

STRICT \mathbb{A}^1 -HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE THEOREM WITH INTEGRAL COEFFICIENTS OVER FIELDS.

ANDREI DRUZHININ

ABSTRACT. We extend the generality of the theory of framed motives by G. Garkusha and I. Panin to the case of an arbitrary base field. This theory plays a fundamental role and allows to get explicit computations of stable motivic homotopy types. We prove in the present article the key required result that is the strict homotopy invariance theorem. The result extends the celebrating Voevodsky's strict homotopy invariance theorem on \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant presheaves with transfers to arbitrary fields.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction: review, and overview. | 2 |
| 1.1. Perfectness for motivic categories. | 2 |
| 1.2. Perfectness in the motivic homotopy theory techniques and approaches | 3 |
| 1.3. Reason for the assumption | 4 |
| 1.4. Our proof and viewpoint | 5 |
| 1.5. Overview | 6 |
| 2. Introduction: results. | 6 |
| 2.1. The strict homotopy invariance theorem | 6 |
| 2.2. Applications to motives | 7 |
| Acknowledgement | 8 |
| 2.3. Conventions: notions, and notation | 8 |
| 3. Framed correspondences and presheaves | 10 |
| 3.1. Framed correspondences of schemes | 10 |
| 3.2. Category of open pairs | 11 |
| 3.3. Linearised correspondences, and framed presheaves | 11 |
| 3.4. First-order framed correspondences | 12 |
| 4. Topology over a quotient-space $X/(X - Z)$ and cohomologies | 13 |
| 5. Cohomologies out of infinity on \mathbb{A}_V^1 . | 16 |
| 5.1. Excision isomorphisms | 16 |
| 5.2. Coverings and cohomologies | 20 |
| 6. Finite support injectivity | 21 |
| 7. Compactified correspondences and cohomologies at ∞ on \mathbb{A}_V^1 . | 22 |
| 7.1. Compactified correspondences | 22 |
| 7.2. Universal endo-correspondence and contracting correspondence of dimension one. | 23 |
| 7.3. Finite correspondence homotopy, and the vanishing of cohomologies at infinity. | 26 |
| 8. Strict homotopy invariance | 29 |
| 9. Motives over non-perfect fields | 30 |
| 9.1. Garkusha-Panin's framed motives | 30 |
| 9.2. Additive ∞ -categories of correspondences | 31 |
| 9.3. Geometric motives and the stable motivic localisation | 33 |
| 9.4. Harts of homotopy t-structures | 34 |

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F42,14C25.

Key words and phrases. framed transfers, smooth compactifications, strict homotopy invariance, stable motivic homotopy groups.

The research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 20-41-04401.

| | |
|---|----|
| 10. Cousin complex | 37 |
| Appendix A. Relative dimension over one-dimensional base. | 38 |
| Appendix B. Sections of line bundles | 39 |
| Appendix C. Framed correspondences and homotopies | 40 |
| Appendix D. Stabilisation and t-structure | 41 |
| References | 42 |

1. INTRODUCTION: REVIEW, AND OVERVIEW.

The motivic homotopy theory studies invariants of algebraic varieties or schemes with respect to the motivic homotopies, coverings, and gluings for various Grothendieck topologies, and motivic suspensions and loops. The perfectness of the base field is one of the assumptions that sometimes appear in the study. The article is focused on a question that was one of the basic reasons of the assumption in transfers-based techniques and constructions of motivic categories such as Voevodsky's motives from the origin of the study [73]. To achieve the result we develop constructions of the compactified geometrical data such as relative curves and framed correspondences over one-dimensional compactified base schemes that have a wider area of applications as we consider.

1.1. Perfectness for motivic categories. The categories $\mathbf{DM}(S)$ [13, 57, 72, 77], and $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ [36, 53, 59, 62] are well defined and satisfy six functors formalism at least over noetherian separated base schemes of finite Krull dimension provided by [13] by F. Déglise & D-C. Cisinski, and [4] by J. Ayoub respectively. The perfectness assumption usually appears in such situations as:

- (p1) universal computational results on homotopies and homologies of wide classes of smooth schemes,
- (p2) such structural results as descriptions of the motivic localisation functors, various filtrations, t-structures, and wight structures, and their harts, and constructions of the duality.

The major cases that do not assume perfectness:

- (g1) the questions on the cohomology theories defined by the inverse image from a perfect field, in particular, all theories defined over \mathbb{Z} .
- (g2) the case of $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients, where $\text{char } k = p$;
- (g3) the case of étale \mathbb{A}^1 -motivic categories; note that $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{ét}}(k)[1/p] \simeq \mathbf{SH}_{\text{ét}}(k)$.

One can see that (g1-g3) cover many applications of the \mathbb{A}^1 -motivic homotopy theory, so the assumption could be considered as almost non-restrictive. Nevertheless, the perfectness or the inverting of the characteristic assumptions are deep enough to play some roles till nowadays for all the listed below triangulated motivic categories, starting from constructions oriented on Chow-motives and Weil-cohomologies:

- 1) Hanamura motives $\mathcal{D}(k)$ [51] defined with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients;
- 2) Nori motives $\text{MM}_{\text{Nori}}(k, \Lambda)$ [5, 64] defined for a field k embeddable in \mathbb{C} ;
- 3) Levine motives $\mathbf{DM}(k, \Lambda)$ [56];
- 4) Voevodsky motives $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ [77];

and including the following list of categories oriented on the study of other classes of cohomology theories:

- 5) the Morel-Voevodsky stable motivic homotopy categories, and categories of modules over ring motivic spectra;
- 6) various transfers based constructions and reconstructions in between $\mathbf{DM}(S)$ and $\mathbf{SH}(S)$; the most universal at the present moment are framed motivic categories [37, 38, 46, 48, 50] that allow to reconstruct the stable Morel-Voevodsky category;
- 7) \square -homotopy categories [54], [11] oriented on the study of non- \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant cohomology theories;
- 8) Cousin acyclic motivic categories [23] oriented on the study of cohomology theories that satisfy the Gersten conjecture.

There could be even asked a question:

Do the motivic categories detect such arithmetic property of the base field as perfectness; is the effect different or similar for the listed above constructions?

The present work shows the independence from the perfectness assumption of some structural results of point (6). The result helps to extend the generality of the result in [28, 31] oriented on the study of $\mathbf{DM}(S)$, and $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ over base schemes. Let us note that the class of schemes S with perfect residue fields do not contain the positive dimensional finite type schemes over positive-characteristic fields, and the inverting of the characteristic over a base scheme like in [16, 39] would mean the characteristic at each point of the base.

The result helps for the study of point (8) above that is $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{Cousin}}(S)$ in [23].

To prove the strict \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance over an arbitrary field we make all the constructions of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies being equipped with the compactifications and use essentially the compactified morphisms over compactified one-dimensional base scheme, namely the base scheme pair $(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbb{P}_k^1)$ given by \mathbb{A}_k^1 with the compactification \mathbb{P}_k^1 . This shows that our work applies to \square -motivic studies, point (7) above, and allows us announce a progress. We consider that the constructions of the present work implicitly provide the isomorphism $H_\nu^n(X, F) \rightarrow H_\tau^n(\square \times X, F)$, where τ is the Nisnevich topology for [54] and the strict Nisnevich one for [11], and (1) $\nu = \tau$, $\partial X = \emptyset$, (2) ν denotes the cohomologies with finite support over X , ∂X is smooth. We left all the \square -homotopy questions here for the another work. We could say that the argument covers in a sense the case of framed correspondences, but this notion is not defined in this setting yet. The text is written in the setting of classical schemes and the compactifications appear on the technical level of constructions with the use of some temporal language of compactified framed correspondences.

1.2. Perfectness in the motivic homotopy theory techniques and approaches. Let us discuss the perfectness assumption in the following two basic techniques for the study of motivic cohomologies and their generalised versions appeared in the literature approximately the same time in the second half of 90s and used and developed in parallel during the last two decades:

(t1) transfers technique developed by Voevodsky [73, 77], according to this approach

motivic cohomologies = cohomologies of singular motivic complexes

with the use of $\text{Cor}(k)$ correspondences originally, generalised later for other types of transfers, and by the framed correspondences technique [46, 76] for the study of $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$, and $\mathbf{SH}(k)$;

(t2) the technique of cycle modules initiated by M. Rost [67],

motivic cohomologies = $\begin{matrix} \text{cohomologies of Gerten type complexes} \\ \text{such as the complex} \\ \text{associated with the the cycle module,} \end{matrix}$

or the technique of the unramified sheaves and the Rost-Schmid complex [58] developed later by F. Morel, and Milnor-Witt cycle modules defined by N. Feld [43].

The geometric property for perfect base fields used in both cases is the essential smoothness of points of reduced finite type schemes.

Voevodsky's article [77] uses perfectness for the construction of motives of smooth schemes, namely, for the universal property of the motivic complexes

$$X \mapsto M(X) \simeq C^* \mathbb{Z}^{\text{tr}}(X) = \text{Cor}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, X).$$

Namely, the perfectness is used for the strict homotopy invariance [73, Theorem 5.6]. The latter theorem allows to combine the Suslin-Voevodsky singular complex $\text{Sing}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(-) = C^*(-)$ and the Nisnevich localisation. Note that the resolution of singularities required also in [77] for the cancellation theorem was eliminated by Voevodsky in [72].

To eliminate the perfectness assumption A. Suslin showed in [70, 71] that the strict homotopy invariance holds over any field k with $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients, $p = \text{char } k$. Suslin deduced the result from the perfect base field case by proving the quasi-equivalence of motivic complexes with $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients for a purely inseparable extension k'/k . The article [71] documents the result presented

in the talk in 2008 in Russian language [70]. To explain the proof we say that Suslin made a trace argument for purely inseparable finite extensions K/k and showed that the composition of the inverse and direct images is the multiplication by p^l ; as he said in the talk [70] "what is needed is an accurate analyse of Frobenius endomorphism action". Answering the question "Is it true, the result with integral coefficients?", Suslin [70, 32:15 min] said "Yes, but the proof is unknown", and "Nevertheless, $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -case is enough for the most of known applications".

The perfectness assumption or the inverting of the characteristic came later to other transfers-based studies. The most universal is the theory of framed motives [46, 48, 50, 76] based on [1, 32, 33, 45, 47], and the alternative ∞ -categorical version [38, 39] based on the most of ingredients of the first one. The strict homotopy invariance theorem is provided by [47, Theorem 1.1] by Garkusha&Panin with complements for the cases of finite base fields, and characteristic 2 given by [32, 33, 38].

M. Rost's cycle modules theory [67] appeared in parallel to Voevodsky's works [74, 75, 77] and gave another approach for the study of motivic cohomologies. As shown by F. Deglise [14] the category of cycle modules is the heart of the homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ for perfect k . As mentioned in [15] by Deglise that [67] is more general study then [77] in the sense that the perfectness is not assumed, in particular, for the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of the cohomology theory associated with a given cycle module, and for the construction of transfers provided by [15]. Nevertheless, the perfectness assumption is needed in [67] for the criterion, when a cycle premodule is a cycle module; if we would want to apply the theory to the motivic cohomologies of a smooth k -scheme X , there would be a question on a construction

$$X \mapsto \text{the associated cycle module.}$$

The perfectness is assumed for the generalisation of the original cycle modules by Rost named Milnor-Witt cycle modules defined by Feld [43], that reconstructs the heart of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. In between the works by Rost and Feld the elements of similar techniques appeared, for example, in Barge-Morel's work [10], Morel's work [60], M. Schmid's thesis [68], J. Fasel's study of Chow-Witt groups [40, 41], and Morel's book [58]. As mentioned in the introduction the only reason for the perfectness assumption in [58] is the proof of strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant sheaves, because of the study of Rost-Schmid complexes in its turn. As mentioned by Morel in [58] the first version of the text did not require the perfectness assumption, but M. Levine found a problem in the section on Rost-Schmid complexes; nevertheless, it is mentioned that the argument possibly can be done for any field, but is too complicated technically.

1.3. Reason for the assumption. For both approaches (t1) and (t2) it plays a role the interaction or interactions of such structures/properties/constructions as

- (s1) pushforwards, or transfers in particular;
- (s2) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies, and strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance;
- (s3) exactness of the Cousin complex or other Gersten type complexes, and, in particular, the injectivity and the purity properties, for a presheaf or a cohomology theory;

We mean that the approaches start with some partial initial data, structures, and properties that exist and hold for cohomology theories, namely:

- (t1) the structure of presheaves on Sm_k that have transfers and \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance property, and
- (t2) the values of the cohomology theory on the fields, that are residue fields of smooth schemes, equipped with differentials, traces for finite extensions, multiplication by some coefficients such as the Milnor K-theory, that satisfy some relations.

Starting with these data both approaches consequently piece-by-piece/step-by-step/case-by-case construct other data and structures and prove the properties of constructed cohomology theories such as \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance, Gysin isomorphisms, pushforwards, and the exactness of the Cousin and Gersten complexes. At some point, it was needed to use the perfectness.

Starting with the transfers structure and the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance property, Voevodsky's study [73] deduces firstly some Zariski and Nisnevich excision isomorphisms, and construct some Gysin maps. The perfectness is used for the Gysin isomorphisms that allow identifying the Gersten and the Cousin complexes. Let us note that on the one hand, the first use is contained in the proof of

the strict homotopy invariance [73, Theorem 5.6], that is formally applied later for the Gersten conjecture [73, Theorem 4.37], and at the same time, the Gersten complex or the coniveau spectral sequence are implicitly used in the argument for [73, Theorem 5.6], and this is the first appearance of the perfectness assumption.

To describe (t2) we discuss Morel's technique used in [58] to get unstable results. Morel's strategy in [58] starts with the notion of unramified sheaves, and the perfectness is needed again for the proof of the strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of the associated sheaf. Unramified sheaf can be considered morally as the data given by the 'beginning terms' of 'an SL-oriented cycle module'. For a given unramified sheaf it is proven firstly the strongly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of the associated sheaf, and the trace homomorphism along finite extensions of fields are constructed. Then the data is summarised to construct a certain Gersten type complex, namely the Rost-Schmid complex, that definition, the pushforwards construction, and the proof of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance of cohomologies assume perfectness.

1.4. Our proof and viewpoint. On one side, the obstruction had a little effort in the often studied \mathbb{A}^1 -motivic invariants. On the other side, it required enough powerful 'lens' to look and contract it in general.

The obstruction in the arguments of [73] and [47] was hidden at infinity of the relative affine line. The strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance isomorphisms $H_{\text{nis}}^n(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, F_{\text{nis}}) \simeq H_{\text{nis}}^n(X, F_{\text{nis}})$, $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, were proved firstly for $X = \text{Spec } K$, and then by certain induction on $\dim X$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ based on a hidden comparing of the Gersten complexes or the coniveau spectral sequences for F_{nis} and $\text{pr}_* F_{\text{nis}}$ on the small étale site over X , where $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$. This reasoning does not distinguish smooth and non-smooth compactifications of $\mathbb{A}^1 \times X$; the obstruction 'runs' along \mathbb{A}_X^1 to the infinity that is the center of the projection $\mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$, and is a non-smooth point of the minimal compactification.

To prove that cohomologies on \mathbb{A}_U^1 for a local henselian essentially smooth U with a closed point z are trivial we prove the triviality of cohomologies 'out of infinity' and 'at the infinity' on \mathbb{A}_U^1 . Our notion of cohomologies 'out of infinity' is not isomorphic but is morally close to 'the cohomologies with finite support' over U . The cohomologies 'out of infinity' on \mathbb{A}_U^1 are defined at the beginning of Section 5 as the inductive limit of cohomologies with respect to the Nisnevich topology over $\mathbb{A}_U^1/(\mathbb{A}_U^1 - Z)$, where Z is a closed subscheme finite over U , basing on the notion of the Nisnevich topology over a pair $X/(X - Z)$ defined in Section 4. The general notion of homotopies and cohomologies at infinity is defined recently in [35]. For the case of \mathbb{A}_U^1 we mean just cohomologies on the complement $V = (\mathbb{P}_U^1)_{(\infty, z)} - \infty \times U$ to the infinite divisor of the neighbourhood of the infinite point (∞, z) in \mathbb{P}_U^1 .

To prove the claim on cohomologies 'out of infinity' we elaborate the techniques and constructions used in the original argument and known generalisations, see Remark 5.10. Namely, we prove Zariski excision isomorphisms on \mathbb{A}_U^1 for closed subschemes finite over U , and combine them with the étale excision proved in [33, 47] for any base field. This is done in Theorem 5.11, Section 5. For this part of the reasoning, it is essentially used that U is local henselian.

To prove the triviality of cohomologies at infinity we consider the affine line \mathbb{A}_k^1 equipped with the compactification \mathbb{P}_k^1 as the base scheme pair, and use essentially that U is essentially smooth local scheme over k . This is done in Theorem 7.21. We prove the claim by constructing in Proposition 7.20 a certain 'contracting' framed homotopy in V over \mathbb{P}_k^1 that satisfy properties like in the injectivity theorems [47] for the case of local schemes and certain additional property. The homotopy c should respect the support Z of the cohomology class e on V that it contracts. Namely, the infinity section of the homotopy c does not intersect the closure of Z in the compactification of V over $\mathbb{P}_k^1 - \infty$. Here is a key point of the argument that uses the 'lens' of the compactifications. Due to this, the inverse image of e with respect to c is contained in the cohomologies out of the infinity on the corresponding relative lines over the domain of the homotopy, which allows applying Theorem 5.11 already proven in Section 5. Since the points of the compactified homotopy c that we have to control are 0, 1, and ∞ the argument is written firstly for k that has at least 3 elements, and then the case of \mathbb{F}_2 is deduced by the use of framed correspondences from $\text{Spec } k$ to the spectra of co-prime extensions like in [32, 38].

Lemmas 7.14, 7.16 and 7.19. To construct the required compactified homotopy on V we control its behaviour using the closed point (∞, z) of the compactification $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \times U$ contained in the closure

of V . We construct in Lemmas 7.14, 7.16 and 7.19 the framed correspondence that is equipped with the compactification defined on the pair $(V, (\mathbb{P}_k^1 \times U)_{(\infty, z)})$ where the second scheme is used 'a compactification' of V . We call this type of compactification of the relative framed correspondences over $(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$ in Section 7.1 as 'focusing' and distinguish it terminologically with the word compactification used when the infinity divisor is of positive relative codimension.

The formal way how Theorem 5.11 is used for the triviality of the cohomology classes on $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times U)_{(\infty, z)} - \infty \times U$ in Theorem 8.1 is the injectivity theorem in Section 6 that formulation respects the above notification on supports of cohomology classes. For this purpose following the same principles as in the original proof we prove that cohomologies out of infinity have framed transfers for any framed abelian presheaf.

It is natural in view of the above discussion that our argument improves Voevodsky's result on the Cousin and Gersten complexes, and covers the case of main open subsets with essentially smooth complement. This is exactly the type of the scheme that is a neighbourhood of a smooth point of infinity divisor of smooth compactification. At the same time it explains why the argument applies to the corresponding case of the question in the \square -motivic study

1.5. Overview. The proof of the strict homotopy invariance occupies Sections 4, 5, 7 and 8. The argument for strict homotopy invariance, Theorem 8.1 in Section 8, summarises results on the triviality of cohomologies out the infinity and at the infinity from Sections 5 and 7. Section 10 deduces the result on the Cousin complexes.

Section 3.1 recollects the definitions of framed correspondences, and presheaves; Appendix C recollects some used framed correspondences and homotopies from other articles. Appendix A contains the formulation of the result on the relative dimensions over one-dimensional schemes used in Section 7. Appendix B contains consequences of Serre's theorem on ample bundles used in Sections 5 and 7.

Section 9 discusses the Garkusha-Panin's theory of framed motives and motivic categories for other types of correspondences such as $\text{Corr}^{\text{fr}}(k)$, $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$, $\text{Cor}(k)$, in particular. The formulations of cited results are extended with stuff on the geometric motives categories $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$ in Section 9.3.

Let us say that the argument does not use the strict homotopy invariance over a perfect field, but uses the injectivity theorem and the étale excision isomorphism from [33, 47] that written proofs hold for an arbitrary field.

2. INTRODUCTION: RESULTS.

2.1. The strict homotopy invariance theorem.

Theorem (Theorem 8.3, Corollary 8.5, Corollary 8.6). *Let F be an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed additive presheaf of abelian groups over a field k , and F_{nis} denote the associated Nisnevich sheaf, and similarly F_{zar} for Zariski topology. Then for any $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical projection induces the isomorphism of Nisnevich cohomologies*

$$H_{\text{nis}}^n(\mathbb{A}_k^1 \times X, F_{\text{nis}}) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^n(X, F_{\text{nis}}), \quad (2.1)$$

and similarly for Zariski cohomologies

$$H_{\text{zar}}^n(\mathbb{A}_k^1 \times X, F_{\text{zar}}) \cong H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, F_{\text{zar}}), \quad (2.2)$$

and even more, there is the canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{zar}}^n(X, F_{\text{zar}}) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^n(X, F_{\text{nis}}). \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, the claim holds for presheaves with classical Voevodsky's transfers with respect to the finite correspondences $\text{Cor}(k)$.

Remark 2.4 (Known earlier cases and novelty). The information on the question known before: (1) the case of $n = 0$ by [47, 73]; (2) the case of presheaves F that are inverse images of presheaves over perfect fields; (3) the case of presheaves of $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -modules over a field for characteristic p for Cor-correspondences [71]; (4) it was known by [38, 70] and [34] basing on [33, 47] the equivalence of the natural isomorphisms (2.1) and (2.2), and the implication from (2.1) and (2.2) to (2.3), though the isomorphism (2.3) itself was not.

The general case for both topologies was an entire mystery; the present proof of (2.1) does not reduce the result to the above cases and does not use the above reductions.

Theorem (Theorem 10.4). *Let U be an essentially smooth local scheme, and $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ be such that the vanishing locus $Z(f)$ is a smooth proper subscheme of U , possibly empty, $\eta \in V$ be the generic point. Denote $V = U - Z(f)$.*

Then for a quasi-stable additive framed presheaf of abelian groups F over k , and $E_Z^l(X) = H_Z^l(X, F_{\text{nis}})$, where F_{nis} is the Nisnevich sheafification, the Cousin complex

$$0 \rightarrow E^l(V) \rightarrow E^l(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(1)}} E_x^{l+1}(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(r)}} E_x^{l+r}(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(d)}} E_x^{l+d}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, $d = \dim V$.

More generally, the claim holds for a stable additive framed presheaf of S^1 -spectra F over k , and $E_Z^l(X) = \pi_{-l} \text{fib}(F_{\text{nis}}(X) \rightarrow F_{\text{nis}}(X - Z))$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, where F_{nis} is the Nisnevich local replacement of F on Sm_k , and in particular, for $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ -representable cohomology theories $E_-^(-)$.*

Remark 2.5. If the base field is perfect the above complex is isomorphic to the Gersten complex, as shown in [73, Theorem 4.37].

Remark 2.6 (On the originality of the second theorem). The exactness of the Gersten complex on a local essentially smooth scheme over a perfect field for the case of Cor-transfers is proven in [73, Theorem 4.37], and the case of framed transfers follows from [47] by the same argument.

2.2. Applications to motives. The results allow us to extend the generality of the theory of framed motives, and other transfers based studies, see Section 9. The basic application of these approaches for the study of categories $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ and $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ relates to (1) the computing of motives of smooth schemes or pairs (2) the homotopy t-structure, (3) the slice filtration, and the reconstructions of $\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k)$, $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{veff}}(k)$, $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}}(k)$, $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. Moreover, the result allows to eliminate the assumption on the residue fields from [28, 31]. We discuss the base field case, we cite some of the results and simultaneously complete some of the formulations.

Here are the central results of the theory of framed motives [46, 48] by G. Garkusha and I. Panin, and the result of their joint work with P.A. Østvær [50].

Theorem F. *For any $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$, (1) there are natural \mathbb{P}^1 -stable and S^1 -stable motivic equivalences*

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty} Y_+ \simeq \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^{\bullet}, \Sigma_T^{\infty} Y_+)_f, \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty} Y_+ \simeq \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^{\bullet}, \Sigma_{S^1}^{\infty} Y_+)_f \quad (2.7)$$

and the right sides in positive degrees are motivically fibrant with Morel-Voevodsky model structure $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ -spectrum or Ω_{S^1} -spectrum of motivic spaces; (2) there are equivalences of categories

$$\mathbf{SH}(k) \simeq \mathcal{SH}^{\text{fr}}(k), \quad \mathbf{SH}^{\text{veff}}(k) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\text{gp}}^{\text{fr}}(k) \quad (2.8)$$

where the right sides are the categories of the big framed motives [48] by Garkusha & Panin, and the group-like motivic Γ -spaces [50] by Garkusha & Panin & Østvær. (3) In particular, the stalks on an essentially smooth local henselian scheme Y of the motivic homologies and homotopies of Y in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$ and $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ equal the homologies and homotopies of the following complex and simplicial space

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i+j,j}^{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)}(U, Y) &= H_i(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{F}(U \times \Delta_k^{\bullet} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^+}, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^-})), \\ \pi_{i+j,j}^{\mathbf{SH}(k)}(Y)(U) &\simeq \pi_i \text{Fr}(U \times \Delta_k^{\bullet} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^+}, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^-})^{\text{gp}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $j = j^+ - j^-$ for a local henselian essentially smooth U .

Next let us discuss the results in the abstract setting of a correspondences category Corr that satisfy (Fr) , (NL) , (NC) in sense of Definitions 9.5 and 9.11 suggested in [34]. The main example is the additivisation of the pre-additive ∞ -category of tangentially framed correspondences Corr^{fr} introduced by E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, M. Yakerson in [38]. An example of classical categories is the class of categories of a cohomological correspondences Cor^A defined in [30], in particular, Voevodsky's correspondences Cor , and Milnor-Witt correspondences $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}$ [17, 18, 42]. See Examples 9.13 to 9.15 for details.

Definition 2.9. Define $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$ as the localisation of the category of simplicial objects

$$\Delta\text{Corr}[\check{C}_X(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow X, \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X]$$

with respect to morphisms of the written form for all $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, and Zariski coverings $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, where $\check{C}_X(\tilde{X})$ is the simplicial object defined by the Čech construction.

Theorem. *There is the commutative diagram of fully faithful functors and equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathbf{SH}^{\text{veff}}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}}(\text{Corr}) & & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq & \searrow & \\ \mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr}) \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

For any $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$,

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty Y = L_{\text{nis}} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{tr, gp}}(Y). \quad (2.11)$$

There are equivalences for the heart and the effective heart of the homotopy t -structure

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}), \quad \mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}].$$

Remark 2.12. We refer to [34] to make the reduction to the Zariski topology in the definition of $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$.

Remark 2.13. The vertical equivalences in the above diagram were proven in [39] for an arbitrary base field with $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients.

Specialising in the cases of framed correspondences, and Milnor-Witt correspondences we extend generality of results [2], [6, Proposition 29], [9, Theorem 5.14].

Corollary. *For a field k the heart of the homotopy t -structure on $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ is equivalent*

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)$$

to the category $\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)$ of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups with framed transfers such that the framed correspondences σ_X , $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, act identically.

Corollary. *The heart of the homotopy t -structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ is equivalent to the category of homotopy modules, that is $\text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$, where $\text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(k)$ denote the category of strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant abelian sheaves.*

Remark 2.14. The latter corollary is proven already over an arbitrary field by the original arguments from [59] in combination with [61]. We write the alternative proof using framed correspondences.

Theorem. *There are equivalences of the hearts of homotopy t -structures*

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k), \quad \mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}^{\heartsuit}(k).$$

Acknowledgement. The author thank A. Ananyevskiy for the consultation on hearts of homotopy t -structures and the generalities of results, and V. Sosnilo for the consultation of ∞ -categorical definitions and constructions. The author thank G. Garkusha for the remarks and comments. According to the accepted agreement the author should say here that he is a Young Russian Mathematics award winner and the author would like to thank its sponsors and jury.

2.3. Conventions: notions, and notation. Let us list used notation of standard objects, and definitions of some extra or modified notions which we use in the text.

2.3.1. *Functions, sections, schemes.* For a presheaf or a sheaf F on a site \mathcal{C} we denote by $F(X)$ or $\Gamma(X, F)$ the set of sections on $X \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, for an invertible sheaf or a line bundle \mathcal{L} on a scheme X we write $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$ for the group of sections on an open subscheme U in X . We denote by \mathcal{O}_X the sheaf of regular functions on a small Zariski site of X , and use the notation

$$\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}_X(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

For a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$, $\mathcal{I}_{Z/X}$ denotes the ideal sheaf in \mathcal{O}_X given by functions that vanish on Z , and $I_{Z/X} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ denotes the global sections. The vanishing locus of a sheaf of ideals $I \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ is denoted by $Z(s)$. The vanishing locus of a section $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is $Z(s) = Z(I)$, where I is generated locally by s in view of the trivialisation of V ; the common vanishing locus of a set of sections s_j , $j \in J$, is denoted by $Z(s_j)_{j \in J}$. For an injective morphism $r: Z \rightarrow X$ we write

$$s|_Z = r^*(s) \in r^*(F)$$

for the inverse image of $s \in \Gamma(X, F)$ of a presheaf F on a small Zariski site of X .

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ the local ring of a scheme X at a point $x \in X$, and by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ the henselisation. We use the notation

$$X_x = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, X_x^h = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$$

for the corresponding affine schemes. The same notation $\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}$, $\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}^h$ we use for the localisation and the henselisation of \mathcal{O}_X at $\mathcal{I}_{Z/X}$, for a closed subscheme Z in X , and write $X_Z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,Z}$, $X_Z^h = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,Z}^h$.

2.3.2. *Categories of schemes, relative dimension.* Denote by Sch_S the category of schemes over a base scheme S , and by Sm_S the category of smooth schemes. We usually denote the base scheme by S , and also by B , or V depending on the context: V is usually used as the base for the relative affine line $\mathbb{A}^1 \times V$, and if we consider $\mathbb{A}^1 \times V$ as a scheme over \mathbb{A}^1 or \mathbb{P}^1 , then we usually denote the base scheme by B .

We say that $X \in \text{Sch}_V$ is equidimensional of dimension d , if for each $z \in V$, $\dim X \times_V z = d$. We say that a closed subscheme X' of X has positive relative codimension, if $\text{codim}(X' \times_V z)/(X \times_V z) > 0$ for all $z \in V$.

We denote the site given by a Grothendieck topology τ on a category \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}^τ . In particular, we write Et_S^{nis} and Sm_S^{nis} for the sites of the Nisnevich topology on the categories of étale and smooth schemes over a scheme S respectively.

2.3.3. *Cohomologies with respect to a topology.* For a Grothendieck topology τ on some category \mathcal{C} , and a presheaf F on \mathcal{C} , we denote by F_τ the sheafification. Denote by

$$H_\tau^*(X, F_\tau) = H_\tau^*(X, F) \tag{2.15}$$

the cohomologies of F_τ on $X \in \mathcal{C}$; we write sometimes F without subscript above to short notation.

We use also the notion for what we call reduced cohomologies $\underline{H}_\tau^*(X, F)$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{H}_\tau^i(X, F) = 0, i < -1, \\ \underline{H}_\tau^{-1}(X, F) = \ker(F(X) \rightarrow F_\tau(X)), \\ \underline{H}_\tau^0(X, F) = \text{coker}(F(X) \rightarrow F_\tau(X)), \\ \underline{H}_\tau^i(X, F) = H_\tau^i(X, F), i > 0. \end{cases}$$

So the following conditions are equivalent

$$[\underline{H}_\tau^*(X, F) = 0] \iff \left[\begin{cases} H_\tau^0(X, F_\tau) = F(X), \\ H_\tau^i(X, F_\tau) = 0, i > 0. \end{cases} \right]$$

Given morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, there are the Čech complex and the extended Čech complex:

$$\begin{aligned} \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) &= [\dots 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times(l-1)}) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times l}) \rightarrow \dots], \\ \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) &= [\dots 0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times(l-1)}) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times l}) \rightarrow \dots] \end{aligned}$$

where $F(\tilde{X})$ is located in the zeroth deg., and $\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) \simeq \text{Cone}(F(X) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F))$.

2.3.4. *The Nisnevich topology.* Nis^X denotes the Nisnevich topology over the scheme X . We usually use superscript or subscript 'nis'. We use the notation Nis_Z^X for the subtopology of Nis^X that is trivial over the open subscheme $X - Z$, for a closed subscheme Z , and also use the notation $\underline{\text{Nis}}^X$ and $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ for certain subtopologies of Nis^X and Nis_Z^X , see Section 4.

3. FRAMED CORRESPONDENCES AND PRESHEAVES

We start with a recollection of definitions of framed correspondences and framed presheaves introduced in [46, 47, 76]. The definition of the notion of correspondences of pairs is slightly different. In addition, we recall the notion of first-order framed correspondences introduced in [21], that is a type of framed correspondences in between of framed correspondences from [46], and normally framed correspondences, that were introduced by Neshitov in privately shared notes, and by the authors of [38].

Throughout the section S denotes the base scheme.

3.1. Framed correspondences of schemes.

Definition 3.1. An *explicit framed correspondence* from a scheme X to a scheme Y over S is a set of data (Z, V, φ, g) organised in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V & \xrightarrow{(\varphi, g)} & \mathbb{A}_Y^n \\
 & \swarrow \text{étale} & \uparrow & & \uparrow 0 \\
 \mathbb{A}_X^n & \xleftarrow{\text{cl. imm.}} & Z & \xrightarrow{g|_Z} & Y \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \text{finite} & & \\
 & & X & &
 \end{array}$$

where the right-upper square is Cartesian, and the right vertical arrow is the zero section, i.e.

$$V \times_{\mathbb{A}^n \times Y} (\{0\} \times Y) = V \times_{\mathbb{A}^n} \{0\} \simeq Z,$$

and $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$, and $g: V \rightarrow Y$ are morphisms that define the morphism (φ, g) . In other words, the set (Z, V, φ, g) is given by an étale neighbourhood V of a closed subscheme Z in \mathbb{A}_X^n finite over X , $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ is a set of regular functions on V , and $g: V \rightarrow Y$ is a regular map such that the common vanishing locus $Z(\varphi)$ in X equals Z . The integer n is called the *level* of the correspondence.

Definition 3.2. The pointed set $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ is the set of classes of explicit framed correspondences from X to Y of level n up to the equivalence relation given by the choice of the étale neighbourhood V for a given Z , pointed at the class of framed correspondences with empty Z . In detail, the equivalence relation identifies the correspondences (Z, V_1, φ_1, g_1) and (Z, V_2, φ_2, g_2) , if the inverse images of (φ_1, g_1) and (φ_2, g_2) to some common shrinking V of the neighbourhoods V_1 and V_2 of Z in \mathbb{A}_X^n are equal.

Definition 3.3. Define the category $\text{Fr}_+(X, Y)$ with objects being the same as in Sm_S , and morphisms given by pointed sets

$$\text{Fr}_+(X, Y) = \varinjlim_n \text{Fr}_n(X, Y).$$

The composition of $c_1 \in \text{Fr}_{n_1}(X_1, X_2)$, and $c_2 \in \text{Fr}_{n_2}(X_2, X_3)$ is given by the correspondence

$$(Z_1 \times_Y Z_2, V_1 \times_Y V_2, \text{pr}_1^*(\varphi_1), \text{pr}_2^*(\varphi_2), g_2 \text{pr}_2),$$

where the morphism $V_1 \times_{X_2} V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_1}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n_2}$ is induced by the morphisms

$$V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_1}^{n_1}, V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_2}^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n_2},$$

and $\text{pr}_1: V_1 \times_{X_2} V_2 \rightarrow V_1$, $\text{pr}_2: V_2 \times_{X_2} V_2 \rightarrow V_2$.

3.2. Category of open pairs.

Definition 3.4. Let S be a base scheme. Given pairs $(X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)$ with open immersions of S -schemes $U_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $U_2 \rightarrow X_2$, consider the set of morphisms $\mathrm{Sm}_S(X_1, X_2)$ and the subset

$$\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)) = \{f \in \mathrm{Sm}(X_1, X_2) \mid f(U_1) \subset U_2\}.$$

Define the pointed set

$$\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{pair}}((X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)) = \mathrm{Cofib}(\mathrm{Sm}(X_1, U_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2))),$$

where the morphism at the right side is induced by the map $\mathrm{Sm}(X_1, U_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sm}(X_1, X_2)$ given by the composition with the canonical morphism $U_2 \rightarrow X_2$.

Definition 3.5. Define the category of pairs $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$ with the same objects as in $\mathrm{Sm}_S^{\mathrm{pair}}$ and morphisms $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W))$ between (X, U) and (Y, W) defined as follows.

Define the subset $\mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X, U), (Y, W)) \subset \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ that elements are correspondences (Z, V, φ, g) such that

$$U \times_X Z \subset Z \times_Y W,$$

or equivalently

$$(X \setminus U) \times_X Z \supset Z \times_Y (Y \setminus W).$$

Define the set $\mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W))$ as the quotient set, i.e. cokernel, of the morphism $\mathrm{Fr}_n(X, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X, U), (Y, W)): c \mapsto j_Y \circ c$, where $j_Y: W \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical open immersion. Define the set of morphisms

$$\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W)) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W)).$$

3.3. Linearised correspondences, and framed presheaves.

Definition 3.6. For a category \mathcal{C} enriched over pointed sets, denote by $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{C}$ the category enriched over abelian groups that have the same objects and hom-groups being the quotient of the free abelian groups associated with the hom-sets in \mathcal{C} such that the pointed element equals zero. The *additivisation* of a category \mathcal{C} enriched over sets is the universal quotient category of $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}(X_1 \amalg X_2, Y) = \mathcal{C}(X_1, Y) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X_2, Y)$. Define the categories $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S)$ and $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$ as the additivisations of the categories $\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$.

Definition 3.7. A *framed presheaf* is a functor $\mathrm{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$. A framed presheaf is *quasi-stable*, if the morphisms σ_X^* are auto-morphisms of $F(X)$ for all X . The same definition applies to the case of the category of open pairs $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$.

Definition 3.8. A *linear framed presheaf* over a base scheme S or a *framed additive presheaf of abelian groups* is a functor $F: \mathrm{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$ such that $F(X_1 \amalg X_2) \simeq F(X_1) \oplus F(X_2)$. Equivalently we can say that a linear framed presheaf defines a functor of categories enriched over abelian groups

$$F: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}.$$

The same definition applies to the case of $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$.

Proposition 3.9. Consider the left Kan extension and the forgetful functors

$$\gamma^*: \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)), \quad \gamma_*: \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S).$$

Then for any $E \in \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(k)$, and $F \in \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S))$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S)}^l(E, \gamma_* F) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S))}^l(\gamma^* E, F).$$

Proof. Since the category $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S)$ is generated via colimits by the representable sheaves $\mathbb{Z}(U)$, $U \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$, it is enough to prove the claim for E of such type. For $E = \mathbb{Z}(U)$, $\gamma^* E = \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(U)_{\mathrm{nis}}$, and the claim is [47, Proposition 16.2]. \square

Definition 3.10. For S -schemes U , and X , and a framed correspondence $c \in \text{Fr}_n(U, X)$, for any closed subscheme Z in X , and the closed subscheme $Y = c^{-1}(Z)$ in U , define the canonical morphism on cohomologies with support

$$c_*: \underline{H}_Z^*(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_Y^*(U, F)$$

for any linear presheaf with framed transfers F over S as the composite morphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_Z^l(X, F) &= \text{Ext}_{\text{ZSh}(S)}^l(\mathbb{Z}(X)/\mathbb{Z}(X-Z), F) \simeq \\ &\text{Ext}_{\text{ZSh}(\text{Fr}_+(S))}^l(\mathbb{Z}F(X)/\mathbb{Z}F(X-Z), F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{ZSh}(\text{Fr}_+(S))}^l(\mathbb{Z}F(U)/\mathbb{Z}F(U-Y), F) \simeq \\ &\text{Ext}_{\text{ZSh}(S)}^l(\mathbb{Z}(U)/\mathbb{Z}(U-Y), F) = H_Y^l(U, F). \end{aligned}$$

3.4. First-order framed correspondences. We recall a notion introduced in [21].

Definition 3.11. A *first-order framed correspondence* of level n from X to Y is a set (Z, τ, g) given by a closed subscheme Z in \mathbb{A}_X^n , a trivialisation of the conormal sheaf of Z

$$\tau: \mathcal{I}_{Z/\mathbb{A}_X^n} / \mathcal{I}_{Z/\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z^{\times n},$$

and a regular map $g: Z(\mathbb{A}_X^n) \rightarrow Y$. In other words, the pair (τ, g) defines the morphism of schemes

$$(\tau, g): Z(\mathbb{A}_X^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \times Y$$

such that $Z(\mathbb{A}_X^n) \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} (0 \times Y) \cong Z$. Denote the pointed set of such (Z, τ, g) by $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$.

Definition 3.12. The pointed set $\text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}((X, U), (Y, V))$ of *first-order framed correspondences of level n between pairs (X, U) to (Y, V)* is the quotient of the set of the elements $(Z, \tau, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Y)$ such that

$$((X \setminus U) \times_X Z)_{\text{red}} \supset (Z \times_Y (Y \setminus V))_{\text{red}},$$

with respect to the subset of elements such that

$$\emptyset = Z \times_Y (Y \setminus V)$$

Definition 3.13. Define the *categories* $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$ and $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(\text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}})$ as the categories that morphisms are given by first-order correspondences. The composition of $(Z_1, \tau_1, g_1) \in \text{Fr}_{n_1}^{\text{1th}}(X_0, X_1)$ with $(Z_2, \tau_2, g_2) \in \text{Fr}_{n_2}^{\text{1th}}(X_1, X_2)$ is $(Z_{12}, \tau_{12}, g_{12})$, where

$$Z_{12} = Z_1 \times_{X_1} Z_2, (\tau_{12}, g_{12}): Z(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{12}}^2) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{I}_{Z_2}^2) \rightarrow X_2$$

and the first morphism is induced by (τ_2, g_2) , and the second one is induced by (τ_1, g_1) . A first-order framed presheaf is a presheaf on $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$ or $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(\text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}})$.

There is the natural map

$$\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Y): (Z, V, \varphi, g) \mapsto (Z, \tau, g|_Z), \quad (3.14)$$

where τ is induced by $\varphi|_{Z(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2)(Z)}$.

Definition 3.15. A *first-order framed presheaf* is a presheaf on $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$ or $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(\text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}})$. A first-order framed presheaf is *quasi-stable* if the morphism $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_1^{\text{1th}}(X, X)$ defined as the image of $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_1(X, X)$ induces auto-equivalence on $F(X)$ for each $X \in \text{Sm}_S$.

Lemma 3.16. *Any quasi-stable first-order framed presheaf has a canonical structure of quasi-stable framed presheaf.*

Proof. The claim follows because (3.14) defines the functor $\text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$ and because the latter functor preserves morphisms of the form σ_X , $X \in \text{Sm}_S$. \square

Lemma 3.17. *Suppose the base scheme S is affine. Any \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed presheaf F on the category of affine schemes over S is canonically equipped with the structure of a first-order framed presheaf and is quasi-stable in this sense.*

Proof. To prove the claim we show that for any $c, c' \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y)$, the induced morphisms $c^*, c'^*: F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$ are equal, if the images of c and c' in $\mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{1th}}(X, Y)$ are equal. Then since the morphism $\mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{1th}}(X, Y)$ is surjective, the first claim follows. Let c, c' be as above. Note that $g|_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} = g'|_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))}: Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z)) \rightarrow Y$, denote this function by $g_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))}$, and denote by the same symbols the inverse images on $\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))$, $0 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))$, $1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))$; consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \check{g}: E &\rightarrow Y, \\ E &= (0 \times (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_Z^h) \amalg_{0 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} (\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))) \amalg_{1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} (1 \times (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_Z^h) \\ \check{g} &= g \amalg_{g_{0 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))}} g_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} \amalg_{g_{1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))}} g'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Choose a lifting of (3.18)

$$\tilde{g}: (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_X^n)_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z}^h \rightarrow Y,$$

that exists by [19, Lemma 3.6] since the canonical morphism $E \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_X^n)_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z}^h$ is an affine henselian pair. Consider the framed homotopy

$$h = (\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z, \lambda\varphi + (1 - \lambda)\varphi', \tilde{g}) \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, Y).$$

Then $h \circ i_0 = c$, $h \circ i_1 = c'$, and since F is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, it follows that $c^* = c'^*$. So the claim is proven. The second claim of the lemma follows, because for any X , the correspondence $\sigma_X \in \mathrm{Fr}_1(X, X)$ goes to $\sigma_X \in \mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{1th}}(X, X)$ along morphism (3.14). \square

4. TOPOLOGY OVER A QUOTIENT-SPACE $X/(X - Z)$ AND COHOMOLOGIES

4.0.1. *Topologies.* Denote by Nis^X the Nisnevich topology on Sm_X over a scheme X . Let Z be a closed subscheme.

Definition 4.1. Define the topology Nis_Z^X as the strongest subtopology of Nis_X that restriction on Sm_{X-Z} is trivial.

In other words, the topology Nis_Z^X is generated by Nisnevich coverings $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ for all $U \in \mathrm{Sm}_X$ such that there is a lifting in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ U \times_X (X - Z) & \longrightarrow & U. \end{array}$$

Definition 4.2. Let X be a scheme. Define the topology $\underline{\mathrm{Nis}}^X$ as the weakest topology with coverings being étale morphisms $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ such that for each $v \in U$, there is a lifting in the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

where $p: U \rightarrow X$ is the structure morphism.

The above definition in other words defines the strongest subtopology $\underline{\mathrm{Nis}}^X$ of Nis^X that is trivial on the schemes of the form $U \times_X X_x^h$ for $U \in \mathrm{Sm}_X$, $x \in X$, and $U \times_X x \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 4.4. Define the topology $\underline{\mathrm{Nis}}_Z^X$ on Sm_X as the intersection $\underline{\mathrm{Nis}}^X \cap \mathrm{Nis}_Z^X$, see Definition 4.2 above.

Let $\mathrm{Pre}(X)$ and $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Pre}(X)$ denote the category of presheaves and the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups over the scheme X .

Lemma 4.5. (1) The topologies Nis_Z^X and $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ have enough sets of points given respectively by the schemes of the form

$$U_v^h, \text{ for } v \in U, \text{ and } U \times_X (X - Z), \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h, \text{ for } v \in U, \text{ and } U \times_X (X - Z), \quad (4.7)$$

where $p: U \rightarrow X$ is the canonical morphism, for all $U \in \text{Sm}_X$. (2) An étale morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a Nis_Z^X -covering or $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -covering if for any scheme V of the form (4.6) or (4.7), the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{X} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

admits a lifting.

Proof. (1) The case of Nis_Z^X follows immediately from the definition. To prove the case of $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ one we note firstly that by the definition all the schemes (4.7) are $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -points. Then for any $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -local isomorphism $F \rightarrow G$, the morphisms of stalks $F(V) \rightarrow G(V)$ are isomorphisms for V of the form (4.7). Conversely, let $F \rightarrow G$ be a morphism in $\text{Pre}(X)$ such that $F(U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h) \simeq G(U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h)$ for each $v \in U$. Then for each $v \in U$, there is an étale neighbourhood \tilde{X}_v of $p(v)$ in X such that $F(\tilde{X}_v) \simeq G(\tilde{X}_v)$. Define the $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -covering of U $\tilde{X} = \coprod_{v \in U} \tilde{X}_v \amalg (X - Z) \rightarrow U$. Then $F(\tilde{X}) \simeq G(\tilde{X})$. So if both F and G are $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -sheaves then $F \cong G$. (2) The claim follows from the previous one. \square

4.0.2. *Framed transfers for cohomologies.* Consider the subcategories of sheaves in $\mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$ with respect to topologies Nis_Z^X and $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$. Denote the sheafifications of $F \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$ by $F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}$, $F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}$.

Lemma 4.8. For any $U \in \text{Sch}_X$ finite over X , and any additive presheaf of abelian groups $F \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$, there is a canonical isomorphism $F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(U) \cong F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}(U)$.

Proof. The embedding of topologies $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X \rightarrow \text{Nis}_Z^X$ leads to the spectral sequence

$$H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^q(U, H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^p(F)_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^{p+q}(U, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}),$$

where $H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^p(F)_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}$ is the sheafification of $H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^p(-, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X})$ with respect to $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$. Since U is finite, for any $x \in X$, $U \times_X X_x^h = \coprod_{y \in U \times_X x} U_y^h$. Hence $H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^p(U \times_X X_x^h, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}) = 0$. Since Nis_Z is trivial on Sm_{X-Z} , $H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^p(U \times_X (X - Z), F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 4.5 $H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(F)_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X} = F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}$. \square

Lemma 4.9. For any quasi-stable linear (first-order) framed presheaf F , the presheaf $H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^*(-, F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X})$ has a canonical structure of a quasi-stable (first-order) framed presheaf. Even more, for a pair of closed subschemes $Z_1 \subset Z_2$, the canonical morphism $H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^*(-, F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_{Z_1}^X}) \rightarrow H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^*(-, F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_{Z_2}^X})$ agrees with the framed transfers, and the morphism $H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^*(-, F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}})$ agrees too. The same applies to the reduced cohomologies $\underline{H}_\nu^*(-, F_\nu)$.

Proof. For any $c \in \text{Fr}_n(U_1, U_2)$ or $c \in \text{Fr}_n^{1\text{th}}(U_1, U_2)$ over X , and a morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ for each l , there is the canonical framed correspondence $\tilde{c} \in \text{Fr}_n(U_1 \times_X \tilde{X}, U_2 \times_X \tilde{X}^{\times l})$ or $\tilde{c} \in \text{Fr}_n^{1\text{th}}(U_1 \times_X \tilde{X}, U_2 \times_X \tilde{X}^{\times l})$ given by the base change. Hence for any quasi-stable linear framed or first-order framed presheaf F , there is the morphism of Čech complexes with respect to the coverings $U_1 \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow U_1$, and $U_2 \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow U_2$. Hence the presheaf is a quasi-stable linear (first-order) framed presheaf. Thus the first claim is proven. The second claim follows because of the naturality of the above construction. The case of $\underline{H}_\nu^*(-, F_\nu)$ is similar. \square

Lemma 4.10. *For a morphism of schemes $T \rightarrow X$, and a closed subscheme Z in X , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{Nis}_{T \times_X Z}}^*(T, F) \cong H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(T, F).$$

Proof. The claim follows since the topology Nis_Z^X restricted on Sch_T equals the topology $\text{Nis}_{T \times_X Z}^T$. \square

Definition 4.11. Let $c = (T, \tau, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}((X, U), (Y, V))$ for $(X, U), (Y, V) \in \text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}}$ over a base scheme S . Let $W = Y \setminus V$, $Z = X \setminus U$. Define the homomorphism

$$c^* : H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}^*(Y, F) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F)$$

as the composite homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}(T) & \xleftarrow{g^*} H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}(Y) & (4.12) \\ & \downarrow & & \\ H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(T) & \xrightarrow[\text{Lm 4.8}]{\cong} & H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(T) & \\ \text{Lm 4.9} \downarrow & & & \\ H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(X) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\text{Lm 4.8}} & H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(X), & \end{array}$$

where $H_\nu(-) = H_\nu^*(-, F_\nu)$, and the second morphism in the sequence is provided by Lemma 4.10 and the equality $c^{-1}(W) = Z$. The same applies to $c^* : \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}^*(Y, F)$.

Proposition 4.13. *For any quasi-stable linear first-order framed presheaf F over a base scheme S , the presheaf*

$$(X, X - Z) \mapsto H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F),$$

where Nis_Z^X is the topology on Sch_X from Definition 4.1, has the canonical structure of the quasi-stable first-order framed presheaf. The same applies to $(X, X - Z) \mapsto \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F)$.

Proof. The transfer homomorphisms are given by Definition 4.11. To prove the claim we need to show the functoriality, i.e. that

$$(c_2 \circ c_1)^* \cong c_1^* \circ c_2^* \quad (4.14)$$

for two composable framed correspondences c_1, c_2 . Consider two subcategories in $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$: (p) denote by $\text{Fr}_p^{\text{1th}}(S)$ the subcategory that morphisms are correspondences $c = (T, \tau, g)$ such that $g \cong \text{id}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))}$, and (z) denote by $\text{Fr}_0(S)$ the subcategory spanned by framed correspondences of level zero, that are given by morphisms of schemes. Any morphism $c = (T, \tau, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Y)$ in $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$ admits the decomposition

$$c = g \circ c_p, c_p = (T, \tau, \text{id}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))}) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))).$$

Because of this to prove the claim we can assume that c_1 and c_2 in (4.14) belong to the union of the sets of morphisms $\text{Fr}_p^{\text{1th}}(S)$, and $\text{Fr}_0^{\text{1th}}(S)$. We consider four cases of types of c_1 and c_2 .

(0) For $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Fr}_0^{\text{1th}}(S)$, the claim holds by the functoriality of the pushforwards for regular morphisms of schemes.

(1) For $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Fr}_p^{\text{1th}}(S)$, the claim follows by Lemma 4.9.

(2) The case of $c_1 = (T, \tau, \text{id}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))}) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X_1, Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T)))$, $c_2 = g \in \text{Fr}_0(Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T), Y) = \text{Sch}_S(Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T)), Y)$ holds by Definition 4.11.

(3) Let

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = f & \in \text{Fr}_0((X, Z), (X', Z')) = \text{Sch}_S^{\text{pair}}((X, Z), (X', Z')), \\ c_2 = (Y, \tau, \text{id}_Y) & \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}((X', Z'), (Y, W)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the claim follows because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}^*(T, F) & \longleftarrow & H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}^*(Y, F) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(T, F) & \longleftarrow & H_{\text{Nis}_{Z'}^{X'}}^*(Y, F) \\
 \simeq \uparrow \text{Lm 4.8} & & \simeq \uparrow \text{Lm 4.8} \\
 H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}^*(T, F) & \longleftarrow & H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_{Z'}^{X'}}^*(Y, F) \\
 \downarrow \text{Lm 4.9} & & \downarrow \text{Lm 4.9} \\
 H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & H_{\text{Nis}_{Z'}^{X'}}^*(X', F),
 \end{array}$$

where $T = Y \times_{X'} X$.

The case of $(X, X - Z) \mapsto \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F)$ is similar. \square

Lemma 4.15. *Let V be a scheme over S , and let U be an V -scheme. Let X be a S -scheme, and $c \in \text{Fr}_n(U, X)$ be a framed correspondence over S . Then for any linear presheaf with framed transfers F over S , there is the commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Y^U}^*(U, F) & \xleftarrow{c^*} & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}}^*(U, F) & \xleftarrow{c^*} & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}}^*(X, F),
 \end{array}$$

where $Y = c^{-1}(Z)$.

Proof. The claim follows because morphisms of diagram (4.12) commute with the morphisms $H_\nu^*(-, F_\nu) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}})$ for ν as in Definition 4.11. \square

5. COHOMOLOGIES OUT OF INFINITY ON \mathbb{A}_V^1 .

In this section, we show some partial invariance of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable linear framed presheaves. Namely, we introduce the notion of cohomologies out of the infinity and show that the cohomologies out of the infinity on the relative affine line \mathbb{A}_V^1 over a local henselian scheme V are trivial for a quasi-stable linear framed presheaf, Theorem 5.11. We say 'cohomologies out of infinity' and we could 'the universal cohomologies that are trivial at infinity, these are cohomologies with respect to the union of subtopologies $\text{Nis}_Z^{\mathbb{A}^1 \times V}$, defined in Section 4 for all closed subschemes Z finite over V .

Definition 5.1. Let X be a scheme over a scheme S . Denote by Prop_S^X the topology on Sch_X generated by topologies Nis_Z^X for all closed subschemes Z in X proper over S , see Definition 4.1.

Example 5.2. Let S be a scheme. We denote by

$$\text{Fin}_S = \text{Prop}_S^{\mathbb{A}^1 \times S}$$

the topology on $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times S}$ generated by $\text{Nis}_Z^{\mathbb{A}^1 \times S}$ for all closed subschemes Z in $\mathbb{A}^1 \times S$ finite over S .

5.1. Excision isomorphisms.

Proposition 5.3. (*Étale excision*)[[33, Theorem 3.10]] *Let \tilde{X} be an étale neighbourhood of a closed subscheme Z in an essentially smooth local henselian scheme X over k . Then for any quasi-stable*

framed linear presheaf F , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\tilde{X} - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(\tilde{X}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(X - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian and coCartesian.

Proposition 5.4. (*Zariski excision with finite support*) Let V be a local scheme. Let $Z_0, Z_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_V^1$ be closed immersions, $Z_0 \cap Z_1 = \emptyset$. Assume that Z_0 is finite over V .

Then for any quasi-stable linear framed presheaf

$$G: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

see Definitions 3.4 and 3.5 for the category of open pairs, the morphism

$$j^*: G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0), \quad (5.5)$$

induced by the canonical open immersion of pairs

$$j: (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the canonical ample bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and let t_∞ denote the section that vanishing locus is ∞ . Denote by the same symbols the inverse images on \mathbb{P}_V^1 , and schemes of the form $- \times \mathbb{P}_V^1$. Denote $\infty_V = \infty \times V = \mathbb{P}_V^1 \setminus \mathbb{A}_V^1$, and let \overline{Z}_1 be the closure of Z_1 in \mathbb{P}_V^1 . Since Z_0 is finite over V it is a closed subscheme in \mathbb{P}_V^1 . So

$$Z_0 \cap \overline{Z}_1 = Z_0 \cap Z_1 = \emptyset.$$

Denote by $\delta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$ a section that vanishing locus is the graph of the canonical immersion $\mathbb{A}_V^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_V^1$. Since Z_0 and \overline{Z}_1 are finite over a local scheme, they are semi-local, and for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are invertible sections

$$\gamma_{1,\infty} \in \Gamma(\overline{Z}_1, \mathcal{O}(l)), \gamma_{Z_0} \in \Gamma(Z_0, \mathcal{O}(l-1)).$$

We are going to show that (5.5) has left and right inverse morphisms.

(1) Firstly, we construct the left inverse. By [52, III, Corollary 10.7] for large enough $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist sections

$$s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l)), s'_0 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l-1))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0} \delta|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0}, & s'_0|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0}, \\ s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\infty_V \cup \overline{Z}_1)} &= \gamma_{1,\infty}, & s'_0|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times \infty_V} &= \gamma_{1,\infty} \delta^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\infty_V)}, \\ & & s'_0|_{Z(\delta)} &= t_\infty^{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the section $s = s_1 \lambda + s_0(1 - \lambda)$, and framed correspondences of open pairs over V

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (Z(s), \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V \mathbb{A}_V^1, s/t_\infty^l, g) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)), \\ c'_0 &= (Z(s'), 0 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), s'_0/t_\infty^l, g'_0) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(0 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)), \\ \tilde{c}_1 &= (Z(s_1), 1 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1), s_1/t_\infty^l, \tilde{g}_1) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where g, g'_0 and \tilde{g}_1 are given by the projections to the second multiplicand. Define

$$\text{id}^\tau = (Z(\delta), 0 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V \mathbb{A}_V^1, s_0/t_\infty^l, g_0) \in \text{Fr}_1(0 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)),$$

g_0 is induced by the projection to the second multiplicand. Then the following equalities hold

$$c \circ i_1 = j \circ \tilde{c}_1, c \circ i_0 = \text{id}^\tau + e \circ c'_0$$

in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 &: 0 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), \\ i_1 &: 1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e: (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0).$$

Since G is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant the framed correspondence id^τ induces the same endomorphism on $G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$ as $\sigma_{(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)}$ because of the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy

$$(Z(\delta), (s_0\lambda + \delta t_0^{l-1})/t_\infty^l, g_0 \circ \text{pr}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)),$$

where $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$. Since G is additive, we have $i_0^*c^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^*$. The morphism c' is trivial morphism in the category of pairs $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{pair}}(V)$, and induces consequently the trivial morphism $(c')^*$ on the values of the presheaf G . Thus

$$c_1^*j^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* = \sigma_{(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)}^*,$$

Since the latter morphism is an automorphism, because G is quasi-stable, the morphism (5.5) has the left inverse.

(1) Next, we construct the right inverse to (5.5). Define $V_1 = \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1$. By [52, III, Corollary 10.7], for large enough $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist sections

$$s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l)), \quad s'_0 \in \Gamma(V_1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l-1))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times_V Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0} \delta|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0}, & s'_0|_{V_1 \times_V Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0}, \\ s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\infty_V \cup \bar{Z}_1)} &= \gamma_{1, \infty}, & s'_0|_{V_1 \times_V (\infty_V \cup \bar{Z}_1)} &= \gamma_{1, \infty} \delta^{-1}|_{V_1 \times_V (\infty_V \cup \bar{Z}_1)}, \\ & & s'_0|_{Z(\delta)} &= t_\infty^{l-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Define the sections

$$s_0 = \delta s'_0, \quad s = s_1 \lambda + s_0(1 - \lambda),$$

and framed correspondences of open pairs over V

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (Z(s), \mathbb{A}^1 \times V_1 \times_V V_1, s/t_\infty^l, g) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)), \\ c'_0 &= (Z(s'), 0 \times V_1 \times_V (V_1 - Z_0), s'_0/t_\infty^l, g'_0) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(0 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1 - Z_0, V_1 - Z_0)), \\ \tilde{c}_1 &= (Z(s_1), 1 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V V_1, s_1/t_\infty^l, g) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where g , g'_0 and \tilde{g}_1 are given by the projections on the second multiplicand. Define

$$\text{id}^\tau = (Z(\delta), 0 \times V_1 \times_V V_1, s_0, g_0) \in \text{Fr}_1(1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)),$$

g_0 is induced by the projection to the second multiplicand. Then the following equalities hold in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V)$

$$c \circ i_1 = j \circ \tilde{c}_1, \quad c \circ i_0 = \text{id}^\tau + ec'_0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 &: 0 \times (V, V_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), \\ i_1 &: 1 \times (V, V_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), \\ e &: (V_1 - Z_0, V_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (V_1, V_1 - Z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since G is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, the framed correspondence id^τ induces the same endomorphism on $G(V_1, V_1 - Z_0)$ as $\sigma_{(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)}$ because of the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy

$$(Z(\delta), (s_0\lambda + \delta t_0^{l-1})/t_\infty^l, g_0 \circ \text{pr}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)),$$

where $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)$. Since G is additive, we have $i_0^*c^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^*$. The object $(V_1 - Z_0, V_1 - Z_0)$ is trivial in the category of pairs, so the morphism c'_0 is trivial, and the induced morphism $(c'_0)^*$ too. Thus

$$j^*c_1^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* = \sigma_{(V_1, V_1 - Z_0)}^*.$$

Since G is quasi-stable, the latter morphism above is an automorphism, hence the morphism (5.5) has a right inverse. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let V be a local scheme. Let Z be a closed subscheme in \mathbb{A}_V^1 finite over V , and $X' \subset X$ be two open neighbourhoods of Z in \mathbb{A}_V^1 .*

Then for any quasi-stable linear framed presheaf

$$G: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

the canonical morphism

$$G(X', X' - Z) \rightarrow G(X, X - Z),$$

is an isomorphism. See Definitions 3.4 to 3.6 for the category of open pairs $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_^{\text{pair}}(V)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4 the claim, for any considered presheaf G , holds for $X = \mathbb{A}_V^1$. The case of an arbitrary open neighbourhood X of Z follows by the composition

$$G(X', X' - Z) \simeq G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \simeq G(X, X - Z).$$

\square

Corollary 5.7. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 5.6 for any quasi-stable framed linear presheaf F on Sm_V , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X' - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(X - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian and coCartesian.

Proof. Consider the framed presheaves on the category of open pairs over V given by

$$\begin{aligned} (X, U) &\mapsto \text{Ker}(F(X) \rightarrow F(U)), \\ (X, U) &\mapsto \text{Coker}(F(X) \rightarrow F(U)) \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

The claim follows by Corollary 5.6, since the canonical open immersion of pairs

$$j: (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$$

induces the isomorphism

$$j^*: G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0)$$

for G being anyone from (5.8). \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let V be a local scheme, and X be an open subscheme of \mathbb{A}_V^1 . Let Z be a closed subscheme in X that is finite over V , and is local. Let $z \in Z$ be the closed point.*

Then for any quasi-stable framed linear presheaf F over V , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_z - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X_z) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(X - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian and coCartesian, where X_z denotes the local scheme of X at z .

Proof. The claim follows from Corollary 5.7. \square

Remark 5.10. The basic principles of the above arguments are like in [73]. The arguments were later developed for other types of transfers and at the same time the scheme of some arguments and the techniques were modified.

The use of category of pairs appeared in works [25, 26, 27] on Witt correspondences, and the use of sections linear bundles and Serre's theorem was suggested to the author by I. Panin. The latter works did not contain the argument for excision on \mathbb{A}_V^1 over a local henselian U .

[46] contains some similar principles, namely the use of category of pairs and the use of Serre's theorem for the choice sections, and contained proof of some excision isomorphism on the relative affine line, though the statement and the argument of the excision isomorphism on the relative affine line are different from the above one.

The used above argument for the excision on the relative affine line was used in [29], and in [30] later, for some particular case, namely for the closed subscheme in the relative affine line given by the zero section, but the argument is the same.

5.2. Coverings and cohomologies.

Theorem 5.11. *Let V be a local henselian scheme, and F be an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed additive presheaf of abelian groups over V . Let X be an open subscheme of $V \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then*

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) = 0$$

for any closed subscheme Z in X finite over V .

Proof. If Z is finite over a local henselian scheme V , the connected components of Z are local henselian schemes. We prove the claim by induction on the number of the connected components of Z . If $Z = \emptyset$, i.e. the number is zero, the claim is a tautology, since the topology Nis_Z^X is trivial. We can assume that $Z = Z_0 \amalg Z_1$, where Z_0 is local henselian, and Z_1 has less number of connected components than Z .

Consider the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(X - Z, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(X, F) \rightarrow \\ \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(X - Z_0, F) \oplus \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(X - Z_1, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(X - Z, F) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

For Y being $X - Z$, or $X - Z_0$,

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(Y, F) = \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{Z_1}^X}^n(Y, F) = 0,$$

where the first isomorphism holds because $Y \cap Z = Z_1$, and the second isomorphism holds because by the inductive assumption the claim holds for the topology $\text{Nis}_{Z_1}^X$. Note that $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^n(X - Z_1, F) = \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{Z_0}^X}^n(X - Z_1, F)$. By Corollary 5.9 and proposition 5.3 applied to the closed subscheme Z_0 , and the local scheme X_z , where z is the closed point of Z_0 , it follows that

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{Z_0}^X}^n(X - Z_1, F) = 0.$$

So the claim follows because of the long exact sequence (5.12). \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let S be a scheme. Consider the topology $\underline{\text{Nis}}^S \cup \text{Fin}_S$ on $\text{Sm}_{S \times \mathbb{A}^1}$ generated by the topologies $\underline{\text{Nis}}^S$, and Fin_S , see Definition 4.2, and Example 5.2 respectively. Then the projection $S \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$ induces the canonical isomorphism*

$$H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}^S \cup \text{Fin}_S}^*(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \simeq H_{\text{Nis}}^*(S, F)$$

for any \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed additive presheaf of abelian groups F on Sm_S .

Proof. Consider the presheaves on the small étale site over S

$$\begin{aligned} U &\mapsto h_{\text{fnis}}^q(U) = H_{\text{fin}_U}^q(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \\ U &\mapsto h_{\text{nis}}^q(U) = H_{\text{nis}}^q(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, F). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.11 the canonical morphism of presheaves $h_{\text{fnis}}^q \rightarrow h_{\text{nis}}^q$ is a Nisnevich local isomorphism. Then the morphism of the spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{nis}}^p(S, h_{\text{fnis}}^q) &\Rightarrow H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}^S \cup \text{Fin}_S}^{p+q}(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \\ H_{\text{nis}}^p(S, h_{\text{nis}}^q) &\Rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^{p+q}(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. \square

6. FINITE SUPPORT INJECTIVITY

In this section, we apply the result of the previous section to formulate some enough criterion used in the next sections. The criterion guaranties that the restriction homomorphism

$$H_{\text{nis}}^*(X, F_{\text{nis}}) \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^*(\eta, F_{\text{nis}})$$

of the Nisnevich cohomologies of an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed linear presheaf F from an irreducible scheme X to the generic point η is injective, see We use it in the forms of Corollary 6.3 and Corollary 6.2.

Proposition 6.1. *Let S be a scheme over a field k , $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, and Z be a closed subscheme in X . Assume there is a framed correspondence $c \in \text{Fr}_N(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$ such that $c^{-1}(Z)$ is finite over S . Denote $c_0 = c \circ i_0$, $c_1 = c \circ i_1$, where $i_0: S \times 0 \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $i_1: S \times 1 \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Then the morphisms*

$$c_0^*, c_1^*: \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(S, F),$$

are equal for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. The claim follows because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{c_0^{-1}(Z)}^{S \times 0}}^*(S \times 0, F) & \longleftarrow & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{c^{-1}(Z)}^{S \times \mathbb{A}^1}}^*(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) & \longrightarrow & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{c_1^{-1}(Z)}^{S \times 1}}^*(S \times 1, F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(S \times 0, F) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{S \cup \text{Fin}_S}}^*(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(S \times 1, F), \end{array}$$

where the topologies in the second row are defined in Definition 4.1, Nis_S is defined in Definition 4.2, and Fin_S in Example 5.2. Here the arrows between the first two rows are given by Definition 3.10, the arrows from the second row to the third one are inverse images for the canonical morphisms of sites. The commutativity of the diagram is provided by Proposition 4.13 and lemma 4.15, and the isomorphisms in the last row are proven by Corollary 5.13. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let S be a scheme over a field k , and $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, and Z be a closed subscheme in X . Assume that*

- there is a framed correspondence $c \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}_N(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$ given by the difference of explicit framed correspondences $c = c^+ - c^-$ such that schemes $(c^+)^{-1}(Z)$ and $(c^-)^{-1}(Z)$ are finite over S .
- for some $\tilde{c}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}_N(S, X - Z)$,

$$c_1 = j \circ \tilde{c}_1,$$

where $c_1 = c \circ i_1$, $i_1: S \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is the unit section, $j: X - Z \rightarrow X$ is the canonical immersion.

Then for any additive \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed presheaf of abelian groups F on Sm_k the morphisms

$$c_0^*: \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(X, F)$$

induced by c_0 vanish for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. The claim follows, since homomorphism $j^*: \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(X - Z, F)$ given by the inverse image vanishes, and $c_0^* = \tilde{c}_1^* j^*$ by Proposition 6.1. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Let $X \in \text{Sm}_k$. If the assumption of Corollary 6.2 holds for any Z in of positive codimension, then*

$$\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(X, F) \cong 0,$$

for any additive \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed presheaf F of abelian groups on Sm_k .

Proof. Let Θ denote the scheme that is the union of generic points of X . Consider the topology $\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta} := \bigcup_Z \text{Nis}_Z^X$, where Z runs over all closed subschemes Z in X of positive codimension. Then $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}^i(X, F_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}) \cong \varinjlim_Z \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X})$. By Corollary 6.2 we have that the canonical morphisms $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^X}^i(X, F_{\text{Nis}^X})$ are trivial for all closed subschemes Z of positive codimension. Hence the canonical morphism

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}^i(X, F_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^X}^i(X, F_{\text{Nis}^X}) \quad (6.4)$$

is trivial.

$\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}$ is the maximal subtopology of Nis^X that is trivial on Θ because any covering that admits a lifting on Θ admits a lifting on some Zariski neighbourhood. Denote by $H_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}^i(-, F_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}})_{\text{Nis}^X}$ the Nis^X -sheafification of the presheaf of $\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}$ -cohomologies, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because of the spectral sequence for the morphism of sites given by $\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}$ and Nis

$$H_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}^i(X, H_{\text{Nis}^X}^j(-, F_{\text{Nis}^X})_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}^X}^{i+j}(X, F_{\text{Nis}^X}),$$

and since $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^X}^i(\Theta, F) = \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(\Theta, F) \cong 0$, it follows that the canonical morphism (6.4) is an isomorphism.

Thus $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^X}^i(X, F) \cong 0$ □

7. COMPACTIFIED CORRESPONDENCES AND COHOMOLOGIES AT ∞ ON \mathbb{A}_V^1 .

In this section, we prove the triviality of the cohomologies at infinity on the relative affine line \mathbb{A}_V^1 over a local essentially smooth scheme V , Theorem 7.21. To do this we construct a framed \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy 'with finite supports' in Proposition 7.20 that allows to apply Corollary 6.3.

7.1. Compactified correspondences.

Definition 7.1. A d -dimensional framed correspondence Φ from an affine U -scheme X to a U -scheme Y over a scheme U is a set of data (S, φ, g) , where

- (1) S is an X -scheme of relative dimension d over X equipped with a closed embedding $S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$,
- (2) $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}((\mathbb{A}_X^n)_S^h)^{\oplus n-d}$ is a set of regular functions such that $S = Z(\varphi)$, and
- (3) $g: (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_S^h \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of S -schemes.

Definition 7.2. Let B a local irreducible one-dimensional scheme, $z \in B$ be the closed point, $\eta \in B$ be the generic point.

Let $V \in \text{Sch}_B$, $T \in \text{Sch}_\eta$, and $V_\eta = V \times_B \eta$. Assume we are given with

- (1) a projective equi-dimensional scheme \overline{X} over V of pure relative dimension d , and an ample bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on \overline{X} with a section $t_\infty \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(1))$ such that the closed subscheme $X_\infty = Z(t_\infty)$ in \overline{X} has positive relative codimension over V ;
- (2) a d -dimensional framed correspondence $\Phi = (X_\eta, \varphi, g)$ from V_η to T over η ,
- (3) an isomorphism $X_\eta \cong (\overline{X} - X_\infty) \times_B \eta$;

The set of data $(\overline{X}, X_\infty, \Phi)$ is called V -focused compactified d -dimensional η -framed correspondence from V_η to T .

Definition 7.3. Let B a local irreducible one-dimensional scheme, $z \in B$ be the closed point, $\eta \in B$ be the generic point. Let $V \in \text{Sch}_B$, $T \in \text{Sch}_\eta$, and $V_\eta = V \times_B \eta$.

Let $r: V_\eta \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of schemes. Let $(\overline{X}, X_\infty, \Phi)$ be V -focused compactified d -dimensional η -framed correspondence from V_η to T .

We say that $(\overline{X}, X_\infty, \Phi)$ V -smoothly contains r if there is a closed subscheme Γ in $X = \overline{X} - X_\infty$ such that

- (1) the canonical projection $X \rightarrow V$ induces the isomorphism $\gamma: \Gamma \cong V$, and the morphism $X \rightarrow V$ is smooth over Γ ,
- (2) $g \circ \gamma_\eta^{-1} = r$, where $\gamma_\eta: \Gamma \times_B \eta \cong V_\eta$.

7.2. Universal endo-correspondence and contracting correspondence of dimension one.

Proposition 7.4. *Let B be a local scheme. Let $Z \in B$ be a closed subscheme, $U \subset B$ be the open complement. Let \overline{X} be a projective scheme over B , and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ denote an ample bundle on \overline{X} .*

Let $Y \rightarrow \overline{X}$ and $D \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be closed immersions, $F = \overline{F_U}$ be the the closure in \overline{X} of the scheme $F_U = (Y \cap D) \times_B U$, and $\Delta: B \rightarrow X$ be a section such that

- (1) \overline{X} is equi-dimensional scheme over B of pure relative dimension n ;
- (2) Y and D have positive codimension in the closed fibre;
- (3) F is of codimension at least 2 in the closed fibre;
- (4) the B -scheme \overline{X} is smooth over the subscheme $\Delta(B)$, and $\Delta(B) \cap D = \emptyset$.

Then for large enough d_i , there are sections $s_i \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d_i))$, $i = 2, \dots, n$, such that

- (1) the vanishing locus $\overline{C} = Z(s_2, \dots, s_n)$ is of pure relative dimension one over B ;
- (2) $\Delta(B) \subset \overline{C}$, and the morphism $\overline{C} \rightarrow B$ is smooth over $\Delta(B)$;
- (3) $\overline{C} \cap D$ and $\overline{C} \cap Y$ are finite over B ;
- (4) $(\overline{C} \cap Y \cap D) \times_B U = \emptyset$.

Proof. Step 1) Consider the closed subscheme $\Delta(B)$ in \overline{X} , and the first order thickening $\Delta(B)_2 = Z(\mathcal{I}^2(\Delta(B)))$. Since the morphism $\overline{X} \rightarrow B$ is smooth over $\Delta(B)$, and $\Delta(B)$ is local, there is a trivialisation $N_{\Delta(B)/(\overline{X})} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\Delta(B)}^n$. Since $\Delta(B)$ is local, there is a trivialisation $\mathcal{O}(1)|_{\Delta(B)} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\Delta(B))$. Hence for any d , there are sections

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma(\Delta(B)_2, \mathcal{O}(d))$$

such that

$$Z(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \Delta(B).$$

Step 2) Consider the schemes

$$\overline{X} \times_B z, \quad \overline{X} \times_B z, \quad Y \times_B z, \quad D \times_B z, \quad F \times_B z. \quad (7.5)$$

Let N be an irreducible component of anyone of schemes (7.5). Let $S \subset \overline{X} \times_B z$ be a finite set of closed points such that for any such N , the intersection $N \cap S$ is not empty. Choose a section

$$s_n \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d)), \quad s_n|_{\Delta(B)_2} = \gamma_n, \quad s_n|_S \in \mathcal{O}(d_n)^\times. \quad (7.6)$$

Define

$$\overline{X}_{n-1} = Z(s_n) \subset \overline{X} =: \overline{X}_n.$$

Then it follows by the second condition on s_n in (7.6) that $\dim_z(\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z) = n - 1$, and hence

$$\dim_B \overline{X}_{n-1} = n - 1. \quad (7.7)$$

Moreover, it follows by the same condition that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(Y \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z &\geq 1, \\ \text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(D \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z &\geq 1, \\ \text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(F \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z &\geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

and consequently

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(F_{n-1} \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z \geq 2, \quad (7.9)$$

where $F_{n-1} = \overline{F_{U, n-1}}$, $F_{U, n-1} = (Y \cap D \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B U$. Also we conclude that \overline{X}_{n-1} is smooth on $\Delta(B)$ over B by the first condition on s_n in (7.6).

By induction we construct sections $s_i \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d_i))$ for $i = n, \dots, 2$ and get the schemes X_i, Y_i, D_i, F_i satisfying conditions

$$\dim_B \overline{X}_i = i, \quad (7.10)$$

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X} \times_B z}(Y_i \times_B z) \geq 1, \quad (7.11)$$

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X} \times_B z}(D_i \times_B z) \geq 1, \quad (7.12)$$

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X} \times_B z}(F_i \times_B z) \geq 2, \quad (7.13)$$

where $Y_i = Y \cap \overline{X}_i$, $D_i = D \cap \overline{X}_i$, $F_i = \overline{F}_{i,U}$, $F_{i,U} = (Y \cap D \cap \overline{X}_i) \times_B U$, and such that $\Delta \subset \overline{X}_i$, $\overline{X}_i \rightarrow B$ is smooth over Δ .

Then the scheme $\overline{C} = \overline{X}_1 = Z(s_2, \dots, s_n)$ satisfies the claim of the proposition. Indeed, assertion (1) in the proposition holds by (7.10). Assertion (2) holds by the reason explained above. Assertion (3) follows by (7.11), and (7.12). Hence F_1 is a finite scheme over B , and since by (7.13) $F_1 \times_B z = \emptyset$, it follows by the Nakayama lemma that $F_1 = \emptyset$. Whence it follows that

$$(Y \cap D \cap \overline{C}) \times_B U = F_1 \times_B U = \emptyset.$$

So assertion (4) holds. \square

Lemma 7.14. *Let B be a one-dimensional irreducible local scheme, $z \in B$ be the closed point, $\eta \in B$ be the generic point. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_B$, $x \in X_z = X \times_B z$. Let $d = \dim_B^x X$ be the relative dimension of X at x over B . Let Z be a closed subscheme of positive codimension in $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$.*

Then there is a d -dimensional $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified η -framed correspondence $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$ from $(X_{(x)})_\eta = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$ to $X_\eta = X \times_n \eta$, where $\Phi = (S_\eta, \varphi, \text{val})$, $S_\eta = S \times_B \eta$, $S = S - S_\infty$, such that

- (1) $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$ $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$;
- (2) $\text{val}^{-1}(Z)$ is of positive relative codimension in \overline{X}_η ,
- (3) and

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{S} \times_{X_{(x)}} x}(\overline{YX_\infty} \times_{X_{(x)}} x) \geq 2, \quad (7.15)$$

where $\overline{YX_\infty}$ is the closure of $(\text{val}^{-1}(Z) \cap S_\infty) \times_B \eta$ in \overline{S} .

Proof. Step 0) We can assume without loss of generality that $X \in \text{SmAff}_B$, X is irreducible, $\dim_B X = d$, $T_{X_\eta/\eta} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{X_\eta}^d$, where $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$, because we can shrink the given scheme X to a Zariski neighbourhood of x with this properties.

Step 1) Let $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^{N'}$ be a closed immersion, $N' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let \overline{X} denote the closure of X in $\mathbb{P}_B^{N'}$. Then \overline{X} is irreducible projective scheme over B . Since $\dim B = 1$, the scheme \overline{X} is equi-dimensional over B by A.2. Denote

$$\overline{X}_\eta = \overline{X} \times_B \eta, \quad \overline{X}_z = \overline{X} \times_B z.$$

Consider the closed complement $X_{\infty, \eta} = \overline{X}_\eta \setminus X_\eta$, and denote by X_∞ the closure of $X_{\infty, \eta}$ in \overline{X} . Note that

$$X_\infty \times_B \eta = X_{\infty, \eta} \text{ and } X_\infty \subset \overline{X} \setminus X.$$

Since $\dim B = 1$, it follows by Proposition A.2, that X_∞ is equi-dimensional over B , and

$$\dim(X_\infty \times_B z) < \dim_B X = d.$$

So X_∞ is of positive relative codimension in \overline{X} over B .

Step 2) Since \overline{X} is a projective scheme over B , there is an ample bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on \overline{X} . Let $F \subset \overline{X}_z$ be a finite set of closed points that intersects non-emptily every irreducible component of $\overline{X}_z - ((X_\infty \times_B z) \cup x)$. Then for a large enough d , there is a section $t_\infty \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d))$

$$t_\infty|_{X_\infty} = 0, t_\infty|_S \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{O}(d)^\times).$$

Since $\dim_B((X_\infty \times_B z) \cup x) < \dim_B X$, it follows that \overline{X}_z equals the closure of $\overline{X}_z - ((X_\infty \times_B z) \cup x)$. Hence F intersects each irreducible component of \overline{X}_z . Then

$$Z(t_\infty) \supset X_\infty, \quad \dim_B Z(t_\infty) = n - 1, \quad n = \dim_B X.$$

Let us denote

$$X'_\infty = Z(t_\infty), \quad X' = \overline{X} - X'_\infty,$$

and redenote $\mathcal{O}(1) := \mathcal{O}(d)$.

Step 3) By step (2) X' is an affine Zariski neighbourhood of x in the projective B -scheme \overline{X} , the complement X'_∞ is of positive relative codimension in \overline{X} over B , and $X'_\infty \supset X_\infty$. Consequently, X' is smooth at x over B , and there is the canonical open immersion

$$X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta,$$

where $X'_\eta = X' \times_B \eta$.

Step 4) Since the tangent bundle of X_η is trivial, we get

$$X'_\eta \in \text{SmAff}_\eta, T_{X'_\eta} \simeq \mathbb{1}_{X'_\eta}^d.$$

Then by Lemma C.5 there is a d -dimensional framed correspondence

$$(X'_\eta)^{\text{fr}} = (X'_\eta, f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N, \tilde{j})$$

where $\tilde{j}: (\mathbb{A}_\eta^N)_{X'_\eta}^k \rightarrow X_\eta$ is such that $\tilde{j}|_{X'_\eta}: X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is the canonical immersion.

Summarising with Step (3) we get d -dimensional $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified η -framed correspondence

$$(\overline{X}, X'_\infty, (X'_\eta)^{\text{fr}})$$

from η to X_η . The base change along the map $X_{(x)} \rightarrow B$ gives the d -dimensional $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified η -framed correspondence $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$ from $X_{(x)}$ to X , where $\overline{S} = \overline{X} \times_B X_{(x)}$, $S_\infty = X'_\infty \times_B X_{(x)}$, and Φ is the base change of $(X'_\eta)^{\text{fr}}$. This gives the initial claim of the lemma, we proceed with (1),(2), and (3).

Step 5) The composite morphism

$$X_{(x)} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \overline{X}$$

induces the section $\Delta: X_{(x)} \rightarrow \overline{S}$. Since the morphism $X \rightarrow B$ is smooth, the morphism $\overline{S} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$ is smooth over Δ . Thus $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$ $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$. This gives claim (1).

Step 6) Since Z is of positive codimension in X_η , it follows that $\text{val}^{-1}(Z) = (X_{(x)})_\eta \times j^{-1}(Z) = (X_{(x)})_\eta \times (Z \cap X'_\eta)$ is of positive codimension in X'_η . This gives claim (2).

Step 7) Finally, to prove inequality (7.15) of claim (3) we note that $\text{val}^{-1}(Z) \cap S_\infty = (\overline{Z} \cap X'_\infty) \times_B (B - \eta) \times_B X_{(x)}$. The claim follows, since

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X}_\eta} (\overline{Z} \cap X'_\infty) \times_B \eta \leq 2,$$

where \overline{Z} is the closure of Z in \overline{X} , and consequently

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X}_z} (\overline{(\overline{Z} \cap X'_\infty) \times_B \eta}) \times_B z \leq 2.$$

□

Lemma 7.16. *Suppose there is a d -dimensional $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence*

$$(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi), \quad \Phi = (S_\eta, \varphi, \text{val}_{S_\eta}), \quad S_\eta = (\overline{S} - S_\infty) \times_B \eta,$$

from the scheme $\mathcal{V} = (X_{(x)})_\eta = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$ to the scheme $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$ such that

- (1) $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$ smoothly contains the canonical map $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$,
- (2) the closure $\overline{Z} = \overline{\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)}$ of the scheme $Z = \text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)$ in \overline{S} is of positive codimension over $X_{(x)}$, the closure $\overline{YX_\infty}$ of $(\overline{Z} \cap S_\infty) \times_B \eta$ in \overline{S} has codimension at least 2 in the closed fibre over $X_{(x)}$,

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{S} \times_{X_{(x)}} x} (\overline{YX_\infty} \times_{X_{(x)}} x) \geq 2. \quad (7.17)$$

Then there is a one-dimensional $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence

$$(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi), \quad \Psi = (C_\eta, \psi, \text{val}_C), \quad C_\eta = (\overline{C} - C_\infty) \times_B \eta,$$

from $\mathcal{V} = (X_{(x)})_\eta$ to X_η such that

- (1) $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$,
- (2) the closure $\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)}$ of $\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)$ in \overline{C} is finite over $X_{(x)}$, and

$$\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)} \cap C_\infty \times_B \eta = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the ample line bundle on the projective scheme \overline{S} , and by t_∞ the section on \overline{S} such that $Z(t_\infty) = S_\infty$ provided by Definition 7.2. We are going to apply Proposition 7.4 to the scheme \overline{S} , and the subschemes

$$D = S_\infty, \quad Y = \overline{\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)}, \quad \Delta = \Delta(X_{(x)}).$$

The assumptions (1) and (4) of Proposition 7.4 follow by the properties of the scheme \overline{S} , provided by Definition 7.2 and the assumption (1) above. The assumption (2) of Proposition 7.4 follows by the properties of S_∞ provided by Definition 7.2, and by the assumption (2) above. The assumption (3) of Proposition 7.4 holds by (7.17)

Then by Proposition 7.4 there is a vector of sections (s_2, \dots, s_d) , where $s_i \in \Gamma(\overline{S}, \mathcal{O}(l))$ for a large enough l , such that

- (1) the scheme

$$\overline{C} = Z(s_2, \dots, s_d) \subset \overline{S}$$

is of relative dimension 1 over $X_{(x)}$,

- (2) there is the inclusion $\Delta(X_{(x)}) \subset \overline{C}$, and the morphism $\overline{C} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$ is smooth over $\Delta(X_{(x)})$,

- (3) $\overline{\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)} \cap \overline{C}$ is finite over $X_{(x)}$, $C_\infty = S_\infty \cap \overline{C}$ is finite over $X_{(x)}$, $(\overline{\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)} \cap C_\infty) \times_B \eta = \emptyset$.

By assumption we are given with a closed embedding $S_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_V^N$, a regular map $\text{val}: (\mathbb{A}_V^N)_{S_\eta}^h \rightarrow X_\eta$, and a vector of regular functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}((\mathbb{A}_V^N)_{S_\eta}^h)^{\oplus N-d}$ such that $Z(\varphi) = S_\eta$. By point (1) in the latter list above there are canonical embeddings $\overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{S}$, $C_\eta \rightarrow S_\eta$, where $C_\eta = C \times_B \eta$, $C = \overline{C} - C_\infty$. Define $\text{val}_{C_\eta}: (\mathbb{A}_V^N)_{C_\eta}^h \rightarrow X_\eta$ as the composite morphism

$$(\mathbb{A}_V^N)_{C_\eta}^h \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^N)_{S_\eta}^h \xrightarrow{\text{val}_{S_\eta}} X_\eta.$$

Choose liftings $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_V^N)$, $j = 2, \dots, d$, of the functions $s_j/t_\infty^l \in \mathcal{O}(S_\eta)$, and denote by the same symbols the inverse images on $(\mathbb{A}_V^N)_{C_\eta}^h$. Thus $\Psi = (C_\eta, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_d, \varphi, \text{val}_{C_\eta})$ is a one-dimensional framed correspondence from \mathcal{V} to X_η . Moreover, $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$ is an $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence because \overline{C} is of pure relative dimension 1 and C_∞ is finite over $X_{(x)}$ respectively by (1) and (3) in the list above.

By point (2) assertion (2) follows. The assertion (3) follows by point (3) in the list above, because $\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)} \subset \overline{\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)} \cap \overline{C}$ is finite over $X_{(x)}$, and $\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)} \cap C_\infty \subset \overline{\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)} \cap C_\infty$ has the empty fiber over η . \square

Proposition 7.18. *Let B be a one-dimensional irreducible local scheme, $z \in B$ be the closed point, $\eta \in B$ be the generic point. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_B$, $x \in X_z = X \times_B z$. Let $d = \dim_B^x X$ be the relative dimension of X at x over B . Let Z be a closed subscheme of positive codimension in $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$.*

Then there is a one-dimensional $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence

$$(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi), \quad \Psi = (C_\eta, \psi, \text{val}_C), \quad C_\eta = (\overline{C} - C_\infty) \times_B \eta,$$

form $\mathcal{V} = (X_{(x)})_\eta$ to X_η such that

- (1) $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$,
 (2) the closure $\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)}$ of $\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)$ in \overline{C} is finite over $X_{(x)}$, and

$$(\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)} \cap C_\infty) \times_B \eta = \emptyset.$$

Proof. The claim follows by the combination of Lemma 7.14 and Lemma 7.16. \square

7.3. Finite correspondence homotopy, and the vanishing of cohomologies at infinity.

Lemma 7.19. *Let B be a one-dimensional local scheme, $z \in B$ be the closed point, $\eta \in B$ be the generic point, $X \in \text{Sm}_B$, Z be a closed subscheme in $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$.*

Assume there is a one-dimensional compactified $X_{(x)}$ -focused framed correspondence $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$ from $(X_{(x)})_\eta$ to X_η such that

- (1) $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$ smoothly contains the canonical map $\text{can}_\eta: (X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$,

(2) the closure $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{Z} = \text{val}^{-1}(Z)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite over $X_{(x)}$, and $(\overline{\mathcal{Z}} \cap C_\infty) \times_B \eta = \emptyset$, where $\Psi = (C_\eta, \varphi, \text{val})$, $C_\eta = (\overline{\mathcal{C}} - C_\infty) \times_B \eta$.

Denote $\mathcal{V} = (X_{(x)})_\eta = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$. Then there are framed correspondences $c \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta)$, $c_0^+, c_1 \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta - Z)$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

- (1) $c \circ i_0 = \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^N \text{can}_\eta + c_0^+$, $\tilde{c} \circ i_1 = j \circ c_1$, in $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_N(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta)$, where $i_0, i_1: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ are the zero and unit sections, $j: X_\eta - Z \rightarrow X_\eta$ is the open immersion,
- (2) $c^{-1}(Z)$ is finite over \mathcal{V} .

Proof.

1) Firstly, assume the residue field $\mathcal{O}(x)$ has at least three elements, $\#\mathcal{O}_z(z) > 2$. According to Definitions 7.1 to 7.3 we are given with

- (d1) the projective equi-dimensional scheme $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ over $X_{(x)}$ of relative dimension one, a closed subscheme C_∞ finite over $X_{(x)}$, a closed embedding $C_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (d2) a set of functions $e_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$, $i = 2, \dots, N$, and a regular map $\text{val}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X_\eta$, such that $C_\eta = Z(e_2, \dots, e_N)$, where \mathcal{E} is the henselisation $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{C_\eta}^h$;
- (d3) and a map $\Delta: X_{(x)} \rightarrow C$ such that $\text{val} \circ \Delta$ equals to the canonical map $\text{can}: X_{(x)} \rightarrow X$, and the morphism $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$ is smooth over $\Delta(X_{(x)})$.

Denote

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\eta = \overline{\mathcal{C}} \times_B \eta = \overline{\mathcal{C}} \times_{X_{(x)}} \mathcal{V}, \quad C_{\infty, \eta} = C_\infty \times_{X_{(x)}} \mathcal{V},$$

and note that

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{val}^{-1}(Z) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}} \times_B \eta.$$

Then $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\eta$ is a projective scheme of relative dimension one over \mathcal{V} , and $C_{\infty, \eta}$ and \mathcal{Z} are closed subschemes finite over \mathcal{V} . By (d3) the morphism $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$ is smooth over $\Delta(X_{(x)})$. It follows that there is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\Delta(X_{(x)}))$ on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with a section δ that vanishing locus $Z(\delta)$ equals $\Delta(X_{(x)})$. We write $\mathcal{L}(\Delta)$ for $\mathcal{L}(\Delta(X_{(x)}))$ and its restriction on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\eta$.

Since \mathcal{Z} , and $\Delta(X_{(x)})$ are finite over the local scheme $X_{(x)}$, by Lemma B.1 the restrictions $\mathcal{O}(1)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Delta)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are trivial. Since $\#\mathcal{O}_z(z) > 2$, by Lemma B.2, it follows that for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there are invertible sections

$$\gamma_\infty, \gamma_0^+, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}(1)^\times), \quad \gamma_\infty = \gamma_1 - \gamma_0 \delta|_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Since $C_{\eta, \infty}$ is finite over $X_{(x)}$ there is an invertible section

$$\beta_0^+ \in \Gamma(C_{\eta, \infty}, \mathcal{O}(1)^\times).$$

Since $\mathcal{Z} \cap C_{\eta, \infty} = \emptyset$ by the assumption (2) of the lemma, by Serre's theorem on ample bundles [52, III, Corollary 10.7] there are sections $s_0^+ \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\eta, \mathcal{L}(\Delta(\mathcal{V}))(d))$ and $s_1 \in \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\eta, \mathcal{O}(d))$ for large enough d such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\infty|_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \gamma_\infty, & s_0^+|_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \gamma_0^+, \\ s_\infty|_{C_{\eta, \infty}} &= 0, & s_0^+|_{C_{\eta, \infty}} &= (\beta_0^+)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= \delta s_0^+, & s_1 &= s_0 + s_\infty, \\ s_\lambda &= s_0(1 - \lambda) + s_1 \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (Z(s_\lambda), e_1, e_2, \dots, 2_N, g) \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta), \\ c_0^+ &= (Z(s_0^+), e_1|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V} \times 0}^N}, e_2, \dots, 2_N, \text{val}_0) \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta - Z), \\ c_1 &= (Z(s_1), s_1/t_\infty^d, e_2, \dots, 2_N, \text{val}_1) \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta - Z), \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^N)$ is a lifting of $s_\lambda/t_\infty^d \in \mathcal{O}(C_\eta \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, the map $g: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^N)_{Z(s_\lambda)}^h \rightarrow X_\eta$ is a lifting of the map

$$Z(s_\lambda) \rightarrow C_\eta \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow C_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta,$$

and the maps $g_0: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{Z(s_0^+)}^h \rightarrow X_\eta - Z$ and $\text{val}_1: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{Z(s_1)}^h \rightarrow X_\eta - Z$ are induced by g , because $s_0^+|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \delta^{-1} \gamma_0$ and $s_1|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \gamma_1$ are invertible.

Then

$$c \circ i_0 = \text{can}' + j \circ c_0^+, \quad c \circ i_1 = j \circ c_1,$$

where $\text{can}' = (\Delta(\mathcal{V}), e_1, e_2, \dots, 2_N, g)$ equals $\sigma_D^N \text{can}$, and $D \in \text{GL}_N(\mathcal{V})$ is defined by the differentials of functions e_i .

Then

$$s_\lambda|_{C_{\eta, \infty} \times \mathbb{A}^1} = \delta|_{C_{\eta, \infty}} (\beta_0^+)^d$$

is an invertible section. Hence $Z(s_\lambda)$ is finite over $X_{(x)} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and is contained in C_η .

$$s_\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z} \times \infty} = s_\infty|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \gamma_\infty$$

is invertible, it follows that $Z(s_\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1})$ is contained in $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and finite over $X_{(x)}$. Hence

$$c^{-1}(Z) = p(g_{Z(s_\lambda)}^{-1}(Z)) = p(Z(s_\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1}))$$

is finite over \mathcal{V} , where $p: Z(s_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is a finite morphism induced by the projection $C_\eta \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

2) Consider the case of an arbitrary residue field $k = \mathcal{O}_z(z)$ at z in B .

Let $q_0, q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

$$(q_0, q_1) = 1, \quad (q_0, \text{char } k) = 1, \quad (q_2, \text{char } k) = 1.$$

Define the polynomials

$$f_i = t^{q_i-1} + t^{q_i-2} + \dots + 1 = (t^{q_i} - 1)/(t - 1) \in R[t], R = \mathcal{O}_B(B).$$

Then f_0 and f_1 are separable over the closed point z . Denote by $B_i = Z(f_i)$ the closed subschemes in \mathbb{A}_B^1 , and let $p_i: B_i \rightarrow B$ denote the canonical projections. Then p_i are finite étale morphisms of schemes. Define

$$e_i = (Z(f_i) \times_B \mathcal{V}, f_i, r_i) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathcal{V}, B_i \times_B \mathcal{V})$$

and $c = c^{B_0} \circ e_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} + c^{B_1} \circ e_1^{\mathbb{A}^1} \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_{2+N}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c \circ i_0 &= c_0^{B_0} \circ e_0 \circ (b_0)_\varepsilon - c_0^{B_1} \circ e_1 \circ (b_1)_\varepsilon = \\ &= \sigma^N \text{can}^{B_0} \circ e_0 \circ (b_0)_\varepsilon - \sigma^N \text{can}^{B_1} \circ e_1 \circ (b_1)_\varepsilon = \\ &= \sigma^N \text{can} \circ (p_0 \circ e_0 \circ (b_0)_\varepsilon - p_1 \circ e_1 \circ (b_1)_\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $p_i^\mathcal{V}: B_i \times_B \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Finally, since by Lemma C.1 $[p_i \circ e_i] = [(q_i)_\varepsilon] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\text{F}}_1(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$, it follows by Lemma C.2 that

$$[c \circ i_0] = [\sigma^{2+N} \text{can}] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\text{F}}_{2+N}(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta).$$

□

Proposition 7.20. *Let B be one-dimensional irreducible local scheme, with the closed point $z \in B$ and the generic point $\eta \in B$. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_B$, $x \in X \times_B z$.*

Denote $\mathcal{V} = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$. Then for any closed subscheme Z in $X \times_B \eta$, there are framed correspondences $c \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta)$, $c' \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta - Z)$ such that

- (1) $c \circ i_0 = \sigma_\mathcal{V}^N \text{can}_\eta$, $c \circ i_1 = j \circ c'$, where $i_0, i_1: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ are the zero and unit sections, $\text{can}_\eta: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow X_\eta$ is the canonical morphism, $j: X_\eta - Z \rightarrow X_\eta$ is the open immersion,
- (2) $c^{-1}(Z)$ is finite over \mathcal{V} .

Proof. The claim follows by the consequent use of Proposition 7.18 and lemma 7.19. □

Theorem 7.21. *Let X be a smooth scheme over a one-dimensional irreducible base scheme B . Let $x \in X$ be a point over a closed point $z \in B$. Let F be an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant quasi-stable framed presheaf of abelian groups over the generic point $\eta \in B$. Then*

$$\underline{H}^l(X_x \times_B \eta, F) \cong 0$$

Proof. The claim follows by the combination of Corollary 6.3 with Proposition 7.20. □

8. STRICT HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE

In this section, we combine Theorem 5.11 and Theorem 7.21 on cohomologies out and at the infinity on \mathbb{A}_V^1 for an essentially smooth local henselian k -schemes V , to prove the strict homotopy invariance theorem over the field k . Let F be a quasi-stable homotopy invariant framed additive presheaf of abelian groups over k .

Theorem 8.1. *Let V be a local henselian essentially smooth scheme over k . Then*

$$\begin{cases} H_{\text{nis}}^0(V \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) = F(V \times \mathbb{A}^1), \\ H_{\text{nis}}^i(V \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) = 0, i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The morphism of sites given by the embedding of the topology Fin_S on $\text{Sm}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times V}$ into the Nisnevich topology leads to the spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{Fin}_V}^p(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, H_{\text{nis}}^q(F)_{\text{Fin}_V}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^r(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F_{\text{nis}}), r = p + q, \quad (8.2)$$

where $H_{\text{nis}}^*(F)_{\text{Fin}_V}$ denotes the sheafification with respect to Fin_V of the presheaf $H_{\text{nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{nis}})$. By Lemma 4.5 the topology Fin_V has enough set of points given by schemes of the forms

$$U_v^h, U \times_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times V} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times V)_{(\infty, z)}$$

for $v \in U$, and where z is the closed point of V . Since the schemes U_v^h are Nisnevich points, $\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(U_v^h, F) \cong 0$. By Theorem 7.21

$$\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(U \times_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times V} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times V)_{(\infty, z)}, F) \simeq 0.$$

Combining the latter two equivalences we conclude $\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(F)_{\text{Fin}_V} \cong 0$, and consequently

$$H_{\text{nis}}^*(F)_{\text{Fin}_V} \cong F_{\text{Fin}_V}.$$

Thus (8.2) implies

$$H_{\text{Fin}_V}^p(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F_{\text{Fin}_V}) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^p(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F_{\text{nis}});$$

and the claim of the theorem follows, since $\underline{H}_{\text{Fin}_V}^*(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F) \cong 0$ by Theorem 5.11. \square

Let us reformulate the result in the following standard form.

Theorem 8.3. *The presheaves $h_{\text{nis}}^i(F) = H_{\text{nis}}^i(-, F)$, $i \geq 0$, on the category Sm_k are \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant.*

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_k$. The claim is to prove that

$$H_{\text{nis}}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \simeq H_{\text{nis}}^i(X, F), i \geq 0. \quad (8.4)$$

Consider the morphism of small étale sites

$$p: \text{Et}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}} \rightarrow \text{Et}_X^{\text{nis}},$$

and consider the restrictions of F on the mentioned sites. It follows by Theorem 8.1 that

$$Rp_*^i(F) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0, Rp_*(F) = F,$$

where $Rp_*^i(F)$ denotes the higher direct image of F along p . Then the spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{nis}}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \Leftarrow H_{\text{nis}}^p(X, Rp_*^{i-p}(F))$$

implies (8.4). \square

Corollary 8.5. *The canonical morphism induces the isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{nis}}^l(X, F_{\text{nis}}) \cong H_{\text{zar}}^l(X, F_{\text{zar}})$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $X \in \text{Sm}_k$.

Proof. We repeat the argument from [70]. By Theorem 8.3 the presheaves $H_{\text{nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{nis}})$ are \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, hence by [47, Theorem 3.11] the canonical morphism $H_{\text{nis}}^*(U, F_{\text{nis}}) \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^*(\eta, F_{\text{nis}})$ is injective for any essentially smooth local scheme U with the generic point η . Hence the presheaf $\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{nis}})$ is Zariski locally trivial. Then because of the spectral sequence $H_{\text{zar}}^p(X, H_{\text{nis}}^q(-, F_{\text{nis}})_{\text{zar}}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^{p+q}(X, F_{\text{nis}})$ the claim follows. \square

Corollary 8.6. *The canonical projection induces the isomorphism $H_{\text{zar}}^l(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, F_{\text{zar}}) \simeq H_{\text{zar}}^l(X, F_{\text{zar}})$ for all $X \in \text{Sm}_k$.*

Proof. The claim follows by Corollary 8.5 and Theorem 8.3. \square

9. MOTIVES OVER NON-PERFECT FIELDS

Theorem 8.3 extends the theory of framed motives [1, 8, 20, 21, 32, 33, 38, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50] to the case of a non-perfect base field, because the perfectness assumption was required only in the argument for the strict homotopy invariance theorem [47, Theorem 1.1, §17]. Thus all the results in the above works hold for non-perfect fields by Theorem 8.1. Moreover, the strict homotopy invariance result applies to correspondences categories that admit a functor from the category of framed motives such as the original Voevodsky's correspondences $\text{Cor}(k)$, K_0 and K -correspondences [49, 69, 78], Witt and Grothendieck-Witt correspondences [22, 24, 29], Milnor-Witt correspondences [7, 12, 17, 18, 42, 55], cohomological correspondences motives $\text{Cor}^A(k)$ [30], and others. In particular, this provides the localisation and embedding theorems for $\mathbf{DM}(k)$, and mentioned generalisations or modifications.

In this section, we start with brief retell on Garkusha-Panin theory of framed motives to demonstrate the role of the strict homotopy invariance theorem, Theorem 8.1. The detailed argument is written in Sections 9.2 and 9.3 for a technically easier context of an abstract ∞ -categories of correspondences that admit a functor from the graded category of framed correspondences $\text{Fr}_*(k)$. The axiomatic setting applies to the ∞ -category $\text{Corr}^{\text{fr}}(k)$ and the version of the theory of framed motivic spaces and spectra by E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, M. Yakerson in [38] by using of the cancellation theorem, Theorem 9.2. Simultaneously this covers other examples of more special types of transfers listed above. Section 9.4 summarises results on the homotopy t -structure hearts of the mentioned motivic categories.

9.1. Garkusha-Panin's framed motives. The initial generality of [46] was an infinite perfect field k of characteristic different from 2. All the assumptions appeared because of the strict homotopy invariance theorem from [47]. The proof of the surjectivity of the étale excision in [33] eliminated the assumption $\text{char } k \neq 2$. The argument that deduced results for finite base fields from the case infinite base field case was initially presented in a preliminary form in the appendix of the arXiv version of [20] and later moved to [32], where it was combined with results that extended the generality of Neshitov's proof from [63] to cover the case of odd finite characteristic perfect fields. So the generality of the theory [46] at the moment is an arbitrary perfect base field.

We retell some main ingredients used for the proof of results of [46, 48, 50] summarised in Theorem F from Section 2.2. We refer the reader to the sources for the proofs, we present this retell to demonstrate the role of Theorem 8.1 and convince the reader that it is enough.

Recall that $\text{Fr}_+(k)$ for a base field k denotes the graded category of framed correspondences [46, Definition 2.3], and let $\text{Fr}(-, X) = \varinjlim_l \text{Fr}_l(-, X)$ be the stable framed correspondences presheaves [46, Definition 2..8]. Let Δ_k^\bullet denote the co-simplicial scheme given by affine simplices Δ_k^l , and let $(-)_f$ denote the fibrant replacement within the injective Nisnevich local model structure on the category of simplicial Nisnevich sheaves over k . The main results of the first article [46, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 11.7] that play key role for [48, 50] provide the constructions of the stable motivic equivalences

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty Y_+ \simeq \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_T^\infty Y_+)_f, \quad \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^1}^\infty \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y_+ \simeq \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^1}^\infty \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y_+)_f$$

such that the right sides are stably motivically fibrant \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum and bi-spectrum respectively for any Nisnevich locally connected simplicial smooth scheme Y over the base field k , and have this property in positive degrees with respect to \mathbb{P}^1 or S^1 for any $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$.

The additivity theorem or in other words S^1 -cancellation theorem provided by [46, Theorem 6.5] claims that the Segal Γ -space $K \mapsto \text{Fr}(\Delta_B^\bullet \times -, K \otimes Y)$, where $K \mapsto \Gamma^{\text{op}}$, is special. Consequently, the S^1 -spectrum of presheaves $\text{Fr}(\Delta_B^\bullet \times -, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y_+)_f$ is a fibrant Ω_{S^1} -spectrum in positive degrees within Nisnevich local model structure for any $Y \in \text{Sm}_B$ and is a fibrant Ω_{S^1} -spectrum in all degrees for Nisnevich locally connected simplicial scheme Y . The original argument does not

require assumptions on the base scheme B , see [20, Appnedix B] for more details. Then the strict homotopy invariance theorem Theorem 8.3 provides the following fibrancy property.

Theorem 9.1 (S^1 -spectra form of the strict homotopy invariance). *The S^1 -spectrum*

$$M_{\text{fr}}(Y)_f = \text{Fr}(\Delta_k^\bullet \times -, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y)$$

is fibrant within stable motivic model structure on S^1 -spectra over a field k for a Nisnevich locally connected simplicial scheme Y .

Proof. The claim follows by the argument of [46, Corollary 7.5]. \square

The latter S^1 -spectrum is called *framed motive* of Y . Next, we formulate the cancellation theorem for framed motives.

Theorem 9.2 (Cancellation). *The canonical morphism of S^1 -spectra of pointed simplicial presheaves over a field k*

$$\text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m} \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y)$$

is a Nisnevich local equivalence in positive degrees.

Proof. The claim in other terms is the Nisnevich local equivalence of S^1 -spectra $M_{\text{fr}}(Y_+)_f \rightarrow M_{\text{fr}}(Y_+ \wedge \mathbb{G}_m)_f(X_+ \wedge \mathbb{G}_m)$, where the right side is defined in view of the left Kan extension of the functor $M_{\text{fr}}(Y_+ \wedge \mathbb{G}_m)_f$ from Sm_k to simplicial schemes. The claim follows word by word by the arguments of [1] with the use of the injectivity theorem [47, Theorem 3.11] that holds for an arbitrary field, and Theorem 8.3. \square

The above set of results implies the fibrancy of the right side (s,t)-bispectrum in (9.1). The claim for \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum follows with the use of also one result called cone theorem [45]. The latter theorem claims the canonical stable motivic equivalence

$$\text{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X \times \mathbb{A}^1) / \text{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X \times \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \text{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X_+ \wedge T), \quad (9.3)$$

where $T = (\mathbb{A}^1 / \mathbb{G}_m)$. The claim follows by the arguments of [45] with the use of Theorem 9.1 and Theorem 9.2. Alternatively, we can combine the case of perfect fields with the published article [20] that states the result for the space X/U for smooth affine X and open subscheme U over an infinite field.

The fibrancy of the right sides in (9.1) is proven. The stable motivic equivalences (9.1) follow due to Voevodsky's lemma [46, §3] the equivalence (9.3) and the machinery of symmetric spectra.

References for the proof of Theorem F from Section 2.2. The first equivalence in the point (1) of the theorem follows by the argument of [46, Theorem 4.1] presented in [46, §9] with the use of Theorem 9.1 and Theorem 9.2 and the equivalence (9.3) in combination with Voevodsky's lemma [46, §3]. The second equivalence follows by the arguments of [46, Theorem 11.4], and other results of [46, §11].

Point (2) follows by the arguments of [48] and [50] with the use of point (1). Point (3) is a consequence of (1). \square

Remark 9.4. The results of the works [44] and [21], in particular, extends as well.

9.2. Additive ∞ -categories of correspondences. We define in the subsection some axiomatic formalism, that is used to formulate the results. The defined formalism is more special in comparison with the one introduced in [34].

Definition 9.5. A *correspondences category* Corr is an additive ∞ -category equipped with a monoidal functor

$$\text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \text{Func}(\text{Corr}, \text{Corr}); X \mapsto - \times X, \quad (9.6)$$

and an object $\text{pt}_k \in \text{Corr}$ such that the functor

$$e: \text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \text{Corr}; X \mapsto \text{pt}_k \times X. \quad (9.7)$$

is essentially surjective on objects. Denote by $h_{\text{tr}}(X) \in \text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$ the representable presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Corr}(U, X)$. We sometimes denote $e(X)$ by X , and $e_* h_{\text{tr}}(X) \in \text{Pre}(\text{Sm}_k)$ by $h_{\text{tr}}(X)$. Also we use notation $\text{Pre}^{\text{tr}}(\text{Sm}_S) = \text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$.

Notation 9.8. Denote by $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$ the category of additive functors $\text{Corr} \rightarrow R$ for a presentable ∞ -category R . Denote by $\text{HI}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$ the subcategory spanned by \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant objects, and by $\text{Shv}_{\tau}^R(\text{Corr})$ the subcategory of τ -sheaves for a topology τ on Sm_k . For the case of $R = \mathbf{SH}$, that is the ∞ -category of S^1 -spectra, we write $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$. For R being the ∞ -category of simplicial sets, we omit the superscript and write $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$.

Definition 9.9. For any object $X \in \text{Sm}_S$, the endofunctor $- \times X$ on Corr induces the inverse and direct image endofunctors on $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$ that we denote $F \mapsto F \times X$, and $F \mapsto F^X = F(- \times X)$. Moreover, given a diagram of schemes $\mathcal{X}: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Sm}_S$, we define the endofunctors $- \times \mathcal{X}$ and $(-)^{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$ as the colimits of the diagrams that are composite functors for the sequences

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{Func}(\text{Corr}, \text{Corr}) \rightrightarrows \text{Func}(\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr}), \text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})),$$

where the latter arrows take a functor to the inverse image and direct image respectively.

In particular, there are functors $F^{\mathbb{A}^1} = F(- \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, $F^{\Delta_k^{\bullet}} = F(- \times \Delta_k^{\bullet})$; the endofunctor $- \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$ we denote also by $- \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$, and by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$. We use notation $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F = F(- \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) = F^{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$. Define the ∞ -category $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] = \text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})[(- \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})^{-1}]$, and similarly for ∞ -categories $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})$.

Definition 9.10. Define $\mathbf{H}_{\tau}^R(\text{Corr}) = \text{Shv}_{\tau}^R(\text{Corr}) \cap \text{HI}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$. Define $\mathbf{SH}_{\tau}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}) = \mathbf{H}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{SH}}(\text{Corr})$, and $\mathbf{SH}_{\tau}(\text{Corr}) = \mathbf{SH}_{\tau}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$, where $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}$ means the inverting of the suspension endofunctor $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$ on $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$. We omit the subscript for the case $\tau = \text{nis}$.

Definition 9.11. Define the following properties of correspondences categories Corr as above:

- (Fr) Functor (9.6) lifts to a functor $\text{Fr}_*(k) \rightarrow \text{Corr}$ such that for any $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, $\sigma_X \sim \text{id}_X \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{Corr}}(X, X)$, where $\text{Fr}_*(k)$ denotes the ∞ -category that is the nerve of the classical one.
- (NT) For any Nisnevich covering $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, the canonical embedding morphism

$$h_{\text{tr}}^{\tilde{X}}(X) \rightarrow h_{\text{tr}}(X)$$

is a Nisnevich local equivalence, where $h_{\text{tr}}^{\tilde{X}}(X)$ denotes the subsheaf that is the image in the set-theoretic sense of the morphism $h_{\text{tr}}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow h_{\text{tr}}(X)$.

- (NC) The natural transformation $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$ in $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ is an equivalence.

Example 9.12. The additivisation of the pre-additive ∞ -category of tangentially framed correspondences Corr^{fr} introduced by E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, M. Yakerson is a correspondences category. As shown in [38] it satisfies (Fr) and (NL) for any base scheme. (NC) holds over fields, that follows by Theorem 9.2.

Example 9.13. The category of cohomological correspondences Cor^A , that is defined in [30] for an abstract axiomatic SL-orientable cohomology theory on Sm_k like cohomology theories introduced by Panin and Smirnov in [65]. Recall that $c \in \text{Cor}^A$ is given by a class in $A_Z^{\dim X}(U \times_k X)$ for some closed subscheme Z finite over X .

The property (Fr) is proven in [30]. To check (NC) we use that standard argument. We have to show that for any c as above, and U local henselian, and a Nisnevich covering $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, there is a lifting \tilde{c} along the morphism $\text{Cor}^A(U, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^A(U, X)$. Since Z is finite over local henselian U , the connected components of Z are local henselian, so without loss of generality, we can assume that Z is local henselian. Hence Z admits a lifting along the Nisnevich covering $U \times_k \tilde{X} \rightarrow U \times_k X$. So the claim follows, because $A_Z^{\dim X}(U \times_k \tilde{X}) \cong A_Z^{\dim X}(U \times_k X)$.

To show (NC) we note that the cancellation theorem [30, Theorem 9.0.17] implies the equivalence of endofunctors $\text{Id} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}^{\text{pre}} \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$ on $\text{HI}_{\Sigma}^{S^1}(\text{Cor}^A(\text{SmAff}_k))$ and the claim holds because by Lemma 9.20 it follows that $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}^{\text{pre}} F$ for any $F \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Cor}^A(k))$.

Example 9.14. Voevodsky's correspondences Cor category is Cor^A from Example 9.13 for A being Chow groups.

Example 9.15. Milnor-Witt correspondences $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}$ category is Cor^A from Example 9.13 for A being Chow-Witt groups.

Let us explain what do we mean under the theory of Chow-Witt groups over a field k . The theory of Chow-Witt groups for perfect fields of characteristic different from 2 is developed in [40, 41] by J. Fasel. The case of an arbitrary perfect field is provided by N. Feld's work [43] as the theory associated with the Milnor-Witt cycle module K^{MW} over k . The theory of Chow-Witt groups on Sm_k over a field k induces by the continuity the theory on the category of essentially smooth scheme over k . Consequently, this defines a theory over any base scheme that is an essentially smooth scheme over k . In particular, this defines a theory over any field k , since any field k is an inductive limit of a finitely generated field over a finite field, which is perfect.

9.3. Geometric motives and the stable motivic localisation. Let Corr be an additive ∞ -category of correspondences Corr , and R be a presentable ∞ -category.

Definition 9.16. Define $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$ as the localisation of the ∞ -category $\Delta\text{Corr} = \text{Func}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Corr})$ with respect to morphisms of the form

$$\text{cofib}((X_0 \cap X_1) \rightarrow (X_0 \amalg X_1)) \rightarrow X, \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X,$$

$X \in \text{Sm}_k$, $X_0 \amalg X_1 \rightarrow X$ is a Zariski covering, and $\check{C}_X(\check{X})$ is the Čech simplicial scheme.

Remark 9.17. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}^{\text{SH}}(\text{Cor}(k)) \simeq \text{Stab } \mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Cor}(k))$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{geom}}(k)$ form [77].

Lemma 9.18. *Suppose that Corr satisfies (NL), then $L_{\text{nis}}e_* \simeq e_*L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$, where $e_*: \text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$ denotes the canonical functor. In particular, the category $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ is an abelian classical category.*

Proof. The argument is contained in [38]. By the construction and definition of $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})$ the functor e_* restricts to $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$. By (NL) the functor e_* is Nisnevich exact. Hence for any $F \in \text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$, $e_*L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Sm}_k)$, and the morphism $e_*F \rightarrow e_*L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$ is a Nisnevich local equivalence. The first claim follows. The second claim follows because (1) the category is an additive classical category, (2) the kernel functor \ker^{tr} in $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ is given by the restriction of the kernel \ker^{tr} in $\text{Pre}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$, and (3) the cokernel coker^{tr} in $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ is given by $\text{coker}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}(f) = L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} \text{coker}^{\text{tr}}$. \square

Definition 9.19. We say that a scheme $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ satisfies (NHC), if for each $E \in \text{HI}_{\Sigma}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$, $H_{\text{nis}}^l(X \times U, E_{\text{nis}}) = 0$, if $l > 0$, and $H_{\text{nis}}^0(X \times U, E_{\text{nis}}) = E_{\text{nis}}(X \times U)$ for any essentially smooth local henselian U , where E_{nis} denotes the associated Nisnevich sheaf on Sm_k .

Lemma 9.20. *(Fr) implies (NHC) for all open subsets of \mathbb{A}_k^1 .*

Proof. Let $F \in \text{HI}_{\Sigma}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$, and F_{nis} be the associated Nisnevich sheaf on Sm_k . (NHC) for \mathbb{A}_k^1 follows by Theorem 8.1. To prove the general case note firstly that by Theorem 8.1 and [47, Theorem 3.9] $H_{\text{nis}}^l(V, F_{\text{nis}}) = 0$, $l > 0$, $H_{\text{nis}}^0(V, F_{\text{nis}}) = F(V)$ for any open subset V of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Then by Theorem 8.1 again and [47, Theorem 3.11] the claim follows, since $H_{\text{nis}}^l(V \times U, F_{\text{nis}})$ maps injectively to $H_{\text{nis}}^l(V \times \eta, F_{\text{nis}})$, where η is the generic point of a local henselian essentially smooth U . \square

Lemma 9.21. *Let $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$. Let $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ satisfy (NHC). The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the canonical morphism $F \rightarrow F(- \times X)$ is an equivalence in $\text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$,*
- (2) *the canonical morphism of presheaves $\pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F)(- \times X)$ is a Nisnevich local isomorphism for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,*
- (3) *the canonical morphism of the Nisnevich sheaves $\pi_i^{\text{shv}}(F) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\text{shv}}(F)(- \times X)$ is an isomorphism for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since (1) means that $\pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F)(-) \rightarrow \pi^{\text{pre}}(F)(- \times X)$ is an isomorphism for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, it implies (2). Conversely, (2) means that the canonical morphism $F(-) \rightarrow F(- \times X)$ in $\text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$ is a Nisnevich local equivalence, and since both presheaves F and $F(- \times X)$ are contained in $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Corr})$, it follows that $F(-) \simeq F(- \times X)$ in $\text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$. So (2) implies (1). To prove the equivalence of (2) and (3) for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and essentially smooth local henselian U , consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F)(U) & \longrightarrow & \pi^{\text{pre}}(F)(U \times X) \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \pi_i^{\text{shv}}(F)(U) & \longrightarrow & \pi^{\text{shv}}(F)(U \times X) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Theorem 8.1. Since (2) and (3) mean respectively that the first and the second horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, the claim follows. \square

Corollary 9.22. *Given Corr such that \mathbb{A}_k^1 satisfies (NHC), an object $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Corr})$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if and only if the associated Nisnevich sheaves $\pi_i^{\text{shv}} F$ are \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*

Proof. The claim follows by the equivalence of (1) and (3) in Lemma 9.21. \square

Note that for each $F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$, $\pi_i^{\text{shv}} F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}(\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}))$.

Corollary 9.23. *Given Corr such that (NHC) holds for \mathbb{G}_m , then $F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ is in $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$ if and only if for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_i^{\text{shv}} F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}(\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}))$ is in $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}]$.*

Proof. The claim follows by the equivalence of (1) and (3) in Lemma 9.21 applied to $X = \mathbb{G}_m$ and $X = \text{pt}_k \in \text{Sm}_k$. \square

Corollary 9.24. *Given Corr such that \mathbb{A}_k^1 satisfies (NHC), for any \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant $F \in \text{Pre}_{\text{gp}, \Sigma}(\text{Corr})$, the sheaf $L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Corr})$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.*

Proof. The claim follows by the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Lemma 9.21, and because the morphisms $\pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\text{pre}}(L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} F)$ are Nisnevich local equivalences by Lemma 9.18. \square

Theorem 9.25. *Given a correspondences category Corr , then*

(1) *if (Fr) holds, there is the canonical equivalence $L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{tr}} \simeq L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}$ of the endofunctors on $\text{Pre}_{\text{gp}, \Sigma}(\text{Corr})$.*

(2) *if (Fr) and (NL) hold, then for any $F \in \text{Pre}_{\text{gp}, \Sigma}(\text{Corr})$, there is the canonical equivalence $L_{\text{mot}} e_* F \simeq L_{\text{nis}} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \gamma_* F$, where $e_* : \text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \text{Pre}(\text{Sm}_k)$ denotes the canonical functor.*

(3) *if (Fr), and (NL), and (NC) hold, the canonical functors*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$$

are fully faithful.

Proof. By (Fr) and Theorem 8.1 $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ satisfies (NHC). Then (1) follows immediate from Lemma 9.20, Corollary 9.24. (2) follows by Lemma 9.18. (NC) implies that the second arrow in (3) is fully faithful. The functor $\Delta \text{Corr} \rightarrow \text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$ is fully faithful, and consequently the composite $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{zar}}(\text{Corr}) = \text{HI}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr}) \cap \text{Shv}_{\text{zar}}(\text{Corr})$ too. To conclude point (3) we use that $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \mathbf{SH}_{\text{zar}}(\text{Corr})$ by [34]. \square

9.4. Harts of homotopy t-structures. Given a stable ∞ -category R , and a correspondences category Corr , a t-structure on R induces the ones on the stable ∞ -categories $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})$, $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$ that we call homotopy t-structures.

Given a stable ∞ -category R , and a correspondences category Corr , a t-structure on R induces the ones on the stable ∞ -categories $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})$, $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$ that we call homotopy t-structures and denote $\tau^{\text{tr}, \text{pre}}$, τ^{pre} . By Lemma D.4 there is the t-structure $\tau^{\text{shv}} = L_{\text{nis}}(\tau^{\text{pre}})$ on $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$, since L_{nis} preserves $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)_{<0}$. Moreover, by Lemma 9.18 it follows that if (NisTr) holds, then $L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$ preserves $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})_{<0}$. Then by Lemma D.4 there is the t-structure $\tau^{\text{tr}, \text{shv}} = L_{\text{nis}}(\tau^{\text{tr}, \text{pre}})$. Summarising we get the following lemma.

Lemma 9.26. *Given Corr that satisfy (NisTr) , and a stable ∞ -category R with filtered limits and equipped with a t -structure, then there is a t -structure τ on $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})$ such that (1) $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ if and only if the stalks of F at local henseleian essentially smooth schemes are in $R_{\geq 0}$ (2) the heart of τ is $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{R^\heartsuit}(\text{Corr})$*

Proof. By the above define $\tau = L_{\text{nis}}(\tau^{\text{tr,pre}})$ in sense of Lemma D.4. Then (1) follows by Lemma 9.18, and (D.4). (2) follows by Lemma D.4, since $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{R^\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) = L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}(\text{Pre}^{R^\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}))$. \square

Proposition 9.27. (1) *The homotopy t -structure on $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ induces the t -structure on $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} &= \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}), \\ \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} &= \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}), \end{aligned} \quad (9.28)$$

and the truncation functor are induced by the restriction. Moreover, for any $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$, the image $L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{tr}}F \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ is contained in $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$. (2) The levelwise homotopy t -structure on $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ induces the homotopy t -structure on $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} &= \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}], \\ \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} &= \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.29)$$

and the truncation functor are induced by the restriction. Suppose Corr satisfies (Fr) , then for any $F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$, the image $L_{\text{smot}}^{\text{tr}}F \in \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$ is contained in $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. By Corollary 9.22 and Corollary 9.23 the homotopy t -structure truncation functors on $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ and on $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ induces by the restriction a t -structures truncation functors on $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ and $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$. The induced t -structures are the homotopy t -structures, because the first equalities of (9.28), (9.29) hold by the definition of the homotopy t -structures.

To prove the second claim in point (1) for the given $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ consider the truncation $F_{\geq 0}$ with respect to the homotopy t -structure on $\text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$. Then $F \rightarrow F_{\geq 0}$ is a Nisnevich local equivalence. By Theorem 8.1 in view of [28, Lemma 25] the functor $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}$ preserves the Nisnevich local equivalences. Hence $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F_{\geq 0}$ is a Nisnevich local equivalence. Then since $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F_{\geq 0} \in \text{Pre}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$, $L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F \simeq L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F_{\geq 0} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$, so the claim is done by Theorem 9.25(1,2). The claim in (2) follows similarly because $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$ preserves the Nisnevich local equivalences on $\text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$, since \mathbb{G}_m satisfies (HNC) by [28] because Corr satisfies (Fr) . \square

The homotopy t -structure on $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ is the t -structure such that $F \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ whenever $F(X_x^h) \in \mathbf{SH}_{\geq 0}$ for all $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ and $x \in X$. The homotopy t -structure on $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ is the t -structure such that $F \in \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ whenever $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^\infty(\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m}^t F)(X_x^h) \in \mathbf{SH}_{\geq 0}$ for all $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, $x \in X$, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 9.30. *Given correspondences category Corr that satisfies (Fr) , (NisTr) , and (NisCanc) , the following holds:*

(1) $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1, \heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$, where the latter category denotes the subcategory of the abelian category $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ spanned by \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant objects.

(2) $\mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$, and the latter category is the abelian category with the objects given by sequences $(F_l \in \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}), l \in \mathbb{Z})$, equipped with isomorphisms $F_l \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F_{l+1}$.

Proof. The claim follows by the argument like in [14] for $\mathbf{DM}(k)$, see also [9] for the framed correspondences case with the use of [32, 33, 47]. We presented details for the ∞ -categorical revision of the argument in the arXiv:2108.01006 version of the text, we skip them here to economy the space and because the deduction of the theorem from the results of the previous section is standard and does not depend on the definition of the correspondences category and properties of the base field or scheme. \square

Consider the additive category $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$ that is the additivisation of $\mathrm{Fr}_+(k)$ in sense of Definition 3.6. Denote by $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$ the classical additive category that is the homotopy category of $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{tfr},\mathrm{gp}}(k)$. So the representable presheaves are

$$h^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(X)(U) = \pi_0(h^{\mathrm{tfr},\mathrm{gp}}(X)(U)).$$

Define the quotient categories $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(k)$, $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k)$ and $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$ of the classical additive categories $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$, $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(k)$ and $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$ with respect to the relations $s \circ \mathrm{pr} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times X}$, where $\mathrm{pr}: \mathbb{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$, and $s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$ is the zero section. Then

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(X)(U) = \pi_0(h^{\mathrm{tfr},\mathrm{gp}}(X)(U \times \Delta_k^\bullet)).$$

There is the sequence of functors of classical categories

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$$

because the homotopy classes of the mages of σ_X and id_X in the mapping space the functor $\mathrm{Corr}_k^{\mathrm{tfr}}(X, X)$ with respect to the functor $\mathrm{Fr}_+(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{tfr}}(k)$ are equal.

Lemma 9.31. $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(-, X) \simeq \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(X)(-)$.

Proof. By [38, Corollaries 2.3.20, 2.3.25] the morphism $\mathrm{Fr}(- \times \Delta^\bullet, X) \rightarrow h^{\mathrm{tfr}}(X)(- \times \Delta^\bullet)$ is an equivalence on affine schemes. Hence $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \times \Delta^\bullet, X) \simeq h^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(X)(- \times \Delta^\bullet)$ on affine schemes, and

$$\pi_0(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \times \Delta^\bullet, X)) \simeq \pi_0(h^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(X)(- \times \Delta^\bullet)).$$

□

Lemma 9.32. $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\mathrm{ZF}}(X)(-) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_k(-, X)$.

Proof. Consider the representable presheaves in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(k)$. The presheaf $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\mathrm{ZF}}(X)(-)$ is the quotient presheaf of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(-, X)$ with respect to the relations

$$c = c \circ \sigma_U \text{ for each } c \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(U, X). \quad (9.33)$$

The presheaf $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_k(-, X)$ is the quotient presheaf of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(-, X)$ with respect to the relations

$$c = \sigma_X \circ c \text{ for each } c \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(U, X). \quad (9.34)$$

Relations (9.33) and (9.34) are equivalent up to the naive \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy equivalence because $\pi_0(\mathrm{SL}_n(\Delta_k^\bullet))$ is trivial for any field k . □

Lemma 9.35. *There are equivalences of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{SmAff}_k) &\simeq \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(\mathrm{SmAff}_k), \\ \mathrm{HI}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(\mathrm{SmAff}_k) &\simeq \mathrm{HI}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(\mathrm{SmAff}_k), \\ \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(k) &\simeq \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The functor $\mathrm{Fr}_+(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$ induces the functor $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$, that is an equivalence because the induced morphism of representable presheaves

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\mathrm{ZF}}(X)(-) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(-, X) \cong \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(X)(-)$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Pre}^{\mathrm{Ab}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$ by Lemma 9.32 and Lemma 9.32. Consequently, the categories of presheaves and Nisnevich sheaves on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{SmAff}_k)$ and $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(\mathrm{SmAff}_k)$ are equivalent. Finally, note that the categories of Nisnevich sheaves on the latter categories are equivalent to the ones on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k)$ and $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$. □

Corollary 9.36. *There is the equivalence $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(k)$.*

Proof. It follows by Theorem 9.30 applied to $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{tfr}}$ that $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)$. and the claim follows by Lemma 9.35. □

Corollary 9.37. *There is the equivalence $\mathbf{SH}^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}^{\mathrm{eff},\heartsuit}(k)$.*

Proof. Consider quotient category $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$ of the category $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$ with respect to the relations $s \circ \text{pr} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times X}$, where $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1_X \rightarrow X$, and $s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_X$ is the zero section. The functor $\widetilde{\text{ZF}}(k) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$ is an equivalence because it induces the isomorphism of representable presheaves by [2, Theorem 8.11]. Hence

$$\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{MW}}(k),$$

where $\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{MW}}(k) = \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k))$. By Theorem 9.30 applied to $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$ there is equivalence $\widetilde{\text{DM}}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{MW}}(k)$. The claim follows by Corollary 9.36. \square

Corollary 9.38. *The heart of the homotopy t -structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ is equivalent to the category of homotopy modules $\text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$.*

Proof. By Theorem 9.30 and Lemma 9.35 $\mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$.

Consider the functors

$$\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \xrightarrow{u} \text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \xrightarrow{\text{EM}} \mathbf{SH}(k) \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}],$$

where u is forgetful, EM takes $F = (F_l, l \in \mathbb{Z})$ to the \mathbb{G}_m -spectrum HF of Eilenberg-MacLane S^1 -spectra sheaves $HF_l \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k)$, $\tau(F) = (\pi_{l,l}(F), l \in \mathbb{Z})$. For any $F \in \text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}(k)$, the spectrum $L_{\text{nis}}HF$ is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant, and Nisnevich local. Hence $\pi_0 HF = F$. Consequently, for $F = (F_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}) \in \text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$,

$$\pi_{l,l} HF = \varinjlim_j \pi_{j,j}(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F_{l+j}) = \varinjlim_j \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F_{l+j} = F_l.$$

Thus

$$u\tau\text{EM} \simeq \text{id}_{\text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]}.$$

Since u is conservative, the functors u and τEM define equivalence

$$\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \simeq \text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}].$$

\square

10. COUSIN COMPLEX

In this section, we apply the results of Sections 7 and 8 deduce the result on Cousin complexes on the open complements of smooth divisors in essentially smooth schemes. We repeat the standard argument used in [73] for the case of local schemes.

Let Corr be a correspondences category that satisfies (Fr) and (NL), see Definitions 9.5 and 9.11. Let F be an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant additive functor $\text{Corr} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}$. For $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, and a closed subscheme Z in X , denote $E_Z^l(X) = \pi_{-l} \text{fib}(L_{\text{nis}}F(X) \rightarrow L_{\text{nis}}F(X - Z))$, where L_{nis} denote the associated Nisnevich local sheaf. Denote by $\text{Corr}^{\text{pair}}$ the subcategory in the category of arrows of Corr spanned by the morphisms induced by open immersions $X - Z \rightarrow X$ in Sm_k , then there is the functor

$$\text{Corr}^{\text{pair}} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}; ((X - Z) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow E_Z^l(X). \quad (10.1)$$

By Corollary 9.24 and Lemma 9.18 since Corr satisfies (Fr) and (NL), $L_{\text{nis}}F$ defines \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant functor $\text{Corr} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}$. Hence the presheaves (10.1) are \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant.

Lemma 10.2. *Let U be essentially smooth local scheme over k , and $V = U - Z(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $Z(f)$ is smooth. Then for any closed subscheme W of codimension r in V , the morphism*

$$E_W^l(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim}_V W' = r-1} E_{W'}^l(V)$$

is trivial for all l , where W' runs over the filtered set of closed subschemes of codimension $r - 1$.

Proof. For any $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, $x \in X$, and a closed subscheme Y such that $U = X_x$, $W = Y_x$, by [47, Theorem 2.11], if $Z(f) = \emptyset$, and Proposition 7.20, if $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$, there is

$$c' \in \text{ZF}_N(V, X - Y), c = (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi, g) \in \text{ZF}(V \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$$

such that $c \circ i_0 = \sigma_V^N \text{can}$, $c \circ i_1 = j \circ c'$, where $i_0, i_1: V \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is the zero and unit sections, $\text{can}: V \rightarrow X$ is the canonical morphism, $j: X - Y \rightarrow X$ is the open immersion. Denote by \widetilde{W} the image in V of the preimage of Y along the morphisms

$$V \leftarrow V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \leftarrow Z \xrightarrow{g} X,$$

then $\text{codim } \widetilde{W} \geq r - 1$. Since for each l , $E_{-}^l(-)$ defines an \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant functor $\text{Corr}^{\text{pair}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$, the morphisms $(j \circ c')^*, \text{can}^*: E_Y^l(X) \rightarrow E_{\widetilde{W}}^l(V)$ are equal. Since $(j \circ c')^* = 0$, it follows that $\text{can}^* = 0$. Hence the morphism

$$j^*: E_Y^l(X) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim } W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V)$$

is trivial. Since the latter claim holds for all X and Y as above, the morphism

$$j^*: E_W^l(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim } W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V)$$

is trivial.

The case of empty $Z(f)$ follows by the same argument with the use of the injectivity on local essentially smooth schemes [47, Theorem 3.11]. \square

Corollary 10.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 10.2, the short sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim } W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim } W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V - W) \rightarrow E_W^{l+1}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Recall that W is any closed subscheme of V , $\text{codim } W = r$.

Proof. \square

Theorem 10.4. *Let $F \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$, and $E_Z^l(X) = \pi_{-l} \text{fib}(F(X) \rightarrow F(X - Z))$. The Cousin complex*

$$0 \rightarrow E^l(V) \rightarrow E^l(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(1)}} E_x^{l+1}(V) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(r)}} E_x^{l+r}(V) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(d)}} E_x^{l+d}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for the scheme $V = U - Z(f)$ for an essentially smooth local scheme U of dimension d , and $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $Z(f)$ is smooth, where η denotes the generic point of V , in particular, the claim holds for $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ -representable cohomology theories.

Proof. Note that $E_x^l(V) = E_x^l(V_x)$ by the definition. It follows from Corollary 10.3 that the short sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim } W=r-1} E_W^{l+r-1}(V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(r-1)}} E_x^{l+r-1}(V_x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{codim } W=r} E_W^{l+r}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact. \square

APPENDIX A. RELATIVE DIMENSION OVER ONE-DIMENSIONAL BASE.

In this appendix, we prove some results on the relative dimension over one-dimensional local base schemes.

Proposition A.1. *Let X be an affine scheme such that $\dim X' \geq 1$ for each irreducible component X' of X . Then there is a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ such that $\text{codim}_{X'}(Z(f) \cap X') = 1$ for each X' as above.*

Proof. Since for each irreducible component $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(X')$, is not a field, it follows that there is a closed subscheme F of X that intersects and do not contain each irreducible component. Let X_2 be the closed subscheme in X that is the union of pairwise intersections of the irreducible components of X . Then X_2 and $X_2 \cup F$ do not contain any one irreducible component X' of X . Hence for any X' , there is a function $f_{X'} \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}(X')$ such that $f_{X'} \neq 0$, $f_{X'}|_{(X_2 \cup F) \cap X'} = 0$. Then combining the functions $f_{X'}$ for all irreducible components X' , we get the function X such that $Z(f) \cap X' \neq \emptyset$, and $f|_{X'} \neq 0$ for any irreducible component X' of X . Hence $Z(f)$ is of positive codimension in

X and intersect each irreducible component. Since for any function f , the codimension of $Z(f)$ is less or equal to one the claim is proven. \square

Proposition A.2. *Let B be a one-dimensional irreducible local scheme, $z \in B$ be the closed point, η be the generic point. Given a scheme X over B such that the schemes $X_z = X \times_B z$ and $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$ are non-empty, then X is equidimensional over B , i.e. $\dim X_z = \dim X_\eta$.*

Proof. Since any scheme has a Zariski covering by affine schemes, we can assume that X is affine and irreducible, and consequently, X_η is of such type. If $\dim X_z = 0$, then X is quasi-finite over B , and $\dim X_\eta = 0$ as well. Suppose $\dim X_z = d \geq 1$, and the claim is proven for all X such that $\dim X_z < d$.

By Proposition A.1 there is a regular function $f_z \in \mathcal{O}_{X_z}(X_z)$ such that $\text{codim}_{X_z} Z(f_z) = 1$. Since X is affine there is a lifting $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ of f_z . Then since X is irreducible, it follows that $\text{codim}_X Z(f) = 1$, and so $\text{codim}_X Z(f) = 1$. Moreover, since X is irreducible, it follows that X_η is dense in X , and X_η is irreducible too. Hence $f_\eta := f|_{X_\eta} \neq 0$. So $\text{codim}_{X_\eta} Z(f_\eta) \geq 1$, and $\text{codim}_{X_\eta} Z(f_\eta) = 1$.

Let X_1 denote the irreducible component of $Z(f)$. By the above

$$\text{codim}_{X_z}(X_1 \times_B z) = 1, \text{codim}_{X_\eta}(X_1 \times_B \eta) = 1.$$

Then X_1 is an irreducible affine scheme over B such that $X_1 \times_B z$ and $X_1 \times_B \eta$ are non-empty. By the inductive assumption $\dim(X_1 \times_B z) = \dim(X_1 \times_B \eta)$. Hence $\dim X_z = \dim X_\eta$. \square

APPENDIX B. SECTIONS OF LINE BUNDLES

In this section, we summarise some results on the lifting and existence of section of line bundles used in the text.

Lemma B.1. *For any semi-local affine scheme Z , any line bundle \mathcal{L} on Z is trivial. In particular, the claim holds for any Z finite scheme over a local scheme X .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{L} is a coherent sheaf on the affine scheme Z , there restriction homomorphism $\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L})$ is surjective, where F is the set of closed points of Z . Since F is a union of spectra of fields there is an invertible section $f \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L})$. Then any section $s \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L})$ such that $s|_F = f$ is invertible. \square

Lemma B.2. *Let X be a local scheme, and Z be a finite scheme over X . Assume the residue field at the closed point of X has at least three elements. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_0$ be linear bundles on Z . Then for any section $\delta \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_0)$, there are invertible sections $s_1, s_\infty \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $s_0^+ \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1})$, $s_1 = s_\infty + \delta s_0^+$.*

Proof. Since Z is finite over a spectrum of a local ring, Z is semi-local and affine. Let F be the union of closed points of Z .

Consider the case of $Z = F$. If $\delta = 0$, we choose any invertible sections s_0^+ and s_∞ , and put $s_1 = s_\infty$. If δ is invertible, since by assumption it follows that $\#k \geq 3$, there are invertible sections $s_0, s_1 \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $s_0 \neq s_1$; then the section $s_\infty := s_1 - s_0$ is non trivial, and is invertible. Put $s_0^+ = s_0 \delta^{-1}$.

For the given scheme Z from the lemma, by the above we choose sections $f_1, f_\infty \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $f_0^+ \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1})$, $f_1 = f_\infty + \delta f_0^+$. Since \mathcal{L}_0 , and $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}$ are coherent sheaves on the affine scheme Z ,

$$\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_0) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_0), \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where F is the union of closed points of Z . Then there are sections $s_\infty \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1)$, $s_0^+ \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1})$, $s_\infty|_F = f_\infty$, $s_0^+|_F = f_0^+$. Define $s_1 = s_\infty + \delta s_0^+$, then $s_1|_F = f_1$. Since a preimage of an invertible section along homomorphisms (B.3) is invertible, the claim follows. \square

APPENDIX C. FRAMED CORRESPONDENCES AND HOMOTOPIES

In this section, we summarise some framed correspondences homotopies used in the article which were known before, in particular, in [47], [63], [32], [3], [19], [33].

Lemma C.1. *Given a scheme U and monic polynomial f in $\mathcal{O}(U)[t]$ of degree l , there is the equality of framed correspondences*

$$[(Z(f), f, r)] = l_\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1}(U, U),$$

where $r: \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow U$ is the canonical projection.

Proof. The equality follows by the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} [(Z(f), f, r)] &= [(Z((t-1)^{l-1}), t(t-1)^{l-1}, r)] + [(Z(t), t(t-1)^{l-1}, r)] \\ &= [(Z((t-1)^{l-1}), (t-1)^{l-1}, r)] + [(Z(t), (-1)^{l-1}t, r)] \\ &= [(l-1)_\varepsilon] + [(-1)^{l-1}] \end{aligned} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_1}(U, U),$$

where the first equality follows because of the homotopy

$$(Z(\tilde{f}), \tilde{f}, r) \in \text{Fr}_1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, U), \tilde{f} = f(1-\lambda) + t(t-1)^{l-1}\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(U)[\lambda][t],$$

the second because of homotopies

$$\begin{aligned} (Z((t-1)^{l-1}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{g}_0, r) &\in \text{Fr}_1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, U), \\ \tilde{g}_0 = t(t-1)^{l-1}(1-\lambda) + (t-1)^{l-1}\lambda &\in \mathcal{O}(U)[\lambda][t], \\ (Z(t) \times \mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{g}_1, r) &\in \text{Fr}_1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, U), \\ \tilde{g}_1 = t(t-1)^{l-1}(1-\lambda) + t(-1)^{l-1}\lambda &\in \mathcal{O}(U)[\lambda][t], \end{aligned}$$

and the third equality holds, since $[(Z((t-1)^{l-1}), (t-1)^{l-1}, r)] = [(l-1)_\varepsilon]$ by the inductive assumption, and because $(Z(t), (-1)^{l-1}t, r) = \langle (-1)^{l-1} \rangle$. \square

Lemma C.2. *For any scheme U and integers l_1, l_2 , there is the equality*

$$(l_1)_\varepsilon \circ (l_2)_\varepsilon = \sigma(l_1 l_2)_\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2}(U, U).$$

Proof. By Lemma C.4 for any l_1 ,

$$[(l_1)_\varepsilon \circ \langle (-1)^j \rangle] = \sigma[(-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon].$$

Then for any l_1 ,

$$[(-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon] = [(-1)^{j l_1} \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon],$$

since for even l_1 ,

$$[(-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon] = [(l_1)_\varepsilon] = [(-1)^{j l_1} \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon]$$

and for odd l_1 ,

$$(-1)^j = (-1)^{j l_1}.$$

Hence

$$[(l_1)_\varepsilon \circ \sum_{j=0}^{l_2-1} \langle (-1)^j \rangle] = [\sigma[\sum_{j=0}^{l_2-1} ((-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon)]] = [\sigma[\sum_{j=0}^{l_1 l_2 - 1} \langle (-1)^j \rangle]] = (l_2 l_1)_\varepsilon.$$

\square

Lemma C.3. *For a matrix $G \in \text{GL}_n(V)$ for a scheme V , consider the framed correspondence $c_G = (0 \times V, G(t_1, \dots, t_n), \text{pr})$, where $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}_V^2 \rightarrow V$ is the canonical projection. If the matrices $G_1, G_2 \in \text{GL}_n(V)$ belong to the same orbit in $E(V) \backslash \text{GL}_n(V) / E(V)$ with respect to the two-side action of the elementary matrices group $E(V)$ on $\text{GL}_n(V)$, then $[c_{G_1}] = [c_{G_2}] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n}(V)$*

Proof. The claim follows because $E(V)$ is generated by elementary transvections $e_{v,l}(t)$, $t \in \mathcal{O}(V)$, $v \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n})$, $l \in \Gamma(V, (\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n})^\vee)$, and for each matrix $G \in \text{GL}_n(V)$, each transvection $e_{v,l}(t)$ defines \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies $c_{G e_{v,l}(t\lambda)}, c_{e_{v,l}(t\lambda)G} \in \text{Fr}_n(V \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, where $\mathcal{O}(V \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \mathcal{O}(V)[\lambda]$. \square

Lemma C.4. *For any scheme U and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{O}^\times(U)$, there is the equality*

$$[\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \circ \langle \lambda_2 \rangle] = [\sigma \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2}(U).$$

Proof. Consider the diagonal matrices $T_1 = \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ and $T_2 = \langle 1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle$ in $\mathrm{GL}_2(U)$. Then

$$r_{1,2} e_{2,1}(-\lambda_1^{-1}) T_1 e_{1,2}(\lambda_2) e_{2,1}(-\lambda_2^{-1}) = T_2,$$

where

$$r_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the rotation. Since the rotation $r_{1,2}$ is an elementary matrix, T_1 and T_2 belong to the same orbit in $\mathrm{GL}_2(U)$ with respect to the two-side action of elementary matrices subgroup $E_2(U)$. Hence the claim follows from Lemma C.3, because $\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \circ \langle \lambda_2 \rangle = c_{T_1}$, and $\sigma[\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle] = c_{T_2}$ in sense of notation from Lemma C.3. \square

Lemma C.5. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_K$ be a smooth affine scheme of dimension d over a scheme U such that the tangent bundle of X over U is trivial. Then there is a d -dimensional framed correspondence $(X)^{\mathrm{fr}} = (S, \varphi, g)$ from U to X such that $g|_S: S \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since the tangent bundle of X is trivial then there is a closed immersion $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^N$ such that the normal bundle N_{X/\mathbb{A}_U^N} is trivial. Then there is a vector of regular functions $f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_U^N)$, $d = \dim_B X_U$, such that

$$Z(f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N) = X \amalg \check{X}$$

for some \check{X} . By [19, Lemma 3.6] the canonical isomorphism $\underline{g}: S \rightarrow X$ admits a lifting $g: (\mathbb{A}_U^N)^h_X \rightarrow X$. Thus we get the d -dimensional framed correspondence

$$(X)^{\mathrm{fr}} = (X, f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N, g).$$

\square

APPENDIX D. STABILISATION AND T-STRUCTURE

Definition D.1. Let \mathbb{Z} denote the monoidal category that objects are integers, and an adjunction $G_l \dashv G_r$ of endofunctors on an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . Consider the monoidal functor $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Funct}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ that takes 1 to G_l , in other words this means the sequence of functors

$$\dots \xrightarrow{G_l} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{G_l} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{G_l} \dots \quad (\mathrm{D}.2)$$

By the Grothendieck construction this corresponds to the fibred category

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

and define the category $\mathrm{PSpt}_G \mathcal{C}$ as the category of left inverse functors $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{C}[G^{-1}]$ as the category of functors that take all morphisms to cartesian ones.

Remark D.3. The standard constructions of the stabilisation [66, §2.2] defines $\mathcal{C}[G^{-1}]$ as the colimit of the sequence (D.2). The above definition of $\mathcal{C}[G^{-1}]$ is an equivalent retell, that is used in the present text to prove the result on the hart of the homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}^R(\mathrm{Corr})$.

Lemma D.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category, and \mathcal{S} be a reflective subcategory, $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the left adjoint localisation functor. Let the subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{<0}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ define a t-structure $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$ on \mathcal{C} such that $L(\mathcal{C}_{<0}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{<0}$. Then there is the t-structure $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$ on \mathcal{S} such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{S}} &\simeq L\tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{C}}, & \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} &\simeq L\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{<0} &\simeq L(\mathcal{C}_{<0}), & \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0} &\simeq L(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit} \simeq L(\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}).$$

We write in this case $L(\tau^{\mathcal{C}}) = \tau^{\mathcal{S}}$.

Proof. Consider the functors and subcategories given by (D.4).

Then for each F , there is the canonical composite morphism $F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}} F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} F$. Since $\mathrm{fib}(F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}} F) \simeq \tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{C}}$, and $L(F) \simeq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\mathrm{fib}(F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} F) \simeq \tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Since by (D.4) each $F \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}$ is equivalent to $L(\tilde{F})$ for $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$, and each $G \in \mathcal{S}_{< 0}$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}_{< 0}$, it follows that $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(F, G) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{F}, G)$ is trivial.

By the assumption again, it follows that $\tau_{< 0}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S})$ lands in $\mathcal{C}_{< 0}$, and hence by (D.4) it lands in $\mathcal{S}_{< 0}$. By (D.4), it follows that $\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S})$ lands in $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}$.

Thus the data defines a t-structure $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$. To prove the claim in the hearts of the t-structures, consider $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}$, then by the assumption $L(F) \in \mathcal{C}_{< 1}$, and so $L(F) \in \mathcal{S}_{< 1}$ by (D.4). Then since it follows by (D.4), also that $L(F) \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}$, $L(F) \in \mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit}$. So $L(\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit})$ lands in \mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit} . On the other side, let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit}$, put $\tilde{F} = \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}F$. Then it follows, since $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$ is a t-structure, that $L(\tilde{F}) \simeq L\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}F \simeq \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}}F \simeq F$. Thus $L: \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\text{heart}}$ has a left inverse induced by $\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ananyevskiy, G. Garkusha, and I. Panin. Cancellation theorem for framed motives of algebraic varieties. *Advances in Mathematics* 383 (2021). article no. 107681.
- [2] A. Ananyevskiy and A. Neshitov. Framed and MW-transfers for homotopy modules. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 25.2 (2019), 41 pp.
- [3] A. Ananyevskiy and A. Druzhinin. Rigidity for linear framed presheaves and generalized motivic cohomology theories. *Adv. Math.* 333 (2018), pp. 423–462.
- [4] J. Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. I. *Astérisque* 314 (2007), x+466 pp. (2008).
- [5] J. Ayoub and L. Barbieri-Viale. Nori 1-motives. 2012. arXiv: 1206.5923.
- [6] T. Bachmann. Motivic Tambara functors. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 297(3) (2021), pp. 1825–1852.
- [7] T. Bachmann, B. Calmès, F. Déglise, J. Fasel, and P. A. Østvær. Milnor-Witt Motives. arXiv: 2004.06634.
- [8] T. Bachmann and E. Elmanto. Notes on motivic infinite loop space theory. 2019. arXiv: 1912.06530.
- [9] T. Bachmann and M. Yakerson. Towards conservativity of Gm-stabilization. *Geometry & Topology* 24 (2020), pp. 1432–1823.
- [10] J. Barge and F. Morel. Cohomologie des groupes linéaires, K-théorie de Milnor et groupes de Witt. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Série I* 328 (1999), pp. 191–196.
- [11] F. Binda, D. Park, and P. A. Østvær. Triangulated categories of logarithmic motives over a field. arXiv: 2004.12298.
- [12] B. Calmès and J. Fasel. The category of finite MW-correspondences. 2017. arXiv: 1412.2989v2.
- [13] D.-C. Cisinski and F. Déglise. Triangulated categories of mixed motives. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2019, pp. xlii+406.
- [14] F. Déglise. Modules de cycles et motifs mixtes. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 336.1 (2003), pp. 41–46.
- [15] F. Déglise. Transferts sur les groupes de Chow à coefficients. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 252 (Feb. 2006), pp. 315–343.
- [16] F. Déglise and M. Bondarko. Dimensional homotopy t-structure in motivic homotopy theory. *Advances in Mathematics* 311 (Dec. 2015).
- [17] F. Déglise and J. Fasel. MW-motivic complexes. 2017. arXiv: 1708.06095.
- [18] F. Déglise and J. Fasel. The Milnor–Witt motivic ring spectrum and its associated theories. 2017. arXiv: 1708.06102.
- [19] A. Druzhinin. Rigidity for smooth affine pairs over a field. arXiv: 1809.04158.
- [20] A. Druzhinin. Framed motives of smooth affine pairs. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 226.3 (2022), p. 106834.
- [21] A. Druzhinin. Geometric models for fibrant resolutions of motivic suspension spectra. arXiv: 1811.11086.
- [22] A. Druzhinin. Cancellation theorem for Grothendieck-Witt-correspondences and Witt-correspondences. 2018. arXiv: 1709.06543.
- [23] A. Druzhinin. Cousin acyclic stable motivic homotopy category. 2021. URL: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354202598>.
- [24] A. Druzhinin. Effective Grothendieck-Witt motives of smooth varieties. 2018. arXiv: 1709.06273.
- [25] A. Druzhinin. О гомотопически инвариантных предпучках с Witt-трансферами / On the homotopy invariant presheaves with Witt-transfers. *Успехи математических наук / Russian Mathematical Surveys* 69 (Jan. 2014), pp. 181–182.
- [26] A. Druzhinin. Пучкование в топологии Нисневича гомотопически инвариантного предпучка с Witt-трансферами/Nisnevich sheafification of the homotopy invariant presheaf with Witt-transfers. *Алгебра и Анализ/Algebra and Analysis* 29:6 (2017), pp. 1–34.
- [27] A. Druzhinin. Сохранение гомотопической инвариантности предпучков с Витт-трансферами при пучковании/Preservation of the homotopy invariance of presheaves with Witt-transfers under the sheafification. *Записки ПОМИ/PDMI notices* 423 (2014), pp. 113–125.
- [28] A. Druzhinin. Stable motivic trivial fibre decomposition over integers, and framed motives. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356193786_STABLE_MOTIVIC_TRIVIAL_FIBRE_DECOMPOSITION_OVER_INTEGERS_AND_FRAM
- [29] A. Druzhinin. Strict homotopy invariance of Nisnevich sheaves with GW-transfers. 2018. arXiv: 1709.05805.

- [30] A. Druzhinin and H. Kolderup. Cohomological correspondence categories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 20.3 (May 2020), pp. 1487–1541.
- [31] A. Druzhinin, H. Kolderup, and P. A. Østvær. Strict \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance over the integers. Dec. 2020. arXiv: 2012.07365v1.
- [32] A. Druzhinin and J. I. Kylling. Framed motives and zeroth stable motivic homotopy groups in odd characteristic. 2018. arXiv: 1809.03238.
- [33] A. Druzhinin and I. Panin. Surjectivity of the étale excision map for homotopy invariant framed presheaves. 2018. arXiv: 1808.07765.
- [34] A. Druzhinin and V. Sosnilo. Zariski-local framed \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. arXiv: 2108.08257.
- [35] A. Dubouloz, F. Déglise, and P. A. Østvær. Stable motivic homotopy theory at infinity. arXiv: arXiv:2104.03222.
- [36] B. I. Dundas, O. Röndigs, and P. A. Østvær. Motivic functors. *Doc. Math.* 8 (2003), pp. 489–525.
- [37] E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, and M. Yakerson. Framed transfers and motivic fundamental classes. *J. Topol.* 13.2 (2020), pp. 460–500.
- [38] E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, and M. Yakerson. Motivic infinite loop spaces. to appear in CJM. 2018. arXiv: 1711.05248.
- [39] E. Elmanto and A. Khan. Perfection in motivic homotopy theory. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 120 (Jan. 2020), pp. 28–38.
- [40] J. Fasel. Chow-Witt groups. *Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France. Nouvelle Série* 113 (Jan. 2008).
- [41] J. Fasel. The Chow-Witt ring. *Documenta Mathematica* 12 (July 2007), pp. 275–312.
- [42] J. Fasel and P. A. Østvær. A cancellation theorem for Milnor–Witt correspondences. 2017. arXiv: 1708.06098.
- [43] N. Feld. Morel Homotopy Modules and Milnor-Witt Cycle Modules. Dec. 2019. arXiv: 1912.12680.
- [44] G. Garkusha and A. Neshitov. Fibrant resolutions for motivic Thom spectra. 2018. arXiv: 1804.07621.
- [45] G. Garkusha, A. Neshitov, and I. Panin. Framed motives of relative motivic spheres. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374 (2021), pp. 5131–5161.
- [46] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. Framed motives of algebraic varieties (after V. Voevodsky). *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 34 (2021), pp. 261–313.
- [47] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. Homotopy invariant presheaves with framed transfers. *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics* 8.1 (2020), pp. 1–94.
- [48] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. The triangulated categories of framed bispectra and framed motives. arXiv: 1809.08006.
- [49] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. The triangulated category of K -motives $DK_{-}^{\text{eff}}(k)$. *J. K-Theory* 14.1 (2014), pp. 103–137.
- [50] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, and P. A. Østvær. Framed motivic Γ -spaces. 2019. arXiv: 1907.00433.
- [51] Hanamura and Masaki. Homological and cohomological motives of algebraic varieties. *Invent. Math.* 142.2 (2000), pp. 319–349.
- [52] R. Hartshorne. Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977, pp. xvi+496.
- [53] J. F. Jardine. Motivic symmetric spectra. *Doc. Math.* 5 (2000), 445–553 (electronic).
- [54] B. Kahn, S. Saito, and T. Yamazaki. Motives with modulus. 2015,2019. arXiv: 1511.07124.
- [55] H. A. Kolderup. Homotopy invariance of Nisnevich sheaves with Milnor-Witt transfers. *Doc. Math.* 24 (2019), pp. 2339–2379.
- [56] M. Levine. Mixed Motives. *Handbook of K-Theory*. Ed. by E. M. Friedlander and D. R. Grayson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005, pp. 429–521.
- [57] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel. Lecture notes on motivic cohomology. Vol. 2. Clay Mathematics Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2006, pp. xiv+216.
- [58] F. Morel. \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field. Vol. 2052. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. x+259.
- [59] F. Morel. An introduction to \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. *Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory*. ICTP Lect. Notes, XV. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004, pp. 357–441.
- [60] F. Morel. On the motivic π_0 of the sphere spectrum. Vol. 131. NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 219–260.
- [61] F. Morel. The stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorems. *K-Theory* 35.1-2 (2005), pp. 1–68.
- [62] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 90 (1999), 45–143 (2001).
- [63] A. Neshitov. Framed correspondences and the Milnor-Witt K -theory. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 17.4 (2018), pp. 823–852.
- [64] M. Nori. TIFR notes on motives. URL: <https://web.archive.org/web/20160922233016/http://www.arithgeo.ethz.ch/alpbach2011/>
- [65] I. Panin. Oriented cohomology theories of algebraic varieties. II (After I. Panin and A. Smirnov). *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 11.1 (2009), pp. 349–405.
- [66] M. Robalo. K -theory and the bridge from motives to noncommutative motives. *Advances in Mathematics* 269 (2015), pp. 399–550.
- [67] M. Rost. Chow groups with coefficients. eng. *Documenta Mathematica* 1 (1996), pp. 319–393.

- [68] M. Schmid (1998).
- [69] A. Suslin. On the Grayson spectral sequence. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 241. Teor. Chisel, Algebra i Algebr. Geom. (2003), pp. 218–253.
- [70] A. Suslin. Motivic complexes over nonperfect fields. Talk on the second annual conference-meeting MIAN–POMI ”Algebra and Algebraic Geometry”, PDMI RAS, St. Petersburg. <http://www.mathnet.ru/php/presentation.phtml?op> Video time: 32:15. Dec. 2008.
- [71] A. Suslin. Motivic complexes over nonperfect fields. *Ann. K-Theory* 2.2 (2017), pp. 277–302.
- [72] V. Voevodsky. Cancellation theorem. *Doc. Math.* Extra vol.: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday (2010), pp. 671–685.
- [73] V. Voevodsky. Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers. *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*. Vol. 143. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 87–137.
- [74] V. Voevodsky. Homology of schemes and covariant motives. $\text{i}\rho\text{i}$ Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University/ $\text{i}\rho\text{i}$. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1992, p. 64.
- [75] V. Voevodsky. Homology of schemes II. *Selecta Mathematica* 2 (Sept. 1996), pp. 111–153.
- [76] V. Voevodsky. Notes on framed correspondences. 2001.
- [77] V. Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*. Vol. 143. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 188–238.
- [78] M. E. Walker. Motivic complexes and the K-theory of automorphisms, Theses. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing, 1996.

ANDREI DRUZHININ,, CHEBYSHEV LABORATORY, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY,, A.DRUZHININ@SPBU.RU,
AND, ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
RUSSIA, ANDREI.DRUZH@GMAIL.COM