Four Symmetries of the KdV equation

Alexander G. Rasin
Department of Computer Science and Mathematics,
Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel
E-mail: rasin@ariel.ac.il

Jeremy Schiff (Corresponding author)
Department of Mathematics,
Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 52900, Israel
E-mail: schiff@math.biu.ac.il

November 30, 2023

Abstract

We revisit the symmetry structure of integrable PDEs, looking at the specific example of the KdV equation. We identify 4 nonlocal symmetries of KdV depending on a parameter, which we call generating symmetries. We explain that since these are nonlocal symmetries, their commutator algebra is not uniquely determined, and we present three possibilities for the algebra. In the first version, 3 of the 4 symmetries commute; this shows that it is possible to add further (nonlocal) commuting flows to the standard KdV hierarchy. The second version of the commutator algebra is consistent with Laurent expansions of the symmetries, giving rise to an infinite dimensional algebra of hidden symmetries of KdV. The third version is consistent with asymptotic expansions for large values of the parameter, giving rise to the standard commuting symmetries of KdV, the infinite hierarchy of "additional symmetries", and their traditionally accepted commutator algebra (though this also suffers from some ambiguity as the additional symmetries are nonlocal). We explain how the 3 symmetries that commute in the first version of the algebra can all be regarded as infinitesimal double Bäcklund transformations. The 4 generating symmetries incorporate all known symmetries of the KdV equation, but also exhibit some remarkable novel structure, arising from their nonlocality. We believe this structure to be shared by other integrable PDEs.

1 Introduction

Symmetry methods [29, 25, 5, 4], dating back to the work of Lie and Noether, remain the main tool for finding explicit solutions of differential equations, and identifying and using the full symmetry structure of a given differential equation (or system of differential equations), is essential for developing both appropriate mathematical tools and insight into whatever the equation is being used to describe. It has become appreciated how important it is to take symmetry into account when choosing numerical

methods for differential equations [15], and even simple symmetries such as translations and scalings, play a central role in various areas, such as discussion of the stability of solitons [35] and computing the quantum effects due to instantons in gauge theory [34].

A significant number of nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) that arise in applications, ranging from particle physics and gravity to fluid mechanics and optics, exhibit a set of special properties that earn them the sobriquet "integrable". One of these properties is that they exhibit an infinite hierarchy of symmetries. As pointed out in [24], this was evident for the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation already from the results of [12]. But it was first demonstrated explicitly for the sine-Gordon equation using a generating function technique by Kumei [19], and then for the KdV, Burgers, modified KdV and sine-Gordon equations using the technique of recursion operators by Olver [24]. And in the 45 years since these pioneering papers, hierarchies of symmetries have been identified for countless other integrable systems.

For many well-studied systems it seems that there is more than a single hierarchy of symmetries. For the case of the KdV equation, there are scaling and Galilean symmetries, and application of the recursion operator to these leads to a second infinite hierarchy, known as the additional symmetries [16, 28, 17]. Unlike the standard symmetries, the additional symmetries do not commute among themselves or with the standard symmetries, and they are nonlocal (the meaning of this will be explained shortly). As well as the additional symmetries, various other nonlocal hidden symmetries have been identified [13, 14, 20, 23, 21].

The research reported in this paper is the outgrowth of our attempt to answer a simple question. In [32], following in the footsteps of Kumei [19], we described a generating function for the standard commuting symmetries of KdV; that is, we found a symmetry depending on a parameter, which, when expanded in an asymptotic series for large values of the parameter, gave the full standard hierarchy of symmetries. The existence of such a "generating symmetry" for KdV was known before, and it is known as "the square eigenfunction symmetry" or as "the resolvent"[23, 9]. In [32] we showed how to interpret this as an infinitesimal double Bäcklund transformations of KdV, giving substantial simplifications, including a simple proof of commutativity. The original question we set out to answer now was *is it possible to write a generating function for the additional symmetries of KdV?* For the KP hierarchy such a generating function is known [8], though it is far from obvious how to reduce it to the case of KdV. After significant effort, we succeeded to find a succinct form of the relevant generating function for KdV. But we also found more: In section 2 of this paper we show the existence of 4 distinct symmetries of KdV depending on a parameter.

These symmetries are all nonlocal. For local symmetries, the characteristics can be written as explicit functions of the independent variables, the dependent variables, and their derivatives. For nonlocal symmetries there is a more general dependence, for example on integrals of the dependent variables, or potential functions from which solutions of the relevant PDEs can be found. Confirming that a given expression defines a nonlocal symmetry is no harder than doing so for a local symmetry. But to compute the commutator algebra, for example, is problematic, typically because of the lack of single-valuedness of the action of nonlocal symmetries associated with undefined constants of integration. Over the years this subject has been extensively investigated, amongst others by the groups of Krasilshchik and Vinogradov [18, 6] and Bluman and Cheviakov [2, 3, 7]. In our case, the characteristics of the 4 generating

symmetries are expressed in terms of a single potential depending on the parameter. To compute the commutator algebra, we need to fix the actions of the 4 symmetries on this potential. These are not fully determined *ab initio*, and indeed there are different ways to do this, giving rise to distinct commutator algebras. (The fact that this happens, in some generality, for nonlocal symmetries, is not widely appreciated; though similar issues, arising in checking the Jacobi identity for nonlocal symmetries, were addressed in [27, 26].) In section 3 we look at three possible choices of these actions and present the associated algebras. For the first choice, 3 of the 4 symmetries commute. The implication of this is that *there are further (nonlocal) flows that can be added to the KdV hierarchy*. We illustrate this unexpected result very explicitly. The second and third choices avoid a certain singularity, which, we speculate, is important for there to be consistent series representations of the symmetries.

In section 4 of the paper we look at series expansions of the 4 generating symmetries for large and small values of the parameter, and also in Laurent series. Asymptotic expansions of 2 of the symmetries for large values of the parameter give the standard hierarchy and the hierarchy of additional symmetries. Expansions in power series for small values of the parameter give hierarchies of hidden symmetries, as identified by Guthrie and Hickman in [14, 13] and by Lou in [20]. The components in these expansions are related by a recursion relation, arising from certain identities satisfied by the 4 symmetries. It seems that the different expansions are all only consistent with one specific version of the commutator algebra. We obtain commutators for the standard hierarchy and additional symmetries from the third version of the algebra from section 3, and a commutator algebra for the hidden symmetries from the second version of the algebra from section 3.

In section 5 of the paper, we explain that the 3 of the 4 symmetries that commute (with the appropriate choice for their extended actions) are actually all infinitesimal double Bäcklund transformations. Section 6 contains some concluding comments and questions for further study.

The results of this paper are all specific for the KdV equation, the archetype of integrable $1+1$ dimensional PDEs. However, as we will explain in section 2, the form of the symmetries suggests a simple way to search for generating symmetries for other PDEs, and we have already had some success in this direction [31]. For the case of KdV it seems that the 4 generating symmetries encode everything known about symmetries of the KdV equation. Thus our work raises the prospect that in some generality it may be possible to describe the symmetry structure of an integrable $1+1$ dimensional PDE using a finite set of generating symmetries. This would be a significant advance.

2   The 4 Symmetries

We work with the potential KdV equation (pKdV)

$$u_t - \tfrac{3}{2}u_x^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}u_{xxx} = 0 \ . \tag{1}$$

The characteristic of a symmetry is any quantity η that satisfies the linearized equation

$$\eta_t - 3u_x\eta_x - \tfrac{1}{4}\eta_{xxx} = 0$$

whenever u satisfies pKdV.

Theorem 1: Let z_α be a solution of the system

$$4u_x = \frac{z_{\alpha,xxx}}{z_{\alpha,x}} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{z_{\alpha,xx}^2}{z_{\alpha,x}^2} + 2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}z_{\alpha,x}^2 \ , \tag{2}$$

$$z_{\alpha,t} = (\alpha + u_x)z_{\alpha,x} \ , \tag{3}$$

where α is a parameter. Then the following are characteristics of symmetries of pKdV:

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{z_{\alpha,x}} \ , \tag{4}$$

$$R(\alpha) = \frac{z_{\alpha,\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} - \frac{3}{2}t \ , \tag{5}$$

$$S(\alpha) = \frac{e^{z_\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} \ , \tag{6}$$

$$T(\alpha) = \frac{e^{-z_\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} \ . \tag{7}$$

($z_{\alpha,\alpha}$ denotes the derivative of z_α with respect to α.)

Proof: A direct computation. ●

Notes:
1. The consistency condition for the system (2)-(3) is the KdV equation (i.e. the x derivative of equation (1)). In fact the system (2)-(3) is just a rather unobvious way to write the Lax pair of the KdV equation (see Note 3 below), or, equivalently, the system (60)-(61) that determines a Bäcklund transformation of the KdV equation (see equation (62) that shows how a solution of (2)-(3) gives rise to two solutions of (60)-(61)). It is possible to write the characteristics Q, R, S, T, and all the other results of this paper in terms of solutions of the Lax pair or the system defining Bäcklund transformations, but the manipulations are somewhat easier using z_α.
2. The system (2)-(3) is clearly invariant under the discrete symmetry $z_\alpha \leftrightarrow -z_\alpha$ which switches S and T. In greater generality, if we write $z_\alpha = \log w_\alpha$, the system is invariant under the action of Möbius transformations on w_α. Once a single solution z_α of the system (2)-(3) is known, the general solution can be written in the form

$$\log\left(\frac{C_1 e^{z_\alpha} + C_2}{C_3 e^{z_\alpha} + C_4}\right) \ ,$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 are constants.
3. Writing $\psi_\alpha^{(1)} = \sqrt{S(\alpha)}$ and $\psi_\alpha^{(2)} = \sqrt{T(\alpha)}$ it is straightforward to verify that $\psi_\alpha^{(1)}, \psi_\alpha^{(2)}$ are both solutions of the KdV Lax pair

$$\psi_{\alpha,xx} = (\alpha - 2u_x)\psi_\alpha \ ,$$

$$\psi_{\alpha,t} = (\alpha + u_x)\psi_{\alpha,x} - \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}\psi_\alpha \ .$$

Thus the three symmetries $Q(\alpha), S(\alpha), T(\alpha)$ are the three "square eigenfunction" symmetries $\psi_\alpha^{(1)}\psi_\alpha^{(2)}, \left(\psi_\alpha^{(1)}\right)^2, \left(\psi_\alpha^{(2)}\right)^2$. These are not new [23, 9]. As far as we know, though, the symmetry $R(\alpha)$ is new, as is the expression of all the symmetries in terms of z_α, which facilitates commutator computations, as will be shown in the next section.

4

4. We found the formula for $R(\alpha)$ by a long process of trial and error. $R(\alpha)$ can also be expressed in terms of $\psi_\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\psi_\alpha^{(2)}$ and their α derivatives, but the expression in terms of the single function z_α is simpler for commutator computations. The expression in terms of solutions of the Lax pair suggests a method to search for an analogous symmetry for other integrable equations [31].

3 Commutator Computations

Suppose that δu is the variation of u under a certain symmetry (i.e. δu is the characteristic of the symmetry). Then for consistency with (2)-(3) the variation δz_α of z_α must satisfy the linear system

$$4\delta u_x \quad = \quad \frac{\delta z_{\alpha,xxx}}{z_{\alpha,x}} - \frac{z_{\alpha,xxx}\delta z_{\alpha,x}}{z_{\alpha,x}^2} - 3\frac{z_{\alpha,xx}\delta z_{\alpha,xx}}{z_{\alpha,x}^2} + 3\frac{z_{\alpha,xx}^2\delta z_{\alpha,x}}{z_{\alpha,x}^3} - z_{\alpha,x}\delta z_{\alpha,x} \ , \quad (8)$$

$$\delta z_{\alpha,t} \quad = \quad \delta u_x z_{\alpha,x} + (\alpha + u_x)\delta z_{\alpha,x} \ . \quad (9)$$

As explained in the introduction, this does not fully determine δz_α for a given η. However, it does immediately allow to check consistency of a proposed form of δz_α.

Notation: We denote by $\delta_\eta u$ and $\delta_\eta z_\alpha$ the variations of u and z_α respectively under the symmetry with characteristic η. Thus $\delta_\eta z_\alpha$ should be a solution of the system (8)-(9) with $\delta u = \eta$.

Theorem 2: The following formulae give consistent actions of the symmetries $Q(\beta)$, $R(\beta), S(\beta), T(\beta)$ on z_α:

$$\delta_{Q(\beta)} z_\alpha \quad = \quad \frac{z_{\alpha,x}}{(\beta - \alpha)z_{\beta,x}} \ , \quad (10)$$

$$\delta_{R(\beta)} z_\alpha \quad = \quad \frac{z_{\alpha,x}z_{\beta,\beta} - z_{\beta,x}z_{\alpha,\alpha}}{(\beta - \alpha)z_{\beta,x}} \ , \quad (11)$$

$$\delta_{S(\beta)} z_\alpha \quad = \quad \frac{z_{\alpha,x}e^{z_\beta}}{(\beta - \alpha)z_{\beta,x}} \ , \quad (12)$$

$$\delta_{T(\beta)} z_\alpha \quad = \quad \frac{z_{\alpha,x}e^{-z_\beta}}{(\beta - \alpha)z_{\beta,x}} \ . \quad (13)$$

Proof: A direct computation. The relevant formulae for $\delta_{Q(\beta)} u$ etc. are given by equations (4)-(7) with α replaced by β. •

Notes:
1. The simplicity of these formulae is remarkable. Once again, we will not present here any derivation, as once the formulae are known they can be directly verified, and the derivation we currently have is not systematic.
2. These formulae for $\delta_{Q(\beta)} z_\alpha, \delta_{S(\beta)} z_\alpha, \delta_{T(\beta)} z_\alpha$ diverge for $\beta = \alpha$. Thus the commutator computations we present below are not valid for $\alpha = \beta$.

Once the variations of z_α are known it is straightforward to compute the commutators of the symmetries:

Theorem 3: Using formulae (10)-(13) we have, for $\alpha \neq \beta$:

$$[Q(\beta), Q(\alpha)] = [S(\beta), S(\alpha)] = [T(\beta), T(\alpha)] = 0 , \tag{14}$$

$$[Q(\beta), S(\alpha)] = [Q(\beta), T(\alpha)] = [T(\beta), S(\alpha)] = 0 , \tag{15}$$

$$[R(\beta), Q(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{Q(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} \right) , \tag{16}$$

$$[R(\beta), S(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{S(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} \right) , \tag{17}$$

$$[R(\beta), T(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{T(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} \right) , \tag{18}$$

$$[R(\beta), R(\alpha)] = \frac{2(R(\beta) - R(\alpha))}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} + \frac{R'(\beta) + R'(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} . \tag{19}$$

Here we abuse notation by using the same symbol for a symmetry and its characteristic, and $R'(\alpha)$ denotes the derivative of $R(\alpha)$ with respect to α.

Proof: By definition the characteristic of the commutator of, say, $Q(\beta)$ and $R(\alpha)$ is

$$[Q(\beta), R(\alpha)] = \delta_{Q(\beta)} R(\alpha) - \delta_{R(\alpha)} Q(\beta) .$$

From theorem 2 we know the action of $Q(\beta), R(\beta), S(\beta), T(\beta)$ on z_α, and $Q(\alpha), R(\alpha), S(\alpha), T(\alpha)$ are determined by z_α, and its x and α derivatives. Thus it is straightforward to compute the action of $Q(\beta), R(\beta), S(\beta), T(\beta)$ also on $Q(\alpha), R(\alpha), S(\alpha), T(\alpha)$. For example

$$\delta_{Q(\beta)} R(\alpha) = \delta_{Q(\beta)} \left(\frac{z_{\alpha,\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} - \frac{3}{2} t \right) = \frac{(\delta_{Q(\beta)} z_\alpha)_\alpha}{z_{\alpha,x}} - \frac{z_{\alpha,\alpha} (\delta_{Q(\beta)} z_\alpha)_x}{z_{\alpha,x}^2} .$$

Verification of the commutators is thus reduced to a direct computation. ●

Theorem 3 has a remarkable consequence. From the fact that Q, S, T all commute it follows that *there are further (nonlocal) flows that can be added to the KdV hierarchy.* We state a simple case of this as a corollary that can checked directly without any reference to the other results in this paper:

Corollary: The following flows are consistent, for a single function $u(x, t, t_+, t_-)$:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4} u_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2} u_x^2 , \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_+} = \frac{e^{z_\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} , \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_-} = \frac{e^{-z_\beta}}{z_{\beta,x}} . \tag{22}$$

Here $z_\alpha(x, t, t_+, t_-)$ is a solution of (2)-(3), $z_\beta(x, t, t_+, t_-)$ is a solution of the same system with the parameter α replaced by a different parameter β, and

$$\frac{\partial z_\alpha}{\partial t_-} = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{z_{\alpha,x}}{z_{\beta,x}} e^{-z_\beta} , \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial t_+} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \frac{z_{\beta,x}}{z_{\alpha,x}} e^{z_\alpha} . \tag{24}$$

(The latter equations are consistent with the t-flows of z_α, z_β given by (3) and (3) with α replaced by β.)

Notes:

1. Here the t_+ flow corresponds to the action of $S(\alpha)$ and the t_- flow corresponds to the action of $T(\beta)$. A further flow could be included corresponding to the action of $Q(\gamma)$. Since, as was shown in [32], Q acts as a generating function for the entire KdV hierarchy, the t_+ and t_- flows also commute with the entire KdV hierarchy.

2. We note that to check the consistency of the system (20)-(21)-(22) there is no need for explicit formulae for

$$\frac{\partial z_\alpha}{\partial t_+} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\partial z_\beta}{\partial t_-} .$$

This corresponds to the fact that we have no formulae for the action of $S(\alpha)$ or $T(\alpha)$ on z_α, only for the action of $S(\beta)$ or $T(\beta)$ on z_α for $\beta \neq \alpha$.

Returning to our main subject, as we have explained, equations (10)-(13) do not give the most general possibility for the actions of the symmetries on z_α. It is straightforward to check that the general solution of the homogeneous version of (2)-(3) (i.e. the system obtained from this by setting $\delta u = 0$) is a linear combination of $1, e^{z_\alpha}, e^{-z_\alpha}$. Thus is is possible to add any linear combination of these terms to each of the variations in (10)-(13). The coefficients of these linear combinations can be functions of the parameters α and β. We look at two particular cases.

Theorem 3′: The following formulae also give consistent actions of the symmetries $Q(\beta), R(\beta), S(\beta), T(\beta)$ on z_α:

$$\delta_{Q(\beta)} z_\alpha = \frac{z_{\alpha,x} - z_{\beta,x}}{(\beta - \alpha) z_{\beta,x}} , \tag{25}$$

$$\delta_{R(\beta)} z_\alpha = \frac{z_{\alpha,x} z_{\beta,\beta} - z_{\beta,x} z_{\alpha,\alpha}}{(\beta - \alpha) z_{\beta,x}} , \tag{26}$$

$$\delta_{S(\beta)} z_\alpha = \frac{z_{\alpha,x} e^{z_\beta} - z_{\beta,x} e^{z_\alpha}}{(\beta - \alpha) z_{\beta,x}} , \tag{27}$$

$$\delta_{T(\beta)} z_\alpha = \frac{z_{\alpha,x} e^{-z_\beta} - z_{\beta,x} e^{-z_\alpha}}{(\beta - \alpha) z_{\beta,x}} . \tag{28}$$

These give rise to the following commutator algebra:

$$[Q(\beta), Q(\alpha)] = [S(\beta), S(\alpha)] = [T(\beta), T(\alpha)] = 0 \ , \tag{29}$$

$$[Q(\beta), S(\alpha)] = \frac{S(\alpha) - S(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \ , \tag{30}$$

$$[Q(\beta), T(\alpha)] = -\frac{T(\alpha) - T(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \ , \tag{31}$$

$$[T(\beta), S(\alpha)] = \frac{2(Q(\alpha) - Q(\beta))}{\alpha - \beta} \ , \tag{32}$$

$$[R(\beta), Q(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{Q(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + \frac{Q(\beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \ , \tag{33}$$

$$[R(\beta), S(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{S(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + \frac{S(\beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \ , \tag{34}$$

$$[R(\beta), T(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{T(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + \frac{T(\beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \ , \tag{35}$$

$$[R(\beta), R(\alpha)] = \frac{2(R(\beta) - R(\alpha))}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} + \frac{R'(\beta) + R'(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} \ . \tag{36}$$

Note that for the choice of the arbitrary constants in $\delta_Q, \delta_S, \delta_T$ in theorem $3'$ the variations can also be defined for $\beta = \alpha$ (using l'Hôpital's rule). However this is not the only choice for which this is true, as the constants can be taken to depend on the parameters α, β. We illustrate using just the Q, R subalgebra (which will be sufficient for our applications later).

Theorem $3''$: The following formulae also give consistent actions of the symmetries $Q(\beta), R(\beta)$ on z_α:

$$\delta_{Q(\beta)} z_\alpha = \frac{z_{\alpha,x} - \frac{\beta^{1/2}}{\alpha^{1/2}} z_{\beta,x}}{(\beta - \alpha) z_{\beta,x}} \ , \tag{37}$$

$$\delta_{R(\beta)} z_\alpha = \frac{z_{\alpha,x} z_{\beta,\beta} - z_{\beta,x} z_{\alpha,\alpha}}{(\beta - \alpha) z_{\beta,x}} \ . \tag{38}$$

These give rise to the following commutator algebra:

$$[Q(\beta), Q(\alpha)] = 0 \ , \tag{39}$$

$$[R(\beta), Q(\alpha)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{Q(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + \frac{(3\beta - \alpha)\alpha^{1/2}}{2\beta^{3/2}(\beta - \alpha)^2} Q(\beta) \ , \tag{40}$$

$$[R(\beta), R(\alpha)] = \frac{2(R(\beta) - R(\alpha))}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} + \frac{R'(\beta) + R'(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} \ . \tag{41}$$

4 Expansions in components

4.1 Expansions for large values of $|\alpha|$

The fact that $Q(\alpha)$ and $R(\alpha)$ are generating functions of, respectively, the standard commuting symmetries and the additional symmetries of the KdV equation can be seen

by asymptotic expansions for large values of $|\alpha|$. For large $|\alpha|$ it is straightforward to check there is a solution of (2) with asymptotic expansion

$$
z_{\alpha,x} \sim 2\alpha^{1/2} - 2u_x\alpha^{-1/2} - \alpha^{-3/2}\left(\frac{1}{2}u_{xxx} + u_x^2\right) - \alpha^{-5/2}\left(\frac{1}{8}u_{xxxxx} + \frac{3}{2}u_x u_{xxx} + \frac{5}{4}u_{xx}^2 + u_x^3\right)
$$
$$
-\alpha^{-7/2}\left(\frac{1}{32}u_{xxxxxxx} + \frac{5}{8}u_x u_{xxxxx} + \frac{7}{4}u_{xx}u_{xxxx} + \frac{19}{16}u_{xxx}^2 + \frac{15}{4}u_x^2 u_{xxx} + \frac{25}{4}u_x u_{xx}^2 + \frac{5}{4}u_x^4\right)
$$
$$
+\dots .
$$

This gives

$$
Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{z_{\alpha,x}} \sim \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n\alpha^{-n-1/2} , \tag{42}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_0 &= 1 , \\
q_1 &= u_x , \\
q_2 &= \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}u_x^2 , \\
q_3 &= \frac{1}{16}u_{xxxxx} + \frac{5}{4}u_x u_{xxx} + \frac{5}{8}u_{xx}^2 + \frac{5}{2}u_x^3 , \\
q_4 &= \frac{1}{64}u_{xxxxxxx} + \frac{7}{16}u_x u_{xxxxx} + \frac{7}{8}u_{xx}u_{xxxx} + \frac{21}{32}u_{xxx}^2 + \frac{35}{8}u_x^2 u_{xxx} + \frac{35}{8}u_x u_{xx}^2 + \frac{35}{8}u_x^4 .
\end{aligned} \tag{43}
$$
$$
\vdots
$$

Here we see explicitly the first few flows in the pKdV hierarchy.

Integrating with respect to x and using (3) to fix the t-dependence of the constant of integration we obtain

$$
z_\alpha \sim 2\alpha^{3/2}t + 2\alpha^{1/2}x - 2u\alpha^{-1/2} - \alpha^{-3/2}\left(I_1 + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}\right) - \alpha^{-5/2}\left(I_2 + \frac{1}{8}u_{xxxx} + \frac{3}{2}u_x u_{xx}\right)
$$
$$
-\alpha^{-7/2}\left(I_3 + \frac{1}{32}u_{xxxxxx} + \frac{5}{8}u_x u_{xxxx} + \frac{9}{8}u_{xx}u_{xxx} + \frac{15}{4}u_x^2 u_{xx}\right) + \dots , \tag{44}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int u_x^2 \, dx , \\
I_2 &= \int \left(u_x^3 - \frac{1}{4}u_{xx}^2\right) \, dx , \\
I_3 &= \int \left(\frac{5}{4}u_x^4 - \frac{5}{4}u_x u_{xx}^2 + \frac{1}{16}u_{xxx}^2\right) \, dx .
\end{aligned}
$$

There remains the freedom to add a constant of integration independent of x and t, but this just corresponds to adding a multiple of $Q(\alpha)$ to $R(\alpha)$, so we ignore it. Thus we obtain

$$
R(\alpha) = z_{\alpha,\alpha}Q(\alpha) - \frac{3}{2}t \sim \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n\alpha^{-n-1} , \tag{45}
$$

9

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= xq_0 + 3tq_1 \ , \\
r_1 &= xq_1 + 3tq_2 + u \ , \\
r_2 &= xq_2 + 3tq_3 + \frac{3}{2}I_1q_0 + uu_x + \frac{3}{4}u_{xx} \ , \\
r_3 &= xq_3 + 3tq_4 + \frac{5}{2}I_2q_0 + \frac{3}{2}I_1q_1 + \frac{9}{2}u_xu_{xx} + \frac{5}{16}u_{xxxx} + \frac{1}{4}uu_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}uu_x^2 \ , \\
r_4 &= xq_4 + 3tq_5 + \frac{7}{2}I_3q_0 + \frac{5}{2}I_2q_1 + \frac{3}{2}I_1q_2 + 18u_x{}^2u_{xx} + \frac{33u_{xx}u_{xxx}}{8} \\
&\quad + \frac{5}{2}u_xu_{xxxx} + \frac{7}{64}u_{xxxxxx} + \frac{1}{16}uu_{xxxxx} + \frac{5}{4}uu_xu_{xxx} + \frac{5}{8}uu_{xx}^2 + \frac{5}{2}uu_x{}^3 \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{46}
$$

$$\vdots$$

Here we see explicitly the characteristics of Galilean symmetry, scaling symmetry and the first few additional symmetries [16, 28, 17]. For general n, the terms that depend explicitly on x and t are $xq_n + 3tq_{n+1}$, and a new integral term appears in each r_n for $n \geq 2$ (introducing dependence on a new arbitrary constant).

The components of $Q(\alpha)$ and $R(\alpha)$ can also be obtained by use of the recursion operator. This follows from the following result:

Theorem 4. $Q(\alpha), S(\alpha), T(\alpha)$ are all solutions of the differential equation

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + (2u_x - \alpha)\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) Q = 0 \ .$$

$R(\alpha)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + (2u_x - \alpha)\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) R = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 + 3tu_{xx}\right) \ .$$

Proof: A direct computation. •

Substituting the expansion (42) in the first result of the theorem and equating coefficients we obtain

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + 2u_x\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) q_n = q_{n+1,x} \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots \ ,$$

and $q_0 = 1$. Alternatively, this can be written

$$q_{n+1} = \left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^2 + \partial_x^{-1}u_x\partial_x + u_x\right) q_n \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots \ ,$$

where on the right we see the recursion operator for symmetries of KdV [24, 30]. The recursion relation makes it easy to find the Q_n in practice, but it is necessary to prove that the Q_n constructed in this way are local (see, for example, [25, Theorem 5.31]). Similarly, substituting the expansion (45) in the second result of the theorem we obtain

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + 2u_x\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) r_n = r_{n+1,x} \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots \ ,$$

and $r_0 = x + 3tu_x$.

The corresponding expansions of $S(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha)$ for large $|\alpha|$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\alpha) &= \frac{e^{z_\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} \sim \frac{e^{2\alpha^{3/2}t + 2\alpha^{1/2}x}}{\alpha^{1/2}}\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n\alpha^{-n}\right) \ , \\
T(\alpha) &= \frac{e^{-z_\alpha}}{z_{\alpha,x}} \sim \frac{e^{-2\alpha^{3/2}t - 2\alpha^{1/2}x}}{\alpha^{1/2}}\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n\alpha^{-n}\right) \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the s_n and τ_n depend on u and its x-derivatives and the integrals $I_1, I_2, \ldots$. However, as these expansions are not simple power series in α, it is not clear if there is any sense in which they can be decomposed into an infinite hierarchy of (α-independent) symmetries.

4.2 Expansions for small values of $|\alpha|$

For small α we can find a formal power series solution of (2)-(3), i.e. a solution in the form

$$z_\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z_n(x,t)\alpha^n \ ,$$

giving power series expansions of Q, R, S, T which we write, respectively, as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x,t)\alpha^n \ , \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n(x,t)\alpha^n \ , \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(x,t)\alpha^n \ , \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(x,t)\alpha^n \ .$$

From theorem 4 we have

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + 2u_x\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) Q_{n+1} = Q_{n,x} \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots \ ,$$

and

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + 2u_x\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) Q_0 = 0 \ .$$

The coefficients S_n, T_n satisfy similar equations. Thus the associated hierarchies of symmetries can be constructed by application of the *inverse* of the recursion operator, c.f. [13, 14, 20]. When constructed in this way, at each step 3 arbitrary constants are introduced. However, all the coefficients are fully determined in terms of the z_n. In particular we have

$$Q_0 = \frac{1}{z_{0,x}} \ , \qquad S_0 = \frac{e^{z_0}}{z_{0,x}} \ , \qquad T_0 = \frac{e^{-z_0}}{z_{0,x}} \ ,$$

where z_0 is the solution of (2)-(3) with $\alpha = 0$.

The coefficients R_n satisfy

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + 2u_x\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) R_{n+1} = R_{n,x} \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots \ ,$$

and are also constructed by applications of the inverse recursion operator. But the initial condition is given by

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 + 2u_x\partial_x + u_{xx}\right) R_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + 3tu_{xx}) \ .$$

This has a particular solution

$$R_0 = -\frac{3}{2}t + \frac{2}{z_{0,x}}\int \frac{dx}{z_{0,x}} - \frac{e^{-z_0}}{z_{0,x}}\int \frac{e^{z_0}dx}{z_{0,x}} - \frac{e^{z_0}}{z_{0,x}}\int \frac{e^{-z_0}dx}{z_{0,x}}$$

which can be found by application of the "variation of constants" method to the equation for R_0, using the fact that Q_0, S_0, T_0 are linearly independent solutions of the associated homogeneous equation.

4.3 Laurent expansions

In greater generality, results for the dependence of solutions of differential equations on a parameter guarantee that for suitable initial conditions, and on any compact set, the solutions of (2)-(3) will be analytic in α in a sufficiently small domain in the complex α plane. But typically there will be singularities. This is well illustrated by the case $u = 0$, for which the general solution of the system is

$$z_\alpha = \log\left(\frac{C_1 e^{\sqrt{\alpha}(x+\alpha t)} + C_2 e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}(x+\alpha t)}}{C_3 e^{\sqrt{\alpha}(x+\alpha t)} + C_4 e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}(x+\alpha t)}}\right) \ ,$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 are constants (i.e. independent of x and t, but not necessarily α). Thus it is also natural to consider Laurent expansions, which we shall do in the next section. However, for such expansions there are no explicit formulae for the coefficients of the expansions of Q, R, S, T in terms of the coefficients of the expansion of z_α.

4.4 Commutators in components

We open this section with explicit computations of the first few additional symmetries, as given in (46), with the standard symmetries, as given in (43), to emphasize the ambiguity in the commutators arising because of the nonlocal terms in (46), or more specifically due to the need to define the action of the standard symmetries on the arbitrary constants in the integrals in (46). Direct computations (for $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
[r_0, q_m] &= \begin{cases} 0 & m = 0 \\ (2m-1)q_{m-1} & m = 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{cases} \ , \\
[r_1, q_m] &= (2m-1)q_m \ , \\
[r_2, q_m] &= (2m-1)q_{m+1} + C_1 q_0 \ , \\
[r_3, q_m] &= (2m-1)q_{m+2} + C_1 q_1 + C_2 q_0 \ , \\
[r_4, q_m] &= (2m-1)q_{m+3} + C_1 q_2 + C_2 q_1 + C_3 q_0 \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{47}
$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are free constants. Taking these to be zero, these formulae are consistent with the traditional result [36, 22, 1]

$$
[r_n, q_m] = \begin{cases} (2m-1)q_{m+n-1} & n+m > 0 \\ 0 & n = m = 0 \end{cases} \ .
$$

The traditional result for the commutators of the additional symmetries [22, 36, 1] is

$$[r_n, r_m] = 2(m-n)r_{m+n-1} \ .$$

This corresponds to a specific choice of a different set of arbitrary constants, associated with the choice of the action of the r_n on the integrals I_m appearing in (46). We now show that these commutators can be derived from the third of the commutator algebras for Q, R given in section 3.

Theorem 5: Assume that Q, R have asymptotic expansions

$$Q(\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n \alpha^{-n-1/2} \ , \qquad R(\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n \alpha^{-n-1} \ . \tag{48}$$

12

Then the commutator algebra of theorem $3''$ implies

$$[q_n, q_m] = 0 \ , \tag{49}$$

$$[r_n, q_m] = \begin{cases} (2m-1)q_{n+m-1} & n+m > 0 \\ 0 & n = m = 0 \end{cases} \ , \tag{50}$$

$$[r_n, r_m] = 2(m-n)r_{m+n-1} \ , \tag{51}$$

for $n, m \geq 0$.

Notation: We write

$$H_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n \geq 0 \\ 0 & n < 0 \end{cases} \ .$$

We write

$$\sigma_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & n, m \geq 0 \\ -1 & n, m < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \ .$$

We have

$$\sigma_{nm} = H_n - H_{-m-1} \ .$$

Proof: We present the calculations assuming that $|\beta| > |\alpha|$. Similar calculations assuming $|\beta| < |\alpha|$ lead to the same result. Using the R, Q commutator (40) we have

$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [r_n, q_m] \alpha^{-m-1/2} \beta^{-1-n}
$$
$$
= [R(\beta), Q(\alpha)]
$$
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(\frac{Q(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta}\right) + \frac{(3\beta - \alpha)\alpha^{1/2}}{2\beta^{3/2}(\beta - \alpha)^2} Q(\beta)
$$
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta}\left(\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} q_M \alpha^{-M-1/2}\right)\left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^N\right)\right)
$$
$$
+ \frac{(3\beta - \alpha)\alpha^{1/2}}{4\beta^{7/2}}\left(\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} q_M \beta^{-M-1/2}\right)\left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{N-1}\right)
$$
$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\left(N - M - \frac{1}{2}\right) q_M \alpha^{N-M-3/2} \beta^{-N-1}
$$
$$
+ \frac{3}{4} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} N q_M \alpha^{N-1/2} \beta^{-M-N-2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} N q_M \alpha^{N+1/2} \beta^{-M-N-3}
$$
$$
= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q_{m+n-1} \alpha^{-m-1/2} \beta^{-1-n} H_{n+m-1}\left((2m-1)H_n - 3mH_{-m} + (m+1)H_{-m-1}\right) \ .
$$

In moving from the penultimate line to the last line we have substituted $M = n + m - 1, N = n$ in the first series, $M = n + m - 1, N = -m$ in the second, and $M = n + m - 1, N = -m - 1$ in the third. Since $mH_{-m} = mH_{-m-1}$, the last term can be simplified, and the right hand side takes the form

$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (2m-1)q_{m+n-1} \alpha^{-m-1/2} \beta^{-1-n} H_{n+m-1}\left(H_n - H_{-m-1}\right) \ .
$$

13

Finally, since $H_n - H_{-m-1} = \sigma_{nm}$, the right hand side can be written

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (2m-1) q_{m+n-1} \alpha^{-m-1/2} \beta^{-1-n} H_{n+m-1} \ .$$

Comparing the left and right hand sides we obtain (51) as required. The calculation for the $[r_n, r_m]$ commutator is very similar, using the R, R commutator (41), and we do not present it. (A slightly more general calculation is presented in full in the proof of the next theorem.) •

Notes:
1. It is clear that it is very fortuitous that it is possible to substitute the expansions (48) into the commutator (40) and obtain a consistent commutator algebra for the components. A slight change in the form of (40) could make the calculation presented above inconsistent, and it is also not at all clear, *ab initio*, that the same results will be obtained in both the cases $|\beta| > |\alpha|$ and $|\beta| < |\alpha|$. With regard to the latter, we suspect that this is related to the fact that the variations of z_α under the symmetries $Q(\beta)$ and $R(\beta)$ used in theorem 3″, equations (37)-(38), can be continued also for the case $\beta = \alpha$, as opposed to some of the variations used in theorem 3, specifically equation (10). For the commutator algebra of theorem 3 we do not know any way to expand Q and R and obtain a consistent algebra for the components. The commutator algebra of theorem 3′, however, is consistent with Laurent expansions of Q, R, S, T, as we shall show below.
2. In the algebra (49)-(51), the nonlocal symmetry r_2 is a *master symmetry* [10],[25, Section 5.2]— starting from q_0 and repeatedly computing commutators with r_2 generates all the symmetries q_n.

Notation: In the following theorem we use Q_n, R_n, S_n, T_n as components of Q, R, S, T in Laurent expansions. In section 4.2 we used the same notation for the components in Taylor expansions, which is a special case.

Theorem 6: Assume that in a given annulus Q, R, S, T have Laurent expansions

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_n \alpha^n \ , \ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} R_n \alpha^n \ , \ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S_n \alpha^n \ , \ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T_n \alpha^n \ .$$

Then the commutator algebra of theorem 3′ implies

$$[Q_n, Q_m] = [S_n, S_m] = [T_n, T_m] = 0 \ , \tag{52}$$
$$[Q_n, S_m] = S_{m+n+1} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{53}$$
$$[Q_n, T_m] = -T_{m+n+1} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{54}$$
$$[T_n, S_m] = 2Q_{m+n+1} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{55}$$
$$[R_n, Q_m] = (m+1) Q_{m+n+2} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{56}$$
$$[R_n, S_m] = (m+1) S_{m+n+2} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{57}$$
$$[R_n, T_m] = (m+1) T_{m+n+2} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{58}$$
$$[R_n, R_m] = (m-n) R_{m+n+2} \sigma_{nm} \ , \tag{59}$$

for $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof: In all the following calculations we assume $|\beta| < |\alpha|$. The same results are obtained if we assume $|\beta| > |\alpha|$.

1. From the $[Q(\beta), S(\alpha)]$ commutator (30):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [Q_n, S_m] \alpha^m \beta^n \\
=\ & [Q(\beta), S(\alpha)] \\
=\ & \frac{S(\alpha) - S(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \\
=\ & \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} S_M(\alpha^M - \beta^M) \right) \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^N \\
=\ & \sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} S_M \alpha^{M-N-1} \beta^N - \sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} S_M \alpha^{-N-1} \beta^{M+N} \\
=\ & \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S_{m+n+1} H_n \alpha^m \beta^n - \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S_{m+n+1} H_{-m-1} \alpha^m \beta^n \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the first sum we have substituted $N = n$, $M = m + n + 1$ and in the second sum we have substituted $N = -m - 1$, $M = m + n + 1$. Comparing coefficients of $\alpha^m \beta^n$ gives

$$
[Q_n, S_m] = S_{m+n+1}(H_n - H_{-m-1}) = S_{m+n+1} \sigma_{nm} \ .
$$

Calculations for the $[Q, T]$ and $[T, S]$ commutators are similar.

2. From the $[R(\beta), Q(\alpha)]$ commutator (33):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [R_n, Q_m] \alpha^m \beta^n \\
=\ & [R(\beta), Q(\alpha)] \\
=\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{Q(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta} \right) + \frac{Q(\beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \\
=\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_M \alpha^M \right) \left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^N \right) \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left(\sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_M \beta^M \right) \left(\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} N \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{N-1} \right) \\
=\ & \sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (M - N - 1) Q_M \alpha^{M-N-2} \beta^N + \sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} N Q_M \alpha^{-N-1} \beta^{M+N-1} \\
=\ & \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (m + 1) Q_{m+n+2} H_n \alpha^m \beta^n + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-m - 1) Q_{m+n+2} H_{-m-1} \alpha^m \beta^n \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the first sum we have substituted $N = n$, $M = m + n + 2$ and in the second sum we have substituted $N = -m - 1$, $M = m + n + 2$. Comparing coefficients of $\alpha^m \beta^n$ gives

$$
[R_n, Q_m] = (m + 1) Q_{m+n+2}(H_n - H_{-m-1}) = (m + 1) Q_{m+n+2} \sigma_{nm} \ .
$$

Calculations for the $[R, S]$ and $[R, T]$ commutators are similar.

3. From the $[R(\beta), R(\alpha)]$ commutator (36):

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [R_n, R_m]\alpha^m\beta^n$$

$$= [R(\beta), R(\alpha)]$$

$$= \frac{2(R(\beta) - R(\alpha))}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} + \frac{R'(\beta) + R'(\alpha)}{\alpha - \beta}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} R_N(\beta^N - \alpha^N) \right) \left(\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{M-1} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} N R_N(\beta^{N-1} + \alpha^{N-1}) \right) \left(\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{M} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} 2M R_N \alpha^{-M-1}\beta^{M+N-1} - \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} 2M R_N \alpha^{N-M-1}\beta^{M-1}$$

$$+ \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} N R_N \alpha^{-M-1}\beta^{M+N-1} + \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} N R_N \alpha^{N-M-2}\beta^{M}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2(-1-m) H_{-m-1} R_{n+m+2} \alpha^m\beta^n - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2(n+1) H_{n+1} R_{n+m+2} \alpha^m\beta^n$$

$$+ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (m+n+2) H_{-m-1} R_{n+m+2} \alpha^m\beta^n + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (m+n+2) H_n R_{n+m+2} \alpha^m\beta^n$$

Since $(n+1)H_{n+1} = (n+1)H_n$, by comparing coefficients we have

$$[R_n, R_m] = (m-n)(H_n - H_{-m-1}) R_{n+m+2} = (m-n) R_{n+m+2} \sigma_{nm} \; .\bullet$$

Notes:

1. Remarkably, due to the appearance of the σ_{nm} term in all the nontrivial commutators, the algebra of symmetries described in this theorem decomposes into the direct sum of two algebras associated with the negative and the non-negative modes.

2. Our results should be compared with those of Guthrie [13] and Lou [20]. While there is a certain commonality, there are major differences. Guthrie claims there is a $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ loop algebra of symmetries. Due to the σ_{nm} terms in the commutators, the algebra we have identified associated with the Q, S, T symmetries is not a full loop algebra, but the direct sum of two copies of the analytic loop algebra (i.e the algebra of maps of the unit circle into $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ that are the boundary values of analytic functions on the unit disk).

3. It should be emphasized that none of the symmetries Q_n, S_n, T_n described in this section can be associated with the standard symmetries q_n of the KdV equation, which appear as coefficients of the asymptotic series (42) which is not directly related to the Laurent series for Q used in this section. However, we can associate the additional symmetries r_n with the negative modes in the Laurent expansion for R. Specifically we have

$$r_n = 2R_{-1-n} \; , \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots \; .$$

With this identification the last commutator in theorem 6 gives the commutator (51). Note, however, the distinction between the $[R_n, Q_m]$ commutator (56) and the $[r_n, q_m]$

commutator (50). But R_{-3} still plays the role of a master symmetry. Taking repeated commutators of Q_{-2}, S_{-2}, T_{-2} with R_{-3} generates all the symmetries Q_n, S_n, T_n for $n < -2$.

5   Relation to Bäcklund transformations

In this section we show that the symmetries $Q(\alpha), S(\alpha), T(\alpha)$ are all infinitesimal double Bäcklund transformations (BTs). We recall the following argument from [32]. The pKdV equation (1) has the Bäcklund transformation $u \to u + v_\alpha$ where v_α satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\alpha,x} &= \alpha - 2u_x - v_\alpha^2 \ , & (60) \\
v_{\alpha,t} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}u_{xxx} + (\alpha + u_x)(\alpha - 2u_x - v_\alpha^2) + u_{xx}v_\alpha \ , & (61)
\end{aligned}
$$

and α is a parameter. BTs are known to commute. If $u_\alpha = u + v_\alpha$ is the solution found by applying a BT with parameter α and $u_\beta = u + v_\beta$ is the solution found by applying a BT with parameter β, then the solutions found by first applying the BT with parameter α and then the BT with parameter β, or by applying the two transformations in reverse order, are the same, and are given by the "superposition principle"

$$
u_{\alpha\beta} = u_{\beta\alpha} = u + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{u_\beta - u_\alpha} \ .
$$

Note that applying a BT to a given solution of KdV, for a given value of the parameter, does not generate a single solution, but rather a 1-parameter family, because of the constant of integration that appears when solving (60)-(61). Thus we can consider the superposition principle in the limit $\beta \to \alpha$ without $u_\beta \to u_\alpha$. Writing $u_\alpha^{(1)}$ instead of u_α and taking $\beta = \alpha + \epsilon$, we have $u_\beta = u_\alpha^{(2)} + O(\epsilon)$, where $u_\alpha^{(2)} \neq u_\alpha^{(1)}$, and thus

$$
u_{\alpha\beta} = u_{\beta\alpha} = u + \frac{\epsilon}{u_\alpha^{(2)} - u_\alpha^{(1)}} + O(\epsilon^2) \ .
$$

Thus in this limit we obtain an infinitesimal symmetry of pKdV with characteristic

$$
\frac{1}{u_\alpha^{(1)} - u_\alpha^{(2)}} \ ,
$$

where $u_\alpha^{(1)}, u_\alpha^{(2)}$ are two distinct solutions of pKdV obtained by applying the BT with parameter α to the solution u. It is straightforward to check that if z_α satisfies the system (2)-(3) then

$$
v_\alpha^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(z_{\alpha,x} - \frac{z_{\alpha,xx}}{z_{\alpha,x}} \right) \ , \qquad v_\alpha^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-z_{\alpha,x} - \frac{z_{\alpha,xx}}{z_{\alpha,x}} \right) \tag{62}
$$

are two solutions of (60)-(61). In this way we see the characteristic of the symmetry associated with an infinitesimal double BT as just described is simply

$$
Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{v_\alpha^{(1)} - v_\alpha^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{z_{\alpha,x}} \ .
$$

The superposition principle describes the entire two parameter family of solutions obtained by applying two BTs with different parameters α and β (in either order). But

17

in the case $\beta = \alpha$ it gives the faulty impression that only a single solution is generated by a double BT, namely the original solution u. This is incorrect. A more careful analysis requires considering the limit $\beta \to \alpha$ *with* $u_\beta \to u_\alpha$ and applying l'Hôpital's rule. This gives the following result which can also be directly verified:

Theorem 7: If u is a solution of pKdV and p is a solution of the system

$$
\begin{align}
p_{xx} &= (\alpha - 2u_x)p - 2p^2 p_x - \tfrac{1}{4}p^5 , \tag{63} \\
p_t &= \tfrac{1}{2}pp_x^2 + \left(\alpha + u_x + \tfrac{1}{2}p^4\right)p_x - \tfrac{1}{2}pu_{xx} - \tfrac{1}{2}p^3(\alpha - 2u_x) + \tfrac{1}{8}p^7 . \tag{64}
\end{align}
$$

then $u + p^2$ is also a solution of pKdV.

A form of this transformation appears in [11]. Transformations of this sort (which are double BTs with a fixed parameter α) can be superposed with regular BTs and with themselves and the order in which they are applied is not important. We give just one example of a superposition principle:

Theorem 8: If $u \to u + p^2$ is the double BT with parameter α and If $u \to u + q^2$ is the double BT with parameter β, then their superposition is

$$
u \to u + p^2 + q^2 - \frac{W((p^2 + q^2)W + 2pq(\alpha - \beta))}{W^2 - (\alpha - \beta)^2} ,
$$

where

$$
W = pq_x - qp_x + \tfrac{1}{2}pq(q^2 - p^2) .
$$

It is also straightforward to check that if $u \to u + p^2$ is a double BT with parameter α, then

$$
u \to u + \frac{p_x}{p} + \frac{1}{2}p^2
$$

is a standard BT with parameter α.

We note that $p = 0$ is a solution of the system (63)-(64), reflecting the fact that the two-parameter family of solutions generated by a double BT always includes the original solution. We can also consider the limit of small p. In this way we get an infinitesimal symmetry $u \to u + p^2$ where p satisfies the linear system

$$
\begin{align}
p_{xx} &= (\alpha - 2u_x)p , \\
p_t &= (\alpha + u_x)\,p_x - \tfrac{1}{2}pu_{xx} .
\end{align}
$$

Comparing with note 3 after theorem 1 we conclude that *the infinitesimal symmetries $S(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha)$ are infinitesimal versions of double BTs.*

This however leads to an apparent conundrum. We know that BTs commute, and have identified $Q(\alpha), S(\alpha), T(\alpha)$ as infinitesimal BTs. So surely this means that Q, S, T should mutually commute? Indeed, in the algebra of theorem 3, they commute. But in the algebra of theorem 3′ they do not. The resolution of this lies in the fact that BTs do not generate a single solution, but a manifold of solutions. The statement that "BTs commute" means that the entire manifold of solutions obtained by applying 2 BTs is independent of the order in which they are applied. But if we select a single solution each time we apply a BT, according to some rule, there is no guarantee we will end up with the same one when we apply BTs in a different order. This is in analogy with the fact we have seen that to define the commutator algebra of nonlocal symmetries it is necessary to define the action of the symmetries on the integrals or prepotentials used to define them, and this can be done in different ways, giving distinct algebras.

6   Concluding remarks

Quite possibly the most significant contribution of our results is to the theory of nonlocal symmetries. We have given an explicit example of the possibility of distinct commutator algebras being associated with a single set of nonlocal symmetries. This is a possibility that seems to have been overlooked in the literature and merits much further investigation. The three versions of the algebra that we have looked at all seem to be of some importance. In the first, the Q, S, T symmetries commute, reflecting their origin as infinitesimal double Bäcklund transformations. In the second, the coefficients of Laurent expansions of Q, S, T satisfy the commutation relations of an $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ loop group, which is known to play a significant role in the theory of the KdV hierarchy, through the Segal-Wilson correspondence [33]. However, the well-known commutators of the most prominent symmetries of KdV, the standard hierarchy and the hierarchy of additional symmetries, emerge from the third version of the algebra.

From the point of view of the KdV equation, all known infinitesimal symmetries seem to be encapsulated in the 4 generating symmetries Q, R, S, T and this would seem to be a great simplification, despite the issues arising from nonlocality. Q, S, T were known before, albeit in a different form, but as far as we know the expression (5) for R is new. From the algebra in which Q, S, T commute we deduced the possibility of adding further (nonlocal) flows to the KdV hierarchy; we are also unaware of any previous suggestion of this possibility. It would be interesting to see whether the actions of Q, R, S, T can be expressed succinctly in terms of the tau function. We note that in analogy to the definition of integrability for finite dimensional Hamiltonian systems, the integrability of KdV is often attributed purely to the existence of an infinite hierarchy of commuting symmetries, the symmetries we have called q_n. It is clear there is a far richer symmetry structure than this.

Finally, we reiterate a point made in section 2, that although we have written the generating symmetries of KdV in terms of the single field z_α, they can also be written in terms of a pair of solutions of the Lax pair, and this gives an obvious way to search for generating symmetries for other $1 + 1$-dimensional integrable equations [31]. Thus we are hopeful that the program fully implemented here for the KdV equation can also be implemented in other cases.

Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

[1] ADLER, M., SHIOTA, T., AND VAN MOERBEKE, P. A Lax representation for the vertex operator and the central extension. *Comm. Math. Phys. 171*, 3 (1995), 547–588.

[2] BLUMAN, G., AND CHEVIAKOV, A. F. Framework for potential systems and nonlocal symmetries: algorithmic approach. *J. Math. Phys. 46*, 12 (2005), 123506, 19.

[3] BLUMAN, G., CHEVIAKOV, A. F., AND IVANOVA, N. M. Framework for non-locally related partial differential equation systems and nonlocal symmetries: extension, simplification, and examples. *J. Math. Phys. 47*, 11 (2006), 113505, 23.

[4] BLUMAN, G. W., CHEVIAKOV, A. F., AND ANCO, S. C. *Applications of symmetry methods to partial differential equations*, vol. 168 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, 2010.

[5] BLUMAN, G. W., AND KUMEI, S. *Symmetries and differential equations*, vol. 81 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[6] BOCHAROV, A. V., CHETVERIKOV, V. N., DUZHIN, S. V., KHOR′KOVA, N. G., KRASIL′SHCHIK, I. S., SAMOKHIN, A. V., TORKHOV, Y. N., VERBOVETSKY, A. M., AND VINOGRADOV, A. M. *Symmetries and conservation laws for differential equations of mathematical physics*, vol. 182 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. Edited and with a preface by Krasil′shchik and Vinogradov, Translated from the 1997 Russian original by Verbovetsky [A. M. Verbovetskiĭ] and Krasil′shchik.

[7] CHEVIAKOV, A. F., AND BLUMAN, G. W. Multidimensional partial differential equation systems: nonlocal symmetries, nonlocal conservation laws, exact solutions. *J. Math. Phys. 51*, 10 (2010), 103522, 26.

[8] DICKEY, L. A. On additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy and Sato's Bäcklund transformation. *Comm. Math. Phys. 167*, 1 (1995), 227–233.

[9] DICKEY, L. A. *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, second ed., vol. 26 of *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

[10] FUCHSSTEINER, B. Mastersymmetries, higher order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations. *Progress of theoretical physics 70*, 6 (1983), 1508–1522.

[11] GALAS, F. New nonlocal symmetries with pseudopotentials. *J. Phys. A 25*, 15 (1992), L981–L986.

[12] GARDNER, C. S. Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. IV. The Korteweg-de Vries equation as a Hamiltonian system. *J. Mathematical Phys. 12* (1971), 1548–1551.

[13] GUTHRIE, G. A. More nonlocal symmetries of the KdV equation. *J. Phys. A 26*, 18 (1993), L905–L908.

[14] GUTHRIE, G. A., AND HICKMAN, M. S. Nonlocal symmetries of the KdV equation. *J. Math. Phys. 34*, 1 (1993), 193–205.

[15] HAIRER, E., LUBICH, C., AND WANNER, G. *Geometric numerical integration*, vol. 31 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2010. Structure-preserving algorithms for ordinary differential equations, Reprint of the second (2006) edition.

[16] IBRAGIMOV, N. H., AND ŠABAT, A. B. The Korteweg-de Vries equation from the group standpoint. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 244*, 1 (1979), 57–61.

[17] KHOR'KOVA, N. G. Conservation laws and nonlocal symmetries. *Mat. Zametki 44*, 1 (1988), 134–144, 157.

[18] KRASIL'SHCHIK, I. S., AND VINOGRADOV, A. M. Nonlocal symmetries and the theory of coverings: an addendum to Vinogradov's "Local symmetries and conservation laws" [Acta Appl. Math. **2** (1984), no. 1, 21–78]. *Acta Appl. Math. 2*, 1 (1984), 79–96.

[19] KUMEI, S. Invariance transformations, invariance group transformations, and invariance groups of the sine-Gordon equations. *Journal of Mathematical Physics 16*, 12 (1975), 2461–2468.

[20] LOU, S. Y. Symmetries of the KdV equation and four hierarchies of the integrodifferential KdV equations. *J. Math. Phys. 35*, 5 (1994), 2390–2396.

[21] LOU, S. Y., HU, X., AND CHEN, Y. Nonlocal symmetries related to Bäcklund transformation and their applications. *J. Phys. A 45*, 15 (2012), 155209, 14.

[22] OEVEL, G., FUCHSSTEINER, B., AND BŁASZAK, M. Action-angle representation of multisolitons by potentials of mastersymmetries. *Progr. Theoret. Phys. 83*, 3 (1990), 395–413.

[23] OEVEL, W., AND CARILLO, S. Squared eigenfunction symmetries for soliton equations. II. *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 217*, 1 (1998), 179–199.

[24] OLVER, P. J. Evolution equations possessing infinitely many symmetries. *J. Mathematical Phys. 18*, 6 (1977), 1212–1215.

[25] OLVER, P. J. *Applications of Lie groups to Differential Equations*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[26] OLVER, P. J. Nonlocal symmetries and ghosts. In *New trends in integrability and partial solvability*, vol. 132 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.* Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 199–215.

[27] OLVER, P. J., SANDERS, J. A., AND WANG, J. P. Ghost symmetries. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 9*, suppl. 1 (2002), 164–172. Recent advances in integrable systems (Kowloon, 2000).

[28] ORLOV, A. Y., AND SCHULMAN, E. I. Additional symmetries for integrable equations and conformal algebra representation. *Lett. Math. Phys. 12*, 3 (1986), 171–179.

[29] OVSIANNIKOV, L. V. *Group analysis of differential equations.* Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1982. Translated from the Russian by Y. Chapovsky, Translation edited by William F. Ames.

[30] PRAUGHT, J., AND SMIRNOV, R. G. Andrew Lenard: a mystery unraveled. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 1* (2005), Paper 005, 7.

[31] RASIN, A. G. Computation of generating symmetries. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 118*, (2023), 107003.

[32] RASIN, A. G., AND SCHIFF, J. The Gardner method for symmetries. *J. Phys. A 46*, 15 (2013), 155202, 15.

[33] SEGAL, G., AND WILSON, G. Loop groups and equations of KdV type. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 61 (1985), 5–65.

[34] 'T HOOFT, G. Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle. *Phys. Rev. D 14* (1976), 3432–3450.

[35] TAO, T. Why are solitons stable? *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 46*, 1 (2009), 1–33.

[36] ZUBELLI, J. P., AND MAGRI, F. Differential equations in the spectral parameter, Darboux transformations and a hierarchy of master symmetries for KdV. *Comm. Math. Phys. 141*, 2 (1991), 329–351.