THE INCREASING FAMILIES OF SETS GENERATED BY SELF-DUAL CLUTTERS

ANDREY O. MATVEEV

Abstract. Using the KKS inequalities, we establish bounds on the numbers of k-sets in the increasing families generated by self-dual clutters (i.e., clutters A that coincide with the blockers $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})$) on their ground set of even cardinality.

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by E_t , where $t \geq 3$, the set of integers $[t] := [1, \ldots, t] :=$ $\{1,\ldots,t\}$. A finite collection of sets F is called a *family*. The family $2^{[t]}$ of all subsets of the set E_t is called the *power set* of E_t . The *empty set* is denoted by 0, and the *empty family* containing no sets is denoted by \emptyset . We denote by $\lvert \cdot \rvert$ the cardinalities of sets, while the numbers of sets in families are denoted by $\#$. Sometimes we say that the cardinality of a set A is the size of A.

If F is a set family such that $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \neq \{0\}$, then the union $V(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$ is called the vertex set of F. Any finite set S such that $S \supseteq V(\mathcal{F})$, fixed for someone's research purposes, is called the *ground set* of the family F .

Given a nonempty family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbf{2}^{[t]}$ on its ground set E_t , we let $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{C}} := \{F^{\mathfrak{C}}:$ $F \in \mathcal{F}$ denote the family of their *complements*, where $F^{\mathbb{C}} := E_t - F$. We also associate with the family $\mathcal F$ the family

$$
\mathcal{F}^* := \{ G^{\complement} \colon G \in \mathbf{2}^{[t]} - \mathcal{F} \}.
$$

A family of sets A, such that $\emptyset \neq A \neq {\hat{0}}$, is called a nontrivial clutter if for any indices $i \neq j$ of members of the family $\{A_1, \ldots, A_\alpha\} =: \mathcal{A}$ we have $A_i \nsubseteq A_j$.

For a subset $A \subseteq E_t$, the principal increasing family of sets $\{A\}^\nabla \subseteq \mathbf{2}^{[t]}$, generated by the one-member clutter $\{A\}$ on its ground set E_t , is defined by $\{A\}^\nabla := \{B \subseteq E_t: B \supseteq A\}$. In particular, we have $\{\hat{0}\}^\nabla = 2^{[t]},$ and $\{E_t\}^\nabla = \{E_t\}.$

Given a nonempty clutter $\mathcal{A} := \{A_1, \ldots, A_\alpha\} \subset \mathbf{2}^{[t]}$, the *increasing family* of sets $\mathcal{A}^{\nabla} \subseteq 2^{[t]}$, generated by \mathcal{A} on its ground set E_t , is defined as the union $\mathcal{A}^{\nabla} := \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \{A\}^{\nabla}$ of the principal increasing families $\{A\}^{\nabla}$.

A subset $B \subseteq E_t$ is a *blocking set* of a nontrivial clutter $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[t]}$ if it holds $|B \cap A| > 0$, for each member $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The blocker $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})$ of the clutter \mathcal{A} is defined to be the family of all *inclusion-minimal blocking sets* of A ; see, e.g., the monographs [\[4,](#page-11-0) [7,](#page-11-1) [9,](#page-11-2) [10,](#page-11-3) [11,](#page-11-4) [12,](#page-11-5) [13,](#page-11-6) [15,](#page-11-7) [16,](#page-11-8) [19,](#page-12-0) [20,](#page-12-1) [21,](#page-12-2) [22,](#page-12-3) [24,](#page-12-4) [26,](#page-12-5) [31,](#page-12-6) [32,](#page-12-7) [33,](#page-12-8) [35,](#page-12-9) [36,](#page-12-10) [38\]](#page-12-11). Additional references can be found in [\[27,](#page-12-12) Pt 2]. The increasing family $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})^{\nabla}$ is by definition the family of all blocking sets of the clutter A.

Recall that for a nontrivial clutter A on its ground set E_t we have

$$
\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})^{\triangledown} = (\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown})^*.
$$

Clutters $\mathcal A$ with the property

$$
\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}\ ,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})^{\triangledown} = \mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} ,
$$

are called self-dual or identically self-blocking; see, e.g., [\[1,](#page-11-9) [2\]](#page-11-10)[\[4,](#page-11-0) §2.1][\[24,](#page-12-4) Ch. 9 [\[26,](#page-12-5) §5.7] on such clutters.

A clutter A on its ground set E_t is self-dual if and only if we have

$$
(\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown})^* = \mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} \tag{1.1}
$$

and although the (lack of) self-duality of clutters does not depend structurally on the cardinalities of their vertex sets and ground sets, relation [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) suggests the following criterion:

Remark 1.1. (see [\[26,](#page-12-5) Cor. 5.28(i)]) A clutter $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[t]}$ on its ground set E_t is self-dual if and only if

$$
\#\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} = 2^{t-1} \tag{1.2}
$$

In Theorem [4.1](#page-7-0) we consider condition (1.2) from a perspective of constraints that are satisfied by the numbers of k -sets in the increasing families \mathcal{A}^{∇} generated by self-dual clutters $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[t]}$ on their ground set E_t of even cardinality t .

2. Long f- and h-vectors of set families

Let us associate with each family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[t]}$ on the ground set E_t its long f-vector

$$
\boldsymbol{f}(\mathcal{F};t) := (f_0(\mathcal{F};t), f_1(\mathcal{F};t), \ldots, f_t(\mathcal{F};t)) \in \mathbb{N}^{t+1},
$$

where

$$
f_k(\mathcal{F};t) := \#\{F \in \mathcal{F} \colon |F| = k\}, \quad 0 \le k \le t.
$$

If x' is a formal variable, then the *long* h-vector

$$
\boldsymbol{h}(\mathcal{F};t):=\big(h_0(\mathcal{F};t),h_1(\mathcal{F};t),\ldots,h_t(\mathcal{F};t)\big)\in\mathbb{Z}^{t+1}
$$

of the family $\mathcal F$ is defined by means of the relation

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{t} h_i(\mathcal{F}; t) \cdot \mathbf{x}^{t-i} := \sum_{i=0}^{t} f_i(\mathcal{F}; t) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - 1)^{t-i} .
$$

Example 2.1. (cf. Example [3.1\)](#page-4-0) For any element $a \in E_t$, the principal increasing family $\{a\}^{\nabla} \subset 2^{[t]}$, generated by the self-dual clutter $\{\{a\}\}\$ on its ground set E_t , is described by the vectors

$$
\boldsymbol{f}(\{\{a\}\}^{\triangledown};t)=(0, \binom{t-1}{1-1}, \binom{t-1}{2-1}, \ldots, \binom{t-1}{t-1})
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{h}(\{\{a\}\}^{\triangledown};t)=(0,\ 1,\ 0,\ldots,0).
$$

Example 2.2. (i) (a) Given the self-dual clutter

$$
\mathcal{A} := \{ \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\} \} \tag{2.1}
$$

that generates on its ground set $E_3 = V(\mathcal{A})$ the increasing family

 $\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} = \{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}, E_3\},\$

we have

$$
f(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla};3) = (0, 0, 3, 1),
$$

$$
h(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla};3) = (0, 0, 3, -2).
$$

(b) If we choose the set $E_4 \supsetneq V(\mathcal{A})$ as the ground set of the self-dual clutter [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0), instead of the set E_3 , then A generates on E_4 the increasing family

 $\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} = \{\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}, E_4\},$ and we have

$$
na\;we\;nave
$$

$$
f(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla}; 4) = (0, 0, 3, 4, 1),
$$

$$
h(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla}; 4) = (0, 0, 3, -2, 0).
$$

(ii) (a) For the self-dual clutter

$$
\mathcal{A} := \{ \{2\} \} \tag{2.2}
$$

that generates on its ground set $E_3 \supsetneq V(\mathcal{A})$ the principal increasing family

 $\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} = \{ \{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, E_3 \},$

we have

$$
f(A^{\triangledown}; 3) = (0, 1, 2, 1),
$$

\n $h(A^{\triangledown}; 3) = (0, 1, 0, 0).$

(b) For the self-dual clutter [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) that generates on its ground set $E_4 \supseteq \bigvee^{\sim} V(\mathcal{A})$ the principal increasing family

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} = \{ \{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{2,3,4\}, E_4 \},
$$

we have

$$
f(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla}; 4) = (0, 1, 3, 3, 1),
$$

$$
h(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla}; 4) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0).
$$

(iii) The self-dual clutter

 $\mathcal{A} := \{ \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 5\} \},$

that generates on its ground set $E_5 = V(\mathcal{A})$ the increasing family

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\triangledown} = \{ \{1,5\}, \{2,5\}, \{3,5\}, \{4,5\}, \{1,2,5\}, \{1,3,5\}, \{1,4,5\}, \{2,3,5\}, \{2,4,5\}, \{3,4,5\}, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{1,2,3,5\}, \{1,2,4,5\}, \{2,3,4,5\}, E_5 \}
$$

is described by the vectors

$$
f(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla}; 5) = (0, 0, 4, 6, 5, 1),
$$

$$
h(\mathcal{A}^{\nabla}; 5) = (0, 0, 4, -6, 5, -2).
$$

Remark 2.3. Given a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[t]}$ on its ground set E_t , we have:

(i) (see $[26, Prop. 2.1(iii)(a)]$ $[26, Prop. 2.1(iii)(a)]$)

$$
h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = (-1)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k} \binom{t-k}{t-\ell} f_{k}(\mathcal{F};t), \qquad 0 \le \ell \le t \tag{2.3}
$$

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{t-k}{t-\ell} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) , \qquad 0 \le \ell \le t . \qquad (2.4)
$$

(ii) (see [\[26,](#page-12-5) Prop. $2.1(iii)(b))$)

$$
h_0(\mathcal{F}; t) = f_0(\mathcal{F}; t) ;
$$

\n
$$
h_1(\mathcal{F}; t) = f_1(\mathcal{F}; t) - tf_0(\mathcal{F}; t) ;
$$

\n
$$
h_{t-1}(\mathcal{F}; t) = (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (-1)^k (t-k) f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) ;
$$

\n
$$
h_t(\mathcal{F}; t) = (-1)^t \sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) ;
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{k=0}^t h_k(\mathcal{F} : t) = f_k(\mathcal{F} : t)
$$
\n(2.5)

$$
\sum_{k=0} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = f_t(\mathcal{F};t) .
$$

(iii) (see [\[26,](#page-12-5) Prop. 2.1(iii)(c)])

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{t} f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{t} 2^{t-k} h_k(\mathcal{F}; t) = #\mathcal{F}.
$$

 (iv)

$$
\mathbf{h}(\mathcal{F};t) + \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{2}^{[t]} - \mathcal{F};t) = (1,0,0,\ldots,0) = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{2}^{[t]};t) .
$$

Being redundant analogues of standard h-vectors of abstract simplicial complexes [\[8,](#page-11-11) [23,](#page-12-13) [28,](#page-12-14) [34,](#page-12-15) [37,](#page-12-16) [40\]](#page-13-0), long h-vectors (see, e.g., [\[29,](#page-12-17) p. 170][\[30,](#page-12-18) p. 265]) demonstrate their usefulness below in relations [\(2.6\)](#page-4-1), where they describe a curious enumerative connection between the families $\mathcal F$ and $\mathcal F^*$.

Remark 2.4. Given a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[t]}$ on its ground set E_t , we have: (i)

$$
\#\mathcal{F}^* + \#\mathcal{F} = 2^t.
$$

More precisely,

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathcal{F}^*;t) + f_{t-\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq t.
$$

(ii) (see [\[26,](#page-12-5) Prop. $2.1(iii)(d)$])

$$
h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F}^*;t) + (-1)^{\ell} \sum_{k=\ell}^{t} {k \choose \ell} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = \delta_{\ell,0} , \quad 0 \le \ell \le t , \qquad (2.6)
$$

where $\delta_{\ell,0} := 1$ if $\ell = 0$, and $\delta_{\ell,0} := 0$ otherwise. Note that

$$
h_t(\mathcal{F}^*;t) = (-1)^{t+1} h_t(\mathcal{F};t) .
$$

3. ABSTRACT SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES Δ , such that $\Delta^* = \Delta$, on their GROUND SETS E_t of even cardinality t

Although in the present paper we are concerned with enumerative properties of specific increasing families of sets, in this section we turn to specific abstract simplicial complexes (i.e., "decreasing" families of "faces") because the numbers of faces of size k in complexes are known to satisfy the classi-cal Kruskal–Katona–Schützenberger (KKS) inequalities; see, e.g., [\[34,](#page-12-15) §10.3] and [\[3,](#page-11-12) [4,](#page-11-0) [6,](#page-11-13) [8,](#page-11-11) [14,](#page-11-14) [17,](#page-11-15) [18,](#page-12-19) [23,](#page-12-13) [24,](#page-12-4) [25,](#page-12-20) [37,](#page-12-16) [38,](#page-12-11) [39,](#page-12-21) [40\]](#page-13-0).

Let $\Delta \subseteq 2^{[t]}$, where $\emptyset \neq \Delta \neq \{0\}$, be an abstract simplicial complex on its ground set E_t , with its *vertex set* $V(\Delta) \subseteq E_t$. By definition of complex, the following implications hold: $(B \in \Delta, A \subset B) \Longrightarrow A \in \Delta$. The *inclusionmaximal* faces of Δ are called its *facets*. Sometimes one says that a complex with one facet (i.e., the power set of a set) is a *simplex*. The long f-vector of the complex Δ has the form

$$
\boldsymbol{f}(\Delta; t) := \big(1, \underbrace{f_1(\Delta; t)}_{:=|V(\Delta)|>0}, \ldots, \underbrace{f_{d(\Delta)}(\Delta; t)}_{>0}, 0, \ldots, 0\big),
$$

where $d(\Delta) := \max\{k \in [t]: f_k(\Delta; t) > 0\}.$

Example 3.1. (*cf. Example* [2.1\)](#page-2-2) For any element $a \in E_t$, the simplex Δ := ${F : F \subseteq (E_t - \{a\}) = \Delta^*$, whose facet is the subset $(E_t - \{a\}) \subset E_t$ of size $(t-1)$, is described by the vectors

$$
\boldsymbol{f}(\Delta; t) = (\binom{t-1}{0}, \ldots, \binom{t-1}{t-2}, \binom{t-1}{t-1}, 0)
$$

and

$$
h(\Delta; t) = (1, -1, 0, \ldots, 0) .
$$

Remark 3.2. An abstract simplicial complex Δ with vertex set $V(\Delta)$, such that Δ coincides with its Alexander dual complex Δ^{\vee} , defined by

$$
\Delta' := \{ \mathrm{V}(\Delta) - F \colon F \in \mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{V}(\Delta)} - \Delta \}
$$

when $V(\Delta) = V(\Delta)$, is known as an Alexander self-dual complex. Note that

$$
\Delta = \Delta^{\vee} \iff \# \Delta = 2^{|V(\Delta)| - 1}.
$$

See, e.g., [\[38\]](#page-12-11) and [\[5\]](#page-11-16) on combinatorial Alexander duality.

Remark 3.3. Suppose that $\Delta \subset 2^{[t]}$ is an abstract simplicial complex, such that $\Delta^* = \Delta$, on its ground set E_t . Then we have:

(i)
$$
\#\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{t} f_k(\Delta; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{d(\Delta)} f_k(\Delta; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{t} 2^{t-k} h_k(\Delta; t) = 2^{t-1}.
$$

(ii)

$$
f_{\ell}(\Delta; t) + f_{t-\ell}(\Delta; t) = {t \choose \ell}, \quad 0 \le \ell \le t.
$$
 (3.1)

In particular, if t is even, then

$$
f_{t/2}(\Delta; t) = \frac{1}{2} {t \choose t/2}.
$$

Example 3.4. (i) The abstract simplicial complex

 $\Delta := \{\hat{0}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}\,$

such that $\Delta^* = \Delta$, on its ground set $E_4 = V(\Delta)$, is described by the vectors

$$
f(\Delta; 4) = (1, 4, 3, 0, 0),
$$

$$
h(\Delta; 4) = (1, 0, -3, 2, 0).
$$

The complex Δ is an Alexander self-dual complex, that is, $\Delta = \Delta^{\vee}$, because $8 = #\Delta = 2^{|V(\Delta)|-1} = 2^{4-1}.$

(ii) The simplex

$$
\Delta := \{\hat{0}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}\},\
$$

such that $\Delta^* = \Delta$, on its ground set $E_4 \supsetneq V(\Delta)$, is described by the vectors

$$
f(\Delta; 4) = (1, 3, 3, 1, 0),
$$

\n $h(\Delta; 4) = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0).$

• Suppose again that $\Delta \subset 2^{[t]}$ is an abstract simplicial complex, such that $\Delta^* = \Delta$, on its ground set E_t of even cardinality t. We would like to apply the KKS inequalities to the complex Δ .

 \circ Since $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{t} \right)$ $t_{t/2}^{t}$ = $\binom{t-1}{t/2}$ $(t_{t/2}^{t-1})$, we have the simplest $(t/2)$ -binomial expansion

$$
f_{t/2}(\Delta; t) = \binom{\alpha_{t/2}(t/2)}{t/2}
$$

of the middle component of the long f-vector $f(\Delta; t)$, where

$$
\alpha_{t/2}(t/2)=t-1.
$$

A.O. MATVEEV 7

Then relations [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) and the KKS inequalities (lower and upper bound versions) [\[34,](#page-12-15) Cor. 10.1, Cor. 10.2] imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\binom{t}{(t/2)-1} - f_{(t/2)+1}(\Delta; t) &= f_{(t/2)-1}(\Delta; t) \ge \binom{\alpha_{t/2}(t/2)}{(t/2)-1} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1} = \binom{t-1}{t/2}, \\
\binom{t}{(t/2)+1} - f_{(t/2)-1}(\Delta; t) &= f_{(t/2)+1}(\Delta; t) \le \binom{\alpha_{t/2}(t/2)}{(t/2)+1} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-2}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Further, note that if our complex Δ had the minimum possible number of its faces of size $((t/2)-1)$, namely $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)}$ $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1}$ faces, then the following implication would hold:

$$
f_{(t/2)-1}(\Delta; t) := {t-1 \choose (t/2)-1} = {t-1 \choose t/2}
$$

\n
$$
\implies f_{(t/2)+1}(\Delta; t) = {t \choose (t/2)+1} - {t-1 \choose (t/2)-1}
$$

\n
$$
= {t \choose (t/2)-1} - {t-1 \choose (t/2)-1} = {t-1 \choose (t/2)-2}.
$$

Note also that $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ (t/2) \end{pmatrix}$ $\binom{t}{(t/2)-1} - \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1}$ $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1}$ $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)-2} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)+1}.$

 \circ If $((t/2) - 1) > 1$, then suppose that our complex Δ indeed has the minimum possible number of faces of size $((t/2) - 1)$, that is, $f_{(t/2)-1}(\Delta; t)$ $:= \binom{t-1}{(t/2)}$ $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1}$. In this case we have the $((t/2)-1)$ -binomial expansion

$$
f_{(t/2)-1}(\Delta; t) = \binom{\alpha_{(t/2)-1}((t/2)-1)}{(t/2)-1}
$$

of the component $f_{(t/2)-1}(\Delta;t)$ of the vector $f(\Delta;t)$, where

$$
\alpha_{(t/2)-1}((t/2)-1)=t-1.
$$

Relations [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) and the KKS inequalities (lower bound version) [\[34,](#page-12-15) Cor. 10.1] imply that

$$
\binom{t}{(t/2)-2} - f_{(t/2)+2}(\Delta; t) = f_{(t/2)-2}(\Delta; t) \geq \binom{\alpha_{(t/2)-1}((t/2)-1)}{(t/2)-2} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-2}.
$$

On the other hand, suppose that the complex Δ has the maximum possible number of faces of size $((t/2) + 1)$, that is, $f_{(t/2)+1}(\Delta; t) := {t-1 \choose (t/2)+1}$. We have the $((t/2) + 1)$ -binomial expansion

$$
f_{(t/2)+1}(\Delta; t) = \binom{\alpha_{(t/2)+1}((t/2)+1)}{(t/2)+1}
$$

of the component $f_{(t/2)+1}(\Delta;t)$ of the vector $\boldsymbol{f}(\Delta;t)$, where

$$
\alpha_{(t/2)+1}((t/2)+1)=t-1.
$$

Now relations [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) and the KKS inequalities (upper bound version) [\[34,](#page-12-15) Cor. 10.2] imply that

$$
\binom{t}{(t/2)+2} - f_{(t/2)-2}(\Delta;t) = f_{(t/2)+2}(\Delta;t) \leq \binom{\alpha_{(t/2)+1}((t/2)+1)}{(t/2)+2} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)+2}.
$$

Note that $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ (t/2) \end{pmatrix}$ $\binom{t}{(t/2)-2} - \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1}$ $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)-2} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)-1}$ $\binom{t-1}{(t/2)-3} = \binom{t-1}{(t/2)+2}.$

◦ Proceeding by induction, we arrive at the following counterpart of Theorem [4.1:](#page-7-0)

Lemma 3.5. (see also Example [3.1\)](#page-4-0) Let $\Delta \subset 2^{[t]}$ be an abstract simplicial complex on its ground set E_t of even cardinality t. If

$$
\Delta^* = \Delta ,
$$

then we have

$$
f_0(\Delta; t) = 1, \quad and \quad f_t(\Delta; t) = 0 ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{t/2}(\Delta; t) = \frac{1}{2} {t \choose t/2} = {t-1 \choose t/2} ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{(t/2)-i}(\Delta; t) \geq {t-1 \choose (t/2)-i} = {t-1 \choose (t/2)+i-1} , \quad 1 \leq i \leq (t/2) - 1 ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{(t/2)+j}(\Delta; t) \leq {t-1 \choose (t/2)+j} = {t-1 \choose (t/2)-j-1} , \quad 1 \leq j \leq (t/2) - 1 ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{(t/2)-k}(\Delta; t) + f_{(t/2)+k}(\Delta; t) = {t \choose (t/2)-k} = {t \choose (t/2)+k} , \quad 1 \leq k \leq (t/2) - 1 .
$$

4. The increasing families of sets generated by self-dual CLUTTERS ON THEIR GROUND SETS E_t OF EVEN CARDINALITY t

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbf{2}^{[t]}$ be a family on its ground set E_t . The implication

$$
\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F} \quad \iff \quad (\mathbf{2}^{[t]} - \mathcal{F})^* = \mathbf{2}^{[t]} - \mathcal{F},
$$

allows us to obtain from Lemma [3.5](#page-7-1) the following result:

Theorem 4.1. (see also Example [2.1\)](#page-2-2) Let $A \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a clutter on its ground set E_t of even cardinality t. If the clutter A is self-dual, that is,

$$
\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}\ ,
$$

then we have

$$
f_0(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f_t(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) = 1 ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{t/2}(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) = \frac{1}{2} {t \choose t/2} = {t-1 \choose t/2} ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{(t/2)-i}(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) \leq {t-1 \choose (t/2)-i-1} = {t-1 \choose (t/2)+i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq (t/2) - 1 ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{(t/2)+j}(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) \geq {t-1 \choose (t/2)+j-1} = {t-1 \choose (t/2)-j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq (t/2) - 1 ;
$$

\n
$$
f_{(t/2)-k}(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) + f_{(t/2)+k}(\mathcal{A}^\nabla; t) = {t \choose (t/2)-k} = {t \choose (t/2)+k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq (t/2) - 1 .
$$

5. APPENDIX: REMARKS ON SET FAMILIES $\mathcal F$ such that $\mathcal F^* = \mathcal F$

Remark 5.1. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a family, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set E_t .

(i) We have

$$
\#\mathcal{F}=2^{t-1}.
$$

More precisely,

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) + f_{t-\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \le \ell \le t . \tag{5.1}
$$

(ii) Relations (5.1) and (2.4) imply that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} {t-k \choose t-\ell} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) + \sum_{j=0}^{t-\ell} {t-j \choose \ell} h_j(\mathcal{F};t) = {t \choose \ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq t.
$$

Given a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbf{2}^{[t]}$, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set E_t , from [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) we have for any ℓ , where $0 \leq \ell \leq t$:

$$
h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = (-1)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k} {t-k \choose t-\ell} f_{k}(\mathcal{F};t)
$$

=
$$
(-1)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k} {t-k \choose t-\ell} \left({t \choose k} - f_{t-k}(\mathcal{F};t)\right).
$$

Remark 5.2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a family, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set Et.

(i) We have

$$
h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = \delta_{\ell,0} - (-1)^{t-\ell} \sum_{j=t-\ell}^{t} (-1)^{j} {j \choose t-\ell} f_{j}(\mathcal{F};t) , \quad 0 \le \ell \le t ; \quad (5.2)
$$

$$
f_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = {t \choose \ell} - \sum_{j=0}^{t-\ell} {t-j \choose \ell} h_j(\mathcal{F};t) , \qquad 0 \le \ell \le t . \qquad (5.3)
$$

(ii) Relations [\(5.2\)](#page-8-0) and [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) yield

$$
(-1)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{k} {t-k \choose t-\ell} f_{k}(\mathcal{F}; t)
$$

+
$$
(-1)^{t-\ell} \sum_{j=t-\ell}^{t} (-1)^{j} {j \choose t-\ell} f_{j}(\mathcal{F}; t) = \delta_{\ell,0} , \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq t.
$$

Remark 5.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a family, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set E_t of odd cardinality t. From (2.5) we have

$$
h_t(\mathcal{F}; t) = (-1)^t \sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k f_k(\mathcal{F}; t)
$$

=
$$
-\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k \Big(f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) - \left(\binom{t}{k} - f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) \right) \Big)
$$

=
$$
\left(\binom{t-1}{(t-1)/2} - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{(t-1)/2} (-1)^k f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) ,
$$

and

$$
h_t(\mathcal{F}; t) = (-1)^t \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^j f_j(\mathcal{F}; t)
$$

=
$$
- \sum_{j=\lceil t/2 \rceil}^t (-1)^j \left(f_j(\mathcal{F}; t) - \left(\binom{t}{j} - f_j(\mathcal{F}; t) \right) \right)
$$

=
$$
- \binom{t-1}{(t-1)/2} - 2 \sum_{j=(t+1)/2}^t (-1)^j f_j(\mathcal{F}; t) .
$$

As a consequence, we see that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{(t-1)/2} (-1)^k f_k(\mathcal{F}; t) = {t-1 \choose (t-1)/2} + \sum_{j=(t+1)/2}^t (-1)^j f_j(\mathcal{F}; t) .
$$

Remark 5.4. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a family, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set E_t .

(i) From [\(2.6\)](#page-4-1) we have

$$
h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) = \delta_{\ell,0} + (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{k=\ell}^{t} {k \choose \ell} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) , \quad 0 \le \ell \le t . \tag{5.4}
$$

If t is even, then we see that

$$
h_t(\mathcal{F}; t) = 0.
$$
\n^(5.5)

(ii) For even indices ℓ , where $2 \leq \ell \leq t$, relations [\(5.4\)](#page-9-0) imply that

$$
\sum_{k=\ell}^{t} {k \choose \ell-1} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = 0 ,
$$
\n(5.6)

that is,

$$
\ell h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F};t) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^t {k \choose \ell-1} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = 0 ;
$$

we also have

$$
2 h_{\ell}(\mathcal{F}; t) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{t} {k \choose \ell} h_k(\mathcal{F}; t) = 0.
$$

If t is odd, then we have

$$
(t-1) h_{t-1}(\mathcal{F}; t) + {t \choose 2} h_t(\mathcal{F}; t) = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
2h_{t-1}(\mathcal{F};t)+t\,h_t(\mathcal{F};t)=0.
$$

If t is even, then we have

$$
\frac{t}{2} h_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) + \sum_{k=(t/2)+1}^{t-1} \left(\begin{matrix} k \\ (t/2)-1 \end{matrix} \right) h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = 0,
$$

and

$$
h_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=(t/2)+1}^{t-1} {k \choose t/2} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = 0.
$$
 (5.7)

Remark 5.5. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a family, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set Et.

If t is even, then relations [\(5.5\)](#page-9-1) and [\(5.6\)](#page-9-2) in the case $\ell := 2$ yield

$$
h_t(\mathcal{F};t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=2}^{t-1} k h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = 0.
$$

Similarly, if t is odd, then from (5.6) we have

$$
\sum_{k=2}^t k\,h_k(\mathcal{F};t) = 0.
$$

Remark 5.6. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[t]}$ be a family, such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$, on its ground set E_t of even cardinality t .

(i) On the one hand, since

$$
f_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) = \frac{1}{2} {t \choose t/2} , \qquad (5.8)
$$

relations [\(2.4\)](#page-3-0) imply that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{t/2} \binom{t-k}{t/2} h_k(\mathcal{F}; t) = f_{t/2}(\mathcal{F}; t)
$$

= $h_{t/2}(\mathcal{F}; t) + \sum_{k=0}^{(t/2)-1} \binom{t-k}{t/2} h_k(\mathcal{F}; t) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{t}{t/2}.$ (5.9)

On the other hand, [\(5.8\)](#page-10-0) and relations [\(5.3\)](#page-8-1) imply that

$$
\binom{t}{t/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{t/2} \binom{t-j}{t/2} h_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) = f_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{t}{t/2} ,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{t/2} {t-j \choose t/2} h_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) = \frac{1}{2} {t \choose t/2}.
$$

(ii) Relations (5.7) and (5.9) imply that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{(t/2)-1} {t-k \choose t/2} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=(t/2)+1}^{t-1} {j \choose t/2} h_j(\mathcal{F};t) = \frac{1}{2} {t \choose t/2} ,
$$

and

$$
h_{t/2}(\mathcal{F};t) = \frac{1}{4} {t \choose t/2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{(t/2)-1} {t-k \choose t/2} h_k(\mathcal{F};t) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=(t/2)+1}^{t-1} {j \choose t/2} h_j(\mathcal{F};t).
$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdi A., Cornuéjols G., Lee D. Identically self-blocking clutters. 20th International Conference Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization IPCO 2019 held in Ann Arbor, MI, USA, May 22–24, 2019. A. Lodi and V. Nagarajan (eds.). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 11480. Cham: Springer, 2019, pp. 1–12.
- [2] Abdi A., Pashkovich K. Delta minors, delta free clutters, and entanglement. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 2018, 32, no. 3, pp. 1750–1774.
- [3] Anderson I. Combinatorics of finite sets. Corrected reprint of the 1989 edition. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, Inc., 2002.
- [4] Berge C. Hypergraphs. Combinatorics of finite sets. Translated from the French. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 45. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1989.
- [5] Björner A., Tancer M. Note: Combinatorial Alexander duality—A short and elementary proof. Discrete and Computational Geometry, 2009, 42, no. 4, pp. 586–593.
- [6] Bollobás B. Combinatorics. Set systems, hypergraphs, families of vectors and combinatorial probability. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [7] Bretto A. Hypergraph theory. An introduction. Mathematical Engineering. Cham: Springer, 2013.
- [8] Bruns W., Herzog J. Cohen–Macaulay rings. Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [9] Conforti M., Cornuéjols G., Zambelli G. Integer programming. Cham: Springer, 2014.
- [10] Cornuéjols G. Combinatorial optimization. Packing and covering. CBMS–NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 74. Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), 2001.
- [11] Crama Y., Hammer P.L. Boolean functions. Theory, algorithms, and applications. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 142. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [12] Cygan M., Fomin F.V., Kowalik L., Lokshtanov D., Marx D., Pilipczuk M., Pilipczuk M., Saurabh S. Parameterized algorithms. Cham: Springer, 2015.
- [13] Fomin F.V., Lokshtanov D., Saurabh S., Zehavi M. Kernelization. Theory of parameterized preprocessing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [14] Frankl P., Tokushige N. Extremal problems for finite sets. Student Mathematical Library, 86. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2018.
- [15] Gainanov D.N. Graphs for pattern recognition. Infeasible systems of linear inequalities. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2016.
- [16] Garey M.R., Johnson D.S. Computers and intractability. A guide to the theory of NP-completeness. A Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences. San Francisco, CA: W.H. Freeman and Co., 1979.
- [17] Gerbner D,, Patkós B. Extremal finite set theory. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2019.

A.O. MATVEEV 13

- [18] Godsil C., Meagher K. Erdős–Ko–Rado theorems: Algebraic approaches. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 149. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [19] Grötschel M., Lovász L., Schrijver A. Geometric algorithms and combinatorial optimization. Second edition. Algorithms and Combinatorics, 2. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [20] Haynes T.W., Hedetniemi S.T., Henning M.A. (eds.). Structures of domination in graphs. Developments in Mathematics, 66. Cham: Springer, 2021.
- [21] Henning M.A., Yeo A. Total domination in graphs. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. New York: Springer, 2013.
- [22] Henning M.A., Yeo A. Transversals in linear uniform hypergraphs. Developments in Mathematics, 63. Cham: Springer, 2020.
- [23] Herzog J., Hibi T. Monomial ideals. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 260. London: Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., 2011.
- [24] Jukna S. Extremal combinatorics. With applications in computer science. Second edition. Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Heidelberg: Springer, 2011.
- [25] Knuth D.E. The art of computer programming. Vol. 4A. Combinatorial algorithms. Part 1. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Addison-Wesley, 2011.
- [26] Matveev A.O. Pattern recognition on oriented matroids. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2017.
- [27] Matveev A.O. Pattern recognition on oriented matroids: Symmetric cycles in the hypercube graphs. V. Preprint [arXiv:2106.03832], 2021.
- [28] McMullen P. The numbers of faces of simplicial polytopes. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 1971, 9, 559–570.
- [29] McMullen P., Shephard G.C. Convex polytopes and the upper bound conjecture. Prepared in collaboration with J.E. Reeve and A.A. Ball. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 3. London: Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- [30] McMullen P., Walkup D.W. A generalized lower-bound conjecture for simplicial polytopes. Mathematika, 1971, 18, pp. 264–273.
- [31] Mirsky L. Transversal theory. An account of some aspects of combinatorial mathematics. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 75. New York: Academic Press, 1971.
- [32] Nagamochi H., Ibaraki T. Algorithmic aspects of graph connectivity. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 123. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [33] Nemhauser G.L., Wolsey L.A. Integer and combinatorial optimization. Reprint of the 1988 original. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999.
- [34] Petersen T.K. Eulerian numbers. With a foreword by R.P. Stanley. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. New York: Birkhäuser/Springer, 2015.
- [35] Scheinerman E.R., Ullman D.H. Fractional graph theory. A rational approach to the theory of graphs. With a foreword byC. Berge. Reprint of the 1997 original. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, Inc., 2011.
- [36] Schrijver A. Combinatorial optimization. Polyhedra and efficiency. Vol. C. Disjoint paths, hypergraphs. Chapters 70–83. Algorithms and Combinatorics, 24,C. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [37] Stanley R.P. Combinatorics and commutative algebra. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics, 41. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [38] Villarreal R.H. Monomial algebras. Second edition. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2015.
- [39] West D.B. Combinatorial mathematics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021.

14 THE INCREASING FAMILIES GENERATED BY SELF-DUAL CLUTTERS

[40] Ziegler G.M. Lectures on polytopes. Revised edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 152. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1998.

 $\emph{Email address:}$ $% \emph{The image shows that the image is a single number of times, and the image is a single number of times.}$