W\boxtimes W',W\boxtimes V}\circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W\boxtimes W'}\otimes r_W^{-1}) \\ &= r_W\circ (r_W\boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_V)\circ ((\mathrm{Id}_W\boxtimes e_W)\boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_V)\circ (\mathcal{A}^{-1}_{W,W',W}\boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_V)\circ \\ &\circ \mathcal{A}_{W\boxtimes W',W,V}\circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W\boxtimes W'}\boxtimes r_W^{-1})\circ \mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W',W} \\ &= r_W\circ (\mathrm{Id}_W\boxtimes e_W)\circ \mathcal{A}^{-1}_{W,W',W}\circ r_{(W\boxtimes W')\boxtimes W}\circ \mathcal{A}_{W\boxtimes W',W,V}\circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W\boxtimes W'}\boxtimes r_W^{-1})\circ \mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W',W} \\ &= r_W\circ (\mathrm{Id}_W\boxtimes e_W)\circ \mathcal{A}^{-1}_{W,W',W}\circ \mathcal{Y}_{W\boxtimes W',W}. \end{split}
$$

Moreover, to analyze [\(5.6\)](#page-48-0) alternatively using the S-transformation, we will need to assume that $z + 1 \in P_{\tau}$, or equivalently, $z \in P_{\tau} - 1$. This means that $\arg \tau < \arg z < \pi$, and since $arg(-\frac{1}{\tau})$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \pi - \arg(\tau)$, we get

$$
\log\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right) - \log\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \log z - 2\pi i.
$$

Thus we have shown that (5.6) is equal to

Tr_W
$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W}^{W} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(w, e^{\log z - 2\pi i}) w', 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$
 (5.7)

for z in the non-empty open set such that $z \in P_\tau - 1$ and $\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)$ $\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) \in U_1^{it}$ (the first of these conditions automatically implies $\left(-\frac{z}{\tau}\right)$ $\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) \in U_1^{prod}$ $_1^{prox}$ as well).

On the other hand, for z as above, and further assuming $(-z, \tau) \in U_1^{it}$, Lemma [5.1](#page-46-3) and Proposition [4.6](#page-41-2) imply that [\(5.6\)](#page-48-0) equals

$$
\sum_{X,\phi} \text{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{W',W\boxtimes X}^{X}(\mathcal{U}(q_{-z-1})w', q_{-z-1}) \mathcal{Y}_{W,X}(\mathcal{U}(1)w, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X,\phi} \text{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^{1}(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W',W}(w', e^{\log(-z)}) \theta_{W}(w), 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24},
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{Y}^1 = l_X \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,X} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,X}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W' \boxtimes W,X}.
$$

Now using the fact that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}^{\phi}_X \mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{U}(1)L(-1)\widetilde{w}, 1)q_\tau^{L(0)-c/24} = 0,
$$

which follows from $[Hu2,]$ Equation 1.15, the $L(0)$ -commutator formula, and the fact that the pseudo-trace of a commutator is 0, we get

$$
\begin{split} \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^{1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W',W}(w', e^{\log(-z)}) \theta_{W}(w), 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^{1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) e^{zL(-1)} \mathcal{Y}_{W',W}(w', e^{\pi i} e^{\log(-z) - \pi i}) \theta_{W}(w), 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^{1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) (\mathcal{R}_{W,W'} \circ (\theta_{W} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'})(w, e^{\log(-z) - \pi i}) w', 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{\boxtimes}(w, e^{\log z - 2\pi i}) w', 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \end{split} \tag{5.8}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^1 = l_X \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,X} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,X}^{-1} \circ \circ (\mathcal{R}_{W,W'} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ ((\theta_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{W'}) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',X}
$$

and we have used our assumption that $0 < \arg z < \pi$ to conclude that

$$
\log(-z) - \pi i = \log z - 2\pi i.
$$

We have now shown that (5.7) is equal to a sum of (5.8) on a non-empty open set of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. By analytically continuing the corresponding analytic function along an appropriate loop about the singularity $z = 0$, we may also replace $\log z - 2\pi i$ with $\log z$ in these (pseudo-)traces.

Now [\[HLZ5,](#page-83-1) Proposition 7.8] shows that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{W} \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W}^{W} \bigg(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(w,x) w', 1 \bigg) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} \n= \sum_{X,\phi} \operatorname{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(w,x) w', 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

as formal series in powers of x and $\log x$. That is,

Tr_W
$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W}^{W} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} (w \boxtimes_{h,k} w'), 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X,\phi} \text{Tr}_{X}^{\phi} \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^{1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1)(w \boxtimes_{h,k} w'), 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
(5.9)

for all $h \in \mathbb{Q}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in W$, $w' \in W'$. Since the vectors $w \boxtimes_{h,k} w'$ span $W \boxtimes W'$ (see [\[HLZ3,](#page-83-2) Proposition 4.23]), and since we have assumed there is a coevaluation $i_W : V \to W \boxtimes W'$, we may replace $w \boxtimes_{h,k}^{\prime} w'$ in [\(5.9\)](#page-49-1) with $i_W(v)$ for any $v \in V$. Then by rigidity,

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W}^W \circ (i_W \otimes \text{Id}_W)
$$

= $r_W \circ (\text{Id}_W \boxtimes e_W) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1} \circ (i_W \boxtimes \text{Id}_W) \circ \mathcal{Y}_{V,W} = i_W \circ \mathcal{Y}_{V,W} = Y_W,$

while

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}^1 \circ (i_W \otimes \mathrm{Id}_X) = h_{W,X} \circ l_X \circ \mathcal{Y}_{V,X} = h_{W,X} \circ Y_X.
$$

Thus [\(5.9\)](#page-49-1) specializes to [\(5.5\)](#page-48-2) for any $v \in V$, completing the proof of the theorem.

Using (5.5) , the invertibility of the S-transformation, and the linear independence of characters, we now show that nondegeneracy of the braiding on C follows from rigidity:

Theorem 5.3. Let V be a strongly finite vertex operator algebra and $\mathcal C$ the braided tensor category of grading-restricted generalized V-modules. If C is rigid, then C is a factorizable finite ribbon category.

Proof. By results from $[Hu5]$ and the rigidity assumption, C is a finite braided ribbon category with duals given by contragredient modules and twist $e^{2\pi i L(0)}$. By [\[Sh,](#page-84-4) Theorem 1.1], C is factorizable if it has trivial Müger center. That is, we need to show that if W is a V -module such that $\mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2 = \text{Id}_{W\boxtimes X}$ for all V-modules X, then $W \cong V^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If indeed $\mathcal{R}_{W,X}^2 = \text{Id}_{W\boxtimes X}$ for all V-modules X, then the open Hopf link endomorphism $h_{W,X}$ is simply $(\dim_{\mathcal{C}} W)\mathrm{Id}_X$ for all X, where $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} W$ is the categorical dimension of W; since V is simple, this categorical dimension is just a scalar. Then (5.5) simplifies to

$$
S(\operatorname{ch}_W) = (\dim_{\mathcal{C}} W) S(\operatorname{ch}_V),
$$

or equivalently $ch_W = (dim_{\mathcal{C}} W) ch_V$ since the S-transformation is invertible. Because traces depend only on the semisimplification of a module, ch_W is a linear combination of the characters of the composition factors of W , and since the characters of distinct irreducible V modules are linearly independent, it follows that V is the only composition factor of W (with multiplicity dim_{$\mathcal{C}(W)$}.

Now because C is a finite tensor category over $\mathbb C$ with simple unit object V, [\[EGNO,](#page-82-5) Theorem 4.4.1] shows that all self-extensions of V split. Thus if W is a module in the Müger center of C with length $\ell(W) \leq 2$, we may conclude that $W \cong V^{\oplus \ell(W)}$. The same relation then holds for $\ell(W) > 2$ by a straightforward induction on the length, proving the theorem. \square

Example 5.4. Theorem [5.3](#page-50-0) applies to the non-rational triplet vertex operator algebras $\mathcal{W}(p)$ for $p > 1$ an integer. See [\[AdM\]](#page-81-3) for the definition of $\mathcal{W}(p)$ and the proof that it is a strongly finite vertex operator algebra. The tensor category of grading-restricted generalized $\mathcal{W}(p)$ modules is rigid $[TW]$ (see also $[MY,$ Theorem 7.6), so it is factorizable by Theorem [5.3.](#page-50-0) Note, however, that it is not too difficult to show directly that the braiding on the category of $W(p)$ -modules is nondegenerate, as was observed for example in [\[GN,](#page-82-6) Theorem 4.7].

The even subalgebra $SF^+(d)$ of the vertex operator superalgebra of d pairs of symplectic fermions for $d \geq 1$ is another example of a strongly finite (but non-rational) vertex operator algebra [\[Ab\]](#page-81-4). For $d = 1$, $SF^+(1)$ is isomorphic to the triplet algebra $W(2)$, and more generally, $SF^+(d)$ contains $W(2)^{\otimes d}$ as a vertex operator subalgebra. Thus because the tensor category of $W(2)$ -modules is rigid, it might be possible to use the vertex operator algebra extension theory of $[CKM1]$ to show that the category of $SF^+(d)$ -modules is rigid. If this can be done, then Theorem [5.3](#page-50-0) would show that the category of $SF^+(d)$ -modules is factorizable.

In $[Ru]$, Runkel constructed a braided tensor category, shown to be rigid in $[DR]$, which is expected to be braided tensor equivalent to the category of grading-restricted generalized $SF^+(d)$ -modules. So another way to prove that the tensor category of $SF^+(d)$ -modules is rigid would be to prove this conjectural equivalence.

5.2. Rigidity and rationality. In this subsection, we first prove that the tensor category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V-modules is rigid, under the assumption that the Stransformation $S(\ch_V)$ is a linear combination of characters. Actually, we need a somewhat more general result for the next section, where we will consider the Z-graded subalgebras of C_2 -cofinite but $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded affine W-algebras. Thus we now suppose that V is the fixed-point subalgebra under a finite-order automorphism of a larger vertex operator algebra A:

Assumption 5.5. Assume the following setting:

- A is an N-graded simple C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra with conformal vector ω .
- The S-transformation of ch_A is a linear combination of characters: for certain Amodules X and $s_{A,X} \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\operatorname{ch}_A\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}a; -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sum_X s_{A,X} \operatorname{ch}_X(a; \tau)
$$

for all $a \in A$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

- *V* is the fixed-point vertex operator subalgebra of a finite-order vertex operator algebra automorphism g of A.
- V is N-graded and self-contragredient with respect to a possibly different conformal vector $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + v_{-2}$, where $v \in V$ satisfies $v_0 \omega = 0$. For an object W in the category C of grading-restricted generalized V-modules, θ_W and W' will denote the twist automorphism and contragredient module, respectively, with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$.

Given the setting of Assumption [5.5,](#page-51-0) we make the following observations:

- By the main theorem of $[DLM1]$, V is simple, and by the main theorem of $[Mi4]$ (see also the discussion in $[CM, Section 3.1]$, V is C_2 -cofinite (and N-graded with respect to either conformal vector ω or $\tilde{\omega}$).
- By Proposition [2.17,](#page-18-0) the braided tensor category $\mathcal C$ is independent of whether we use ω or $\tilde{\omega}$ as the conformal vector of V. In particular, the A-modules X appearing in the S-transformation of ch_A are objects of C when considered as V-modules.
- We are using the conformal vector ω to define characters of A- and V-modules, since A might not be N-graded as a vertex operator algebra with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$.
- We must distinguish between the twist isomorphisms θ_W defined using $\tilde{\omega}$ and the maps $e^{2\pi i L(0)}$ defined using ω . Both, however, define natural isomorphisms in C since V (although not necessarily A) is N-graded with respect to both ω and $\tilde{\omega}$.
- Since V is self-contragredient and N-graded with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$, all the constructions and results of Subsection [3.2](#page-21-0) apply in this setting, provided we use contragredient modules W' and twist isomorphisms θ_W constructed using $\tilde{\omega}$.

We now prove the following fundamental relation using the Moore-Seiberg-Huang method again. The result and its proof are similar to much of the work in $[CM,$ Sections 5.3 and 5.4], especially Lemmas 5.15 and 5.16, and Propositions 5.17 and 5.23. The statement involves the V-module homomorphisms $\Phi_W : W \boxtimes W' \to (W \boxtimes W')'$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}_W : (W \boxtimes W')' \boxtimes W \to W$ defined in Subsection [3.2:](#page-21-0)

Theorem 5.6. Under Assumption [5.5,](#page-51-0) let W be a grading-restricted generalized V-module such that $(\mathcal{R}_{W,A}^2)^{-1} = \text{Id}_W \boxtimes g^m$ for $0 \leq m \leq |g|-1$. Then for any $\widetilde{w} \in W \boxtimes W'$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(g^{m} \circ Y_{A})\left(\mathcal{U}(1)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}\widetilde{e}_{W}(\widetilde{w}), 1\right)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}
$$
\n
$$
=\sum_{X} s_{A,X} \operatorname{Tr}_{W\boxtimes X} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{W},X}\left(\mathcal{U}(1)[\Phi_{W} \circ (f \boxtimes \operatorname{Id}_{W'})](\widetilde{w}), 1\right)q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}, \quad (5.10)
$$

where $f = e^{2\pi i L(0)} \theta_W^{-1} \in \text{End}_V(W)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{\Psi_W, X} = (\Psi_W \boxtimes \text{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{(W \boxtimes W')', W, X} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{(W \boxtimes W')', W \boxtimes X}$ is of type $\begin{pmatrix} W\boxtimes X \\ (W\boxtimes W')' W\boxtimes X \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. Fix $w \in W$, $w' \in W'$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. For this proof, the idea is to analyze

$$
\sum_{X} s_{A,X} Z_{W,X}(w', f(w); -z - \tau, \tau)
$$
\n(5.11)

in two different ways.

On the one hand, Proposition [4.8](#page-43-0) says that for each X ,

$$
Z_{W,X}(w',f(w);-z-\tau,\tau)=\operatorname{Tr}_{W\boxtimes X}\mathcal{Y}^{W\boxtimes X}_{W\boxtimes W',W\boxtimes X}\left(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}(f(w),e^{\log z})w',1\right)q_\tau^{L(0)-c/24}
$$

when $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$, and then using Lemma [3.7\(](#page-26-0)2) we get

 $\mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W', W \boxtimes X}^{W \boxtimes X}$

$$
= (r_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ ((\mathrm{Id} \boxtimes e_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ (\mathcal{A}_{W,W',W}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W',W,X} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W \boxtimes X}
$$

$$
= (\widetilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ ((\Phi_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_W) \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W \boxtimes W',W,X} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W \boxtimes W',W \boxtimes X}
$$

$$
= (\widetilde{\Psi}_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \mathcal{A}_{(W \boxtimes W')',W,X} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{(W \boxtimes W')',W \boxtimes X} \circ (\Phi_W \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes X})
$$

$$
= \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W,X} \circ (\Phi_W \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes X}).
$$

Since Φ_W commutes with $\mathcal{U}(1)$, this shows that (5.11) is equal to

$$
\sum_{X} s_{A,X} \operatorname{Tr}_{W\boxtimes X} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W, X} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) (\Phi_W \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W,W'}) (f(w), e^{\log z}) w', 1 \right) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} \tag{5.12}
$$

when $(z, \tau) \in U_1^{prod} \cap U_1^{it}$. We then obtain the right side of (5.10) by taking coefficients of powers of z and $log z$ in (5.12) and allowing w and w' to vary.

On the other hand, assume in addition that $z + \tau \in P_\tau$, which is to say that $-z \in P_\tau - 1$, and that $\left(\frac{z}{\tau}\right)$ $\frac{z}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) \in U_1^{it}$. That is,

$$
0<|z|<|\tau|R_{-1/\tau}, \quad \arg \frac{z}{\tau} \neq \pi.
$$

Note that the $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying all assumptions so far form a non-empty open set. Then Lemma [5.1](#page-46-3) and Proposition [4.6](#page-41-2) show that [\(5.11\)](#page-52-0) equals

$$
Z_{W,A}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}f(w);\frac{z+\tau}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)
$$

= $Z_{W,A}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}f(w);\frac{z}{\tau}+1,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)$
= $\text{Tr}_A\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}_{W',W}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w',e^{\log\frac{z}{\tau}}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}e^{-2\pi iL(0)}f(w),1\right)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24}$

$$
= \operatorname{Tr}_A \mathcal{Y}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}_{W',W} \left(w', e^{\log \frac{z}{\tau} - \log \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)} \right) \theta_W^{-1}(w), 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}.
$$
 (5.13)

Since we are in particular assuming $-z \in P_\tau - 1$, which means that $\arg \tau < \arg(-z) < \pi$, and since $\arg(-\frac{1}{\tau})$ $(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \pi - \arg \tau$, we have

$$
\log \frac{z}{\tau} = \ln \left| \frac{z}{\tau} \right| + i \left[\arg(-z) + \arg\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) - 2\pi \right] = \log(-z) + \log\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) - 2\pi i.
$$

This allows us to simplify the right side of [\(5.13\)](#page-53-0); we get further simplification from

$$
\mathcal{Y}^{-1} = l_A \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_A) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,A} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes (\mathcal{R}_{W,A}^2)^{-1}) \circ \mathcal{A}_{W',W,A}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W' \boxtimes W,A}
$$

= $g^m \circ l_A \circ (e_W \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_A) \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W' \boxtimes W,A}$
= $g^m \circ l_A \circ \mathcal{Y}_{V,A} \circ ((e_W \otimes \mathrm{Id}_A)).$

Since $l_A \circ \mathcal{Y}_{V,A} = Y_A$ and e_W commutes with $\mathcal{U}(1)$, the right side of [\(5.13\)](#page-53-0) equals

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(g^{m} \circ Y_{A}) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{E}_{W} \left(w', e^{\log(-z) - 2\pi i} \right) \theta_{W}^{-1}(w), 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$
(5.14)

Next, the definition of \tilde{e}_W and properties of θ (namely, the balancing equation, $\theta_V = \text{Id}_V$, and $\theta_{W'} = \theta'_{W}$) imply

$$
e_W = \widetilde{e}_W \circ \mathcal{R}_{W,W'}^{-1} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \theta_W^{-1}) = \widetilde{e}_W \circ \mathcal{R}_{W',W} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{W'} \boxtimes \theta_W).
$$

Consequently, [\(5.14\)](#page-53-1) equals

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A} (g^{m} \circ Y_{A}) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} (\tilde{e}_{W} \circ \mathcal{R}_{W',W} \circ \mathcal{Y}_{W',W}) \left(w', e^{\log(-z) - 2\pi i} \right) w, 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$
\n
$$
= \operatorname{Tr}_{A} (g^{m} \circ Y_{A}) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} e^{-zL(-1)} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{W} \left(w, e^{\log(-z) - \pi i} \right) w', 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$
\n
$$
= \operatorname{Tr}_{A} (g^{m} \circ Y_{A}) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) e^{\frac{z}{\tau}L(-1)} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{W} \left(w, e^{\log(-z) - \pi i} \right) w', 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$

Now, we can remove the factor of $e^{\frac{z}{\tau}L(-1)}$ because

$$
\text{Tr}_A(g^m \circ Y_A)(\mathcal{U}(1)L(-1)v, 1)q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0)-c/24} = 0
$$

for all $v \in V$ by [\[Hu2,](#page-82-0) Equation 1.15], as in the proof of Theorem [5.2](#page-48-3) (see also [\[Zh,](#page-84-1) Proposition 4.3.1]). Moreover, since we are assuming that $0 < \arg(-z) < \pi$, we have

$$
\log(-z) = \log z + \pi i.
$$

Therefore, we have now shown that for any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{A}(g^{m} \circ Y_{A}) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{W}(w, e^{\log z}) w', 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$
(5.15)

is equal to [\(5.10\)](#page-52-1), and therefore also to [\(5.12\)](#page-52-2), on a non-empty open set of $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Since (5.12) and (5.15) are series in powers of z and $log z$ which are equal as analytic functions on a non-empty open set of $\mathbb C$, their coefficients are equal (see [\[HLZ5,](#page-83-1) Proposition 7.8]). This proves the theorem because $W \boxtimes W'$ is spanned by vectors $w \boxtimes_{h,k} W'$ for $w \in W$, $w' \in W'$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [\[HLZ3,](#page-83-2) Proposition 4.23]).

We can now prove that the category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V-modules is rigid:

Theorem 5.7. Under Assumption [5.5,](#page-51-0) the tensor category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized V -modules is rigid, with duals given by contragredients with respect to the conformal vector $\tilde{\omega}$. In particular, C is a braided ribbon category with twist θ defined using $\tilde{\omega}$.

Proof. Since every grading-restricted generalized V-module has finite length, it is enough to show that all simple V-modules are rigid (see $\lbrack \text{CMY1}, \text{ Theorem 4.4.1} \rbrack$). For W simple, we first need to verify the condition on the monodromy of W with A in Theorem [5.6.](#page-52-3) By the main theorem of $[DLM1]$, A has the following decomposition as a V -module:

$$
A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}} A_n \tag{5.16}
$$

where

$$
A_n = \{ a \in A \mid g \cdot a = e^{2\pi i n/|g|} a \}
$$

and the A_n are distinct simple V-modules (with $A_0 = V$). Moreover, the A_n are simple current V-modules by $[CM, Theorem 4.2]$, or more specifically,

$$
A_m \boxtimes A_n \cong A_{m+n} \tag{5.17}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$. Then if W is a simple V-module, so is each $W \boxtimes A_n$, so that $(\mathcal{R}_{W,A_n}^2)^{-1} =$ $c_n \operatorname{Id}_{W \boxtimes A_n}$ for some $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ (with $c_0 = 1$). From [\(5.17\)](#page-54-0) and the hexagon axiom, $c_{m+n} = c_m c_n$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$, which means that $c_1 = e^{2\pi i m/|g|}$ for some $0 \le m \le |g| - 1$. Thus

$$
(\mathcal{R}_{W,A_n}^2)^{-1} = e^{2\pi i mn/|g|} \mathrm{Id}_{W \boxtimes A_n}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$, that is, $(\mathcal{R}_{W,A}^2)^{-1} = \text{Id}_W \boxtimes g^m$.

We may now apply Theorem [5.6](#page-52-3) to check the rigidity criterion of Theorem [3.8.](#page-27-0) Since V is simple, and recalling the V-module isomorphism $\varphi: V \to V'$, it is enough to show that $(\widetilde{e}_W)'(\varphi(v)) \in \text{Im } \Phi_W \text{ for some non-zero } v \in V.$

To do so, fix an arbitrary conformal weight $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose a basis ${v_i}_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}}$ of the conformal weight space $V_{(n)}$ with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$. Then let $\{v_i'\}_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}}$ be the dual basis of $V_{(n)}$ in the sense that

$$
\langle \varphi(v_i'), v_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}.
$$

Then for any $\widetilde{w} \in (W \boxtimes W')_{[n]}$ (again using conformal weights with respect to $\widetilde{\omega}$),

$$
\widetilde{e}_W(\widetilde{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} \langle \varphi(v_i'), \widetilde{e}_W(\widetilde{w}) \rangle v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} \langle (\widetilde{e}_W)'(\varphi(v_i')), \widetilde{w} \rangle v_i.
$$

Now for any $v \in V$, consider

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_A(g^m \circ Y_A) \bigg(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} v, 1 \bigg) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}.
$$

By [\(5.10\)](#page-52-1) and the surjectivity of \tilde{e}_W , this function of τ has a series expansion in powers of q_{τ} with no log q_{τ} terms. For an arbitrary fixed weight $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ (now with respect to ω), let $C_h(v)$ denote the coefficient of $q_\tau^{h-c/24}$ in this expansion. Thus Theorem [5.6](#page-52-3) says that for any $\widetilde{w} \in (W \boxtimes W')_{[n]},$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} C_h(v_i) \left\langle (\widetilde{e}_W)'(\varphi(v_i')), \widetilde{w} \right\rangle
$$

$$
= \sum_{X} s_{A,X} \operatorname{Tr}_{(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W, X} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) [\Phi_W \circ (f \boxtimes \operatorname{Id}_{W'})] (\widetilde{w}), 1 \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{A,X} \left\langle b'_{X;j}, \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W, X} \left(\mathcal{U}(1) [\Phi_W \circ (f \boxtimes \operatorname{Id}_{W'})] (\widetilde{w}), 1 \right) b_{X;j} \right\rangle, \quad (5.18)
$$

where ${b_{X;j}}$ is a basis of $(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}$ and ${b'_{X;j}}$ is the corresponding dual basis of $(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}^*$, which we have embedded into $(W \boxtimes X)^*$ such that $\langle b'_{X;j}, b \rangle = 0$ for $b \in \bigoplus_{h' \neq h} (W \boxtimes X)_{[h']}$.

Now, since h is a conformal weight for ω , the dual basis vectors $b'_{X;j}$ are elements of the graded dual of $W \boxtimes X$ with respect to ω , and then Proposition [2.6](#page-11-0) says they are also vectors in the contragredient module $(W \boxtimes X)'$ with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$. So we now view the bilinear pairings
on the vight side of (5.18) as these hoteves $W \boxtimes Y$ and its $\tilde{\omega}$ contragredient. As we continue on the right side of [\(5.18\)](#page-55-0) as those between $W \boxtimes X$ and its $\tilde{\omega}$ -contragredient. As we continue to analyze (5.18) using (2.3) and (2.4) , we will slightly abuse notation and use $L(0)$, $L(1)$ to denote the vertex operator modes for $\tilde{\omega}$, since ω will only be relevant to the conformal weight label of $(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}$. Also, because W is simple, the homomorphism $f \in \text{End}_V(W)$ is simply a non-zero scalar multiple $c \, \text{Id}_W$. Thus we now rewrite (5.18) as follows:

$$
c^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} C_h(v_i) \langle (\widetilde{e}_W)'(\varphi(v_i')), \widetilde{w} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{A,X} \langle e^{L(1)}b'_{X;j}, \Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W,X}) (b_{X;j}, e^{-\pi i}) \mathcal{U}(1) \Phi_W(\widetilde{w}) \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{A,X} \langle A_0(\Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W,X})) (e^{\pi i L(0)} e^{L(1)} b_{X;j}, e^{\pi i}) e^{L(1)} b'_{X;j}, \mathcal{U}(1) \Phi_W(\widetilde{w}) \rangle.
$$

Note that $A_0(\Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W,X}))$ is an intertwining operator of type $\binom{(W\boxtimes W')''}{W\boxtimes X(W\boxtimes Y)}$ $\frac{(W \boxtimes W')''}{W \boxtimes X}(\frac{W \boxtimes W'}{W})$; let us use $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{W,X}$ to denote the intertwining operator $\delta_{W\boxtimes W'}^{-1} \circ A_0(\Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{\Psi}_W,X}))$ of type $(W\boxtimes X(W\boxtimes X)')$. We also use $\mathcal{U}(1)^\circ$ to denote the operator on $\overline{W \boxtimes W'} = ((W \boxtimes W')')^*$ that is adjoint to the operator $\mathcal{U}(1)$ on $(W \boxtimes W')'$. Using this notation and Proposition [3.9,](#page-29-0) we continue to calculate

$$
c^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} C_h(v_i) \langle (\tilde{e}_W)'(\varphi(v_i')), \tilde{w} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim (W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{A,X} \langle (\Phi_W' \circ \delta_{W \boxtimes W'})(\tilde{w}), \mathcal{U}(1) \circ \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{W,X} \left(e^{\pi i L(0)} e^{L(1)} b_{X;j}, e^{\pi i} \right) e^{L(1)} b'_{X;j} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim (W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{A,X} \langle \Phi_W \left(\pi_n \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \circ \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{W,X} \left(e^{\pi i L(0)} e^{L(1)} b_{X;j}, e^{\pi i} \right) e^{L(1)} b'_{X;j} \right) \right), \tilde{w} \rangle \tag{5.19}
$$

where $\pi_n : \overline{W \boxtimes W'} \to (W \boxtimes W')_{[n]}$ is the the projection.

Both sides of [\(5.19\)](#page-55-1) vanish for $\tilde{\omega} \in \bigoplus_{\tilde{h} \neq n} (W \boxtimes W')_{\tilde{[h]}}$, so we have now shown that

$$
(\widetilde{e}_W)'\bigg(c^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}}C_h(v_i)\varphi(v_i')\bigg)\in \operatorname{Im}\Phi_W
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in \mathbb{Q}$. It remains to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} C_h(v_i) \varphi(v_i') \neq 0$ for some n and h. If not, then the linear independence of the $\varphi(v_i')$, ranging over all conformal weights n, would imply that $C_h(v) = 0$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Q}$, $v \in V$. By the definition of $C_h(v)$, this would mean

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_A(g^m \circ Y_A) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} v, 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24} = 0 \tag{5.20}
$$

for all $v \in V$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Now the decomposition [\(5.16\)](#page-54-1) yields

$$
g^m\circ Y_A=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}}e^{2\pi i mn/|g|}Y_{A_n},
$$

so [\(5.20\)](#page-56-0) would imply $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}}e^{2\pi imn/|g|}S(\text{ch}_{A_n})=0$. But since the S-transformation is invertible, this would contradict the linear independence of characters of non-isomorphic irreducible V-modules; so in fact $\sum_{i=1}^{\dim V_{(n)}} C_h(v_i) \varphi(v_i') \neq 0$ for some n and h, as required. \Box

Remark 5.8. The proof of Theorem [5.7](#page-54-2) simplifies in the case that $A = V$, $g = \text{Id}_V$, $\tilde{\omega} = \omega$, and $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}1$ (that is, V has positive energy). In this case, we can choose the isomorphism $\varphi: V \to V'$ to satisfy $\langle \varphi(1), 1 \rangle = 1$, and then (5.19) specializes to

$$
\begin{split} (\tilde{e}_W)'(\varphi(\mathbf{1})) \\ &= \frac{\sum_X \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{V,X} \, \Phi_W \left(\pi_0 \left(\mathcal{U}(1)^o \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{W,X} \left(e^{\pi i L(0)} e^{L(1)} b_{X;j}, e^{\pi i} \right) e^{L(1)} b'_{X;j} \right) \right)}{\sum_X s_{V,X} \dim X_{[h]}}, \end{split}
$$

where $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ is chosen so that the denominator is non-zero. Combining this formula with the proof of Proposition [3.6,](#page-24-0) we obtain a somewhat explicit formula for the coevaluation:

$$
i_W(1) = \frac{\sum_X \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}} s_{V,X} \, \pi_0 \left(\mathcal{U}(1)^o \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{W,X} \left(e^{\pi i L(0)} e^{L(1)} b_{X;j}, e^{\pi i} \right) e^{L(1)} b'_{X;j} \right)}{\sum_X s_{V,X} \dim X_{[h]}}.
$$

By working out the intertwining operators $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{W,X}$ precisely, one can then obtain a formula for the categorical dimension of W, defined by $\tilde{e}_W \circ i_W = (\dim_{\mathcal{C}} W) \mathrm{Id}_V$:

$$
\dim_{\mathcal{C}} W = \frac{\sum_{X} s_{V,X} \dim(W \boxtimes X)_{[h]}}{\sum_{X} s_{V,X} \dim X_{[h]}}.
$$

On the other hand, [\(5.5\)](#page-48-2) implies dim_C $W = \frac{s_{W,V}}{s_{V,V}}$ $\frac{SW,V}{SV,V}$, where for irreducible V-modules X, the Smatrix entries $s_{W,X}$ are defined by $S(\text{ch}_W) = \sum_{X} s_{W,X} \text{ch}_X$. These two categorical dimension formulas are related by the Verlinde formula $\widetilde{[Ve, MS, Hu3]}$ $\widetilde{[Ve, MS, Hu3]}$ $\widetilde{[Ve, MS, Hu3]}$ $\widetilde{[Ve, MS, Hu3]}$ $\widetilde{[Ve, MS, Hu3]}$.

We note the $A = V$, $g = \text{Id}_V$, $\tilde{\omega} = \omega$ case of Theorem [5.7](#page-54-2) as a corollary:

Corollary 5.9. Let V be a strongly finite vertex operator algebra. If $S(\text{ch}_V)$ is a linear combination of characters, then the tensor category $\mathcal C$ of grading-restricted generalized Vmodules is rigid. In particular, $\mathcal C$ is a finite braided ribbon category.

In [\[Zh\]](#page-84-1), Zhu showed that if V is rational and C_2 -cofinite, then the linear span of the characters of V is indeed closed under the S-transformation. However, as is observed for instance in $[AvE, Theorem 5.1]$, rationality of V is not necessary in Zhu's proof: the semisimplicity of the Zhu algebra $A(V)$ is sufficient. Thus we may combine Corollary [5.9](#page-56-1) with Theorems [3.4](#page-20-0) and [5.3](#page-50-0) to conclude our final main theorem:

Theorem 5.10. Let V be a strongly finite vertex operator algebra. If the Zhu algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple, then V is rational. In particular, the category of grading-restricted generalized V-modules is a semisimple modular tensor category, and if V has positive energy, then V is strongly rational.

For the next section, we need to generalize Theorem [5.10](#page-57-0) to the setting of Assumption [5.5:](#page-51-0)

Theorem 5.11. Under Assumption [5.5,](#page-51-0) suppose further that the Zhu algebra of A with respect to ω is semisimple. Then the category of grading-restricted generalized V-modules is semisimple. In particular, V is rational, and if V has positive energy with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$, then V (with conformal vector $\tilde{\omega}$) is strongly rational.

Proof. By Theorem [5.7,](#page-54-2) the tensor category $\mathcal C$ is rigid, and then since the hypotheses of Theorem [5.3](#page-50-0) hold for V when we use $\tilde{\omega}$ as conformal vector, C is a factorizable finite ribbon category. This means that Proposition [3.3](#page-20-1) holds for any irreducible V-module W . As noted in the proof of Theorem [3.4,](#page-20-0) to prove $\mathcal C$ is semisimple, it is enough to show that V is projective as a V -module. We aim to prove this by applying the inductive argument of Theorem [3.4](#page-20-0) to show that $P_W \cong W$ for a suitable subset of irreducible V-modules W that includes V.

To define suitable subcategories of C, we consider the open Hopf link endomorphisms $h_{A_n,W}$ for modules W in C, with A_n given by [\(5.16\)](#page-54-1). Since the open Hopf link gives a representation of the tensor ring of C on End_V (W) (see for example [\[CG,](#page-81-7) Section 3.1.3]), [\(5.17\)](#page-54-0) yields

$$
h_{A_{m+n},W} = h_{A_m,W} \circ h_{A_n,W}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $h_{A_1,W}^{|g|} = \text{Id}_W$, so $h_{A_1,W}$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues of the form $e^{2\pi i n/|g|}$, $0 \le n \le |g|-1$, for every module W in C. Also, because $h_{A_1,W}$ is a V-module homomorphism, the $h_{A_1,W}$ -eigenspaces are V-module direct summands of W.

Because categorical dimension gives a representation of the tensor ring of C on \mathbb{C} , dim_C A₁ is also a |g|th root of unity. Now for $0 \le n \le |g| - 1$, we define \mathcal{C}^n to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal C$ whose objects W satisfy

$$
\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathcal{C}} A_1} h_{A_1,W} = e^{2\pi i n/|g|} \mathrm{Id}_W.
$$

By the above discussion, every indecomposable module in $\mathcal C$ is an object of $\mathcal C^n$ for some n, and V is an object of \mathcal{C}^0 because $h_{A_1,V} = (\dim_{\mathcal{C}} A_1) \mathrm{Id}_V$. Moreover, because $h_{A_1,\bullet}$ is a natural automorphism of the identity functor on \mathcal{C} ,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W,X)=0
$$

whenever W and X are objects of \mathcal{C}^m and \mathcal{C}^n , respectively, with $m \neq n$ in $\mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$. Thus as a category, C has a direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{C}^n$. This implies that each \mathcal{C}^n is closed under subquotients, and if an irreducible V-module is an object of \mathcal{C}^n , then so is its projective cover.

We will apply the argument of Theorem [3.4](#page-20-0) to show that $P_W \cong W$ when W is an irreducible V-module in \mathcal{C}^0 . This will show in particular that V is a projective V-module and thus prove the theorem. To begin, we claim that a V-module W is an object of \mathcal{C}^0 if and only if its monodromy with A_1 is trivial. Indeed, if W is an object of \mathcal{C}^0 , then

$$
\mathrm{Id}_W = \frac{1}{\dim_{\mathcal{C}} A_1} h_{A_1, W} = l_W \circ f \circ A_{A'_1, A_1, W} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{A'_1} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{A_1, W}^2) \circ A_{A'_1, A_1, W}^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ l_W^{-1},
$$

where $f = \frac{1}{\dim A}$ $\frac{1}{\dim_{\mathcal{C}} A_1} e_{A_1}$ is an isomorphism with inverse

$$
f^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_{A_1,A_1'} \circ (\theta_{A_1} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{A_1'}) \circ i_{A_1}
$$

because A_1 is a simple current. It follows that $\mathrm{Id}_{A'_1} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{A_1,W}^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{A'_1 \boxtimes (A_1 \boxtimes W)}$, and this implies

$$
F\circ (\mathrm{Id}_{A_1}\boxtimes (\mathrm{Id}_{A_1'}\boxtimes \mathcal{R}_{A_1,W}^2))\circ F^{-1}=\mathrm{Id}_{A_1\boxtimes W},
$$

where

$$
F=l_{A_1\boxtimes W}\circ (\widetilde e_{A_1}\boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{A_1\boxtimes W})\circ \mathcal A_{A_1,A_1',A_1\boxtimes W};
$$

again \tilde{e}_{A_1} is invertible because A_1 is a simple current. But by naturality of the associativity and unit isomorphisms, the left side is $\mathcal{R}^2_{A_1,W}$, so $\mathcal{R}^2_{A_1,W} = \text{Id}_{A_1,W}$. Conversely, the definitions show that if $\mathcal{R}_{A_1,W}^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{A_1,W}$, then $h_{A_1,W} = (\dim_{\mathcal{C}} A_1) \mathrm{Id}_W$, so W is an object of \mathcal{C}^0 .

Now by the simple current property [\(5.17\)](#page-54-0) and the hexagon axiom, W is an object of \mathcal{C}^0 if and only if $\mathcal{R}^2_{A_n,W} = \mathrm{Id}_{A_n,W}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$ (see for example [\[CKL,](#page-81-8) Theorem 2.11(2)]), that is, if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{A,W}^2 = \text{Id}_{A,W}$. Then by [\[CKM1,](#page-81-5) Proposition 2.65], W is an object of \mathcal{C}^0 if and only if the induced module $A \boxtimes W$ (see for example [\[CKM1,](#page-81-5) Section 2.7]) is a gradingrestricted generalized A-module. Note that as a V-module, $A \boxtimes W \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{A}_n \boxtimes W$, so because $V = A_0$, $A \boxtimes W$ contains W as a V-module direct summand. We will use these induced modules and the assumed semisimplicity of the Zhu algebra of A to prove that irreducible V-modules W in \mathcal{C}^0 are projective.

Before doing so, we make two further claims. First, if W is a module in \mathcal{C}^0 , then so is $A_n \boxtimes W$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, by the hexagon axiom and the above characterization of \mathcal{C}^0 , this follows from $\mathcal{R}^2_{A_1,A_n} = \mathrm{Id}_{A_1 \boxtimes A_n}$. This in turn holds because under the isomorphism $A_1 \boxtimes A_n \cong A_{n+1}$ of (5.17) , the tensor product intertwining operator \mathcal{Y}_{A_1,A_n} may be identified with $Y_A|_{A_1\otimes A_n}$, which has no monodromy. Secondly, if W is any irreducible module in C and $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$, then we may take the projective cover $P_{A_n\boxtimes W}$ to be $A_n \boxtimes P_W$. To see this, note that $A_n \boxtimes P_W$ is projective because P_W is projective and A_n is rigid, so there is a surjection $q: A_n \boxtimes P_W \to P_{A_n \boxtimes W}$ such that the diagram

commutes. Then because $P_{A_n\boxtimes W}$ is projective, there is an injection $\tilde{q}: P_{A_n\boxtimes W} \to A_n \boxtimes P_W$ such that $q \circ \tilde{q} = \text{Id}_{P_{A_n} \boxtimes W}$, that is, $P_{A_n} \boxtimes W$ is a direct summand of $A_n \boxtimes P_W$. But $A_n \boxtimes P_W$ is indecomposable since P_W is indecomposable and A_n is a simple current, so in fact q is an isomorphism that identifies the projective cover $(P_{A_n \boxtimes W}, p_{A_n \boxtimes W})$ with $(A_n \boxtimes P_W, \text{Id}_{A_n} \boxtimes p_W)$.

Now we apply the argument of Theorem [3.4](#page-20-0) to irreducible modules W in \mathcal{C}^0 . First suppose that the lowest conformal weight h_W of W (with respect to the conformal vector ω) is minimal among the lowest conformal weights of all irreducible V-modules in \mathcal{C}^0 . In particular, $h_{A_n\boxtimes W} \ge h_W$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$, and the same holds for the minimal conformal weights of the projective covers $P_{A_n\boxtimes W} \cong A_n \boxtimes P_W$ since \mathcal{C}^0 is closed under subquotients. Thus h_W is the minimal conformal weight of the induced A-modules $A \boxtimes W$ and $A \boxtimes P_W$, so the A-module surjection $\mathrm{Id}_A \boxtimes p_W : A \boxtimes P_W \to A \boxtimes W$ restricts to a Zhu algebra module surjection

$$
p: (A \boxtimes P_W)_{[h_W]} \to (A \boxtimes W)_{[h_W]}
$$

As the Zhu algebra of A is semisimple, this surjection splits, and we get

$$
q: (A \boxtimes W)_{[h_W]} \to (A \boxtimes P_W)_{[h_W]}
$$

such that $p \circ q = \text{Id}_{(A \boxtimes W)_{[h_W]}}$. If $\pi : (A \boxtimes P_W)_{[h_W]} \to (P_W)_{[h_W]}$ denotes the $A(V, \omega)$ -module projection, we also get $p \circ \pi \circ q|_{W_{[h_W]}} = \text{Id}_{W_{[h_W]}}$ since p is a direct sum of homomorphisms

$$
(\mathrm{Id}_{A_n}\boxtimes p_W)|_{(A_n\boxtimes P_W)_{[h_W]}}:(A_n\boxtimes P_W)_{[h_W]}\to (A_n\boxtimes W)_{[h_W]}.
$$

This shows that the $A(V, \omega)$ -module surjection $(p_W)|_{[h_W]} : (P_W)_{[h_W]} \to W_{[h_W]}$ splits, and it follows that $P_W \cong W$ exactly as in the proof of Theorem [3.4.](#page-20-0) The V-module W is then also injective, as in the proof of Theorem [3.4.](#page-20-0)

Now if the lowest conformal weight h_W is not minimal, suppose by induction that any irreducible module X in \mathcal{C}^0 with $h_X < h_W$ is both projective and injective in C. Again we consider the induced A-module $A \boxtimes W \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/[q]\mathbb{Z}} A_n \boxtimes W$. If $h_{A_n \boxtimes W} < h_W$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|q|\mathbb{Z}$, then W is projective (and injective) because

$$
W\cong V\boxtimes W\cong (A'_n\boxtimes A_n)\boxtimes W\cong A'_n\boxtimes (A_n\boxtimes W)
$$

and because $A_n \boxtimes W$ is projective by the inductive hypothesis. Thus we may assume that h_W is the lowest conformal weight of $A \boxtimes W$. Then h_W is also the lowest conformal weight of $A \boxtimes P_W$ since if $A_n \boxtimes P_W \cong P_{A_n \boxtimes W}$ had a composition factor X with $h_X < h_W$, then X would be a direct summand of the indecomposable module $P_{A_n\boxtimes W}$ not isomorphic to $A_n\boxtimes W$ (since X would be projective and injective by the inductive hypothesis). Now the argument of the preceding paragraph shows that $P_W \cong W$, so W is projective and injective in C. As noted above, this proves the theorem because V itself is a simple V-module in \mathcal{C}^0 \Box

Remark 5.12. Theorem [5.11](#page-57-1) recovers Carnahan and Miyamoto's cyclic orbifold rationality result [\[CM\]](#page-81-0) with somewhat weaker hypotheses and a somewhat different proof. As noted previously, the calculations in Theorem [5.6](#page-52-3) are essentially equivalent to much of the work in $\mathcal{C}M$, Sections 5.3 and 5.4, but assuming A is rational (not just that its Zhu algebra is semisimple) $\lfloor CM \rfloor$, Theorem 4.4 gives a simpler direct argument that V is projective as a V-module. (This argument was generalized to non-orbifold-type extensions of V in $[MeR2]$, and we will use this generalization to study coset vertex operator algebras in Subsection [6.2\)](#page-65-0).

If one knows directly that V is projective, there is another argument to prove $\mathcal C$ is rigid easier than that in Theorem [5.7.](#page-54-2) Indeed, projectivity of V implies there is a coevaluation candidate $i_W : V \to W \boxtimes W'$ such that $\widetilde{e}_W \circ i_W = \text{Id}_V$. Then taking $\widetilde{w} = i_W(v)$, and assuming $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$ for simplicity, [\(5.10\)](#page-52-1) simplifies to

$$
\mathrm{Tr}_{A} (g^{m} \circ Y_{A}) \left(\mathcal{U}(1) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} v, 1 \right) q_{-1/\tau}^{L(0) - c/24}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{X} s_{A,X} \mathrm{Tr}_{W \boxtimes X} ((\mathfrak{R}_{W} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_{X}) \circ Y_{W \boxtimes X}) (\mathcal{U}(1)v, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0) - c/24},
$$

where \mathfrak{R}_W is the rigidity composition defined in the proof of Proposition [3.6.](#page-24-0) Since the left side is non-zero by the argument concluding the proof of Theorem [5.7,](#page-54-2) \mathfrak{R}_W must also be nonzero. Assuming as we may that W is simple, \mathfrak{R}_W is then a non-zero scalar multiple of Id_W , and we can rescale i_W so that $\Re_W = \text{Id}_W$. In particular, we do not need the homomorphism Φ_W in this proof of rationality for finite cyclic orbifold vertex operator algebras.

Remark 5.13. In the proof of Theorem [5.11,](#page-57-1) we used pseudo-traces only to show that the category $\mathcal C$ of V-modules is factorizable (via Theorem [5.3\)](#page-50-0). However, in the setting of Assumption [5.5,](#page-51-0) we do not really need pseudo-traces to prove Theorem [5.3.](#page-50-0) Indeed, repeating the calculations in the proof of Theorem 5.2 with V replaced by A yields

$$
S(\text{ch}_{W\boxtimes A})(v;\tau) = \sum_{X} s_{A,X} \text{ Tr}_X (h_{W,X} \circ Y_X)(\mathcal{U}(1)v, 1) q_{\tau}^{L(0)-c/24},
$$

with $h_{W,X}$ defined using $e^{2\pi i L(0)}$ (with respect to ω) rather than the twist θ_W (with respect to $\tilde{\omega}$). Similar to the proof of Theorem [5.3,](#page-50-0) this shows that if W is an object in the Müger center of C, then ch_{W⊠A} is a multiple of ch_A, that is,

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{|g|-1} \text{ch}_{W \boxtimes A_m} = c \sum_{n=0}^{|g|-1} \text{ch}_{A_n}
$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Because ch_W appears on the left side of the above equation and because characters of distinct irreducible V-modules are linearly independent, all composition factors of W must come from the A_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|\mathbb{Z}$. But $A_0 = V$ is the only A_n in the Müger center of \mathcal{C} , because if X is an irreducible submodule of a g -twisted A-module (which exists by [\[DLM2,](#page-82-10) Theorem 9.1]), then the simple current property of A_n and the definition of g-twisted module implies $\mathcal{R}^2_{A_n,X} = e^{-2\pi i n/|g|} \boxtimes \mathrm{Id}_X$. It is easy to see using exactness of the tensor product on $\mathcal C$ and naturality of the monodromy isomorphisms that the Müger center is closed under subquotients, so V is the only composition factor of any object in the Müger center. This implies $\mathcal C$ is factorizable, as in the proof of Theorem [5.3.](#page-50-0)

6. Applications

In this section, we use Corollary [5.9,](#page-56-1) Theorem [5.10,](#page-57-0) and Theorem [5.11](#page-57-1) to prove rationality for some examples of C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras.

6.1. Rationality for C_2 -cofinite W-algebras. Affine W-algebras are a large class of vertex operator (super)algebras that generalize vertex operator (super)algebras based on affine Lie (super)algebras. For the discussion here, we mainly use $[AvE]$ as a reference.

Given a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , a nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, and a level $k \in \mathbb{C}$, the universal affine W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g},f)$ is the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction, relative to f, of the universal affine vertex operator algebra $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to \mathfrak{g} at level k [\[FF,](#page-82-11) [KRW\]](#page-83-4). Then $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is defined to be the unique simple quotient of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. In [\[KW2\]](#page-83-5), Kac and Wakimoto conjectured that a class of simple affine W-algebras called *exceptional* are rational; later in $[Ara3]$, Arakawa proved that a larger class of simple W-algebras are C_2 -cofinite and conjectured that these are also rational. In $[{\rm Ara4}]$, Arakawa proved that exceptional W-algebras associated to principal nilpotent elements are rational, and several further cases have been proved since then; see Remark [6.9](#page-64-0) below.

To define exceptional W-algebras, recall that a level k is *admissible* for $\mathfrak g$ if it has the form

$$
k=-h^{\vee}+\frac{p}{q}
$$

where h^{\vee} is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} , p and q are relatively prime positive integers, $p \ge h^{\vee}$ if q is relatively prime to the lacety of g, and $p \ge h$ (the Coxeter number of g) if the lacety of $\mathfrak g$ divides q. In $[{\rm Ara3}]$, Arakawa showed that the associated variety $[{\rm Ara1}]$ of the simple admissible-level affine vertex operator algebra $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is the closure of a certain nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}_q \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ depending only on q, and that $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is C_2 -cofinite if $f \in \mathbb{O}_q$. The pair (f, q) is called *exceptional* if $f \in \mathbb{O}_q$, extending the notion of exceptional pair in [\[KW2\]](#page-83-5), and following $[AvE]$, we say that $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is an exceptional W-algebra if k is admissible and

 $f \in \mathbb{O}_q$. Then the Kac-Wakimoto-Arakawa conjecture of [\[KW2,](#page-83-5) [Ara3\]](#page-81-9) is that all exceptional simple affine W-algebras are rational.

Example 6.1. For $g = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, there is only one conjugacy class of non-zero nilpotent elements f, and $f \in \mathbb{O}_q$ for $q \geq 2$. The exceptional W-algebras at levels $k = -2 + \frac{p}{q}$ for $gcd(p, q) = 1$ $p, q \geq 2$ are the simple rational Virasoro vertex operator algebras at central charge and $p, q \geq 2$ are the simple rational Virasoro vertex operator algebras at central charge $1-\frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$ $\frac{p-q}{pq}$. For $q=1$, the nilpotent orbit \mathbb{O}_1 is 0, and the corresponding affine W-algebras are the simple affine vertex operator algebras $L_k(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at level $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Whether a simple affine W-algebra $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is N-graded and self-contragredient depends on its conformal vector, which exists as long as k is non-critical, that is, $k \neq -h^{\vee}$, but is not unique. The most often used conformal vectors in $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ come from semisimple elements $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ that determine good gradings of \mathfrak{g} :

- $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ where $\mathfrak{g}_j = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x_0, x] = jx\}.$
- $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\text{ad}(f) : \mathfrak{g}_j \to \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ is injective for $j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and surjective for $j \leq \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$.

In the notation of [\[KRW\]](#page-83-4), $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, x_0, f)$ represents $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ as a vertex operator algebra with conformal vector ω_{x_0} constructed from x_0 . By [\[KW1,](#page-83-6) Theorem 4.1], ω_{x_0} gives $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, x_0, f)$ a $\frac{1}{2}N$ -grading, and $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is N-graded (and positive-energy) with respect to this conformal vector if x_0 induces a good *even* grading of \mathfrak{g} , that is, $\mathfrak{g}_j = 0$ for $j \notin \mathbb{Z}$. One can always obtain a good grading for any non-zero nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ by embedding f into an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ and taking $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}h$. This gives the *Dynkin grading* of **g** associated to f, and we will call the associated conformal vector $\omega_{h/2}$ the Dynkin conformal vector. If the Dynkin grading of $\mathfrak g$ is even, so that $\mathcal W_k(\mathfrak g, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}h, f$ has positive energy, then we say that f is an even nilpotent element of g.

Now Proposition 6.1 and Remark 6.2 of $[AvE]$ give a criterion for the N-graded subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g},x_0,f) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g},x_0,f)$ to be self-contragredient. Let \mathfrak{g}^f be the centralizer of f in \mathfrak{g} ; the subalgebra \mathfrak{g}^f is ad(x₀)-invariant, so the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on \mathfrak{g} restricts to a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on \mathfrak{g}^f . Then $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, with the conformal vector determined by x_0 , is self-contragredient if

$$
(k+h^{\vee})\langle x_0, v \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_+} \operatorname{ad}(v) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f,
$$
 (6.1)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on g such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ for long roots α and $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \bigoplus_{j>0} \mathfrak{g}_j$. Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 5.5 of [\[AvEM\]](#page-81-12) show that this criterion always holds for the Dynkin conformal vector; here we provide a somewhat different proof:

Proposition 6.2. If \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra and $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a non-zero nilpotent element contained in an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, h, f\}$, then (6.1) holds for $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}h$. In particular, $\mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}h, f$ is a positive-energy self-contragredient vertex operator algebra for any simple Lie algebra g, nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, and level $k \neq -h^{\vee}$.

Proof. First note that because $h = [e, f]$, we get

$$
\langle x_0, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle h, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle [e, f], v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle e, [f, v] \rangle = 0
$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ \int_0^1 . Thus we are reduced to showing

$$
\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_+} \operatorname{ad}(v) = 0 \tag{6.2}
$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}^f_0$ \int_0^1 . For this, we observe that \mathfrak{g}_0 is a reductive Lie algebra as follows: Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak g$ that contains h, so that $\mathfrak h \subseteq \mathfrak g_0$. There is a root space decomposition of g with respect to h, and the root space corresponding to a root α is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_+,$

 $\mathfrak{g}_{-} = \bigoplus_{j<0} \mathfrak{g}_{j}$, or \mathfrak{g}_{0} according as $\alpha(h)$ is positive, negative, or zero (note that $\alpha(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by \mathfrak{sl}_2 -theory). We may choose the simple roots α_i of $\mathfrak g$ to satisfy $\alpha_i(h) \geq 0$, and then it is clear that \mathfrak{g}_0 is the Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak g$ generated by $\mathfrak h$ together with the root vectors e_i , f_i corresponding to simple roots α_i such that $\alpha_i(h) = 0$. Thus \mathfrak{g}_0 is the direct product of the semisimple subalgebra generated by the e_i , f_i and its center $Z(\mathfrak{g}_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$.

Now because \mathfrak{g}_0 is reductive, we have $\mathfrak{g}_0 = [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, which means that $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \text{ad}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. We have $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ad}(v) = 0$ also, since \mathfrak{g} is simple, and it follows that

$$
\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_+} \text{ad}(v) + \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_-} \text{ad}(v) = 0 \tag{6.3}
$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Now consider the Lie algebra automorphism

$$
\tau = \exp(\mathrm{ad}(e)) \exp(\mathrm{ad}(-f)) \exp(\mathrm{ad}(e))
$$

of g. It is easy to show that $\tau(h) = -h$, so τ defines an isomorphism from \mathfrak{g}_+ to \mathfrak{g}_- . Moreover, if $v \in \mathfrak{g}^{f}_{0}$ ^{*I*}₀, then $[e, v] = 0$ as well by \mathfrak{sl}_2 -theory, so $\tau(v) = v$. This means that $\tau : \mathfrak{g}_+ \to \mathfrak{g}_-$ is a \mathfrak{g}_0^f $_{0}^{J}$ -module isomorphism, so

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_+} \operatorname{ad}(v) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_-} \operatorname{ad}(v) \tag{6.4}
$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ $_0^f$. Combining [\(6.3\)](#page-62-0) and [\(6.4\)](#page-62-1) now shows that [\(6.2\)](#page-61-1) holds for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ 0 \Box

Now in [\[AvE,](#page-81-6) Theorem 4.3], Arakawa and van Ekeren showed that if $k = -h^{\vee} + \frac{p}{q}$ $\frac{p}{q}$ is an admissible level for $\mathfrak{g}, f \in \mathbb{O}_q$ is a nilpotent element, and $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple element that induces a good grading of g relative to f, then the Zhu algebra $A(\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g},x_0,f))$ is semisimple. In light of this result and Proposition [6.2,](#page-61-2) Theorem [5.10](#page-57-0) now proves the Kac-Wakimoto-Arakawa rationality conjecture for all exceptional W-algebras $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ such that f is an even nilpotent element. In fact, if f is even, then $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g},f)$ (with the Dynkin conformal vector) is strongly rational.

Example 6.3. Even nilpotent elements in a simple Lie algebra \frak{g} include the *distinguished* nilpotent elements, characterized by the property $\mathfrak{g}_0^f = 0$. See [\[BC1\]](#page-81-13) for the proof that distinguished nilpotent elements are even and also for the full classification. Affine W-algebras associated to distinguished nilpotent elements, with the Dynkin conformal weight grading, notably have conformal-weight-one spaces equal to zero. Examples of distinguished nilpotent elements include principal nilpotent elements in all types and subregular nilpotent elements in types C, D, E, F , and G . Note that exceptional W-algebras associated to principal nilpotent elements are already known to be rational $[{\text{A}ra4}]$, and the same holds for subregular nilpotent elements in simply-laced types [\[AvE\]](#page-81-6).

If f is not even, then we can still use Theorem [5.11](#page-57-1) to show that $\mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}h, f)$ is strongly rational, provided we can find a suitable conformal vector making $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ N-graded. This reduces to a Lie algebraic question which is independent of k:

Theorem 6.4. Let $\mathfrak g$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, $k \neq -h^{\vee}$ a non-critical level for $\mathfrak g$, and $f \in \mathfrak g$ a nilpotent element, and let $\mathfrak g = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak g_j$ be the Dynkin grading of **g** associated to f, given by $\frac{1}{2}h$ -eigenvalues. Assume there is a semisimple element $v \in \mathfrak{g}^f_0$ 0 such that for $j \geq 0$, the eigenvalues of $ad(v)$ on \mathfrak{g}^f $\frac{J}{-j}$ are contained in $-j-1+\mathbb{N}$. Then if $W_k(\mathfrak{g},f)$ is C_2 -cofinite and $A(W_k(\mathfrak{g},f),\omega_{h/2})$ is semisimple, then $W_k^0(\mathfrak{g},\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}h, f$ is strongly rational, and $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}h, f)$ is strongly rational as a $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded vertex operator algebra.

Proof. To apply Theorem [5.11,](#page-57-1) we need to check that Assumption [5.5](#page-51-0) holds. In the notation of that assumption, we will take $A = \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, $V = \mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}h, f), \tilde{\omega} = \omega_{h/2}$, and we will use the semisimple element $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ $\frac{f}{0}$ to construct a conformal vector $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}h, f)$ such that $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is N-graded with respect to ω and such that $A(W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f), \omega)$ is semisimple.

To obtain ω , we recall structural results for $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ from [\[KW1,](#page-83-6) Theorem 4.1]: $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is strongly generated by vectors $J^{\{x\}}$ labeled by $x \in \mathfrak{g}^f$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{J}$, $j \geq 0$, of conformal weight $j+1$ with respect to $\omega_{h/2}$. That is, $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g},f)$ is spanned by vectors of the form

$$
J_{-n_1}^{\{x_1\}}\cdots J_{-n_m}^{\{x_m\}}\mathbf{1}
$$

for $m \geq 0$ and $n_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. It is observed in [\[CL2,](#page-82-12) Proposition 3.1(1)] that the proof of [\[KW1,](#page-83-6) Theorem 4.1] shows one may take $J^{\{x\}}$ such that

$$
J_0^{\{v\}} J^{\{x\}} = J^{\{[v,x]\}} \tag{6.5}
$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ $\begin{array}{c} f \\ 0 \end{array}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}^f$ $\frac{f}{-j}, j \geq 0.$

Now given v as assumed in the theorem, we set $\omega = \omega_{h/2} - J_{-2}^{\{v\}} \mathbf{1}$. The conditions [\(2.2\)](#page-11-1) hold for $J^{\{v\}}$ by [\[KRW,](#page-83-4) Theorem 2.4] (see also [\[KW1,](#page-83-6) Theorem 2.1(b), (c)]) and Proposition [6.2,](#page-61-2) so ω is a conformal vector in $\mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g},\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}h, f$). Moreover, the condition on the eigenvalues of ad(v) together with [\(6.5\)](#page-63-0) imply that $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is strongly generated by vectors of non-negative integral conformal weight with respect to ω . Thus $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is N-graded with respect to ω , and its ω -conformal weight spaces are finite dimensional by Lemma [2.8](#page-13-0) and Remark [2.9](#page-13-1) since $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is C_2 -cofinite. Finally, $A(W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f), \omega)$ is semisimple by Proposition [2.7.](#page-12-0)

It now follows from Theorem [5.11](#page-57-1) that $\mathcal{W}_k^0(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}h, f)$ is strongly rational. The assertion that $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, with the Dynkin conformal vector, is strongly rational as a $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded vertex operator algebra follows from $[McR2, Corollary 5.5].$

Remark 6.5. The non- C_2 -cofinite universal W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, with modified conformal vector ω as in the proof Theorem [6.4,](#page-62-2) will have infinite-dimensional conformal weight spaces if $ad(v)$ has eigenvalue $-j-1$ on some $\mathfrak{g}^f_ \frac{f}{-j}$ for $j \geq 0$. For example, the weight-0 space will then contain the linearly independent vectors $(J_{-1}^{\{x\}})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\{x\}}_{-1}$ ⁿ**1**, $n \geq 0$, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}^f$ $\frac{J}{-j}$ is an ad(v)eigenvector with eigenvalue $-j-1$. These vectors will not be linearly independent in the C_2 -cofinite simple quotient, however.

Remark 6.6. The reason we state Theorem [6.4](#page-62-2) for non-exceptional W-algebras is that certain non-admissible-level minimal W-algebras are known to be C_2 -cofinite $[ArM1, ArM2]$ $[ArM1, ArM2]$. Rationality also holds in at least some of these cases $[Ka]$, so it is reasonable to expect rationality for these C_2 -cofinite minimal W-algebras in general.

We next show that Theorem [6.4](#page-62-2) applies to all exceptional W-algebras for which $\mathfrak g$ admits a good even grading for the nilpotent element f :

Corollary 6.7. Let $\mathfrak g$ be a simple Lie algebra, $k = -h^{\vee} + \frac{p}{q}$ $\frac{p}{q}$ an admissible level for $\frak g,$ and $f \in \mathbb{O}_q$ a nilpotent element that admits a good even grading. Then $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, with the Dynkin conformal vector, is strongly rational.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{s} = \{e, h, f\}$ be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple containing f and let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ be the Dynkin grading of g. Also let $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple element such that the ad(x_0)-eigenvalue grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_j$ is a good even grading for f. By the definition of good grading,

$$
\mathfrak{g}^f \subseteq \bigoplus_{j \leq 0} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_j. \tag{6.6}
$$

By [\[EK,](#page-82-13) Theorem 1.1], $v = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}h-x_0$ is in the center of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -centralizer $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{s}}$, which means that $[h, x_0] = 0$ and thus v, as the difference of commuting semisimple elements, is semisimple. This also means that $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ $_0^f$. Moreover, if $x \in \mathfrak{g}^f$ $\sum_{j=1}^{J}$, $j \geq 0$, is an ad(v)-eigenvector, then x is also an ad(x₀)-eigenvector with eigenvalue $-\tilde{j} \in -\mathbb{N}$ by [\(6.6\)](#page-64-1). Thus

$$
[v, x] = \left[\frac{1}{2}h - x_0, x\right] = (-j + \widetilde{j})x,
$$

showing that the $ad(v)$ -eigenvalues on \mathfrak{g}^f $\frac{J}{-j}$ are contained in $-j-1+\mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus we may take v as the semisimple element in Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-2)

Thus to prove rationality for all exceptional W-algebras, it remains to check the assumption of Theorem [6.4](#page-62-2) for all odd nilpotent orbits \mathbb{O}_q that do not admit good even gradings. Such nilpotent orbits can be determined from the tables and results in [\[Ara3\]](#page-81-9) and [\[EK\]](#page-82-13): besides a number of cases for low values of q in classical types B, C , and D , there are fifteen cases in exceptional types. We check all these odd nilpotent orbits case-by-case in Appendix [B,](#page-73-0) using explicit nilpotent orbit representatives from $\lfloor dG \rfloor$ for exceptional types; thus we conclude the following consequence of $[Area3, Theorem 5.9.1(ii)], [AvE, Theorem 4.3], and Theorem 6.4:$ $[Area3, Theorem 5.9.1(ii)], [AvE, Theorem 4.3], and Theorem 6.4:$ $[Area3, Theorem 5.9.1(ii)], [AvE, Theorem 4.3], and Theorem 6.4:$ $[Area3, Theorem 5.9.1(ii)], [AvE, Theorem 4.3], and Theorem 6.4:$

Theorem 6.8. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra, $k = -h^{\vee} + \frac{p}{a}$ $\frac{p}{q}$ an admissible level for $\frak g,$ and $f \in \mathbb{O}_q$ a nilpotent element. Then with the Dynkin conformal vector, both $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ and its Z-graded subalgebra are strongly rational.

Remark 6.9. Theorem [6.8](#page-64-2) has already been proved in many, but far from all, cases:

- For the trivial nilpotent element $f = 0$, corresponding to denominator $q = 1$, the exceptional W-algebras are the simple affine vertex operator algebras $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$, proved rational by Frenkel and Zhu [\[FZ\]](#page-82-15).
- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $f \neq 0$, the exceptional W-algebras are the simple Virasoro vertex operator algebras at central charges $1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$ $\frac{p-q}{pq}$, proved rational by Wang [\[Wan\]](#page-84-10).
- Arakawa [\[Ara2\]](#page-81-16) and Creutzig-Linshaw [\[CL1\]](#page-81-17) showed that exceptional W-algebras for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_4$ and f a subregular nilpotent element are rational.
- Arakawa [\[Ara4\]](#page-81-10) showed that for any \mathfrak{g} , exceptional W-algebras associated to a principal nilpotent element are rational.
- Arakawa-van Ekeren $[AvE]$ proved rationality for exceptional N-graded W-algebras subject to a technical condition, which they checked for all relevant nilpotent elements in type A and for subregular nilpotent elements in types D and E .
- Fasquel [\[Fa\]](#page-82-16) proved rationality of the exceptional subregular W-algebras for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_4$.
- In [\[CL2\]](#page-82-12), Creutzig and Linshaw gave another rationality proof for subregular Walgebras in type A.
- In [\[CL3\]](#page-82-17), Creutzig and Linshaw proved rationality for many subregular W-algebras in type B and for all exceptional minimal W-algebras in type C .

Remark 6.10. Since exceptional W-algebras $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ are strongly rational by Theorem [6.8,](#page-64-2) tensor products of irreducible $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ -modules can be computed in terms of the Stransformation of characters of $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ -modules using the Verlinde formula. When $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is N-graded with the Dynkin conformal vector, this is the ordinary Verlinde formula of [\[Ve,](#page-84-9)

[MS,](#page-84-3) [Hu3\]](#page-82-2). When $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is $\frac{1}{2}N$ -graded with the Dynkin conformal vector, fusion rules for both untwisted and Ramond twisted $W_k(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ -modules are of interest, and Verlinde formulae for computing these are given in [\[CKM1,](#page-81-5) Section 1.5.2].

6.2. Rationality for C_2 -cofinite cosets. In this subsection, we will reduce the "coset rationality problem" to the problem of C_2 -cofiniteness for the coset. That is, given a vertex operator algebra inclusion $U \otimes V \hookrightarrow A$ where A, U are strongly rational and U, V are mutual commutant subalgebras in A , we will show that V is also strongly rational provided it is C_2 -cofinite. In more detail, we work in the following setting:

- $(A, Y_A, 1, \omega)$ is a strongly rational vertex operator algebra.
- $(U, Y_U = Y_A|_{U \otimes U}, \mathbf{1}, \omega_U)$ is a vertex subalgebra of A which is a strongly rational vertex operator algebra for some conformal vector $\omega_U \in U \cap A_{(2)}$ such that $L(1)\omega_U = 0$.

In this setting, $[FZ, Theorem 5.1]$ shows that the *coset* or *commutant* of U in A,

$$
V = C_A(U) := \{ v \in A \mid [Y_A(u, x_1), Y_A(v, x_2)] = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \},
$$

is a vertex operator algebra with vertex operator $Y_V = Y_A|_{V \otimes V}$, vacuum 1, and conformal vector $\omega_V = \omega - \omega_U$. We will make two further assumptions on U and V:

- The vertex subalgebras U and V form a dual pair in A, that is, both $V = C_A(U)$ and
	- $U = C_V(A)$. Equivalently, U is its own double commutant: $U = C_A(C_A(U))$.
- The vertex operator algebra V is C_2 -cofinite.

As discussed in [\[McR3,](#page-83-8) Section 2.3], the $L_V(0)$ -eigenvalue grading of V is compatible with the L(0)-eigenvalue grading of A, that is, $V_{(n)} = V \cap A_{(n)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus V is a positiveenergy vertex operator algebra. Moreover, V is simple (see for example $[McR3, Thecrem]$ $(2.5(4))$, so to show that V is self-contragredient, [\[Li1,](#page-83-9) Corollary 3.2] implies it is enough to show $L_V(1)V_{(1)} = 0$. In fact, because A is self-contragredient and positive-energy, we have $L(1)A_{(1)}=0$, and then for any $v \in V_{(1)} \subseteq A_{(1)}$, we have

$$
L_V(1)v = L(1)v - L_U(1)v_{-1}\mathbf{1} = -v_{-1}L_U(1)\mathbf{1} = 0,
$$

as required.

We need the following basic result that is proved, for example, in $[McR3, Appendix A]$:

Theorem 6.11. In the setting of this subsection,

- (1) There is an injective vertex operator algebra homomorphism $\psi : U \otimes V \to A$, given by $\psi(u \otimes v) = u_{-1}v$, which gives A the structure of a $U \otimes V$ -module.
- (2) There is a $U \otimes V$ -module isomorphism

$$
F:\bigoplus_{i\in I}U_i\otimes V_i\longrightarrow A
$$

where the U_i are the distinct irreducible U-modules occurring in A, with $U = U_0$ for some $0 \in I$, and the V_i are grading-restricted V-modules given by $V_i = \text{Hom}_U(U_i, A)$.

- (3) The V-module $V_0 = \text{Hom}_U(U, A)$ is isomorphic to V, and dim $\text{Hom}_V(V, V_i) = \delta_{i,0}$ for all $i \in I$.
- (4) For any grading-restricted generalized $U \otimes V$ -module X (for example, X could be an A-module), there is a $U \otimes V$ -module isomorphism

$$
F_X: \bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j \otimes W_j \longrightarrow X
$$

where the M_j are the distinct irreducible U-modules occurring in X and the W_j are grading-restricted V-modules given by $W_j = \text{Hom}_U(M_j, X)$.

We will use $\mathcal{C}_U, \mathcal{C}_V$, and $\mathcal C$ to the denote the categories of (grading-restricted generalized) U-, V-, and $U \otimes V$ -modules, respectively. By assumption, \mathcal{C}_U is a semisimple modular tensor category, while \mathcal{C}_V is a braided tensor category. Our next goal is to use Corollary [5.9](#page-56-1) to show that \mathcal{C}_V is rigid. Thus we need to show that $S(\text{ch}_V)$ is a linear combination of characters. We will in fact prove a little more in the next theorem using the multivariable trace functions of [\[KM\]](#page-83-10); this result may be compared with the no-pseudo-trace argument in Lemma 5.16 and Proposition 5.17 of [\[CM\]](#page-81-0) for cyclic orbifold vertex operator algebras. Recall from Theorem [6.11](#page-65-1) that the V_i are V-submodules of A and $V \cong V_0$:

Theorem 6.12. In the setting of this subsection, each $S(\text{ch}_{V_i})$ for $i \in I$ is a linear combination of characters of V -modules.

Proof. For $u \in U$, $v \in V$, $\tau_U, \tau_V \in \mathbb{H}$, and an A-module X, consider the two-variable trace function

$$
\mathrm{ch}_X(u \otimes v; \tau_U, \tau_V) = \mathrm{Tr}_X Y_X(\mathcal{U}(1)\psi(u \otimes v), 1) q_{\tau_U}^{L_U(0) - c_U/24} q_{\tau_V}^{L_V(0) - c_V/24}.
$$

From the definition (4.1) of $\mathcal{U}(1)$,

$$
\mathcal{U}(1)\psi(u\otimes v)=\psi(\mathcal{U}(1)u\otimes\mathcal{U}(1)v).
$$

Then using Theorem $6.11(4)$ $6.11(4)$ and properties of traces, we get

$$
\mathrm{ch}_X(u \otimes v; \tau_U, \tau_V) = \sum_{j \in J} \mathrm{ch}_{M_j}(u; \tau_U) \mathrm{ch}_{W_j}(v; \tau_V).
$$

Since U is strongly rational and V is positive-energy C_2 -cofinite, the two-variable trace function converges absolutely to a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H}$ for all $u \in U, v \in V$, and simple A-modules X. Then the hypotheses of \overline{KM} , Theorem 1 are satisfied, and we conclude

$$
\text{ch}_{A}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{U}}\right)^{L_{U}(0)} u \otimes \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\right)^{L_{V}(0)} v; -\frac{1}{\tau_{U}}, -\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\right) = \sum_{X} s_{A,X} \text{ch}_{X}(u \otimes v; \tau_{U}, \tau_{V}) \quad (6.7)
$$

for all $u \in U$, $v \in V$, where $s_{A,X}$ here are S-matrix entries for A.

Now, the right side of [\(6.7\)](#page-66-0) is a linear combination of products of characters of (irreducible) U-modules and characters of V -modules. The left side is

$$
\begin{split} \operatorname{ch}_{A}\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{U}}\right)^{L_{U}(0)}u \otimes \left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\right)^{L_{V}(0)}v; -\frac{1}{\tau_{U}}, -\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\bigg) \\ & = \sum_{i\in I}\operatorname{ch}_{U_{i}}\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{U}}\right)^{L_{U}(0)}u; -\frac{1}{\tau_{U}}\bigg)\operatorname{ch}_{V_{i}}\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\right)^{L_{V}(0)}v; -\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\bigg) \\ & = \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{M} s_{U_{i},M}\operatorname{ch}_{M}(u;\tau_{U})\operatorname{ch}_{V_{i}}\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\right)^{L_{V}(0)}v; -\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\bigg) \\ & = \sum_{M}\operatorname{ch}_{M}(u,\tau_{U})\sum_{i\in I}s_{U_{i},M}\operatorname{ch}_{V_{i}}\bigg(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\right)^{L_{V}(0)}v; -\frac{1}{\tau_{V}}\bigg). \end{split}
$$

Since the characters of distinct irreducible U-modules are linearly independent, we can identify the coefficients of $ch_M(u, \tau_U)$ from each side of [\(6.7\)](#page-66-0) for each irreducible U-module M. The result is that for each M,

$$
\sum_{i \in I} s_{U_i, M} \operatorname{ch}_{V_i} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{\tau_V} \right)^{L_V(0)} v; -\frac{1}{\tau_V} \right)
$$

is a linear combination of characters of V-modules. That is, each component of the vector $[s_{N,M}]^T$ **x** is a linear combination of characters of V-modules, where **x** is the vector with a component for each isomorphism class of irreducible U-modules, with N-component $S(\ch_{V_i})(v, \tau_V)$ if $N \cong U_i$ for some $i \in I$ and 0 otherwise. So because the S-matrix for U is invertible, each $S(\text{ch}_{V_i})$ is a linear combination of characters of V-modules.

Now to prove that V is strongly rational, it is enough to show that the category \mathcal{C}_V of grading-restricted generalized V -modules is semisimple, since we already know or have assumed that V is positive-energy, self-contragredient, and C_2 -cofinite. In the next theorem, we will deduce semisimplicity of \mathcal{C}_V from rationality for $U \otimes V$, which we will prove by checking the criteria of $[MeR2, Theorem 1.2(2)]$:

Theorem 6.13. Let A be a strongly rational vertex operator algebra and let $U \subseteq A$ be a vertex subalgebra which is a strongly rational vertex operator algebra for some conformal vector $\omega_U \in U \cap A_{(2)}$ such that $L(1)\omega_U = 0$. Assume also that:

- The subalgebra U and its coset $V = C_A(U)$ form a dual pair, that is, $U = C_A(V)$.
- The coset V is C_2 -cofinite.

Then the coset V is also strongly rational.

Proof. By [\[McR2,](#page-83-3) Theorem 1.2(2)], $U \otimes V$ will be strongly rational if the following hold:

- There is a $U \otimes V$ -module homomorphism $\varepsilon : A \to U \otimes V$ such that $\varepsilon \circ \psi = \mathrm{Id}_{U \otimes V}$.
- The vertex operator algebra $U \otimes V$ is C_2 -cofinite.
- The tensor category C of grading-restricted generalized $U \otimes V$ -modules is rigid.
- The categorical dimension dim_{\mathcal{C}} A is non-zero.

We will check these conditions and then use rationality of $U \otimes V$ to prove that V is also rational.

First, Theorem [6.11\(](#page-65-1)2) and (3) imply that $A \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i \otimes V_i$ as a $U \otimes V$ -module, with $U = U_0$ for some $0 \in I$ and $V \cong V_0$. So we can obtain ε by projecting onto $U_0 \otimes V_0$ with respect to this $U \otimes V$ -module direct sum decomposition. Second, since $U \otimes V$ is the tensor product of C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras, it is C_2 -cofinite (see the proof of [\[ABD,](#page-81-18) Theorem 5.3]).

Third, to prove that the category C of grading-restricted generalized $U \otimes V$ -modules is rigid, we first note that because $U \otimes V$ is positive-energy and C_2 -cofinite, C is a braided tensor category by [\[Hu5\]](#page-82-4), with tensor product bifunctor $\boxtimes_{U\otimes V}$. Also, since U is strongly rational, Theorem [6.11\(](#page-65-1)4) implies that every module in $\mathcal C$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of tensor products of U - and V -modules. Then Theorem 5.2 and Remark 5.3 of $\lbrack CKM2 \rbrack$ show that there are natural isomorphisms

$$
(M_1 \otimes W_1) \boxtimes_{U \otimes V} (M_2 \otimes W_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} (M_1 \boxtimes_U M_2) \otimes (W_1 \boxtimes_V W_2)
$$

in C, where M_1 and M_2 are U-modules, W_1 and W_2 are V-modules, and \boxtimes_V and \boxtimes_V are the tensor products in the categories \mathcal{C}_U and \mathcal{C}_V , respectively. Moreover, under this identification, all structure isomorphisms in $\mathcal C$ are (vector space) tensor products of structure isomorphisms in \mathcal{C}_U and \mathcal{C}_V . Thus because \mathcal{C}_U and \mathcal{C}_V are both rigid (\mathcal{C}_U because U is strongly rational, \mathcal{C}_V by Corollary [5.9](#page-56-1) and Theorem [6.12\)](#page-66-1), C is also rigid, with duals and (co)evaluations given by vector space tensor products of duals and (co)evaluations in \mathcal{C}_U and \mathcal{C}_V . (In fact, $\mathcal C$ is braided tensor equivalent to the Deligne product $\mathcal{C}_U \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_V$ by [\[CKM2,](#page-81-19) Theorem 5.5].)

Finally, we verify that dim_C $A \neq 0$ using [\[CKM2,](#page-81-19) Theorems 5.10(2) and 5.12]. The assumptions of [\[CKM2,](#page-81-19) Theorem 5.10(2)] are satisfied in our setting due to the strong rationality of U and A, the C_2 -cofiniteness of V, Corollary [5.9](#page-56-1) and Theorem [6.12](#page-66-1) which establish rigidity for \mathcal{C}_V , and Theorem [6.11](#page-65-1) giving the decomposition of A as a $U \otimes V$ -module. We conclude

that the full subcategories $U_A \subseteq C_U$ and $V_A \subseteq C_V$, whose objects are (isomorphic to) direct sums of the U_i , respectively the V_i , are ribbon subcategories and are braid-reversed tensor equivalent to each other. In particular, \mathcal{U}_A and \mathcal{V}_A are fusion categories and A is an extension of $U \otimes V$ in the Deligne product category $\mathcal{U}_A \boxtimes \mathcal{V}_A$, so that [\[CKM2,](#page-81-19) Theorem 5.12] implies that the categorical dimension of A is a (non-zero) positive real number.

Now $U \otimes V$ is strongly rational by [\[McR2,](#page-83-3) Theorem 1.2(2)]. To show the same for V, we already know or have assumed that V is positive-energy, self-contragredient, and C_2 -cofinite, so by Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 of [\[CM\]](#page-81-0) (or [\[McR1,](#page-83-11) Proposition 4.16]), it only remains to show that \mathcal{C}_V is semisimple. Thus suppose $f: W_1 \to W_2$ is a surjection in \mathcal{C}_V ; we need to show that there exists $s: W_2 \to W_1$ such that $f \circ s = \text{Id}_{W_2}$. Since tensoring in the category of C-vector spaces is exact,

$$
Id_U \otimes f : U \otimes W_1 \to U \otimes W_2
$$

is a surjection in C. Since $U \otimes V$ is rational, there is a $U \otimes V$ -module homomorphism

$$
\sigma: U \otimes W_2 \to U \otimes W_1
$$

such that $(\mathrm{Id}_U \otimes f) \circ \sigma = \mathrm{Id}_{U \otimes W_2}$. We choose $\mathbf{1}' \in U'$ such that $\langle \mathbf{1}', \mathbf{1} \rangle = 1$ and then define the V-module homomorphism $s: W_2 \to W_1$ to be the composition

$$
W_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{1} \otimes W_2 \hookrightarrow U \otimes W_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma} U \otimes W_1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}' \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}} W_1.
$$

So for any $w_2 \in W_2$, we get

$$
(f \circ s)(w_2) = [f \circ (\mathbf{1}' \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}) \circ \sigma] (\mathbf{1} \otimes w_2)
$$

\n
$$
= [(\mathbf{1}' \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W_2}) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_U \otimes f) \circ \sigma] (\mathbf{1} \otimes w_2)
$$

\n
$$
= (\mathbf{1}' \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{W_2}) (\mathbf{1} \otimes w_2) = w_2,
$$

\nas required.

Theorem [6.13](#page-67-0) yields a third rationality proof for certain ADE-type principal W-algebras, besides [\[Ara4\]](#page-81-10) and Theorem [6.8,](#page-64-2) via the Arakawa-Creutzig-Linshaw coset realization [\[ACL\]](#page-81-20):

Example 6.14. Take $A = L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ where \mathfrak{g} is a simply-laced simple Lie algebra and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and take U to be the diagonal subalgebra $L_{k+1}(\mathfrak{g})$. By [\[ACL,](#page-81-20) Main Theorem 1], the coset V is isomorphic to the simple principal W-algebra $W_{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ at level $\ell = -h^{\vee} + \frac{k+h^{\vee}}{k+h^{\vee}+h^{\vee}}$ $k+h^{\vee}+1$ (h^{\vee} is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g}); moreover, $L_{k+1}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ form a dual pair in $L_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})$. These W-algebras are C_2 -cofinite $[{\rm Ara3}]$, so Theorem [6.13](#page-67-0) implies that $\mathcal{W}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ for such ℓ are strongly rational. In the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, the coset $\mathcal{W}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the simple rational (and unitary) Virasoro vertex operator algebra at central charge $1 - \frac{6}{(k+2)(k+3)}$ [\[GKO1,](#page-82-18) [GKO2\]](#page-82-19).

Appendix A. Differential equations

In this appendix, we give an exposition of an analyticity result for series solutions to regularsingular-point differential equations. This theorem is doubtless well known to experts, but for the convenience of readers with less background in differential equations, we give a fairly detailed proof adapted from that of [\[Wal,](#page-84-11) Theorem 24.III].

Consider a first-order system of differential equations with a regular singular point at $q = 0$:

$$
q\frac{d}{dq}\varphi(z,q) = A(z,q)\varphi(z,q),\tag{A.1}
$$

where $A(z, q)$ is an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix whose entries $a_{i,j}(z,q)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$ are jointly analytic in z and q for z contained in some open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and for q contained in a disk $B_r(0) =$ ${q \in \mathbb{C} \mid |q| < r}$. We will use the following series expansion of $A(z, q)$: first, each matrix entry can be expanded as a power series

$$
a_{i,j}(z,q) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_{i,j}^{(n)}(z) q^n
$$

which has radius of convergence at least r for any $z \in U$, with each $a_{i,j}^{(n)}(z)$ analytic on U. We can then write

$$
A(z,q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} A_n(z)q^n
$$

where $A_n(z) = [a_{i,j}^{(n)}(z)]_{1 \le i,j \le \ell}$ is an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ whose entries are analytic functions on U. To show that certain sequences of analytic functions converge locally uniformly in z , we will need the following lemma:

Lemma A.1. For any $0 < \delta < r$ and for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)} \subseteq U$, there is a constant $C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\sum_{n\geq 0} ||A_n(z)||\delta^n \leq C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)
$$

for all $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$.

Proof. This follows from the analyticity of $A(z, q)$ in both z and q. For $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a power series expansion

$$
a_{i,j}^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{m \ge 0} a_{i,j}^{(m,n)}(z - z_0)^m
$$

which converges absolutely for all $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$. Then for $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$,

$$
\sum_{n\geq 0} ||A_n(z)||\delta^n \leq \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} |a_{i,j}^{(n)}(z)| \delta^n
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{m\geq 0} |a_{i,j}^{(m,n)}| |z-z_0|^m \delta^n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{m,n\geq 0} |a_{i,j}^{(m,n)}| \varepsilon^m \delta^n < \infty,
$$

since each $a_{i,j}(z,q)$ is analytic on $U \times B_r(0)$ and thus $\sum_{m,n\geq 0} |a_{i,j}^{(m,n)}| \varepsilon^m \delta^n < \infty$. Thus we can take $C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \sum_{m,n \geq 0} |a_{i,j}^{(m,n)}| \varepsilon^m \delta^n$.

We now assume that $\varphi(z,q)$ is a formal solution to $(A.1)$, of the form

$$
\varphi(z,q) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{n\geq 0} \varphi_{j,k,n}(z) q^{h_j+n} (\log q)^k,
$$
\n(A.2)

where the $h_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are non-congruent modulo Z and each $\varphi_{j,k,n}(z)$ is a vector-valued analytic function on U . We will prove:

Theorem A.2. For each $1 \leq j \leq J$ and $0 \leq k \leq K$, the series

$$
\varphi_{j,k}(z,q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_{j,k,n}(z) q^n
$$

converges absolutely on $U \times B_r(0)$ to a function analytic in both z and q. Moreover, the series [\(A.2\)](#page-69-1) converges absolutely for $z \in U$ and $0 < |q| < r$ to a solution of [\(A.1\)](#page-68-1) that is analytic in z and multivalued analytic in q.

Comparing powers of q and log q, the claim that $(A.2)$ is a formal solution to $(A.1)$ means

$$
q\frac{d}{dq}\varphi_{j,k}(z,q) = \begin{cases} (A(z,q) - h_j I_\ell)\varphi_{j,k}(z,q) - (k+1)\varphi_{j,k+1}(z,q) & \text{if } 0 \le k \le K-1\\ (A(z,q) - h_j I_\ell)\varphi_{j,K}(z,q) & \text{if } k = K \end{cases}
$$

as formal series. Since $A(z, q) - h_j I_\ell$ has the same analyticity properties of $A(z, q)$, downward induction on k, beginning with $k = K$, shows that it is enough to prove the following theorem:

Theorem A.3. Let $\varphi(z,q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \varphi_n(z) q^n$ be a formal series in q whose coefficients are vector-valued analytic functions on U, and suppose $\varphi(z,q)$ is a formal solution to the inhomogeneous regular-singular-point differential equation

$$
q\frac{d}{dq}\varphi(z,q) = A(z,q)\varphi(z,q) + f(z,q),\tag{A.3}
$$

with $f(z,q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n(z) q^n$ a vector-valued analytic function on $U \times B_r(0)$. Then the series $\varphi(z,q)$ converges absolutely on $U \times B_r(0)$ to a solution of [\(A.3\)](#page-70-0) analytic in both z and q.

Proof. Since $\varphi(z,q)$ is already a formal solution to [\(A.3\)](#page-70-0), it is enough to show that $\varphi(z,q)$ is analytic on $U \times B_r(0)$, and by Hartogs' Theorem, it is enough to show that $\varphi(z, q)$ is analytic in either variable when the other is held fixed. Thus fix arbitrary $z_0 \in U$ and $0 < \delta < r$, and choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0) \subseteq U$. We need to show the following:

- (1) For any $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$, $\sum_{n\geq 0} ||\varphi_n(z)|| \delta^n < \infty$. Since δ and z_0 are arbitrary, this will show that $\varphi(z,q)$ is analytic in q on $B_r(0)$ for any fixed $z \in U$.
- (2) For any fixed $q \in \overline{B_\delta(0)}$, $\varphi(z,q)$ (defined for $z \in \overline{B_\epsilon(z_0)}$) is the uniform limit of functions which are analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$. Since z_0 and δ are arbitary, this will show that $\varphi(z, q)$ is analytic in z on U for any fixed $q \in B_r(0)$.

To prove these two assertions we introduce, as in [\[Wal\]](#page-84-11), the Banach space H_δ of sequences $\mathbf{u} = \{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $u_n \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ and

$$
\|\mathbf{u}\| := \sum_{n\geq 0} \|u_n\| \, \delta^n < \infty.
$$

There is a linear map $S(\mathbf{u}) := \sum_{n\geq 0} u_n q^n$ from H_δ to the space of absolutely convergent power series on $\overline{B_{\delta}(0)}$.

We need to show $\varphi = {\varphi_n(z)}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in H_\delta$ for all $z \in \overline{B_\varepsilon(z_0)}$. To this end, we show that for any $z \in B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$, the coefficient functions of any formal solution to $(A.3)$ are uniquely determined by the first few coefficient functions $\varphi_0(z), \varphi_1(z), \ldots \varphi_{N-1}(z)$, where N depends on z_0 and ε but not on z. Indeed, matching coefficients of powers of q in [\(A.3\)](#page-70-0) yields the recursion

$$
[nI_{\ell} - A_0(z)]\varphi_n(z) = f_n(z) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} A_{n-m}(z)\varphi_m(z)
$$
 (A.4)

for $n \geq 0$, so $\varphi_n(z)$ is uniquely determined by the preceding $\varphi_m(z)$ provided that n is not an eigenvalue of $A_0(z)$ for any $z \in \overline{B_\varepsilon(z_0)}$. In fact, for any $z \in \overline{B_\varepsilon(z_0)}$ and eigenvalue λ of $A_0(z)$,

$$
|\lambda| \le ||A_0(z)|| \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \left| a_{i,j}^{(0)}(z) \right| \le \max_{z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \left| a_{i,j}^{(0)}(z) \right| = K(z_0, \varepsilon) < \infty,
$$

since $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} |a_{i,j}^{(0)}(z)|$ is continuous on the compact set $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$. Thus if we choose $N >$ $K(z_0, \varepsilon)$, then any $n \geq N$ is never an eigenvalue of $A_0(z)$, for all $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$, as required.

The last paragraph implies that $\sum_{n\geq 0} ||\varphi_n(z)|| \delta^n < \infty$ for any fixed $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$, provided there exists $\mathbf{u}(z) = \{u_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in H_\delta$ such that $\sum_{n\geq 0} u_n(z)q^n$ is a formal solution of $(A.3)$ and $u_n(z) = \varphi_n(z)$ for $0 \le n \le N-1$. We will obtain $\mathbf{u}(z)$ as the fixed point of a contraction mapping on H_δ . This contraction is defined as follows: given $z \in B_\varepsilon(z_0)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in H_\delta$, we define the sequence

$$
T(z)\mathbf{u} = \{ [T(z)\mathbf{u}]_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty}
$$

by

$$
[T(z)\mathbf{u}]_n = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(z) & \text{if } 0 \le n \le N-1 \\ \frac{1}{n} \left(f_n(z) + \sum_{m=0}^n A_{n-m}(z) u_m \right) & \text{if } n \ge N \end{cases} \tag{A.5}
$$

That is,

$$
T(z)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}_N(z) + J_N(z)\mathbf{u},
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{F}_N(z) = \left\{ \varphi_0(z), \varphi_1(z), \dots, \varphi_{N-1}(z), \frac{1}{N} f_N(z), \frac{1}{N+1} f_{N+1}(z), \dots \right\}
$$

and $J_N(z)$ is the linear map defined by

$$
[J_N(z)\mathbf{u}]_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \le n \le N-1\\ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^n A_{n-m}(z)u_m & \text{if } n \ge N \end{cases}
$$

Just as in Lemma [A.1,](#page-69-2) the analyticity of $f(z, q)$ in both z and q implies that there is a constant $D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) < \infty$ such that $\sum_{n\geq 0} ||f_n(z)|| \delta^n \leq D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)$ for all $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$, so $\mathbf{F}_N(z) \in H_{\delta}$. Moreover, we can use Lemma [A.1](#page-69-2) to show that $J_N(z)$ is a bounded operator on H_δ :

$$
||J_N(z)\mathbf{u}|| = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n} A_{m-n}(z) u_m \right\| \delta^n \le \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} ||A_{m-n}(z)|| ||u_m|| \delta^n
$$

$$
\le \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} ||A_n(z)|| ||u_m|| \delta^{m+n} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||A_n(z)|| \delta^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} ||u_m|| \delta^m \le \frac{1}{N} C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) ||\mathbf{u}||.
$$

That is, $J_N(z)$ has operator norm $||J_N(z)|| \leq \frac{1}{N}C(z_0, \delta, \varepsilon)$ for any fixed $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$.

We may increase N if necessary so that $N > C(z_0, \delta, \varepsilon)$, that is, $||J_N(z)|| < 1$. Then $T(z)$ is a contraction on the Banach space H_{δ} , so the Contraction Mapping Theorem implies that $T(z)$ has a unique fixed point $\mathbf{u}(z) = \{u_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in H_\delta$. Moreover, the sequence of iterates

$$
\mathbf{u}^{(m)}(z) = \{u_n^{(m)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} := T(z)^m(0,0,0,\ldots)
$$
 (A.6)

.

.

for $m \geq 0$ converges to $\mathbf{u}(z)$ in the H_{δ} -norm at rate

$$
\|\mathbf{u}(z) - \mathbf{u}^{(m)}(z)\| \le \frac{1}{1 - \frac{C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N}} \left(\frac{C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N}\right)^m \|\mathbf{F}_N(z)\|.
$$
 (A.7)

From the definition of $T(z)$, the condition $T(z)u(z) = u(z)$ is equivalent to

$$
u_n(z) = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(z) & \text{if } 0 \le n \le N-1\\ \frac{1}{n} \left(f_n(z) + \sum_{m=0}^n A_{n-m}(z) u_m(z) \right) & \text{if } n \ge N \end{cases}
$$

Thus $u_n(z)$ for $0 \le n \le N-1$ solves the recursion $(A.4)$ because $\varphi_n(z)$ does (since $\varphi(z,q)$ is a formal solution to $(A.3)$, while $u_n(z)$ for $n \geq N$ solves $(A.4)$ by definition. Since solutions ${u_n(z)}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to [\(A.4\)](#page-70-1) are uniquely determined by their first N terms, $u_n(z) = \varphi_n(z)$ for all
$n \geq 0$. In particular, since $\mathbf{u}(z) \in H_{\delta}$, we get $\sum_{n \geq 0} ||\varphi_n(z)|| \delta^n < \infty$ for all $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$. Since δ and z_0 were arbitary, this proves that $\varphi(z, q)$ is analytic in q on $B_r(0)$ for any fixed $z \in U$.

Now to show that $\varphi(z, q)$ is also analytic in z on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ for any fixed $q \in B_{\delta}(0)$, we recall the map $S(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} u_n q^n$ defined on H_δ . We will show that on $B_\varepsilon(z_0)$ with q fixed, $\varphi(z, q)$ is the uniform limit of $u^{(m)}(z,q) = S(\mathbf{u}^{(m)}(z))$ as $m \to \infty$, where $\mathbf{u}^{(m)}(z)$ is the contraction mapping iterate defined in [\(A.6\)](#page-71-0). We will also show that each $u^{(m)}(z, q)$ is analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$, so that $\varphi(z, q)$, as the uniform limit of analytic functions, is also analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$.

To show $u^{(m)}(z,q) \to \varphi(z,q)$ uniformly on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$, we use $(A.7)$ to calculate

$$
\|\varphi(z,q) - u^{(m)}(z,q)\| = \left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_n(z) - u_n^{(m)}(z)\right) q^n\right\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\varphi_n(z) - u_n^{(m)}(z)\| \delta^n
$$

\n
$$
= \|\mathbf{u}(z) - \mathbf{u}^{(m)}(z)\|_{H_\delta} \le \frac{1}{1 - \frac{C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N}} \left(\frac{C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N}\right)^m \|\mathbf{F}_N(z)\|_{H_\delta}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N}} \left(\frac{C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N}\right)^m \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\varphi_n(z)\| \delta^n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \|f_n(z)\| \delta^n\right).
$$

Since $\varphi_n(z)$ for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ are analytic on U and thus continuous on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$, they are bounded on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$. Moreover, $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} || f_n(z) || \delta^n \leq \frac{1}{N} D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)$ for all $z \in B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$, and the uniform convergence $u^{(m)}(z,q) \to \varphi(z,q)$ on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ follows.

Finally, we prove that each $u^{(m)}(z,q)$ is analytic in z on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$. Since $u^{(0)}(z,q) = 0$, the result will follow by induction on m once we prove that analyticity of $S(T(z)u^{(m)}(z))$ on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ follows from analyticity of $S(\mathbf{u}^{(m)}(z))$. This will be a consequence of the following:

Claim: Suppose $\mathbf{v}(z) = \{v_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in H_\delta$ for $z \in \overline{B_\varepsilon(z_0)}$ is a family of sequences satisfying the following properties:

- (1) Each $v_n(z)$ is analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ and continuous on $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$.
- (2) There is a finite constant K such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||v_n(z)|| \delta^n \leq K$ for all $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$.

(3) The function $S(\mathbf{v}(z)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(z) q^n$ is analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ and continuous on $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$. Then $T(z)\mathbf{v}(z)$ also satisfies these three properties.

To prove the claim, note first that the definition $(A.5)$ of $T(z)$ implies that the component functions of $T(z)\mathbf{v}(z)$ are analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ and continuous on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ since the same holds for each $\varphi(z)$, $f_n(z)$, $A_n(z)$, and $v_n(z)$. Secondly, setting $\max_{z \in \overline{B_\varepsilon(z_0)}} ||\varphi_n(z)|| = K_n < \infty$,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||[T(z)\mathbf{v}]_n(z)|| \delta^n = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} ||\varphi_n(z)|| \delta^n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} ||f_n(z) + \sum_{m=0}^n A_{n-m}(z)v_m(z)|| \delta^n
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} K_n \delta^n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} ||f_n(z)|| \delta^n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{n} ||A_{n-m}(z)|| ||v_m(z)|| \delta^n
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} K_n \delta^n + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} ||A_n(z)|| ||v_m(z)|| \delta^{n+m}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon)}{N} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} K_n \delta^n + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||A_n(z)|| \delta^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} ||v_n(z)|| \delta^m
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) K + D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} K_n \delta^n.
$$

Since this bound is finite and independent of $z \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$, the second property in the claim holds for $T(z)\mathbf{v}(z)$. Finally, to show that $S(T(z)\mathbf{v}(z))$ is analytic on $B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ and continuous on $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$, the first property in the claim implies that it is enough to show that the series

$$
S(T(z)\mathbf{v}(z)) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \varphi_n(z) q^n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(f_n(z) + \sum_{m=0}^{n} A_{n-m}(z) v_m(z) \right) q^n
$$

converges uniformly on $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$. Indeed, a calculation similar to the above shows that the tail of the series satisfies the bound

$$
\left\| \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} [T(z)\mathbf{v}]_n(z) q^n \right\| \le \frac{1}{M} \left(C(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) K + D(\delta, z_0, \varepsilon) \right)
$$

for all $z \in B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ when $M \geq N$. As this bound is independent of $z \in B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)$ and tends to 0 as $M \to \infty$, the convergence is uniform on $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(z_0)}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Appendix B. The assumption in Theorem [6.4](#page-62-0) for odd nilpotent orbits

In this appendix, we check the assumption of Theorem [6.4](#page-62-0) for all nilpotent orbits \mathbb{O}_q in [\[Ara3,](#page-81-0) Tables 2–10]. By Corollary [6.7,](#page-63-0) it is enough to consider nilpotent elements which do not admit good even gradings, and it is easy to determine which nilpotent elements these are from the tables and results in, for example, [\[BC2,](#page-81-1) [EK\]](#page-82-0).

B.1. Classical types. In classical types, we need to check odd nilpotent orbits in types B, C, and D only, since every nilpotent element in type A admits a good even grading (see [\[EK,](#page-82-0) Proposition 4.2]). For our discussion of nilpotent elements in classical types here, we will use [\[Ja,](#page-83-0) Sections 1 and 3] as a reference.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_n$ or \mathfrak{sp}_n (with *n* even in the symplectic case). We can identify any nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ with an $n \times n$ matrix acting on the defining representation \mathbb{C}^n of \mathfrak{g} , and we can identify conjugacy classes of nilpotent elements by their Jordan canonical forms. Thus nilpotent orbits in g are identified with certain partitions of n: for $g = \mathfrak{so}_n$, even parts of these partitions must have even multiplicity, while for \mathfrak{sp}_n , odd parts must have even multiplicity (see for example $[Ja,$ Theorem 1.6). We will express the partition of a nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ as follows:

$$
n = p_1 + p_2 + \ldots + p_{2r} + q_1 + q_2 + \ldots + q_s,
$$

where $p_{2i-1} = p_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$; for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_n$, we assume each p_i is even and each q_j is odd, while for \mathfrak{sp}_n each p_i is odd and each q_j is even.

Now \mathbb{C}^n has a basis with respect to which the nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ has the matrix

$$
f = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} J_{p_1} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & J_{p_{2r}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{q_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{q_s} \end{array} \right],
$$

where J_m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the *lower*-triangular $m \times m$ indecomposable Jordan block. By Theorems 1 and 2 in $[Ja, Subsection 1.11]$, we may take this basis of \mathbb{C}^n such that the Gram matrix of the bilinear form preserved by $\mathfrak g$ is the following:

$$
S = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} \widetilde{S}_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \widetilde{S}_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & S_{q_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & S_{q_s} \end{array} \right]
$$

where for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, S_m is the $m \times m$ matrix

$$
S_m = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \pm 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],
$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$
\widetilde{S}_i = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & S_{p_i} \\ -S_{p_i} & 0 \end{array} \right].
$$

We write matrices $X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ in block form,

$$
X = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right],
$$

where A is $(p_1 + ... + p_{2r}) \times (p_1 + ... + p_{2r})$ and D is $(q_1 + ... + q_s) \times (q_1 + ... + q_s)$. Then the block diagonal form of the Gram matrix S implies that $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is, $SX = -X^{T}S$, if and only if all three of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & B \\ C & 0\end{array}\right]
$$

are matrices in g.

Now as discussed in $[Ja, \text{ Sections } 3.3 \text{ and } 3.4]$, the Dynkin grading of $\mathfrak g$ can be obtained by restriction from the grading of \mathfrak{gl}_n induced by a suitable grading of \mathbb{C}^n associated to f. From the definition of this grading of \mathbb{C}^n (given in [J_a, Section 3.3]), it is clear that diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak g$ are contained in $\mathfrak g_0$, and that

$$
X = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{array} \right] + \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{array} \right] \tag{B.1}
$$

gives the decomposition of $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ as the sum of a matrix in $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ and a matrix in $\bigoplus_{j\in\frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_j$, respectively. In particular, the nilpotent element f is even if and only if either $r = 0$ or $s = 0$, that is, all parts in the partition of f have the same parity.

Now we want to show that if f is an odd nilpotent element, then f satisfies the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0) Thus we may assume $r, s \geq 1$, so that in particular $r \geq 1$. We then define $v \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ in terms of its blocks: $B = C = D = 0$, while

$$
A = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} I_{p_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{p_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{p_{2r-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -I_{p_{2r}} \end{array} \right]
$$

,

where I_m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. (Recall that $p_{2i-1} = p_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.) It is clear that $[f, v] = 0$, and $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ as well since for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & S_m \\ -S_m & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -S_m \\ -S_m & 0 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S_m \\ -S_m & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Since v is diagonal, $v \in \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ ^{*I*}₀. Also, ad(*v*) is semisimple on \mathfrak{gl}_n : block matrices of the forms

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & B\\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0\\ C & 0\end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0\\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]
$$

are sums of $ad(v)$ -eigenvectors with eigenvalues contained in $\{1, 0, -1\}$, $\{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\{0\}$, respectively. Thus ad(v) is diagonalizable on g and on \mathfrak{g}^f , and the decomposition $(B.1)$ shows that the ad(v)-eigenvalues on \mathfrak{g}^f $_{-j}^{J}$, for $j \geq 0$, are contained in $-j-1+\mathbb{N}$. Thus $v \in \mathfrak{g}^f_0$ $\frac{0}{0}$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0)

B.2. Exceptional types. In exceptional types, there are fifteen nilpotent orbits to check: two in type G_2 , three for F_4 , two for E_6 , two for E_7 , and six for E_8 . For each case, we will use f to denote the nilpotent element in g under consideration, and we will embed f into an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, h, f\}$. Let h be a Cartan subalgebra of g containing h, and let Δ_+ be a choice of positive roots for g such that $\alpha(h) \geq 0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. For any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, let e_α and f_α span the root spaces of $\mathfrak g$ associated to α and $-\alpha$, respectively. Then the Dynkin grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, \alpha(h) = 0} (\mathbb{C}e_{\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{\alpha})
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{g}_j = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, \, \alpha(h) = 2j} \mathbb{C}e_{\alpha}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{-j} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, \, \alpha(h) = 2j} \mathbb{C}f_{\alpha}
$$

for $j > 0$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})}$ be the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} .

We will identify elements $v \in \mathfrak{h}$ with weighted Dynkin diagrams that indicate how the simple roots of $\mathfrak g$ act on v. For example, in type E_6 , the notation

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccccc} & & c_2 & & \\ c_1 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 & c_6 \end{array},
$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$, means that v is defined by $\alpha_i(v) = c_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. We will also use weighted Dynkin diagrams to denote the root α in the subscripts of e_{α} and f_{α} for $\alpha \in \Delta_{+}$. For example, for the highest root $\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$ in type E_6 , we denote

$$
f_{\theta} = f_{12321}^{\circ}
$$

.

In types G_2 and F_4 , our convention will be to orient weighted Dynkin diagrams so that the arrows (which are suppressed in the notation) point towards the right.

We now discuss how to find $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ μ_0^J satisfying the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0) First, we may take f to be a sum of negative root vectors, $f = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_{\beta_k}$ where $\beta_k \in \Delta_+$ satisfy $\beta_k(h) = 2$; the tables in [\[dG,](#page-82-1) Appendix A] provide explicit such nilpotent orbit representatives. Given the expansion of each β_k as a linear combination of simple roots, we can then determine \mathfrak{h}_0^f $\frac{1}{0}$ by solving the system of linear equations $\{\beta_k(v) = 0\}_{k=1}^K$ for $\alpha_i(v)$, $1 \le i \le \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is usually easy to identify a solution v whose eigenvalues on \mathfrak{g}^f are likely to satisfy the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0) We then determine the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(v)$ for this solution v on $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$. These are easy to find from the weighted Dynkin diagram of v , provided we know the weighted Dynkin diagrams of all $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ such that $\alpha(h) = 0, 1$, and it is easy to determine all such positive roots from the weighted Dynkin diagram of h (see $[dG,$ Appendix B] for convenient lists of weighted Dynkin diagrams for all positive roots in exceptional types).

If the eigenvalues of $ad(v)$ on \mathfrak{g}_0 and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are contained in $\{1,0,-1\}$ and $\{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$, respectively, then we are done because $\operatorname{ad}(f)$ induces \mathfrak{g}^f_0 $^f_0\text{-module isomorphisms }\mathfrak{g}_0\cong\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{g}^f_-\,$ $-i$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g}_{-j}$ (see [\[EK,](#page-82-0) Theorem 1.4]). In some cases, we encounter ad(v)eigenvalues ± 2 on \mathfrak{g}_0 or $\pm \frac{5}{2}$ $\frac{5}{2}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$. Then we check that $\text{ad}(f)$ acts injectively on these ad(v)-eigenspaces in $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$, which shows that the corresponding eigenspaces in \mathfrak{g}^f are contained in $\bigoplus_{j\geq 1}\mathfrak{g}^f$ $\frac{f}{-j}$. We now proceed to check each of the fifteen odd nilpotent orbits for exeptional types appearing in the tables of [\[Ara3\]](#page-81-0):

Type G_2 . For G_2 , we need to check the nilpotent orbits A_1 (the minimal nilpotent orbit containing long root vectors) and A_1 (which contains short root vectors). These are the nilpotent orbits \mathbb{O}_3 and \mathbb{O}_2 , respectively; for all other admissible denominators q, \mathbb{O}_q is an even nilpotent orbit.

For the minimal nilpotent orbit A_1 , Table 1 in $[dG,$ Section 4 shows we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow 1 \quad 0 \ , \qquad f = f_{23}.
$$

So to find $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$, we need to solve

$$
2\alpha_1(v) + 3\alpha_2(v) = 0;
$$

one solution is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow -\frac{3}{2} 1 .
$$

By checking how $ad(h)$ acts on the root vectors of g , it is easy to see that

$$
\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{01} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{01}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2} = \mathbb{C}f_{10} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{11} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{12} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{13}.
$$

These direct sum decompositions of \mathfrak{g}_0 and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are in fact ad(v)-eigenspace decompositions with eigenvalues $0, 1, -1$ and $\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively. So v satisfies the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0)

For the nilpotent orbit A_1 , we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow 0 \quad 1 \quad , \qquad f = f_{12}.
$$

In this case, we take $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ to be

 $v \longleftrightarrow 1 \quad -\frac{1}{2}$,

and

$$
\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{10} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{10}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2} = \mathbb{C}f_{01} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{11}.
$$

These direct sum decompositions of \mathfrak{g}_0 and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are the ad(v)-eigenspace decompositions with eigenvalues $0, 1, -1$ and $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, respectively, so v satisfies the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0)

Type F_4 . For F_4 , we need to check the odd nilpotent orbits A_1 (the minimal nilpotent orbit), $A_2 + A_1$, and $A_2 + A_1$, which are equal to \mathbb{O}_2 , \mathbb{O}_3 , and \mathbb{O}_4 , respectively. For all other denominators q , \mathbb{O}_q is an even nilpotent orbit.

For the minimal nilpotent orbit A_1 , Table 2 in [\[dG,](#page-82-1) Appendix A] shows that we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad , \qquad f = f_{2342}.
$$

The weighted Dynkin diagram for h shows that g_0 is reductive with semisimple part of type C₃ generated by the root vectors corresponding to $\pm \alpha_2$, $\pm \alpha_3$, $\pm \alpha_4$, while $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors of the form $f_{1n_2n_3n_4}$ for $n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we define

$$
v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f \longleftrightarrow -\frac{3}{2} \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \; .
$$

The eigenvalues of ad(v) on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1$ because $\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4$ is the highest root in the subsystem of type C_3 . On the other hand, each $f_{1n_2n_3n_4} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ has ad (v) -eigenvalue $\frac{3}{2} - n_2$; since $0 \le n_2 \le 3$ for all positive roots of F_4 (see for example Table 8 in [\[dG,](#page-82-1) Appendix B]), this shows that v satisfies the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0)

For $A_2 + A_1$, we may take

$$
h \leftrightarrow 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad , \qquad f = f_{0111} + f_{1121} + f_{1220}.
$$

So the semisimple part of \mathfrak{g}_0 is of type $A_1 \times A_1$, with simple roots α_1 and α_3 , while $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors $f_{n_1n_2n_3n_4}$ such that $n_2 + n_4 = 1$. To find $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ \mathcal{O}_0 , we need to solve

$$
\alpha_2(v) + \alpha_3(v) + \alpha_4(v) = 0
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_1(v) + \alpha_2(v) + 2\alpha_3(v) + \alpha_4(v) = 0
$$
,
\n
$$
\alpha_1(v) + 2\alpha_2(v) + 2\alpha_3(v) = 0
$$

and the (unique up to scale) solution is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow -1 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \ .
$$

It is clear that the eigenvalues of ad(v) on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1$, and the ad(v)-eigenvalue of $f_{n_1n_2n_3n_4}$ $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is $\frac{1}{2} + n_1 - n_3$. Examining the positive roots of F_4 then shows that the ad(v)-eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$, as desired.

For $A_2 + A_1$, we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \ , \qquad f = f_{1121} + f_{0122} + f_{1220},
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_2 \times A_1$ with simple roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors of the form $f_{n_1n_21n_4}$ for $n_1, n_2, n_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. Explicitly,

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}=\mathbb{C}f_{0010}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{0011}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{0110}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{0111}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{1110}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{1111}.
$$

Now we define

$$
v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f \longleftrightarrow 1 \quad 1 \quad -\frac{3}{2} \quad 1 \ .
$$

It is easy to see that $ad(v)$ has eigenvalues $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$, and on \mathfrak{g}_0 it has eigenvalues $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. The ± 2 -eigenspaces are spanned by e_{1100} and f_{1100} , respectively, and these eigenvectors are not in \mathfrak{g}_0^f \int_0^J since $[f, e_{1100}]$ is a non-zero multiple of f_{0120} and $[f, f_{1100}]$ is a non-zero multiple of f_{1222} . Thus v still satisfies the conditions of Theorem [6.4.](#page-62-0)

Type E_6 . For E_6 , we need to check the nilpotent orbits $3A_1$ and $2A_2 + A_1$, corresponding to the admissible level denominators $q = 2$ and $q = 3$, respectively. All other orbits \mathbb{O}_q except for $q = 5$ are even, and \mathbb{O}_5 is the nilpotent orbit $A_4 + A_1$ which admits a good even grading (see Table E_6 in [\[EK,](#page-82-0) Section 7]).

For the nilpotent orbit $3A_1$, Table 3 in [\[dG,](#page-82-1) Appendix A] shows that we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}, \qquad f = f_{01\overline{2}10} + f_{1\overline{2}11} + f_{11\overline{2}21} + f_{11\overline{2}21}
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors of the form $f_{n_1 n_3 1 n_5 n_6}$. We define

$$
v\in \mathfrak{h}^f_0\longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} & 1\\0&0&-\frac{1}{2}&0&0\end{array},
$$

and after examining the positive roots of E_6 (see for example the list in Table 9 of $[dG,$ Appendix B]), we see that the eigenvalues of $ad(v)$ on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1$, and the eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$.

For the nilpotent orbit $2A_2 + A_1$, we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}, \qquad f = f_{01210} + f_{1000} + f_{00111} + f_{01111} + f_{1110} + f_{11110}
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6}^{\qquad n_2}$ such that $n_1 + n_4 + n_6 = 1$. The one-dimensional space \mathfrak{h}_0^f $\frac{1}{0}$ is spanned by

$$
v \longleftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad -1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad ,
$$

and after examining the positive roots of E_6 , we see that $ad(v)$ has suitable eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}.$

Type E_7 . For E_7 , we have two nilpotent orbits to check, $4A_1$ and $2A_2+A_1$, corresponding to admissible level denominators $q = 2, 3$.

For $4A_1$, Table 5 in [\[dG,](#page-82-1) Appendix A] shows that we may take

$$
h\longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}, \qquad f=f_{01\overline{2}211}+f_{1\overline{2}2111}+f_{11\overline{2}221}+f_{12\overline{2}3210},
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type A_5 , and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors $f_{n_1n_3n_4n_5n_6n_7}$ such that $n_2 + n_7 = 1$. We take $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ to be

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccccc} & & -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array},
$$

so that the root vectors associated to the type A_5 subsystem in \mathfrak{g}_0 have ad(v)-eigenvalues in $\{1, 0, -1\}$. Also, a check shows that there are twenty-six negative root vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$, with ad(*v*)-eigenvalues in $\{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$.

For $2A_2 + A_1$, we take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}, \qquad f = f_{\begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ 111111 \end{array}} + f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 012110 \end{array}} + f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 011111 \end{array}} + f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 122100 \end{array}} + f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 112210 \end{array}}
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_3 \times A_1 \times A_1$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by root vectors $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6 n_7}$ such that $n_3 + n_6 = 1$. We take $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ to be

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{array},
$$

so that the root vectors associated to the type $A_3 \times A_1 \times A_1$ subsystem in \mathfrak{g}_0 have ad(v)eigenvalues in $\{1, 0, -1\}$. Also, a check shows that there are twenty negative root vectors in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$, with ad(v)-eigenvalues in $\{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$.

Type E_8 . For E_8 , we have six nilpotent orbits to check: $4A_1$, $2A_2+2A_1$, $2A_3$, A_4+A_3 , A_6+A_5 A_1 , and A_7 , corresponding to admissible level denominators $q = 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8$, respectively. For all other denominators q, the orbit \mathbb{O}_q is even.

For the nilpotent orbit $4A_1$, Table 6 in [\[dG,](#page-82-1) Appendix A] shows that we may take

$$
h\longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 1\\0&0&0&0&0&0 \end{array},\qquad f=f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1\\1233221 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 2\\2343210 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 2\\1343211 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 2\\1243321 \end{array}},
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type A_7 , and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by fifty-six root vectors of the form $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8}$. Our solution for $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccccc} & & -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array},
$$

so that the root vectors associated to the type A_7 root system of \mathfrak{g}_0 have ad(v)-eigenvalues in $\{1, 0, -1\}$. Also, the eigenvalues of ad(v) on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$.

For $2A_2 + 2A_1$, we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow 0
$$
 0 0 0 1 0 0 0, $f = f_{1232100} + f_{1232110} + f_{1222210} + f_{1222111} + f_{1122211} + f_{0122221}$, so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_4 \times A_3$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by forty root vectors of the form $f_{n_1n_3n_41n_6n_7n_8}$. Our solution for $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array},
$$

and the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(v)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$, while the eigenvalues of ad(*v*) on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. The ± 2 -eigenspaces of \mathfrak{g}_0 are spanned by e_{1} and f_{1} , respectively. Then because (up to non-zero scalar multiples)

$$
\left[f, e_{\substack{1\\1110000}}\right] = f_{\substack{1\\0122100}}, \qquad \left[f, f_{\substack{1\\1110000}}\right] = f_{\substack{2\\1232221}},
$$

the ± 2 -eigenspaces of ad(*v*) on \mathfrak{g}^f are contained in $\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}^f$ $\frac{J}{-j}$, as required.

For $2A_3$, we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}, \quad f=f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1221000 & 1121100 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1111110 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1111111 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0122110 \end{array}}+f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 0122211 \end{array}},
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_3 \times A_3$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by twenty-eight root vectors $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8}^{\qquad n_2}$ such that $n_1 + n_5 = 1$. Our solution for $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccc} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array},
$$

so that the root vectors associated to the type $A_3 \times A_3$ root system of \mathfrak{g}_0 have ad(v)-eigenvalues in $\{1, 0, -1\}$. Also, the eigenvalues of ad(v) on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$.

For $A_4 + A_3$, we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}
$$

and

$$
f=f_{\begin{matrix}0&1\\0&0\end{matrix}}+f_{\begin{matrix}11&111&10\end{matrix}}+f_{\begin{matrix}0&1\\0&1\end{matrix}}+f_{\begin{matrix}0&1\\0&1\end{matrix}}+f_{\begin{matrix}12&1000\\1&2\end{matrix}}+f_{\begin{matrix}1&1\\1&2\end{matrix}}+f_{\begin{matrix}0&1\\0&1\end{matrix}}\end{matrix}_{22100},
$$

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_1 \times A_1$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by the twenty-four root vectors $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8}$ such that $n_4 + n_7 = 1$. The (unique up to scale) solution for $v \in \mathfrak{h}^f_0$ $\frac{J}{0}$ is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccccc} & 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & -\frac{5}{2} & 1 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 \end{array};
$$

the eigenvalues of ad(v) on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, while the eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{5}{2}$ $\frac{5}{2}$. The ± 2 -eigenspaces are spanned by e_{0} , e_{0} and f_{0} , f_{0} , $f_{0001100}$, respectively, and up to non-zero scalar multiples, we have

$$
\left[f, e_{\substack{0\\1100000}}\right] = f_{\substack{0\\0011110}} + f_{\substack{1\\0121000}}, \qquad \left[f, e_{\substack{0\\0001100}}\right] = f_{\substack{1\\0121000}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{bmatrix} f, f_{00} \\ 1100000 \end{bmatrix} = f_{11111111} + f_{122100}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} f, f_{00} \\ 0.0001100 \end{bmatrix} = f_{122100}
$$

This shows that $ad(f)$ acts injectively on the ± 2 -eigenspaces in \mathfrak{g}_0 , which implies that the ± 2 -eigenspaces of ad(*v*) on \mathfrak{g}^f are contained in $\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}^f$ $\frac{f}{-j}$. Now the $\pm \frac{5}{2}$ -eigenspaces of ad(v) on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are spanned by f_{00} and $f_{1111100}$, respectively. Thus because

$$
\left[f, f_{\substack{0\\0010000}}\right] = f_{\substack{1\\0121110}}, \qquad \left[f, f_{\substack{1\\1111100}}\right] = f_{\substack{1\\1222211}}
$$

(again up to non-zero scalar multiples), the $\pm \frac{5}{2}$ $\frac{5}{2}$ -eigenspaces of ad(*v*) on \mathfrak{g}^f are contained in $\bigoplus_{j\geq\frac{3}{2}}\mathfrak{g}^f_ \frac{J}{-j}$, as required.

For $A_6 + A_1$, we may take

$$
h\longleftrightarrow\begin{array}{cccccc} & 0\\ 1& 0& 1& 0& 1& 0& 0\end{array}
$$

and

$$
f = f_{11} + f_{0} + f_{11} + f_{11} + f_{11} + f_{0} + f_{0}
$$

1111000 - 0121000 - 0111100 - 0011110 - 0111110 - 0011111

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_2 \times A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by the sixteen root vectors $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8}$ such that $n_1 + n_4 + n_6 = 1$. Our solution for $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ is

$$
v \leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad -\frac{3}{2} \quad 1 \quad -\frac{3}{2} \quad 1 \quad 1
$$

and the eigenvalues of ad(v) on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, while the eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$. The ± 2 -eigenspaces are spanned by e_{00} and f_{00} . Since $\frac{0000011}{00000011}$

$$
\left[f,e_{\begin{array}{cc} 0\\0000011 \end{array}}\right] = f_{\begin{array}{cc} 0\\0011100 \end{array}} , \qquad \left[f,f_{\begin{array}{cc} 0\\0000011 \end{array}}\right] = f_{\begin{array}{cc} 1\\0111111 \end{array}}
$$

up to non-zero scalar multiples, the ± 2 -eigenspaces of $ad(v)$ on \mathfrak{g}^f are in $\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}^f$,
— j∙

Finally, for A_7 we may take

$$
h \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} & 0 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}
$$

and

$$
f = f_{\substack{0\\0000110}} + f_{\substack{1\\1110000}} + f_{\substack{0\\1111000}} + f_{\substack{1\\0011100}} + f_{\substack{0\\0111100}} + f_{\substack{0\\0001111}} + f_{\substack{0\\121000}},
$$

.

so \mathfrak{g}_0 has semisimple part of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ is spanned by the fourteen root vectors $f_{n_1 n_3 n_4 n_5 n_6 n_7 n_8}$ such that $n_1 + n_4 + n_6 + n_7 = 1$. Our solution for $v \in \mathfrak{h}_0^f$ $\frac{J}{0}$ is

$$
v \longleftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad -\frac{3}{2} \quad 1 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad -1 \quad ,
$$

and the eigenvalues of ad(v) on \mathfrak{g}_0 are $0, \pm 1$, while the eigenvalues on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1/2}$ are $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$.

REFERENCES

- [Ab] T. Abe, A \mathbb{Z}_2 -orbifold model of the symplectic fermionic vertex operator superalgebra, Math. Z. 255 (2007), no. 4, 755–792.
- [ABD] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, regularity, and C_2 -cofiniteness, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), no. 8, 3391–3402.
- [AdM] D. Adamović and A. Milas, On the triplet vertex algebra $W(p)$, Adv. Math. 217 (2008), no. 6, 2664–2699.
- [ALSW] R. Allen, S. Lentner, C. Schweigert, and S. Wood, Duality structures for module categories of vertex operator algebras and the Feigin Fuchs boson, [arXiv:2107.05718.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.05718)
- [Ara1] T. Arakawa, A remark on the C_2 -cofiniteness condition on vertex algebras, Math. Z. 270 (2012), no. 1-2, 559–575.
- [Ara2] T. Arakawa, Rationality of Bershadsky-Polyakov vertex algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 323 (2013), no. 2, 627–633.
- [Ara3] T. Arakawa, Associated varieties of modules over Kac-Moody algebras and C_2 -cofiniteness of Walgebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 22, 11605–11666.
- [Ara4] T. Arakawa, Rationality of W-algebras: Principal nilpotent cases, Ann. of Math. (2) 182 (2015), no. 2, 565–604.
- [ACL] T. Arakawa, T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, W-algebras as coset vertex algebras, *Invent. Math.* 218 (2019), no. 1, 145–195.
- [AvE] T. Arakawa and J. van Ekeren, Rationality and fusion rules of exceptional W-algebras, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), [arXiv:1905.11473.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11473)
- [AvEM] T. Arakawa, J. van Ekeren and A. Moreau, Singularities of nilpotent Slodowy slices and collapsing levels of W-algebras, [arXiv:2102.13462.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.13462)
- [ArM1] T. Arakawa and A. Moreau, Sheets and associated varieties of affine vertex algebras, Adv. Math. 320 (2017), 157–209.
- [ArM2] T. Arakawa and A. Moreau, Joseph ideals and lisse minimal W-algebras, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 17 (2018), no. 2, 397–417.
- [Ari] Y. Arike, Some remarks on symmetric linear functions and pseudotrace maps, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 86 (2010), no. 7, 119-124.
- [AN] Y. Arike and K. Nagatomo, Some remarks on pseudo-trace functions for orbifold models associated with symplectic fermions, *Internat. J. Math.* **24** (2013), no. 2, 1350008, 29 pp.
- [BC1] P. Bala and R. Carter, Classes of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups, I, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 79 (1976), no. 3, 401–425.
- [BC2] P. Bala and R. Carter, Classes of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups, II, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 80 (1976), no. 1, 1–17.
- [BD] M. Boyarchenko and V. Drinfeld, A duality formalism in the spirit of Grothendieck and Verdier, Quantum Topol. 4 (2013), no. 4, 447–489.
- [CM] S. Carnahan and M. Miyamoto, Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator algebras, arXiv: 1603.05645.
- [CG] T. Creutzig and T. Gannon, Logarithmic conformal field theory, log-modular tensor categories and modular forms, J. Phys. A 50 (2017), no. 40, 404004, 37 pp.
- [CKL] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade and A. Linshaw, Simple current extensions beyond semi-simplicity, Commun. Contemp. Math 22 (2020), 1950001.
- [CKM1] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade and R. McRae, Tensor categories for vertex operator superalgebra extensions, to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., [arXiv:1705.05017.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05017)
- [CKM2] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade and R. McRae, Gluing vertex algebras, [arXiv:1906.00119.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00119)
- [CL1] T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, Cosets of the $W^k(\mathfrak{sl}_4, f_{subreg})$ -algebra, Vertex Algebras and Geometry, 105–117, Contemp. Math., 711, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [CL2] T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, Trialities of W-algebras, [arXiv:2005.10234.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.10234)
- [CL3] T. Creutzig and A. Linshaw, Trialities of orthosymplectic W-algebras, [arXiv:2102.10224.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.10224)
- [CMY1] T. Creutzig, R. McRae and J. Yang, On ribbon categories for singlet vertex algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. (2021), DOI: 10.1007/s00220-021-04097-9.
- [CMY2] T. Creutzig, R. McRae and J. Yang, Tensor structure on the Kazhdan-Lusztig category for affine $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1),$ Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2021, rnab080, DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnab080.
- [DR] A. Davydov and I. Runkel, Z/2Z-extensions of Hopf algebra module categories by their base categories, Adv. Math. 247 (2013), 192–265.
- [dG] W. de Graaf, Computing with nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras of exceptional type, LMS J. Comput. Math. 11 (2008), 280–297.
- [DSK] A. De Sole and V. Kac, Finite vs affine W-algebras, Jpn. J. Math. 1 (2006), no. 1, 137–261.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Compact automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 18, 913–921.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine, Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), no. 1, 1–56.
- [EK] A. Elashvili and V. Kac, Classification of good gradings of simple Lie algebras, Lie Groups and Invariant Theory, 85–104, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 213, Adv. Math. Sci., 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, Tensor Categories, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, xvi+343 pp.
- [ENO] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, An analogue of Radford's S 4 formula for finite tensor categories, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 54, 2915–2933.
- [Fa] J. Fasquel, Rationality of the exceptional W-algebras $W_k(\mathfrak{sp}_4, f_{subreg})$ associated with subregular nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{sp}_4 , $arXiv:2009.09513$.
- [FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, Quantization of the Drinfel'd-Sokolov reduction, Phys. Lett. B 246 (1990), no. 1-2, 75–81.
- [Fi] F. Fiordalisi, Logarithmic intertwining operators and genus-one correlation functions, Commun. Contemp. Math. 18 (2016), no. 6, 1650026, 46 pp.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1993), no. 494, viii+64 pp.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 134 Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. liv+508 pp.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, Duke Math. J. 66 (1992), no. 1, 123–168.
- [GR] A. Gainutdinov and I. Runkel, The non-semisimple Verlinde formula and pseudo-trace functions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 223 (2019), no. 2, 660–690.
- [GN] T. Gannon and C. Negron, Quantum $SL(2)$ and logarithmic vertex operator algebras at $(p, 1)$ -central charge, [arXiv:2104.12821.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.12821)
- [GKO1] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Virasoro algebras and coset space models, Phys. Lett. B 152 (1985), no. 1-2, 88–92.
- [GKO2] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 103 (1986), no. 1, 105–119.
- [Hu1] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations and intertwining operators, Commun. Contemp. Math. 7 (2005), no. 3, 375–400.
- [Hu2] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations, duality and modular invariance, Commun. Contemp. Math. 7 (2005), no. 5, 649–706.
- [Hu3] Y.-Z. Huang, Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008), no. 1, 103–154.
- [Hu4] Y.-Z. Huang, Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008), suppl. 1, 871–911.
- [Hu5] Y.-Z. Huang, Cofiniteness conditions, projective covers and the logarithmic tensor product theory, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), no. 4, 458–475.
- [Hu6] Y.-Z. Huang, Representations of vertex operator algebras and braided finite tensor categories, Vertex Operator Algebras and Related Areas, 97–111, Contemp. Math., 497, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

- [HL1] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Tensor products of modules for a vertex operator algebra and vertex tensor categories, Lie Theory and Geometry, 349–383, Progress in Math., 123, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1994.
- [HL2] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, I, Selecta Math. (N. S.) 1 (1995), no. 4, 699–756.
- [HLZ1] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, I: Introduction and strongly graded algebras and their generalized modules, Conformal Field Theories and Tensor Categories, 169–248, Math. Lect. Peking Univ., Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- [HLZ2] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, II: Logarithmic formal calculus and properties of logarithmic intertwining operators, [arXiv:1012.4196.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4196)
- [HLZ3] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, III: Intertwining maps and tensor product bifunctors, [arXiv:1012.4197.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4197)
- [HLZ4] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, IV: Constructions of tensor product bifunctors and the compatibility conditions, [arXiv:1012.4198.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4198)
- [HLZ5] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, V: Convergence condition for intertwining maps and the corresponding compatibility condition, [arXiv:1012.4199.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4199)
- [HLZ6] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VI: Expansion condition, associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators, and the associativity isomorphisms, [arXiv:1012.4202.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4202)
- [HLZ7] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VII: Convergence and extension properties and applications to expansion for intertwining maps, [arXiv:1110.1929.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1929)
- [HLZ8] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VIII: Braided tensor category structure on categories of generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, [arXiv:1110.1931.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1931)
- [Ja] J. Jantzen, Nilpotent orbits in representation theory, Lie Theory, 1–211, Progr. Math., 228, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [KRW] V. Kac, S. Roan and M. Wakimoto, Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 241 (2003), no. 2-3, 307–342.
- [KW1] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras, Adv. Math. 185 (2004), no. 2, 400–458.
- [KW2] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, On rationality of W-algebras, Transform. Groups 13 (2008), no. 3-4, 671–713.
- [Ka] K. Kawasetsu, W-algebras with non-admissible levels and the Deligne exceptional series, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2018, no. 3, 641–676.
- [KL] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, IV, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), no. 2, 383-453.
- [KM] M. Krauel and M. Miyamoto, A modular invariance property of multivariable trace functions for regular vertex operator algebras, J. Algebra 444 (2015), 124–142.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations, Progress in Mathematics, 227 , Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004 . xiv $+318$ pp.
- [Li1] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 96 (1994), no. 3, 279–297.
- [Li2] H. Li, The physics superselection principle in vertex operator algebra theory, J. Algebra 196 (1997), no. 2, 436–457.
- [Ly] V. Lyubashenko, Modular transformations for tensor categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 98 (1995), no. 3, 279–327.
- [McR1] R. McRae, On the tensor structure of modules for compact orbifold vertex operator algebras, Math. Z. 296 (2020), no. 1-2, 409–452.
- [McR2] R. McRae, On semisimplicity of module categories for finite non-zero index vertex operator subalgebras, [arXiv:2103.07657.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.07657)
- [McR3] R. McRae, A general mirror equivalence theorem for coset vertex operator algebras, [arXiv:2107.06577.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06577)
- [MY] R. McRae and J. Yang, Structure of Virasoro tensor categories at central charge $13 6p 6p^{-1}$ for integers $p > 1$, [arXiv:2011.02170.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.02170)
- [Mi1] M. Miyamoto, Intertwining operators and modular invariance, [arXiv:math/0010180.](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0010180)
- [Mi2] M. Miyamoto, Modular invariance of vertex operator algebras satisfying C₂-cofiniteness, Duke Math. J. 122 (2004), no. 1, 51–91.
- [Mi3] M. Miyamoto, Flatness and semi-rigidity of vertex operator algebras, [arXiv:1104.4675.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4675)
- [Mi4] M. Miyamoto, C_2 -cofiniteness of cyclic-orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **335** (2015), no. 3, 1279– 1286.
- [MS] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Polynomial equations for rational conformal field theories, Phys. Lett. B 212 (1988), no. 4, 451–460.
- [Mü] M. Müger, From subfactors to categories and topology, II: The quantum double of tensor categories and subfactors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 180 (2003), no. 1-2, 159–219.
- [Ru] I. Runkel, A braided monoidal category for free super-bosons, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), no. 4, 041702, 59 pp.
- [Se] G. Segal, The definition of conformal field theory, Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory, 421–577, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004; preprint, 1988.
- [Sh] K. Shimizu, Non-degeneracy conditions for braided finite tensor categories, Adv. Math. 355 (2019), 106778, 36 pp.
- [TW] A. Tsuchiya and S. Wood, The tensor structure on the representation category of the W_p triplet algebra, J. Phys. A 46 (2013), no. 44, 445203, 40 pp.
- [Va] C. Vafa, Conformal theories and punctured surfaces, Phys. Lett. B 199 (1987), no. 2, 195–202.
- [Ve] E. Verlinde, Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2D conformal field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 300 (1988), no. 3, 360–376.
- [Wal] W. Walter, Ordinary Differential Equations, translated from the sixth German (1996) edition by Russell Thompson, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 182, Readings in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, xii+380 pp.
- [Wan] W. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, no. 7, 197–211.
- [Zh] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), no. 1, 237–302.

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, CHINA Email address: rhmcrae@tsinghua.edu.cn