

THREE FAMILIES OF TORIC RINGS ARISING FROM POSETS OR GRAPHS WITH SMALL CLASS GROUPS

AKIHIRO HIGASHITANI AND KOJI MATSUSHITA

ABSTRACT. The main objects of the present paper are (i) Hibi rings (toric rings arising from order polytopes of posets), (ii) stable set rings (toric rings arising from stable set polytopes of perfect graphs), and (iii) edge rings (toric rings arising from edge polytopes of graphs satisfying the odd cycle condition). The goal of the present paper is to analyze those three toric rings and to discuss their structures in the case where their class groups have small rank. We prove that the class groups of (i), (ii) and (iii) are torsionfree. More precisely, we give descriptions of their class groups. Moreover, we characterize the posets or graphs whose associated toric rings have rank 1 or 2. By using those characterizations, we discuss the differences of isomorphic classes of those toric rings with small class groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. Toric rings of lattice polytopes are of particular interest in the area of combinatorial commutative algebra. Especially, the following three toric rings have been well studied:

- Hibi rings, which are toric rings arising from order polytopes;
- stable set rings, which are toric rings arising from stable set polytopes;
- edge rings, which are toric rings arising from edge polytopes.

For the precise definitions of those toric rings, see Section 2. The goal of the present paper is to understand those three toric rings from viewpoints of class groups. Specifically, what we would like to do is to give characterizations of Hibi rings, stable set rings and edge rings in the case where their class groups have small rank and to discuss the relationships among them.

Order polytopes and Hibi rings. Let \mathcal{O}_Π denote the order polytope of a given finite poset Π . Order polytopes of posets were introduced by Stanley ([15]). Around that time, Hibi introduced a class of normal Cohen–Macaulay domains $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ arising from posets Π ([6]), and it turned out that $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ is isomorphic to the toric ring of the order polytope of Π . Since then, the toric rings of order polytopes of posets Π (i.e., $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$) are called Hibi rings of Π . A typical example of Hibi rings is Segre products of polynomial rings (see, e.g., [10, Example 2.6]). Recently, algebraic properties of Hibi rings have been well studied. For example, class groups of Hibi rings of posets Π are completely characterized in terms of the Hasse diagrams of Π ([4, Theorem]). Moreover, by using that description, conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings are also completely described ([10, Theorem 2.4]). Furthermore, in [12], the Gorenstein Hibi rings whose class groups are of rank 2 are investigated from viewpoints of non-commutative crepant resolutions.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F65, Secondary 13C20, 52B20.

Key words and phrases. Class groups, toric rings, Hibi rings, stable set rings, edge rings.

Stable set polytopes and stable set rings. Let Stab_G denote the stable set polytope of a given finite simple graph G . Note that toric rings of stable set polytopes are called stable set rings in [8], so we also employ this terminology. Stable set polytopes of graphs were introduced by Chvátal ([2]). Stable set polytopes behave well for perfect graphs. For example, the facets of stable set polytopes are completely characterized in the case of perfect graphs ([2, Theorem 3.1]). Moreover, it is known that stable set polytopes of perfect graphs are compressed, so those are normal (see, e.g., [11]). It is noteworthy that stable set polytopes include a class of another kind of polytopes arising from posets, called chain polytopes, which were also introduced by Stanley ([15]).

Edge polytopes and edge rings. Let P_G denote the edge polytope of a given finite simple graph G . Toric rings of edge polytopes of graphs G are known as edge rings of G . Edge polytopes and edge rings began to be studied by Ohsugi–Hibi ([13]) and Simis–Vasconcelos–Villarreal ([14]). It is proved in [13, 14] that the edge ring of a graph G is normal if and only if G satisfies the odd cycle condition. Note that class groups and conic divisorial ideals of edge rings of complete multipartite graphs are investigated in [9]. The toric ideals of graphs and their Gröbner basis have been well studied since edge rings were introduced. We refer the readers to e.g., [5, Section 5] and [16, Chapters 10 and 11] for the introductions to edge rings or toric ideals of graphs.

Our goal is to study those three families of toric rings from viewpoints of their class groups. Namely, we discuss the relationships among those toric rings in the case where their class groups have small rank.

1.2. Results. Before comparing our three toric rings, we study their class groups in terms of the underlying posets or graphs. The first main result is the torsionfreeness of our toric rings:

Theorem 1.1 (See Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.6). *Class groups of stable set rings of perfect graphs and edge rings of graphs satisfying the odd cycle condition are torsionfree.*

Note that the class groups of Hibi rings are already characterized in [4] and the torsionfreeness also holds. Thus, all of our toric rings have torsionfree class groups.

The second main results are the characterizations of our toric rings with their class groups \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 :

- We characterize the posets Π whose Hibi rings have the class groups \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 . See Proposition 4.1. Remark that this characterization is essentially obtained in [12, Example 3.1 and Lemma 3.2].
- We characterize the perfect graphs G whose stable set rings have the class groups \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 . See Theorem 4.3. In this case, we can see that each stable set ring is isomorphic to a certain Hibi ring.
- We characterize the 2-connected graphs G whose edge rings have the class groups \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 . See Theorem 4.7 in the case where G is bipartite and Theorem 4.9 in the case where G is non-bipartite. Similarly to stable set rings, we can see that each edge ring is isomorphic to a certain Hibi ring.

Let \mathbf{Order}_n , \mathbf{Stab}_n and \mathbf{Edge}_n denote the sets of unimodular equivalence classes of order polytopes, stable set polytopes and edge polytopes such that the associated toric rings have class groups of rank n , respectively. Namely, those correspond to the sets of isomorphic classes of Hibi rings, stable set rings and edge rings whose class groups

have rank n , respectively. The following relationships follow from the characterizations mentioned above together with some additional examples:

- $\mathbf{Order}_1 = \mathbf{Stab}_1 = \mathbf{Edge}_1$ (see Subsection 5.1);
- $\mathbf{Stab}_2 \cup \mathbf{Edge}_2 = \mathbf{Order}_2$ and there is no inclusion between \mathbf{Stab}_2 and \mathbf{Edge}_2 (see Subsection 5.2);
- there is no inclusion among \mathbf{Order}_3 , \mathbf{Stab}_3 and \mathbf{Edge}_3 (see Subsection 5.3).

1.3. Organization. A brief organization of the present paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some fundamental materials, e.g., toric rings of lattice polytopes and their properties, and the definitions of order polytopes, stable set polytopes and edge polytopes. We also recall several properties of those polytopes or the associated toric rings. In Section 3, we give a description of class groups of stable set rings of perfect graphs (Proposition 3.1) and that of edge rings of connected graphs satisfying the odd cycle condition (Theorem 3.6) in terms of the underlying graphs. In Section 4, we focus on the case where the class groups of our three toric rings have rank 1 or 2. Under this assumption, we provide a characterization of Hibi rings (Proposition 4.1, but this is essentially obtained in [12]), that of stable set rings (Theorem 4.3) and that of edge rings (Theorems 4.7 and 4.9). In Section 5, we discuss the relationships among \mathbf{Order}_n , \mathbf{Stab}_n and \mathbf{Edge}_n in the case where $n \leq 3$.

Acknowledgement. The first named author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientists Research (C) 20K03513.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The goal of this section is to prepare the required materials for the discussions of the class groups of our toric rings.

2.1. Toric rings and Ehrhart rings of lattice polytopes. Let us recall the toric rings of lattice polytopes. We refer the readers to e.g., [1] or [16], for the introduction.

We call $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ a *lattice polytope* if P is a convex polytope whose vertices sit in \mathbb{Z}^d . Let \mathbb{k} be a field and let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. We define the toric ring by setting

$$\mathbb{k}[P] = \mathbb{k}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}t} : \mathbf{a} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d],$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_d^{a_d}$ for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $\mathbb{k}[P]$ is standard graded by setting $\deg(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}t}) = 1$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. The Krull dimension of $\mathbb{k}[P]$, denoted by $\dim \mathbb{k}[P]$, is equal to the dimension of P plus 1, i.e., $\dim \mathbb{k}[P] = \dim P + 1$.

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. We say that P has the *integer decomposition property* (IDP, for short) if for any positive integer n and any $\alpha \in nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. Note that $\mathbb{k}[P]$ coincides with the Ehrhart ring of P if P has IDP. (See [16, Section 10.4] for Ehrhart rings.) In what follows, we call a lattice polytope which has IDP an *IDP polytope*.

We say that two lattice polytopes $P, P' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are *unimodularly equivalent* if there are a lattice vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and a unimodular transformation $f \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $P' = f(P) + \mathbf{v}$. Regarding the unimodular equivalence of IDP polytopes and the equivalence of toric rings as graded algebras, we know that for two IDP polytopes P and Q , the toric rings $\mathbb{k}[P]$ and $\mathbb{k}[Q]$ are isomorphic as graded algebras if and only if P and Q are unimodularly equivalent ([1, Theorem 5.22]).

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the natural inner product of \mathbb{R}^d . For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $H^{(+)}(\mathbf{v}; b)$ (resp. $H(\mathbf{v}; b)$) an affine half-space $\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq -b\}$ (resp. an affine hyperplane $\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = -b\}$). For each facet F of a lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension d , there exist a unique primitive lattice vector $\mathbf{n}_F \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and integers p_F, q_F with $\gcd(p_F, q_F) = 1$ and $q_F > 0$ such that $P \cap H(\mathbf{n}_F; p_F/q_F) = F$, where a vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is called *primitive* if the greatest common divisor of $|n_i|$'s with $n_i \neq 0$ is equal to 1. Let

$$\Phi(P) = \{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_F = (q_F \mathbf{n}_F, p_F) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z} : F \text{ is a facet of } P\}.$$

Let $\text{Cl}(R)$ denote the class group of a toric ring R . For the computation of class groups of $\mathbb{k}[P]$, the following is known:

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [4, Corollary]). *Let P be an IDP polytope of dimension d . Then the rank $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ of the class group $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P])$ is equal to $|\Phi(P)| - (d + 1)$. Moreover, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P])$ is torsionfree if there exist $d + 1$ distinct facets F_i ($i = 1, \dots, d + 1$) of P such that $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{F_1}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_{F_{d+1}}) = \pm 1$.*

Each supporting hyperplane in P can be identified with a linear form. Note that the linear form which gives a hyperplane H is not uniquely determined, but for a lattice polytope P and a supporting hyperplane H of P , we can define a unique linear form $\ell_H \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ with the following condition:

$$(i) \langle \ell_H, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } \alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d; \quad (ii) \sum_{\alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \ell_H, \alpha \rangle \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let

$$\Psi(P) = \{\ell_H : H \text{ is a supporting hyperplane of } P\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an IDP polytope. Given $\alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define \mathbf{w}_α belonging to a free abelian group $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \Psi(P)} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{e}_\ell$ with its basis $\{\mathbf{e}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \Psi(P)}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{w}_\alpha = \sum_{\ell \in \Psi(P)} \langle \ell, \alpha \rangle \mathbf{e}_\ell.$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the matrix whose column vectors consist of \mathbf{w}_α for $\alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. In [16, Section 9.8], the class groups of normal toric rings are discussed. By using those theories, we see the following:

Proposition 2.2 (cf. [16, Theorem 9.8.19]). *Work with the same notation as above. Assume that $\Psi(P)$ is irredundant. Then*

$$\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P]) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \in \Psi(P)} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{e}_\ell / \sum_{\alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{w}_\alpha.$$

In particular, we have

$$\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^t \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_s\mathbb{Z},$$

where $s = \text{rank } \mathcal{M}$, $t = |\Psi(P)| - s$ and d_1, \dots, d_s are positive integers appearing in the diagonal of the Smith normal form of \mathcal{M} .

We also recall the notion of a lattice pyramid over a lattice polytope. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. We define a new lattice polytope as follows:

$$\text{Pyr}(P) = \text{conv}(P \times \{0\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_{d+1}\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

We call $\text{Pyr}(P)$ a *lattice pyramid* over P . We can see that

$$\mathbb{k}[\text{Pyr}(P)] \cong \mathbb{k}[P] \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[x].$$

In particular, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Pyr}(P)]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P])$.

2.2. Hibi rings: toric rings of order polytopes. In this subsection, we recall what Hibi rings and order polytopes of posets are.

Let Π be a finite partially ordered set (poset, for short) equipped with a partial order \prec . For a subset $I \subset \Pi$, we say that I is a *poset ideal* of Π if $p \in I$ and $q \prec p$ imply $q \in I$. For a subset $A \subset \Pi$, we call A an *antichain* of Π if $p \not\prec q$ and $q \not\prec p$ for any $p, q \in A$ with $p \neq q$. Note that \emptyset is regarded as a poset ideal and an antichain.

For a poset $\Pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$, let

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Pi} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \geq x_j \text{ if } p_i \prec p_j \text{ in } \Pi, 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

A convex polytope \mathcal{O}_{Π} is called the *order polytope* of Π . It is known that \mathcal{O}_{Π} is a $(0, 1)$ -polytope and the vertices of \mathcal{O}_{Π} one-to-one correspond to the poset ideals of Π ([15]). In fact, a $(0, 1)$ -vector (a_1, \dots, a_d) is a vertex of \mathcal{O}_{Π} if and only if $\{p_i \in \Pi : a_i = 1\}$ is a poset ideal. The toric ring $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{O}_{\Pi}]$ is called the *Hibi ring* of Π . We denote the Hibi ring of Π by $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ instead of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{O}_{\Pi}]$ for short.

We also recall another polytope arising from Π , which is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\Pi} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d, \\ x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k} \leq 1 \text{ for } p_{i_1} \prec \dots \prec p_{i_k} \text{ in } \Pi\}. \end{aligned}$$

A convex polytope \mathcal{C}_{Π} is called the *chain polytope* of Π . Similarly to order polytopes, it is known that \mathcal{C}_{Π} is a $(0, 1)$ -polytope and the vertices of \mathcal{C}_{Π} one-to-one correspond to the antichains of Π ([15]).

In general, the order polytope and the chain polytope of Π are not unimodularly equivalent, but the following is known:

Theorem 2.3 ([7, Theorem 2.1]). *Let Π be a poset. Then \mathcal{O}_{Π} and \mathcal{C}_{Π} are unimodularly equivalent if and only if Π does not contain the “X-shape” subposet.*

Here, the “X-shape” poset is the poset $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}$ equipped with the partial orders $z_1 \prec z_3 \prec z_4$ and $z_2 \prec z_3 \prec z_5$.

2.3. Stable set rings: toric rings of stable set polytopes. In this subsection, we recall stable set polytopes of graphs. For the fundamental materials on graph theory, consult, e.g., [3].

Let G be a finite simple graph on the vertex set $V(G) = [d]$ with the edge set $E(G)$, where we let $[d] = \{1, \dots, d\}$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Throughout the present paper, we only treat finite simple graphs, so we simply call graphs instead of finite simple graphs. We say that $T \subset V(G)$ is an *independent set* or a *stable set* (resp. a *clique*) if $\{v, w\} \notin E(G)$ (resp. $\{v, w\} \in E(G)$) for any distinct vertices $v, w \in T$. Note that the empty set and each singleton are regarded as independent sets, and we call such independent sets *trivial*.

Given a subset $W \subset V(G)$, let $\rho(W) = \sum_{i \in W} \mathbf{e}_i$, where \mathbf{e}_i denotes the i th unit vector of \mathbb{R}^d for $i \in [d]$ and we let $\rho(\emptyset)$ be the origin of \mathbb{R}^d . We define a lattice polytope associated with a graph G as follows:

$$\text{Stab}_G = \text{conv}(\{\rho(W) : W \text{ is a stable set}\}).$$

We call Stab_G the *stable set polytope* of G .

In what follows, we treat the stable set rings of *perfect graphs*. The reason why we focus on perfect graphs is derived from the following:

- Stab_G is compressed if and only if G is perfect, thus, Stab_G is normal if G is perfect.
- the facets of Stab_G are completely characterized when G is perfect ([2, Theorem 3.1]). More concretely, the facets of Stab_G are exactly defined by the following hyperplanes:

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\mathbf{e}_i; 0) \quad \text{for each } i \in [d]; \\ & H\left(-\sum_{j \in Q} \mathbf{e}_j; 1\right) \quad \text{for each maximal clique } Q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We prepare some more notation on graphs. For a subset $W \subset V(G)$, let G_W denote the induced subgraph with respect to W . For a vertex v , we denote by $G \setminus v$ instead of $G_{V(G) \setminus \{v\}}$. Similarly, for $S \subset V(G)$, we denote by $G \setminus S$ instead of $G_{V(G) \setminus S}$. For a subgraph G' of G and $S \subset V(G)$, we define $G' + S$ to be the subgraph of G on the vertex set $V(G') \cup S$ with the edge set $E(G') \cup \{\{v, w\} : v \in S, w \in V(G'), \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$. Similarly, for $v \in V(G)$, we denote by $G' + v$ instead of $G' + \{v\}$. Given $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v) = \{w \in V(G) : \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$. For $S \subset V(G)$, let $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N_G(v)$.

2.4. Edge rings: toric rings of edge polytopes. In this subsection, we recall what edge rings and edge polytopes of graphs are.

For a positive integer d , consider a graph G on the vertex set $V(G) = [d]$ with the edge set $E(G)$. We define a lattice polytope associated to G as follows:

$$P_G = \text{conv}(\{\rho(e) : e \in E(G)\}).$$

We call P_G the *edge polytope* of G .

Moreover, we also define the edge ring of G , denoted by $\mathbb{k}[G]$, as a subalgebra of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ in d variables over a field \mathbb{k} as follows:

$$\mathbb{k}[G] = \mathbb{k}[t_i t_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)].$$

Note that the edge ring of G is nothing but the toric ring of P_G . We have that $\dim P_G = d - b(G) - 1$, where $b(G)$ is the number of bipartite connected components of G (see [16, Proposition 10.4.1]). Thus, $\dim \mathbb{k}[G] = d - b(G)$.

It is known that $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is normal (i.e., P_G has IDP) if and only if G satisfies the *odd cycle condition*, i.e., for each pair of odd cycles C and C' with no common vertex, there is an edge $\{v, v'\}$ with $v \in V(C)$ and $v' \in V(C')$ (see [16, Corollary 10.3.11]).

The following terminologies are used in [13]:

- We call a vertex v of G *regular* (resp., *ordinary*) if each connected component of $G \setminus v$ contains an odd cycle (resp., if $G \setminus v$ is connected). Note that a non-ordinary vertex is usually called a *cut vertex* of G .
- Given an independent set $T \subset V(G)$, let $B(T)$ denote the bipartite graph on $T \cup N_G(T)$ with the edge set $\{\{v, w\} : v \in T, w \in N_G(T)\} \cap E(G)$.
- When G has at least one odd cycle, a non-empty set $T \subset V(G)$ is said to be a *fundamental set* if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - $B(T)$ is connected;

- $V(B(T)) = V(G)$, or each connected component of $G \setminus V(B(T))$ contains an odd cycle.
- A graph G is called bipartite if $V(G)$ can be decomposed into two sets V_1, V_2 , called the partition, such that $E(G) \subset V_1 \times V_2$.
- When G is a bipartite graph, a non-empty set $T \subset V(G)$ is said to be an *acceptable set* if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - $B(T)$ is connected;
 - $G \setminus V(B(T))$ is a connected graph with at least one edge.

Given $i \in [d]$, let

$$H_i = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i = 0\} \text{ and } H_i^{(+)} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \geq 0\}.$$

Given an independent set $T \subset [d]$, let

$$H_T = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{j \in N_G(T)} x_j - \sum_{i \in T} x_i = 0 \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$H_T^{(+)} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{j \in N_G(T)} x_j - \sum_{i \in T} x_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

It is proved in [13, Theorem 1.7] that for any non-bipartite (resp., bipartite) graph G , each facet of P_G is defined by a supporting hyperplane H_i for some regular (resp., ordinary) vertex i or H_T for some fundamental (resp., acceptable) set. Let

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{cases} \{H_i : i \text{ is a regular vertex}\} \cup \{H_T : T \text{ is a fundamental set}\} & \text{if } G \text{ is non-bipartite,} \\ \{H_i : i \text{ is an ordinary vertex}\} \cup \{H_T : T \text{ is an acceptable set}\} & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite.} \end{cases}$$

Although $\tilde{\Psi}$ describes all supporting hyperplanes of the facets of P_G , it might happen that H_i and H_T define the same facet for some i and T if G is bipartite.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a connected bipartite graph that has the partition $V(G) = V_1 \sqcup V_2$. Then $\tilde{\Psi}' = \{H_i : i \text{ is an ordinary vertex}\} \cup \{H_T : T \subset V_1 \text{ is an acceptable set}\}$ is the irredundant set of supporting hyperplanes of the facets of P_G .*

Proof. We show that we can choose the set of acceptable sets T as a subset of V_1 and it is irredundant. It easily follows that either $T \subset V_1$ or $T \subset V_2$ holds if T is acceptable. If $T \subset V_1$ is acceptable, then $B(T)$ and $G \setminus V(B(T))$ are connected with at least one edge. Therefore, set $T' = V_2 \setminus N_G(T)$ and we can see that $B(T') = G \setminus V(B(T))$ and $G \setminus V(B(T')) = B(T)$, so T' is an acceptable set contained in V_2 . Conversely, if $S \subset V_2$ is acceptable, then there exists an acceptable set $S' \subset V_1$ with $S = V_2 \setminus N_G(S')$. Thus, acceptable sets contained in V_1 one-to-one correspond to ones contained in V_2 . Moreover, for an acceptable set $T \subset V_1$, H_T and $H_{T'}$ define the same facet since P_G is contained in the hyperplane

$$\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i \in V_1} x_i = \sum_{j \in V_2} x_j = 1 \right\}.$$

This implies that $\sum_{j \in N_G(T)} x_j - \sum_{i \in T} x_i = \sum_{i \in N_G(T')} x_i - \sum_{j \in T'} x_j$. □

3. CLASS GROUPS OF TORIC RINGS AND THEIR TORSIONFREENESS

In this section, we discuss descriptions of the class groups of Hibi rings, stable set rings and edge rings in terms of the underlying posets or graphs. As their corollary, we see that their class groups are torsionfree.

3.1. Class groups of Hibi rings. First, we consider the class groups of Hibi rings. In [4], the description of class groups of Hibi rings is provided, which we describe below.

Let Π be a poset and let $|\Pi| = d$. Let $\widehat{\Pi} = \Pi \sqcup \{\widehat{0}, \widehat{1}\}$, where $\widehat{0}$ (resp. $\widehat{1}$) is a new minimal (resp. maximal) element not belonging to Π . Thus, $|\widehat{\Pi}| = d + 2$. Let n be the number of the edges of the Hasse diagram of $\widehat{\Pi}$. Then it is proved in [4] that

$$\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\widehat{\Pi}]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-d-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\widehat{\Pi}])$ is torsionfree.

3.2. Class groups of stable set rings. Next, we discuss the class groups of stable set rings of perfect graphs.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a perfect graph with maximal cliques Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_n . Then $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. In particular, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G])$ is torsionfree.*

Proof. As described in (2.2), we have

$$\Phi(\text{Stab}_G) = \{\mathbf{e}_i : i = 1, \dots, d\} \cup \left\{ - \sum_{j \in Q_i} \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{d+1} : i = 0, 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

where Φ is as in (2.1). Thus, $|\Phi(\text{Stab}_G)| - (d + 1) = n$. By choosing $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ and $-\sum_{j \in Q_0} \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{d+1}$ from $\Phi(\text{Stab}_G)$, we obtain that $\det(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d, -\sum_{j \in Q_0} \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_{d+1}) = 1$. Hence, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. \square

3.3. Class groups of edge rings. Finally, we discuss the class groups of edge rings of connected graphs satisfying the odd cycle condition.

Let $\Psi = \Psi_r \cup \Psi_f$ (resp., $\Psi = \Psi_o \cup \Psi_a$) if G is non-bipartite (resp., bipartite), where

$$\Psi_r = \{\ell_{H_i} : i \text{ is a regular vertex}\}, \Psi_f = \{\ell_{H_T} : T \text{ is a fundamental set}\},$$

$$\Psi_o = \{\ell_{H_i} : i \text{ is an ordinary vertex}\} \text{ and } \Psi_a = \{\ell_{H_T} : T \text{ is an acceptable set}\}.$$

In particular, if G is a connected graph, then we obtain that

$$\Psi_r = \{\mathbf{e}_i : i \text{ is a regular vertex}\}, \Psi_o = \{\mathbf{e}_i : i \text{ is an ordinary vertex}\},$$

$$\Psi_f = \left\{ \left\{ \ell_{H_T} = \sum_{j \in N_G(T)} \mathbf{e}_j - \sum_{i \in T} \mathbf{e}_i : T \text{ is a fundamental set} \right\} \text{ if } V(B(T)) \neq V(G), \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \ell_{H_T} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j \in N_G(T)} \mathbf{e}_j - \sum_{i \in T} \mathbf{e}_i \right) : T \text{ is a fundamental set} \right\} \text{ if } V(B(T)) = V(G), \right. \\ \left. \Psi_a = \left\{ \ell_{H_T} = \sum_{j \in N_G(T)} \mathbf{e}_j - \sum_{i \in T} \mathbf{e}_i : T \subset V_1 \text{ is an acceptable set} \right\} \right\}.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2}$ appears in the case of $V(B(T)) = V(G)$ since $\langle \ell_{HT}, \rho(e) \rangle = 0$ or 2 in this case, while $\langle \ell_{HT}, \rho(e) \rangle = 1$ happens otherwise.

Let us fix some notation on graph theory. For a graph G , a *path* is a non-empty subgraph $P = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k$ of G on the vertex set $V(P) = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ with the edge set $E(P) = \{\{p_0, p_1\}, \{p_1, p_2\}, \dots, \{p_{k-1}, p_k\}\}$, where p_i 's are all distinct. Then we say that the vertices p_0 and p_k are *connected by P* and p_0 and p_k are called its *end vertices* or *ends*. The *interior* of P , denoted by P° , is the vertices except for p_0, p_k . A *cycle* is a non-empty subgraph $C = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k p_0$ on the vertex set $V(C) = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ with the edge set $E(C) = \{\{p_0, p_1\}, \{p_1, p_2\}, \dots, \{p_{k-1}, p_k\}, \{p_k, p_0\}\}$, where p_i 's are all distinct.

For an edge e which is not an edge of a path P (resp. a cycle C), e is called a *chord* of P (resp. C) if e joins two vertices of P which are not end vertices (resp., two vertices of C). A path (resp., cycle) which has no chord is called *primitive*.

A *block* of a graph G means a 2-connected component of G . Namely, a block contains no cut vertex. Let A denote the set of cut vertices of G , and \mathcal{B} the set of its blocks. We then have a natural bipartite graph on the vertex set $A \sqcup \mathcal{B}$ with the edge set $\{\{a, B\} : a \in B \text{ for } a \in A \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. We call this bipartite graph the *block graph* of G , denoted by $\text{Block}(G)$. Note that $\text{Block}(G)$ is a tree if G is connected.

The following lemma will be used for the proofs of our results in many times.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a non-bipartite connected graph.*

- (1) *Suppose that S is an independent set of G such that $B(S)$ is connected. Then there exists a fundamental set T such that $S \subset T$ and $V(B(T)) = V(G)$.*
- (2) *Let $C = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_{2k} p_0$ be a primitive odd cycle of length $2k + 1$ in G . Then, for each $i = 0, \dots, 2k$, there exists a fundamental set T_i such that $E(C) \setminus \{p_i, p_{i+1}\} \subset E(B(T_i))$ and $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\} \notin E(B(T_i))$, where $p_{2k+1} = p_0$. In particular, G has at least $2k + 1$ fundamental sets.*

Proof. (1) If $V(G) = V(B(S))$, then S itself satisfies the required property. Suppose that $V(B(S)) \subsetneq V(G)$. Then there exists $v \in V(G) \setminus V(B(S))$ such that v and w are adjacent for some $w \in N_G(S)$ since G is connected. Thus, $S' = S \cup \{v\}$ is an independent set and $B(S')$ is connected. We repeat this application and we eventually obtain S' which satisfies that $B(S')$ is connected and $V(B(S')) = V(G)$, as required.

(2) Fix $i = 0$. By setting $S = \{p_2, p_4, \dots, p_{2k}\}$, we can see that S is an independent set since C is primitive and $B(S)$ is a connected graph with $E(C) \setminus \{p_0, p_1\} \subset E(B(S))$ and $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\} \notin E(B(S))$. A proof directly follows from (1). \square

Remark 3.3. Let G be a non-bipartite connected graph with a cut vertex v and let C_1, \dots, C_n be connected components of $G \setminus v$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $G_i = C_i + v$. Suppose that G_1 contains an odd cycle and let T be a fundamental set in G_1 .

If $v \in V(B(T))$, then there exists a fundamental set T' in G with $V(B(T')) = V(B(T)) \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^n V(G_i)$. We can construct it similarly to Lemma 3.2 (1). We call this fundamental set T' an *induced fundamental set* of T . Note that an induced fundamental set is not unique but for distinct fundamental sets T and S in G_1 with $v \in V(B(T)) \cap V(B(S))$, their induced fundamental sets are distinct. Moreover, if v is a regular vertex in G , then there exists a fundamental set T'' in G with $V(B(T'')) = \bigcup_{i=2}^n V(G_i)$ in the same way. We regard T'' as an induced fundamental set of the empty set although the empty set is not fundamental.

If $v \notin V(B(T))$, then T is also a fundamental set in G . Therefore, we can observe that $|\Psi_f(G)| \geq |\Psi_f(G_1)|$ and $|\Psi_f(G)| \geq |\Psi_f(G_1)| + 1$ if v is regular in G .

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a graph.*

(1) *Let e_1, \dots, e_{2k} be the edges of an even cycle in G . Then*

$$w_{\rho(e_1)}, \dots, w_{\rho(e_{2k})}$$

are linearly dependent.

(2) *Let C and C' be two odd cycles and let e_1, \dots, e_{2k+1} (resp. $e'_1, \dots, e'_{2k'+1}$) be the edges of C (resp. C').*

(2-1) *Assume that C and C' have a unique common vertex. Then*

$$w_{\rho(e_1)}, \dots, w_{\rho(e_{2k+1})}, w_{\rho(e'_1)}, \dots, w_{\rho(e'_{2k'+1})}$$

are linearly dependent.

(2-2) *Assume that C and C' have no common vertex but there is a path whose edges are f_1, \dots, f_m between C and C' connecting them. Then*

$$w_{\rho(e_1)}, \dots, w_{\rho(e_{2k+1})}, w_{\rho(e'_1)}, \dots, w_{\rho(e'_{2k'+1})}, w_{\rho(f_1)}, \dots, w_{\rho(f_m)}$$

are linearly dependent.

Proof. (1) We see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} (-1)^i \sum_{\ell \in \Psi} \langle \ell, \rho(e_i) \rangle \mathbf{e}_\ell = \sum_{\ell \in \Psi} \langle \ell, \sum_{i=1}^{2k} (-1)^i \rho(e_i) \rangle \mathbf{e}_\ell = \sum_{\ell \in \Psi} \langle \ell, \mathbf{0} \rangle \mathbf{e}_\ell = \mathbf{0}.$$

(2) In the case (2-1), let $e_1 \cap e_{2k+1} \cap e'_1 \cap e'_{2k'+1}$ be the unique common vertex of C and C' . In the case (2-2), let P be the path consisting of f_1, \dots, f_m which connects the vertex $e_1 \cap e_{2k+1}$ of C and $e'_1 \cap e'_{2k'+1}$ of C' . Then we see the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{2k'+1} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e'_i)} &= \mathbf{0}; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^{2k'+1} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e'_i)} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j w_{\rho(f_j)} &= \mathbf{0} \text{ if } m \text{ is even}; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{2k'+1} (-1)^i w_{\rho(e'_i)} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j w_{\rho(f_j)} &= \mathbf{0} \text{ if } m \text{ is odd}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5 (cf. [16, Proposition 10.1.48]). *Let G be a graph.*

(1) *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be the connected components of G . Then we have $\mathbb{k}[G] \cong \mathbb{k}[G_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{k}[G_n]$. Therefore, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G_1]) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G_n])$.*

(2) *Suppose that G is connected and let B_1, \dots, B_m be the blocks of G . If there is at most one non-bipartite block among them, then we have $\mathbb{k}[G] \cong \mathbb{k}[B_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{k}[B_m]$. Therefore, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[B_1]) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[B_m])$.*

Now, we are ready to discuss the description of $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G])$ and show its torsionfreeness for G satisfying the odd cycle condition.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a connected graph satisfying the odd cycle condition. Then $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{|\Psi| - \dim \mathbb{k}[G]}$. In particular, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G])$ is torsionfree.*

Proof. By proposition 2.2, it is enough to show that $\text{rank } \mathcal{M} = \dim \mathbb{k}[G]$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_s = 1$.

The case where G is bipartite:

We may assume that G is 2-connected by Proposition 3.5. Take a spanning tree T of G . For any $e' \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$, the subgraph T' obtained by adding e' to T has an even cycle containing e' . We see that $w_{\rho(e)}$'s for $e \in E(T')$ are linearly dependent by Lemma 3.4, so we can erase the columns corresponding to the edges $e' \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$ in \mathcal{M} by using $e \in T$. Moreover, we consider the row corresponding to (the supporting hyperplane of) the ordinary vertex v whose degree is 1 in T . Since G is 2-connected, i.e., every vertex in G is ordinary, the entry corresponding to the edge e_0 which joins v is 1 and the other entries are all 0 in the row. Therefore, $w_{\rho(e_0)}$ can be transformed into a unit vector. We repeat this transformation for $T \setminus v$. Then we can see that $w_{\rho(e)}$'s for $e \in E(T)$ are linearly independent, that is, $\text{rank } \mathcal{M} = |T| = d - 1 = \dim \mathbb{k}[G]$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_s = 1$.

The case where G is non-bipartite:

Let B_1, \dots, B_m be the blocks of G . We prove the assertion by induction on m .

Let G' be a connected subgraph G' of G satisfying the following properties:

- G' is a spanning subgraph of G ;
- G' has d edges;
- G' has exactly one primitive odd cycle $C = p_0 \cdots p_{2k} p_0$.

In the case $m = 1$, for any $e' \in E(G) \setminus E(G')$, consider the subgraph G'' obtained by adding e' to G' . Then G'' satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) G'' contains an even cycle;
- (ii) G'' contains two odd cycles and they have a unique common vertex;
- (iii) G'' contains two odd cycles C' and C'' with no common vertex but there is a path between C' and C'' connecting them.

We can see that $w_{\rho(e)}$'s for $e \in E(G'')$ are linearly dependent by Lemma 3.4. Moreover, since G is 2-connected, i.e., every vertex in G except for $V(C)$ is regular, $w_{\rho(e)}$'s for $e \in E(G') \setminus E(C)$ can be transformed into a unit vector by the same discussions above. For $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$ ($i = 0, \dots, 2k$), take a fundamental set T satisfying Lemma 3.2 (2). Then the entry corresponding to the edge $\{p_i, p_{i+1}\}$ is 1 and the other entries are all 0 in the row corresponding to (the supporting hyperplane of) the fundamental set T . Thus, $w_{\rho(\{p_i, p_{i+1}\})}$ can be transformed into a unit vector. Hence, we conclude that $\text{rank } \mathcal{M} = |G'| = d = \dim \mathbb{k}[G]$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_s = 1$.

Let $m \geq 2$. Then there exists B_i containing a unique primitive odd cycle C such that $G'_{V(B_j)}$ is a tree for $j \neq i$. We may assume that $i = 1$. Note that all vertices in G are regular on G except for cut vertices of G and p_0, \dots, p_{2k} . Then we can find a cut vertex v of G such that the subgraph $\text{Block}(G) \setminus v$ of $\text{Block}(G)$ has a unique connected component containing B_1 and the other components are isolated vertices; these are blocks B_{i_1}, \dots, B_{i_l} such that $B'_{i_j} = G'_{V(B_{i_j})}$ are trees. Since every vertex in $\bigcup_{j \in [l]} V(B_{i_j})$ is regular except for v , $w_{\rho(e)}$'s for $e \in \bigcup_{j \in [l]} E(B'_{i_j})$ can be transformed into a unit vector.

Let $H = G \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j \in [l]} V(B_{i_j}) \setminus \{v\} \right)$. As mentioned in Remark 3.3, if a vertex $u \neq v$ on H is regular, then u is also regular on G , and if S is a fundamental set on H , then S or an induced fundamental S' is fundamental on G . Although v is not regular on G , it might happen that v is regular on H . If v is regular on H , we can take an induced fundamental set U of the empty set on G . In the row corresponding to (the supporting hyperplane of)

the fundamental set U , the entries corresponding to the edges joining v on H is 1 and the other entries are all 0. Thus, we can regard a fundamental set U on G as a regular vertex on H . Therefore, we can see that $w_{\rho(e)}$'s for $e \in E(H) \cap E(G')$ can be transformed into unit vectors by induction. \square

4. TORIC RINGS WHOSE CLASS GROUPS ARE RANK 1 OR 2

In this section, we provide a characterization of posets or graphs whose associated toric rings have their class groups \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 .

4.1. Hibi rings with small class groups. We define four posets as follows.

- (i) For $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\Pi_1(s_1, s_2) = \{p_1, \dots, p_{s_1}, p_{s_1+1}, \dots, p_{s_1+s_2}\}$ be the poset equipped with the partial orders $p_1 \prec \dots \prec p_{s_1}$ and $p_{s_1+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{s_1+s_2}$. Figure 1 shows the Hasse diagram of $\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)$.
- (ii) For $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, t) = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ ($d = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + t$) be the poset equipped with the partial orders
 - $p_1 \prec \dots \prec p_t$,
 - $p_t \prec p_{t+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{t+s_1}$ and $p_t \prec p_{t+s_1+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{t+s_1+s_2}$ ($p_1 \prec \dots \prec p_{s_1}$ and $p_{s_1+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{s_1+s_2}$ if $t = 0$) and
 - $p_{t+s_1+s_2+1} \prec \dots \prec p_d$.

Figure 2 shows the Hasse diagram of $\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, t)$ and Figure 3 is the case $t = 0$.

- (iii) Moreover, for $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \{p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ ($d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2 + t_3$) be the poset equipped with the partial orders
 - $p_1 \prec \dots \prec p_{t_1} \prec p_{t_1+1} \dots \prec p_{t_1+s_1}$,
 - $p_{t_1+s_1+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{t_1+s_1+s_2} \prec p_{s_1+t_1+s_2+1} \dots \prec p_{t_1+s_1+s_2+t_2}$ and
 - $p_{t_1} \prec p_{t_1+s_1+s_2+t_2+1} \dots \prec p_d \prec p_{t_1+s_1+s_2+1}$.

Figure 4 shows the Hasse diagram of $\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3)$.

- (iv) Furthermore, for $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\Pi_4(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2) = \{p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}\}$ ($d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2$) be the poset equipped with the partial orders
 - $p_1 \prec \dots \prec p_{t_1} \prec p_{d+1}, p_{t_1+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{t_1+t_2} \prec p_{d+1}$ and
 - $p_{d+1} \prec p_{t_1+t_2+1} \prec \dots \prec p_{t_1+t_2+s_1}, p_{d+1} \prec p_{t_1+t_2+s_1+1} \prec \dots \prec p_d$.

Figure 5 shows the Hasse diagram of $\Pi_4(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2)$.

In [12], Gorenstein Hibi rings $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\Pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}^2 are discussed and the characterization of the associated posets is given. Note that $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ is Gorenstein if and only if Π is pure, i.e., all of the maximal chains in Π have the same length ([6]). We can see that [12, Example 3.1] and the proof of [12, Lemma 3.2] works even for non-pure posets. Thus, we can characterize the Hibi rings $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\Pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}^2 as follows:

Proposition 4.1 (cf. [12, Example 3.1 and Lemma 3.2]). *Let Π be a poset. Assume that $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ is not a polynomial extension of a Hibi ring.*

- (1) *If $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\Pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then \mathcal{O}_Π is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)}$ for some s_1, s_2 with $d = s_1 + s_2$.*
- (2) *If $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\Pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, then \mathcal{O}_Π is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, t)}$ for some s_1, s_2, s_3, t with $d = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + t$, $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ for some s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3 with $d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2 + t_3$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_4(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2)}$ for some s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 with $d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2$.*




FIGURE 1. The poset Π_1




FIGURE 2. The poset Π_2




FIGURE 3.
The poset Π_2 with $t = 0$




FIGURE 4. The poset Π_3




FIGURE 5. The poset Π_4

Given a poset Π , we define the *comparability graph* of Π , denoted by $G(\Pi)$, as a graph on the vertex set $V(G(\Pi)) = [d]$ with the edge set

$$E(G(\Pi)) = \{\{i, j\} : p_i \text{ and } p_j \text{ are comparable in } \Pi\}.$$

It is known that $G(\Pi)$ is perfect for any Π (see e.g. [3, Section 5.5]). Moreover, we see that $\mathcal{C}_\Pi = \text{Stab}_{G(\Pi)}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let Π be $\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)$ or $\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, t)$ or $\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Then \mathcal{O}_Π is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_\Pi = \text{Stab}_{G(\Pi)}$.*

Proof. This directly follows from Theorem 2.3. □

4.2. Stable set rings with small class groups. For stable set rings, if their class groups are isomorphic \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 , then we see that we can associate Hibi rings as follows:

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a perfect graph.*

- (1) *Assume that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then Stab_G is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)}$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*

- (2) Assume that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then Stab_G is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, t)}$ for some $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, or $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3)}$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

Proof. Let Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_n be the maximal cliques of G . Then $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ by Proposition 3.1. If $v \in \bigcap_{i=0}^n Q_i \neq \emptyset$, then v is adjacent to any vertex in G , so we see that $\text{Stab}_G = \text{Pyr}(\text{Stab}_{G \setminus v})$. In particular, $\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G] \cong \mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_{G \setminus v}][x_v]$. Thus, we may assume that $\bigcap_{i=0}^n Q_i = \emptyset$.

Let $n = 1$. We can see that $G = G(\Pi_1(s_1, s_2))$, where $s_1 = |Q_0|$ and $s_2 = |Q_1|$, by observing (2.2) for $G(\Pi_1(s_1, s_2))$ and the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)}$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{O}(\Pi_1(s_1, s_2))] \cong \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{C}(\Pi_1(s_1, s_2))] = \mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}(G(\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)))]$.

Let $n = 2$.

- (i) If $Q_0 \cap Q_1 = Q_0 \cap Q_2 = Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$, then we can see that $G = G(\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, 0))$, where $s_1 = |Q_0|$, $s_2 = |Q_1|$ and $s_3 = |Q_2|$.
- (ii) If $Q_0 \cap Q_1 = Q_0 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$ and $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$, then we can see that $G = G(\Pi_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, t))$, where $s_1 = |Q_1 \setminus Q_2|$, $s_2 = |Q_2 \setminus Q_1|$, $s_3 = |Q_0|$ and $t = |Q_1 \cap Q_2|$.
- (iii) If $Q_0 \cap Q_1, Q_0 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$, then we can see that $G = G(\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3))$, where $s_1 = |Q_1 \setminus Q_0|$, $s_2 = |Q_2 \setminus Q_0|$, $t_1 = |Q_0 \cap Q_1|$, $t_2 = |Q_0 \cap Q_2|$ and $t_3 = |Q_0 \setminus (Q_1 \cup Q_2)|$.
- (iv) If $Q_0 \cap Q_1, Q_0 \cap Q_2, Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$, then we see that $Q = (Q_0 \cap Q_1) \cup (Q_0 \cap Q_2) \cup (Q_1 \cap Q_2)$ is also a maximal clique which is different from Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 . This contradicts to $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ by Proposition 3.1. □

4.3. Edge rings with small class groups. The goal of this subsection is to give a complete description of G satisfying the odd cycle condition with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}^2 . Throughout this subsection, we let G be a connected graph satisfying the odd cycle condition. We discuss G by dividing it into whether G is bipartite or not.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^t$. If G contains at least two non-bipartite blocks, then $t \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let B_1, \dots, B_m be the blocks of G , where $m \geq 2$, and assume that at least two of them are non-bipartite. We prove the assertion by induction on m .

Let $m = 2$. Then B_1 and B_2 are non-bipartite. Thus, B_1 and B_2 have primitive odd cycle $C_1 = p_0 \cdots p_{2k_1} p_0$ and $C_2 = q_0 \cdots q_{2k_2} q_0$ ($1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2$), respectively. Let $v \in V(B_1) \cap V(B_2)$ be a unique cut vertex. Then we see that every vertex in $V(G) \setminus \{v\}$ is regular, implying that $|\Psi_r| \geq |V(G)| - 1 = d - 1$ and G has $|\Psi_f| \geq \min\{|V(C_1)|, |V(C_2)|\} = 2k_1 + 1$ by Lemma 3.2 (2).

- Suppose that $v \notin V(C_1) \cup V(C_2)$. Then there is a path containing v which connects $V(C_1)$ and $V(C_2)$. This is a contradiction to what G satisfies the odd cycle condition.
- Suppose that $v \in V(C_1) \setminus V(C_2)$. Let, say, $v = p_0$. Then we can take two fundamental sets on G as follows. Let $S_1 = \{p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{2k_1-1}\}$ and $S_2 = \{p_2, p_4, \dots, p_{2k_1}\}$. Then there exist fundamental sets T_1 and T_2 such that $S_i \subset T_i$ and $V(B(T_i)) = V(B_1)$ for $i = 1, 2$ by Lemma 3.2 (1). Namely, we can get two (or more) fundamental sets. Hence,

$$t = |\Psi| - \dim \mathbb{k}[G] = |\Psi_f| + |\Psi_r| - d \geq (2k_1 + 1) + 2 + (d - 1) - d \geq 4.$$

- Suppose that $v \in V(C_1) \cap V(C_2)$. Let, say, $v = p_0 = q_0$. Then we can also take two (or more) fundamental sets on G as follows. Let $U_1 = \{q_1, q_3, \dots, q_{2k_2-1}\}$ and $U_2 = \{q_2, q_4, \dots, q_{2k_2}\}$ and take S_1 and S_2 above. Then there exist fundamental sets $T'_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2$ such that $S_i \cup U_j \subset T'_{i,j}$ and $V(B(T'_{i,j})) = V(G)$ by Lemma 3.2 (1). Hence, as above, we obtain that $t \geq 4$.

Suppose that $m \geq 3$. Take a block B_i whose degree is 1 on $\text{Block}(G)$. Then B_i has a unique cut vertex u on G . Let $H = G \setminus (V(B_i) \setminus \{u\})$ and $b = |V(B_i)|$. Note that H has an odd cycle by assumption and every vertex in $B_i \setminus u$ is regular on G . Thus, we have

$$|\Psi_r(G)| = \begin{cases} |\Psi_r(H)| + (b - 1), & \text{if (i) } u \text{ is non-regular in } H \text{ and in } G, \\ |\Psi_r(H)| + (b - 1) - 1, & \text{if (ii) } u \text{ is regular in } H \text{ and non-regular in } G. \end{cases}$$

Notice that if u is regular in H and G , then $B_i \setminus u$ and all connected components of $H \setminus u$ contain an odd cycle, a contradiction by the same reason as discussed above. Moreover, it never happens that u is non-regular on H and regular on G .

In the case of (ii), we have $|\Psi_f(G)| \geq |\Psi_f(H)| + 1$ by Remark 3.3. Therefore, in the case of (i), we obtain by inductive hypothesis the following:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= |\Psi_r(G)| + |\Psi_f(G)| - d \geq (|\Psi_r(H)| + (b - 1) - 1) + (|\Psi_f(H)| + 1) - d \\ &= |\Psi_r(H)| + |\Psi_f(H)| - (d - (b - 1)) = |\Psi(H)| - \dim \mathbb{k}[H] \\ &\geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a bipartite graph with the partition $V(G) = V_1 \sqcup V_2$. If G is not a complete bipartite graph, then there exists an acceptable set contained in sV_1 .*

Proof. Let $n_1 = |V_1|$ and $n_2 = |V_2|$. Note that $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ since G is connected and non-complete bipartite. Take a vertex $v_0 \in V_1$ such that $\deg(v_0) = \min\{\deg(v) : v \in V_1\}$. Then $\deg(v_0) < n_2$. Moreover, $G \setminus V(B(\{v_0\}))$ contains connected components C_1, \dots, C_n which have at least one edge, and it might have some isolated vertices v_1, \dots, v_m in V_1 . For $i \in [n]$, let $A_i = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m\} \cup (\bigcup_{j \in [n], j \neq i} V(C_j) \cap V_1)$. Then each A_i is acceptable. In fact, $B(A_i)$ is connected since G is connected, and $G \setminus V(B(A_i)) = C_i$ is a connected graph with at least one edge. □

We define two graphs $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ and $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ as follows:

Definition 4.6. Let s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 be integers with $0 \leq t_1 < s_1$ and $0 \leq t_2 < s_2$.

- Let $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ denote the bipartite graph on the vertex set $V(K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}) = [d]$ ($d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2$) with the edge set

$$\begin{aligned} E(K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}) &= \{\{i, j\} : 1 \leq i \leq s_1 + t_1, s_1 + t_1 + t_2 + 1 \leq j \leq d\} \\ &\cup \{\{i, j\} : 1 \leq i \leq s_1, s_1 + t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq d\}. \end{aligned}$$

See Figure 6.

- Let $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ denote the graph on the vertex set $V(K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}) = [d + 1]$ ($d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2$) with the edge set

$$E(K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}) = E(K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}) \cup \{\{i, d + 1\} : 1 \leq i \leq s_1 \text{ or } s_1 + t_1 + t_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

See Figure 7.




FIGURE 6.
The graph $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$




FIGURE 7.
The graph $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$

Note that $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ (resp. $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$) is a complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} (resp. a complete 3-partite graph K_{1, s_1, s_2}) minus the edges of K_{t_1, t_2} . Thus, $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ is bipartite, but $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ is not. When $t_1 = t_2 = 0$, we regard $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ (resp. $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$) as K_{s_1, s_2} (resp. K_{1, s_1, s_2}) itself.

First, we discuss the case of bipartite graphs. We give the characterization of which $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G])$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 in terms of G for bipartite graphs. By Proposition 3.5, we may assume that G is 2-connected.

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be a 2-connected bipartite graph with its partition $V(G) = V_1 \sqcup V_2$.*

- (1) $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$.
- (2) $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if G is a bipartite graph $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ for some $t_1, t_2 \geq 1$ and $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$.

Proof. (1) Since every vertex in G is ordinary, we see that $\text{rank}(\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G])) = |\Psi| - \dim \mathbb{k}[G] = |\Psi_o| + |\Psi_a| - (d-1) = |\Psi_a| + 1$ (see Theorem 3.6). If G is not a complete bipartite, then G contains an acceptable set by Lemma 4.5 and we have $t \geq 2$. Therefore, we can see that G is a complete bipartite and $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$ since G is 2-connected. Conversely, if G is a complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$, then it is easy to check that $\text{Cl}(K_{s_1, s_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) Assume that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. By (1), G cannot be a complete bipartite graph. Thus, we can take $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, C_1, \dots, C_n$ and A_1, \dots, A_n mentioned in Lemma 4.5. We can see that $n = 1$ since $t = |\Psi_a| + 1 = 2$. Moreover, we see that $B(\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m\})$ is a complete bipartite by definition of v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m . Note that $A_1 = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. Thus, it is enough to show that C_1 and G_W are complete bipartite graphs, where $W = (V(C_1) \cap V_1) \cup N_G(v_0)$.

If C_1 is not a complete bipartite graph, then we can take an acceptable set $A \subset V_1$ of C_1 by Lemma 4.5 and A' is an acceptable set of G , where

$$A' = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } N_G(A) \cap N_G(v_0) = \emptyset, \\ A \cup A_1 & \text{if } N_G(A) \cap N_G(v_0) \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

a contradiction. Similarly, if G_W is not a complete bipartite graph, then we can take an acceptable set of G by the same way in Lemma 4.5. Let $s_1 = |V(C_1) \cap V_1|$, $s_2 = |N_G(A_1)|$, $t_1 = |A_1|$ and $t_2 = |V(C_1) \cap V_2|$. Then G coincide with $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ and we see that $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$ since G is 2-connected. Conversely, the subset $\{s_1 + 1, \dots, s_1 + t_1\}$ of $V(K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2})$ is a unique acceptable set of $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ and we have $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. \square

Next, we discuss non-bipartite graphs.

Lemma 4.8. *Let G be a 2-connected graph with primitive odd cycles $C_i = p_{i,0} \cdots p_{i,2k_i} p_{i,0}$ for $i \in [m]$, where $1 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_m$, and let $P = x_0 x_1 \cdots x_l$ with $l \geq 2$ be a primitive path whose end vertices x_0, x_l are in $V(C_m)$ and $x_k \notin V(C_m)$ for all $k \in [l-1]$.*

- (1) *For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k_m\}$, $p_{m,j}$ is non-regular in G if and only if $p_{m,j} \in V(C_i)$ for all $i \in [m]$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $x_0 = p_{m,0}$ and $x_l = p_{m,j}$ ($j \neq 1, 2k_m$). Then C_m has a regular vertex in G .*
- (3) *Suppose that $\{x_0, x_l\} = \{p_{m,j}, p_{m,j+1}\}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2k_m\}$, where $p_{2k_m+1} = p_0$ and $l = 2l' + 1$. Then there are two different fundamental sets T_1, T_2 such that $E(C_m) \setminus \{p_{m,j}, p_{m,j+1}\} \subset E(B(T_i))$ and $\{p_{m,j}, p_{m,j+1}\} \not\subset E(B(T_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. (1) If there exists $i \in [m]$ such that $p_{m,j} \notin V(C_i)$, then the connected graph $G \setminus p_{m,j}$ contains C_i as a subgraph. Hence, $p_{m,j}$ is regular in G . Conversely, if $p_{m,j} \in V(C_i)$ for all $i \in [m]$, then the connected graph $G \setminus p_{m,j}$ has no odd cycles. Thus, $p_{m,j}$ is non-regular.

(2) Let $C = x_0 x_1 \cdots x_l p_{m,j-1} p_{m,j-2} \cdots p_{m,0}$ and $C' = x_0 \cdots x_l p_{m,j+1} p_{m,j+2} \cdots p_{m,2k_m} p_{m,0}$. Then C or C' is a primitive odd cycle because C_m is a primitive odd cycle. Therefore, $p_{m,1}, \dots, p_{m,j-1}$ or $p_{m,j+1}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}$ are regular vertices in $V(C_m)$.

(3) We may assume that $j = 0$. Let $S_1 = \{p_{m,2}, p_{m,4}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2l'-1}\}$ and $S_2 = \{p_{m,2}, p_{m,4}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2l'}\}$ are independent sets and $N_G(S_i)$ is connected for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, the statement immediately follows from Lemma 3.2 (1). \square

Theorem 4.9. *Let G be a 2-connected non-bipartite graph.*

- (1) $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if G is obtained by one of the following two ways.
For the complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$,
 - (1-1) *choose i and j from the different partition, respectively, and connect them by a path of even length at least 2 (see Figure 8); or*
 - (1-2) *choose i and j from the same partition and connect them by a path of odd length (see Figure 9).*
- (2) $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ if and only if G is obtained by one of the following six ways.
For the complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} and K_{t_1, t_2} with $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \geq 2$;
 - (2-1) *choose i and j (resp., k and l) from the different partition of K_{s_1, s_2} (resp., K_{t_1, t_2}), respectively, and connect i and k by a path $P_{i,k}$, j and l by a path $P_{j,l}$ such that the sum of the lengths of $P_{i,k}$ and $P_{j,l}$ is odd (see Figure 10); or*
 - (2-2) *choose i and j from the same partition of K_{s_1, s_2} and choose k and l from the different partition of K_{t_1, t_2} , respectively, and connect i and k by a path $P_{i,k}$, j and l by a path $P_{j,l}$ such that the sum of the lengths of $P_{i,k}$ and $P_{j,l}$ is even (see Figure 11); or*
 - (2-3) *choose i and j (resp., k and l) from the same partition of K_{s_1, s_2} (resp., K_{t_1, t_2}), respectively, and connect i and k by a path $P_{i,k}$, j and l by a path $P_{j,l}$ such that the sum of the lengths of $P_{i,k}$ and $P_{j,l}$ is odd (see Figure 12);
where if the length of the path is allowed to be 0, then identify i and k (or j and l).**For the bipartite graph $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$;*
 - (2-4) *choose i and j from the different partition, respectively, and connect them by a path of even length at least 2 (see Figure 13); or*
 - (2-5) *choose i and j from the same partition and connect them by a path of odd length (see Figure 14); or*
 - (2-6) *G coincides with $K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$ (see Figure 7).*




FIGURE 8.
The graph given by (1-1)




FIGURE 9.
The graph given by (1-2)




FIGURE 10. The graph given by (2-1)




FIGURE 11. The graph given by (2-2)




FIGURE 12. The graph given by (2-3)




FIGURE 13.
The graph given by (2-4)




FIGURE 14.
The graph given by (2-5)

Remark 4.10. Regarding the above constructions, although those graphs are not bipartite due to the additional paths appearing in each case of (1-1),(1-2) and (2-1)—(2-5), we observe that every odd cycle in each graph passes through those additional paths. Namely, if C and C' are odd cycles in a given graph as above, then C and C' always share the additional paths.

On the other hand, it is well-known that the toric ideal of $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is generated by the binomials corresponding to primitive even closed walks appearing in G . See, e.g. [5, Section 5.3], for the details.

Hence, for the graphs G constructed like Theorem 4.9, we see that the variables corresponding to the edges of the additional paths never appear in generators of the toric ideal of G . This means that $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is isomorphic to the polynomial extension of $\mathbb{k}[G']$, where G'

is the graph obtained by removing all the edges in the additional paths, i.e., G' is K_{s_1, s_2} or two copies of K_{s_1, s_2} or $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$ by construction.

Proof of Theorem 4.9. First, suppose that G satisfies one of (1-1), (1-2), (2-1)–(2-6). Then we can see that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G])$ is isomorphic to $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[K_{s_1, s_2}])$, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[K_{s_1, s_2}]) \oplus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[K_{t_1, t_2}])$, $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}])$ or $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[K_{1, s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}])$, and those are isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}^2 by Theorem 4.7.

(1) Since $v \in V(G) \setminus V(C_m)$ is regular, that is, $|\Psi_r| \geq d - (2k_m + 1)$ and $|\Psi_f| \geq 2k_m + 1$ by Lemma 3.2, we see that G should contain one extra fundamental set or one extra regular vertex.

Suppose that G contains one extra fundamental. Then $p_{m,0}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}$ are non-regular and we have $C_1 = \dots = C_m$ by Lemma 4.8 (1). By $G \neq C_m$, there exists a primitive odd path $P = x_0 x_1 \dots x_l$ whose end vertices x_0, x_l are in $V(C_m)$ and $x_k \notin V(C_m)$ for all $k \in [l-1]$. Furthermore, from Lemma 4.8 (2) and (3), we can see that vertices on C_m whose degree are at least 3 are just only x_0 and x_l . We may assume that $\{x_0, x_l\} = \{p_0, p_{2k_m}\}$. Consider the path $Q = p_{m,0} p_{m,1} \dots p_{m,2k_m}$ and the graph G' given by removing Q° from G . We can see that G' contains no odd cycles, that is, G' is bipartite and the edges on Q does not appear as generators of toric ideal of $\mathbb{k}[G]$. Since $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G']) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, G' is a complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$ by Theorem 4.7 and we see that G is obtained by (1-1).

Suppose that G has one extra regular vertex. We may assume that it is $p_{m,0}$. As above, by Lemma 4.8, we can observe that $\{p_{m,1}, p_{m,2}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}\} \subset V(C_i)$ for all $i \in [m]$ and so vertices on C_m whose degree are at least 3 are just only $p_{m,2k_m}, p_{m,0}$ and $p_{m,1}$. Consider the path $Q = p_{m,1} p_{m,2} \dots p_{m,2k_m}$ and the graph G' given by removing Q° from G . We can see that G' has no odd cycles, that is, G' is bipartite and the edges on Q does not appear as generators of toric ideal of $\mathbb{k}[G]$. Since $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G'])\mathbb{Z}$, G' is a complete bipartite graph K_{s_1, s_2} with $s_1, s_2 \geq 2$ by Theorem 4.7 and we see that G is obtained by (1-2).

(2) Similarly to (1), G has

- (i) two extra fundamental sets,
- (ii) one extra vertex and one extra fundamental set, or
- (iii) two extra regular vertices.

Suppose that (i). Then $p_{m,0}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}$ are non-regular and we have $C_1 = \dots = C_m$ by Lemma 4.8 (1). If there exists just one type of paths $P_i = x_{i,0} \dots x_{i,l_i}$ whose end vertices $x_{i,0}, x_{i,l_i}$ are in $V(C_m)$ and $x_{i,k} \notin V(C_m)$ for all $k \in [l_i - 1]$, G is obtained by (2-4). Suppose that there exist two types of paths P_1, P_2 . We may assume that $\{x_{1,0}, x_{1,l_1}\} = \{p_{m,0}, p_{m,1}\}$ and $\{x_{2,0}, x_{2,l_2}\} = \{p_{m,j}, p_{m,j+1}\}$. Consider two paths $Q_1 = p_{m,0} \dots p_{m,j}$ and $Q_2 = p_{m,j+1} \dots p_{m,2k_m} p_{m,0}$ and the graph G' given by removing Q_1° and Q_2° from G . We can observe that G' has two connected components G_1, G_2 and they have no odd cycles, that is, they are bipartite. Therefore, we have $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G_1]) \oplus \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G_2]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and so G_1, G_2 are complete bipartite graphs $K_{s_1, s_2}, K_{t_1, t_2}$ with $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \geq 2$. This G is obtained by (2-1).

Suppose that (ii). We may assume that it is $p_{m,0}$. We observe that $\{p_{m,1}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}\} \subset V(C_i)$ for all $i \in [m]$, and $p_{m,2k_m}, p_{m,0}$ and $p_{m,1}$ have degree 3 or more. If the other vertices have degree 2, then G is obtained by (2-5). If there exist the other vertices whose degree is at least 3, then there exists a primitive odd path $P = x_0 \dots x_l$ with end vertices $\{x_0, x_l\} = \{p_{m,j}, p_{m,j+1}\}$ for $j \in [2k_m - 1]$. Then this G is obtained by (2-2).

Suppose that (iii). We may assume that $p_{m,0}$ and $p_{m,j}$ are regular. If $k_1 < k_m$, $k_1 = k_m - 1$ because $\{p_{m,1}, \dots, \hat{p}_{m,j}, \dots, p_{m,2k_m}\} \subset C_i$ for all $i \in [m]$. However, then C_m has a chord, a contradiction. Thus, $k_1 = k_m$. If $j \neq 1, 2k_m$, the vertices on C_m whose degree are at least 3 are $p_{m,2k_m}, p_{m,0}, p_{m,1}, p_{m,j-1}, p_{m,j}$ and $p_{m,j+1}$. This G is obtained by (2-3).

Suppose that $j = 1$ or $2k_m$. We may assume that $j = 1$. If $k_m \geq 2$, the vertices on C_m whose degree are at least 3 are $p_{m,2k_m}, p_{m,0}, p_{m,1}, p_{m,2}$. Hence, This G is obtained by (2-4).

Suppose that $j = 1$ and $k_m = 1$. Note that $G \setminus p_{m,2}$ is bipartite. Let V_1 and V_2 be the partition of the bipartite graph $G \setminus p_{m,2}$, let $S_i = N_G(p_{m,2}) \cap V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and let $T_i = V_i \setminus U_i$. We show that $G \setminus p_{m,2}$ coincides with $K_{s_1, s_2}^{t_1, t_2}$, where $s_i = |S_i| \geq 2$ and $t_i = |T_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Note that all vertices except for $p_{m,2}$ are regular, V_1 and V_2 are fundamental sets since $G \setminus p_{m,2}$ is connected, and there exists a fundamental set T containing $p_{m,2}$. If $\{v_1, v_2\} \notin E(G)$ for some $v_1 \in S_1, v_2 \in S_2$, then $\{v_1 v_2\}$ is an independent set and $B(\{v_1, v_2\})$ is connected. Thus, we can obtain a fundamental set containing $\{v_1 v_2\}$ and it is different from V_1, V_2, T . It is a contradiction to $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $\{u_1, u_2\} \in E(G)$ for some $u_1 \in T_1, u_2 \in T_2$, $\{p_{m,2}, u_i\}$ is an independent set and we can obtain an independent set I_i by adding $\{p_{m,2}, u_i\}$ to some vertices in T_i such that $B(I_i)$ is connected for $i = 1, 2$, a contradiction by the same reason. Then we have $T = \{p_{m,2}\} \cup T_1 \cup T_2$. Finally, if $\{w_1, w_2\} \notin E(G)$ for some $w_1 \in T_1$ and $w_2 \in S_2$, then $\{w_1, w_2\}$ is an independent set and we can obtain an independent set I by adding $\{w_1, w_2\}$ to some vertices in S_2 such that $B(I)$ is connected, a contradiction by the same reason. Therefore, G satisfies (2-6). \square

5. THE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG \mathbf{Order}_n , \mathbf{Stab}_n AND \mathbf{Edge}_n

Recall that \mathbf{Order}_n , \mathbf{Stab}_n and \mathbf{Edge}_n are the sets of unimodular equivalence classes of order polytopes, stable set polytopes and edge polytopes such that the associated toric rings have the class groups of rank n , respectively. This section is devoted to the discussions on the relationships among \mathbf{Order}_n , \mathbf{Stab}_n and \mathbf{Edge}_n in the cases $n = 1, 2, 3$ by using the results in the previous section.

5.1. The case $n = 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let R be the Segre product of the polynomial rings $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ and $\mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_t]$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Note that $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then R is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[\Pi]$, $\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]$ and $\mathbb{k}[H]$ for some poset Π and some graphs G, H .*

Conversely, for $S = \mathbb{k}[\Pi]$ or $\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]$ or $\mathbb{k}[H]$ for some poset Π or some graphs G, H with $\text{Cl}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ such that S is not a polynomial extension, S is isomorphic to the Segre product of the polynomial rings $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ and $\mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_t]$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

In particular, we have $\mathbf{Order}_1 = \mathbf{Stab}_1 = \mathbf{Edge}_1$.

Proof. These statements follow from Proposition 4.1 (1), Theorems 4.3 (1), 4.7 (1) and 4.9 (1). Note that the edge polytope $P_{K_{s_1+1, s_2+1}}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the order polytope $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_1(s_1, s_2)}$ (see [9]). Moreover, the procedures (1-1) and (1-2) in Theorem 4.9 (1) correspond to the lattice pyramid construction. \square

5.2. The case $n = 2$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 be positive integers and let $d = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2$.*

- (1) *The edge polytope $P_{K_{s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1, t_2}}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the order polytope $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0)}$.*
- (2) *The edge polytope $P_{K_{1, s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1-1, t_2-1}}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the order polytope $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0)}$.*

In particular, $P_{K_{s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1, t_2}}$ and $P_{K_{1, s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1-1, t_2-1}}$ are unimodularly equivalent.

Proof. It is enough to show that $P_{K_{s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1, t_2}}$ (resp. $P_{K_{1, s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1-1, t_2-1}}$) is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0))$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0))$).

(1) By Definition 4.6, it is straightforward to see that the vertices of $P_{K_{s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1, t_2}}$ one-to-one correspond to the antichains of $\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0)$ by considering the projection $\mathbb{R}^{d+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ which ignores the 1-th and d -th coordinates and this projection gives a unimodular transformation between $P_{K_{s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1, t_2}}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0))$.

(2) Consider the projection $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by ignoring the $(d+1)$ -th coordinate. Then the set of vertices of $P_{K_{1, s_1+1, s_2+1}^{t_1-1, t_2-1}}$ becomes $\{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j : 1 \leq i \leq s_1 + t_1, s_1 + t_1 + t_2 \leq j \leq d\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j : 1 \leq i \leq s_1 + 1, s_1 + t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq d\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}_k : 1 \leq k \leq s_1 + 1 \text{ or } s_1 + t_1 + t_2 \leq k \leq d\}$. By

applying a unimodular transformation $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to those vertices

(from the left-hand side) and translating them by $-\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_d$ and applying a unimodular

transformation $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, the vertices become as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j &\mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_d \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j \\ (1 < i \leq s_1 + t_1, s_1 + t_1 + t_2 \leq j < d \text{ or } 1 < i \leq s_1 + 1, s_1 + t_1 + 1 \leq j < d) \\ \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_d &\mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_d \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i \quad (1 < i \leq s_1 + t_1) \\ \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_j &\mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_d \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j \quad (s_1 + t_1 + 1 \leq j < d), \quad \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_d \mapsto \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{e}_k &\mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_k \mapsto \mathbf{e}_k - \mathbf{e}_d \mapsto \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_d \quad (1 < k \leq s_1 + 1), \quad \mathbf{e}_k \mapsto \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_k \quad (s_1 + t_1 + t_2 \leq k < d) \\ \mathbf{e}_1 &\mapsto \mathbf{e}_d, \quad \mathbf{e}_d \mapsto \mathbf{e}_1. \end{aligned}$$

We can directly see that these lattice points one-to-one correspond to the antichains of $\Pi_3(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0)$. \square

- Proposition 5.3.** (1) Let G be a perfect graph with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then Stab_G is unimodularly equivalent to \mathcal{O}_Π for some poset Π . In particular, we have $\mathbf{Stab}_2 \subset \mathbf{Order}_2$.
(2) Let G be a 2-connected graph with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then P_G is unimodularly equivalent to \mathcal{O}_Π for some poset Π . In particular, we have $\mathbf{Edge}_2 \subset \mathbf{Order}_2$.
(3) Let Π be a poset with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\Pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then \mathcal{O}_Π is unimodularly equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{G(\Pi)}$ or P_G for some G . In particular, $\mathbf{Order}_2 \subset \mathbf{Stab}_2 \cup \mathbf{Edge}_2$.
(4) There exist a graph G and a graph H with $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[H]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\text{Stab}_G \notin \mathbf{Edge}_2$ and $P_H \notin \mathbf{Stab}_2$, respectively.

Proof. The statement (1) directly follows from Theorem 4.3 (2). The statement (2) follows from Theorems 4.7 (2), 4.9 (2) and Lemma 5.2.

(3) By Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, it is enough to consider the case $\Pi = \Pi_4(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2)$ for some $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let K be the bipartite graph on the vertex set $[d+3]$ with the edge set

$$E(K) = \{\{i, j\} : i \in \{1, \dots, t_1, d+2\}, j \in \{t_1+1, \dots, t_1+t_2, d+3\} \text{ or } i \in \{t_1+t_2+1, \dots, t_1+t_2+s_1, d+3\}, j \in \{t_1+t_2+s_1+1, \dots, d, d+1\}\}.$$

Note that K is obtained by identifying some vertex of K_{s_1+1, s_2+1} and some vertex of K_{t_1+1, t_2+1} (see Figure 15).

Moreover, let $I_p = \{q \in \Pi_4 : q \prec p\}$ for $p \in \Pi_4$. Note that for any poset ideal I of Π_4 , I coincides with the empty set, I_p or $I_p \cup I_q$ for some $p, q \in \Pi_4$. We can see that by consider the projection $\mathbb{R}^{d+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ ignoring the $(d+2)$ -th and $(d+3)$ -th coordinates

and by applying a unimodular transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & & & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 & \dots & 1 & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \vdots & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 to vertices

of P_K , the vertices become as follows:

$$\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{d+3} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \sum_{p_k \in I_{p_i}} \mathbf{e}_k \quad (1 \leq i \leq t_1 \text{ or } t_1 + t_2 + s_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d + 1),$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{d+2} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \sum_{p_k \in I_{p_i}} \mathbf{e}_k \quad (t_1 + 1 \leq i \leq t_1 + t_2), \quad \mathbf{e}_{d+2} + \mathbf{e}_{d+3} \mapsto 0,$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{d+1} \mapsto \sum_{p_k \in I_{p_i}} \mathbf{e}_k \quad (t_1 + t_2 + 1 \leq i \leq t_1 + t_2 + s_1),$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j \mapsto \sum_{p_k \in I_{p_i} \cup I_{p_j}} \mathbf{e}_k,$$

($1 \leq i \leq t_1$, $t_1 + 1 \leq j \leq t_1 + t_2$ or $t_1 + t_2 + 1 \leq i \leq t_1 + t_2 + s_1$, $t_1 + t_2 + s_1 + 1 \leq j \leq d$).

We can directly see that these lattice points one-to-one correspond to the poset ideals of $\Pi_4(s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2)$.

(4) Let $G = G(\Pi_2(1, 1, 1, 2))$ (see Figure 16) and let H be the graph on the vertex set $\{1, \dots, 7\}$ with the edge set $E(G) = \{12, 17, 26, 34, 47, 56, 57, 67\}$ (see Figure 17). Then we have $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_G]) \cong \text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[P_G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ by construction.

If $\text{Stab}_G \in \mathbf{Edge}_2$, that is, there exists a graph G' such that $P_{G'}$ is unimodularly equivalent to Stab_G , then G' satisfies that G' is bipartite and has 7 vertices and 12 edges or G' is non-bipartite and has 6 vertices and 12 edges. We can check by MAGMA that for any such graphs G' , $P_{G'}$ is not unimodularly equivalent to Stab_G .

Similarly, if $P_H \in \mathbf{Stab}_2$, that is, there exists a graph H' such that $\text{Stab}_{H'}$ is unimodularly equivalent to P_G , then H' has 5 vertices and 8 independent sets. Similarly, we can check by MAGMA that for any such graphs H' , $\text{Stab}_{H'}$ is not unimodularly equivalent to P_H . \square




FIGURE 15. The graph K




FIGURE 16.
The graph $G(\Pi_2(1, 1, 1, 2))$




FIGURE 17. The graph H

5.3. **The case $n = 3$.** We conclude the present paper by providing examples showing that there is no inclusion among \mathbf{Order}_3 , \mathbf{Stab}_3 and \mathbf{Edge}_3 .

We define the following three objects: a poset Π , a perfect graph Γ and a connected graph G .

- Let $\Pi = \{z_1, \dots, z_6\}$ equipped with the partial orders $z_1 \prec z_3 \prec z_4$ and $z_2 \prec z_3 \prec z_5$. Namely, Π is the disjoint union of the “X-shape” poset and one point. See Figure 18. Then we see from (3.1) that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\Pi]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$.
- Let Γ be the graph on the vertex set $\{1, \dots, 6\}$ with the edge set

$$E(\Gamma) = \{15, 16, 24, 26, 34, 35, 45, 46, 56\},$$

See Figure 19. Then Γ is perfect since Γ is chordal. Moreover, Γ contains four maximal cliques: $\{1, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{3, 4, 5\}$ and $\{4, 5, 6\}$. Thus, we see that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[\text{Stab}_\Gamma]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$.

- Let $G = K_{2,2,2}$ be the complete tripartite graph. Namely, $V(G) = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ with

$$E(G) = \{13, 14, 15, 16, 23, 24, 25, 26, 35, 36, 45, 46\}.$$

See Figure 20. The class groups of the edge rings of complete multipartite graphs are investigated in [9]. By [9, Theorem 1.3], we see that $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G]) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$.




FIGURE
18. The
poset Π




FIGURE
19. The
graph Γ




FIGURE
20. The
graph $K_{2,2,2}$

We can see that $\mathcal{O}_\Pi \notin \mathbf{Stab}_3 \cup \mathbf{Edge}_3$, $\mathbf{Stab}_\Gamma \notin \mathbf{Order}_3 \cup \mathbf{Edge}_3$ and $P_G \notin \mathbf{Order}_3 \cup \mathbf{Stab}_3$ as follows.

$\mathcal{O}_\Pi \notin \mathbf{Stab}_3 \cup \mathbf{Edge}_3$: Consider \mathcal{O}_Π .

Suppose that there exists a perfect graph Γ' such that $\mathbf{Stab}_{\Gamma'}$ is unimodularly equivalent to \mathcal{O}_Π . Then Γ' has 6 vertices and non-trivial 4 independent sets. Since such graphs are finitely many, we can check by **MAGMA** that their stable set polytopes are not unimodularly equivalent to \mathcal{O}_Π .

Similarly, suppose that there exists a graph G' such that $P_{G'}$ is unimodularly equivalent to \mathcal{O}_Π . Then G' is a bipartite graph on 8 vertices or a non-bipartite graph on 7 vertices. Since $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{k}[G']) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$, G' contains at most one non-bipartite block by Proposition 4.4. We can also check that edge polytopes of such graphs are not unimodularly equivalent to \mathcal{O}_Π .

Proofs of $\mathbf{Stab}_\Gamma \notin \mathbf{Order}_3 \cup \mathbf{Edge}_3$ and $P_G \notin \mathbf{Order}_3 \cup \mathbf{Stab}_3$ can be performed in the similar way to the above discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Polytopes, rings and K-theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
- [2] V. Chvátal, On certain polytopes associated with graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. (B)* **18** (1975), 138–154.
- [3] R. Diestel, “Graph theory” Fifth edition, GTM **173**, Springer, Berlin, 2017.
- [4] M. Hashimoto, T. Hibi and A. Noma, Divisor class groups of affine semigroup rings associated with distributive lattices, *J. Algebra* **149** (2), (1992), 352–357.
- [5] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, *Binomial ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **279**, Springer, Cham, (2018).
- [6] T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws. In: Nagata, M., Matsumura, H. (eds.) *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics*. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 11, pp. 93–109. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987).
- [7] T. Hibi and N. Li, Unimodular Equivalence of Order and Chain polytopes, *Math. Scand.* **118**, No. 1 (2016), 5–12.
- [8] T. Hibi and D. I. Stamate, Nearly Gorenstein rings arising from finite graphs, arXiv:2103.17042.
- [9] A. Higashitani and K. Matsushita, Conic divisorial ideals and non-commutative crepant resolutions of edge rings of complete multipartite graphs, arXiv:2011.07714.
- [10] A. Higashitani and Y. Nakajima, Conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings and their applications to non-commutative crepant resolutions, *Selecta Math.* **25** (2019), 25pp.
- [11] K. Matsuda, H. Ohsugi and K. Shibata, Toric Rings and Ideals of Stable Set Polytopes, *Mathematics* **7** (2019), 7(7), 613.

- [12] Y. Nakajima, Non-commutative crepant resolutions of Hibi rings with small class groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **223** (2019), 3461–3484.
- [13] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), 409–426.
- [14] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, The integral closure of subrings associated to graphs, *J. Algebra* **199** (1998), 281–289.
- [15] R. P. Stanley, Two Poset Polytopes, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **1** (1986), 9–23.
- [16] R. H. Villarreal, “Monomial algebras”, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.

(A. Higashitani) DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, SUITA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN
Email address: `higashitani@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp`

(K. Matsushita) DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, SUITA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN
Email address: `k-matsushita@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp`