

BOHR NEIGHBORHOODS IN GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE SETS

JOHN T. GRIESMER

ABSTRACT. If A is a set of integers having positive upper Banach density and r, s, t are nonzero integers whose sum is zero, a theorem of Bergelson and Ruzsa says that the set $rA + sA + tA := \{ra_1 + sa_2 + ta_3 : a_i \in A\}$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of zero. We prove the natural generalization of this result for subsets of countable abelian groups and more summands.

1. BOHR NEIGHBORHOODS IN ITERATED DIFFERENCE SETS

Let $\mathcal{S}^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the group of complex numbers with modulus 1, with the usual topology and the operation of multiplication.

Let G be a topological abelian group. A *character* of G is a continuous homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1$. Of course, when G is discrete, every such homomorphism is continuous. A *trigonometric polynomial* is linear combination of characters, i.e. a function of the form $\sum_{j=1}^d c_j \chi_j$, where $\chi_j \in \widehat{G}$. A function ψ on G is *uniformly almost periodic* if it is a uniform limit of trigonometric polynomials.

The set \widehat{G} of characters of G form an abelian group under pointwise multiplication. When G is discrete and \widehat{G} is given the topology of pointwise convergence, \widehat{G} is a compact abelian group. When G is compact and \widehat{G} is given the topology of uniform convergence, \widehat{G} is discrete.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d \in \widehat{G}$. The *Bohr neighborhood of 0* in G having rank d and radius ε determined by $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d\}$ is

$$\text{Bohr}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d; \varepsilon) := \{g : |\chi_i(g) - 1| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

Remark 1.1. If ψ is a real valued trigonometric polynomial with $\psi(0) > 0$, it is easy to verify that $\text{supp}(\psi) := \{g \in G : \psi(g) \neq 0\}$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0. Since almost periodic functions may be uniformly approximated by trigonometric polynomials, the same holds when ψ is uniformly almost periodic.

A classical theorem of Bogoliouboff [9] states that if G is a countable abelian group and $G = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_r$ is a finite cover of G , then for at least one i , the iterated difference set

$(C_i - C_i) - (C_i - C_i) := \{(x - y) - (z - w) : x, y, z, w \in C_i\}$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0 with radius and rank depending only on r . Note that Bogoliouboff's theorem implies that if finitely many translates of C cover G , then $(C - C) - (C - C)$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0. Følner [11] generalizes this to say that $(A - A) - (A - A)$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0 under the weaker hypothesis that $d^*(A) > 0$, where d^* denotes upper Banach density (see §3.1 for the definition). See Theorem 5.7.2 of Part II of [15] for exposition of Bogoliouboff's and Følner's results.

Bergelson and Ruzsa extended Følner's theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.2 ([5], Theorem 6.1). *Let r, s, t be nonzero integers with $r + s + t = 0$, and let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ have $d^*(A) > 0$. Then $rA + sA + tA := \{ra_1 + sa_2 + ta_3 : a_i \in A\}$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0, with rank and radius depending only on r, s, t , and $d^*(A)$.*

Our main result is Theorem 1.3, a partial generalization of Theorem 1.2 to arbitrary countable abelian groups and more summands. We say “partial generalization” because we do not bound the rank and radius of the Bohr neighborhood.

Let G be a countable (discrete) abelian group. Let q be the exponent of G , meaning q is the least $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mg = 0$ for every $g \in G$. If there is no such m , we say G has exponent 0.

If $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $A \subset G$, we define

$$\vec{c} \cdot A := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d c_i a_i : a_1, \dots, a_d \in A \text{ are mutually distinct} \right\} \cup \{0\}$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$, and let $c_1, \dots, c_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that*

- (1) $c_i G$ has finite index in G for each i ,
- (2) $(c_{d-1} + c_d)G$, has finite index in G , and
- (3) q divides $\sum c_i$.

If $A \subset G$ has $d^(A) > 0$, then $\vec{c} \cdot A$ contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0 in G .*

Letting p be an odd prime, $G = \mathbb{F}_p^\omega :=$ the direct sum of countably many copies of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and $c_1 = \dots = c_d = 1$, we get the following corollary as a special case.

Corollary 1.4. *If p is an odd prime, $p|d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\omega$ has $d^*(A) > 0$, then*

$$\{a_1 + \dots + a_d : a_i \in A \text{ are mutually distinct}\}$$

contains a Bohr neighborhood of 0.

Remark 1.5. The rank and radius of B in the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 can be bounded by functions of the indices of $c_i G$ in G and $d^*(A)$, but we will not prove such bounds in this article.

While Theorem 1.2 does not insist that the sums be formed with mutually distinct a_i , the applications motivating Theorem 1.3 make it convenient to do so.

The hypothesis (2) can be generalized to “there exists $i < j \leq d$ such that $(c_i + c_j)G$ has finite index in G ,” since the c_i can be reordered without changing the value of $\vec{c} \cdot A$.

Remark 1.6. We are currently unable to decide whether the hypothesis (2) can be omitted in Theorem 1.3. The other hypotheses cannot be omitted, as the following three examples demonstrate.

- (i) The hypothesis that $d \geq 3$ cannot be weakened to $d \geq 2$: setting $d = 2$ and $c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1$, the set $\vec{c} \cdot A$ is simply $A - A$. An example of a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ having $d^*(A) > 0$ such that $A - A$ does not contain a Bohr neighborhood of 0 is provided by the main result of [21] - see [19] for an explanation of why [21] implies this. Such an example in \mathbb{F}_2^ω is constructed in [12], and in \mathbb{F}_p^ω for odd primes p in [16]. In fact there is an $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with $d^*(A) > 0$ where $A - A$ does not contain a Bohr neighborhood of *any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, as proved in [17].
- (ii) The hypothesis that $c_i G$ has finite index in G cannot be omitted. To see this, we use the fact that for each prime p and $\varepsilon > 0$, the group $G = \mathbb{F}_p^\omega$ contains a set A having $d^*(A) > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon$ such that $A - A$ does not contain a Bohr neighborhood of *any* element of G (see [16]). Setting $c_1 = p, c_2 = 1$ and $c_3 = -1$, we have $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = p$, but $c \cdot A \subseteq pA + A - A = A - A$.
- (iii) The hypothesis that $q | \sum c_i$ cannot be omitted. For example, in \mathbb{Z} , the set A of odd integers has $d^*(A) = 1/2$, and $A - A + A = A$, which does not contain a Bohr neighborhood of 0. Nevertheless, $A - A + A$ contains Bohr neighborhoods of many elements of A whenever $d^*(A) > 0$; see [19], [8].

The next lemma deals with a technicality that arises from our approach.

Lemma 1.7. *If B is a Bohr neighborhood of 0 in an abelian group G and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that cG has finite index in G , then cB is also a Bohr neighborhood of 0 in G .*

Proof. This is somewhat cumbersome to verify directly, but easy to see as a consequence of Bogoliouboff’s theorem. If B is a Bohr neighborhood of 0 in G , then B contains a set of the form $(A - A) - (A - A)$, where A is a Bohr neighborhood of 0 in G having

radius smaller than the radius of B . In particular, finitely many translates of A cover G . Since cG has finite index in G , we have that finitely many translates of cA cover G . Now cB contains $(cA - cA) - (cA - cA)$, which must contain a Bohr neighborhood of 0, by Bogoliouboff's theorem. \square

Notation for integrals. We adopt the usual notation for integrals: $\int f d\mu$ is the integral of f with respect to μ , or $\int f(x) d\mu(x)$, if we need to specify a variable. When μ is Haar measure on a compact abelian group K , we may write $\int f(x) dx$ in place of $\int f(x) d\mu(x)$, to shorten the appearance of iterated integrals.

We will prove Theorem 1.3 by proving that there is an almost periodic function ϕ with $\phi(0) > 0$ and $c_d \text{supp}(\phi) \subset \vec{c} \cdot A$ (cf. Remark 1.1 and Lemma 1.7). The following lemma specifies the form of ϕ .

Lemma 1.8. *Let $A \subset G$ and let c_1, \dots, c_d satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3. Then there is a compact abelian group K with Haar probability measure m , an m -measurable $\tilde{f} : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\int \tilde{f} dm = d^*(A)$, and a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow K$ with $\overline{\rho(G)} = K$ such that*

$$\phi(t) := \int_{K^d} \tilde{f}(k + c_d s_1) \tilde{f}(k + c_d s_2) \cdots \tilde{f}(k + c_d s_{d-1}) \tilde{f}\left(k + \rho(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i s_i\right) dk ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_{d-1}$$

satisfies $c_d \text{supp}(\phi) \subset \vec{c} \cdot A$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assuming Lemma 1.8, it suffices to prove that the ϕ defined therein is almost periodic and satisfies $\phi(0) > 0$. The almost periodicity of ϕ follows by approximating (in $L^2(\mu)$) the function \tilde{f} in the definition by a trigonometric polynomial $p : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$, so that $\|\tilde{f} - p\| < \varepsilon/2^d$ and observing that the resulting function of t is a trigonometric polynomial on G differing (uniformly) from ϕ by at most ε .

To prove that $\phi(0) > 0$, note that the function on K^{d-1} defined by

$$(s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}) \mapsto \int \tilde{f}(k + s_1) \cdots \tilde{f}(k + s_{d-1}) \tilde{f}\left(k - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i s_i\right) dk$$

is continuous, and is equal to $\int \tilde{f}(x)^d dx$ when $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_d = 0$. The integrand in the definition of ϕ is therefore positive for a neighborhood of values (s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}) near 0. Consequently, the integral defining ϕ does not vanish at $t = 0$. \square

Remark 1.9. The argument in the second paragraph of the above proof is a key ingredient in ergodic theoretic proofs of Roth's theorem on three-term arithmetic progressions.

In fact, Roth's theorem is a corollary of our proofs, although we do not discuss this implication here. The proof of Theorem 6.1 in [5] uses Roth's theorem in a key step.

2. SUMSETS AS SUPPORTS OF CONVOLUTIONS

In this section we state Theorem 2.2, an ergodic theoretic version of Lemma 1.8, and use it to prove the lemma. Theorem 2.2 will be proved in §3.7, after some preliminary machinery is developed.

Our first step in the proof of Lemma 1.8 is to find a kind of convolution supported on the sumset in question. For the sake of explanation, we first consider two summands.

Given $A, B \subset G$, consider their characteristic functions 1_A and 1_B . Note that a fixed g lies in $A + B$ if and only if there is an $h \in G$ such that $1_A(h)1_B(g - h) > 0$. Often the existence of such an h is proved by averaging, i.e. proving that there is a Følner sequence F_n with $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{h \in F_n} 1_A(h)1_B(g - h) > 0$. This limit is a kind of convolution of 1_A and 1_B .

We want to find a convolution supported on the set $\vec{c} \cdot A$ and write it in a form suited to the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. The next observation does so in a somewhat cumbersome way.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfy hypothesis (3) of Theorem 1.3, let $A \subset G$, and write 1_A for its characteristic function. Define $J : G^{d+1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $J_0 \subset G$ by*

$$J(h, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) := 1_A(h + c_d g_1) 1_A(h + c_d g_2) \cdots 1_A(h + c_d g_{d-1}) 1_A\left(h + t - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i g_i\right)$$

$$J_0 := \{t \in G : \exists h, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1} \in G \text{ with } J(h, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0, c_i g_i \text{ mutually distinct}\},$$

we have

$$(2.1) \quad c_d J_0 \subset \vec{c} \cdot A.$$

Furthermore, setting

$$\tilde{J}(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) := d^* (\{h : J(h, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0\})$$

$$\tilde{J}_0 := \{t \in G : \exists g_1, \dots, g_{d-1} \in G \text{ with } \tilde{J}(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0, c_i g_i \text{ mutually distinct}\},$$

we have $c_d \tilde{J}_0 \subset \vec{c} \cdot A$.

Proof. We first prove the containment (2.1). Suppose h, g_i , and $t \in G$ are such that $J(h, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0$. Then each of $a_i := h + c_d g_i$, and $a_d = h + t - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i g_i$ lie in A ,

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 a_1 + \cdots + c_d a_d &= (c_1 + \cdots + c_d)h + c_d \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i g_i \right) + c_d \left(t - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_i g_i \right) \\ &= c_d t, \end{aligned}$$

so $c_d t \in \vec{c} \cdot A$.

The second assertion of the lemma follows from the observation that if $\tilde{J}(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0$, then there are infinitely many h with $J(h, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0$. \square

Theorem 1.8 will be deduced from the following ergodic theoretic version; see §3 for definitions. These theorems are connected by a Proposition 2.4, a standard correspondence principle.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, μ, T) be an ergodic measure preserving G -system and let $(c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be coefficients satisfying hypotheses (1)-(3) of Theorem 1.3. If $f_1, \dots, f_d : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are measurable functions, $L : G^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined as*

$$(2.2) \quad L(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) := \int T_{t - \sum_{j < d} c_j g_j} f_d \cdot \prod_{j < d} T_{c_d g_j} f_j \, d\mu$$

and $I(t)$ is defined as the iterated limit

$$(2.3) \quad I(t) := \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim_{n_{d-1} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n_1}| \cdots |F_{n_{d-1}}|} \sum_{\substack{g_1 \in F_{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{d-1} \in F_{n_{d-1}}}} L(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t)$$

then there are

- a compact metrizable abelian group K with Haar measure m ,
- a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow K$ having $\overline{\rho(G)} = K$,
- functions $\tilde{f}_i : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\int \tilde{f}_i \, dm = \int f_i \, d\mu$ for $i \leq d$

such that

$$(2.4) \quad I(t) := \int \tilde{f}_d \left(k + \rho(t) - \sum_{j < d} c_j s_j \right) \prod_{j < d} \tilde{f}_j(k + c_d s_j) \, dk \, ds_1 \cdots ds_{d-1}.$$

Furthermore, the \tilde{f}_i depend only on the f_i , so if $f_1 = \cdots = f_d$, then $\tilde{f}_1 = \cdots = \tilde{f}_d$.

We prove Theorem 2.2 in §3.7.

Remark 2.3. With L and I as in Theorem 2.2, let

$$L_0 := \{t \in G : \exists g_1, \dots, g_{d-1} \text{ with } L(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) > 0 \text{ and } c_i g_i \text{ mutually distinct}\}$$

Then $\{t \in G : I(t) > 0\} \subset L_0$.

2.1. Correspondence principle. The connection between Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 1.8 is made through the following variation of Furstenberg's correspondence principle [14]. Our proof is simply the construction done in [1, Theorem 2.1], together with a fragment of the proof of [6, Lemma 5.1] which shows that choosing an *extreme* invariant mean in that construction results in an ergodic system.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A \subset G$ have $d^*(A) = \delta$. There is an ergodic G -system (X, μ, T) and a μ -measurable $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\int f d\mu = \delta$ such that for all $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d \in G$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad d^*(\{h : 1_A(h + g_1)1_A(h + g_2) \dots 1_A(h + g_d) > 0\}) \geq \int \prod_{i=1}^d f(T_{g_i}x) d\mu(x)$$

Setting $g_d = t - \sum_{j < d} c_j g_j$ in Proposition 2.4 immediately yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *If $A \subset G$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and \tilde{J} is defined as in Lemma 2.1, then there is an ergodic G -system (X, μ, T) and $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{J}(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) \geq L(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) \quad \text{for all } g_i, t \in G,$$

where L is as defined in (2.2), with $f_1 = \dots = f_d = f$.

We postpone the proof of Proposition 2.4 and now prove Lemma 1.8

Proof of Lemma 1.8. Let G be a countable abelian group, let $c_1, \dots, c_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy hypotheses (1)-(3) in Theorem 1.3, and let $A \subset G$ have $d^*(A) > 0$. Let \tilde{J} and \tilde{J}_0 be as in Lemma 2.1, so that $c_d \tilde{J}_0 \subset \vec{c} \cdot A$, by Lemma 2.1. Apply Corollary 2.5 to find an ergodic G -system (X, μ, T) and $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\int f d\mu = d^*(A)$ satisfying inequality (2.6). This inequality implies $L_0 \subset \tilde{J}_0$. By Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3, we have that $\{t \in G : I(t) > 0\} \subset L_0$. Combining the preceding containments, we have

$$c_d \{t \in G : I(t) > 0\} \subset \vec{c} \cdot A.$$

The form of $I(t)$ stated in the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 means we can take $\phi(t) := I(t)$ to satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 1.8. \square

Before proving Proposition 2.4, we summarize some background on invariant means; readers can consult [6] and [1] for further details.

An *invariant mean* on $\ell^\infty(G)$ is a positive linear functional η satisfying $\eta(1_G) = 1$; and for every $\phi \in \ell^\infty(G)$ and $t \in G$, we have $\eta(\phi_t) = \eta(\phi)$, where ϕ_t is the translate of ϕ

by t (i.e. $\phi_t(g) = \phi(g + t)$). It is easy to prove that for every Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there is an invariant mean η such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \phi(g) = \eta(\phi)$ for every bounded $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which the limit exists. This yields the following characterization of upper Banach density: for all $A \subset G$, we have

$$d^*(A) = \max\{\eta(1_A) : \eta \text{ is an invariant mean on } \ell^\infty(G)\}$$

Since the set of invariant means is a compact convex set in the weak* topology on the dual of $\ell^\infty(G)$, it contains extreme points. In other words, there are invariant means which cannot be written as a nontrivial convex combination of other invariant means. Furthermore, every invariant mean can be written as a convex combination of extreme invariant means, and it follows that for every $A \subset G$, there is an extreme invariant mean m with $m(1_A) = d^*(A)$.

The key identity for ergodicity is most easily expressed using the following notation: given an invariant mean η and $\phi \in \ell^\infty(G)$, we write $\int \phi(g) d\eta(g)$ for $\eta(\phi)$ (even though the “integral” is only finitely additive). This allows us to write expressions such as $\int \int \psi(g)\phi(g+h) d\eta(g) d\kappa(h)$ in place of the more cumbersome “ $\kappa(\Phi)$, where $\Phi(h) := \eta(\psi \cdot \phi_h)$.” The key identity is stated as Scholium 4.4 of [6]: if m is an extreme invariant mean and η is any invariant mean then for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \ell^\infty(G)$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \int \phi_1(g)\phi_2(g+h) dm(g) d\eta(h) = m(\phi_1)m(\phi_2).$$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. The proof of [1, Theorem 2.1] produces a (not necessarily ergodic) G -system satisfying (2.5). We will repeat the proof therein with one modification: begin by choosing an *extreme* invariant mean on $\ell^\infty(G)$ with $m(1_A) = d^*(A)$. Define the system (X, μ, T) as follows

- $X := \{0, 1\}^G$ with the product topology, meaning X is the space of all functions from G into $\{0, 1\}$, with the topology of pointwise convergence,
- T_g is defined by $T_g x(h) = x(h + g)$,
- and μ is defined to satisfy

$$\int f d\mu = m(\phi_f)$$

for every continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\phi_f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\phi_f(g) = f(1_{A+g})$.

Having defined $\int f d\mu$ for continuous f , the Riesz representation theorem shows that there really is a Borel measure μ satisfying this definition. We now show that our definition of μ agrees with the definition of μ in [1, Theorem 2.1]. We do so by showing that the

two definitions agree on the cylinder sets in X . Writing such a cylinder set C by fixing $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ and setting $C := \{x \in X : x(h_1) = \varepsilon_1, \dots, x(h_k) = \varepsilon_k\}$, we get that $\phi_f(g) = 1$ if and only if $g \in (A_1 - h_1) \cap (A_2 - h_2) \cap \dots \cap (A_k - h_k)$, where $A_i = A$ if $\varepsilon_i = 1$ and $G \setminus A$ if $\varepsilon_i = 0$. Thus $\mu(C) = m(\phi_f) = m(\prod_{i=1}^k 1_{A_i - h_i})$, and the latter is the definition of $\mu(C)$ in [1]. Following the argument in [1] proves inequality (2.5).

To prove ergodicity of (X, μ, T) we will prove that for all $f_1, f_2 \in L^\infty(\mu)$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \int f_1 \cdot T_g f_2 \, d\mu = \int f_1 \, d\mu \int f_2 \, d\mu$$

for each Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. To see this, note first that it suffices to prove this identity for continuous f_i , by approximating bounded f_i with continuous functions. Now choose an invariant mean η on $\ell^\infty(G)$ with the property that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \phi(g) = \eta(\phi)$ whenever the limit on the left exists. With this choice of η , the definition of μ lets us write the left-hand side of (2.8) as

$$\int \phi_{f_1}(h) \phi_{f_2}(h + g) \, dm(h) \, d\eta(g)$$

and the extremality of m allows us to simplify this as $m(\phi_{f_1})m(\phi_{f_2})$, by (2.7). By the definition of μ , this is just $\int f_1 \, d\mu \int f_2 \, d\mu$, as desired.

Now (2.7) implies ergodicity of (X, μ, T) : if A is T -invariant, then setting $f_1 = f_2 = 1_A$ in (2.7), we get $\mu(A) = \mu(A)^2$, which means $\mu(A) = 0$ or 1 . \square

3. ERGODIC THEORETIC MACHINERY

3.1. Densities on G . A sequence $\mathbf{F} = (F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite subsets of G is a *Følner sequence* if for all $g \in G$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \Delta (g + F_n)|}{|F_n|} = 0$. If \mathbf{F} is a Følner sequence and $A \subset G$ the *upper density of A with respect to \mathbf{F}* is $\bar{d}_{\mathbf{F}}(A) : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap F_n|}{|F_n|}$. The *upper Banach density of A* is $d^*(A) := \sup\{d_{\mathbf{F}}(A) : \mathbf{F} \text{ is a Følner sequence}\}$.

3.2. Measure preserving systems. We use the usual ergodic theoretic setup for dealing with problems involving configurations in dense subsets of abelian groups; see any of [13], [10], [23], [20] for background.

Fix a countable abelian group G . We say that (X, μ, T) is a *measure preserving G -system* (or “ G -system”) if (X, μ) is a probability measure space, and G acts on X by transformations T_g which preserve μ . If $f \in L^2(\mu)$ and $g \in G$, we write T_g for the element $f \circ T_g$. Now we have an action of G on $L^2(\mu)$ by unitary operators T_g .

We say that f is *T -invariant* if $f(T_g x) = f(x)$ for μ -almost every x and all $g \in G$.

A subset $B \subset X$ is T -invariant if $\mu(B \Delta T_g B) = 0$ for every $g \in G$.

The system (X, μ, T) is *ergodic* if the only T -invariant sets B have $\mu(B) = 0$ or $\mu(B) = 1$.

We say that (X, μ, T) has *finitely many ergodic components* if the closed subspace of $L^2(\mu)$ consisting of T -invariant functions is finite dimensional.

Lemma 3.1. *If (X, μ, T) is ergodic and H is a finite index subgroup of G , then the H -action given by restricting T_g to $g \in H$ has finitely many ergodic components.*

Proof. Let $B \subset X$ be H -invariant, and let g_1, \dots, g_k be coset representatives of H . Now

$$X \sim_\mu \bigcup_{g \in G} T_g B \sim_\mu \bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{g_i} B,$$

so $\mu(B) \geq \frac{1}{k}$. Thus $\inf\{\mu(B) : B \subset X \text{ is } H\text{-invariant}\} > 0$, and the algebra \mathcal{I}_H of H -invariant sets is generated (up to μ -measure 0) by finitely many atoms B_1, \dots, B_r . Now every H -invariant $f \in L^2(\mu)$ is \mathcal{I}_H -measurable, so the functions $\psi_i := \frac{1}{\mu(B_i)} \mathbf{1}_{B_i}$ form an orthonormal basis of the space of H -invariant elements of $L^2(\mu)$. \square

We will use the Mean Ergodic Theorem for unitary actions; see [20, Theorem 4.22] for exposition.

Theorem 3.2 (Mean ergodic theorem). *Let U be an action of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} by unitary operators and $x \in \mathcal{H}$. If $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} U_g x = P_I x,$$

where $P_I x$ is the orthogonal projection of x onto the closed subspace of \mathcal{H} consisting of U -invariant vectors.

Specializing to the unitary action associated to a G -system yields the following.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, μ, T) be a G -system and $f \in L^2(\mu)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} T_g f = P_{\mathcal{I}} f,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the closed subspace of $L^2(\mu)$ consisting of T -invariant functions.

Observation 3.4. If (X, μ, T) has only finitely many ergodic components, then X can be partitioned into μ -measurable G -invariant subsets $X_1, \dots, X_k \subset X$, and for each $f \in L^2(\mu)$, the projection $P_{\mathcal{I}}f$ can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{\mu(X_i)} \int f 1_{X_i} d\mu \right) 1_{X_i}.$$

3.3. Eigenfunctions. We say that $f \in L^2(\mu)$ is an *eigenfunction* of (X, μ, T) if there is a character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $T_g f \sim_{\mu} \chi(g)f$ for every $g \in G$. Let \mathcal{AP} denote the closure of the span of the eigenfunctions of (X, μ, T) in $L^2(\mu)$, and write \mathcal{WM} for its orthogonal complement. Note that \mathcal{WM} is a closed, T -invariant subspace of $L^2(\mu)$.

Given a function $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we say that ψ *tends to 0 in density* if for every Følner sequence \mathbf{F} , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} |\psi(g)| = 0$. Equivalently, $\psi \rightarrow 0$ in density if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $A_\varepsilon := \{g : |\psi(g)| > \varepsilon\}$ has $d^*(A_\varepsilon) = 0$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (X, μ, T) be a G -system, $f \in \mathcal{WM}$ and $h \in L^2(\mu)$.*

- (i) *The correlation sequence $c(g) := \int f \cdot T_g h d\mu$ tends to 0 in density.*
- (ii) *If T has finitely many ergodic components, then $c_{\mathcal{I}}(g) := \|P_{\mathcal{I}}(f \cdot T_g h)\|_{L^2(\mu)}$ tends to 0 in density.*

Proof. Part (i) here follows from Corollary 1.5 of [4] (cf. Proposition 2.20 and Proposition D.17 of [20]). Part (ii) follows from Part (i) and Observation 3.4. \square

As usual for ergodic theoretic proofs of Roth's theorem, we need a van der Corput lemma. The version we use is [3, Theorem 2.12], specialized to countable discrete abelian groups; cf. [2, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 3.6 (van der Corput lemma). *Let $(x_g)_{g \in G}$ be a bounded collection of elements of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and \mathbf{F} be a Følner sequence. If*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_k|} \sum_{h \in F_k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \langle x_{g+h}, x_g \rangle = 0$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} x_g \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$.

The next lemma will be used in conjunction with Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.7. *If $f_1 \in \mathcal{WM}$, $f_0 \in \mathcal{AP}$, and bG has finite index in G , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} T_{ag} f_0 T_{bg} f_1 = 0$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

Proof. Since $f_0 \in \mathcal{AP}$, it is a linear combination of eigenfunctions ψ , and for each such ψ there is a character χ of G so that $T_g\psi = \chi(g)\psi$. We are therefore reduced to proving that when χ is a character of g ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \chi(g) T_{bg} f_1 = 0$$

in $L^2(\mu)$. Applying the mean ergodic theorem to the unitary action U on $L^2(\mu)$ defined by $U_g f = \chi(g) T_{bg} f$, we get that the limit of the averages above is the orthogonal projection of f_1 onto the space of U_g -invariant functions, which is contained in \mathcal{AP} . Since $f_1 \perp \mathcal{AP}$, the limit is 0. \square

Lemma 3.8. *If $p \in L^2(\mu)$ and $q \in \mathcal{WM}$, $a, b \in G$, and both bG and $(b-a)G$ have finite index in G , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} T_{ag} p T_{bg} q = 0$$

in $L^2(\mu)$.

Proof. We will apply Lemma 3.6 with $x_g = T_{ag} p T_{bg} q$. First we write $\langle x_{g+h}, x_g \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}$ as

$$\int T_{ag+ah} p \cdot T_{bg+bh} q \cdot T_{ag} p \cdot T_{bg} q \, d\mu = \int p T_{ah} p \cdot T_{(b-a)g} (q T_{bh} q) \, d\mu$$

Averaging over $g \in F_n$ and taking the limit, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \langle x_{g+h}, x_g \rangle &= \int p T_{ah} p \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} T_{(b-a)g} (q T_{bh} q) \, d\mu \\ &= \int p T_{ah} p \cdot P_{\mathcal{I}_{b-a}} (q T_{bh} q) \, d\mu \\ &\leq \|p T_{ah} p\| \|P_{\mathcal{I}_{b-a}} (q T_{bh} q)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{I}_{b-a}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the space of functions invariant under $g \mapsto T_{(b-a)g}$. Since T is ergodic and $(b-a)G$ has finite index in G , Lemma 3.1 implies this action has finitely many ergodic components. By Lemma 3.5 we have that $c(h) := \|P_{\mathcal{I}_{b-a}} (q T_{bh} q)\|$ tends to 0 in density, so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_k|} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{h \in F_k, g \in F_n} \langle x_{g+h}, x_g \rangle,$$

and Lemma 3.6 implies equation (3.1) holds. \square

3.4. Discrete spectrum. An ergodic G -system (X, μ, T) has *discrete spectrum* if its eigenfunctions span a dense subspace of $L^2(\mu)$. The Halmos-von Neumann theorem¹ states that every such system is isomorphic to an ergodic group rotation system (K, m, R) , meaning K is a compact abelian group with Haar probability measure m and R is given by a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow K$ with dense image, meaning $R_g(k) = k + \rho(g)$.

Multiple ergodic averages for ergodic group rotation systems can be computed as follows: if $f_i \in L^\infty(m)$, $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence and $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g \in F_n} \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(k + c_i \rho(g)) = \int_K \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(k + c_i s) ds$$

in $L^2(m)$; cf. Section 3 of [14].

3.5. Factors. The only factor we need in this article is the Kronecker factor of an ergodic G -system, but we mention the general theory to fix notation. See [10], [13], or [20] for a general discussion of factors of dynamical systems.

A *factor* of a G -system (X, μ, T) is a G -system (Y, ν, S) together with a measurable map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $\mu(\pi^{-1}B) = \nu(B)$ for all ν -measurable B and $\pi(T_g x) = S_g(\pi(x))$ for μ -almost every x .

To define the Kronecker factor, we need a standard result such as [20, Theorem 1.7], which we paraphrase as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *Let (X, μ, T) be an ergodic G -system. To every T -invariant σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of μ -measurable sets, there is a factor (Y, ν, S) with $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there is a ν -measurable $B \subset Y$ with $\mu(A \Delta \pi^{-1}B) = 0$. In other words, the elements of \mathcal{A} are, up to μ -measure 0, the μ -measurable subsets of the form $\pi^{-1}B$ for ν -measurable B .*

3.6. The Kronecker factor. Every ergodic G -system (X, μ, T) has a factor (K, m, R) with factor map $\pi : X \rightarrow K$ satisfying the following two properties:

- (i) (K, m, R) is an ergodic group rotation G -system.
- (ii) Every bounded $f \in \mathcal{AP}$ is equal μ -almost everywhere to a function of the form $\tilde{f} \circ \pi$.

With the identification in (ii), we have

$$(3.3) \quad f(T_g x) = \tilde{f}(\pi(x) + \rho(g)) \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x, \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

¹See [18] for the case where $G = \mathbb{Z}$, [22] for general groups.

This factor can be obtained as the factor associated by Theorem 3.9 to the smallest sub- σ -algebra of (X, μ, T) with respect to which every eigenfunction of T is measurable.

See [14], [13], or [10] for discussion of the Kronecker factor. In [7] the Kronecker factor is discussed as the ‘‘Kronecker-Mackey factor.’’

We will need two standard properties of the projection map $P_{\mathcal{AP}} : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}$.

(Positivity) if $f \geq 0$ μ -almost everywhere then $P_{\mathcal{AP}} f \geq 0$ μ -almost everywhere, and if $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, then $P_{\mathcal{AP}} f(x) \in [0, 1]$ for μ -almost every x .

(Integrals are preserved) $\int P_{\mathcal{AP}} f d\mu = \int f d\mu$ for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$.

These both follow from the fact that \mathcal{AP} is a norm-closed algebra of functions in $L^2(\mu)$ containing the constants.

3.7. Proof of Theorem 2.2. We recall the statement of Theorem 2.2:

Let (X, μ, T) be an ergodic measure preserving G -system and let $(c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be coefficients satisfying hypotheses (1)-(3) of Theorem 1.3. If $f_1, \dots, f_d : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are measurable functions, $L : G^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined as

$$(3.4) \quad L(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t) := \int T_{t - \sum_{j < d} c_j g_j} f_d \prod_{j < d} T_{c_d g_j} f_j d\mu$$

and $I(t)$ is defined as the iterated limit

$$(3.5) \quad I(t) := \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \dots \lim_{n_{d-1} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n_1}| \cdots |F_{n_{d-1}}|} \sum_{\substack{g_1 \in F_{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ g_{d-1} \in F_{n_{d-1}}}} L(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}; t)$$

then there are

- a compact metrizable abelian group K with Haar measure m ,
- a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow K$ having $\overline{\rho(G)} = K$,
- functions $\tilde{f}_i : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\int \tilde{f}_i dm = \int f_i d\mu$ for $i \leq d$

such that

$$(3.6) \quad I(t) := \int \tilde{f}_d \left(k + \rho(t) - \sum_{j < d} c_j s_j \right) \prod_{j < d} \tilde{f}_j(k + c_d s_j) dk ds_1 \dots ds_{d-1}.$$

Furthermore, if $f_1 = \dots = f_d$ then $\tilde{f}_1 = \dots = \tilde{f}_d$.

Proof. Let (K, m, R_ρ) be the Kronecker factor of (X, μ, T) with factor map $\pi : X \rightarrow K$. Let \mathcal{AP} denote the set of T -almost periodic functions, so that every bounded $f \in \mathcal{AP}$ can be written as $\tilde{f} \circ \pi$, where $\tilde{f} \in L^\infty(m)$.

The main step of the proof is to show that the innermost limit in the definition of I_g is unaffected when f_d is replaced by $P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_d$. To prove this it suffices to prove that the innermost limit is 0 when $P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_d = 0$, i.e. when $f_d \in \mathcal{WM}$. Assuming $f_d \in \mathcal{WM}$, we write the innermost average in I_t as

$$\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g_{d-1} \in F_n} \int T_{t-\sum_{j<d} c_j g_j} f_d \cdot T_{c_d g_{d-1}} f_{d-1} \prod_{j<d-1} T_{c_d g_j} f_j d\mu.$$

We rewrite the first factor $T_{t-\sum_{j<d} c_j g_j} f_d$ as $T_{c_{d-1} g_{d-1}} f'_d$, where $f'_d := T_{t-\sum_{j<d-1} c_j g_j} f_d$, so the above expression can be written as

$$(3.7) \quad \int \left(\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{g_{d-1} \in F_n} T_{-c_{d-1} g_{d-1}} f'_d \cdot T_{c_d g_{d-1}} f_{d-1} \right) \prod_{j<d-1} T_{c_d g_j} f_j d\mu.$$

Noting that $(c_d - (-c_{d-1}))G$ has finite index in G by hypothesis (2) in Theorem 1.3, we may apply Lemma 3.8 to the average in parentheses in (3.7) and conclude that it converges to 0 in $L^2(\mu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The same argument shows that f_{d-1} in (3.5) can be replaced by $P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_{d-1}$ without affecting the innermost limit.

Thus we may assume $f_j \in \mathcal{AP}$ for $j = d$ and $d - 1$. Under this assumption, Lemma 3.7 allows us to replace the remaining f_j , $1 \leq j < d - 1$ with $P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_j$ without affecting the limit in (3.5).

Having replaced each f_j in (3.5) with $P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_j$, we write $P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_j$ as $\tilde{f}_j \circ \pi$, and note that equation (3.3) means $T_h P_{\mathcal{AP}}f_j = \tilde{f}_j(\pi(x) + \rho(h))$ for μ -almost every x . We can compute the innermost limit in (3.5) by (3.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n_{d-1} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n_{d-1}}|} \sum_{g_{d-1} \in F_{n_{d-1}}} \int \tilde{f}_d(k + \rho(g - \sum_{j<d} c_j g_j)) \prod_{j<d} \tilde{f}_j(k + \rho(c_d g_j)) dk \\ &= \int \tilde{f}(k + \rho(g - \sum_{j<d-1} c_j g_{j-1} + c_{d-1} s_{d-1})) \prod_{j<d-1} \tilde{f}_j(k + \rho(c_d g_j)) \tilde{f}_{d-1}(k + c_d s_{d-1}) dk ds_{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The same computation for averages over $g_j \in F_{n_j}$, $j = d - 2, j = d - 3, \dots, j = 1$, will simplify the remaining limits in (2.3) to obtain (3.6).

With our definition of the \tilde{f}_i we get that $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_j$ whenever $f_i = f_j$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Vitaly Bergelson and Randall McCutcheon. Recurrence for semigroup actions and a non-commutative Schur theorem. In *Topological dynamics and applications (Minneapolis, MN, 1995)*, volume 215 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 205–222. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

- [2] Vitaly Bergelson, Randall McCutcheon, and Qing Zhang. A Roth theorem for amenable groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 119(6):1173–1211, 1997.
- [3] Vitaly Bergelson and Joel Moreira. Van der Corput’s difference theorem: some modern developments. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 27(2):437–479, 2016.
- [4] Vitaly Bergelson and Joseph Rosenblatt. Mixing actions of groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 32(1):65–80, 1988.
- [5] Vitaly Bergelson and Imre Z. Ruzsa. Sumsets in difference sets. *Israel J. Math.*, 174:1–18, 2009.
- [6] Michael Björklund. Product set phenomena for measured groups. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 38(8):2913–2941, 2018.
- [7] Michael Björklund and Alexander Fish. Approximate invariance for ergodic actions of amenable groups. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 6, 56, 2019.
- [8] Michael Björklund and John T. Griesmer. Bohr sets in triple products of large sets in amenable groups. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 25(3):923–936, 2019.
- [9] N. Bogoliouboff. Sur quelques propriétés arithmétiques des presque-périodes. *Ann. Chaire Phys. Math. Kiev*, 4:185–205, 1939.
- [10] Manfred Einsiedler and Thomas Ward. *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, volume 259 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
- [11] Erling Følner. Note on a generalization of a theorem of Bogoliouboff. *Math. Scand.*, 2:224–226, 1954.
- [12] Alan H. Forrest. *Recurrence in dynamical systems: A combinatorial approach*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1990. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The Ohio State University.
- [13] H. Furstenberg. *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981. M. B. Porter Lectures.
- [14] Harry Furstenberg. Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions. *J. Analyse Math.*, 31:204–256, 1977.
- [15] Alfred Geroldinger and Imre Z. Ruzsa. *Combinatorial number theory and additive group theory*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. Courses and seminars from the DocCourse in Combinatorics and Geometry held in Barcelona, 2008.
- [16] John T. Griesmer. Bohr topology and difference sets for some abelian groups. 2017. arxiv.org/abs/1608.01014.
- [17] John T. Griesmer. Separating bohr denseness from measurable recurrence. 2020. arxiv.org/abs/2002.06994.
- [18] Paul R. Halmos and John von Neumann. Operator methods in classical mechanics. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 43:332–350, 1942.
- [19] Norbert Hegyvári and Imre Z. Ruzsa. Additive structure of difference sets and a theorem of Følner. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 64:437–443, 2016.
- [20] David Kerr and Hanfeng Li. *Ergodic theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2016. Independence and dichotomies.
- [21] Igor Kříž. Large independent sets in shift-invariant graphs: solution of Bergelson’s problem. *Graphs Combin.*, 3(2):145–158, 1987.

- [22] George W. Mackey. Ergodic transformation groups with a pure point spectrum. *Illinois J. Math.*, 8:593–600, 1964.
- [23] Randall McCutcheon. *Elemental methods in ergodic Ramsey theory*, volume 1722 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

Email address: `jtgriesmer@gmail.com`