DENSE AND SUBSPACE DENSE SUBSETS IN FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

SALAH HERZI $^{(1)}$ AND HABIB MARZOUGUI $^{(2)}$

ABSTRACT. This note is motivated by the article of Bamerni, Kadets and Kiliçman [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 435 (2), 1812–1815 (2016)]. We consider the remaining problem which claims that if A is a dense subset of a finite dimensional space X, then there is a nontrivial subspace M of X such that $A \cap M$ is dense in M. We show that the above problem has a negative answer when $X = \mathbb{K}^n$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) for every $n \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

In [1, Theorem 2.1], Bamerni, Kadets and Kiliçman established that if A is a dense subset of a Banach space X, then there is a nontrivial closed subspace M of X such that $A \cap M$ is dense in M. By a nontrivial subspace M of X, we mean that M is non-zero and distinct from X. We acknowledge here that the authors of [1] do not clearly mean X of infinite dimension. So Theorem 2.1 in finite dimension remains an open problem.

Problem 1. Is Theorem 2.1 of [1] true for X of finite dimension?

In the present note, we show that Problem 1 does not hold in finite dimension, that is [1, Theorem 2.1] is not true for every finite dimensional space $X = \mathbb{K}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

In the sequel, \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} denote the sets of non-negative integers and integers, respectively, \mathbb{N} while \mathbb{N}_0 denotes the set of positive integers. For a row vector $v \in \mathbb{K}^n$, we will denote its transpose by v^T . If $v_1, \ldots, v_p \in \mathbb{K}^n$, $p \geq 1$, we denote by span $\{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$ the vector subspace of \mathbb{K}^n generated by v_1, \ldots, v_p . A subset $E \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ is called *dense* in \mathbb{K}^n if $\overline{E} = \mathbb{K}^n$, where \overline{E} denotes the closure of E. It is called *nowhere dense* if \overline{E} has empty interior.

2. Subspace dense subset of \mathbb{K}^n

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (The case $X = \mathbb{R}^n$). Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be an n-tuple of negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Set $A_\alpha = \mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n]^T$. Then A_α

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A16, 47A15

⁽²⁾ Corresponding author.

Key words and phrases. Finite-dimensional space, dense, subspace.

is dense in \mathbb{R}^n and for every nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{R}^n , $A_{\alpha} \cap M$ is not dense in M.

For this, let recall the following multidimensional real version of Kronecker's theorem (see e.g. [2, Lemma 2.2]).

Lemma 2.2. (Kronecker's Theorem [2, Lemma 2.2]) Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then the set

 $\mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T := \left\{ [s_1, \dots, s_n]^T + s[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T : s, s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 2.3. ([2, Lemma 2.1]) Let $n, m \ge 1$ be two integers and let $H = \mathbb{Z}u_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}u_m$ with $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$. If $m \le n$, then H is nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First A_{α} is dense in \mathbb{R}^n by Lemma 2.2. Now suppose that there is a nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension r $(1 \le r \le n-1)$ such that $A_{\alpha} \cap M$ is dense in M. One can choose a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\}$ of M so that

$$\begin{cases} v_1 &= [x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{n,1}]^T, \\ v_2 &= [0, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{n,2}]^T, \\ \vdots \\ v_r &= [0, \dots, 0, x_{r,r}, \dots, x_{n,r}]^T \end{cases}$$

with $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$.

• First, we have $x_{1,1} \neq 0$. Indeed, for every $u \in A_{\alpha} \cap M$, there exist $m, m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

(1)
$$u = [m_1, \dots, m_n]^T + m[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]^T = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_r v_r$$

The first coordinate in (1) gives that $m_1 + m\alpha_1 = \lambda_1 x_{1,1}$. If $x_{1,1} = 0$, then m = 0 and thus $A_{\alpha} \cap M \subset \mathbb{N}^n \cap M$ which is clearly not dense in M, a contradiction.

Set
$$I = \{i \in \{1, ..., r\} : x_{i,i} = 0\}$$
 and $k = \begin{cases} \min(I) & \text{if } I \neq \emptyset \\ r+1 & \text{if } I = \emptyset \end{cases}$.

Obviously $2 \le k \le r+1$ and $x_{i,i} \ne 0$, for $1 \le i \le k-1$. Let us put

$$\begin{cases} v_1^{(k)} &= [x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{k,1}]^T, \\ v_2^{(k)} &= [0, x_{2,2}, \dots, x_{k,2}]^T, \\ \vdots \\ v_{k-1}^{(k)} &= [0, \dots, 0, x_{k-1,k-1}, x_{k,k-1}]^T \end{cases}$$

Then $v_1^{(k)}, v_2^{(k)}, \dots, v_{k-1}^{(k)}$ are linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^k . Set

- $A_{\alpha}^{(k)} = \mathbb{N}^k + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T.$ $M^{(k)} = \operatorname{span}\{v_1^{(k)}, v_2^{(k)}, \dots, v_{k-1}^{(k)}\}.$

Since $A_{\alpha} \cap M$ is dense in M, so $A_{\alpha}^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}$ is dense in $M^{(k)}$. Then one can assume, by some elementary transformations of the $v_i^{(k)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, that $M^{(k)}$ has a basis $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_{k-1}\}$, where

$$\begin{cases} u_1 &= [1, 0, \dots, 0, y_1]^T, \\ u_2 &= [0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, y_2]^T, \\ \vdots \\ u_{k-1} &= [0, \dots, 0, 1, y_{k-1}]^T, \end{cases}$$

with $y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \ldots, k - 1$. Denote by

• $\Omega = \left\{ u = [m_1, \dots, m_k]^T + m[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T \in A_{\alpha}^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)} : m \neq 0 \right\}.$

Then Ω is dense in $M^{(k)}$: indeed, we have $(A^{(k)}_{\alpha} \cap M^{(k)}) \setminus \Omega \subset \mathbb{N}^k \cap M^{(k)}$ which is nowhere dense in $M^{(k)}$. Since $A_{\alpha}^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}$ is dense in $M^{(k)}$, so Ω is dense in $M^{(k)}$.

In the sequel, let $u \in \Omega$ be fixed:

$$u = [m_1, \dots, m_k]^T + m[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]^T = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} u_{k-1},$$

where $m, m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq 0$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that for every $v \in \Omega$, we have $v = [t_1, \ldots, t_k]^T + t[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k]^T = \delta_1 u_1 + \cdots + \delta_{k-1} u_{k-1}$, where $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}, t_1, \ldots, t_k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \neq 0$. We obtain for i = $1, \ldots, k-1$:

$$\begin{cases} t_i + t\alpha_i &= \delta_i \\ m_i + m\alpha_i &= \lambda_i \\ mv - tu &= (mt_1 - tm_1)u_1 + \dots + (mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1})u_{k-1} \end{cases}$$

We have

(*)
$$m_k + m\alpha_k = (m_1 + m\alpha_1)y_1 + \dots + (m_{k-1} + m\alpha_{k-1})y_{k-1}$$
.

Moreover, the last row of mv - tu gives that

(**)
$$mt_k - tm_k = (mt_1 - tm_1)y_1 + \dots + (mt_{k-1} - tm_{k-1})y_{k-1}$$
.

From (**), we distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $1, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . In this case, for every $v \in \Omega$, we have from (**), $mt_i - tm_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Therefore mv = tu. It follows that $m\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}u$ and thus by density $M^{(k)} = \mathbb{N}u = \mathbb{R}u$. A contradiction.

Case 2: 1, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1} are not linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . First, observe that y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1} are not all in \mathbb{Q} ; otherwise, $y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and then by (*), m = 0. Therefore $A_{\alpha}^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)} \subset \mathbb{N}^k \cap M^{(k)}$ and thus $A_{\alpha}^{(k)} \cap M^{(k)}$ is not dense in $M^{(k)}$. A contradiction.

Second, let j be the maximal number of the rationally independent numbers with 1 among y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1} . This means that there exist $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \le k-1$ such that:

$$- \{1, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_j}\} \text{ is linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}, - \text{ for every } p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\}, (***) \quad y_p = a_{0,p} + a_{1,p}y_{i_1} + \dots + a_{j,p}y_{i_j}, \text{ for some } a_{0,p}, a_{1,p}, \dots, a_{j,p} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Set $K_j := \{1, \dots, k-1\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\}.$ Notice that $1 \leq j \leq k-2.$

Now let $v \in \Omega$: $v = [t_1, \ldots, t_k]^T + t[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k]^T$, with $t \neq 0$. From (**) and (***), we have that

$$mt_k - tm_k = \sum_{s=1}^{j} (mt_{i_s} - tm_{i_s})y_{i_s} + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)(a_{0,p} + a_{1,p}y_{i_1} + \dots + a_{j,p}y_{i_j})$$

$$= \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)a_{0,p} + \left[(mt_{i_1} - tm_{i_1}) + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)a_{1,p} \right] y_{i_1} + \dots + \left[(mt_{i_j} - tm_{i_j}) + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)a_{j,p} \right] y_{i_j}.$$

Since $\{1, y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_j}\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , we obtain that:

$$mt_k - tm_k = \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)a_{0,p}$$

and for all $s = 1, \ldots, j$:

$$(mt_{i_s} - tm_{i_s}) + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)a_{s,p} = 0.$$

Let (e_1, \ldots, e_k) be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^k . Then we have

$$mv - tu = \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (mt_s - tm_s)e_s + (mt_k - tm_k)e_k$$

=
$$\sum_{s=1}^{j} (mt_{i_s} - tm_{i_s})e_{i_s} + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)e_p + (mt_k - tm_k)e_k$$

=
$$-\sum_{s=1}^{j} (\sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)a_{s,p})e_{i_s} + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)(e_p + a_{0,p}e_k)$$

=
$$\sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)w_{p,j} + \sum_{p \in K_j} (mt_p - tm_p)w_{p,k},$$

where $w_{p,j} = -\sum_{s=1}^{j} a_{s,p} e_{i_s}$ and $w_{p,k} = e_p + a_{0,p} e_k$. It follows that

$$mv - tu = te'_1 + \sum_{p \in K_j} t_p e'_p,$$

where $e'_{1} = -\sum_{p \in K_{j}} m_{p}(w_{p,j} + w_{p,k})$ and $e'_{p} = m(w_{p,j} + w_{p,k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, \ p \in K_{j}$.

Hence $mv = t(e'_1 + u) + \sum_{p \in K_j} t_p e'_p$. Therefore

$$m\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}(e'_1 + u) + \sum_{p \in K_j} \mathbb{N}e'_p \subset \operatorname{span}\{e'_1 + u, e'_p : p \in K_j\}.$$

Since Ω is dense in $M^{(k)}$, so $M^{(k)} \subset \operatorname{span}\{e'_1 + u, e'_p : p \in K_j\}$. As $\dim M^{(k)} = k - 1 \leq k - j$, thus j = 1 and hence $M^{(k)} = \operatorname{span}\{e'_1 + u, e'_p : p \in K_1\}$. It follows that $\mathbb{N}(e'_1 + u) + \sum_{p \in K_1} \mathbb{N}e'_p \subset M^{(k)}$ is dense in $M^{(k)}$. A contradiction with Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.4 (The case $X = \mathbb{C}^n$). Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ be two n-tuples of negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Set $A_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathbb{N}^n + i\mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1 + i\beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n + i\beta_n]^T$. Then $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n and for every nontrivial subspace M of $\mathbb{C}^n, A_{\alpha,\beta} \cap M$ is not dense in M.

We use the following complex version of Kronecker's theorem.

Lemma 2.5 (Kronecker's theorem: complex version). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ be negative real numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then the set

 $\mathbb{N}^n + i\mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1 + i\beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n + i\beta_n]^T$

is dense in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof. This results from Lemma 2.2 by identifying \mathbb{C}^n with \mathbb{R}^{2n} in the natural way.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. First $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ is dense in \mathbb{C}^n by Lemma 2.5. Assume there is a nontrivial subspace M of \mathbb{C}^n such that $A_{\alpha,\beta} \cap M$ is dense in M. Let M_1, M_2 be two subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n such that $M = M_1 + iM_2$. By the isomorphism $\phi : (x_1 + iy_1, \ldots, x_n + iy_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mapsto (x_1, y_1; \ldots; x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we have that $\phi(A_{\alpha,\beta}) = \mathbb{N}^{2n} + \mathbb{N}[\alpha_1, \beta_1; \ldots; \alpha_n, \beta_n]^T$ and $\phi(A_{\alpha,\beta} \cap M) = \phi(A_{\alpha,\beta}) \cap \phi(M) = A_{\mu} \cap (M_1 \times M_2)$, where $\mu = [\alpha_1, \beta_1; \ldots; \alpha_n, \beta_n]^T$. Then $A_{\mu} \cap (M_1 \times M_2)$ is dense in $M_1 \times M_2$, $(M_1 \times M_2)$ is a nontrivial subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2n}). This leads to a contradiction with Theorem 2.1.

We give in the two propositions below other dense subsets A of \mathbb{K}^2 such that for every straight line Δ in \mathbb{K}^2 , $A \cap \Delta$ is not dense in Δ .

Proposition 2.6 (Counterexample in \mathbb{C}^2). Let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are rationally independent and set $A_2 = \{[r_1e^{2i\pi n_1\theta_1}, r_2e^{2i\pi n_2\theta_2}]^T : r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*_+, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then A_2 is dense in \mathbb{C}^2 , but $A_2 \cap \Delta$ is not dense in Δ , for every straight line Δ in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Proof. As $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are rationally independent, then A is dense in \mathbb{C}^2 . We let $\Delta = \mathbb{C}u$, where $u = [a_1, a_2]^T \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{[0, 0]^T\}$. Suppose that $A_2 \cap \Delta$ is dense in Δ . One can assume that $a_1 a_2 \neq 0$ (since $A_2 \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ whenever $a_1 a_2 = 0$). We let $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi\theta}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. An element $z \in A_2 \cap \Delta$ can be written as $z = [r_1 e^{2i\pi n_1 \theta_1}, r_2 e^{2i\pi n_2 \theta_2}]^T = \lambda [a_1, a_2]^T$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi(n_2\theta_2 - n_1\theta_1)} = \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi\theta}$. Hence $\theta = n_2\theta_2 - n_1\theta_1 + k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The triplet (n_1, n_2, k) is unique. Indeed, if (t_1, t_2, k') is another triplet such that $\theta = t_2\theta_2 - t_1\theta_1 + k'$, then $(n_2 - t_2)\theta_2 - (n_1 - t_1)\theta_1 + (k - k') = 0$. As $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ are rationally independent, then $n_2 = t_2, n_1 = t_1, k = k'$. Finally, $A \cap \Delta = \mathbb{R}^*_+ v$, where $v = [e^{2i\pi n_1\theta_1}, \frac{|a_2|}{|a_1|} e^{2i\pi n_2\theta_2}]^T$ and n_1 and n_2 are fixed. Clearly $A \cap \Delta$ is not dense in Δ .

Proposition 2.7 (Counterexample in \mathbb{R}^2).

Let $B = \left\{ r\left(\cos(2\pi\theta, \sin(2\pi\theta)) : r \in \mathbb{R}^*_+, \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[\cup([\frac{1}{2}, 1[\cap(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}))]\right\}.$ Then *B* is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 , but for every straight line through the origin Δ , the intersection $B \cap \Delta$, is not dense in Δ .

Proof. B is dense \mathbb{R}^2 since $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[\cap(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})]$ is dense in $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[$. Now, $B \cap \Delta$ is only a half line, and thus not dense in Δ .

Remark 2.8. We point out that when X is of finite dimension, Lemma 2.3 of [1] used in the proof of Theorem [1, Theorem 2.1] is not true. Indeed, let $X = \mathbb{K}^n$, $n \ge 1$. Fix an arbitrary (n-1)-dimensional subspace Y of X, and let $A = X \setminus Y$, which is dense in X. Let $e \in X$ with d(e, Y) > 1, which

exists $(d(e, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} ||e - y||$, where || || denotes the euclidean norm in \mathbb{K}^n). Then Lemma 2.3 of [1] claims that there is some $a \in A$ such that $d(e, \operatorname{span}\{Y, a\}) > 1$, which is absurd since $e \in \operatorname{span}\{Y, a\}$.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the research unit: "Dynamical systems and their applications" [UR17ES21], Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Faculty of Science of Bizerte, Tunisia.

References

- N. Bamerni, V. Kadets and A. Kiliçman, Hypercyclic operators are subspace hypercyclic, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 435 (2) (2016), 1812–1815.
- S. Shkarin, Hypercyclic tuples of operator on Cⁿ and Rⁿ, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 60 (2011), 885–896.

⁽¹⁾ SALAH HERZI, UNIVERSITY OF CARTHAGE, PREPARATORY ENGINEERING INSTI-TUTE OF BIZERTE, JARZOUNA, 7021, TUNISIA.

Email address: salahherziamor@gmail.com

 $^{(2)}$ Habib Marzougui, University of Carthage, Faculty of Science of Bizerte, (UR17ES21), "Dynamical systems and their applications", 7021, Jarzouna, Bizerte, Tunisia

Email address: habib.marzougui@fsb.rnu.tn