

# THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF CERTAIN 3-GENERATOR $p$ -GROUPS

FERNANDO SZECHTMAN

ABSTRACT. A presentation as well as a structural description of the automorphism group of a family of 3-generator finite  $p$ -groups is given,  $p$  being an odd prime.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We fix a prime number  $p$  throughout the paper. The automorphism groups of finite  $p$ -groups have been widely studied. One line of investigation was related to the divisibility conjecture, to the effect that if  $G$  is a finite noncyclic  $p$ -group of order larger than  $p^2$ , then  $|G|$  divides  $|\text{Aut}(G)|$ . While this holds true for several classes of  $p$ -groups, it has recently been shown to be false in general [GJ]. A thorough survey of this conjecture can be found in [Di]. A second avenue of research is connected to the conjecture that every finite nonabelian  $p$ -group has a noninner automorphism of order  $p$ . We refer the reader to [G] and references therein for the status of this conjecture. A third focus of attention has been the actual structure of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  when  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group of one type or another. This has been the case, in particular for metacyclic groups, as found in [BC, C, C2, GG, M, M2, ZL], for instance.

The present paper gives a presentation as well as a structural description of the automorphism group of a family of 3-generator finite  $p$ -groups, with  $p$  odd.

We assume henceforth that  $p$  is odd and that  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Given an integer  $d$  that is not a multiple of  $p$ , the inverse of  $1 + dp$  modulo  $p^n$  is also of the form  $1 + ep$  for some integer  $e$  not divisible by  $p$ , so we have

$$(1) \quad p \nmid d, \quad p \nmid e,$$

$$(2) \quad (1 + dp)(1 + ep) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}.$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be the group with the following presentation, depending on whether  $2i \leq n$  or  $2i \geq n$ :

$$(3) \quad \Sigma = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mid \mathbf{a}^{p^i} = \mathbf{b}^{p^{n-i}} = \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-1}} = 1, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-1}}, \mathbf{c}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}^{-1} = \mathbf{a}^{1+ep}, \mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^{-1} = \mathbf{b}^{1+dp} \rangle,$$

$$(4) \quad \Sigma = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mid \mathbf{a}^{p^{n-i}} = \mathbf{b}^{p^{n-i}} = \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-1}} = 1, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \mathbf{c}^{p^{i-1}}, \mathbf{c}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}^{-1} = \mathbf{a}^{1+ep}, \mathbf{c}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^{-1} = \mathbf{b}^{1+dp} \rangle.$$

This is a quotient of the finite Wamsley group [W] defined on 3 generators with 3 relations.

In this paper, we give a presentation of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and its normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $\Pi$ , and provide a structural description of these groups.

There is a noteworthy connection between  $\Sigma$  and automorphism groups. Let  $\Upsilon$  be the semidirect product of a cyclic group  $C_{p^n}$  of order  $p^n$  by the unique subgroup of order  $p^{n-i}$  of the cyclic group  $\text{Aut}(C_{p^n})$ . Thus

$$(5) \quad \Upsilon = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^n} = 1, y^{p^{n-i}} = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{1+p^i} \rangle.$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D45, 20D15, 20D20.

*Key words and phrases.* Automorphism group;  $p$ -group.

This research was partially supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2020-04062.

Then  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to the normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ . A presentation for  $\text{Aut}(G)$  when  $G$  is a split metacyclic  $p$ -group can be found in [BC]. This includes, in particular, the case when  $G = \Upsilon$ .

Consider the group  $\Psi$  with the following presentation, depending on whether  $2i \leq n$  or  $2i \geq n$ :

$$\Psi = \langle \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{d} \mid \mathfrak{a}^{p^i} = \mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i}} = \mathfrak{d}^{p^i} = 1, [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b} \rangle,$$

$$\Psi = \langle \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{d} \mid \mathfrak{a}^{p^{n-i}} = \mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i}} = \mathfrak{d}^{p^{n-i}} = 1, [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{b} \rangle.$$

Then  $\Psi$  is a  $p$ -group of Heisenberg type, and  $\Sigma$  is an extension of  $\Psi$  by a cyclic factor. Moreover,  $\Sigma$  cannot be generated by fewer than 3 elements, except in the extreme case  $n = 2$ , when  $\Sigma$  is the Heisenberg group of order  $p^3$ . Furthermore,  $\Sigma$  is a nonsplit extension of  $\Psi$ , except when  $n = 2$ , in which case  $\Sigma = \Psi$ .

The fact that  $\Sigma$  requires 3 generators makes the computation of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  particularly challenging. An appreciation of the obstacles involved can perhaps be gleaned from the following:  $\Pi$  requires 6 generators and 21 relations when  $2i \geq n$  but  $i \neq n - 1$ , while if  $2i < n$  we utilize 8 generators and 38 relations for this purpose (although we could manage with slightly fewer). We feel that the groups  $\Sigma$  constitute a nontrivial addition to the reservoir of  $p$ -groups for which the automorphism group is known.

Our work begins in Section 2, where we describe properties of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Psi$ . Once this background information has been collected, Sections 3 and 4 describe  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and  $\Pi$ . In Section 3 we assume that  $2i \geq n$ ; in this case,  $\Psi$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\Sigma$  and our analysis of the image of the restriction homomorphism  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi)$  allows us in Theorem 3.2 to obtain the desired presentations of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and  $\Pi$  when  $i < n - 1$ . Considerable effort is spent in Theorem 3.2 in finding suitable generators for  $\Pi$ , and we show that no smaller amount of generators is possible. In addition, Theorem 3.2 gives a presentation of  $\text{Out}(\Sigma)$ , and shows that  $\Pi$  is the kernel of the canonical homomorphism  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma/\Sigma^p)$ . The case  $i = n - 1$  is essentially different and is handled in Theorem 3.4, which gives presentations of Bruhat type for  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Psi)$ . In Section 4 we suppose that  $2i < n$ ; this case is considerably harder, as  $\Psi$  is not a characteristic subgroup of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  preserving  $\Psi$ . Theorem 4.3 shows that  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = G\langle U \rangle$ , where  $U$  is a suitable automorphism of  $\Sigma$ , and finds generators for  $G$  and hence for  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ . Presentations and further descriptions of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and  $\Pi$  can be found in Theorem 4.4 (which omits some relations from the case  $n = 2i + 1$ ). It turns out that  $\Pi = \Phi\langle U \rangle$ , where  $\Phi$  is the kernel of the canonical homomorphism  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma/\Sigma^p)$ . Moreover,  $\Pi$  requires at least 7 generators.

An appendix contains a study of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ , independent of [BC], and establishes the foregoing connection between  $\Sigma$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ . In particular, we somewhat simplify the presentation of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  derived in [BC] for an arbitrary split metacyclic  $p$ -group.

Given a finite  $p$ -group  $P$ , we write  $z(P)$  for the minimum number of generators of  $P$ , that is,  $z(P)$  is the dimension of the  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space  $P/\Phi(P)$ , where  $\Phi(P)$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $P$ . If  $G$  is an arbitrary finite group, we let  $s(G)$  denote a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Thus  $z(\Upsilon) = 2$ ,  $s(\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)) = \Sigma$  and  $z(\Sigma) = 3$  when  $n > 2$ ; also  $s(\text{Aut}(\Sigma)) = \Pi$  with  $z(\Pi) = 6$  if  $2i \geq n$ ,  $i \neq n - 1$ , and  $z(\Pi) = 7$  if  $2i < n$ . On the other hand, if  $P$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $p^m$ , then  $z(P) = m$  and  $z(s(\text{Aut}(P))) = m - 1$ . Prompted by these examples and others, and inspired by the divisibility conjecture, we wonder if it might be of interest to determine what classes of finite  $p$ -groups  $G$  satisfy  $z(s(\text{Aut}(G)))/z(G) \geq 1$ , including further information about this ratio.

Given a group  $G$ , we write

$$[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}, \quad a, b \in G,$$

and let  $\delta : G \rightarrow \text{Inn}(G)$  stand for the homomorphism  $a \mapsto \delta_a$ , where

$$\delta_a(b) = {}^a b = aba^{-1}, \quad a, b \in G.$$

The image of  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  under the natural projection  $\text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G)$  will be denoted by  $\bar{\gamma}$ . Observe that

$$[ab, c] = {}^a[b, c][a, c], [a, b]^{-1} = [b, a], [c, ab] = [c, a]{}^a[c, b].$$

In particular, if  $[G, G]$  is included in the center of  $G$ , then the bracket is a group homomorphism in each variable. We will repeatedly and implicitly use these facts throughout the paper.

## 2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL ON $\Sigma$ AND $\Psi$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The group  $\Sigma$  cannot be generated by fewer than 3 elements, unless  $n = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^3$  and let  $a, b, c$  be generators of  $E$ . Consider the assignment

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{a} \mapsto a, \mathfrak{b} \mapsto b, \mathfrak{c} \mapsto c.$$

The given presentation of  $\Sigma$  ensures that, except when  $n = 2$ , we can extend (6) to an epimorphism  $\Sigma \rightarrow E$ . Since  $E$  cannot be generated by fewer than 3 elements, neither can  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *The derived subgroup of  $\Sigma$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{a}^p, \mathfrak{b}^p, \mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-1}}$  if  $2i \leq n$ , and by  $\mathfrak{a}^p, \mathfrak{c}^p, \mathfrak{c}^{p^{i-1}}$  if  $2i \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the subgroup of  $\Sigma$  generated by the stated elements. The given presentation of  $\Sigma$  and (1) ensure that  $T \subseteq [\Sigma, \Sigma]$ ,  $T$  is normal in  $\Sigma$ , and  $\Sigma/T$  is abelian, whence  $T = [\Sigma, \Sigma]$ .  $\square$

Given a ring  $R$  with  $1 \neq 0$  and a right module  $M$ , the Heisenberg group  $\text{Heis}(R, M)$  consists of all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u & v \\ 0 & 1 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in R, u, v \in M,$$

under the usual matrix multiplication, with the understanding with  $1 \cdot u = u$  for  $u \in M$ . If  $M = R$  we simply write  $\text{Heis}(R) = \text{Heis}(R, R)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *We have  $\Psi \cong \text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z})$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $\Psi \cong \text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z})$  if  $2i \geq n$ . In particular,  $\Psi$  has order  $p^{n+i}$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $p^{3(n-i)}$  if  $2i \geq n$ , and any element of  $\Psi$  can be written in one and only one way in the form  $ABD$ , where  $A \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ ,  $B \in \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , and  $D \in \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the annihilator of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$  is  $p^i\mathbb{Z}$ ; if  $2i \leq n$  then  $p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}$  is contained in  $p^i\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$  becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}$ -module. The given presentation of  $\Psi$  yields the decomposition  $\Psi = \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ , and ensures that the assignment

$$\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{d} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

extends to a group epimorphism  $\Psi \rightarrow \text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z})$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $\Psi \rightarrow \text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z})$  if  $2i \geq n$ . It is an isomorphism as the presentation of  $\Psi$  bounds its order, from above, by the order of the corresponding Heisenberg group. Uniqueness follows from existence and  $|\Psi|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $a, b, c, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $a, c \geq 1$  and  $b \geq 0$ . Then*

$$(7) \quad (1 + \ell p^a)^{c p^b} \equiv 1 + c \ell p^{a+b} \pmod{p^{2a+b}}.$$

*Proof.* We assume first that  $c = 1$  and show (7) by induction on  $b$ . If  $b = 0$  there is nothing to do. Suppose (7) is true for some  $b \geq 0$ . Then there exists  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(1 + \ell p^a)^{p^b} = 1 + \ell p^{a+b} + s p^{2a+b} = 1 + p^{a+b}(\ell + s p^a).$$

Set  $f = \ell + s p^a$ . Then

$$(1 + \ell p^a)^{p^{b+1}} = (1 + p^{a+b} f)^p = 1 + p^{a+b+1} f + \binom{p}{2} p^{2(a+b)} f^2 + \dots + \binom{p}{p} p^{p(a+b)} f^p.$$

Since  $p$  is odd, we have  $p \mid \binom{p}{2}$ , so there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\binom{p}{2} p^{2(a+b)} f^2 = p^{2a+2b+1} k.$$

Moreover, since  $a \geq 1$ , we have  $i(a+b) \geq 2a+b+1$  for all  $3 \leq i \leq p$ . Therefore,

$$(1 + \ell p^a)^{p^{b+1}} \equiv 1 + p^{a+b+1}(\ell + s p^a) \equiv 1 + \ell p^{a+(b+1)} \pmod{p^{2a+(b+1)}}.$$

This proves (7) when  $c = 1$ . Now if  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary then the previous case yields

$$(1 + \ell p^a)^{c p^b} = (1 + p^{a+b}(\ell + h p^a))^c$$

for some  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $i(a+b) \geq 2a+b$  for all  $i \geq 2$ , the binomial expansion implies

$$(1 + p^{a+b}(\ell + h p^a))^c \equiv 1 + c p^{a+b}(\ell + h p^a) \equiv 1 + c \ell p^{a+b} \pmod{p^{2a+b}}.$$

This demonstrates (7) in general.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *The group  $\Sigma$  is an extension of  $\Psi$  by  $C_{p^{n-i-1}}$  if  $2i \leq n$  and by  $C_{p^{i-1}}$  if  $2i \geq n$ . In particular,  $\Sigma$  has order  $p^{2n-1}$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $p^{3n-2i-1}$  if  $2i \geq n$ , and any element of  $\Sigma$  can be written in one and only one way in the form  $ABC$ , where  $A \in \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$ ,  $B \in \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle$ , and  $C \in \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* By (1) and (2) there is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\Psi$  such that  $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^{1+ep}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}^{1+dp}$  and  $\mathbf{d} \mapsto \mathbf{d}$ . Setting  $j = n - i - 1$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $j = i - 1$  if  $2i \geq n$ , Lemma 2.4 implies that  $\sigma^{p^j} = 1_\Psi = \delta_{\mathbf{d}}$ . As  $\sigma(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$ , there is an extension  $G = \Psi \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$  of  $\Psi$  with cyclic factor  $C_{p^j}$  and such that conjugation by  $\mathbf{c}$  acts on  $\Psi$  via  $\sigma$  (see [Z, Chapter III, Section 7]). The given presentation of  $\Sigma$  yields an epimorphism  $\Sigma \rightarrow G$ , which is an isomorphism as  $|\Sigma| \leq |G|$ . That  $\Sigma = \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$  follows from  $G = \Psi \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$  and Proposition 2.3, while uniqueness follows from existence and the given order of  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

We henceforth view  $\Psi$  as a normal subgroup of  $\Sigma$ , as indicated in (the proof of) Proposition 2.5.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $A \in \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$ ,  $B \in \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle$ ,  $D \in \langle \mathbf{d} \rangle$ , and  $C \in \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle$ . Then*

$$(ABDC)^p = A_0 B_0 D_0 C^p,$$

for some  $A_0 \in \langle \mathbf{a}^p \rangle$ ,  $B_0 \in \langle \mathbf{b}^p \rangle$ , and  $D_0 \in \langle \mathbf{d}^p \rangle$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$(ABDC)^p = w C^p,$$

where

$$w = AB \cdot {}^C(AB) \cdot {}^{C^2}(AB) \dots {}^{C^{p-1}}(AB) D^p.$$

Now  $C = \mathbf{c}^r$ , with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , so setting

$$j = (1 + ep)^r, \quad k = (1 + dp)^r,$$

where  $d$  and  $e$  are as in (1) and (2), the defining relations of  $\Sigma$  then give

$$w = ABA^j B^k A^{j^2} B^{k^2} \dots A^{j^{p-1}} B^{k^{p-1}} D^p.$$

Recalling the comments on commutators made in the Introduction, we find that

$$w = A^{1+j+\dots+j^{p-1}} B^{1+k+\dots+k^{p-1}} D^p D_1,$$

where

$$D_1 = [B, A]^j [B, A]^{(1+k)j^2} \dots [B, A]^{(1+k+\dots+k^{p-2})j^{p-1}}.$$

Since

$$j \equiv 1 \equiv k \pmod{p},$$

we have

$$1 + j + \dots + j^{p-1} \equiv 0 \equiv 1 + k + \dots + k^{p-1} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$j + (1+k)j^2 + \dots + (1+k+\dots+k^{(p-2)})j^{p-1} \equiv 1 + 2 + \dots + (p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus  $w = A_0 B_0 D_0$ , with  $A_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p \rangle$ ,  $B_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^p \rangle$ , and  $D_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ .  $\square$

If  $G$  is a group, then  $G^p$  stands for the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all elements  $g^p$ , with  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose  $n \neq 2$  and let  $v \in \Sigma^p$ . Then  $v = ABC$  for unique elements  $A \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p \rangle$ ,  $B \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^p \rangle$ , and  $C \in \langle \mathfrak{c}^p \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* As  $n \neq 2$ , we have  $\mathfrak{d} \in \langle \mathfrak{c}^p \rangle$ . Let  $u \in \Sigma$ . Then Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 give

$$(8) \quad u^p = A_0 B_0 C_0,$$

where  $A_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p \rangle$ ,  $B_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^p \rangle$ , and  $C_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{c}^p \rangle$ . As  $\Sigma$  has finite order,  $v$  is a finite product of elements of the form (8), and it is easy to see that such a product will be also be of the stated form. This proves existence, while uniqueness follows from Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

Given a nonzero integer  $m$ , we write  $v_p(m)$  for the  $p$ -valuation of  $m$ , so that  $v_p(m) = a$ , where  $a$  is the unique integer satisfying  $a \geq 0$ ,  $p^a | m$ , and  $p^{a+1} \nmid m$ . We extend the use of  $v_p$  to nonzero rational numbers in the usual way.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\Omega \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and  $t \in \Psi$ . Set  $\ell = i$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $\ell = n - i$  if  $2i \geq n$ . Suppose that  $t^{p^\ell} = 1$ . Then  $\Omega(t) \in \Psi$ . In particular, if  $2i \geq n$  then  $\Psi$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 2$  then  $\Psi = \Sigma$ , so we assume that  $n \neq 2$ .

Let  $\Omega \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  and  $t \in \Psi$  be arbitrary. Then  $t^p \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p, \mathfrak{b}^p, \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$  by Lemma 2.6. By Proposition 2.2,  $\langle \mathfrak{a}^p, \mathfrak{b}^p, \mathfrak{d} \rangle = [\Sigma, \Sigma]$ , which is a characteristic subgroup of  $\Sigma$ . Thus, setting  $u = \Omega(t)$ , we have

$$u^p = \Omega(t)^p = \Omega(t^p) \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p, \mathfrak{b}^p, \mathfrak{d} \rangle \subset \Psi.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.5, we have

$$(9) \quad u = ABC^r, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $A \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$  and  $B \in \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ . Thus Lemma 2.6 gives

$$u^p = A_0 B_0 D_0 c^{rp},$$

where  $A_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p \rangle$ ,  $B_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^p \rangle$ , and  $D_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ . From  $u^p \in \Psi$  we infer  $c^{rp} \in \Psi$ . Since  $c^{p^{n-\ell-1}} = \mathfrak{d} \in \Psi$  but  $c^{p^{n-\ell-2}} \notin \Psi$ , we deduce  $v_p(r) \geq n - \ell - 2$ , so

$$(10) \quad r = p^{n-\ell-2} f, \quad f \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and therefore

$$u^p = A_0 B_0 D_0 \mathfrak{d}^f.$$

We now suppose for the first time that  $t^{p^\ell} = 1$ , so that  $u^{p^\ell} = 1$ . Then

$$(A_0 B_0 D_0 \mathfrak{d}^f)^{p^{\ell-1}} = 1,$$

that is

$$(A_0 B_0 D_0)^{p^{\ell-1}} \mathfrak{d}^{fp^{\ell-1}} = 1.$$

Referring to the normal form of the elements of  $\Psi$ , the  $\mathfrak{d}$ -component of  $(A_0 B_0 D_0)^{p^{\ell-1}}$  is equal to

$$D_0^{p^{\ell-1}} [B_0, A_0] [B_0, A_0]^2 \cdots [B_0, A_0]^{p^{\ell-1}-1} = 1.$$

Therefore  $\mathfrak{d}^{fp^{\ell-1}} = 1$ , which implies  $p \mid f$ . Thus  $v_p(r) \geq n - \ell - 1$  and  $u \in \Psi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *The group  $\Sigma$  is a nonsplit extension of  $\Psi$ , unless  $n = 2$  in which case  $\Psi = \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $n \neq 2$ . Suppose, if possible, that there is an element  $u \in \Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma = \Psi \rtimes \langle u \rangle$ , so that  $u$  has order  $q$ , with  $q = p^{n-i-1}$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $q = p^{i-1}$  if  $2i \geq n$ . Now  $u = t\mathfrak{c}^j$ , where  $t \in \Psi$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $p \nmid j$ , for otherwise  $\mathfrak{c} \notin \Psi \rtimes \langle u \rangle$ . Proposition 2.3 and a repeated application of Lemma 2.6 yield

$$1 = u^q = A_1 B_1 D_1 \mathfrak{c}^{jq} = A_1 B_1 D_1 \mathfrak{d}^j,$$

where  $A_1 \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p \rangle$ ,  $B_1 \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^p \rangle$ , and  $D_1 \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ . The normal form of the elements of  $\Psi$  forces  $D_1 \mathfrak{d}^j = 1$ . As  $p \nmid j$ , we see that  $D_1 \mathfrak{d}^j$  generates the nontrivial group  $\langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $(d_0, e_0)$  be a pair of integers satisfying (1) and (2), and let  $\Sigma_0$  be the group associated with it via (3) and (4). Then  $\Sigma \cong \Sigma_0$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $j = i$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $j = n - i$  if  $2i \geq n$ .

Let  $H$  be the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the unit group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Then  $H$  is generated by the class of  $1 + ep$ . Since the class of  $1 + e_0p$  also generates  $H$ , there is an integer  $r$ , relatively prime to  $p$ , such that  $(1 + ep)^r \equiv 1 + e_0p \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ . Taking inverses modulo  $p^{n-1}$ , we infer  $(1 + dp)^r \equiv 1 + d_0p \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ . Consider the elements  $A = \mathfrak{a}^r, B = \mathfrak{b}, C = \mathfrak{c}^r$ . They generate  $\Sigma$  and satisfy

$$A^{p^j} = B^{p^{n-i}} = C^{p^{n-1}} = 1, [A, B] = C^{n-j-1}, CAC^{-1} = A^{1+e_0p}, CBC^{-1} = B^{1+d_0p}.$$

This readily gives an isomorphism  $\Sigma_0 \rightarrow \Sigma$ .  $\square$

For future reference note that (2) implies  $e \equiv -d \pmod{p}$ . Since  $p$  is odd and  $p \nmid d$ , we deduce (11)

$$e \not\equiv d \pmod{p}.$$

### 3. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF $\Sigma$ WHEN $2i \geq n$

We assume throughout this section that  $2i \geq n$ . Using the matrix description of  $\Psi$  given in Proposition 2.3, we readily see that

$$Z(\Psi) = \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle.$$

In particular,  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}^{p^{i-1}}$  is central in  $\Sigma$ . More precisely, we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The center of  $\Sigma$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-1}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in Z(\Sigma)$ . Then  $z = \mathfrak{c}^r t$  for some  $t \in \Psi$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , so (4) yields

$${}^t \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{d}^a, \quad {}^t \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{d}^b$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , as well as

$$\mathfrak{a} = {}^z \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}^r (\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{d}^a) = \mathfrak{a}^{(1+ep)^r} \mathfrak{d}^a, \quad \mathfrak{b} = {}^z \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}^r (\mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{d}^b) = \mathfrak{b}^{(1+dp)^r} \mathfrak{d}^b,$$

so  $t \in Z(\Psi) = \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{c}^r$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{c}^r$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{b}$ , we see that  $(1 + dp)^r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}}$ . As  $p \nmid d$ , Lemma 2.4 ensures that  $1 + dp$  has order  $p^{n-i-1}$  modulo  $p^{n-i}$ , so  $p^{n-i-1} \mid r$ . But  $i - 1 \geq n - i - 1$ , so  $\mathfrak{d} \in \langle \mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-1}} \rangle$ , and by above  $Z(\Sigma) \subseteq \langle \mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-1}} \rangle$ . As the reverse inclusion is clear, the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Suppose  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $n \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $2i \geq n$ . Let  $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$  be chosen so that (1) and (2) hold, and let  $\Sigma$  be the group with presentation (4).*

*Let  $A, B, C \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  be the inner automorphisms of  $\Sigma$  associated with  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ , respectively. Let  $D, E, F, G, H \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  be respectively the defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}^{1+p^{n-i-1}}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}^{1+p^{n-i-1}}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{p^{n-i-1}}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^{p^{n-i-1}}\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}^{g_0}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}^{h_0}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_0$  is any integer of order  $p-1$  modulo  $p^{n-i}$ , with inverse  $h_0$  modulo  $p^{n-i}$ . Consider the homomorphism  $\lambda : \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma/\Psi)$ , whose existence is ensured by Proposition 2.8, as well as the normal subgroup  $\Delta = \ker \lambda$  of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ . Moreover, suppose that  $i \neq n-1$ . Then

$$(12) \quad \text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \langle A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H \rangle$$

is a group of order  $2p^{3n-2i+1}(p-1)$ , and

$$\Delta = \langle A, B, C, D, E, F, G \rangle, \quad \text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \Delta \rtimes \langle H \rangle = \langle A, B, C, D, E, F \rangle \rtimes (\langle G \rangle \rtimes \langle H \rangle), \quad [\text{Aut}(\Sigma) : \Delta] = 2,$$

$$\Delta = \text{Inn}(\Sigma) \rtimes \langle D, E, F, G \rangle,$$

$$\text{Inn}(\Sigma) = \langle A, B, C \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i-1}\mathbb{Z},$$

$$\langle D, E, F, G \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z} \times [(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}].$$

Furthermore, the generators  $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H$  of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  satisfy the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} A^{p^{n-i}} &= 1, B^{p^{n-i}} = 1, C^{p^{n-i-1}} = 1, CAC^{-1} = A^{1+ep}, CBC^{-1} = B^{1+dp}, AB = BA, \\ D^{p^i} &= 1, E^p = 1, F^p = 1, G^{p-1} = 1, H^2 = 1, DE = ED, DF = FD, DG = GD, EF = FE, \\ GEG^{-1} &= E^{h_0^2}, GFG^{-1} = F^{g_0^2}, HEH^{-1} = A^{-p^{n-i-1}}F, HFH^{-1} = B^{p^{n-i-1}}E, HGH^{-1} = G^{-1}, \\ DAD^{-1} &= A^{1+p^{n-i-1}}, DB = BD, DC = CD, \\ EAE^{-1} &= AB^{p^{n-i-1}}, EB = BE, EC = CE, \\ FA = AF, &FBF^{-1} = A^{p^{n-i-1}}B, FC = CF, \\ GAG^{-1} &= A^{g_0}, GBG^{-1} = B^{h_0}, GC = CG, \\ HAH^{-1} &= B, HBH^{-1} = A, HCH^{-1} = C^{-1}, HDH^{-1} = DC^{vp^{n-i-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $dv \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , and the generators  $\overline{D}, \overline{E}, \overline{F}, \overline{G}, \overline{H}$  of

$$(13) \quad \text{Out}(\Sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z} \times [(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})]$$

satisfy the defining relations naturally arising from the above, and realizing (13).

In addition,  $\Pi = \langle A, B, C, D, E, F \rangle$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ , with the above defining relations, excluding those involving  $G$  or  $H$ , and  $\Pi$  is the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $\Gamma : \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma/\Sigma^p)$ . Furthermore,  $\Pi$  cannot be generated by fewer than 6 elements.

*Proof.* That the maps defining  $D, E, F, G, H$  actually extend to automorphisms of  $\Sigma$  is a routine calculation involving the defining relations (4) of  $\Sigma$  and will be omitted.

The stated relations among  $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H$  are easily verified. Moreover, any group generated by elements  $A$  through  $H$  subject to the given relations has order  $\leq 2p^{3n-2i+1}(p-1)$ .

We claim that  $\text{Inn}(\Sigma) \cap \langle D, E, F, G \rangle$  is trivial. Indeed, the defining relations of  $\Sigma$  yield

$$A^j(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{a}^{-jep}\mathbf{c}, \quad B^j(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{b}^{-jdp}\mathbf{c}, \quad A^j(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}\mathfrak{d}^j, \quad B^j(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}\mathfrak{d}^{-j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A general element of  $\text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  (resp.  $\langle D, E, F, G \rangle$ ) has the form  $A^j B^k C^m$  (resp.  $D^a G^f E^b F^c$ ) for some  $j, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (resp.  $a, b, c, f \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Suppose that  $A^j B^k C^m = D^a G^f E^b F^c$ . We wish to show that this common element is trivial. On the one hand, we have

$$(A^j B^k C^m)(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{b}^{-kdp}\mathbf{a}^{-jep}\mathfrak{d}^{-jkdp}\mathbf{c}$$

and on the other hand

$$(D^a G^f E^b F^c)(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c}^{(1+p^{n-i-1})^a}.$$

From the normal form of the elements of  $\Sigma$  deduce that  $p^{n-i-1}|j$  and  $p^{n-i-1}|k$ . But then  $\mathfrak{d}^{-jkdp} = 1$  and a fortiori

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}^{(1+p^{n-i-1})^a}.$$

This forces  $D^a = 1$ . Now

$$(G^f E^b F^c)(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}^{g_0^f} \mathbf{b}^{h_0^f} \mathbf{b}^{p^{n-i-1}},$$

while

$$(A^j B^k C^m)(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}^{(1+ep)^m} \mathfrak{d}^{-k(1+ep)^m}.$$

This implies that  $p|b$ ,  $p^{n-i}|k$ , and  $(1+ep)^m \equiv g_0^f \pmod{p^{n-i}}$ . The first two conditions yield that  $E^b = 1$  and  $B^k = 1$ . As for the third, since the order of  $(1+ep)^m$  modulo  $p^{n-i}$  is a  $p$ -power and that of  $g_0^f$  is a factor of  $p-1$ , both orders are equal to 1. This forces  $G^f = 1$  and  $C^m = 1$ . Finally, we have

$$F^c(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}^{cp^{n-i-1}} \mathbf{b},$$

while

$$A^j(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}\mathfrak{d}^j.$$

Thus  $p|c$  and  $p^{n-i}|j$ , whence  $F^c = 1$  and  $A^j = 1$ . This proves the claim.

We show below that (12) holds. Since  $\langle A, B, C, D, E, F, G \rangle \subseteq \Delta$  and  $H \notin \Delta$ , we infer

$$\Delta = \text{Inn}(\Sigma) \rtimes \langle D, E, F, G \rangle, \quad \text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \Delta \rtimes \langle H \rangle, \quad [\text{Aut}(\Sigma) : \Delta] = 2.$$

On the other hand, the very definitions of  $D, E, F, G$  yield

$$\langle D, E, F, G \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z} \times [(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}],$$

while Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\text{Inn}(\Sigma) \cong \Sigma/Z(\Sigma) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i-1}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus  $|\text{Aut}(\Sigma)| = 2p^{3n-2i+1}(p-1)$ , whence the given relations among  $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H$  must be defining relations, and  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \langle A, B, C, D, E, F \rangle \rtimes (\langle G \rangle \rtimes \langle H \rangle)$  holds. This decomposition of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  yields (13), whence the given presentation of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  immediately gives one for  $\text{Out}(\Sigma)$ .

That  $\Pi$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  with the stated defining relations follows directly from above. The very definition of  $A, B, C, D, E, F$  places them in  $\ker \Gamma$ . Thus  $\ker \Gamma = \Pi$  provided we show that  $\ker \Gamma \cap (\langle G \rangle \rtimes \langle H \rangle)$  is trivial. To this end, let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and suppose, if possible, that  $G^l H \in \ker \Gamma$ . This implies  $\mathbf{c}^2 \in \Sigma^p$ , whence  $\mathbf{c} \in \Sigma^p$ , against Proposition 2.7. Suppose next that  $G^l \in \ker \Gamma$ . This implies  $\mathbf{a}^{g_0^l} \in \Sigma^p$ , so  $\mathbf{a}^{g_0^l} = \mathbf{a}^k$  for some multiple  $k$  of  $p$ , by Proposition 2.7. The order of this common element is a factor of  $p-1$  as well as a  $p$ -power, whence this element is 1, which implies  $G^l = 1$ . This proves that  $\ker \Gamma = \Pi$ . The defining relations of  $\Pi$  make it clear that



$[\Pi, \Pi] \subseteq \Pi^p$ , which yields an epimorphism  $\Pi \rightarrow V$ , where  $V$  is 6-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}p$ -vector space. Thus  $\Pi$  cannot be generated by fewer than 6 elements.

We proceed to show (12). Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary automorphism of  $\Sigma$ . By Proposition 2.8,

$$(14) \quad \Omega(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}^a \mathbf{b}^b \mathbf{d}^c, \quad \Omega(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}^f \mathbf{b}^j \mathbf{d}^k, \quad \Omega(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{a}^m \mathbf{b}^q \mathbf{c}^r,$$

where  $a, b, c, f, j, k, m, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\Omega$  is an automorphism, we have

$$(15) \quad \Omega^{(\mathbf{c})} \Omega(\mathbf{a}) = \Omega(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{a}) = \Omega(\mathbf{a})^{1+ep}, \quad \Omega^{(\mathbf{c})} \Omega(\mathbf{b}) = \Omega(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{b}) = \Omega(\mathbf{b})^{1+dp}.$$

Using (14) and comparing the  $\mathbf{a}$ -components of each side of (15) yields

$$(16) \quad \mathbf{a}^{(1+ep)^r a} = \mathbf{a}^{(1+ep)a}, \quad \mathbf{a}^{(1+ep)^r f} = \mathbf{a}^{(1+dp)f}.$$

By Proposition 2.8,  $\Omega$  induces an automorphism in  $\Psi/Z(\Psi)$ . Let  $M \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix of this automorphism with the respect to the basis formed by  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  when taken modulo  $Z(\Psi)$ . Then (14) gives

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{f} & \bar{j} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the bar indicates the passage from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $M$  is invertible, one of  $a, f$  is not divisible by  $p$ , whence by (16)

$$(1+ep)^r \equiv 1+ep \pmod{p^{n-i}} \text{ or } (1+ep)^r \equiv 1+dp \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

Thus by (2)

$$(1+ep)^{r-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}} \text{ or } (1+ep)^{r+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

Since  $p \nmid e$ , Lemma 2.4 ensures that  $1+ep$  has order  $p^{n-i-1}$  modulo  $p^n$ , whence

$$r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}} \text{ or } r \equiv -1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

In the second case, we replace  $\Omega$  by  $H\Omega$ , so we may assume that the first case occurs. Thus

$$(17) \quad r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

Taking into account (2), we deduce

$$(18) \quad (1+ep)^r \equiv 1+ep \pmod{p^{n-i}} \text{ and } (1+dp)^r \equiv 1+dp \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

In view of (18), the automorphisms that conjugation by  $\mathbf{c}^r$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  induce on  $\Psi/Z(\Psi)$  are identical. Let  $N$  be the matrix of this automorphism relative to the same basis used above. Then

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{1+ep} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \overline{1+dp} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using once more that  $\Omega$  is an automorphism of  $\Sigma$ , we see that for any  $t \in \Psi$ , we have

$$(19) \quad \Omega^{(\mathbf{c})} \Omega(t) = \Omega(\mathbf{c}t).$$

Since conjugation by  $\mathbf{c}^r$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  induce the same automorphism on  $\Psi/Z(\Psi)$ , (14) and (19) give

$$\mathbf{c}^r \Omega(t) Z(\Psi) = \Omega(\mathbf{c}t) Z(\Psi).$$

In matrix terms, this means that

$$NM = MN,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad b(e-d)p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-i}}, \quad f(e-d)p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

It follows from (11) and (20) that

$$(21) \quad b \equiv 0 \equiv f \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

We now use for the first time the hypothesis  $i < n - 1$ . Since  $M$  is invertible, (21) implies

$$(22) \quad \bar{a}, \bar{j} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Using (14) and (18), and carefully comparing the  $\mathfrak{d}$ -components of each side of (15) gives

$$(23) \quad \mathfrak{d}^{-(1+ep)aq}\mathfrak{d}^{(1+dp)bm}\mathfrak{d}^c = \mathfrak{d}^{-abep(ep+1)/2}\mathfrak{d}^{c(1+ep)}, \quad \mathfrak{d}^{-(1+ep)fq}\mathfrak{d}^{(1+dp)jm}\mathfrak{d}^k = \mathfrak{d}^{-fjdp(dp+1)/2}\mathfrak{d}^{k(1+dp)}.$$

We claim that

$$(24) \quad q \equiv 0 \equiv m \pmod{p}.$$

Indeed, using (21), that  $n - 1 > i$ , and that  $e(ep + 1)$  (resp.  $d(dp + 1)$ ) is even, we deduce from the first (resp. second) equality in (23) that  $\mathfrak{d}^{aq}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{d}^{jm}$ ) is in  $\langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ . Thus (22) yields  $q \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (resp.  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ). This proves the claim.

On the other hand, in view of (18), we have

$$(25) \quad \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}^r\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}^{-ep}\mathfrak{c}^r, \quad \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^r\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = \mathfrak{b}^{-dp}\mathfrak{c}^r.$$

We deduce from (14), (24), and (25) that if we replace  $\Omega$  by  $\delta_s\Omega$ , where  $\delta_s \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  for a suitable  $s \in \Psi$ , the following will hold in (14):

$$(26) \quad q = 0 = m.$$

Making use of (17) and replacing  $\Omega$  by  $D^l\Omega$  for a suitable  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we will have  $q = 0 = m$  and  $r = 1$  in (14), so that

$$(27) \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\mathfrak{d}^{aj-bf} = [\Omega(\mathfrak{a}), \Omega(\mathfrak{b})] = \Omega([\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]) = \Omega(\mathfrak{d}) = \mathfrak{d},$$

and appealing to (21), we infer

$$(28) \quad aj \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

Going back to (23) and making use of (26), we see that

$$\mathfrak{d}^{abep(ep+1)/2} = \mathfrak{d}^{cep}, \quad \mathfrak{d}^{fjdp(dp+1)/2} = \mathfrak{d}^{kdp}.$$

But  $d(dp + 1)$  and  $e(ep + 1)$  are even, so (21) yields

$$\mathfrak{d}^{cep} = 1 = \mathfrak{d}^{kdp}.$$

Since  $d$  and  $e$  are not divisible by  $p$ , we deduce

$$(29) \quad c \equiv 0 \equiv k \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

Now  $\mathfrak{a}^{p^{n-i-1}}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i-1}}$  commute with  $\mathfrak{c}$  and with each other, so replacing  $\Omega$  by  $\delta_s\Omega$ , where  $\delta_s \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  for a suitable  $s \in \Psi$ , (21) and (29) imply that (27) still holds and we further have

$$c = 0 = k$$

in (14).

We next replace  $\Omega$  by  $\delta_s G^l\Omega$ , for a suitable  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\delta_s \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$ , with  $s \in \langle \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ . This will keep (27) and  $c = 0 = k$ , and, because of (28), will further achieve  $a = 1 = j$  in (14). Taking into account (21), we see that  $E^u F^v\Omega = 1$  for suitable  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This proves (12), completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\Lambda : \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi)$  be the restriction homomorphism ensured by Proposition 2.8. Then  $\ker \Lambda$  is the cyclic group of order  $p^{i-1}$  generated by*

$$\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \mathfrak{b}, \quad \mathfrak{c} \mapsto \mathfrak{c}^{1+p^{n-i}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega \in \ker \Lambda$ . Then  $\Omega(\mathbf{c}) = t\mathbf{c}^s$ , where  $t \in \Psi$  and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\Omega$  is the identity on  $\Psi$ ,

$$\mathbf{a}^{1+ep} = \Omega(\mathbf{a}^{1+ep}) = \Omega(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}^{-1}) = \Omega(\mathbf{c})\Omega(\mathbf{a})\Omega(\mathbf{c}^{-1}) = t\mathbf{c}^s\mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}^{-s}t^{-1} = t\mathbf{a}^{(1+ep)^s}t^{-1}.$$

Since conjugation by  $t$  sends  $\mathbf{a}$  to itself times some power of  $\mathfrak{d}$ , this forces

$$(1+ep)^s \equiv (1+ep) \pmod{p^{n-i}},$$

so

$$(1+ep)^{s-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

As  $p \nmid e$ , Lemma 2.4 yields

$$s \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

We also deduce that  $t$  must commute with  $\mathbf{a}^{1+ep}$  and hence with  $\mathbf{a}$ . A like calculation with  $\mathbf{b}$  instead of  $\mathbf{a}$  forces  $t$  to commute with  $\mathbf{b}$ , whence  $t \in Z(\Psi) = \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ . Since  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathbf{c}^{p^{i-1}}$  and  $i-1 \geq n-i-1$ , we infer  $\Omega(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c}^k$ , where  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}$ . But  $\Omega$  must fix  $\mathfrak{d}$  as well, so in fact  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}}$ .  $\square$

Note that if  $i \neq n-1$  then, in the notation of Theorem 3.2, we have  $\ker \Lambda = \langle D^p \rangle$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose  $i = n-1$ , so that  $\Sigma$  be the group of order  $p^{n+1}$  generated by  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ , with defining relations*

$$\mathbf{a}^p = 1, \mathbf{b}^p = 1, \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-1}} = 1, [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-2}}, \mathbf{a}\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{b},$$

and  $\Psi \cong \text{Heis}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is the subgroup of  $\Sigma$  of order  $p^3$  generated by  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-2}} = \mathfrak{d}$ .

Let  $\Lambda : \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi)$  be the restriction homomorphism whose existence is ensured by Proposition 2.8. Then

$$\text{Aut}(\Sigma) \cong \ker \Lambda \times \text{Im} \Lambda,$$

where  $\ker \Lambda$  is a cyclic group of order  $p^{n-2}$  and  $\text{Im} \Lambda = \text{Aut}(\Psi)$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . More precisely, let  $A, B \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  be the inner automorphisms of  $\Sigma$  associated with  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ , respectively. Let  $C, D, E, F, G \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  be respectively defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c},$$

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^{-1}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c},$$

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^r, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}^s, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c},$$

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^r, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}^r,$$

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}^{1+p},$$

where  $r$  is any integer of order  $p-1$  modulo  $p^{n-1}$  (and hence modulo  $p$ ), with inverse  $s$  modulo  $p^{n-1}$ , (and hence modulo  $p$ ), both of which are taken to be odd (as we are allowed to do), and further let  $t = r(r-1)/2$ . Then  $\ker \Lambda = \langle G \rangle$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  is generated by  $A, B, C, D, E, F, G$ , with defining relations

$$A^p = 1, B^p = 1, AB = BA,$$

$$C^p = 1, D^2 = E^{(p-1)/2}, E^{p-1} = 1, F^{p-1} = 1, G^{p^{n-2}} = 1, DED^{-1} = E^{-1}, DFD^{-1} = E^{-1}F,$$

$$EF = FE, ECE^{-1} = A^{-t}C^{r^2}, FCF^{-1} = C^r,$$

$$A^{(r^k-1)/2}B^{-(s^k+1)/2}DC^{s^k}D = E^kC^{-s^k}DC^{-r^k}, \quad 0 \leq k < p-1,$$

$$CAC^{-1} = A, CBC^{-1} = AB, DAD^{-1} = B, DBD^{-1} = A^{-1}, EAE^{-1} = A^r,$$

$$EBE^{-1} = B^s, FAF^{-1} = A^r, FBF^{-1} = B,$$

$$AG = GA, BG = GB, CG = GC, DG = GD, EG = GE, FG = GF.$$

Moreover,  $\text{Aut}(\Psi)$  is generated by the restrictions to  $\Psi$  of  $A$  through  $F$ , say  $A_0$  through  $F_0$ , respectively, with the above defining relations, *mutatis mutandi* (this means that we replace  $A$  through  $F$  by  $A_0$  through  $F_0$  and we remove all relations involving  $G$ ). Furthermore,

$$|\text{Aut}(\Sigma)| = p^{n+1}(p-1)^2(p+1), \quad |\text{Aut}(\Psi)| = p^3(p-1)^2(p+1).$$

*Proof.* That the maps defining  $A$  through  $G$  extend to automorphisms of  $\Sigma$  follows from the defining relations of  $\Sigma$ .

We have  $\ker \Lambda = \langle G \rangle$ , a group of order  $p^{n-2}$ , by Proposition 3.3. Let  $Z$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Psi)$  generated by  $A_0$  through  $F_0$ . We claim that  $Z = \text{Aut}(\Psi)$ . Indeed, we have a homomorphism  $\Gamma : \text{Aut}(\Psi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Psi/Z(\Psi))$  whose kernel is easily seen to be  $\text{Inn}(\Psi)$ . Relative to the basis  $\{\mathfrak{a}Z(\Psi), \mathfrak{b}Z(\Psi)\}$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space  $\Psi/Z(\Psi)$ , the matrices of  $\Gamma(C_0)$  through  $\Gamma(F_0)$  are respectively given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As these matrices generate  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ , we see that the restriction of  $\Gamma$  to  $Z$  is surjective. Since  $\text{Inn}(\Psi) \subset Z$ , it follows that  $Z = \text{Aut}(\Psi)$ . We have shown, in particular, that  $\text{Aut}(\Psi)$  is an extension of  $\ker \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\text{Im} \Gamma \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ .

The verification of the given relations among  $A$  through  $G$  is a routine calculation and will be omitted. We next show that these are defining relations.

Let  $P$  be the abstract group generated by elements  $A$  through  $G$  subject to the given relations. It suffices to show that  $|P| \leq p^{n+1}(p-1)^2(p+1)$ . In this respect, it is clear that  $\langle A, B, G \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $P$  of order  $\leq p^n$ . Let  $Q = P/\langle A, B, G \rangle$  and let  $c, u, v, f$  be the images of  $C, D, E, F$ , respectively, under the canonical projection  $P \rightarrow Q$ . We further let  $N = \langle c, v, f \rangle$ . Then  $v, f$  commute and normalize  $\langle c \rangle$ , so  $|N| \leq p(p-1)^2$ . We claim that  $Q = N \cup NuN$ . This means that  $Y = N \cup NuN$  is a subgroup of  $Q$ . Now  $u^{-1} = u^3 = uu^2$ , with  $u^2 \in N$ , so  $Y$  is closed under inversion. That  $Y$  is closed under multiplication is respectively equivalent to  $NuNuN \subset Y$ ,  $uNu \subset Y$ , and  $uNu^{-1} \subset Y$ . Now  $u$  conjugates  $v, f$  back into  $N$ , and  $u\langle c \rangle u \subset Y$  by the given relations, so  $Y$  is closed under multiplication. Since  $NuN = Nu\langle c \rangle$ , we have

$$|NuN| \leq |N||\langle c \rangle| = p^2(p-1)^2,$$

and therefore

$$|Q| \leq |N| + |NuN| \leq p(p-1)^2 + p^2(p-1)^2 = p(p-1)^2(p+1),$$

whence

$$|P| = |\langle A, B, G \rangle||Q| \leq p^n p(p-1)^2(p+1) = p^{n+1}(p-1)^2(p+1),$$

as required. This shows that the stated relations are defining relations for  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ .

Now,  $F$  normalizes  $\langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle$ , every element of this group fixes  $\mathfrak{c}$ , while  $F(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}^r$  and  $G(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}^{1+p}$ . Since  $r$  has order  $p-1$  modulo  $p^{n-1}$  and  $1+p$  has order  $p^{n-2}$  modulo  $p^{n-1}$  (where  $p^{n-1}$  is the order of  $\mathfrak{c}$ ), it follows that the intersection of  $\langle A, B, C, D, E, F \rangle = \langle A, B, C, D, E \rangle \rtimes \langle F \rangle$  with  $\langle G \rangle$  is trivial, so the restriction of  $\Lambda$  to  $\langle A, B, C, D, E, F \rangle$  is an isomorphism and, since  $G$  is central, we have  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \langle G \rangle \times \langle A, B, C, D, E, F \rangle$ .  $\square$

#### 4. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF $\Sigma$ WHEN $2i < n$

We assume throughout this section that  $2i < n$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The center of  $\Sigma$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{d}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i-1}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in Z(\Sigma)$ . Then  $z = \mathbf{c}^r t$ , where  $t \in \Psi$ . The first part of the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that  $t \in Z(\Psi)$ , that  $\mathbf{c}^r$  commutes with  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , and that  $p^{n-i-1} | r$ . In this case,  $Z(\Psi) = \langle \mathfrak{d}, \mathbf{b}^{p^i} \rangle$ . As  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-1}}$ , we infer  $Z(\Sigma) \subseteq \langle \mathbf{b}^{p^i}, \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ . Here  $\mathfrak{d}$  actually belongs to  $Z(\Sigma)$ , so  $Z(\Sigma) = \langle \mathbf{b}^{p^j}, \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ , where  $j \geq i$  is large enough so that  $\mathbf{c}$  commutes with  $\mathbf{b}^{p^j}$ , that is,  $j \geq n-i-1$ . Since  $n-i-1 \geq i$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that*

$$(30) \quad z^{p^a} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^b}.$$

*Then*

$$1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{p^a-1} \equiv p^a \pmod{p^b}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from (30) that  $z \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Indeed, the order of  $z$  modulo  $p$  must be a factor of both  $p^a$  and  $p-1$  (which is the order of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ), so  $z$  has order 1 modulo  $p$ .

If  $z = 1$  the result is obvious. If not,  $z = 1 + hp^s$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  not divisible by  $p$  and  $s \geq 1$ . Then by Lemma 2.4,

$$z^{p^a} \equiv (1 + hp^s)^{p^a} \equiv 1 + hp^{s+a} \pmod{p^{2s+a}},$$

so for some integer  $f$ , we have

$$z^{p^a} = 1 + hp^{s+a} + fp^{2s+a} = 1 + p^{s+a}(h + fp^s).$$

Here  $p \nmid (h + fp^s)$ , because  $s \geq 1$ . It follows from (30) that  $b \leq s + a$ . Now

$$1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{p^a-1} \equiv (z^{p^a} - 1)/(z - 1) \equiv p^{s+a}(h + fp^s)/hp^s \pmod{p^b}.$$

Here  $z-1$  divides  $z^{p^a} - 1$ , so  $hp^s$  divides  $p^{s+a}(h + fp^s)$ . But  $hp^s$  also divides  $hp^{s+a}$ , so  $hp^s$  divides  $p^{s+a}fp^s$ , that is  $h$  divides  $p^{s+a}f$ . Since  $h$  is relatively prime to  $p$ , we infer that  $h$  divides  $f$ . As  $b \leq s + a$ , we conclude that  $p^{s+a}(h + fp^s)/hp^s \equiv p^a + fp^{s+a}/h \equiv p^a \pmod{p^b}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Suppose  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $n \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $2i < n$ . Let  $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$  be chosen so that (1) and (2) hold, and let  $\Sigma$  be the group with presentation (3).*

*Let  $L, M, N \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  be the inner automorphisms of  $\Sigma$  associated with  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ , respectively. Let  $U, V, W, X, Y, Z \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  be respectively the defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-2}}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^{-e}\mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}^{1+p^{n-i-1}}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}^{1+p^{n-i-1}}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{p^{n-i-1}}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^{p^{i-1}}\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-2}}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \\ \mathbf{a} &\mapsto \mathbf{a}^{g_0}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b}^{h_0}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c}, \end{aligned}$$

*where  $g_0$  is any integer of order  $p-1$  modulo  $p^{n-i}$ , with inverse  $h_0$  modulo  $p^{n-i}$ . Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  preserving  $\Psi$ . Then*

$$(31) \quad G = \langle L, M, N, V, W, X, Y, Z \rangle$$

*and*

$$\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = G\langle U \rangle = \langle U \rangle G.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}^{p^i} = 1$ , Proposition 2.8 ensures that  $\Omega(\mathfrak{a}) \in \Psi$ , so  $\Omega(\mathfrak{a}) = PQR$  for some  $P \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ ,  $Q \in \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , and  $R \in \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ . Now the order of  $\mathfrak{b}$  is  $p^{n-i}$ , with  $n-i > i$ , but equation (10) from Proposition 2.8 still gives  $\Omega(\mathfrak{b}) = t_0 \mathfrak{c}_0$ , where  $t_0 \in \Psi$  and  $\mathfrak{c}_0 \in \langle \mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-2}} \rangle$ . Using the normal form of elements of  $\Psi$ , it follows that  $\Omega(\mathfrak{b}) = ABC^{sp^{n-i-2}}$  for some  $A \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ ,  $B \in \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $\Omega(\mathfrak{c}) \in \Sigma$ , we have  $\Omega(\mathfrak{c}) = CDc^r$  for some  $C \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ ,  $D \in \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

$$(32) \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{b}) = ABC^{sp^{n-i-2}}, \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{a}) = PQR, \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{c}) = CDc^r.$$

Since  $\Omega(\mathfrak{b}), \Omega(\mathfrak{a}), \Omega(\mathfrak{c})$  must generate  $\Sigma$ , we see that  $p \nmid r$ .

CLAIM 1.  $Q \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-2i}} \rangle$ .

Since  $1 = \Omega(\mathfrak{a}^{p^i}) = (PQR)^{p^i} = P^{p^i} Q^{p^i} R^u$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the order of  $\mathfrak{b}$  is  $p^{n-i}$ , the result follows.

CLAIM 2.  $P$  generates  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ ,  $B$  generates  $\langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$  and  $\Omega(\mathfrak{d})$  generates  $\langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ .

Set  $f = p^{n-i-2}s$ . We then have

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{d}) = \Omega([\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]) = [\Omega(\mathfrak{a}), \Omega(\mathfrak{b})] = [PQR, ABC^f].$$

Here

$$[PQR, ABC^f] = [PQR, A] \cdot {}^A[PQR, Bc^f] = [PQR, A] \cdot {}^A[PQR, B] \cdot {}^{AB}[PQR, c^f],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [PQR, A] \cdot {}^A[PQR, B] &= [Q, A][P, B], \\ [c^f, PQR] &= [c^f, P] \cdot {}^P[c^f, QR] = [c^f, P] \cdot {}^P[c^f, Q]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.4,  $P^{p^i} = 1$ , and  $n-i-1 \geq i$ , we see that

$$[c^f, P] = P^{(1+ep)^f} P^{-1} = P^{1+sep^{n-i-1}} P^{-1} = 1.$$

Moreover, by Claim 1,  $Q^{p^i} = 1$ . Using again Lemma 2.4 and  $n-i-1 \geq i$ , we obtain

$$[c^f, Q] = Q^{(1+dp)^f} Q^{-1} = Q^{1+sdp^{n-i-1}} Q^{-1} = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{d}) = [PQR, ABC^f] = [Q, A][P, B] \in \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle.$$

This shows that  $\langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\Sigma$ , whence  $\Omega(\mathfrak{d})$  generates  $\langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ . In particular,  $[Q, A][P, B]$  has order  $p^i$ . But  $Q \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-2i}} \rangle$  by Claim 1, so

$$[Q, A] \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^{p^{n-2i}} \rangle$$

has order  $< p^i$ . Since  $[P, B]$  commutes with  $[Q, A]$ , it follows that  $[P, B]$  has order  $p^i$ . Thus  $\langle P \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$  and  $\langle B \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ .

CLAIM 3.  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}$ .

We have  $\Omega(\mathfrak{c})\Omega(\mathfrak{b}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{b})^{1+dp}$ . Setting  $f = p^{n-i-2}s$ , this translates into

$${}^{CDc^r}(ABC^f) = (ABC^f)^{1+dp}.$$

The  $\mathfrak{b}$ -component of the left hand side is equal to  $B^{(1+dp)^r}$ . As for the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -component of the right hand side, we have

$$(ABC^f)^p = ABA^{(1+ep)^f} B^{(1+dp)^f} \dots A^{(1+ep)^{f(p-1)}} B^{(1+dp)^{f(p-1)}} \mathfrak{d}^s.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 with  $z = (1+dp)^f$ ,  $a = 1$  and  $b = n-i$  we see that the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -component of  $(ABC^f)^p$  is  $B^p$  and that the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -component of  $(ABC^f)^p$  is  $A^p$ . Reordering the factors, it follows that

$$(ABC^f)^p = A^p B^p \mathfrak{d}^u, \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus

$$(ABC^f)^{dp} = A^{pd} B^{pd} \mathfrak{d}^q, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

so

$$(AB\mathbf{c}^f)^{1+dp} = (AB\mathbf{c}^f)(AB\mathbf{c}^f)^{dp} = AB\mathbf{c}^f A^{pd} B^{pd} \mathfrak{d}^q,$$

and therefore

$$(AB\mathbf{c}^f)^{1+dp} = AA^{(1+ep)^f pd} BB^{(1+dp)^f pd} \mathbf{c}^f \mathfrak{d}^l, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now by Lemma 2.4,

$$(1+dp)^f pd \equiv pd \pmod{p^{n-i}},$$

so the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -component of  $(AB\mathbf{c}^f)^{1+dp}$  is  $B^{1+dp}$ . All in all, we deduce

$$B^{(1+dp)^f} = B^{1+dp}.$$

Since  $B$  has order  $p^{n-i}$  by Claim 2, we infer from  $p \nmid d$  and Lemma 2.4 that

$$r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

CLAIM 4. The map defining  $U$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Sigma$ .

It is clear that the images of  $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  generate  $\Sigma$ . We need to verify that the defining relations of  $\Sigma$  are preserved. We proceed to do this, labeling each step with the corresponding relation of  $\Sigma$ .

- $\mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i}} = 1$ . Set  $f = p^{n-i-2}$  and  $z = (1+dp)^f$ . Then

$$(\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^p = \mathfrak{b}^u \mathfrak{d},$$

where

$$u = 1 + z + \cdots + z^{p-1} \pmod{p^{n-i}}.$$

But  $z^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i}}$ , so Lemma 4.2 implies  $u \equiv p \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ , whence

$$(33) \quad (\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^p = \mathfrak{b}^p \mathfrak{d}.$$

This element has order  $p^{n-i-1}$ , since the factors commute,  $\mathfrak{d}$  has order  $p^i$ , and  $n-i-1 \geq i$ .

- $\mathfrak{a}^{p^i} = 1$ . This step is clear.
- $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-1}}$ . We need to verify that

$$[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-2}}] = (\mathfrak{a}^{-e}\mathfrak{c})^{p^{n-i-1}}.$$

On the one hand, we have

$$[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-2}}] = [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] \cdot {}^{\mathfrak{b}}[\mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-2}}] = [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{c}^{p^{n-i-1}},$$

because  $\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-2}}$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{a}$ , since  $(1+ep)^{p^{n-i-2}} \equiv 1+ep^{n-i-1} \pmod{p^{n-i}}$ ,  $n-i-1 \geq i$ , and  $\mathfrak{a}$  has order  $p^i$ . On the other hand,

$$(34) \quad (\mathfrak{a}^{-e}\mathfrak{c})^{p^{n-i-1}} = \mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-1}}$$

applying Lemma 4.2 with  $z = 1+ep$ ,  $a = n-i-1$ , and  $b = n-i$ , and using  $n-i-1 \geq i$ .

- $\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-1}} = 1$ . This step follows from (34).
- ${}^{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}^{1+ep}$ . This step is clear because  $\mathfrak{a}^{-e}$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{a}$ .
- ${}^{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}^{1+dp}$ . Set  $f = p^{n-i-2}$ . We need to verify that

$$(35) \quad \mathfrak{a}^{-e\mathfrak{c}}(\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f) = (\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^{1+dp}.$$

Using (33) and the fact that  $\mathfrak{d}$  is central in  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^{dp} = \mathfrak{b}^{dp} \mathfrak{d}^d,$$

so

$$(\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^{1+dp} = (\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)(\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^{dp} = \mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f \mathfrak{b}^{dp} \mathfrak{d}^d.$$

Here  $\mathbf{c}^f$  and  $\mathfrak{b}^{dp}$  commute, since  $dp(1+dp)^{p^{n-i-2}} \equiv dp \pmod{p^{n-i}}$  by Lemma 2.4. Thus

$$(\mathfrak{b}\mathbf{c}^f)^{1+dp} = \mathfrak{b}^{1+dp} \mathbf{c}^f \mathfrak{d}^d.$$

On the other hand, since  $n - i - 1 \geq i$ ,  $\mathbf{c}^f$  commutes with  $\mathbf{a}$ , so

$$\mathbf{a}^{-e}\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^f) = \mathbf{a}^{-e}(\mathbf{b}^{1+dp}\mathbf{c}^f) = \mathbf{b}^{1+dp}\mathfrak{d}^{-e(1+dp)}\mathbf{c}^f.$$

Now from  $(1+dp)(1+ep) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$  we infer  $e+d+edp \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-1}}$ , so  $d \equiv -e(1+dp) \equiv p^i$ . This completes the proof of Claim 4.

Recall that  $\langle B \rangle = \mathfrak{b}$  by Claim 2. Using (32), we see that  $U^l\Omega$  preserves  $\Psi$  for a suitable  $l$ . This proves that  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \langle U \rangle G$ . Since this is a subgroup, it follows that  $\langle U \rangle G = G \langle U \rangle$ .

We proceed to prove (31). The verification that the maps defining  $V, W, X, Y, Z$  extend to automorphisms of  $\Sigma$  is routine and will be omitted.

Let  $\Omega \in G$ . Then

$$(36) \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{b}) = ABS, \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{a}) = PQR, \quad \Omega(\mathfrak{c}) = CD\mathbf{c}^r.$$

Here  $A, P, C \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ ,  $B, Q, D \in \langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , and  $R, S \in \langle \mathfrak{d} \rangle$ . We know from Claim 3 that  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}$ . Thus, replacing  $\Omega$  by  $V^j\Omega$  for a suitable  $j$ , we will have  $r = 1$  in (36).

For any  $t \in \Psi$ , we have

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{c})\Omega(t) = \Omega(\mathfrak{c}t).$$

Taking  $t = \mathfrak{a}$ , we get

$$(37) \quad (CD\mathfrak{c})PQR = \Omega(\mathfrak{c})\Omega(\mathfrak{a}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{a}^{1+ep}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{a})^{1+ep} = (PQR)^{1+ep}.$$

Comparing the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -components in (37), we see that

$$Q^{1+dp} = Q^{1+ep}.$$

It follows from (11) that  $Q^p = 1$  and therefore

$$(38) \quad Q \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i-1}} \rangle.$$

Comparing the  $\mathfrak{d}$ -components in (37), we find that

$$(39) \quad [C, Q]^{1+dp}[D, P]^{1+ep}R = [Q, P][Q, P]^2 \cdots [Q, P]^{ep}R^{1+ep}.$$

This implies that

$$[C, Q][D, P] \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle.$$

Now  $n - i - 1 \geq 1$  because  $2i < n$ , so (38) implies  $[C, Q] \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ , whence  $[D, P] \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ . Since  $P$  generates  $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ , we infer

$$(40) \quad D \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^p \rangle.$$

Likewise, taking  $t = \mathfrak{b}$ , we must have

$$(41) \quad (CD\mathfrak{c})ABS = \Omega(\mathfrak{c})\Omega(\mathfrak{b}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{b}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{b}^{1+dp}) = \Omega(\mathfrak{b})^{1+dp} = (ABS)^{1+dp}.$$

Comparing the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -components in (41), we see that

$$A^{1+ep} = A^{1+dp}.$$

Since  $d \not\equiv e \pmod{p}$ , this forces  $A^p = 1$ , whence

$$(42) \quad A \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^{p^{i-1}} \rangle.$$

Comparing the  $\mathfrak{d}$ -components in (41), we see that

$$(43) \quad [C, B]^{1+dp}[D, A]^{1+ep}S = [B, A][B, A]^2 \cdots [B, A]^{dp}S^{1+dp}.$$

It follows that

$$[C, B][D, A] \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle.$$

In view of (40), we have  $[D, A] \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^p \rangle$ . Since  $B$  generates  $\langle \mathfrak{b} \rangle$ , we infer

$$(44) \quad C \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^p \rangle.$$



Making use of (40) and (44) we see that if we replace  $\Omega$  by  $\delta_s \Omega$ , where  $\delta_s \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  for a suitable  $s \in \Psi$ , we will have  $C = 1 = D$  in (36). Since  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-i-1}}$ , a further replacement of  $\Omega$  by  $V^l \Omega$  for a suitable  $l$  will maintain  $C = 1 = D$  and ensure  $r = 1$  in (36). We then have

$$(45) \quad \mathfrak{d} = \Omega(\mathfrak{d}) = \Omega([\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}]) = [PQR, ABS] = [P, B][Q, A] = [P, B].$$

Here  $[Q, A] = 1$  because (38) and (42) ensure that  $[Q, A] \in \langle \mathfrak{c}^{p^{(n-i-1)+(n-i-1)+(i-1)}} \rangle$ , and  $n-i-1 \geq 1$  as just noted above. On the other hand, we have

$$P = \mathfrak{a}^a, \quad B = \mathfrak{b}^j,$$

where  $a, j$  are relatively prime to  $p$  by Claim 2. By virtue of (45) we obtain the sharper statement

$$(46) \quad aj \equiv 1 \pmod{p^i}.$$

Going back to (43) with  $C = 1 = D$  and using  $A \in \langle \mathfrak{a}^{p^{i-1}} \rangle$ , we deduce  $S^{dp} = 1$  and hence

$$S \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^{p^{i-1}} \rangle.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{a}^{p^{i-1}}$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{c}$ , replacing  $\Omega$  by  $\delta_s \Omega$ , where  $\delta_s \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  for a suitable  $s \in \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$ , we obtain  $S = 1$  in (36).

We next go back to (39) with  $C = 1 = D$ . Using  $Q \in \langle \mathfrak{b}^{p^{n-i-1}} \rangle$ , we infer  $R^{ep} = 1$  and therefore

$$(47) \quad R \in \langle \mathfrak{d}^{p^{i-1}} \rangle.$$

We next replace  $\Omega$  by  $\delta_s Z^l \Omega$ ,  $\delta_s \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$ , for suitable  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \in \langle \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ . This will keep  $C = 1 = D$  as well as  $r = 1$ ; moreover, because of (46), will further achieve  $P = \mathfrak{a}$  and  $B = \mathfrak{b}$  in (36). Taking into account (38), (42), and (47), we see that  $W^a X^b Y^c \Omega = 1$  for suitable  $a, b, c$ . This proves (12), and completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Keep the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 4.3, and take  $h_0$  odd. Then*

$$|\text{Aut}(\Sigma)| = p^{2n+2}(p-1), [\text{Aut}(\Sigma) : G] = p;$$

$$(48) \quad \text{Inn}(\Sigma) = \langle L, M, N \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i-1} \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i-1} \mathbb{Z};$$

$$(49) \quad G/\langle L, M, N \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z} \times [(\mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(p-1) \mathbb{Z}],$$

with

$$(50) \quad G = \langle L, M, N \rangle \rtimes \langle V, W, X, Y, Z \rangle \text{ if } i > 1;$$

$$\Phi = \langle L, M, N, V, W, X, Y \rangle$$

is the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $\Gamma : \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma/\Sigma^p)$ , and hence a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ ;

$$\Pi = \langle L, M, N, U, V, W, X, Y \rangle = \langle M, N, U, V, W, X, Y \rangle = \Phi \langle U \rangle$$

is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ ;

$$\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = \Pi \rtimes \langle Z \rangle = \Phi \langle U \rangle \rtimes \langle Z \rangle = \langle M, N, U, V, W, X, Y, Z \rangle.$$

Moreover, the following relations hold:

$$L^{p^i} = 1, M^{p^{n-i-1}} = 1, N^{p^{n-i-1}} = 1, {}^N L = L^{1+ep}, {}^N M = M^{1+dp}, LM = ML,$$

$$U^p = L, V^{p^i} = 1, W^p = 1, X^p = 1, Y^p = 1, Z^{p-1} = 1,$$

$$VW = WV, VY = YV, VZ = ZV, VX = XV,$$

$${}^Z L = L^{g_0}, {}^Z M = h^{g_0}, ZN = NZ, {}^Y L = L, {}^Y M = M, YN = NY,$$

$${}^X L = L, {}^X M = L^{p^{i-1}} M, XN = NX, {}^W L = L, {}^W M = M, WN = NW,$$

$${}^V L = L, {}^V M = M, {}^V N = N, {}^U L = L, {}^U M = MN^{p^{n-i-2}}, {}^U N = L^{-e} N,$$

$WX = XW, YX = X$  if  $i > 1$ ,  $YX = LX$  if  $i = 1$ ,  $WY = YW, ZW = W^{h_0^2}, ZX = X^{g_0^2}, ZY = Y^{h_0}$ , as well as the following relations, subject to the indicated conditions:

$${}^U Y = V^{ep^{i-1}} Y (i > 1), {}^U Y = N^{p^{n-3}} V^e Y (i = 1, n > 3), {}^U W = M^{p^{n-i-2}} W (n > 2i + 1),$$

$${}^U V = V (n > 2i + 1), {}^U X = X (n > 2i + 1), {}^U Z = Z N^{p^{n-i-2}(h_0-1)/2} U^{h_0-1} (n > 2i + 1).$$

Furthermore, suppose that  $n \neq 2i + 1$ . Then the above are defining relations for  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ , as well as for  $\Pi$ , once all relations involving  $Z$  are removed;  $\Pi$  cannot be generated by fewer than 7 elements;  $G$  is not a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* The verification of the stated relations is a routine calculation that will be omitted. We do mention, in connection with the relations involving conjugation by  $U$ , that  $U$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mathbf{a}^{-ep^{n-i-2}} \mathbf{c}^{-p^{n-i-2}} \mapsto \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^e \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c},$$

so  $U^{-1}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b} \mathbf{a}^{-ep^{n-i-2}} \mathbf{c}^{-p^{n-i-2}}, \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^e \mathbf{c}.$$

It should be noted that if  $n > 2i + 1$  then  $U^{-1}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{b} \mathbf{c}^{-p^{n-i-2}}$ .

We claim that  $G$  has index  $p$  in  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ . Indeed, the definition of  $U$  implies  $U \notin G$ . Thus, our relations  $U^p = L$  and  $L^{p^i} = 1$  imply that  $\langle U \rangle$  is  $p$ -group satisfying  $U \notin G$  but  $U^p \in G$ , which yields  $G \cap \langle U \rangle = \langle U^p \rangle$ . On the other hand, we have  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma) = G \langle U \rangle$  by Theorem 4.3, and therefore  $[\text{Aut}(\Sigma) : G] = [\langle U \rangle : G \cap \langle U \rangle] = [\langle U \rangle : \langle U^p \rangle] = p$ . This proves the claim. Thus  $|\text{Aut}(\Sigma)| = p^{2n+2}(p-1)$  is equivalent to  $|G| = p^{2n+1}(p-1)$ , which follows immediately from (48) and (49). Now (48) is a consequence of Lemma 4.1, and we proceed to prove (49). Our relations yield an epimorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z} \times [(\mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(p-1) \mathbb{Z}] \rightarrow G / \langle L, M, N \rangle \cong \langle \overline{V}, \overline{W}, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} \rangle,$$

and we need to see that this is injective. This is equivalent to the following: if  $v \in \langle V \rangle$ ,  $w \in \langle W \rangle$ ,  $x \in \langle X \rangle$ ,  $y \in \langle Y \rangle$ ,  $z \in \langle Z \rangle$ , and  $u = vwx y z \in \text{Inn}(\Sigma)$  then  $v = w = x = y = z = 1$ . Suppose then that such  $u$  is an inner automorphism of  $\Sigma$ , associated to an element  $t$  of  $\Sigma$ . Successively applying  $u$  to  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ , and  $\mathbf{a}$ , we see that  $v = 1$ ,  $x = 1 = z$ , and  $w = 1$ . Thus  $u = y = Y^l$  for some  $l$ . Hence  $t = \mathbf{a}^i \mathbf{b}^j \mathbf{c}^k$  must commute with  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$ . As  $t$  commutes with  $\mathbf{c}$ , we infer  $v_p(j) \geq n - i - 1$ . But  $\mathbf{b}^{p^{n-i-1}} \in Z(\Sigma)$  by Lemma 4.1, and we may assume that  $t = \mathbf{a}^i \mathbf{c}^k$ . Since  $t$  commutes with  $\mathbf{b}$ , we deduce  $v_p(k) \geq n - i - 1$ . But  $\mathbf{c}^{p^{n-i-1}} = \mathbf{d} \in Z(\Sigma)$ , so we may assume that  $t = \mathbf{a}^i$ . Thus  $u(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}$  and  $u(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}^{lp^{n-2}}$ , whence  $v_p(l) \geq 1$  and therefore  $y = 1$ , as required. This proves (49). Note that if  $i > 1$  our relations show that

$$\langle V, W, X, Y, Z \rangle = \langle V \rangle \times [(\langle W \rangle \times \langle X \rangle \times \langle Y \rangle) \rtimes \langle Z \rangle],$$

and the above argument then proves that  $\langle V, W, X, Y, Z \rangle \cap \langle L, M, N \rangle$  is trivial, whence (50) holds.

By (48) and (49),  $\Phi$  has order  $p^{2n+1}$ , so it is normal in a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  (having index  $p$  there). But  $\Phi$  is also normalized by  $Z$ , so  $\Phi$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ . It is in fact the kernel of  $\Gamma$ , being clearly included there, and using the fact that the index  $[\text{Aut}(\Sigma) : \ker \Gamma]$  is divisible by  $p$  and  $p-1$  (look at  $U$  and  $Z$ ). On the other hand, Sylow's theorem implies that  $\Pi$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$  (the only positive integer that is a factor of  $p-1$  and is congruent to 1 modulo  $p$  is 1).

Suppose next that  $n \neq 2i + 1$ . An abstract group generated by elements  $L, M, N, U, V, W, X, Y, Z$  satisfying the stated relations is easily seen to have order  $\leq p^{2n+2}(p-1)$ . This implies that the stated relations are defining relations for  $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ . A similar argument applies to  $\Pi$ .

The given relations allow us to define an epimorphism  $\Pi \rightarrow B$ , where  $B$  is a 7-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}/p \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\Pi$  cannot be generated by fewer than 7 elements.

The last stated relation implies  $UZU^{-1} \notin G$ , as  $Z, N \in G$  but  $U \notin G$  and  $h_0 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

5. APPENDIX: THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF  $\Upsilon$ 

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $r \neq 0$  and  $v_p(r) \geq 1$ , then*

$$v_p((1+r)^s - 1) = v_p(r) + v_p(s).$$

*Proof.* We have  $r = \ell p^a$  and  $s = cp^b$ , where  $a, b, c, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a, c \geq 1$ ,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $p \nmid c$  and  $p \nmid \ell$ . According to Lemma 2.4, there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(1+r)^s - 1 = c\ell p^{a+b} + kp^{2a+b} = p^{a+b}(c\ell + kp^a).$$

Since  $c\ell$  is relatively prime to  $p$ , we infer

$$v_p((1+r)^s - 1) = a + b = v_p(r) + v_p(s).$$

□

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $a, b \geq 1$ . Then the order of the element  $z = x^a y^b$  of  $\Upsilon$  is  $p^s$ , where*

$$s = \max\{n - v_p(a), n - i - v_p(b), 0\}.$$

*In particular, the order of  $z$  is  $p^n$  if and only if  $p \nmid a$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $m \geq 1$ , we have  $z^m = x^k y^\ell$ , where

$$k = a \frac{(1+p^i)^{bm} - 1}{(1+p^i)^b - 1} \text{ and } \ell = bm.$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$v_p\left(\frac{(1+p^i)^{bm} - 1}{(1+p^i)^b - 1}\right) = v_p(m),$$

so the smallest  $m$  such that  $x^k = 1$  is  $m = 1$  if  $v_p(a) \geq n$  and  $m = p^{n-v_p(a)}$  otherwise. On the other hand, the smallest  $m$  such that  $y^\ell = 1$  is  $m = 1$  if  $v_p(b) \geq n - i$  and  $m = p^{n-i-v_p(b)}$  otherwise. □

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $a, b \geq 1$ , where  $p \nmid a$ , and set  $z = x^a y^b \in \Upsilon$ . Then  $\langle z \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Upsilon$  if and only if  $x^{p^i} \in \langle z \rangle$ . Equivalently,  $\langle z \rangle$  is normal if and only if  $v_p(b) \geq n - 2i$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $y$  and  $z$  generate  $\Upsilon$ , it follows that  $\langle z \rangle$  is normal if and only if  $yzzy^{-1} \in \langle z \rangle$ , which translates as  $x^{a(1+p^i)} y^b \in \langle z \rangle$  or, alternatively,  $x^{ap^i} z \in \langle z \rangle$ , that is,  $x^{ap^i} \in \langle z \rangle$ , where  $x^{p^i}$  is a power of  $x^{ap^i}$ .

Suppose next  $\langle z \rangle$  is normal. Then, by above,  $x^{p^i} = z^m$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Now  $z^m = x^k y^\ell$ , where  $k, \ell$  are as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Since  $v_p(p^i) = i$ , it follows that  $v_p(m) = i$ . As  $y^\ell = 1$ , we infer that  $v_p(b) \geq n - 2i$ .

Suppose, conversely, that  $v_p(b) \geq n - 2i$ . Set  $m = p^i$ . Then  $z^m = x^k y^\ell$ , where  $k, \ell$  are as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, so that  $v_p(k) = i$ . Since  $y^{p^{n-i}} = 1$  and  $v_p(b) \geq n - 2i$ , we infer  $y^\ell = 1$ . All in all,  $z^m = x^{cp^i}$ , where  $p \nmid c$ . Since  $x^{p^i} \in \langle x^{cp^i} \rangle$ , we deduce  $x^{p^i} \in \langle z \rangle$ . □

**Lemma 5.4.** *The assignment*

$$(51) \quad y \mapsto y, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} xy^{p^{n-2i}} & \text{if } 2i \leq n, \\ xy & \text{if } 2i \geq n, \end{cases}$$

*extends to an automorphism, say  $\alpha$ , of  $\Upsilon$  having order  $p^i$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $p^{n-i}$  if  $2i \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $m = 1 + p^i$  as well as  $b = p^{n-2i}$  if  $2i \leq n$ , and  $b = 1$  if  $2i \geq n$ . Taking into account Lemma 5.2, it suffices to verify that

$$y(xy^b)y^{-1} = (xy^b)^m.$$

On the one hand, we have  $y(xy^b)y^{-1} = x^m y^b$ , and on the other  $(xy^b)^m = x^k y^\ell$ , where

$$k = 1 + m^b + m^{2b} + \cdots + m^{p^i b} \text{ and } \ell = bm.$$

Since  $y^{p^{n-i}} = 1$ , it follows that  $y^\ell = y^b$ . Set  $j = n - i$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $j = i$  if  $2i \geq n$ . Then Lemma 2.4 yields

$$k \equiv 1 + p^i + p^j(1 + 2 + \cdots + p^i) \equiv 1 + p^i + p^j p^i (p^i + 1)/2 \pmod{p^n}.$$

Since  $i + j \geq n$  and  $p$  is odd, it follows that  $k \equiv 1 + p^i \pmod{p^n}$ , as required.  $\square$

Since  $\text{Aut}(C_{p^n})$  is abelian, it is clear that  $\Upsilon$  is a normal subgroup of the holomorph of  $C_{p^n}$ , namely the semidirect product  $\text{Hol}(C_{p^n}) = C_{p^n} \rtimes \text{Aut}(C_{p^n})$  of  $C_{p^n}$  by its full automorphism group. Thus, for any integer  $r$  relatively prime to  $p$  there is an automorphism, say  $\Omega_r$ , of  $\Upsilon$  defined by

$$(52) \quad x \mapsto x^r, \quad y \mapsto y,$$

namely the restriction to  $\Upsilon$  of a suitable inner automorphism of  $C_{p^n} \rtimes \text{Aut}(C_{p^n})$ .

We proceed to select integers  $g, h, t, d$  and  $e$  for further use within this section. It is well known that the group of units  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is cyclic and we fix throughout

$$(53) \quad \text{an integer } g \text{ that has order } p^{n-1}(p-1) \text{ modulo } p^n.$$

We accordingly set

$$(54) \quad \beta = \Omega_g,$$

and let  $h$  be an odd positive integer satisfying

$$(55) \quad gh \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}.$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $1 + p^i$  has order  $p^{n-i}$  modulo  $p^n$ . Since  $g^{p^{i-1}(p-1)}$  also has order  $p^{n-i}$  modulo  $p^n$ , there is an integer  $t$ , relatively prime to  $p$ , such that

$$(56) \quad g^{p^{i-1}(p-1)t} \equiv 1 + p^i \pmod{p^n}.$$

Thus

$$(57) \quad \beta^{p^{i-1}(p-1)t} = \delta_y.$$

Now  $g^{(p-1)t}$  and  $h^{(p-1)t}$  have order  $p^{n-1}$  modulo  $p^n$ , so there exist integers  $d$  and  $e$  satisfying

$$(58) \quad g^{(p-1)t} = 1 + dp, \quad h^{(p-1)t} = 1 + ep$$

as well as (1) and (2).

**Proposition 5.5.** *We have*

$$\text{Aut}(\Upsilon) = \text{Inn}(\Upsilon)\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ . By Lemma 5.2, we have  $\gamma(x) = x^a y^b$ , where  $p \nmid a$ . Thus  $\beta^r \gamma(x) = xy^b$  for some  $r$ . As  $\langle x \rangle$  is normal in  $\Upsilon$ , it follows from Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 that  $\alpha^s \beta^r \gamma(x) = x$  for some  $s$ . Since  $\alpha^s \beta^r \gamma$  must preserve the relation  $xyx^{-1} = x^{1+p^i}$ , we see that  $\alpha^s \beta^r \gamma(y) = x^c y$  for some  $c$ . Here Lemma 5.2 implies that  $v_p(c) \geq i$ , so there is an integer  $t$  such that  $\delta_x^t \alpha^s \beta^r \gamma$  fixes  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $\text{Inn}(\Upsilon)$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ , we infer that  $\gamma \in \text{Inn}(\Upsilon)\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $r$  be any integer relatively prime to  $p$ , and let  $s$  be any odd positive integer satisfying*

$$rs \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n},$$

*whose existence is guaranteed by the fact that  $p^n$  is odd. Let  $\gamma = \Omega_r \in \text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ , as defined in (52), and set*

$$f = \begin{cases} p^{n-2i}(s-1)/2 & \text{if } 2i \leq n, \\ (s-1)/2 & \text{if } 2i \geq n. \end{cases}$$

*Then*

$$\gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1} = \delta_y^f \alpha^s.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$j = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } 2i \leq n, \\ n-i & \text{if } 2i \geq n, \end{cases} \quad \ell = \begin{cases} p^{n-2i} & \text{if } 2i \leq n, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2i \geq n. \end{cases}$$

We then have

$$\gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1}(x) = \gamma\alpha(x^s) = \gamma(xy^\ell)^s = x^{rk}y^{\ell s},$$

where

$$k = 1 + (1+p^i)^\ell + \cdots + (1+p^i)^{\ell(s-1)}.$$

Here Lemma 2.4 yields

$$rk \equiv r[s + p^{n-j}(1+2+\cdots+(s-1))] \equiv 1 + p^{n-j}rs(s-1)/2 \equiv 1 + p^{n-j}(s-1)/2 \pmod{p^n}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\delta_y^f \alpha^s(x) = \delta_y^f(xy^{\ell s}) = \delta_y^f(x)y^{\ell s} = x^{(1+p^i)^f}y^{\ell s},$$

where, according to Lemma 2.4,

$$(1+p^i)^f \equiv 1 + p^{n-j}(s-1)/2 \pmod{p^n}.$$

□

Recalling the meanings of  $\beta$  and  $h$  from (54) and (55), respectively, Lemma 5.6 ensures that if  $2i \leq n$ , then

$$(59) \quad \beta\alpha\beta^{-1} = \delta_y^{p^{n-2i}(h-1)/2} \alpha^h,$$

while if  $2i \geq n$  then

$$(60) \quad \beta\alpha\beta^{-1} = \delta_y^{(h-1)/2} \alpha^h.$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *The order of  $\bar{\beta} \in \text{Out}(\Upsilon)$  is  $p^{i-1}(p-1)$ .*

*Proof.* This is the order of  $g\langle 1+p^i \rangle \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times / \langle 1+p^i \rangle$ . □

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $2i \leq n$  then  $\text{Out}(\Upsilon)$  has order  $p^{2i-1}(p-1)$  and presentation*

$$\text{Out}(\Upsilon) \cong \langle a, b \mid a^{p^i} = 1, b^{p^{i-1}(p-1)} = 1, bab^{-1} = a^h \rangle \cong \text{Hol}(\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}),$$

*while if  $2i \geq n$  then  $\text{Out}(\Upsilon)$  has order  $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ , presentation*

$$\text{Out}(\Upsilon) \cong \langle a, b \mid a^{p^{n-i}} = 1, b^{p^{i-1}(p-1)} = 1, bab^{-1} = a^h \rangle,$$

*and is isomorphic to*

$$\text{Hol}(\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}) / (p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the free group on  $\{a, b\}$  and consider the homomorphism  $\Delta : F \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Upsilon)$

$$a \mapsto \bar{\alpha}, \quad b \mapsto \bar{\beta}.$$

This is surjective by Proposition 5.5. Set  $j = i$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $j = n - i$  if  $2i \geq n$ . By Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.7, and equations (59)-(60) we have  $Y = \{a^{p^j}, b^{p^{i-1}(p-1)}, bab^{-1}a^{-h}\} \subset \ker \Delta$ . Let  $N$  be the normal closure of  $Y$  in  $F$  and let  $\Gamma : F/N \rightarrow \text{Out}(\Upsilon)$  be epimorphism associated to  $\Delta$ . It is clear that  $|F/N| \leq p^{i+j-1}(p-1)$ . On the other hand, the definitions (51), (52), and (54) of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  yield that  $\beta^k = \alpha^\ell \delta_u$  implies  $\alpha^\ell = 1$ . In particular,  $\alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  have the same order, and  $\langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle$  is trivial. Thus, Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7 give  $|\text{Out}(\Upsilon)| = p^{i+j-1}(p-1)$ , whence  $\Gamma$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *We have  $Z(\Upsilon) = \langle x^{p^{n-i}} \rangle$ , so that  $\text{Inn}(\Upsilon)$  has order  $p^{2(n-i)}$  and presentation*

$$\text{Inn}(\Upsilon) = \langle u, v \mid u^{p^{n-i}} = 1, v^{p^{n-i}} = 1, uvv^{-1} = u^{1+p^i} \rangle \cong C_{p^{n-i}} \rtimes C_{p^{n-i}}.$$

*This is an abelian group if and only if  $2i \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\langle y \rangle$  acts faithfully on  $\langle x \rangle$ , the central elements of  $\Upsilon = \langle x \rangle \rtimes \langle y \rangle$  are the elements of  $\langle x \rangle$  that are fixed by all elements of  $\langle y \rangle$ , which are readily seen to be the powers of  $x^{p^{n-i}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** *Let  $G = \langle a, b, c \rangle$  be a group, where  $c$  normalizes  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  commute with  $[a, b]$ , and  $[a, b] \in \langle c \rangle$ . Then  $G = \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle c \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $G = \langle a, b \rangle \langle c \rangle$  and  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \langle [a, b] \rangle$ . As  $[a, b] \in \langle c \rangle$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.11.** *The order of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  is  $p^{2n-1}(p-1)$  if  $2i \leq n$ , and  $p^{3n-2i-1}(p-1)$  if  $2i \geq n$ . Moreover, if*

$$(61) \quad \mu = \delta_x^\ell \beta \delta_x^{-\ell},$$

*then  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  is generated by  $\alpha, \delta_x, \mu$  for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the choice*

$$(62) \quad 2\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n},$$

*yields the following defining relations, depending on whether  $2i \leq n$  or  $2i \geq n$ :*

$$(63) \quad \alpha^{p^i} = 1, \delta_x^{p^{n-i}} = 1, \mu^{p^{n-1}(p-1)} = 1, \alpha \delta_x \alpha^{-1} = \delta_x \mu^{p^{n-i-1}(p-1)t}, \mu \delta_x \mu^{-1} = \delta_x^g, \mu \alpha \mu^{-1} = \alpha^h,$$

$$(64) \quad \alpha^{p^{n-i}} = 1, \delta_x^{p^{n-i}} = 1, \mu^{p^{n-1}(p-1)} = 1, \alpha \delta_x \alpha^{-1} = \delta_x \mu^{p^{i-1}(p-1)t}, \mu \delta_x \mu^{-1} = \delta_x^g, \mu \alpha \mu^{-1} = \alpha^h.$$

*Moreover, if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ , then  $\sigma = ABC$  for unique elements  $A \in \langle \alpha \rangle$ ,  $B \in \langle \delta_x \rangle$ , and  $C \in \langle \mu \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Set

$$j = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } 2i \leq n, \\ n-i & \text{if } 2i \geq n, \end{cases} \quad k = \begin{cases} p^{n-2i} & \text{if } 2i \leq n, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2i \geq n. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 5.8 and Lemma 5.9, we have

$$|\text{Out}(\Upsilon)| = p^{i-1+j}(p-1), \quad |\text{Inn}(\Upsilon)| = p^{2(n-i)},$$

so

$$|\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)| = p^{2(n-i)+i-1+j}(p-1),$$

as claimed.

We have  $\delta_y = \beta^{p^{i-1}(p-1)t}$  by (57), so  $\alpha, \delta_x, \beta$  generate  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  by Proposition 5.5. We next verify the analogs of (63)-(64) for these generators. By Lemma 5.4, we have  $\alpha^{p^j} = 1$ . Since  $g$

has order  $p^{n-1}(p-1)$  modulo  $p^n$ , it follows that  $\beta p^{n-1}(p-1) = 1$ . On the other hand, Lemma 5.9 implies  $\delta_x^{p^{n-i}} = 1$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 5.4,

$$(65) \quad \alpha \delta_x \alpha^{-1} = \delta_{\alpha(x)} = \delta_x \delta_y^k = \delta_x \beta^{kp^{i-1}(p-1)t},$$

while the very definition of  $\beta$  yields

$$\beta \delta_x \beta^{-1} = \delta_{\beta(x)} = \delta_x^g.$$

Furthermore, by (59) and (60), we have

$$(66) \quad \beta \alpha \beta^{-1} = \delta_y^{k(h-1)/2} \alpha^h.$$

This completes the required verification.

It is clear that for any integer  $\ell$ , the elements  $\alpha, \mu, \delta_x$  still generate  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ . We next show that (63)-(64) hold for a suitable choice of  $\ell$ . It is evident that

$$\mu^{p^{n-1}(p-1)} = 1, \quad \mu \delta_x \mu^{-1} = \delta_x^g,$$

Observe next that

$$(67) \quad \delta_y^k \in Z(\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\delta_y^k \delta_x \delta_y^{-k} = \delta_x,$$

where, by Lemma 2.4,

$$u = x^{(1+p^i)^k} = x^{1+p^{n-j}}.$$

Since  $x^{p^{n-j}} \in Z(\Upsilon)$ , it follows that  $\delta_y^k$  commutes with  $\delta_x$ . As  $\delta_y$  is a power of  $\beta$ , they commute. Since  $\alpha(y) = y$ , it follows that  $\alpha$  and  $\delta_y$  also commute. This proves (67).

Since  $\delta_x^\ell$  commutes with  $\delta_y^k = \beta^{kp^{i-1}(p-1)t}$ , it follows that

$$(68) \quad \mu^{kp^{i-1}(p-1)t} = \beta^{kp^{i-1}(p-1)t},$$

whence (65) gives

$$(69) \quad \alpha \delta_x \alpha^{-1} = \delta_x \mu^{kp^{i-1}(p-1)t}.$$

Suppose next that  $2\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ . We claim that

$$\mu \alpha \mu^{-1} = \alpha^h.$$

Indeed, conjugating each side of (66) by  $\delta_x^\ell$  and using that  $\delta_y^k$  is central yields

$$(70) \quad \mu(\delta_x^\ell \alpha \delta_x^{-\ell}) \mu^{-1} = \delta_y^{k(h-1)/2} (\delta_x^\ell \alpha \delta_x^{-\ell})^h.$$

To compute with (70), note that  $[\delta_x^{-1}, \alpha] = \delta_y^k$  by (65). As  $\delta_y^k$  is central,  $[\delta_x, \alpha]^{-1} = \delta_y^k$ , so

$$\delta_x \alpha \delta_x^{-1} = \delta_y^{-k} \alpha,$$

and therefore

$$(71) \quad \delta_x^r \alpha \delta_x^{-r} = \delta_y^{-rk} \alpha, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Successively using (67), (71), (70), (71), and (67), we infer that

$$\delta_y^{-\ell k} \mu \alpha \mu^{-1} = \mu \delta_y^{-\ell k} \alpha \mu^{-1} = \mu (\delta_x^\ell \alpha \delta_x^{-\ell}) \mu^{-1} = \delta_y^{k(h-1)/2} (\delta_x^\ell \alpha \delta_x^{-\ell})^h = \delta_y^{k(h-1)/2} \delta_y^{-\ell h k} \alpha^h.$$

Since  $\ell(h-1) \equiv (h-1)/2 \pmod{p^n}$ , the claim follows.

Thus, the generators  $\alpha, \mu, \delta_x$  satisfy all of the relations (63) if  $2i \leq n$  and (64) if  $2i \geq n$ .

Let  $G$  any group generated by elements  $\alpha, \mu, \delta_x$  that satisfy (63) if  $2i \leq n$  and (64) if  $2i \geq n$ . Set  $\delta_y = \mu^{p^{i-1}(p-1)t}$ . Then (63) and (64) imply that  $\langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  of order

$\leq p^{2(n-i)}$ , with  $|G/\langle\delta_x, \delta_y\rangle| \leq p^{i-1+j}(p-1)$ , so that  $|G| \leq p^{2(n-i)+i-1+j}(p-1)$ . This shows that (63) and (64) are defining relations for  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ .

It follows from Lemma 5.10 that  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon) = \langle\alpha\rangle\langle\beta\rangle\langle\mu\rangle$ . As  $|\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)| = |\langle\alpha\rangle||\langle\beta\rangle||\langle\mu\rangle|$ , we obtain the stated normal form for the elements of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mu$  be defined as in (61) with  $\ell$  chosen so that (62) holds. Recalling the meaning  $t$  from (56), we set

$$(72) \quad \nu = \mu^{(p-1)t}.$$

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let  $\Sigma_0$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  generated by  $\alpha, \delta_x, \nu$ . Then  $\Sigma_0$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  with the following defining relations, depending on whether  $2i \leq n$  or  $2i \geq n$ :*

$$(73) \quad \alpha^{p^i} = 1, \nu^{p^{n-1}} = 1, \delta_x^{p^{n-i}} = 1, \alpha\delta_x\alpha^{-1} = \delta_x\nu^{p^{n-i-1}}, \nu\delta_x\nu^{-1} = \delta_x^{1+dp}, \nu\alpha\nu^{-1} = \alpha^{1+ep},$$

$$(74) \quad \alpha^{p^{n-i}} = 1, \nu^{p^{n-1}} = 1, \delta_x^{p^{n-i}} = 1, \alpha\delta_x\alpha^{-1} = \delta_x\nu^{p^{i-1}}, \nu\delta_x\nu^{-1} = \delta_x^{1+dp}, \nu\alpha\nu^{-1} = \alpha^{1+ep}.$$

In particular,  $\Sigma_0$  is isomorphic to  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 5.11 implies that  $\Sigma_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$  and that

$$\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)/\Sigma_0 \cong \Sigma_0\langle\mu\rangle/\Sigma_0 \cong \langle\mu\rangle/(\Sigma_0 \cap \langle\mu\rangle) \cong \langle\mu\rangle/\langle\nu\rangle \cong C_{p-1},$$

so  $\Sigma_0$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Upsilon)$ .

The relations (73)-(74) follow easily from the relations (63)-(64). Set  $j = i$  if  $2i \leq n$  and  $j = n-i$  if  $2i \geq n$ . That (73)-(74) are defining relations follows from the fact that any group  $G$  generated by elements  $\alpha, \nu, \delta_x$  satisfying these relations has order  $\leq p^{2(n-i)+i-1+j}$ , since  $\langle\delta_x, \nu^{p^{i-1}}\rangle$  is normal in  $G$  of order  $\leq p^{2(n-i)}$  with  $|G/\langle\delta_x, \nu^{p^{i-1}}\rangle| \leq p^{i-1+j}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** We are very indebted to the referee for a careful reading of the paper and valuable feedback.

#### REFERENCES

- [BC] J.N.S Bidwell and M.J. Curran *The automorphism group of a split metacyclic  $p$ -group*, Arch. Math. 87 (2006) 488–497.
- [C] M.J. Curran *The automorphism group of a split metacyclic 2-group*, Arch. Math. 89 (2007) 10–23.
- [C2] M.J. Curran *The automorphism group of a nonsplit metacyclic  $p$ -group*, Arch. Math. 90 (2008) 483–489.
- [Di] J. Dietz *The history of the divisibility conjecture for automorphism groups of finite  $p$ -groups*, Adv. Group Theory Appl. 8 (2019) 49–84.
- [G] S.M. Ghoraiishi, *On noninner automorphisms of finite  $p$ -groups that fix the center elementwise*, Int. J. Group Theory 8 (2019) 1–9.
- [GG] M. Golański and D. Gonçalves *On automorphisms of split metacyclic groups*, Manuscripta Math. 128 (2009) 251–273.
- [GJ] J. González-Sánchez and A. Jaikin-Zapirain *Finite  $p$ -groups with small automorphism group*, Forum Math. Sigma 3 (2015) e7, 11pp.
- [M] I. Malinowska *The automorphism group of a split metacyclic 2-group and some groups of crossed homomorphisms*, Arch. Math. 93 (2009) 99–109.
- [M2] I. Malinowska *On the structure of the automorphism group of a minimal nonabelian  $p$ -group (metacyclic case)*, Glas. Mat. 47(67) (2012) 153–164.
- [W] J.W. Wamsley *A class of three-generator, three-relation, finite groups*, Canad. J. Math 22 (1970) 36–40.
- [Z] H. J. Zassenhaus *The theory of groups*, Dover, New York, 1999.



- [ZL] F. Zhou and H. Liu *Automorphism groups of semidirect products*, Arch Math. (Basel) 91 (2008) 193–198.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, CANADA  
*Email address:* fernando.szechtman@gmail.com