Controlled g-atomic subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces

Prasenjit Ghosh

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata, 700019, West Bengal, India e-mail: prasenjitpuremath@gmail.com

T. K. Samanta

Department of Mathematics, Uluberia College, Uluberia, Howrah, 711315, West Bengal, India e-mail: mumpu−tapas5@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

Controlled g-atomic subspace for a bounded linear operator is being presented and a characterization has been given.We give an example of controlled $K-q$ -fusion frame. We construct a new controlled K-g-fusion frame for the Hilbert space $H \oplus X$ using the controlled K -g-fusion frames of the Hilbert spaces H and X . Several useful resolutions of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of controlled g-fusion frames have been discussed. Frame operator for a pair of controlled g-fusion Bessel sequences has been introduced.

Keywords: K*-*g*-fusion frame,* g*-atomic subspace, frame operator, controlled* g*fusion frame, controlled* K*-*g*-fusion frame.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: *42C15; 94A12; 46C07.*

1 Introduction

Frame for Hilbert space was first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [\[8\]](#page-19-0) in 1952 to study some fundamental problems in non-harmonic Fourier series. Later on, after some decades, frame theory was popularized by Daubechies et al. [\[6\]](#page-19-1).For more details on frame theory one can go through the book [\[5\]](#page-19-2). For special applications, many other types of frames viz. K-frame [\[10\]](#page-19-3), fusion frame [\[4\]](#page-19-4), g-frame [\[22\]](#page-20-0), g-fusion frame [\[13,](#page-19-5) [20\]](#page-19-6) and $K-q$ -fusion frame [\[1\]](#page-18-0) etc. were proposed. P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta [\[11\]](#page-19-7) have studied the stability of dual g-fusion frame in Hilbert space and also they have discussed generalized atomic subspace for operator in Hilbert space and presented the frame operator for a pair of g -fusion Bessel sequences [\[12\]](#page-19-8).

Controlled frame is one of the newest generalization of frame. I. Bogdanova et al. [\[3\]](#page-18-1) introduced controlled frame for spherical wavelets to get numerically more efficient approximation algorithm. Thereafter, P. Balaz [\[2\]](#page-18-2) developed weighted and controlled frame in Hilbert space. In recent times, several generalizations of controlled frame namely, controlled K-frame $[17]$, controlled g-frame $[18]$, controlled fu-sion frame [\[15\]](#page-19-11), controlled g-fusion frame [\[21\]](#page-20-1), controlled K -g-fusion frame [\[19\]](#page-19-12) etc.

have been appeared. At present, frame theory has been widely used in signal and image processing, filter bank theory, coding and communications, system modeling and so on.

In this paper, we introduce controlled g -atomic subspace for a bounded linear operator and establish that a family Λ_{TU} is a controlled g-atomic subspace if and only if it is a controlled $K-q$ -fusion frame in Hilbert space. We construct some useful results about resolution of the identity operator on a Hilbert space using the theory of controlled q -fusion frame. The frame operator for a pair of controlled q -fusion Bessel sequences are discussed and some of it's properties are going to be established.

Throughout this paper, H is considered to be a separable Hilbert space with associated inner product $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$ and $\{ H_j \}_{j \in J}$ are the collection of Hilbert spaces, where J is subset of integers \mathbb{Z} . I_H is the identity operator on H . $\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ is a collection of all bounded linear operators from H_1 to H_2 . In particular $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on H. For $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we denote $\mathcal{N}(S)$ and $\mathcal{R}(S)$ for null space and range of S, respectively. Also, $P_M \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is the orthonormal projection onto a closed subspace $M \subset H$. $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{B}(H)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators which have bounded inverse. If $S, R \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$, then R^*, R^{-1} and SR are also belongs to $GB(H), GB^+(H)$ is the set of all positive operators in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{B}(H)$ and T, U are invertible operators in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{B}(H)$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some necessary definitions and theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *(Douglas' factorization theorem)* [\[7\]](#page-19-13) Let $S, V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then *the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(V)$.
- (*ii*) $SS^* \leq \lambda^2 \, VV^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

(*iii*) $S = VW$ *for some bounded linear operator* W *on* H.

Theorem 2.2. [\[5\]](#page-19-2) The set $S(H)$ of all self-adjoint operators on H is a *partially ordered set with respect to the partial order* ≤ *which is defined as for* $R, S \in \mathcal{S}(H)$

$$
R \leq S \Leftrightarrow \langle Rf, f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \ \forall f \in H.
$$

Definition 2.3. [\[16\]](#page-19-14) A self-adjoint operator $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ is called positive if $\langle U x , x \rangle \geq 0$ *for all* $x \in H_1$ *. In notation, we can write* $U \geq 0$ *. A self-adjoint operator* $V : H_1 \to H_1$ *is called a square root of* U *if* $V^2 = U$ *. If, in addition* $V \geq 0$, then V is called positive square root of U and is denoted by $V = U^{1/2}$.

Theorem 2.4. [\[16\]](#page-19-14) The positive square root $V : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ of an arbitrary *positive self-adjoint operator* $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ *exists and is unique. Further, the operator* V *commutes with every bounded linear operator on* H1 *which commutes with* U*.*

In a complex Hilbert space, every bounded positive operator is self-adjoint and any two bounded positive operators can be commute with each other.

Theorem 2.5. *[\[9\]](#page-19-15)* Let $M \subset H$ be a closed subspace and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then $P_M T^* = P_M T^* P_{\overline{TM}}$. If T *is an unitary operator (i.e.* $T^* T = I_H$), then $P_{\overline{TM}} T = T P_M.$

Definition 2.6. [\[4\]](#page-19-4) *A* family of bounded operators $\{T_j\}_{j \in J}$ on H is called a *resolution of identity operator on* H *if for all* $f \in H$ *, we have* $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ $j \in J$ T_j $(f$ $),$ *provided the series converges unconditionally for all* $f \in H$.

Definition 2.7. [\[20\]](#page-19-6) Let $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of H *and* $\{v_j\}_{j\in J}$ *be a collection of positive weights and let* $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ *for each* $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is called a generalized $fusion\ frame\ or\ a\ g\hbox{-}fusion\ frame\ for\ H\ \ respect\ to\ \Set{H_j}_{j\in J}\ \ if\ there\ exist\ constants$ $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ *such that*

$$
A \| f \|^{2} \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_{j}^{2} \| \Lambda_{j} P_{W_{j}}(f) \|^{2} \leq B \| f \|^{2} \ \forall f \in H. \tag{1}
$$

The constants A *and* B *are called the lower and upper bounds of g-fusion frame, respectively. If* $A = B$ *then* Λ *is called tight g-fusion frame and if* $A = B = 1$ *then we say* Λ *is a Parseval g-fusion frame. If* Λ *satisfies only the right inequality of [\(1\)](#page-2-0) it is called a g-fusion Bessel sequence in* H *with bound* B*.*

Define the space

$$
l^{2} \left(\{ H_{j} \}_{j \in J} \right) = \left\{ \{ f_{j} \}_{j \in J} : f_{j} \in H_{j}, \sum_{j \in J} \| f_{j} \|^{2} < \infty \right\}
$$

with inner product is given by

$$
\langle \{ f_j \}_{j \in J}, \{ g_j \}_{j \in J} \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle_{H_j}.
$$

Clearly $l^2\left(\lbrace H_j \rbrace_{j\in J}\right)$ is a Hilbert space with the pointwise operations [\[1\]](#page-18-0).

Definition 2.8. *[\[12\]](#page-19-8)* Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and ${W_j}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed *subspaces of* H, let $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ *for each* $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is said to be a general*ized atomic subspace or g-atomic subspace of* H *with respect to* K *if the following statements hold:*

- (i) Λ *is a g-fusion Bessel sequence in* H*.*
- (*ii*) *For every* $f \in H$, there exists ${f_j}_{j \in J} \in l^2({H_j}_{j \in J})$ such that

$$
K(f) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* f_j \text{ and } \left\| \{f_j\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{l^2(\{H_j\}_{j \in J})} \leq C \|f\|
$$

for some $C > 0$ *.*

Definition 2.9. [\[12\]](#page-19-8) Let $\Lambda = \{ (V_i, \Lambda_i, v_i) \}_{i \in I}$ and $\Lambda' = \{ (V'_i, \Lambda'_i, v'_i) \}_{i \in I}$ *be two g-fusion Bessel sequences in* H *with bounds* D1 *and* D2*, respectively. Then the operator* $S_{\Lambda\Lambda'}$: $H \to H$ *, defined by*

$$
S_{\Lambda\Lambda'}(f) = \sum_{i\in I} v_i v_i' P_{V_i} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i' P_{V_i'}(f) \quad \forall f \in H,
$$

is called the frame operator for the pair of g-fusion Bessel sequences Λ *and* Λ' *.*

Definition 2.10. [\[21\]](#page-20-1) Let ${W_j}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed subspaces of H and $\{v_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a collection of positive weights. Let $\{H_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a sequence of *Hilbert spaces,* $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ for each $j \in J$. Then the $family \ \Delta_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Delta_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J} \ \ is \ a \ (T, U)$ *-controlled g-fusion frame for* H *if there exist constants* $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ *such that*

$$
A \| f \|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle \le B \| f \|^2 \ \forall f \in H. \tag{2}
$$

If $A = B$ *then* Λ_{TU} *is called* (T, U) *-controlled tight g-fusion frame and if* $A =$ $B = 1$ then we say Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled Parseval g-fusion frame. If Λ_{TU} *satisfies only the right inequality of [\(2\)](#page-3-0) it is called a* (T, U)*-controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence in* H*.*

Definition 2.11. [\[21\]](#page-20-1) Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence *in* H with a bound B. The synthesis operator $T_C : \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_i} \to H$ is defined as

$$
T_C \left(\left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \right) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f,
$$

 $for \ all \ f \in H \ and \ the \ analysis \ operator \ T_C^* : H \to \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}$ is given by

$$
T_C^* f = \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \ \forall \ f \in H,
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j} = \left\{ \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} : f \in H \right\} \subset l^2 \left(\left\{ H_j \right\}_{j \in J} \right).
$$

The frame operator $S_C : H \to H$ *is defined as follows:*

$$
S_C f = T_C T_C^* f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \forall f \in H
$$

and it is easy to verify that

$$
\langle S_C f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \ \forall f \in H.
$$

Furthermore, if Λ_{TU} *is a* (T, U) *-controlled q-fusion frame with bounds* A *and* B then $A I_H \leq S_C \leq B I_H$. Hence, S_C is bounded, invertible, self-adjoint and *positive linear operator. It is easy to verify that* $B^{-1}I_H \leq S_C^{-1} \leq A^{-1}I_H$.

Controlled g-atomic subspace 5

Definition 2.12. *[\[19\]](#page-19-12)* Let $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and ${W_j}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of closed *subspaces of* H and $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of positive weights. Let $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ be *a sequence of Hilbert spaces,* $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ *and* $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ *for each* $j \in J$. Then the family $\Lambda_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ is a (T, U) -controlled K-g*fusion frame for* H *if there exist constants* $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ *such that*

$$
A \| K^* f \|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle \leq B \| f \|^2 \ \forall f \in H.
$$

Throughout this paper, Λ_{TU} denotes the family $\{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$.

3 Controlled q -atomic subspace

In this section, we define controlled generalized atomic subspace or controlled g-atomic subspace of a Hilbert space with respect to a bounded linear operator. At the end of this section, a controlled K-g-fusion frame for the Hilbert space $H \oplus X$, using the controlled $K-g$ -fusion frames of the Hilbert spaces H and X will be constructed.

Definition 3.1. *Let* $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and ${W_j}_{j \in J}$ be a family of closed subspaces *of* H and $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of positive weights. Let $\{H_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a sequence *of Hilbert spaces,* $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ *and* $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ *for each* $j \in J$ *. Then the family* $\Lambda_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ *is said to be a generalized atomic subspace controlled by the operators* $T, U \text{ or } (T, U)$ *-controlled g-atomic subspace of* H *with respect to* K *if the following statements hold:*

(i) Λ_{TI} is a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence in H.

(ii) For every
$$
f \in H
$$
, there exists $\left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \in$
 $\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2$ such that $K f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f$, and

$$
\left\| \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} \leq C \| f \|
$$

for some $C > 0$ *.*

The next theorem provides a characterization of a controlled g-atomic subspace.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of closed subspaces *of* H, let $\{v_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of positive weights. Let $T, U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and $\Lambda_j \in$ $\mathcal{B}(H, H_j)$ *for each* $j \in J$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (*i*) $\Lambda_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ *is a* (T, U) *-controlled g-atomic subspace of* H *with respect to* K*.*
- (*ii*) Λ_{TU} *is a* (T, U) *-controlled K-g-fusion frame for* H.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g-atomic subspace of H with respect to K. Then Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence in H. Then for each $f \in H$, there exists constant $B > 0$ such that

$$
\sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \|f\|^2.
$$

Now, for any $f \in H$, we have

$$
|| K^* f || = \sup_{||g||=1} | \langle K^* f, g \rangle | = \sup_{||g||=1} | \langle f, K g \rangle |,
$$

bydefinition (3.1), for $g \in H$, there exists $\left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} g \right\}$ \in \in $\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2$ such that $K g = \sum_{i=1}^n$ $j \in J$ $v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U g$, and

$$
\left\| \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} g \right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} \leq C \| g \|
$$

for some $C > 0$. Thus

$$
\|K^* f\|^2 = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left| \left\langle f, \sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U g \right\rangle \right|^2
$$

\n
$$
= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left| \sum_{j\in J} \left\langle v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} f, v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} g \right\rangle \right|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{j\in J} \left| v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} f \right\|^2 \left| \left\{ v_j (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U)^{1/2} g \right\}_{j\in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}^2_{\Lambda_j}}^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle C^2 \|g\|^2
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{C^2} \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle.
$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K-g-fusion frame for H with bounds $\frac{1}{C^2}$ and B.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Suppose that Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K-g-fusion frame for H with the corresponding synthesis operator T_C . Then obviously Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence in H. Now, for each $f \in H$,

$$
A \parallel K^* f \parallel^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle = \|T_C^* f\|^2
$$

gives $A K K^* \leq T_C T_C^*$ and by Theorem [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0), there exists $L \in \mathcal{B}\left(H, \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2\right)$ such that $K = T_C L$. Define

$$
L(f) = \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J},
$$

for every $f \in H$. Then, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
K(f) = T_C L(f) = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \text{ and}
$$

$$
\left\| \left\{ v_j \left(T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U \right)^{1/2} f \right\}_{j \in J} \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} = \| L(f) \|_{\mathcal{K}_{\Lambda_j}^2} \le C \| f \|,
$$

where $C = ||L||$. Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g-atomic subspace of H with respect to K. respect to K .

Remark 3.3. Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. If Λ_{TU} is an (T, U) -controlled g-atomic *subspace of* H *with respect to* K_1 *and* K_2 *, then for any scalars* α *and* β *,* Λ_{TU} *is an* (T, U) *-controlled* g-atomic subspace of H with respect to $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ and $K_1 K_2$.

In the next theorem, we will see that every controlled q -fusion frame is a controlled g-atomic subspace with respect to it's frame operator.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Lambda_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion *frame for* H. Then Λ_{TU} *is a* (T, U) -controlled g-atomic subspace of H with respect *to it's frame operator* S_C .

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H, we have $\mathcal{R}(T_C)$ = $H = \mathcal{R} (S_C)$, so by Theorem [\(2.1\)](#page-1-0), there exists some $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha S_C S_C^* \leq$ $T_C T_C^*$ and therefore for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\alpha \|\, S_C^* f\,\|^{\,2} \,\leq\, \|\, T_C^* f\,\|^{\,2} \,=\, \sum_{j \,\in\, J} \,v_j^{\,2} \,\,\big\langle\,\Lambda_j\, P_{\,W_j}\,U\,f,\,\Lambda_j\,P_{\,W_j}\,T\,f\,\big\rangle \,\leq\, B\,\,\|\,f\,\|^{\,2}\,.
$$

Thus, Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled S_C -g-fusion frame for H and hence by Theorem [\(3.2\)](#page-4-1), Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g-atomic subspace of H with respect to S_C . \Box

Now, we construct another controlled g -atomic subspace from given controlled g-atomic subspaces under some sufficient conditions.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\Lambda_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ and $\Gamma_{TU} = \{ (W_j, \Gamma_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ *be two* (T, U) *-controlled* g-atomic subspaces of H with respect to $K \in \mathcal{B}(\check{H})$ *and let* $V, W \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ *such that* $V + W$ *be an invertible operator on* H *with* $K(V+W) = (V+W)K$. Suppose $(V+W)^*$ commutes with T and U. Then

$$
\Delta_{TU} = \left\{ \left(\left(V + W \right) W_j, \left(\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j \right) P_{W_j} \left(V + W \right)^*, v_j \right) \right\}_{j \in J}
$$

is a (T, U) *-controlled* g-atomic subspace of H with respect to K, if for all j,

$$
\langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} (V + W)^* U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (V + W)^* T f \rangle = 0,
$$

$$
\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} (V + W)^* U f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} (V + W)^* T f \rangle = 0, f \in H.
$$
 (3)

Proof. Since Λ_{TU} and Γ_{TU} are (T, U) -controlled g-atomic subspaces with respect to K, by Theorem [\(3.2\)](#page-4-1), they are (T, U) -controlled K-g-fusion frames for H.So, for each $f \in H$, there exist positive constants (A, B) and (C, D) such that

$$
A \parallel K^* f \parallel^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq B \parallel f \parallel^2, \text{ and}
$$

$$
C \parallel K^* f \parallel^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \leq D \parallel f \parallel^2.
$$

Also, $V + W$ is invertible, so

$$
||K^* f||^2 = ||((V + W)^{-1})^* (V + W)^* K^* f||^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||(V + W)^{-1}||^2 ||(V + W)^* K^* f||^2.
$$
 (4)

Take $R = V + W$. Now, for each $f \in H$, using Theorem [2.5,](#page-2-1) we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} U f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} T f \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* U f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* T f \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} U R^* f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \} +
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \}
$$

\n
$$
\leq B \| R^* f \|^2 + D \| R^* f \|^2 = (B + D) \| (V + W)^* f \|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq (B + D) \| V + W \|^2 \| f \|^2 [as V + W is bounded].
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} U f, (\Lambda_j + \Gamma_j) P_{W_j} R^* P_{RW_j} T f \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \{ \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle + \langle \Gamma_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Gamma_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle \}
$$

$$
\geq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U R^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T R^* f \rangle
$$

$$
\geq A \| K^* (V + W)^* f \|^2
$$

=
$$
A \| (V + W)^* K^* f \|^2 \text{ [using } K (V + W) = (V + W) K]
$$

$$
\geq A \| (V + W)^{-1} \|^{-2} \| K^* f \|^2 \text{ [using (4)].}
$$

Thus, Δ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled K-g-fusion frame for H and hence by the Theorem [\(3.2\)](#page-4-1), it is a (T, U) -controlled g-atomic subspace of H with respect to K. This completes the proof. \Box

3.1 Example

Let $H = L^2[0, 2\pi]$. Then H is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$
\langle x, y \rangle = \int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} x(t) \overline{y(t)} dt, \ x, y \in L^{2}[0, 2\pi]
$$

equipped with the induced norm given by

$$
||x||2 = \int_{0}^{2\pi} |x(t)|^{2} dt, \ x \in L^{2}[0, 2\pi].
$$

Consider the orthonormal basis $\{u_n\}$, where

$$
u_n(t) = \frac{e^{int}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, t \in [0, 2\pi], n \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

Then

$$
x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x'(n) u_n, \ \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |x'(n)|^2,
$$

where

$$
x'(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{0}^{2\pi} x(t) e^{-int} dt.
$$

Let $W_n = \overline{span} \{u_n\}$ and $v_n = 1 \forall n$. Now, we define the bounded linear operators $K : H \to H$ by $K u_1 = u_1, K u_2 = u_2, K u_n = 0$ for $n \neq 1, 2$ and

$$
\Lambda_1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m x'(k) u_k, \ \Lambda_j(x) = 0, \ j \neq 1.
$$

It is easy to verify that $K^*u_1 = u_1, K^*u_2 = u_2$ and $K^*u_n = 0$ for $n \neq$ 1, 2. Consider two operators T and U on H defined by $Tx = \alpha x$ and $Ux = \beta x$ for $x \in H$ and α , β are positive real numbers. It is easy to see that T and U are positives. Now, for each $x \in H$, we have

$$
\|K^*x\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x'(n)K^*u_n\right\|^2 = |x'(1)|^2 + |x'(2)|^2,
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_n^2 \langle \Lambda_n P_{W_n} U x, \Lambda_j P_{W_n} T x \rangle = \alpha \beta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \Lambda_n P_{W_n} x, \Lambda_n P_{W_n} x \rangle
$$

$$
= \alpha \beta \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_n P_{W_n} x\|^2 = \alpha \beta \|\Lambda_1 P_{W_1} x\|^2 = \alpha \beta \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m x'(k) u_k \right\|^2
$$

$$
= \alpha \beta \sum_{k=1}^m |x'(k)|^2 \ge \alpha \beta \|K^* x\|^2.
$$

Thus, for each $x \in H$, we have

$$
\alpha \beta \parallel K^* x \parallel^2 \ \leq \ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_n^2 \langle \Lambda_n P_{W_n} U x, \Lambda_j P_{W_n} T x \rangle \ \leq \ \parallel x \parallel^2.
$$

Hence, $\{ (W_n, \Lambda_n, v_n) \}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a (T, U) -controlled K-g-fusion frame for $H =$ $L^2[0, 2\pi].$

Let H and X be two Hilbert spaces with inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$. Then the space define by

$$
H \oplus X = \{ f \oplus g = (f, g) : f \in H, g \in X \}
$$

is a linear space with respect to the addition and scalar multiplication defined by

$$
(f_1, g_1) + (f_2, g_2) = (f_1 + f_2, g_1 + g_2)
$$
, and

 $\lambda(f, g) = (\lambda f, \lambda g) \ \forall f, f_1, f_2 \in H, g, g_1, g_2 \in X \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{K}.$

Now, $H \oplus X$ is an inner product space with respect to the inner product given by

$$
\langle (f \oplus g), (f' \oplus g') \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle_H + \langle g, g' \rangle_X \ \forall f, f' \in H \text{ and } \forall g, g' \in X.
$$

The norm on $H \oplus X$ is defined by

$$
|| f \oplus g || = || f ||_H + || g ||_X \quad \forall \ f \in H, \ g \in X,
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ are norms generated by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$, respectively. The space $H \oplus X$ is complete with respect to the above inner product. Therefore the space $H \oplus X$ is a Hilbert space. Also, if $R \in \mathcal{B}(H, Z)$, $V \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, where Z, Y are Hilbert spaces then for all $f \in H$ and $g \in X$ we define

 $R \oplus V \in \mathcal{B}$ $(H \oplus X, Z \oplus Y)$ by $(R \oplus V)$ $(f \oplus g) = (Rf, Vg)$

Now, we state a theorem, for proof of this theorem, one can go through the paper [\[14\]](#page-19-16).

Theorem 3.6. [\[14\]](#page-19-16) Suppose R, $R' \in \mathcal{B}(H, Z)$ and $V, V' \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ *. Then* (*i*) $(R \oplus V) (R' \oplus V') = (RR' \oplus VV')$.

$$
(ii) (R \oplus V)^* = R^* \oplus V^*.
$$

(*iii*) *If* R and V are invertible, then $(R \oplus V)$ is invertible and moreover we have $(R \oplus V)^{-1} = R^{-1} \oplus V^{-1}.$

- (iv) $I_H \oplus I_K = I_{H \oplus K}$, where I_H , I_K and $I_{H \oplus K}$ are identity operators on H , K *and* $H \oplus K$ *, respectively.*
- (v) $P_{M \oplus N} = P_M \oplus P_N$, where P_M , P_N and $P_{M \oplus N}$ are the orthogonal projec*tion onto the closed subspaces* $M \subset H$, $N \subset X$ *and* $M \oplus N \subset H \oplus X$, *respectively.*

We now construct controlled K-g-fusion frame for the Hilbert space $H \oplus X$, using the controlled $K-g$ -fusion frames of the Hilbert spaces H and X . Assuming for each $j \in J$, $W_j \oplus V_j$ are the closed subspaces of $H \oplus X$, $\Gamma_j \in \mathcal{B}(X, X_j)$, where $\{X_j\}_{j\in J}$ are the collection of Hilbert spaces and $\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j \in \mathcal{B}$ $(H \oplus X, H_j \oplus X_j)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\Lambda_{TT_1} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, T_1) *-controlled* K_1 g *-fusion frame for* H *with bounds* A, B *and* $\Gamma_{UU_1} = \{ (V_j, \Gamma_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ *be a* (U, U_1) -controlled K_2 -g-fusion frame for X with bounds C, D, where $K_1, K_2 \in$ $\mathcal{B}(H)$ *and* $T_1, U_1 \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ *. Then* $\Lambda_{TT_1} \oplus \Gamma_{UU_1} = \{ (W_j \oplus V_j, \Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ *is a* $(T \oplus U, T_1 \oplus U_1)$ *-controlled* $K_1 \oplus K_2$ *-g-fusion frame for* $H \oplus X$ *with bounds* $\min\{A, C\}$, $\max\{B, D\}$ *. Furthermore, if* S_C , $S_{C'}$ *and* S *are frame operators for* $\Lambda_{TT_1}, \Gamma_{UU_1}$ and $\Lambda_{TT_1} \oplus \Gamma_{UU_1}$, respectively then we have $S = S_C \oplus S_{C'}$.

Proof. For each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) (f \oplus g), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T \oplus U) (f \oplus g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 f \oplus U_1 g), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T f \oplus U g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) (P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus P_{V_j} U_1 g), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) (P_{W_j} T f \oplus P_{V_j} U g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g), (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle_H + \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U g \rangle_X
$$

\n
$$
\leq B \| f \|_H^2 + D \| g \|_X^2 \leq \max \{ B, D \} (\| f \|_H^2 + \| g \|_X^2)
$$

\n
$$
= \max \{ B, D \} \| f \oplus g \|^2.
$$

Similarly, for each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, it can be shown that

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) (f \oplus g), (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T \oplus U) (f \oplus g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\ge \min \{ A, C \} \left(\| K_1^* f \|_H^2 + \| K_2^* g \|_X^2 \right) = \min \{ A, C \} \| K_1^* f \oplus K_2^* g \|_X^2
$$

\n
$$
= \min \{ A, C \} \| (K_1 \oplus K_2)^* (f \oplus g) \|^2.
$$

Thus, $\Lambda_{TT_1} \oplus \Gamma_{UU_1}$ is a $(T \oplus U, T_1 \oplus U_1)$ -controlled $K_1 \oplus K_2$ -g-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$ with bounds min $\{A, C\}$ and max $\{B, D\}$.

Furthermore, for $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
S(f \oplus g)
$$

= $\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T \oplus U)^* P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^* (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) (f \oplus g)$
= $\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T \oplus U)^* P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j)^* (\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g)$
= $\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T \oplus U)^* P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (\Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g)$
= $\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 (T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \oplus U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g)$
= $\left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 f \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 g \right)$
= $(S_C f \oplus S_{C'} g) = (S_C \oplus S_{C'}) (f \oplus g).$

Hence, $S = S_C \oplus S_{C'}$. This completes the proof.

$$
\overline{\Box}
$$

In the following theorem, we give another way to construct controlled $K_1 \oplus K_2$ g-fusion frame for Hilbert space $H \oplus X$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\Lambda_{TT_1} = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, T_1) *-controlled* K_1 g *-fusion frame for* H *with bounds* A, B *and* $\Gamma_{UU_1} = \{ (V_j, \Gamma_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ *be a* (U, U_1) -controlled K_2 -g-fusion frame for X with bounds C, D having their cor*responding frame operators* S_C *and* $S_{C'}$ *, where* $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ *and* $T_1, U_1 \in$ G B (H)*. Suppose*

- (i) $W \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ are invertible operators on H and X, respec*tively.*
- (ii) W^* commutes with T, T_1 and V^* commutes with U, U_1 , respectively.
- (*iii*) K_1 *and* K_2 *commutes with* W *and* V, *respectively.*

Then

$$
\Delta = \left\{ \left(\left(W \oplus V \right) \left(W_j \oplus V_j \right), \left(\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j \right) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} \left(W^* \oplus V^* \right), v_j \right) \right\}_{j \in J}
$$

is a $(T \oplus U, T_1 \oplus U_1)$ *-controlled* $K_1 \oplus K_2$ *-g-fusion frame for* $H \oplus X$ *with the corresponding frame operator* $(W \oplus V)$ $(S_C \oplus S_{C'})$ $(W \oplus V)^*$.

Proof. For $j \in J$, we take

$$
\Delta_j = (\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) P_{W_j \oplus V_j} (W^* \oplus V^*), X_j = (W \oplus V) (W_j \oplus V_j).
$$

Then by Theorem [2.5,](#page-2-1) we have

$$
\Delta_j P_{X_j} (f \oplus g)
$$

= $(\Lambda_j \oplus \Gamma_j) (P_{W_j} \oplus P_{V_j}) (W^* \oplus V^*) (P_{WW_j} \oplus P_{VV_j}) (f \oplus g)$
= $\Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* P_{WW_j} f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* P_{VV_j} g$
= $\Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* g.$

and

$$
P_{X_j} \Delta_j^* (f \oplus g)
$$

= $(P_{WW_j} \oplus P_{VV_j}) (W \oplus V) (P_{W_j} \oplus P_{V_j}) (\Lambda_j^* \oplus \Gamma_j^*) (f \oplus g)$
= $P_{WW_j} W P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* f \oplus P_{VV_j} V P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* g$
= $(P_{W_j} W^* P_{WW_j})^* \Lambda_j^* f \oplus (P_{V_j} V^* P_{VV_j})^* \Gamma_j^* g$
= $W P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* f \oplus V P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* g$.

Therefore,

≥

$$
P_{X_j} \Delta_j^* \Delta_j P_{X_j} (f \oplus g)
$$

= $W P_{W_j} \Delta_j^* \Delta_j P_{W_j} W^* f \oplus V P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* g.$ (5)

Now, for each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Delta_j P_{X_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) (f \oplus g), \Delta_j P_{X_j} (T \oplus U) (f \oplus g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Delta_j P_{X_j} (T_1 f \oplus U_1 g), \Delta_j P_{X_j} (T f \oplus U g) \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* T_1 f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* U_1 g, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* T f \oplus \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* U g \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* T_1 f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* T f \rangle_H
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* U_1 g, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* U g \rangle_X
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T_1 W^* f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T W^* f \rangle_H
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 V^* g, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U V^* g \rangle_X
$$

\n
$$
\geq A_1 \| K_1^* W^* f \|_H^2 + A_2 \| K_2^* V^* g \|_X^2 = A_1 \| W^* K_1^* f \|_H^2 + A_2 \| V^* K_2^* g \|_X^2
$$

\n
$$
\geq \frac{A_1}{\| W^{-1} \|^2} \| K_1^* f \|_H^2 + \frac{A_2}{\| V^{-1} \|^2} \| K_2^* g \|_X^2 \text{ [since } W, V \text{ are invertible]}
$$

 $\geq C \Vert K_1^* f \oplus K_2^* g \Vert^2 = C \Vert (K_1 \oplus K_2)^* (f \oplus g) \Vert^2,$

$$
13\,
$$

where $C = \min \left(\frac{A_1}{\ln a} \right)$ $\frac{A_1}{\|W^{-1}\|^2}, \frac{A_2}{\|V^{-1}\|}$ $\left\| V^{-1} \right\|^2$. On the other hand, for each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, from [\(6\)](#page-12-0), we have

Furthermore, for each $f \oplus g \in H \oplus X$, using [\(5\)](#page-12-1), we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Delta_j P_{X_j} (T_1 \oplus U_1) (f \oplus g), \Delta_j P_{X_j} (T \oplus U) (f \oplus g) \rangle
$$

$$
\leq B_1 \| W^* f \|_H^2 + B_2 \| V^* g \|_X^2 \leq \max (B_1 \| W \|_{2}^2, B_2 \| V \|_{2}^2) \| f \oplus g \|_2^2.
$$

Thus, Δ is a $(T \oplus U, T_1 \oplus U_1)$ -controlled $K_1 \oplus K_2$ -g-fusion frame for $H \oplus X$.

 \sum $j \in J$ v_i^2 j^2 (T \oplus U)^{*} $P_{X_j} \Delta_j^* \Delta_j P_{X_j}$ (T₁ \oplus U₁) (f \oplus g) $=$ \sum $j \in J$ v_i^2 j^2 (T^{*} \oplus U^{*}) $P_{X_j} \Delta_j^* \Delta_j P_{X_j}$ (T₁f \oplus U₁g) $=$ \sum $j \in J$ v_i^2 $\int_j^2 (T^* W P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} W^* T_1 f) \oplus (U^* V P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} V^* U_1 g)$ $=$ \sum $j \in J$ $v_j^2 \, W \, T^* \, P_{\,W_j} \, \Lambda_j^* \, \Lambda_j \, P_{\,W_j} \, T_1 \, W^* \, f \, \oplus \, \, \sum$ $j \in J$ $v_j^2 V U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U_1 V^* g$ $= (W S_C W^* \oplus V S_{C'} V^*) (f \oplus g)$ $= (W \oplus V) (S_C \oplus S_{C'}) (W \oplus V)^* (f \oplus g).$

Thus, the corresponding frame operator is $(W \oplus V)$ $(S_C \oplus S_{C'})$ $(W \oplus V)^*$. This completes the proof.

4 Frame operator for a pair of controlled q -fusion Bessel sequences

In this section, we introduce the concept of the frame operator for a pair of controlled g-fusion Bessel sequences and establish some properties relative to frame operator. First, we discuss about resolutions of the identity operator on H using the theory of controlled g-fusion frame for Hilbert space.

Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H with the corresponding frame operator S_C . From reconstruction formula, for $f \in H$, we have

$$
f = S_C S_C^{-1} f = S_C^{-1} S_C f
$$

= $\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 S_C^{-1} T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f$

This shows that the families of bounded operators $\left\{v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1}\right\}$ $j \in J$ and $\left\{ v_j^2 S_C^{-1} \right\}$ $\left\{ \frac{1}{C} \, \mathbb{1} \, T^* \, P_{\, W_j} \, \Lambda_j^* \, \Lambda_j \, P_{\, W_j} \, U \, \right\}$ are resolution of the identity operator on $j \in J$ H.

Theorem 4.1. Let Λ_{TU} be a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H with *frame bounds* A, B *and* S_C *be its corresponding frame operator. Assume that* S_C^{-1} $commutes with T and U. Then the family \left\{ v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* T_j U \right\}$ $j \in J$ *is a resolution of the identity operator on* H, where $T_j = \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1}$ C^{-1} , $j \in J$. Furthermore, for $each f \in H$ *, we have*

$$
\frac{A}{B^2} \| f \|^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T_j U f, T_j T f \rangle \le \frac{B}{A^2} \| f \|^2.
$$

Proof. For $f \in H$, we have the reconstruction formula for Λ_{TU} :

$$
f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} U f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* T_j U f.
$$

Thus, $\left\{ v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* T_j U \right\}$ is a resolution of the identity operator on H . Since Λ_{TU} is a (T, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H with frame bounds A and B, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T_j U f, T_j T f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} S_C^{-1} T f \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U S_C^{-1} f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T S_C^{-1} f \rangle
$$

$$
\leq B \left\| S_C^{-1} f \right\|^2 \leq B \left\| S_C^{-1} \right\|^2 \left\| f \right\|^2 \leq \frac{B}{A^2} \| f \|^2.
$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle T_j U f, T_j T f \rangle \ge A \|S_C^{-1} f\|^2 \ge \frac{A}{B^2} \|f\|^2.
$$

This completes the proof.

Next we will see that a controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence becomes a controlled g-fusion frame by using a resolution of the identity operator on H.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Lambda_T = \{ (W_j, \Lambda_j, v_j) \}_{j \in J}$ be a (T, T) -controlled g-fusion *Bessel sequence in* H *with bound* B. Then Λ_T *is a* (U, U) *-controlled g-fusion* $\emph{frame for H if $\Big\{v_j^2\,T^*\,P_{W_j}\,\Lambda_j^*\,\Lambda_j\,P_{W_j}\,U\,\Big\}$}$ *i*s a resolution of the identity oper*ator on* H*.*

Proof. Since $\left\{v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U\right\}$ is a resolution of the identity operator $j \in J$ on H, for $f \in H$, we have

$$
f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f.
$$

 \Box

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\|f\|^4 = (\langle f, f \rangle)^2 = \left(\left\langle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, f \right\rangle \right)^2
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle \right)^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \right\|^2
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
\leq B \|f\|^2 \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{B} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \right\rangle.
$$

On the other hand, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} U f \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T T^{-1} U f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T T^{-1} U f \rangle
$$

$$
\leq B ||T^{-1} U f||^2 \leq B ||T^{-1}||^2 ||U||^2 ||f||^2.
$$

Thus, Λ_T is a (U, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H. Similarly, it can be shown that if Λ_T is a (U, U) -controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence in H then Λ_T is also a (T, T) -controlled g-fusion frame for H. \Box

 $\textbf{Definition 4.3. } Let \,\, \Lambda_T \,=\, \left\{\,\left(\,W_j,\, \Lambda_j,\, w_j\,\right)\,\right\}_{j \,\in\, J} \,\, and \,\, \Gamma_U \,=\, \left\{\,\left(\,V_j,\, \Gamma_j,\, v_j\,\right)\,\right\}_{j \,\in\, J}$ *be* (T, T)*-controlled and* (U, U)*-controlled* g*-fusion Bessel sequences in* H *with bounds* D_1 *and* D_2 *, respectively. Then the operator* $S_{T \Lambda \Gamma U} : H \to H$ *, defined by*

$$
S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}(f) = \sum_{j\in J} v_j w_j T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \forall f \in H,
$$

is called the frame operator for the pair of controlled g-fusion Bessel sequences Λ_T *and* Γ_U *.*

It is easy to verify that Λ_T and Γ_U are also two g-fusion Bessel sequences in H. So, the frame operator

$$
S_{\Lambda\Gamma} f = \sum_{j \in J} v_j w_j P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} f \ \forall f \in H
$$

for this pair of g-fusion Bessel sequences is well-defined and bounded. Therefore, we can write $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} = T^* S_{\Lambda\Gamma} U$. Thus, $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}$ is well-defined and bounded. Also, for each $f, g \in H$, we have

$$
\langle S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}f, g\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j\in J} v_j w_j T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, g \right\rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j\in J} v_j w_j \langle f, U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T g \rangle
$$

=
$$
\left\langle f, \sum_{j\in J} w_j v_j U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T g \right\rangle = \langle f, S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} g \rangle
$$

and hence $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}^* = S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T}$.

In the next theorem, we give a characterization of a controlled g -fusion frame with the help of frame operator.

Theorem 4.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and Λ_T be a (T, T) -controlled g-fusion *Bessel sequence in* H. Then Λ_T *is a* (T, T) *-controlled g-fusion frame for* H *if and only if there exist an operator* $U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ *and a* (U, U) *-controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence* Γ_U *such that* $S_{U \Gamma \Lambda T} \geq m I_H$, for some $m > 0$.

Proof. Let Λ_T be a (T, T) -controlled g-fusion frame with bounds A and B. Now, we take $U = T$, and $V_j = W_j$, $\Lambda_j = \Gamma_j$ and $v_j = w_j$, for all $j \in J$. Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
\langle S_{T\Lambda\Lambda T} f, f \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, f \right\rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \left\langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \right\rangle \ge A \|f\|^2.
$$

Conversely, suppose that there exist an operator $U \in \mathcal{GB}(H)$ and a (U, U) controlled g-fusion Bessel sequence Γ_U with bound D such that

$$
m || f ||^2 \le \langle S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} f, f \rangle \ \forall f \in H
$$
, for some $m > 0$.

Thus, for each $f \in H$, we have

$$
m || f ||^2 \le \langle S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle v_j w_j U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, f \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in J} \langle w_j \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, v_j \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\le \left(\sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 ||\Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f||^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 ||\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f||^2 \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\le \sqrt{D} || f || \left(\sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 ||\Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f||^2 \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{m^2}{D} || f ||^2 \le \sum_{j \in J} w_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle.
$$

Thus, Λ_T is a (T, T) -controlled g-fusion frame for H.

Theorem 4.5. Let $S_{T \Lambda\Gamma U}$ be the frame operator for a pair of (T, T) -controlled *and* (U, U) *-controlled g-fusion Bessel sequences* Λ_T *and* Γ_U *with bounds* D_1 *and* D_2 , respectively. Suppose $\lambda_1 < 1$, $\lambda_2 > -1$ such that for each $f \in H$,

$$
\|f - S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}f\| \leq \lambda_1 \|f\| + \lambda_2 \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}f\|.
$$

Then Γ_U *is a* (U, U) *-controlled g-fusion frame for* H *.*

Proof. For each $f \in H$, we have

$$
(1 - \lambda_1) \|f\| \le (1 + \lambda_2) \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\|
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right) \|f\| \le \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f\| = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left\langle S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U} f, g\right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left\langle \sum_{j\in J} v_j w_j T^* P_{W_j} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, g \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
\le \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \| \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f\|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j\in J} w_j^2 \| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} Tf\|^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\le \sqrt{D_1} \left(\sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \| \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f\|^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{D_1} \left(\frac{1 - \lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2}\right)^2 \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \rangle \quad \forall f \in H.
$$

Thus, Γ_U is a (U, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H.

 \Box

 (7)

Controlled g-atomic subspace 19

Theorem 4.6. Let $S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}$ be the frame operator for a pair of (T, T) -controlled *and* (U, U) *-controlled g-fusion Bessel sequences* Λ_T *and* Γ_U *with bounds* D_1 *and* D_2 , *respectively. Assume* $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ *such that*

$$
\|f - S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}f\| \leq \lambda \|f\| \quad \forall \ f \in H.
$$

Then Λ_T *is a* (T, T) *-controlled g-fusion frame for* H *and* Γ_U *is a* (U, U) *controlled* g*-fusion frame for* H*.*

Proof. By putting $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$ in [\(7\)](#page-17-0), we get

$$
\frac{(1 - \lambda)^2}{D_1} ||f||^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} v_j^2 \langle \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f, \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U f \rangle
$$

and therefore Γ_U is a (U, U) -controlled g-fusion frame for H. Now, for each $f \in$ H , we have

$$
(1 - \lambda) \|f\| \le \|S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}^* f\| = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \langle S_{T\Lambda\Gamma U}^* f, g \rangle = \sup_{\|g\|=1} \langle S_{U\Gamma\Lambda T} f, g \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left\langle \sum_{j\in J} v_j w_j U^* P_{V_j} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, g \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
\le \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{j\in J} v_j^2 \| \Gamma_j P_{V_j} U g \|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j\in J} w_j^2 \| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \|^2 \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\le \sqrt{D_2} \left(\sum_{j\in J} w_j^2 \| \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \|^2 \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \sum_{j\in J} w_j^2 \langle \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f, \Lambda_j P_{W_j} T f \rangle \ge \frac{(1 - \lambda)^2}{D_2} \| f \|^2 \ \forall f \in H.
$$

Hence, Λ_T is a (T, T) -controlled g-fusion frame for H. This completes the proof. \Box

References

- [1] R. Ahmadi, G. Rahimlou, V. Sadri and R. Zarghami Farfar, *Constructions of Kg fusion frames and their duals in Hilbert spaces*, Bull. Transilvania Un. Brasov, 13(62), No. 1, (2020), 17-32.
- [2] P. Balazs, J. P. Antonie and A. Grybos, *Weighted and controlled frames: mutual relationship and first numerical properties,* Int. J. Wavelets, Multiresolution Info. Proc., 14 (2010), No. 1, 109-132.
- [3] I. Bogdanova, P. Vandergheynst, J. P. Antoine, L. Jacques and M. Morrvidone, *Stereographic wavelet frames on the sphere,* Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 16 (2005), 223-252.
- [4] P. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, *Frames of subspaces*, Cotemporary Math, AMS 345 (2004), 87-114.
- [5] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhauser (2008).
- [6] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann and Y. Mayer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 27 (5) (1986) 1271-1283.
- [7] R. G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 17, 413-415 (1966).
- [8] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72, (1952), 341-366.
- [9] P. Gavruta, *On the duality of fusion frames*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333 (2007) 871-879.
- [10] L. Gavruta, *Frames for operator*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 32 (1), 139-144 (2012).
- [11] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Stability of dual g-fusion frame in Hilbert spaces*, Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology, Vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 227-240.
- [12] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Generalized atomic subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces*, Mathematica Bohemica, doi: 10.21136/MB.2021.0130-20.
- [13] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Generalized fusion frame in tensor product of Hilbert spaces*, Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society, Accepted.
- [14] P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta, *Construction of fusion frame in Cartesian product of two Hilbert spaces*, Gulf Journal of Mathematics, Vol 11 (2), (2021) 53-64.
- [15] A. Khosravi and K. Musazadeh, *Controlled fusion frames*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topol. 18 (3), 256-265.
- [16] E. Kreyzig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications.* Wiley, New York (1989).
- [17] M. Nouri, A. Rahimi and Sh. Najafizadeh, *Controlled* K*-frames in Hilbert spaces,* Int. J. Anal. Appl. 4 (2015), No. 2, 39-50.
- [18] A. Rahimi and A. Fereydooni, *Controlled* g*-frames and their* g*-multipliers in Hilbert spaces*, Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta Seria Matematica 21 (2), 223-236.
- [19] G. Rahimlou, V. Sadri and R. Ahmadi, *Construction of controlled* K*-*g*-fusion frame in Hilbert spaces*, U. P. B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. 82, Iss. 1, 2020.
- [20] V. Sadri, Gh. Rahimlou, R. Ahmadi and R. Zarghami Farfar, *Generalized Fusion Frames in Hilbert Spaces*, Submitted, arXiv: 1806.03598v1 [math.FA] 10 Jun 2018.
- [21] H. Shakoory, R. Ahamadi, N. Behzadi and S. Nami, (C, C') -Controlled g*fusion frames,* Submitted (2018).
- [22] W. Sun, *G-frames and G-Riesz bases*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 322 (1) (2006), 437-452.