

On Modelling of Crude Oil Futures in a Bivariate State-Space Framework

Peilun He, Karol Binkowski, Nino Kordzakhia, Pavel Shevchenko

Abstract We study a bivariate latent factor model for the pricing of commodity futures. The two unobservable state variables representing the short and long term factors are modelled as Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) processes. The Kalman Filter (KF) algorithm has been implemented to estimate the unobservable factors as well as unknown model parameters. The estimates of model parameters were obtained by maximising a Gaussian likelihood function. The algorithm has been applied to WTI Crude Oil NYMEX futures data¹.

1 Introduction

In this paper, the OU two-factor model is used for modelling of short and long equilibrium commodity spot price levels. Our motivation is driven by the development of a robust KF algorithm which will be used for joint estimation of the model parameters and the state variables. In a different setup, the parameter estimation problem for bivariate OU process using KF has been studied in [6] and [8].

In [2] the KF is used to study the effect of stochastic volatility and interest rates on the commodity spot prices using the market prices of long-dated futures and options. In [9] the Kalman technique has been applied to calibration, jointly with

Peilun He
Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia, e-mail: peilun.he@students.mq.edu.au

Karol Binkowski
Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia, e-mail: karol.binkowski@mq.edu.au

Nino Kordzakhia
Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia, e-mail: nino.kordzakhia@mq.edu.au

Pavel Shevchenko
Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia, e-mail: pavel.shevchenko@mq.edu.au

¹ Data provided by Datascope - <https://hosted.datascope.reuters.com>

filtering, of partially unobservable processes using particle Markov Chain Monte Carlo approach. The extended KF was developed in [5] for estimation of the state variables in the two-factor model from [10] for the commodity spot price and its convenience yield.

In Sect. 2, we will derive the linear partially observable system specific for commodity futures prices developed in the two-factor model, which represents an extension of [11], in the risk-neutral setting. In Sect. 3, the model will be applied to WTI Crude Oil NYMEX futures prices over 2001-2005, 2005-2009 and 2014-2018 time periods.

2 Two-Factor Model with Risk Premium Parameters

We propose the two-factor model of pricing of commodity futures which represents an extension of [11], where the spot price S_t is modelled as the sum of two unobservable factors χ_t and ξ_t ,

$$\log(S_t) = \chi_t + \xi_t, \quad (1)$$

where χ_t is the short-term fluctuation in prices and ξ_t is the long-term equilibrium price level. We assume that χ_t follows an OU equation and its expected value converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$d\chi_t = (-\kappa\chi_t - \lambda_\chi)dt + \sigma_\chi dZ_t^\chi, \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (2)$$

The changes in the equilibrium level of ξ_t are expected to persist and ξ_t is also assumed to be a stationary OU process

$$d\xi_t = (\mu_\xi - \gamma\xi_t - \lambda_\xi)dt + \sigma_\xi dZ_t^\xi, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad (3)$$

where $(Z_t^\chi)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(Z_t^\xi)_{t \geq 0}$ are correlated standard Brownian motions processes with $E(dZ_t^\chi dZ_t^\xi) = \rho_{\chi\xi} dt$; σ_χ and σ_ξ are the volatilities; γ and κ are the speed of mean-reversion parameters of χ and ξ processes respectively; $(\mu_\xi - \lambda_\xi)/\gamma$ is a long-run mean for ξ . In [11], only one factor had a mean-reverting property. In this work, both χ_t and ξ_t are modelled as the mean-reverting processes. The parameters λ_χ and λ_ξ in (2) and (3) were introduced as adjustments for market price of risk. The approach stems from the risk-neutral futures pricing theory developed in [1]. Given the initial values χ_0 and ξ_0 , χ_t and ξ_t are jointly normally distributed. Therefore the logarithm of the spot price, which is the sum of χ and ξ , is normally distributed. Hence, the spot price is log-normally distributed and

$$\log[E^*(S_t)] = E^*[\log(S_t)] + \frac{1}{2}Var^*[\log(S_t)] = e^{-\kappa t}\chi_0 + e^{-\gamma t}\xi_0 + A(t), \quad (4)$$

where $E^*(\cdot)$ and $Var^*(\cdot)$ represent the expectation and variance taken with respect to the risk-neutral distribution, and

$$A(t) = -\frac{\lambda_\chi}{\kappa}(1 - e^{-\kappa t}) + \frac{\mu_\xi - \lambda_\xi}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma t}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa t}}{2\kappa} \sigma_\chi^2 + \frac{1 - e^{-2\gamma t}}{2\gamma} \sigma_\xi^2 + 2 \frac{1 - e^{-(\kappa+\gamma)t}}{\kappa + \gamma} \sigma_\chi \sigma_\xi \rho_{\chi\xi} \right). \quad (5)$$

Let $F_{0,T}$ be the current market price of the futures contract with maturity T . For eliminating arbitrage, the futures prices must be equal to the expected spot prices at the asset delivery time T . Hence, under the risk-neutral measure, we have $\log(F_{0,T}) = e^{-\kappa T} \chi_0 + e^{-\gamma T} \xi_0 + A(T)$. After discretization, we will obtain the following AR(1) dynamics for bivariate state variable x_t

$$x_t = c + Gx_{t-1} + w_t, \quad (6)$$

where

$$x_t = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_t \\ \xi_t \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\mu_\xi}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma\Delta t}) \end{bmatrix}, G = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\kappa\Delta t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\gamma\Delta t} \end{bmatrix},$$

and w_t is a column vector of uncorrelated normally distributed random variables with $E(w_t) = \mathbf{0}$ and

$$\text{Cov}(w_t) = W = \text{Cov}[(\chi_{\Delta t}, \xi_{\Delta t})] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa\Delta t}}{2\kappa} \sigma_\chi^2 & \frac{1 - e^{-(\kappa+\gamma)\Delta t}}{\kappa + \gamma} \sigma_\chi \sigma_\xi \rho_{\chi\xi} \\ \frac{1 - e^{-(\kappa+\gamma)\Delta t}}{\kappa + \gamma} \sigma_\chi \sigma_\xi \rho_{\chi\xi} & \frac{1 - e^{-2\gamma\Delta t}}{2\gamma} \sigma_\xi^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

Δt is the time step between $(t-1)$ and t . The relationship between the state variables and the observed futures prices is given by

$$y_t = d_t + F_t' x_t + v_t, \quad (7)$$

where

$$y_t' = (\log(F_{t,T_1}), \dots, \log(F_{t,T_n})), d_t' = (A(T_1), \dots, A(T_n)), F_t = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\kappa T_1} & \dots & e^{-\kappa T_n} \\ e^{-\gamma T_1} & \dots & e^{-\gamma T_n} \end{bmatrix},$$

v_t is n -dimensional vector of uncorrelated normally distributed random variables, $E(v_t) = \mathbf{0}$, $\text{Cov}(v_t) = V$ and T_1, \dots, T_n are the futures maturity times. In Sect. 3, we assume that V is a diagonal matrix with non-zero diagonal entries $s = (s_1^2, s_2^2, \dots, s_n^2)$, i.e. the variance of the error term for the first contract is s_1^2 and s_2^2 for all other remaining contracts. Let \mathcal{F}_t be σ -algebra generated by the futures contract up to time t . The prediction errors $e_t = y_t - E(y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ are supposed to be multivariate normally distributed, then the log-likelihood function of $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_T})$ can be written as

$$l(\theta; y) = -\frac{nn_T \log 2\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{n_T} \left[\log [\det(L_{t|t-1})] + e_t' L_{t|t-1}^{-1} e_t \right], \quad (8)$$

where the set of unknown parameters $\theta = (\kappa, \gamma, \mu_\xi, \sigma_\chi, \sigma_\xi, \rho_{\chi\xi}, \lambda_\chi, \lambda_\xi, s_1, s_2)$, n_T is the number of time instances, $L_t|_{t-1} = Cov(e_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1})$. Given y_t , the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of θ is obtained by maximising the log-likelihood function from (8). Both quantities e_t and $L_t|_{t-1}$ are computed within the KF.

3 Crude Oil Futures

The unknown parameters were estimated ² by maximising the log-likelihood function (8). Then, the state variables were estimated using the KF and Kalman Smoother (KS), [7] and [4]. Given all observations until time T and the current time t , $t \leq T$, KF only uses the observations up to t , while KS uses all the available observations up to T . In this section, “in-sample” and “out-of-sample” performances of KF and KS are analysed using the RMSE criterion.

We used the historical data of WTI Crude Oil NYMEX futures prices over different time intervals from 1996 to 2019. The data comprised the prices of 20 monthly futures contracts with duration up to 20 months.




Fig. 1 WTI Crude Oil futures prices of the first available contract.

Figure 1 shows the WTI Crude Oil futures prices from 1996 to 2019. It is obvious that the prices dropped dramatically during the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) in 2008. For studying the “in-sample” and “out-of-sample” forecasting performances, the three separate time periods were selected, 01/01/2001 - 01/01/2005, 01/01/2005 - 01/01/2009, and 01/01/2014 - 01/01/2018.

Table 1 provides the RMSE over the selected time periods. “In-sample” forecast performance has been evaluated on the first 13 contracts (C1-C13), while “out-of-sample” performance has been evaluated on the 14th to the 20th contracts (C14-

² The Appendix containing the initial values and parameter estimates along with their standard errors can be found at <https://github.com/peilun-he/MAF-Conference-September-2020>

Table 1 RMSE computed over three different time periods using two forecasting methods for each time interval.

Period	2001-2005		2005-2009		2014-2018		
Estimation	Filter	Smoother	Filter	Smoother	Filter	Smoother	
In-Sample	C4	0.003264	0.003268	0.002219	0.002244	0.002180	0.002181
	C9	0.002289	0.002304	0.001612	0.001616	0.001646	0.001668
	C13	0.004155	0.004163	0.003959	0.003986	0.003516	0.003494
Out-of-Sample	C14	0.005959	0.005955	0.005569	0.005591	0.005215	0.005181
	C20	0.018585	0.018579	0.018002	0.018006	0.020331	0.020265

C20). Overall, the “in-sample” forecasting errors were less than “out-of-sample” errors as seen in Table 1. The “out-of-sample” forecasting errors were consistently increasing with respect of maturity times from C14 to C20 contracts. The RMSE are consistent across the three time intervals, even over 2005 - 2009, where the futures prices plummeted during the GFC. In summary, for each specified time period, the RMSE calculated through KF is smaller for short maturity contracts, which provides evidence that the KF performed better in predicting prices for short maturity contracts, whilst KS outperformed KF in the pricing of longer maturity futures.




Fig. 2 Cross-sectional graphs of the logarithms of futures prices and their forecasts on 4 different days. S_F represents the sum of squares of estimation errors using KF; S_S represents the sum of squares of estimation errors using KS.

Figure 2 gives the cross-sectional data plots of the logarithms of futures prices and their forecasts obtained by KF and KS on four different days. The plots exhibit the distinct patterns of futures curves, 05/09/2007, 10/10/2006, 14/11/2005 and 20/09/2005. The horizontal axis represents the number of contracts from 1 to

20 and the logarithm of futures prices are presented on the vertical axis. The RMSE for the curve with backwardation pattern (top left) appears larger than RMSE of that with contango pattern (top right)³.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed the two-factor model which can be used for pricing of oil futures and forecasting their term structure which remains a most significant challenge, [3]. The KF algorithm has been robustified by the grid-search add-on which has been implemented to estimate the hidden factors jointly with unknown model parameters. The model has been applied to WTI Crude Oil futures market prices from 1996 to 2019. The model “in-sample” and “out-of-sample” forecasting performances were evaluated using the RMSE criterion. Moreover, we observed that KF gives a better estimate of state vector x_t for shorter maturity contracts, while KS performs better for contracts with longer maturities.

References

1. Black, F.: The pricing of commodity contracts. *Journal of Financial Economics* **3**, 167–179 (1976)
2. Cheng, B., Nikitopoulos, C.S., Schlögl, E.: Pricing of long-dated commodity derivatives: Do stochastic interest rates matter? *Journal of Banking and Finance* **95**, 148–166 (2018)
3. Cortazar, G., Millard, C., Ortega, H., Schwartz, E.S.: Commodity Price Forecasts, Futures Prices, and Pricing Models. *Management Science* **65**, 4141–4155 (2019)
4. De Jong, P.: Smoothing and interpolation with the state-space model. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **84**, 1085–1088 (1989)
5. Ewald, C.O., Zhang, A., Zong, Z.: On the calibration of the Schwartz two-factor model to WTI crude oil options and the extended Kalman Filter. *Annals of Operations Research* **282**, 119–130 (2019)
6. Favetto, B., Samson, A.: Parameter estimation for a bidimensional partially observed Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with biological application. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **37**, 200–220 (2000)
7. Harvey, A.C.: *Forecasting, structural time series models and the Kalman filter*. Cambridge (1990)
8. Kutoyants, Y.A.: On parameter estimation of the hidden Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **169**, 248–263 (2019)
9. Peters, G.W., Briers, M., Shevchenko, P., Doucet, A.: Calibration and filtering for multi factor commodity models with seasonality: incorporating panel data from futures contracts. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability* **15**, 841–874 (2013)
10. Schwartz, E.S.: The stochastic behavior of commodity prices: Implications for valuation and hedging. *The Journal of Finance* **52**, 923–973 (1997)
11. Schwartz, E.S., Smith, J.E.: Short-term variations and long-term dynamics in commodity prices. *Management Science* **46**, 893–911 (2000)

³ Backwardation represents the situation where the futures prices with shorter maturities are higher than the futures prices with longer maturities, while contango refers to the reverse situation.