

TIME ANALYTICITY FOR NONLOCAL PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

HONGJIE DONG, CHULAN ZENG, AND QI S. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate pointwise time analyticity of solutions to nonlocal parabolic equations in the settings of \mathbb{R}^d and a complete Riemannian manifold M . On one hand, in \mathbb{R}^d , we prove that any solution $u = u(t, x)$ to $u_t(t, x) - L_\alpha^\kappa u(t, x) = 0$, where L_α^κ is a nonlocal operator of order α , is time analytic in $(0, 1]$ if u satisfies the growth condition $|u(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$ for any $(t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$. We also obtain pointwise estimates for $\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)$, where $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ is the fractional heat kernel. Furthermore, under the same growth condition, we show that the mild solution is the unique solution. On the other hand, in a manifold M , we also prove the time analyticity of the mild solution under the same growth condition and the time analyticity of the fractional heat kernel, when M satisfies the Poincaré inequality and the volume doubling condition. Moreover, we also study the time and space derivatives of the fractional heat kernel in \mathbb{R}^d using the method of Fourier transform and contour integrals. We find that when $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the fractional heat kernel is time analytic at $t = 0$ when $x \neq 0$, which differs from the standard heat kernel.

As corollaries, we obtain sharp solvability condition for the backward nonlocal parabolic equations and time analyticity of some nonlinear nonlocal parabolic equations with power nonlinearity of order p . These results are related to those in [8] and [21] which deal with local equations.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Nonlocal parabolic equations in \mathbb{R}^d	6
2.1. Time analyticity of the fractional heat kernel p_α and mild solutions	6
2.2. Uniqueness of solutions	8
2.3. Completion of proof of Theorem 1.2	15
3. Fractional heat kernel estimates on \mathbb{R}^d	16
4. Fractional heat equation on a manifold	21
4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7	21
4.2. Proof of (1.18) in Theorem 1.8	23
4.3. Proof of (1.19) in Theorem 1.8	27
5. Corollaries on backward and other equations	28
Acknowledgement	30
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate pointwise time analyticity of solutions to nonlocal parabolic equations in the settings of \mathbb{R}^d and a complete Riemannian manifold M satisfying the

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal parabolic equations, Fractional heat equations, time analyticity, heat kernel estimates, backward fractional heat equations.

standard Conditions (1.12) and (1.13). One of our main results reads that the fractional heat kernel on \mathbb{R}^d is time analytic at $t = 0$ when $x \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, which differs from the standard heat kernel. As an intermediate result, we obtain the uniqueness of solutions to nonlocal parabolic equations in \mathbb{R}^d , which improves a result in [2] in the sense that instead of the bound $Ct/(t^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{d+\alpha}$, we only impose the growth condition $|u(t, x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$ for any $(t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$. In the manifold setting, we obtain lower and upper bounds for the fractional heat kernel p_α , and prove that p_α is time analytic for any $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times M$. These results allow us to solve the solvability problem of the backward nonlocal parabolic equations which can be ill-posed.

Before presenting the results in detail, we wish to justify their value by recalling a number of related results in the literature and describing some new applications. The study of analyticity property of solutions to PDEs has been a classical topic. Even though the spatial analyticity is usually true for generic solutions of the heat equation, the time analyticity is harder to prove and is false in general. For instance, it is not hard to construct a solution of the heat equation in a space-time cylinder in the Euclidean setting, which is not time analytic in a sequence of moments. In fact, the time analyticity is not a local property, rather it requires certain boundary or growth conditions on the solutions. There is a vast literature on time-analyticity for the heat equation and other parabolic type equations under various assumptions. See, for example, [16], [12], [10], [9], [20], [8], [23], and [21] and the citations therein. One can also consider solutions in certain L^p spaces with $p \in (1, \infty)$. See [17] for a large class of dissipative equations in the periodic setting. We also mention that in [9], for any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with analytic boundary, the authors proved that any solution of the high order heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^m u = 0, & \forall (t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \Omega, \\ u = Du = \dots = D^{m-1}u = 0 \text{ on } (0, 1] \times \partial\Omega, & u(0, x) \in L^2(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

is time analytic in $t \in (0, 1]$.

Recently new applications of time analyticity are found in control theory and in the study of backward equations which is essential in stochastic analysis and mathematical finance. A fundamental fact in control theory for heat type equations is that if a state is reachable by the free equation then it is reachable by suitable control from any reasonable initial value. The former is equivalent to the solvability of the free backward equation from this state. However this backward solvability question has been vexing the control theory community for years. As a matter of fact, in a recent paper [14], it was written: "However, it is a quite hard task to decide whether a given state is the value at some time of a trajectory of the system without control (free evolution). In practice, the only known examples of such states are the steady states." This problem for the heat equation was solved in [8] not long ago. More precisely, in the paper [8] (see also [23]), it was proved that if a smooth solution of the heat equation in $(-2, 0] \times M$ is of exponential growth of order 2, then it is time analytic in $t \in [-1, 0]$. Here M is either the Euclidean space or certain noncompact manifolds. Also, an explicit condition is found on the solvability of the backward heat equation from a given time, which is equivalent to the time analyticity of the solution of the heat equation at that time. Lately, the time analyticity of solutions to the biharmonic heat equation, the heat equation with potentials, and some nonlinear heat equations are proven in [21]. See also [5] for other results about time analyticity of parabolic type differential equations in the half space. One of the goals of this paper is to extend the result to nonlocal parabolic equations which have attracted intensive research (See Corollary 5.1).

Now let us present the main results formally. For clarity, we will first treat the nonlocal parabolic equations in the setting of \mathbb{R}^d , which reads

$$u_t(t, x) - L_\alpha^\kappa u(t, x) = 0, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2), \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.1)$$

where L_α^κ is a nonlocal elliptic operator defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. We define

$$L_\alpha^\kappa f(x) := p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+z) - f(x)) \frac{\kappa(x, z)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz, \quad (1.2)$$

where *p.v.* means the principal value. Here $\kappa = \kappa(x, z)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable function satisfying that

$$0 < \kappa_0 \leq \kappa(x, z) \leq \kappa_1, \quad \kappa(x, z) = \kappa(x, -z), \quad (1.3)$$

and for a constant $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$|\kappa(x, z) - \kappa(y, z)| \leq \kappa_2 |x - y|^\beta, \quad (1.4)$$

where κ_0, κ_1 , and κ_2 are positive constants.

The fraction Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is a typical example of L_α^κ . As a special case, we also obtain the time and space derivative estimates of the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x)$ of

$$u_t(t, x) + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(t, x) = 0, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2), \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.5)$$

Our results involve both solutions and fractional heat kernels. We say that a function $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ is a fractional heat kernel of the equation (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^d , if

$$\partial_t p_\alpha(t, x; y) = L_\alpha^\kappa p_\alpha(t, x; y), \quad \lim_{t \searrow 0} p_\alpha(t, x; y) = \delta(x, y).$$

In [2], it was proved that the fractional heat kernel is unique under the condition that

$$|p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{Ct}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}},$$

for a constant C . In Lemma 2.5, we improve this uniqueness result by only requiring the growth condition (1.7). The definition of the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ on a manifold M will be given in Section 4.

The next four theorems are the main results of this paper. The first one is a time analyticity result in the case of \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 1.2. (a) Let $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ be the heat kernel of equation (1.1). Then there exists a positive constant C such that for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and any nonnegative integer k ,

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C^{k+1} k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}}. \quad (1.6)$$

(b) Assume that $u = u(t, x)$ is a solution to (1.1) with polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$, i.e.,

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C_1 (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \alpha) \quad (1.7)$$

for a positive constant C_1 . Then

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t, x - y) u(0, y) dy$$

is the unique smooth solution with initial data $u(0, \cdot)$. Moreover, u is time analytic for any $t \in (0, 1]$ with the radius of convergence being independent of x .

(c) For any $t \in (1 - \delta, 1]$ with a small $\delta > 0$, we have

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x) \frac{(t-1)^j}{j!},$$

where $a_0(x) = u(1, x)$, $a_{j+1}(x) = L_\alpha^k a_j(x)$,

$$|a_j(x)| = |(L_\alpha^k)^j a_0(x)| \leq C_1 C_2^j j^j (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and C_2 is a positive constant.

Remark 1.3. The estimate $|a_j(x)|$ in part (c) of this theorem will be used for the solvability of the backward nonlocal parabolic equations and the time analyticity at $t = 0$ in the last section.

Remark 1.4. From the proof of this theorem, for a constant $C > 0$, we have

$$|\partial_t^k u(t, x)| \leq \frac{C^{k+1} k^k}{t^{k-1}} \left(\frac{1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1] \quad (1.8)$$

under the growth condition (1.7).

Now let us focus on the heat kernel of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Recall that the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x)$ for $u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(t, x) = 0$ is given by

$$p_\alpha(t, x) = C(d, \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} d\xi, \quad (1.9)$$

which can be deduced by the Fourier transform.

Theorem 1.5. *The following statements are true for the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x)$ when $t \geq 0$.*

(a) *For any $\alpha > 0$ and for any positive integer k , there exist positive constants C , C_1 , and C_2 such that*

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x)| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{C_1 C_2^{k\alpha} (k\alpha)^{k\alpha}}{|x|^{k\alpha+d}}, \frac{C}{t^{k+d/\alpha}} \Gamma \left(\frac{k\alpha + d}{\alpha} \right) \right\}, \quad (1.10)$$

which implies that p_α is of Gevrey class in time of order α when $x \neq 0$ and p_α is analytic in time when $t > 0$. Moreover, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $x \neq 0$, then p_α is analytic in time for all $t \geq 0$. Here Γ is the gamma function.

(b) *For any $\alpha > 0$ and for any positive integer k ,*

$$|\partial_x^k p_\alpha(t, x)| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{C_1 C_2^{k+\alpha} (k+\alpha)^{k+\alpha} t}{|x|^{\alpha+k+d}}, \frac{C}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma \left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha} \right) \right\}, \quad (1.11)$$

which implies that p_α is analytic in space at $|x| \neq 0$. Especially, when $t \neq 0$, p_α is of Gevrey class with order $1/\alpha$ in space for any x .

Part (a) of the theorem shows that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, the fractional heat kernel is time analytic down to $t = 0$, $x \neq 0$, which is not true for the standard heat kernel.

By the above Theorem 1.5, we have

Corollary 1.6. *If the unique smooth solution $u = u(t, x)$ to the fractional heat equation (1.5) satisfies the growth condition (1.7) for some $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, then it is analytic in space for any $(t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, u is of Gevrey class of order $1/\alpha$ in space for any $(t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.*

The last two theorems of the paper are in the setting of a complete Riemannian manifold M . We impose the following two standard conditions on M :

Condition (1): There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for any ball $B(x_0, r)$, $x_0 \in M$, $r > 0$, and $f \in C^\infty(B(x_0, r))$,

$$\int_{B(x_0, r)} |f - f_{B(x_0, r)}|^2 dx \leq C_0 r^2 \int_{B(x_0, r)} |\nabla f|^2 dx, \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$f_{B(x_0, r)} := \frac{1}{|B(x_0, r)|} \int_{B(x_0, r)} f dx.$$

Condition (2): There exists a constant $C^* > 0$ such that for any ball $B(x, r)$, $x \in M$, and $r > 0$,

$$|B(x, 2r)| \leq C^* |B(x, r)|. \quad (1.13)$$

The first condition is the Poincaré inequality. The second one is the doubling property of the measure.

We aim to investigate the pointwise time analyticity of solutions to

$$\partial_t u(t, x) - L^\alpha u(t, x) = 0, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2), \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times M, \quad (1.14)$$

where L^α is defined as follows. Let Δ be the Laplace operator on M generating a Markov semigroup P_t which has a density $E(t, x; y)$ i.e. the heat kernel of the standard heat equation on M . Consider the α -stable subordination of P_t ,

$$P_t^\alpha := \int_0^\infty P_s \mu_t^\alpha(ds), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where μ_t^α is a probability measure on $[0, \infty)$ with the Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \mu_t^\alpha(ds) = e^{-t\lambda^\alpha}, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

Then L^α is the infinitesimal generator of P_t^α .

In particular, we will also study the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ and its high order time derivatives $\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let M be a d -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold satisfying conditions (1.12) and (1.13) and $u = u(t, x)$ be a mild solution to equation (1.14), i.e.,*

$$u(t, x) = \int_M p_\alpha(t, x; y) u(0, y) dy. \quad (1.15)$$

Assume that u is of polynomial growth of order $(\alpha - \varepsilon)$ at $t = 0$, i.e., for a constant $C > 0$,

$$|u(0, x)| \leq C(1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha - \varepsilon}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \alpha, \quad x \in M. \quad (1.16)$$

Then for a constant $C > 0$, it holds that

$$|\partial_t^k u(t, x)| \leq \frac{C^{k+1} k^k}{t^{k-1}} \left(\frac{1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha - \varepsilon}}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right), \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times M, \quad (1.17)$$

which implies that u is time analytic in $(0, \infty) \times M$ with the radius of convergence independent of x .

We also obtain the time analyticity of the fractional heat kernel in the manifold setting.

Theorem 1.8. *Let M be a d -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold satisfying conditions (1.12) and (1.13). Then for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ satisfies:*

$$\frac{C_1 t}{(d(x, y)^\alpha + t)|B(x, d(x, y) + t^{1/\alpha})|} \leq p_\alpha(t, x; y) \leq \frac{C_2 t}{(d(x, y)^\alpha + t)|B(x, d(x, y) + t^{1/\alpha})|}. \quad (1.18)$$

Moreover, for any integer $k \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C^{k+1} k!}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{(d(x, y)^\alpha + t)|B(x, d(x, y) + t^{1/\alpha})|}. \quad (1.19)$$

Here we remark that (1.18) is more or less known and our main contribution is (1.19).

Remark 1.9. It is an interesting question whether the uniqueness result still holds in the manifold case under the same growth condition. In the proof of Lemma 2.5, we use (1.2) as an explicit formula for L_α^κ in \mathbb{R}^d . However, in M , we do not have such a formula for L^α in (1.14). Therefore, the proof in Lemma 2.5 does not work in this case.

Now we give an outline of the rest of this paper. In Section 2, we investigate the point-wise time analyticity of a solution of (1.1) in the setting of \mathbb{R}^d and prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, by using the Fourier transform and contour integrals, we derive some estimates of the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x)$, which implies Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6. In Section 4, we turn to the setting of a manifold and obtain similar results, Theorems 1.7 and 1.8. In the proof, we use the subordination relation (4.2) and the estimates for the standard heat kernel. Section 5 is devoted to some corollaries. One of them is about a necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the backward nonlocal parabolic equations. Another corollary gives a necessary and sufficient condition under which solutions to (1.1) or (1.14) are time analytic at initial time $t = 0$. Also for the nonlinear differential equation (5.7) with power nonlinearity of order p , we prove that a solution $u = u(t, x)$ is time analytic in $t \in (0, 1]$ if it is bounded in $[0, 1] \times M$ and p is a positive integer.

Let us collect some frequently used notation.

- If x is in \mathbb{R}^d , then $|x| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2}$ and $B_r(x)$ is a ball of radius r centered at x .
- In M , $B(x, r)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius r centered at x and $|B(x, r)|$ denotes its volume. We define $d(x, y)$ to be the geodesic distance of two points $x, y \in M$ and 0 to be a reference point in M .
- $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$ is the fractional heat kernel of equations (1.1), (1.5), or (1.14), and $E(t, x; y)$ is the heat kernel of the usual heat equation.

Throughout this paper, the constant C may differ from line to line.

2. NONLOCAL PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN \mathbb{R}^d

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 in the setting of \mathbb{R}^d . First, in Subsection 2.1, we prove that the fractional heat kernel p_α and the mild solution $u = u(t, x)$ to (1.1), i.e. (1.15), are analytic in time. Next, we prove that u is the unique smooth solution in Subsection 2.2. Finally, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Subsection 2.3. The proof is divided into several lemmas for easy reading.

2.1. Time analyticity of the fractional heat kernel p_α and mild solutions.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then (1.6) is true. Moreover, if the mild solution*

$$u = u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t, x; y) u(0, y) dy$$

is of polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$ as in (1.7), then (1.8) holds.

Proof. From [3, (1.8), (1.14), and (1.10)], there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\frac{C_1 t}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}} \leq p_\alpha(t, x; y) \leq \frac{C_2 t}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|\partial_t p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C_2}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus the conclusions of the lemma are true for $k = 1$. Now we proceed by induction. For any integer $k > 1$, we assume that

$$|\partial_t^{k-1} p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C^k (k-1)^{k-1}}{t^{k-2}} \frac{1}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}}, \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C_2 \leq C^{1/2}$. Using the semigroup property and (2.2), for any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $\tau \in (0, t)$, we know that

$$\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t p_\alpha(t - \tau, x; z) \partial_\tau^{k-1} p_\alpha(\tau, z; y) dz.$$

Therefore, by (2.2) and the inductive assumption, it holds that

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C^{k+1/2} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-z|)^{d+\alpha}} \frac{1}{(\tau^{1/\alpha} + |y-z|)^{d+\alpha}} dz. \quad (2.3)$$

Then for any $t \in (0, 1]$, we take $\tau = \frac{(k-1)t}{k}$.

On one hand, if $t > |x - y|^\alpha$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| &\leq \frac{C^{k+1/2} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \frac{1}{\tau^{(d+\alpha)/\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-z|)^{d+\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq \frac{C^{k+3/4} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \frac{1}{\tau^{(d+\alpha)/\alpha}} \frac{1}{t-\tau} \\ &\leq \frac{C^{k+7/8} k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{t^{(d+\alpha)/\alpha}} \leq \frac{C^{k+1} k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x - y|)^{d+\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

provided that C is sufficiently large.

On the other hand, if $t < |x - y|^\alpha$, by (2.3) and

$$\mathbb{R}^d \subset \left\{ z : |x - z| \geq \frac{|x - y|}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ z : |y - z| \geq \frac{|x - y|}{2} \right\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+1/2}(k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \int_{\{|z:|x-z|\geq|x-y|/2\}} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-z|)^{d+\alpha}} \frac{1}{(\tau^{1/\alpha} + |y-z|)^{d+\alpha}} dz \\
& \quad + \frac{C^{k+1/2}(k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \int_{\{|z:|y-z|\geq|x-y|/2\}} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-z|)^{d+\alpha}} \frac{1}{(\tau^{1/\alpha} + |y-z|)^{d+\alpha}} dz \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+1/2}(k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|/2)^{d+\alpha}} \int_{\{|z:|x-z|\geq|x-y|/2\}} \frac{1}{(\tau^{1/\alpha} + |y-z|)^{d+\alpha}} dz \\
& \quad + \frac{C^{k+1/2}(k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \frac{1}{(\tau^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|/2)^{d+\alpha}} \int_{\{|z:|y-z|\geq|x-y|/2\}} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-z|)^{d+\alpha}} dz \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+3/4}(k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \frac{1}{((t-\tau)^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|/2)^{d+\alpha}} \frac{1}{\tau} \\
& \quad + \frac{C^{k+3/4}(k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2}} \frac{1}{(\tau^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|/2)^{d+\alpha}} \frac{1}{t-\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Noting $\tau = \frac{(k-1)t}{k}$ and $t < |x-y|^\alpha$, by (2.5), we can see that

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C^{k+7/8}k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq \frac{C^{k+1}k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|)^{d+\alpha}}. \tag{2.6}$$

The combination of (2.4) and (2.6) completes the induction and gives (1.6).

Next we prove (1.8). We claim that

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t, x; y)u(0, y) dy, \tag{2.7}$$

the proof of which is postponed to the next subsection. Then we have

$$\partial_t^k u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)u(0, y) dy.$$

This together with (1.6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_t^k u(t, x)| & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| |u(0, y)| dy \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{C^{k+1}k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|)^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{C^{k+1}k^k}{t^{k-1}} \frac{1}{(t^{1/\alpha} + |x-y|)^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |x-y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+1}k^k}{t^{k-1}} \left(\frac{1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., u is time analytic when $t \in (0, 1]$. \square

2.2. Uniqueness of solutions. In this subsection, we prove that the mild solution

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t, x; y)u(0, y) dy$$

in Theorem 1.2 is unique among smooth solutions under the growth condition (1.7). This will imply (2.7). The proof is based on Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 in [6], which we recall

here for the reader's convenience. The idea is that once a solution is in C^γ with a small $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, then it is in C^α with $\alpha \in [1, 2)$.

The first lemma is about the case when $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

Lemma 2.2 (Proposition 3.4 in [6]). *Let $\omega_f(\cdot)$ be a modulus of continuity of a function $f = f(t, x)$ in $Q_{3/4}(1, x_0)$, that is*

$$|f(t, x) - f(t', x')| \leq \omega_f(\max\{|x - x'|, |t - t'|^{1/\alpha}\}), \quad \forall (t, x), (t', x') \in Q_{3/4}(1, x_0),$$

where $Q_r(t, x) = (t - r^\alpha, t) \times B_r(x)$. Assume that u is a smooth solution to

$$u_t(t, x) - L_\alpha^k u(t, x) = f(t, x), \quad \alpha \in (1, 2), \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and $u \in C^\gamma([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then it holds that

$$[u]_{\alpha; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^x + [Du]_{(\alpha-1)/\alpha; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^t + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{1/2}(1, x_0))} \leq C \|u\|_{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma; [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_f(2^{-k})$$

for a constant $C > 0$. Here

$$[u]_{\alpha; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^x := \sup_{t \in (1-(1/2)^\alpha, 1)} [u(t, \cdot)]_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2}(x_0))},$$

$$[Du]_{(\alpha-1)/\alpha; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^t := \sup_{x \in B_{1/2}(x_0)} [Du(\cdot, x)]_{C^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}((1-(1/2)^\alpha, 1))},$$

and $\|u\|_{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma; [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d}$ is the $C_{t,x}^{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma}$ norm in $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

The second lemma is about the case when $\alpha = 1$.

Lemma 2.3 (Proposition 3.5 in [6]). *Assume that u is a smooth solution to*

$$u_t(t, x) - L_\alpha^k u(t, x) = f(t, x), \quad \alpha = 1, \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and $u \in C^\gamma([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then it holds that

$$[Du]_{L^\infty(Q_{1/2}(1, x_0))} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{1/2}(1, x_0))} \leq C \|u\|_{\gamma, \gamma; [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_f(2^{-k})$$

for a constant $C > 0$.

The proof of the uniqueness starts with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). For equation (1.1), suppose that a smooth solution $u = u(t, x)$ is of polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$, i.e.,*

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C_1 (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \alpha \in [1, 2), \quad \varepsilon \in (0, \alpha). \quad (2.8)$$

Then for a constant $C > 0$ and for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that

$$[u]_{1; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^x \leq C (1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$[u]_{1; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^x := \sup_{t \in (1-(1/2)^\alpha, 1)} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{Lip(B_{1/2}(x_0))}$$

and Lip means the Lipschitz norm.

Proof. From Proposition 2.4 of [7] or Theorem 7.1 of [19], there is a small constant $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$[u]_{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma; Q_{7/8}(1, 0)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty((0, 1); L_1(\omega_\alpha))}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\omega_\alpha = \frac{1}{1+|x|^{d+\alpha}}$ and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty((0,1);L_1(\omega_\alpha))} = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(t,x)|}{1+|x|^{d+\alpha}} dx.$$

By (2.10), the growth condition (2.8), and the space translation $x \rightarrow x + x_0$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u]_{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma; Q_{7/8}(1, x_0)} &\leq C \sup_{t \in (0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(t, x + x_0)|}{1 + |x|^{d+\alpha}} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon})}{1 + |x|^{d+\alpha}} dx \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The next step is to prove

$$[u]_{\alpha; Q_{5/8}(1, x_0)}^x \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}). \quad (2.12)$$

We modify the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [6].

Take a cut-off function $\eta = \eta(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(Q_{7/8}(1, x_0))$ satisfying $\eta = 1$ in $Q_{5/6}(1, x_0)$ and $\|\partial_t^j D^i \eta\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ when $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let $(t, x), (t', x')$ be two points in $Q_{3/4}(1, x_0)$ and let $v(t, x) := u(t, x)\eta(t, x)$. Then in $Q_{3/4}(1, x_0)$,

$$\partial_t v = \eta \partial_t u + \partial_t \eta u = \eta L_\alpha^k u + \partial_t \eta u = L_\alpha^k v + h + \partial_t \eta u, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$h = \eta L_\alpha^k u - L_\alpha^k v = p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\xi(t, x, y) \kappa(x, y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy$$

and

$$\xi(t, x, y) = u(t, x + y)(\eta(t, x) - \eta(t, x + y)). \quad (2.14)$$

We are going to apply Lemma 2.2 or Lemma 2.3 to (2.13) in $Q_{3/4}(1, x_0)$ and obtain corresponding estimates (2.12) in $Q_{5/8}(1, x_0)$. To this end, we only need to estimate the Hölder semi-norm of h in $Q_{3/4}(1, x_0)$.

First, when $|y| \leq 5/6 - 3/4 = 1/12$, by (2.14), we have

$$\xi(t, x, y) = \xi(t', x', y) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

By the assumptions on η and (2.14), it holds that

$$|\xi(t', x', y)| \leq \begin{cases} C|u(t', x' + y)|, & |y| \geq 1 \\ C|u(t', x' + y)||y|, & 1/12 < |y| < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

Now by the triangle inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t, x) - h(t', x')| &\leq \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\xi(t, x, y) - \xi(t', x', y)| \kappa(x, y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy}_I \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\xi(t', x', y)(\kappa(x', y) - \kappa(x, y))|}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy}_II. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

By using (1.4), (2.8), (2.15), and (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq \int_{|y| \in (1/12, 1)} \frac{C|u(t', x' + y)||y|\kappa_2|x - x'|^\beta}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy + \int_{|y| > 1} \frac{C|u(t', x' + y)|}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \kappa_2|x - x'|^\beta dy \\
&\leq \int_{|y| \in (1/12, 1)} \frac{C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon})|x - x'|^\beta}{|y|^{d+\alpha-1}} dy \\
&\quad + \int_{|y| > 1} \frac{C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon})}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} |x - x'|^\beta dy \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon})|x - x'|^\beta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Now we estimate I . When $1/12 \leq |y| < 2$, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\xi(t, x, y) - \xi(t', x', y) = -y \int_0^1 (u(t, x + y)D\eta(t, x + sy) - u(t', x' + y)D\eta(t', x' + sy)) ds.$$

Therefore, by (2.8), (2.11), and the triangle inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\xi(t, x, y) - \xi(t', x', y)| \\
&\leq |y| \int_0^1 |u(t, x + y) - u(t', x' + y)| |D\eta(t', x' + sy)| ds \\
&\quad + |y| \int_0^1 |u(t, x + y)| |D\eta(t, x + sy) - D\eta(t', x' + sy)| ds \\
&\leq C|y| |u(t, x + y) - u(t', x' + y)| + C|y| |u(t, x + y)| (|x - x'| + |t - t'|) \\
&\leq C|y|(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/\alpha}) + C|y|(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'| + |t - t'|).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

When $|y| \geq 2$, by (2.14) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\xi(t, x, y) - \xi(t', x', y)| = |u(t, x + y) - u(t', x' + y)| \\
&\leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/\alpha}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Thus, by (1.3), (2.19), (2.20), and (2.15), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq \int_{|y| \in (1/12, 2)} \frac{C|y|(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/\alpha})}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \\
&\quad + \int_{|y| \in (1/12, 2)} \frac{C|y|(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'| + |t - t'|)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \\
&\quad + \int_{|y| > 2} \frac{C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/\alpha})}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \\
&\leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/\alpha}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Plugging (2.18) and (2.21) into (2.17), we deduce that

$$|h(t, x) - h(t', x')| \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) (|x - x'|^\gamma + |t - t'|^{\gamma/\alpha}),$$

where $\gamma' = \min\{\gamma, \beta\}$, which implies that we can take the modulus of continuity as

$$\omega_h(r) = C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) r^{\gamma'}$$

for any $r \in (0, 1)$. According to Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_h\left(\frac{3}{2^{k+1}}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \left(\frac{3}{2^{k+1}}\right)^{\gamma'} \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}). \tag{2.22}$$

Now we consider two cases.

Case (1): $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. In this case, we apply Lemma 2.2 to (2.13) in $Q_{3/4}(1, x_0)$ with a scaling argument. From (2.11) and (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [v]_{\alpha; Q_{5/8}(1, x_0)}^x &\leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + C[v]_{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma; [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_h \left(\frac{3}{2^{k+1}} \right) \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{7/8}(1, x_0))} + C[u]_{\gamma/\alpha, \gamma; Q_{7/8}(1, x_0)} + C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

by noting that $v = 0$ outside of $Q_{7/8}(1, x_0)$. Because $\eta = 1$ in $Q_{5/8}(1, x_0)$, we get (2.12) immediately.

Case (2): $\alpha = 1$. In this case, we apply Lemma 2.3 with a scaling argument. Using (2.11) and (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dv\|_{L^\infty(Q_{5/8}(1, x_0))} &\leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + C[v]_{\gamma, \gamma; [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d} + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_h \left(\frac{3}{2^{k+1}} \right) \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{7/8}(1, x_0))} + C[u]_{\gamma, \gamma; Q_{7/8}(1, x_0)} + C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.12) again.

Finally, by the interpolation inequality, (2.12), and (2.8), we arrive at

$$[u]_{1; Q_{1/2}(1, x_0)}^x \leq C[u]_{\alpha; Q_{5/8}(1, x_0)}^x + C\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_{5/8}(1, x_0))} \leq C(1 + |x_0|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove the uniqueness part of the theorem, which is stated as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then there is a unique smooth solution $u = u(t, x)$ to (1.1) satisfying the initial data $u(0, \cdot)$ and the polynomial growth condition (1.7), which is given by*

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t, x; y) u(0, y) dy, \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. By linearity, we just need to prove that if a smooth solution u satisfies (1.7) and $u(0, x) = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$.

Fix $(t_0, x_0) \in (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. By shifting the coordinates, we may assume $x_0 = 0$ and it suffices to prove $u(t_0, 0) = 0$. Now let $L^* = (L_\alpha^K)^*$ be the adjoint operator of L_α^K and let $p_\alpha^*(t, x; s, y)$ be the heat kernel of L^* , which by definition, satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p_\alpha^*(t, x; s, y) - L^* p_\alpha^*(t, x; s, y) = 0, & t > s \text{ and } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ p_\alpha^*(s, x; s, y) = \delta(x, y). \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

Because the heat kernels of L_α^K and L^* are independent of time, we have

$$p_\alpha(t, x; s, y) = p_\alpha(t - s, x; 0, y), \quad p_\alpha^*(t, x; s, y) = p_\alpha^*(t - s, x; 0, y). \quad (2.24)$$

It is also known that

$$p_\alpha(t, x; s, y) = p_\alpha^*(t, y; s, x), \quad t \geq s, \quad (2.25)$$

which can be seen as follows. For any $t_0, s_0 \in (0, 1)$ with $s_0 \leq t_0$, using (2.23) and (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{s_0}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_\alpha^K p_\alpha(t, z; s_0, y) p_\alpha^*(t_0, z; t, x) dz dt \\
&= \int_{s_0}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_\alpha^K p_\alpha(t - s_0, z; 0, y) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, z; 0, x) dz dt \\
&= \int_{s_0}^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t p_\alpha(t - s_0, z; 0, y) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, z; 0, x) dz dt \\
&= p_\alpha(t_0 - s_0, x; 0, y) - p_\alpha^*(t_0 - s_0, y; 0, x) + \int_{s_0}^{t_0} p_\alpha(t - s_0, z; 0, y) \partial_t p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, z; 0, x) dz dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the adjoint operator, (2.23), and (2.24), we reach (2.25). The integrations above are justified due to known decay estimates of p_α and p_α^* .

Then we take a cut-off function $\eta = \eta(x) \in C_c^\infty(B_2(0))$ such that for a constant C ,

$$\eta = 1 \text{ in } B_1(0) \quad \text{and} \quad |D\eta| + |D^2\eta| \leq C. \quad (2.26)$$

We test (1.1) with $p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0)\eta(x/R)$ and use (2.23) to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_t(t, x) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) \eta(x/R) dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_\alpha^K u(t, x) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) \eta(x/R) dx dt \\
&= u(t_0, 0) + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x) (\partial_t p_\alpha^*)(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) \eta(x/R) dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_\alpha^K u(t, x) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) \eta(x/R) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (2.23) and the definition of the adjoint operator, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& u(t_0, 0) \\
&= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} L_\alpha^K(u(t, x))(p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0)\eta(x/R)) - p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0)L_\alpha^K(u(t, x)\eta(x/R)) dx dt \\
&= p.v. \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(t, x+z) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) (\eta(x/R) - \eta((x+z)/R)) \kappa(x, z)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz dx dt \\
&= p.v. \underbrace{\int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(t, y) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) (\eta(x/R) - \eta(y/R)) \kappa(x, y-x)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx dt}_{J_1},
\end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where we took $z = y - x$ in the last step. In the sequel, we omit $p.v.$ when there is no confusion.

Next, we aim to show that $J_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, treating the cases $\alpha < 1$ and $\alpha \geq 1$ separately.

Case (1): $\alpha < 1$. This case is simpler since the singularity in the integrand is weaker. Using (1.7), (1.3), (2.25), and (2.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R(x)} \frac{u(t, y) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) (\eta(x/R) - \eta(y/R)) \kappa(x, y - x)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{u(t, y) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) (\eta(x/R) - \eta(y/R)) \kappa(x, y - x)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R(x)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy dx dt \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha-1}} (1 + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R(x)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |x - y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy dx dt \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha-1}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |x - y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x) \left(\frac{1}{R^\varepsilon} + \frac{1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{R^\alpha} \right) dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last step, we used (2.1) and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x) (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{C(t_0 - t)}{((t_0 - t)^{1/\alpha} + |x|)^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dx \leq C (1 + (t_0 - t)^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Case (2): $\alpha \geq 1$. In this case, by the substitution $z \rightarrow -z$ in the second line of (2.27), we have

$$J_1 = \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(t, x - z) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0) (\eta(x/R) - \eta((x - z)/R)) \kappa(x, z)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz dx dt.$$

where we used $\kappa(x, z) = \kappa(x, -z)$ in the last equation. Then by

$$\begin{aligned}
&u(t, x + z) \left(\eta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) - \eta\left(\frac{x + z}{R}\right) \right) + u(t, x - z) \left(\eta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) - \eta\left(\frac{x - z}{R}\right) \right) \\
&= (u(t, x - z) - u(t, x + z)) \left(\eta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) - \eta\left(\frac{x - z}{R}\right) \right) \\
&\quad - u(t, x + z) \left(\eta\left(\frac{x + z}{R}\right) - 2\eta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) + \eta\left(\frac{x - z}{R}\right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \\
&\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(t, x - z) - u(t, x + z)) \left(\eta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) - \eta\left(\frac{x - z}{R}\right) \right) \kappa(x, z) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz dx dt}_{J_2} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{-u(t, x + z) \left(\eta\left(\frac{x + z}{R}\right) - 2\eta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) + \eta\left(\frac{x - z}{R}\right) \right) \kappa(x, z) p_\alpha^*(t_0 - t, x; 0, 0)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz dx dt}_{J_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term J_3 , by (1.7), (2.25), and (2.26), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R(0)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |z|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dz dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |z|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dz dx dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x) \left(\frac{1}{R^\varepsilon} + \frac{1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{R^\alpha} \right) dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.28) in the last step.

Finally, we estimate J_2 . When $\alpha > 1$, by (1.7), (2.9), and (2.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R(0)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |z|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dz dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_R(0)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dz dx dt \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_R(0) \setminus B_{R/2}(0)} \frac{p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x)}{|z|^{d+\alpha-1}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |z|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dz dx dt}_{J_4} \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x) \left(\frac{1}{R^\varepsilon} + \frac{1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{R^\alpha} \right) dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x) \left((1 - R^{1-\alpha})(1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) + (R^{1-\varepsilon} - 1) \right) dx dt \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, we only need to estimate J_4 slightly differently. By (2.28),

$$J_4 \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1(t_0 - t, 0; 0, x) \left(\ln(R)(1 + |x|^{1-\varepsilon}) + (R^{1-\varepsilon} - 1) \right) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Combining these two cases and plugging into (2.27), we get $u(t_0, 0) = 0$, which finishes the proof. \square

2.3. Completion of proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. We have proved part (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.2 in Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5. Thus it remains to show part (c). First we fix a number $R \geq 1$ and let $x \in B_R(0)$, $t \in [1 - \delta, 1]$ for some small $\delta > 0$. For any positive integer j , Taylor's theorem implies that

$$u(t, x) - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \partial_t^i u(1, x) \frac{(t-1)^i}{i!} = \frac{(t-1)^j}{j!} \partial_t^j u(s, x), \quad (2.29)$$

where $s = s(x, t, j) \in [t, 1]$. By (1.8), for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, the right-hand side of (2.29) converges to 0 uniformly with respect to $x \in B_R(0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \partial_t^j u(1, x) \frac{(t-1)^j}{j!}$$

i.e., u is analytic in time with radius δ . Denote $a_j = a_j(x) = \partial_t^j u(1, x)$. By (1.8) again, we have

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j+1}(x) \frac{(t-1)^j}{j!} \quad \text{and} \quad L_\alpha^\kappa u(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L_\alpha^\kappa a_j(x) \frac{(t-1)^j}{j!},$$

where both series converge uniformly with respect to $(t, x) \in [1 - \delta, 1] \times B_R(0)$. Since u is a solution of (1.1), this implies that $L_\alpha^\kappa a_j(x) = a_{j+1}(x)$ with

$$|a_j(x)| \leq C^{j+1} j^j (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

3. FRACTIONAL HEAT KERNEL ESTIMATES ON \mathbb{R}^d

In this section, we estimate the time and space derivatives of the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x)$ for (1.5). The main tools are the Fourier transform and contour integrals. We first state and prove the following lemma, which is needed for the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6.

Lemma 3.1. (a) *If $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, and $t \geq 0$, there exist constants C , C_1 , and C_2 such that*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^\beta d\xi \right| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{C_1 C_2^\beta \beta^\beta}{|x|^{\beta+d}}, \frac{C}{t^{(\beta+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+d}{\alpha}\right) \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where Γ is the gamma function.

(b) *Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_d)$ where β_j is a nonnegative integer with $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, then we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \xi^\beta d\xi \right| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{C_1 C_2^{\alpha+|\beta|} (\alpha + |\beta|)^{\alpha+|\beta|} t}{|x|^{\alpha+|\beta|+d}}, \frac{C}{t^{(|\beta|+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{|\beta|+d}{\alpha}\right) \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\xi^\beta = \xi_1^{\beta_1} \xi_2^{\beta_2} \dots \xi_d^{\beta_d}$ and $|\beta| := \sum_{k=1}^d \beta_k$.

Remark 3.2. When $t = 0$, the integrals in (3.1) and (3.2) can be understood as the limit as $t \searrow 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. The bound $\frac{C}{t^{(\beta+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+d}{\alpha}\right)$ on the right-hand side of (3.1) is easily obtained as follows

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^\beta d\xi \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} |\xi|^\beta d\xi = \frac{C}{t^{(\beta+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+d}{\alpha}\right).$$

Similarly, the bound $\frac{C}{t^{(|\beta|+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{|\beta|+d}{\alpha}\right)$ on the right-hand side of (3.2) holds because

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \xi^\beta d\xi \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} |\xi|^{|\beta|} d\xi = \frac{C}{t^{(|\beta|+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{|\beta|+d}{\alpha}\right).$$

We shall use the technique of contour integrals to obtain the first bounds in (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. To simplify the calculation, without loss of generality, by rotating the coordinates, we assume that $x = (\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}}, \dots, \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}})$.

For any point $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)$ and for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, we consider ξ_j as a complex number with modulus η_j and argument (angle) ψ_j . For a large $R > 0$ and $\phi := \min\{\pi/16, \pi/(16\alpha)\}$, consider the regions in the complex plane:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_R^{(1)} &= \left\{ \eta_0 e^{i\psi} \mid \eta_0 \in (0, R), \psi \in [0, \phi] \right\}, \\ \Gamma_R^{(2)} &= \left\{ \eta_0 e^{i\psi} \mid \eta_0 \in (0, R), \psi \in [\pi - \phi, \pi] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and denote

$$C_R^{(1)} = \left\{ R e^{i\psi} \mid \psi \in [0, \phi] \right\} \text{ and } C_R^{(2)} = \left\{ R e^{i\psi} \mid \psi \in [\pi - \phi, \pi] \right\}.$$

We calculate the contour integrals of the functions $e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^\beta$ and $e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \xi^\beta$ on the boundaries of the sectors $\Gamma_R^{(1)}$ and $\Gamma_R^{(2)}$. For the term $|\xi|^a$ in the above two functions, where $a = \alpha$ or β , we extend it to be a holomorphic function

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2 \right)^{a/2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^d,$$

which needs to be specified by choosing suitable branches. On one hand, when $\text{Re}(\xi_j) > 0$, we select the branch so that the function $w = z^{a/2}$ maps the sector with angles $[0, 2\phi]$ to the sector with angles $[0, a\phi]$. On the other hand, when $\text{Re}(\xi_j) < 0$, we make the function $w = z^{a/2}$ map the sector with angles $[-2\phi, 0]$ to the sector with angles $[-a\phi, 0]$.

The main idea is to use the contour integrals to equate the integrals on the rays $\psi_j = 0, \pi$ and the integrals on the rays $\psi_j = \phi, \pi - \phi$, respectively. The following are some preliminary calculations on the rays $\psi_j = \frac{\pi}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_j)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right)$ and the arcs $C_R^{(1)}$ or $C_R^{(2)}$, respectively. Here $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$ is the sign function.

First, we consider the case when ξ_j 's are on the rays $\psi_j = \frac{\pi}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_j)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right)$, where we can write $\xi_j = \eta_j \exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_j)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right) i \right)$ with $\eta_j \in [0, R]$. In this case, for any fixed $\xi_k \in \Gamma_R^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_R^{(2)}$, where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2 \right)^{a/2} = \left(e^{2\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_j))i\pi\phi} \eta_j^2 + \sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2 \right)^{a/2}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $a = \alpha$ or β , and

$$e^{i\xi x} = \exp \left(i \exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_j)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right) i \right) \eta_j \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} + \sum_{k \neq j} i \xi_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that if $\psi_k = \frac{\pi}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_k)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right)$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, it holds that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2 \right)^{a/2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \eta_k^2 e^{2\text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_k))i\pi\phi} \right)^{a/2} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$e^{i\xi x} = \exp \left(i \sum_{k=1}^d \exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_k)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right) i \right) \eta_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

Next, we treat the case when ξ_j is on the arc $C_R^{(1)}$ or $C_R^{(2)}$, respectively.

By the definition of the regions $\Gamma_R^{(1)}$ and $\Gamma_R^{(2)}$, for any fixed $\xi_k \in \Gamma_R^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_R^{(2)}$, where $k \neq j$ and $\psi_j \in [0, \phi] \cup [\pi - \phi, \pi]$, the angle between $R^2 e^{2i\psi_j}$ and $\sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2$ is less than $\pi/2$, so we have

$$\left| R^2 e^{2i\psi_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2 \right| \geq |R^2 e^{2i\psi_j}|. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, since $|\arg(\xi_k^2)| \leq 2\phi$ for any $k \neq j$, where $\arg(\cdot)$ is the argument (angle), it follows that

$$\left| \arg \left(R^2 e^{2i\psi_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2 \right) \right| \leq 2\phi.$$

This together with (3.7) implies that

$$R^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi) \leq \text{Re} \left(R^2 e^{2i\psi_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2 \right)^{\alpha/2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we show that the integral of $e^{-t(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2)^{\alpha/2}} e^{i\xi x} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2\right)^{\beta/2}$ on the arc $C_R^{(1)}$ or $C_R^{(2)}$ tends to 0 as R tends to infinity.

On the arc $C_R^{(1)}$, we can write $\xi_j = Re^{i\psi_j}$, where $\psi_j \in [0, \phi]$. By (3.3), (3.4), and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_R^{(1)}} e^{-t(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2)^{\alpha/2}} e^{i\xi x} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2\right)^{\beta/2} d\xi_j \right| \\
& \leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\phi \left| \exp\left(-t(R^2 e^{2i\psi_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2)^{\alpha/2}\right) \right| \left| \exp\left(iRe^{i\psi_j} \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} + \sum_{k \neq j} i\xi_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \right| \\
& \quad \times \left| \left(R^2 e^{2i\psi_j} + \sum_{k \neq j} \xi_k^2\right)^{\beta/2} \right| |iRe^{i\psi_j}| d\psi_j \\
& \leq C \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\phi e^{-tR^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi)} \left(R^\beta + \left(\sum_{k \neq j} |\xi_k|^2\right)^{\beta/2} \right) R d\psi_j = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for any fixed $\xi_k \in \Gamma_R^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_R^{(2)}$, where $k \neq j$.

Similarly, on the arc $C_R^{(2)}$, where $\xi_j = Re^{i\psi_j}$ and $\psi_j \in [\pi - \phi, \pi]$, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_R^{(2)}} e^{-t(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2)^{\alpha/2}} e^{i\xi x} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k^2\right)^{\beta/2} d\xi_j \right| = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for any fixed $\xi_k \in \Gamma_R^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_R^{(2)}$, where $k \neq j$.

Combining (3.9) and (3.10) implies that we can apply contour integral to ξ_j if $\xi_k \in \Gamma_R^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_R^{(2)}$ for all $k \neq j$. Therefore, by (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.9), and (3.10), using d times of contour integrals, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^\beta d\xi \\
& = \sum_{\text{sgn}(\xi_1) = \pm 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-t\left(e^{2i\text{sgn}(\xi_1)\phi} \eta_1^2 + \sum_{k=2}^d \xi_k^2\right)^{\alpha/2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp\left(i \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_1))\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi\right)\right) \eta_1 \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} + \sum_{k=2}^d i\xi_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \left(e^{2i\text{sgn}(\xi_1)\phi} \eta_1^2 + \sum_{k=2}^d \xi_k^2\right)^{\beta/2} \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_1))\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi\right)\right) d\eta_1 d\xi_2 \cdots d\xi_d \tag{3.11} \\
& = \cdots = \sum_{\text{sgn}(\xi_1) = \pm 1} \cdots \sum_{\text{sgn}(\xi_d) = \pm 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_1^d} \exp\left(-t\left(\sum_{k=1}^d e^{2i\text{sgn}(\xi_k)\phi} \eta_k^2\right)^{\alpha/2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp\left(i \sum_{k=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_k))\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi\right)\right) \eta_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^d e^{2i\text{sgn}(\xi_k)\phi} \eta_k^2\right)^{\beta/2} \prod_{k=1}^d \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\xi_k))\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi\right)\right) d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{R}_1^d stands for the first quadrant of \mathbb{R}^d and $d\eta = d\eta_1 d\eta_2 \cdots d\eta_d$. Plugging

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{k=1}^d e^{2i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi_k) \phi} \eta_k^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} \geq |\eta|^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi),$$

and

$$\left| \exp \left(i \sum_{k=1}^d \exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} - \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(\xi_k)) \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \right) \right) \eta_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \right| = \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^d \sin(\phi) \eta_k \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{d}} \right)$$

into (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^\beta d\xi \right| \leq 2^d \int_{\mathbb{R}_1^d} e^{-t|\eta|^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi)} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^d \sin(\phi) \eta_k |x| / \sqrt{d}} |\eta|^\beta d\eta \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_1^d} e^{-t|\eta|^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi)} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^d \sin(\phi) \eta_k |x| / \sqrt{d}} \sum_{k=1}^d \eta_k^\beta d\eta \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^\infty e^{-t|\eta|^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi)} e^{-\sin(\phi) \eta_k |x| / \sqrt{d}} \eta_k^\beta d\eta_k \prod_{i \neq k} \int_{\mathbb{R}_1^{d-1}} e^{-\sin(\phi) \eta_i |x| / \sqrt{d}} d\eta_i \\ & \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\rho^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi)} e^{-\sin(\phi) \rho |x| / \sqrt{d}} \rho^\beta d\rho = \frac{C}{|x|^{d-1}} \times I, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$I = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\rho^\alpha \cos(\alpha\phi)} e^{-\rho |x| / \sqrt{d}} \rho^\beta d\rho \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho |x| / \sqrt{d}} \rho^\beta d\rho \leq \frac{C^\beta}{|x|^{\beta+1}} \Gamma(\beta + 1).$$

Therefore, we infer that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^\beta d\xi \right| \leq \frac{C_1 C_2^\beta \beta^\beta}{|x|^{\beta+d}} \quad (3.13)$$

for some constants C_1 and C_2 , which is the first part on the right-hand side of (3.1).

Finally, we prove (3.2), which is a consequence of the following Claim.

Claim 3.3. For any $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, where β_i is a nonnegative integer, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \xi^\beta d\xi \right| \leq C^{|\beta|+\alpha+1} \frac{(\alpha + |\beta|)^{\alpha+|\beta|} t}{|x|^{\alpha+|\beta|+d}}.$$

We prove this claim by induction. When $|\beta| = 0$, by integration by parts with respect to ξ_1 , we see that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} d\xi \right| = \frac{\alpha \sqrt{d} t}{|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \frac{\xi_1}{i|\xi|^{2-\alpha}} e^{i\xi x} d\xi \right|.$$

Then using the method of contour integrals similarly to (3.12), we find that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \frac{\xi_1}{i|\xi|^{2-\alpha}} e^{i\xi x} d\xi \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\alpha+d-1}},$$

which implies

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} d\xi \right| \leq \frac{Ct}{|x|^{\alpha+d}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta_1 > 0$. For any positive integer k , we assume that Claim 3.3 is true for any $|\beta| < k$. When $|\beta| = k$, by integration by parts with respect to ξ_1 , the induction assumption and (3.13), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \xi^\beta d\xi \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\sqrt{d}}{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \frac{\beta_1}{i\xi_1} \xi^\beta d\xi \right| + \frac{t\alpha\sqrt{d}}{|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \frac{\xi_1}{|\xi|^{2-\alpha}} \xi^\beta d\xi \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{|x|} C^{\alpha+|\beta|-1} \frac{(\alpha+|\beta|-1)^{\alpha+|\beta|-1} t}{|x|^{\alpha+|\beta|-1+d}} + \frac{t\alpha\sqrt{d}}{|x|} C_1 C_2^{\alpha+|\beta|-1} \frac{(\alpha+|\beta|-1)^{\alpha+|\beta|-1}}{|x|^{\alpha+|\beta|+d-1}} \\ & \leq C^{\alpha+|\beta|+1} \frac{(\alpha+|\beta|)^{\alpha+|\beta|} t}{|x|^{\alpha+|\beta|+d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finished the proof of Claim 3.3 and therefore completed the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Now we are ready to embark on the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof. By (1.9), the heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x)$ of the fractional heat equation (1.5) satisfies

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x)| = C(d, \alpha) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} |\xi|^{\alpha k} d\xi \right|,$$

which implies (1.10) by part (a) of Lemma 3.1. From the first bound $\frac{C_1 C_2^{\alpha} (\alpha)^{\alpha k}}{|x|^{\alpha k+d}}$ in (1.10), we see that p_α is of Gevrey class in time of order α when $x \neq 0$. By the second bound $\frac{C}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha}\right)$ in (1.10), p_α is analytic in time when $t > 0$.

Furthermore, for any positive integer k , by (1.9), we have

$$|\partial_x^k p_\alpha(t, x)| \leq C(d, \alpha) \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|=k} |\partial_x^{\mathbf{k}} p_\alpha(t, x)| = C(d, \alpha) \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|=k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} e^{i\xi x} \xi^{\mathbf{k}} d\xi \right|,$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$, $\xi^{\mathbf{k}} = \xi_1^{k_1} \dots \xi_d^{k_d}$, and we sum over all the \mathbf{k} satisfying $|\mathbf{k}| = k$. By (1.11) and the fact that we have $\binom{k+d-1}{d-1}$ choices of \mathbf{k} satisfying $|\mathbf{k}| = k$, we infer that

$$|\partial_x^k p_\alpha(t, x)| \leq C(d, \alpha) \binom{k+d-1}{d-1} \min \left\{ \frac{C_1 C_2^{\alpha+k} (\alpha+k)^{\alpha+k} t}{|x|^{\alpha+k+d}}, \frac{C}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha}\right) \right\},$$

which implies (1.11) for a sufficiently large constant C_2 . By the bound $\frac{C_1 C_2^{\alpha+k} (\alpha+k)^{\alpha+k} t}{|x|^{\alpha+k+d}}$ in (1.11), p_α is analytic in space at $|x| \neq 0$. By the other bound $\frac{C}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha}\right)$ in (1.11), p_α is of Gevrey class with order $1/\alpha$ in space when $t > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. \square

Remark 3.4. Theorem 1.5 is consistent with the fact that the heat kernel of the heat equation $\partial_t u - \Delta u = 0$ is of Gevrey class of order 2 at $t = 0$. Besides, when $\alpha = 1$, it is well known that $p_1(t, x) = \frac{Ct}{(t^2+|x|^2)^{(d+1)/2}}$. By a direct computation, we see that $p_1(t, x)$ satisfies all the results in Theorem 1.5.

We end this section by proving Corollary 1.6.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 and the growth condition (1.7), we know that there is a unique solution to (1.5):

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_\alpha(t, x-y) u(0, y) dy.$$

Therefore, by (1.11) and (1.7), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^k u(t, x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_x^k p_\alpha(t, x-y)| |u(0, y)| dy \\
&\leq \int_{B_1(x)} \frac{C}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha}\right) C_1 (1 + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1(x)} \frac{C_1 C_2^{k+\alpha} (k+\alpha)^{k+\alpha} t}{|x-y|^{\alpha+k+d}} C_1 (1 + |y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy \\
&\leq \frac{C(1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon})}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha}\right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_1(x)} \frac{C^{k+\alpha+1} (k+\alpha)^{k+\alpha} t}{|x-y|^{\alpha+d}} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + |x-y|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) dy \\
&\leq \frac{C(1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon})}{t^{(k+d)/\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+d}{\alpha}\right) + C^{k+\alpha+2} (k+\alpha)^{k+\alpha} (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) t,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that u is analytic in space when $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ and u is of Gevrey class of order $1/\alpha$ in space when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. \square

4. FRACTIONAL HEAT EQUATION ON A MANIFOLD

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 in the setting of M , which is a d -dimensional, complete Riemannian manifold.

First we recall a well known lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that Condition (1.13) is satisfied. Then for any $D > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, and $t > 0$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\int_M \frac{e^{-\frac{Dd(x,y)^2}{t}}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} d(x, y)^\beta dy \leq C t^{\beta/2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. We give the proof for completeness. By Condition (1.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \frac{e^{-Dd(x,y)^2/t}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} d(x, y)^\beta dy \\
&= \int_{B(x, \sqrt{t})} \frac{e^{-Dd(x,y)^2/t}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} d(x, y)^\beta dy + \int_{M \setminus B(x, \sqrt{t})} \frac{e^{-Dd(x,y)^2/t}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} d(x, y)^\beta dy \\
&\leq C t^{\beta/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1} \sqrt{t} \leq d(x,y) \leq 2^k \sqrt{t}} \frac{e^{-Dd(x,y)^2/t}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} d(x, y)^\beta dy \\
&\leq C t^{\beta/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|B(x, 2^k \sqrt{t})|}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-D(2^{k-1})^2} (2^k \sqrt{t})^\beta \\
&\leq C t^{\beta/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C^* e^{-D(2^{k-1})^2} (2^k \sqrt{t})^\beta \leq C t^{\beta/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where C^* is the constant in Condition (1.13). \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7.

Proof. It is well known that there is a connection between the heat kernel $E(t, x; y)$ and the fractional heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$, which can be found, for instance, in [1], i.e.,

$$p_\alpha(t, x; y) = \int_0^\infty E(s, x; y)\eta_t(s) ds,$$

where $\eta_t(s)$ is a density function of μ_t^α satisfying

$$\eta_t(s) = t^{-2/\alpha}\eta_1(t^{-2/\alpha}s).$$

Therefore,

$$p_\alpha(t, x; y) = \int_0^\infty E(s, x; y)t^{-2/\alpha}\eta_1(t^{-2/\alpha}s) ds = \int_0^\infty E(t^{2/\alpha}s, x; y)\eta_1(s) ds. \quad (4.2)$$

It is also known that there exists a constant C such that

$$0 \leq \eta_1(s) \leq Cs^{-1-\alpha/2}e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}}, \quad (4.3)$$

which can be found, for instance, in Theorem 3.1 of [1], Theorem 37.1 in [4], or Lemma 1 of [11].

Then for any $t > 0$, by (1.15) and (4.2), it holds that

$$u(t, x) = \int_M \int_0^\infty E(t^{2/\alpha}s, x; y)\eta_1(s)u(0, y) ds dy. \quad (4.4)$$

By Theorem 5.4.12 of [18], Conditions (1.12) and (1.13) imply that there exist constants C, d_1, d_2, D_1 , and D_2 such that

$$\frac{d_1 e^{-D_1 d(x, y)^2/t}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} \leq E(t, x; y) \leq \frac{d_2 e^{-D_2 d(x, y)^2/t}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$|\partial_t E(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C e^{-D_2 d(x, y)^2/t}}{t |B(x, \sqrt{t})|}. \quad (4.6)$$

From (1.16), (4.4), (4.5), (4.1), and (4.3), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t, x)| &\leq \int_M \int_0^\infty |E(t^{2/\alpha}s, x; y)\eta_1(s)u(0, y)| ds dy \\ &\leq C \int_M \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-D_2 d(x, y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha}s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha}s})|} \eta_1(s)(1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon} + d(x, y)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) ds dy \\ &\leq C(1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \int_0^\infty \eta_1(s) ds + C \int_0^\infty \eta_1(s)(t^{2/\alpha}s)^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/2} ds \\ &\leq C(1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \int_0^\infty \eta_1(s) ds + Ct^{\frac{\alpha-\varepsilon}{\alpha}} \int_0^\infty s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} s^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/2} ds \\ &\leq C(1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) + Ct^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For any integer $k > 0$, we proceed by induction. First, we assume it is true that

$$|\partial_t^{k-1} u(t, x)| \leq \frac{C^k (k-1)^{k-1}}{t^{k-2}} \left(\frac{(1 + d(x, 0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon})}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Then for any $t > 0$, by (1.15) and (4.2), it holds that

$$\partial_t^k u(t, x; y) = \int_M \int_0^\infty \partial_t E((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha}s, x; y)\eta_1(s)\partial_\tau^{k-1} u(\tau, y) ds dy, \quad \forall \tau \in (0, t). \quad (4.8)$$

By (4.8), (4.7), and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_t^k u(t, x; y)| \\
& \leq \int_M \int_0^\infty \frac{2s}{\alpha} (t-\tau)^{2/\alpha-1} \frac{C}{(t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s} \frac{e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2 / ((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{(t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s})|} \eta_1(s) |\partial_t^{k-1} u(\tau, y)| ds dy \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+1/2} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2} (t-\tau)} \int_M \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2 / ((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{(t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s})|} \eta_1(s) \left(\frac{1+d(x,0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right) ds dy \quad (4.9) \\
& \quad + \frac{C^{k+1/2} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-1} (t-\tau)} \int_M \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2 / ((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{(t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s})|} \eta_1(s) d(x,y)^{\alpha-\varepsilon} ds dy \\
& := I_1 + I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the triangle inequality in the second inequality. By (4.1) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{C^{k+1/2} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2} (t-\tau)} \left(\frac{1+d(x,0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right) \int_0^\infty \int_M \frac{e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2 / ((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{(t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s})|} \eta_1(s) dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{C^{k+3/4} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2} (t-\tau)} \left(\frac{1+d(x,0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right) \int_0^\infty \eta_1(s) ds \\
&\leq \frac{C^{k+3/4} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2} (t-\tau)} \left(\frac{1+d(x,0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right), \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \frac{C^{k+1/2} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-1} (t-\tau)} \int_0^\infty \int_M \frac{e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2 / ((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{(t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s})|} d(x,y)^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \eta_1(s) dy ds \\
&\leq \frac{C^{k+3/4} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-1} (t-\tau)} \int_0^\infty \left((t-\tau)^{2/\alpha} s \right)^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/2} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds \tag{4.11} \\
&\leq \frac{C^{k+7/8} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-1} (t-\tau)^{\varepsilon/\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we set $\tau = \frac{(k-1)t}{k}$. Consequently, by plugging (4.10) and (4.11) into (4.9), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_t^k u(t, x; y)| \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+3/4} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-2} (t-\tau)} \left(\frac{1+d(x,0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right) + \frac{C^{k+7/8} (k-1)^{k-1}}{\tau^{k-1} (t-\tau)^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \\
& \leq \frac{C^{k+1} k^k}{t^{k-1}} \left(\frac{1+d(x,0)^{\alpha-\varepsilon}}{t} + \frac{1}{t^{\varepsilon/\alpha}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives (1.17) immediately. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.8 is divided into two parts: the proof of (1.18) and the proof of (1.19). We start with the first part in the following subsection.

4.2. Proof of (1.18) in Theorem 1.8.

Proof. By Condition (1.13), it is well known that when $r \leq s$,

$$|B(x, r)| \geq \frac{1}{C_*} \left(\frac{r}{s} \right)^{\log_2 C_*} |B(x, s)|. \tag{4.12}$$

See, for example, Remark 4.2.2 of [22].

Therefore, by (4.2), (4.5), (4.3), and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha(t, x; y) &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{C e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds + \int_1^\infty \frac{C e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{C e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})| |B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds \\
&\quad + \int_1^\infty \frac{C e^{-D_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \frac{C}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|} \frac{C^*}{s^{\log_2 C^*/2}} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds + \int_1^\infty \frac{C}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} e^{-s^{-\alpha/2}} ds \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

If $d(x, y) \geq t^{1/\alpha}$, letting $\xi = \frac{st^{2/\alpha}}{d(x,y)^2}$, again by (4.2), (4.5), (4.3), and (4.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha(t, x; y) &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{C e^{-D_2/\xi}}{|B(x, \sqrt{\xi} d(x, y))|} \left(\frac{d(x, y)^2 \xi}{t^{2/\alpha}} \right)^{-1-\alpha/2} \frac{d(x, y)^2}{t^{2/\alpha}} d\xi \\
&= \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-D_2/\xi}}{|B(x, \sqrt{\xi} d(x, y))|} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-D_2/\xi}}{|B(x, \sqrt{\xi} d(x, y))|} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-D_2/\xi}}{|B(x, d(x, y))| |B(x, \sqrt{\xi} d(x, y))|} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-D_2/\xi}}{|B(x, d(x, y))|} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-D_2/\xi}}{|B(x, d(x, y))| (\sqrt{\xi})^{\log_2 C^*}} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi + \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha |B(x, d(x, y))|} \\
&\leq \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha |B(x, d(x, y))|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Thus, we proved the upper bound in (1.18).

Now we show the lower bound in (1.18). By Theorem 3.1 of [1], there exists a constant $s_0 = s_0(\alpha)$ such that

$$\eta_1(s) \geq \frac{\alpha s^{-1-\alpha/2}}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha/2)}, \quad \forall s > s_0. \tag{4.15}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $s_0 \geq 1$ in the sequel. Then we consider two cases.

When $t^{1/\alpha} \geq d(x, y)$, by (4.2), (4.5), (4.15), and (4.12), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha(t, x; y) &= \int_0^\infty E(t^{2/\alpha} s, x; y) \eta_1(s) ds \\
&\geq \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{Cd_1 e^{-D_1 d(x, y)^2 / (t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} ds = \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{Cd_1 e^{-D_1 d(x, y)^2 / (t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|} \frac{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} s^{-1-\alpha/2} ds \\
&\geq e^{-\frac{D_1}{s_0}} \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{Cd_1}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|} \frac{1}{C^* s^{\log_2 C^*/2}} s^{-1-\alpha/2} ds \geq \frac{C}{|B(x, t^{1/\alpha})|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

When $t^{1/\alpha} < d(x, y)$, letting $\xi = \frac{st^{2/\alpha}}{d(x, y)^2}$, again by (4.2), (4.5), (4.15), and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha(t, x; y) &\geq \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{Cd_1 e^{-D_1/\xi}}{|B(x, \sqrt{\xi} d(x, y))|} \left(\frac{d(x, y)^2 \xi}{t^{2/\alpha}} \right)^{-1-\alpha/2} \frac{d(x, y)^2}{t^{2/\alpha}} d\xi \\
&\geq \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{e^{-D_1/\xi}}{|B(x, d(x, y))|} \frac{|B(x, d(x, y))|}{|B(x, \sqrt{\xi} d(x, y))|} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi \\
&\geq \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{e^{-D_1/s_0}}{|B(x, d(x, y))| (\sqrt{\xi})^{\log_2 C^*}} \xi^{-1-\alpha/2} d\xi \\
&\geq \frac{Ct}{d(x, y)^\alpha |B(x, d(x, y))|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Combining (4.16) and (4.17), we reach (1.18). \square

Now in order to prove (1.19), we establish an estimate for high-order time derivatives of the heat kernel $E(t, x; y)$ first.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a d -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold satisfying Conditions (1.12) and (1.13). Then for any $x, y \in M$, $t > 0$, and any nonnegative integer k , there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that the heat kernel $E(t, x; y)$ of the heat equation*

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = 0$$

satisfies

$$|\partial_t^k E(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C_1^{k+1} k^{k-2/3}}{t^k |B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-C_2 d(x, y)^2 / t}.$$

Remark 4.3. To our best knowledge, up to now, in the literature, one can only find the coarser bounds

$$|\partial_t^k E(t, x; y)| \leq \frac{C(k)}{t^k |B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-C_2 d(x, y)^2 / t}$$

in the manifold case, where $C(k)$ is not explicitly calculated. See, for instance, Theorem 5.4.12 in [18]. Here we obtain a more precise result.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 4.1 of [21]. However, since we have different conditions here and we have the estimate of $\partial_t^k E(t, x; y)$ for all time $t > 0$ instead of $t \in (0, 1]$, the proof is a bit different. We present the proof here for the reader's convenience.

Fix any $t_0 > 0$ and $x_0, y_0 \in M$. For any nonnegative integer k and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
M_j^1 &= \left\{ (t, x) : t \in \left(t_0 - \frac{jt_0}{2k}, t_0 \right), d(x, x_0) < \frac{j\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}} \right\}, \\
M_j^2 &= \left\{ (t, x) : t \in \left(t_0 - \frac{(j+0.5)t_0}{2k}, t_0 \right), d(x, x_0) < \frac{(j+0.5)\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $M_j^1 \subset M_j^2 \subset M_{j+1}^1$.

Following the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [21], for a constant C , we have

$$\iint_{M_1^1} |\partial_t^k E(t, x; y_0)|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C^{2k} k^{2k}}{t_0^{2k}} \iint_{M_{k+1}^1} |E(t, x; y_0)|^2 dx dt. \quad (4.18)$$

Now to estimate the right-hand side of (4.18), we have two cases.

Case 1: $d(x_0, y_0) \leq \sqrt{4kt_0}$. In this case, we need to use a well-known result which can be found, for instance, in Lemma 5.2.7 of [18]: under Condition (1.13), for a constant C , we have

$$|B(x, r)| \leq e^{Cd(x,y)/r} |B(y, r)|, \quad \forall x, y \in M \text{ and } r > 0. \quad (4.19)$$

By (4.5), (4.12), and (4.19), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C^{2k} k^{2k}}{t_0^{2k}} \iint_{M_{k+1}^1} |E(t, x; y_0)|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C^{2k+1/2} k^{2k} |B(x_0, \frac{(k+1)\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}})|}{t_0^{2k-1} \min_{x \in B(x_0, (k+1)\sqrt{t_0}/\sqrt{2k})} |B(x, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} \\ & = \frac{C^{2k+1/2} k^{2k} |B(x_0, \frac{(k+1)\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}})|}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} \frac{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|^2}{\min_{x \in B(x_0, (k+1)\sqrt{t_0}/\sqrt{2k})} |B(x, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} \\ & \leq \frac{C^{2k+3/4} k^{2k}}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|} \left(\frac{k+1}{\sqrt{2k}} \right)^{\log_2 C} \exp\left(\frac{2C(k+1)}{\sqrt{2k}} \right) \leq \frac{C^{2k+1} k^{2k+1}}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|} e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2 / t_0} \end{aligned}$$

for a constant C_2 , where we used the condition $d(y_0, x_0) \leq \sqrt{4kt_0}$ in the last inequality.

Case 2: $d(x_0, y_0) > \sqrt{4kt_0}$. In this case, because $d(x, x_0) < \frac{(k+1)\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}}$ in M_{k+1}^1 , by the triangle inequality, we have $\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\sqrt{2}} < \frac{d(x, y_0)}{d(x_0, y_0)} < 2$. Therefore, by (4.5), (4.12), and (4.19), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C^{2k} k^{2k}}{t_0^{2k}} \iint_{M_{k+1}^1} |E(t, x; y_0)|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \frac{C^{2k} k^{2k} t_0 |B(x_0, \frac{(k+1)\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}})|}{t_0^{2k} \min_{x \in B(x_0, (k+1)\sqrt{t_0}/(2\sqrt{k}))} |B(x, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} e^{-(3-2\sqrt{2})D_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2 / (2t_0)} \\ & \leq \frac{C^{2k+1/2} k^{2k} |B(x_0, \frac{(k+1)\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{2k}})|}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} \frac{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|^2}{\min_{x \in B(x_0, (k+1)\sqrt{t_0}/(2\sqrt{k}))} |B(x, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2 / t_0} \\ & \leq \frac{C^{2k+3/4} k^{2k}}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{k+1}{\sqrt{2k}} \right)^{\log_2 C}} \exp\left(\frac{C(k+1)}{\sqrt{k}} \right) e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2 / t_0} \\ & \leq \frac{C^{2k+1} k^{2k+1}}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|} e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2 / t_0} \end{aligned}$$

for a constant C_2 .

Combining the above two cases, we get

$$\iint_{M_1^1} |\partial_t^k E(t, x; y_0)|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C^{2k+1} k^{2k+1}}{t_0^{2k-1} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|} e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2 / t_0}. \quad (4.20)$$

Now we recall a well-known parabolic mean value inequality, which can be found, for instance, in Theorem 14.7 of [15] or Theorem 5.2.9 of [18]. For $0 < r < R < 1$, any

nonnegative subsolution $u = u(t, x)$ of the heat equation satisfies

$$\sup_{Q_r(t_0, x_0)} u(t, x) \leq C \left(\frac{R^2}{|B(x_0, r)|^{2/\nu}} \right)^{\nu/2} \left(\frac{1}{|R-r|^2} \right)^{(\nu+2)/2} \iint_{Q_R(t_0, x_0)} u(t, x) dx dt,$$

where $\nu > 2$ is a constant and $Q_r(t, x) = (t - r^2, t) \times B(x, r)$. Letting $u(t, x) = |\partial_t^k E(t, x; y_0)|^2$, $r \searrow 0$, and $R = \sqrt{t_0/(2k)}$, using (4.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^k E(t_0, x_0; y_0)|^2 &\leq \frac{Ck}{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0/(2k)})| t_0} \iint_{Q_{\sqrt{t_0/(2k)}}(t_0, x_0)} (\partial_t^k E(t, x; y_0))^2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{Ck}{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})| t_0} \frac{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|}{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0/(2k)})|} \iint_{Q_{\sqrt{t_0/(2k)}}(t_0, x_0)} (\partial_t^k E(t, x; y_0))^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{Ck (\sqrt{2k})^{\log_2(C^*)}}{|B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})| t_0} \iint_{Q_{\sqrt{t_0/(2k)}}(t_0, x_0)} (\partial_t^k E(t, x; y_0))^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

By (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain

$$|\partial_t^k E(t_0, x_0; y_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C^{2k+2} k^{2k+1+\log_2(C^*)/2}}{t_0^{2k} |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|^2} e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2/t_0}.$$

Thus,

$$|\partial_t^k E(t_0, x_0; y_0)| \leq \frac{C_1^{k+1} k^{k-2/3}}{t_0^k |B(x_0, \sqrt{t_0})|} e^{-C_2 d(x_0, y_0)^2/t_0}$$

for a sufficiently large constant C_1 , which finishes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

To prove the time analyticity of the heat kernel $p_\alpha(t, x; y)$, we use the following result.

Lemma 4.4 ([13] Proof of Proposition 1.4.2). *Suppose that $f = f(x)$ is real analytic at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies near x_0 ,*

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_1 \frac{k!}{R^k}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0.$$

Assume that $g = g(x)$ is real analytic at $f(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies near $f(x_0)$,

$$|g^{(k)}(y)| \leq C_3 \frac{k!}{S^k}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0.$$

Here R and S are positive constants. Then $h(x) = g(f(x))$ is analytic near x_0 and satisfies

$$|h^{(k)}(x_0)| \leq \frac{C_1 C_3}{S + C_1} \frac{k!(1 + C_1/S)^k}{R^k}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0.$$

Now we are ready to prove (1.19) and thus completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

4.3. Proof of (1.19) in Theorem 1.8.

Proof. By (4.2), we have

$$\partial_t^n p_\alpha(t, x; y) = \int_0^\infty \partial_t^n E(t^{2/\alpha} s, x; y) \eta_1(s) ds. \tag{4.22}$$

We write $E(t^{2/\alpha} s, x; y) = E(t, x; y) \circ (t^{2/\alpha} s) = g(t) \circ f(t)$, where $g(t) := E(t, x; y)$ and $f(t) := t^{2/\alpha} s$. Then by Lemma 4.2, for a constant $C^{(1)} > 0$,

$$|\partial_t^k g(t)| \leq \frac{(C^{(1)})^k k!}{t^k |B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-C_2 d(x, y)^2/t}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0.$$

Let $C_3 = \frac{e^{-C_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{|B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|}$ and $S = t^{2/\alpha} s / C^{(1)}$. For $f(t)$, it holds that

$$|f^{(k)}(t)| \leq \frac{(C^{(2)})^k k! t^{2/\alpha} s}{t^k}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0$$

for a constant $C^{(2)} > 0$. Let $C_1 = t^{2/\alpha} s$ and $R = t/C^{(2)}$. Then by Lemma 4.4, we have for a constant $C > 0$,

$$|\partial_t^k E(t^{2/\alpha} s, x; y)| \leq \frac{C_1 C_3}{S + C_1} \frac{k!(1 + C_1/S)^k}{R^k} \leq \frac{C^k k! e^{-C_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{t^k |B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|}.$$

Therefore, by (4.22), we deduce that

$$|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x; y)| \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{C^k k! e^{-C_2 d(x,y)^2/(t^{2/\alpha} s)}}{t^k |B(x, \sqrt{t^{2/\alpha} s})|} \eta_1(s) ds.$$

By the same calculations as (4.13) and (4.14), we deduce (1.19) immediately. \square

5. COROLLARIES ON BACKWARD AND OTHER EQUATIONS

In this last section, we present four corollaries, whose statements and proofs are similar to the corresponding results in [8] and [21].

First we consider the Cauchy problem for the backward nonlocal parabolic equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa u = 0, & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x) = a(x) \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

with $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying (1.3) and (1.4).

Corollary 5.1. *Equation (5.1) has a smooth solution $u = u(t, x)$ of polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$ in $(0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $\delta > 0$, i.e.,*

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \alpha, \quad (t, x) \in (0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (5.2)$$

if and only if

$$|(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa)^k a(x)| \leq A_1^{k+1} k^k (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.3)$$

where A_1 is a positive constant.

Proof. On one hand, suppose that (5.1) has a smooth solution of polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$, say $u = u(t, x)$. Then $u(-t, x)$ is a solution of the nonlocal parabolic equations with polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$. By Theorem 1.2 and (5.2), (5.3) follows immediately.

On the other hand, suppose that (5.3) holds. Then it is easy to check that

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa)^j a(x) \frac{t^j}{j!}$$

is a smooth solution of the fraction heat equation for $t \in (-\delta, 0]$ with δ sufficiently small. Indeed, the bounds (5.3) guarantee that the above series and the series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa)^{j+1} a(x) \frac{t^j}{j!} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa)^j a(x) \frac{\partial_t^j}{j!}$$

all converge absolutely and uniformly in $[-\delta, 0] \times B_R(0)$ for any fixed $R > 0$. Hence, $\partial_t u - \mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa u = 0$. Moreover, u has polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$ since

$$|u(t, x)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |(\mathbf{L}_\alpha^\kappa)^j a(x)| \frac{t^j}{j!} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_1^{j+1} j^j (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \frac{t^j}{j!} \leq A_1 (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}) \quad (5.4)$$

provided that $t \in [-\delta, 0]$ with δ sufficiently small. Thus, $u(-t, x)$ is a solution to the Cauchy problem of the backward nonlocal parabolic equations (5.1) of polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$. \square

We have another corollary below about the forward Cauchy problem for the nonlocal parabolic equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - L_\alpha^\kappa u = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, x) = a(x). \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

The main point is the analyticity of solutions down to the initial time.

Corollary 5.2. *Equation (5.5) has a smooth solution $u = u(t, x)$ of polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$, which is time analytic in $[0, \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$ with the radius of convergence independent of x if and only if*

$$|(L_\alpha^\kappa)^k a(x)| \leq A_1^{k+1} k^k (1 + |x|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.6)$$

for a positive constant A_1 .

Proof. On one hand, assuming (5.6), we can see

$$u^*(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (L_\alpha^\kappa)^j a(x) \frac{t^j}{j!}$$

is a smooth solution to (5.5) for $t \in [0, \delta)$ with δ sufficiently small. Moreover, if δ is sufficiently small, u^* has polynomial growth of order $\alpha - \varepsilon$ by (5.4), so u^* is the unique solution to (5.5) by part (b) of Theorem 1.2.

By Corollary 5.1, the backward problem (5.1) has a smooth solution $v = v(t, x)$ in $[0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Define the function $U = U(t, x)$ by

$$U(t, x) = \begin{cases} u^*(t, x), & t \in [0, \delta) \\ v(-t, x), & t \in (-\delta, 0]. \end{cases}$$

It is straight forward to check that $U(t, x)$ is a solution of the nonlocal parabolic equations in $(-\delta, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. By Theorem 1.2, $U(t, x)$ and hence $u(t, x)$ is time analytic at $t = 0$ for some $\delta > 0$.

On the other hand, suppose that $u = u(t, x)$ is a solution of the equation (5.5), which is analytic in time at $t = 0$ with the radius of convergence independent of x . Then, by definition, u has a power series expansion in a time interval $(-\delta, \delta)$, for some $\delta > 0$. Hence (5.6) holds following the proof of Corollary 5.1. \square

Remark 5.3. Since we have not proved the solution to (1.14) is unique, the proofs of the above two corollaries cannot be applied to the manifold case. Therefore, we just restrict the above two corollaries to the case of \mathbb{R}^d .

For the following two corollaries, the operator L is either L_α^κ on \mathbb{R}^d , or L^α on M . For convenience of notation, let X be either \mathbb{R}^d or M satisfying Conditions (1.12) and (1.13).

Then similar to Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in [21], we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 5.4. *Let p be a positive integer and consider the equation*

$$u_t(t, x) - Lu(t, x) = u^p(t, x) \quad \text{in } (0, 1] \times X \quad (5.7)$$

with the initial data $u(0, \cdot)$. Assume that $u = u(t, x)$ is a mild solution, i.e.,

$$u(t, x) = \int_X p_\alpha(t, x; y) u(0, y) dy + \int_0^t \int_X p_\alpha(t-s, x; y) u^p(s, y) dy ds$$

and there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C_2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times X.$$

Then u is time analytic in $t \in (0, 1]$ and the radius of convergence is independent of x .

Proof. From (1.6) or (1.19), we see by iteration that

$$\|\partial_t^k p_\alpha(t, x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(X)} \leq C^{k+1/2} k^{k-2/3} t^{-k}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0, \quad (5.8)$$

and thus, by the Leibniz rule, it holds that

$$\|\partial_t^k (t^k p_\alpha(t, x, \cdot))\|_{L^1(X)} \leq C^{k+1} k^{k-2/3}, \quad \forall \text{ integer } k \geq 0 \quad (5.9)$$

for a sufficient large constant C .

The rest of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 1.4 in [21]. \square

Corollary 5.5. *For the equation (5.7) with p being any positive rational number, assume that $u = u(t, x)$ is a mild solution and there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$0 \leq C_1 \leq |u(t, x)| \leq C_2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times X.$$

Then u is time analytic in $t \in (0, 1]$ and the radius of convergence is independent of x .

Proof. We also have (5.8) and (5.9). Then the rest of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 1.5 in [21]. \square

Remark 5.6. It is unclear to us whether a similar result holds when p is an irrational number as we are unable to get an appropriate relation between $\partial_t^n(t^n u)$ and $\partial_t^n(t^n u^p)$, where n is any positive integer. When $p = q_1/q_2$ is a rational number, in Lemma 4.5 of [21], the author used $\partial_t^n(t^n u^{1/q_2})$ as a bridge between $\partial_t^n(t^n u)$ and $\partial_t^n(t^n u^{q_1/q_2})$. Moreover, Lemma 4.4 cannot be used directly here. In fact, for any integer $k > 0$, if we assume that

$$|t^n \partial_t^n u| \leq N^n n! \quad \forall \text{ positive integer } n \leq k$$

for a constant $N > 0$, then by Lemma 4.4, we get

$$|t^k \partial_t^k u^p| \leq N^{k+1/2} k! \left(1 + \frac{1}{\min |u|}\right)^k,$$

which cannot be used to obtain a positive radius of convergence.

Acknowledgement. H. Dong is partially supported by the NSF under agreement DMS-2055244, the Simons Foundation, grant # 709545, and a Simons fellowship. Q.S. Zhang wishes to thank the Simons foundation for its grant 710364.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bogdan, Krzysztof; Stós, Andrzej; Sztonyk, Paweł.(2003). Harnack inequality for stable processes on d-sets. Stud. Math. 158, no. 2, 163-198.
- [2] Chen, Zhenqing; Wang, Jieming.(2018). Perturbation by non-local operators. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 54, no. 2, 606-639.
- [3] Chen, Zhenqing; Zhang, Xicheng.(2016). Heat kernels and analyticity of non-symmetric jump diffusion semigroups. Probab. Theory Related Fields 165, no. 1-2, 267-312.
- [4] Doetsch, Gustav.(1974). Introduction to the Theory and Application of the Laplace Transformation. Springer, New York.
- [5] Dong, Hongjie; Pan, Xinghong.(2020). Time analyticity for inhomogeneous parabolic equations and the Navier-Stokes equations in the half space. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 22. no. 4, Paper No. 53.
- [6] Dong, Hongjie; Jin, Tianling; Zhang, Hong.(2018). Dini and Schauder estimates for nonlocal fully nonlinear parabolic equations with drifts. Anal. PDE 11, no. 6, 1487-1534.
- [7] Dong, Hongjie; Zhang, Hong.(2019). On Schauder estimates for a class of nonlocal fully nonlinear parabolic equations. Calc. Var. 58, no. 2, Paper No. 40.

- [8] Dong, Hongjie; Zhang, Qi S..(2020). Time analyticity for the heat equation and Navier-Stokes equations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*. 279, no. 4, 108563.
- [9] Escauriaza, Luis; Montaner, Santiago; Zhang, Can.(2017). Analyticity of solutions to parabolic evolutions and applications. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 49 , no. 5, 4064-4092.
- [10] Giga, Yoshikazu.(1983). Time and spatial analyticity of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 8 , no. 8, 929-948.
- [11] Hawkes, John.(1971). A lower Lipschitz condition for the stable subordinator. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 17, 23-32.
- [12] Komatsu, Gen.(1980). Global analyticity up to the boundary of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33 , no. 4, 545-566.
- [13] Krantz, Steven G.; Parks, Harold R..(2002). A primer of real analytic functions. Second edition. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA.
- [14] Laurent, Camille; Rosier, Lionel.(2020). Exact controllability of semilinear heat equations in spaces of analytic functions. (English summary) *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 37, no. 4, 1047-1073
- [15] Li, Peter.(2012). Geometric analysis. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [16] Masuda, Kyūya.(1967). On the analyticity and the unique continuation theorem for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 43, 827-832.
- [17] Promislow, Keith.(1991). Time analyticity and Gevrey regularity for solutions of a class of dissipative partial differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 16, no. 11, 959-980.
- [18] Saloff-Coste, Laurent.(2001). Aspects of Sobolev-Type Inequalities (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [19] Schwab, Russell W.; Silvestre, Luis.(2016). Regularity for parabolic integro-differential equations with very irregular kernels. *Anal. PDE* 9, no. 3, 727-772.
- [20] Widder, D. V.(1962). Analytic solutions of the heat equation, *Duke Math. J.* 29, 497-503.
- [21] Zeng, Chulan.(2022). Time analyticity of the biharmonic heat equation, the heat equation with potentials and some nonlinear heat equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 21, no. 3, 749-783.
- [22] Zhang, Qi S..(2011). Sobolev inequalities, heat kernels under Ricci flow, and the Poincaré conjecture. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- [23] Zhang, Qi S..(2020). A note on time analyticity for ancient solutions of the heat equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 148, no. 4, 1665-1670.

(H. Dong) DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA
Email address: hongjie_dong@brown.edu

(C. Zeng) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA, 92521, USA
Email address: czeng011@ucr.edu

(Q. Zhang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CA 92521, USA
Email address: qizhang@math.ucr.edu