

# STRICT $\mathbb{A}^1$ -INVARIANCE THEOREM WITH INTEGRAL COEFFICIENTS OVER FIELDS.

ANDREI DRUZHININ

ABSTRACT. We extend the generality of the theory of framed motives by G. Garkusha and I. Panin to the case of a non-perfect base field with integral coefficients by proving the strict homotopy invariance theorem. We prove the exactness of the Cousin complex on the complement to a smooth divisor in an essentially smooth local scheme. The result is new for the case of classical Voevodsky's transfers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

**1.1. The strict homotopy invariance theorem.** The article eliminates the perfectness assumption in the Voevodsky strict homotopy invariance theorem with the integral coefficients that is the first basic reason why Voevodsky's theory of motives [39] is cited under this assumption, or with  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients.

**Theorem** (Corollary 7.2). *Let  $F$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable framed additive presheaf of abelian groups over a field  $k$ , and  $F_{\text{nis}}$  denote the associated Nisnevich sheaf. Then for any  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the canonical projection induces the isomorphism of Nisnevich cohomologies*

$$H_{\text{nis}}^n(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, F_{\text{nis}}) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^n(X, F_{\text{nis}}),$$

*in other words,  $F_{\text{nis}}$  is strict  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant. In particular, the claim holds for presheaves with classical Voevodsky's transfers with respect to the finite correspondences  $\text{Cor}(k)$ .*

We improve Voevodsky's result on the Gersten complex [37, Theorem 4.37] and cover the cases of

- (1) main open subsets of essentially smooth local schemes over a field with smooth complement,
- (2) non-perfect fields for the Cousin complex instead of the Gersten complex,
- (3) framed transfers with the application to  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ -representable cohomology theories.

**Theorem** (Theorem 9.3). *Let  $U$  be an essentially smooth local scheme, and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  be such that the vanishing locus  $Z(f)$  is a smooth proper subscheme of  $U$ , possibly empty,  $\eta \in V$  be the generic point. Denote  $V = U - Z(f)$ .*

*Then for a stable additive framed presheaf of  $S^1$ -spectra  $F$  over  $k$ , and  $E_Z^l(X) = \pi_{-l}\text{fib}(F_{\text{nis}}(X) \rightarrow F_{\text{nis}}(X - Z))$  being the cohomology theory defined by the Nisnevich local replacement  $F_{\text{nis}}$  of  $F$  on  $\text{Sm}_k$  the Cousin complex*

$$0 \rightarrow E^l(V) \rightarrow E^l(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(1)}} E_x^{l+1}(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(r)}} E_x^{l+r}(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(d)}} E_x^{l+d}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact,  $d = \dim V$ , in particular the claim holds for  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ -representable cohomology theories.*

**Remark 1.1.** If the base field is perfect the above complex is isomorphic to the Gersten complex, as shown in [37, Theorem 4.37].

**Remark 1.2** (The first theorem). The cases of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariance for presheaves with transfers that were known before: (1) the case of  $n = 0$  by [25, 37]; (2) the case of presheaves  $F$  that are inverse images of presheaves over perfect fields; (3) the case of presheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -modules over any field for characteristic  $p$ , see [34, 35] by Suslin for  $\text{Cor}_k$  correspondences, and the framed case was understood by several authors and was not published.

---

The research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 20-41-04401.

The equivalence of  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$  with  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{Zar}}^{\text{fr}}(k)$  shown in the joint work with Sosnilo [17] allows to reduce the claim of the Nisnevich strict homotopy invariance theorem to the similar statement for the Zariski topology.

*Remark 1.3* (The second theorem). The exactness of the Gersten complex on a local essentially smooth scheme over a perfect field follows by the argument of [37] with the use of the results of [25].

**1.2. Applications to motives.** The results allow to extend the generality in the theory of framed motives, and other transfers based studies, see Section 8 for more comments and references. We cite here some of the results, and simultaneously complete the formulations with some statements that were not written before. We can say that the basic application of transfers technique for the categories  $\mathbf{DM}(k)$  and  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$  relates to (1) the computing of motives of smooth schemes or pairs (2) the homotopy t-structure, (3) the slice filtration, and the reconstructions of  $\mathbf{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ ,  $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{veff}}(k)$ ,  $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}}(k)$ ,  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ .

Moreover, the strict homotopy invariance theorem over fields from the previous section extends the generality of the strict homotopy invariance result over one-dimensional schemes from [14], and other steps of the approach for the theory of framed motives over base schemes described in [12] that are not published yet, to the case of one-dimensional base schemes with arbitrary residue fields.

In the present text, we discuss the base field case. Let us firstly, cite the results of the theory of framed motives [24, 26] by G. Garkusha and I. Panin, and the result of their joint work with P.A. Østvær [27].

**Theorem.** For any  $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$ , (1) there are a natural  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -stable and  $S^1$ -stable motivic equivalences

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty} Y_+ \simeq \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^{\bullet}, \Sigma_T^{\infty} Y_+)_f, \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^1}^{\infty} \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\infty} Y_+ \simeq \text{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^{\bullet}, \Sigma_{S^1}^{\infty} Y_+)_f \quad (1.4)$$

and the right sides in positive degrees are a motivically fibrant with Morel-Voevodsky model structure  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ -spectrum or  $\Omega_{S^1}$ -spectrum of motivic spaces; (2) there are equivalences of categories

$$\mathbf{SH}(k) \simeq \mathcal{SH}^{\text{fr}}(k), \quad \mathbf{SH}^{\text{veff}}(k) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\text{gp}}^{\text{fr}}(k) \quad (1.5)$$

where the right sides are the categories of big framed motives [26] by Garkusha & Panin, and the category of group-like motivic  $\Gamma$ -spaces [27] by Garkusha & Panin & Østvær. (3) In particular, the stalks on an essentially smooth local henselian scheme  $Y$  of the motivic homologies and homotopies of  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$  and  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$  equal the homologies and homotopies of the following complex and simplicial space

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i+j,j}^{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)}(U, Y) &= H_i(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{F}(U \times \Delta_k^{\bullet} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^+}, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^-})), \\ \pi_{i+j,j}^{\mathbf{SH}(k)}(Y)(U) &\simeq \pi_i \text{Fr}(U \times \Delta_k^{\bullet} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^+}, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge j^-})^{\text{gp}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $j = j^+ - j^-$ , for a local henselian essentially smooth  $U$ .

Next let us discuss the results in the abstract setting of a correspondences category  $\text{Corr}$  that satisfy (Fr), (NL), (NC) in sense of Definitions 8.9 and 8.18 suggested in [17]. The main example is the additivisation of the pre-additive  $\infty$ -category of tangentially framed correspondences  $\text{Corr}^{\text{fr}}$  introduced by E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, M. Yakerson in [18]. An example of classical categories is the class of categories of a cohomological correspondences  $\text{Cor}^A$  defined in [13], in particular, Voevodsky's correspondences  $\text{Cor}$ , and Milnor-Witt correspondences  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}$  [6, 7, 20]. See Examples 8.20 to 8.22 for details.

**Definition 1.6.** Define  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$  as the localisation of the category of simplicial objects

$$\Delta \text{Corr}[\check{C}_X(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow X, \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X]$$

with respect to morphisms of the written form for all  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ , and Zariski coverings  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , where  $\check{C}_X(\tilde{X})$  is the simplicial object defined by the Čech construction.

**Theorem.** *There is the diagram of fully faithful functors and equivalences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathbf{SH}^{\text{veff}}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}}(\text{Corr}) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq & \searrow & \\
 \mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})
 \end{array} \quad (1.7)$$

For any  $Y \in \text{Sm}_k$ ,

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty Y = L_{\text{nis}} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{tr, gp}}(Y). \quad (1.8)$$

There are equivalences for the heart and effective heart of the homotopy  $t$ -structure

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}), \quad \mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}].$$

*Remark 1.9.* We refer to [17] to make the reduction to the Zariski topology in the definition of  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$ .

*Remark 1.10.* The validity of the transfers based techniques over fields of characteristic  $p$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients was known, and follows from the perfect base field case because in short for a purely inseparable extension  $k'/k$  (1) the composition of the extension of scalars and the trace homomorphism is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalent in a sense to the multiplication by  $p$  (2)  $\text{Spec}(k') \times_k \text{Spec}(k') \simeq \text{Spec}(k'[t]/(t^p))$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalent to  $\text{Spec}(k')$ . Let us refer to [35] by A. Suslin for  $\mathbf{DM}(k)[1/p]$ , and [19] by E. Elmanto and A. Khan for framed transfers case at least partly; Remark 8.2 gives more accurate comment.

Specialising to the cases of framed correspondences, and Milnor-Witt correspondences we get the following results.

**Corollary.** *For a field  $k$  the heart of the homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}}(k)$  is equivalent*

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)$$

*to the category  $\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)$  of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups with framed transfers such that the framed correspondences  $\sigma_X$ ,  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ , act identically.*

*Remark 1.11.* The above corollary could be deduced earlier under the generality of [25], so it was not included.

**Corollary.** *The heart of the homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$  is equivalent to the category of homotopy modules, that is  $\text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$ , where  $\text{SHI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(k)$  denote the category of strict  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant abelian sheaves.*

*Remark 1.12.* The latter corollary follows already by the original arguments from [30] in combination with [31]. We write the alternative proof with the use of framed correspondences.

Finally, we cite the result by A. Ananyevskiy and A. Neshitov [2].

**Theorem.** *There are equivalences of the hearts of homotopy  $t$ -structures*

$$\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \widehat{\mathbf{DM}}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k), \quad \mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \widehat{\mathbf{DM}}^{\heartsuit}(k).$$

*Remark 1.13.* The first equivalence is formally new, though under the generality of the strict homotopy invariance theorem it can be deduced from the results of [2] with the use of the latter above corollary provided by [30, 31].

**Acknowledgement.** The author thank A. Ananyevskiy for the consultation on hearts of homotopy  $t$ -structures and the generalities of results, and V. Sosnilo for the consultation of  $\infty$ -categorical definitions and constructions. The author is a Young Russian Mathematics award winner and would like to thank its sponsors and jury.

**1.3. Conventions: notions, and notation.** Let us list used notation of standard objects, and definitions of some extra or modified notions which we use in the text.

1.3.1. *Functions, sections, schemes.* For a presheaf of a sheaf  $F$  on a site  $\mathcal{C}$  we denote the set of sections on  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  by  $F(X)$  or by  $\Gamma(X, F)$ . In particular, for an invertible sheaf or line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on a scheme  $X$  we write  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$  for a group of section on an open subscheme  $U$  in  $X$ . The vanishing locus of a section  $s$  is denote by  $Z(s)$ , and the common vanishing locus of a set of sections  $s_j$ ,  $j \in J$ , is denoted by  $Z(s_j)_{j \in J}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_X$  the sheaf of regular functions on a small Zariski site of  $X$ , and denote by

$$\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}_X(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

the ring globally defined regular function on  $X$ . For a closed subscheme  $Z \subset X$ , the vanishing ideal sheaf in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}(Z)$ , and the global sections by  $I(Z) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ . For a injective morphism  $r: Z \rightarrow X$  we write

$$s|_Z = r^*(s) \in r^*(F)$$

for a restriction, i.e. inverse image, of a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, F)$  of a (pre)sheaf  $F$  on a small Zariski site of  $X$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  the local ring of a scheme  $X$  at a point  $x \in X$ , and by  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$  the henselisation. We use notation

$$X_x = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, X_x^h = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$$

for the corresponding affine schemes. The same notation  $\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}^h$  we use for a localisation and henselisation of the ring  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  at the vanishing ideal of some closed subscheme  $Z$  in the scheme  $X$ , and write  $X_Z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,Z}$ ,  $X_Z^h = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,Z}^h$ .

1.3.2. *Categories of schemes, relative dimension.* Denote by  $\text{Sch}_S$  the category of schemes over a base scheme  $S$ , and by  $\text{Sm}_S$  the category of smooth schemes. We usually denote the base scheme by  $S$  in general, and we denote it also by  $B$ , or  $V$  depending on the context: in short, usually the base is denoted by  $V$  if we consider the scheme  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times V$  as a scheme over the second multiplicand, and if we consider  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times V$  as a scheme over  $\mathbb{A}^1$ , then we usually denote the base scheme by  $B$ .

We say that  $X \in \text{Sch}_V$  is equidimensional of dimension  $d$ , if for each  $z \in V$ ,  $\dim X \times_V z = d$ . We say that a closed subscheme  $X'$  of  $X$  has positive relative codimension, if  $\text{codim}(X' \times_V z)/(X \times_V z) > 0$  for all  $z \in V$ .

We denote the site given by a Grothendieck topology  $\tau$  on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ . In particular, we write  $\text{Et}_S^{\text{nis}}$  and  $\text{Sm}_S^{\text{nis}}$  for the sites of the Nisnevich topology on the categories of étale and smooth schemes over a scheme  $S$  respectively.

1.3.3. *Cohomologies with respect to a topology.* For a Grothendieck topology  $\tau$  on some category  $\mathcal{C}$ , and a presheaf  $F$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $F_\tau$  the sheafification. Denote by

$$H_\tau^*(X, F_\tau) = H_\tau^*(X, F) \tag{1.14}$$

the cohomologies of  $F_\tau$  on  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ; we write sometimes  $F$  without subscript above to short notation.

We use also the notion of so called reduced cohomologies  $\underline{H}_\tau^*(X, F)$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{H}_\tau^i(X, F) = 0, i < -1, \\ \underline{H}_\tau^{-1}(X, F) = \ker(F(X) \rightarrow F_\tau(X)), \\ \underline{H}_\tau^0(X, F) = \text{coker}(F(X) \rightarrow F_\tau(X)), \\ \underline{H}_\tau^i(X, F) = H_\tau^i(X, F), i > 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, there is the following equivalence of the conditions

$$[\underline{H}_\tau^*(X, F) = 0] \iff \left[ \begin{cases} H_\tau^0(X, F_\tau) = F(X), \\ H_\tau^i(X, F_\tau) = 0, i > 0. \end{cases} \right]$$

Given morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) &= [\dots 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times(l-1)}) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times l}) \rightarrow \dots], \\ \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) &= [\dots 0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times(l-1)}) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}^{\times l}) \rightarrow \dots] \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(\tilde{X})$  is located in the zeroth deg., denotes the Čech complex and so called extended Čech complex, and  $\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) \simeq \text{Cone}(F(X) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F))$ .

1.3.4. *The Nisnevich topology.* We usually use notation  $\text{nis}$  in the subscript for the Nisnevich topology on a category of schemes over some base scheme. We use notation  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  for the subtopology of the Nisnevich topology over a scheme  $X$  that for a closed subscheme  $Z$  is trivial over the open subscheme  $X - Z$ , see Section 3. The notation  $\text{Nis}^X$  denotes the same as  $\text{nis}$  over the scheme  $X$ . We also use notation  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^X$  and  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$  for certain subtopologies of  $\text{Nis}^X$  and  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  defined in Section 3.

## 2. FRAMED CORRESPONDENCES AND PRESHEAVES

We start with a recollection of definitions of framed correspondences and framed presheaves introduced in [24, 25, 38]. The definition of the notion of correspondences of pairs is slightly different. In addition, we recall the notion of first-order framed correspondences introduced in [8], that is some also type of framed correspondences in between of framed correspondences from [24], and so called normally framed correspondences introduced by Neshitov, and by the authors of [18].

### 2.1. Framed correspondences of schemes.

**Definition 2.1.** An *explicit framed correspondence* from a scheme  $X$  to a scheme  $Y$  over a base  $S$  is a set of data  $(Z, V, \varphi, g)$  organised in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V & \xrightarrow{(\varphi, g)} & \mathbb{A}_Y^n \\
 & \swarrow \text{étale} & \uparrow & & \uparrow 0 \\
 \mathbb{A}_X^n & \xleftarrow{\text{cl. imm.}} & Z & \xrightarrow{g|_Z} & Y \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \text{finite} & & \\
 & & X & & 
 \end{array}$$

where the right-upper square is Cartesian, and the right vertical arrow is the zero section, i.e.

$$V \times_{\mathbb{A}^n \times Y} (\{0\} \times Y) = V \times_{\mathbb{A}^n} \{0\} \simeq Z,$$

and  $\varphi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ , and  $g: V \rightarrow Y$  are groular maps that define the morphism  $(\varphi, g)$ . In other words, the set  $(Z, V, \varphi, g)$  is given by an étale neighbourhood  $V$  of a closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}_X^n$  finite over  $X$ ,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  is a set of regular functions on  $V$ , and  $g: V \rightarrow Y$  is a regular map such that the common vanishing locus  $Z(\varphi)$  in  $X$  equals  $Z$ . The integer  $n$  is called the *level* of the correspondence.

**Definition 2.2.** The set  $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$  is the set of classes of explicit framed correspondences from  $X$  to  $Y$  of level  $n$  up to the equivalence relation given by the choice of the étale neighbourhood  $V$ , for a given  $Z$ . In detail, the equivalence relation identifies the correspondences  $(Z, V_1, \varphi_1, g_1)$  and  $(Z, V_2, \varphi_2, g_2)$ , if the inverse images of  $(\varphi_1, g_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, g_2)$  to neighbourhood of  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}_X^n$  given by the product  $V_1 \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} V_2$  are equal.

**Definition 2.3.** For a base scheme  $S$ , define the category  $\text{Fr}_+(X, Y)$  with objects being the same as in  $\text{Sm}_S$ , and morphisms given by sets

$$\text{Fr}_+(X, Y) = \varinjlim_n \text{Fr}_n(X, Y).$$

The composition of  $c_1 \in \text{Fr}_{n_1}(X_1, X_2)$ , and  $c_2 \in \text{Fr}_{n_2}(X_2, X_3)$  is given by the correspondence

$$(Z_1 \times_Y Z_2, V_1 \times_Y V_2, \text{pr}_1^*(\varphi_1), \text{pr}_2^*(\varphi_2), g_2 \text{pr}_2),$$

where the morphism  $V_1 \times_{X_2} V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_1}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n_2}$  is induced by the morphisms

$$V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_1}^{n_1}, V_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{X_2}^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n_2},$$

and  $\text{pr}_1: V_1 \times_{X_2} V_2 \rightarrow V_1$ ,  $\text{pr}_2: V_2 \times_{X_2} V_2 \rightarrow V_2$ .

## 2.2. Category of open pairs.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $S$  be a base scheme. Given pairs  $(X_1, U_1)$ ,  $(X_2, U_2)$  with open immersions of  $S$ -schemes  $U_1 \rightarrow X_1$  and  $U_2 \rightarrow X_2$ , consider the set of morphisms  $\mathrm{Sm}_S(X_1, X_2)$  and the subset

$$\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)) = \{f \in \mathrm{Sm}(X_1, X_2) \mid f(U_1) \subset U_2\}.$$

Define the set

$$\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{pair}}((X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)) = \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Sm}(X_1, U_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2))),$$

where the morphism at the right side is induced by the map  $\mathrm{Sm}(X_1, U_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sm}(X_1, X_2)$  given by the composition with the canonical morphism  $U_2 \rightarrow X_2$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Define the category of pairs  $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$  with the same objects as in  $\mathrm{Sm}_S^{\mathrm{pair}}$  and morphisms  $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W))$  between  $(X, U)$ ,  $(Y, W)$  defined as follows.

Define the subset  $\mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X, U), (Y, W)) \subset \mathrm{Fr}_n(X, Y)$  that elements are correspondences  $(Z, V, \varphi, g)$  such that

$$U \times_X Z \subset Z \times_Y W,$$

or equivalently

$$(X \setminus U) \times_X Z \supset Z \times_Y (Y \setminus W).$$

Define the set  $\mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W))$  as the quotient set, i.e. cokernel, of the morphism  $\mathrm{Fr}_n(X, W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{arrow}}((X, U), (Y, W))$ :  $c \mapsto j_Y \circ c$ , where  $j_Y: W \rightarrow Y$  is the canonical open immersion. Define the set of morphisms

$$\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W)) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Fr}_n^{\mathrm{pair}}((X, U), (Y, W)).$$

## 2.3. Linearised correspondences, and framed presheaves.

**Definition 2.6.** For a category  $\mathcal{C}$  enriched over sets, denote by  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{C}$  the category enriched over abelian groups that have the same objects and hom-groups being the free abelian groups associated with the hom-sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The *additivisation* of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  enriched over sets is the universal quotient category of  $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(X_1 \amalg X_2, Y) = \mathcal{C}(X_1, Y) \oplus \mathcal{C}(X_2, Y)$ . Define the categories  $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*(S)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$  as the additivisations of the categories  $\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)$  and  $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A *framed presheaf* is a functor  $\mathrm{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ . A framed presheaf is *quasi-stable*, if the morphisms  $\sigma_X^*$  are auto-morphisms of  $F(X)$  for all  $X$ . The same definition applies to the case of the category of open pairs  $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$ .

**Definition 2.8.** A *linear framed presheaf* over a base scheme  $S$  or a *framed radditive presheaf of abelian groups* is a functor  $F: \mathrm{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$  such that  $F(X_1 \amalg X_2) \simeq F(X_1) \oplus F(X_2)$ . Equivalently we can say that a linear framed presheaf defines an additive functor

$$F: \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}.$$

The same definition applies to the case of  $\mathrm{Fr}_+^{\mathrm{pair}}(S)$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** Consider the left Kan extension and the forgetfull functors

$$\gamma^*: \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)), \quad \gamma^*: \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S).$$

Then for any  $E \in \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(k)$ , and  $F \in \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S))$ ,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S)}^l(E, \gamma_* F) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(\mathrm{Fr}_+(S))}^l(\gamma^* E, F).$$

*Proof.* Since the category  $\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{Sh}(S)$  is generated via colimits by the representable sheaves  $\mathbb{Z}(U)$ ,  $U \in \mathrm{Sm}_S$ , it is enough to prove the claim for  $E$  of such type. For  $E = \mathbb{Z}(U)$ ,  $\gamma^* E = \mathbb{Z}\mathrm{F}_*(U)_{\mathrm{nis}}$ , and the claim is [25, Proposition 16.2].  $\square$

**Definition 2.10.** For a  $S$ -schemes  $U$  and  $X$  and a framed correspondence  $c \in \text{Fr}_n(U, X)$ , for any closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $X$  and the closed subscheme  $Y = c^{-1}(Z)$  in  $U$  define the canonical morphism on cohomologies with support

$$c_* : \underline{H}_Z^*(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_Y^*(U, F),$$

for any linear presheaf with framed transfers  $F$  over  $k$ , as the composite morphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_Z^l(X, F) &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Sh}(S)}^l(\mathbb{Z}(X)/\mathbb{Z}(X-Z), F) \simeq \\ &\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Sh}(\text{Fr}_+(S))}^l(\mathbb{Z}F(X)/\mathbb{Z}F(X-Z), F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Sh}(\text{Fr}_+(S))}^l(\mathbb{Z}F(U)/\mathbb{Z}F(U-Y), F) \simeq \\ &\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{Sh}(S)}^l(\mathbb{Z}(U)/\mathbb{Z}(U-Y), F) = H_Y^l(U, F). \end{aligned}$$

**2.4. First-order framed correspondences.** We recall a notion introduced in [8].

**Definition 2.11.** A *first-order framed correspondence* of level  $n$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a set  $(Z, \tau, g)$  given by a closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}_X^n$ , a trivialisation of the conormal sheaf of  $Z$

$$\tau : \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}(Z)/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2(Z) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z^{\times n},$$

and a regular map  $g : Z(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2(Z)) \rightarrow Y$ . In other words, the pair  $(\tau, g)$  defines the morphism of schemes

$$(\tau, g) : Z(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2(Z)) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \times Y$$

such that  $Z(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2(Z)) \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} (0 \times Y) \cong Z$ . Denote the set of such  $(Z, \tau, g)$  by  $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ .

**Definition 2.12.** The set  $\text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}((X, U), (Y, V))$  of *first-order framed correspondences of level  $n$  between pairs  $(X, U)$  to  $(Y, V)$*  consists of elements  $(Z, \tau, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Y)$  such that

$$((X \setminus U) \times_X Z)_{\text{red}} \supset (Z \times_Y (Y \setminus V))_{\text{red}}.$$

**Definition 2.13.** Define the *categories*  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$  and  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(\text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}})$  as the categories that morphisms are given by first-order correspondences. The composition of  $(Z_1, \tau_1, g_1) \in \text{Fr}_{n_1}^{\text{1th}}(X_0, X_1)$  with  $(Z_2, \tau_2, g_2) \in \text{Fr}_{n_2}^{\text{1th}}(X_1, X_2)$  is  $(Z_{12}, \tau_{12}, g_{12})$ , where

$$Z_{12} = Z_1 \times_{X_1} Z_2, (\tau_{12}, g_{12}) : Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z_{12})) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z_2)) \rightarrow X_2$$

and the first morphism is induced by  $(\tau_2, g_2)$ , and the second one is induced by  $(\tau_1, g_1)$ . A first-order framed presheaf is a presheaf on  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$  or  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(\text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}})$ .

There is the natural map

$$\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Y) : (Z, V, \varphi, g) \mapsto (Z, \tau, g|_Z), \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\tau$  is induced by  $\varphi|_{Z(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}^2(Z))}$ .

**Definition 2.15.** A *first-order framed presheaf* is a presheaf on  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$  or  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(\text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}})$ . A first-order framed presheaf is *quasi-stable* if the morphism  $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_1^{\text{1th}}(X, X)$  defined as the image of  $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_1(X, X)$  induces auto-equivalence on  $F(X)$ , for each  $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ .

**Lemma 2.16.** *Any quasi-stable first-order framed presheaf has a canonical structure of quasi-stable framed presheaf.*

*Proof.* The claim follows because (2.14) defines the functor  $\text{Fr}_+(S) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$  and because the latter functor preserves morphisms of the form  $\sigma_X$ ,  $X \in \text{Sm}_S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.17.** *Any  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable framed presheaf  $F$  on the category of affine schemes over an affine base  $S$  is canonically equipped with the structure of a first-order framed presheaf and is quasi-stable in this sense.*

*Proof.* To prove the claim we show that for any  $c, c' \in \text{Fr}_n(X, Y)$ , the induced morphisms  $c^*, c'^*: F(Y) \rightarrow F(X)$  are equal, if the images of  $c$  and  $c'$  in  $\text{Fr}_n^{\text{th}}(X, Y)$  are equal. Then since the morphism  $\text{Fr}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fr}_n^{\text{th}}(X, Y)$  is surjective, the first claim follows. Let  $c, c'$  be as above. Note that  $g|_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} = g'|_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))}: Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z)) \rightarrow Y$ , denote this function by  $g_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))}$ , and denote by the same symbols the inverse images on  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))$ ,  $0 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))$ ,  $1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))$ ; consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \check{g}: E &\rightarrow Y, \\ E &= (0 \times (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_Z^h) \amalg_{0 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} (\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))) \amalg_{1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} (1 \times (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_Z^h) \\ \check{g} &= g \amalg_{g_0 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} g_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} \amalg_{g_1 \times Z(\mathcal{I}^2(Z))} g'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Choose a lifting of (2.18)

$$\tilde{g}: (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_X^n)_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z}^h \rightarrow Y,$$

that exists by [10, Lemma 3.6] since the canonical morphism  $E \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_X^n)_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z}^h$  is an affine henselian pair. Consider the framed homotopy

$$h = (\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z, \lambda\varphi + (1 - \lambda)\varphi', \tilde{g}) \in \text{Fr}_n(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, Y).$$

Then  $h \circ i_0 = c$ ,  $h \circ i_1 = c'$ , and since  $F$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, it follows that  $c^* = c'^*$ . So the claim is proven. The second claim of the lemma follows, because for any  $X$ , the correspondence  $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_1(X, X)$  goes to  $\sigma_X \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{th}}(X, X)$  along morphism (2.14).  $\square$

### 3. TOPOLOGY OVER A QUOTIENT-SPACE $X/(X - Z)$

3.0.1. *Inverse image of the Nisnevich topology over a scheme.* Denote by  $\text{Nis}^X$  the Nisnevich topology on  $\text{Sch}_X$  over a scheme  $X$ . Recall that Nisnevich covering is an étale morphism  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  such that for each point  $v \in U$  there is a lifting in the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ U_v^h & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array}$$

where  $U_v^h$  denote the henselisation. We consider the strongest subtopology  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^X$  of  $\text{Nis}^X$  that is trivial on the schemes of the form  $U \times_X X_x^h$ , for  $U \in \text{Sch}_X$ , and  $x \in X$ ,  $U \times_X x \neq \emptyset$ . We use the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. Define the topology  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^X$  as the weakest topology with coverings étale morphisms  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  such that for each  $v \in U$ , there is a lifting in the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h & \longrightarrow & U, \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $p: U \rightarrow X$  is the structure morphism.

**Lemma 3.3.** *The topology  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^X$  on  $\text{Sch}_X$  is generated by the coverings given by morphisms of the form  $\tilde{U} = U \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow U$ , for an étale morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that for each  $v \in U$ , there is a lifting in the triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{X} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ X_{p(v)}^h & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

where  $p: U \rightarrow X$  is the structure morphism.



*Proof.* Given a  $\underline{Nis}^X$  covering  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  that admit lifting (3.2), for each  $v \in U$ . Then there is a lifting  $U \times_X (\bigcup_{v \in U} X_{p(v)}^h) \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ . Since  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  is of finite type, there is a lifting  $U \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ , for  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  being an étale morphism that admit lifting  $X_{p(v)}^h \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ , for each  $v \in U$ . So the claim follows.  $\square$

3.0.2. *Coverings and topologies over  $X/(X-Z)$ .* Let  $X$  be a scheme, and  $Z$  be a closed subscheme. Consider the Nisnevich topology  $\text{Nis}^X$  on  $\text{Sch}_X$  and its restriction  $\text{Nis}^{X-Z}$  on  $\text{Sch}_{X-Z}$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Define the topology  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  as the strongest subtopology of  $\text{Nis}_X$  that restriction on  $\text{Sch}_{X-Z}$  is trivial. In other words, the topology is generated by such Nisnevich coverings  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ , for all  $U \in \text{Sch}_X$ , that there is a lifting in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ U \times_X (X-Z) & \longrightarrow & U \end{array}$$

**Definition 3.5.** Define the topology  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$  on  $\text{Sch}_X$  as the intersection  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^X \cap \text{Nis}_Z^X$ , see Definition 3.1 above. In other words, the generating set of  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -coverings is given by the morphisms of the form

$$\tilde{U} = U \times_X (\tilde{X} \amalg (X-Z)) \rightarrow U,$$

for some étale morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that there is a lifting in the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{X} \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ p(v) & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

for each  $v \in U$ .

Let  $\text{Pre}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$  denote the category of presheaves and the category of additive presheaves of abelian groups over the scheme  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** (1) The topologies  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  and  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$  have enough sets of points given respectively by the schemes of the form

$$U_v^h, \text{ for } v \in U, \text{ and } U \times_X (X-Z), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h, \text{ for } v \in U, \text{ and } U \times_X (X-Z), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $p: U \rightarrow X$  is the canonical morphism, for all  $U \in \text{Sch}_X$ . (2) An étale morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$ -covering or  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -covering if for any scheme  $V$  of the form (3.7) or (3.8), there is a lifting in the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{X} \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* (1) The case of  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  follows immediately from the definition. To prove the case of  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$  one we note firstly that all the schemes (3.8) are  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -points, and for any  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -local isomorphism  $F \rightarrow G$  the morphisms of stalks  $F(V) \rightarrow G(V)$  are isomorphisms, for  $V$  of the form (3.8). Conversely, let  $F \rightarrow G$  be a morphism in  $\text{Pre}(X)$  such that  $F(U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h) \simeq G(U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h)$ , for each  $v \in U$ . Then for each  $v \in U$ , there is an étale neighbourhood  $\tilde{X}_v$  of  $p(v)$  in  $X$  such that  $F(\tilde{X}_v) \simeq G(\tilde{X}_v)$ . Define  $\tilde{X} = \coprod_{v \in U} \tilde{X}_v \amalg (X-Z)$ . Then  $F(\tilde{X}) \simeq G(\tilde{X})$ . So if both  $F$  and  $G$  are  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -sheaves then  $F \simeq G$ . (2) The claim follows from the previous one.  $\square$

The topologies  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$  and  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  are equivalent on the finite schemes over  $X$  in the following sense.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $U \in \text{Sch}_X$  be a scheme finite over the scheme  $X$ . Then the filtered category of morphisms  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  that are  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -coverings is cofinal in the filtered category of morphisms that are  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$ -coverings.*

*Proof.* Given a  $\text{Nis}_Z$ -covering  $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ . Then by Lemma 3.6, for any  $v \in U$ , there is a lifting  $U_v^h \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ , and there is a lifting  $U \times_X (X - Z) \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ . Since  $U$  is finite over  $X$  the scheme  $U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h$  is a coproduct of local henselian schemes. Hence there is a lifting  $U \times_X X_{p(v)}^h \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ , for any  $v \in U$ . Then by Lemma 3.6  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ -covering.  $\square$

Consider the subcategories of sheaves in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$  with respect to topologies  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  and  $\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X$ . Denote the sheafifications of  $F \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$  by  $F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}$ ,  $F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *For any  $U \in \text{Sch}_X$  finite over  $X$ , and any additive presheaf of abelian groups  $F \in \mathbb{Z}\text{Pre}(X)$  there is a canonical isomorphism  $F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(U) \cong F_{\underline{\text{Nis}}_Z^X}(U)$ .*

*Proof.* The claim follows from Lemma 3.9.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.11.** *For any quasi-stable linear (first-order) framed presheaf  $F$  the presheaf  $H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X})$  has a canonical structure of a quasi-stable (first-order) framed presheaf. Even more, for a pair of closed subschemes  $Z_1 \subset Z_2$  the canonical morphism  $H_{\text{Nis}_{Z_1}^X}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}_{Z_1}^X}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}_{Z_2}^X}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}_{Z_2}^X})$  agrees with the framed transfers, and the morphism  $H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}})$  agrees too. The same applies to the reduced cohomologies  $\underline{H}_\nu^*(-, F_\nu)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $c \in \text{Fr}_n(U_1, U_2)$  or  $c \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{th}}(U_1, U_2)$  over  $X$ , and a morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , there is the canonical framed correspondence  $\tilde{c} \in \text{Fr}_n(U_1 \times_X \tilde{X}, U_2 \times_X \tilde{X}^{\times l})$  or  $\tilde{c} \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{th}}(U_1 \times_X \tilde{X}, U_2 \times_X \tilde{X}^{\times l})$ , for each  $l$ , given by the base change. Hence for any quasi-stable linear framed or first-order framed presheaf  $F$ , there is the morphism of Čech complexes for  $F$  with respect to the coverings  $U_1 \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow U_1$ , and  $U_2 \times_X \tilde{X} \rightarrow U_2$ . Hence the presheaf is a quasi-stable linear (first-order) framed presheaf. Thus the first claim is proven. The second claim follows by the naturality of the above construction. The case of  $\underline{H}_\nu^*(-, F_\nu)$  is similar.  $\square$

### 3.0.3. Framed transfers for cohomologies.

**Lemma 3.12.** *For a morphism of schemes  $T \rightarrow X$ , and a closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $X$ , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{Nis}_{T \times_X Z}}^*(T, F) \cong H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(T, F).$$

*Proof.* The claim follows since the topology  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  restricted on  $\text{Sch}_T$  equals the topology  $\text{Nis}_{T \times_X Z}^T$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.13.** Let  $c = (T, \tau, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{th}}((X, U), (Y, V))$ , for  $(X, U), (Y, V) \in \text{Sm}_S^{\text{pair}}$  over a base scheme  $S$ . Define the morphism

$$c^* : H_{\text{Nis}_W}^*(Y, F) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}_Z}^*(X, F)$$

where  $W = Y \setminus V$ ,  $Z = X \setminus U$ , and  $\text{Nis}_Z$  and  $\text{Nis}_W$  denote the topologies on  $\text{Sch}_X$  and  $\text{Sch}_Y$ , as the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}(T) & \xleftarrow{g^*} H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}(Y) & (3.14) \\ & \downarrow & & \\ & H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(T) & \xrightarrow[\text{Lm 3.10}]{\cong} H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(T) & \\ & \downarrow & & \\ & H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(X) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\text{Lm 3.10}} H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(X) & \end{array}$$

where the second morphism in the sequence is provided by Lemma 3.12 and the equality  $c^{-1}(W) = Z$ , and  $H_\nu^*(-) = H_\nu^*(-, F_\nu)$ . The same applies to  $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}(X, F)$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** *For any quasi-stable linear first-order framed presheaf  $F$  over a base scheme  $S$  the presheaf*

$$(X, X - Z) \mapsto H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F),$$

where  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  is the topology on  $\text{Sch}_X$  from Definition 3.4, has the canonical structure of the quasi-stable first-order framed presheaf. The same applies to  $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F)$ .

*Proof.* The transfer homomorphisms are given by Definition 3.13. To prove the claim we need to show the functoriality, i.e. that

$$(c_2 \circ c_1)^* \cong c_1^* \circ c_2^*, \quad (3.16)$$

for two composable framed correspondences  $c_1, c_2$ . Consider two subcategories in  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$ : (p) denote by  $\text{Fr}_p^{\text{1th}}(S)$  the subcategory that morphisms are correspondences  $c = (T, \tau, g)$  such that  $g \cong \text{id}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))}$ , and (z) denote by  $\text{Fr}_0(S)$  the subcategory spanned by framed correspondences of level zero, i.e. regular maps of schemes. Any morphism  $c = (T, \tau, g) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Y)$  in  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{1th}}(S)$  admits the decomposition

$$c = g \circ c_p, c_p = (T, \tau, \text{id}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))}) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X, Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))).$$

Because of this to prove the claim we can assume that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in (3.16) belong to the union of the sets of morphisms  $\text{Fr}_p^{\text{1th}}(S)$ , and  $\text{Fr}_0^{\text{1th}}(S)$ . We consider the four cases of types of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

(0) For  $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Fr}_0^{\text{1th}}(S)$  the claim holds by the functoriality of the pushforwards for regular morphisms of schemes.

(1) For  $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Fr}_p^{\text{1th}}(S)$  the claim follows by Lemma 3.11.

(2) The case of  $c_1 = (T, \tau, \text{id}_{Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T))}) \in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}(X_1, Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T)))$ ,  $c_2 = g \in \text{Fr}_0(Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T)), Y) = \text{Sch}_S(Z(\mathcal{I}^2(T)), Y)$  holds by Definition 3.13.

(3) Let

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = f &\in \text{Fr}_0((X, Z), (X', Z')) = \text{Sch}_S^{\text{pair}}((X, Z), (X', Z')), \\ c_2 = (Y, \tau, \text{id}_Y) &\in \text{Fr}_n^{\text{1th}}((X', Z'), (Y, W)). \end{aligned}$$

Then the claim follows because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}^*(T, F) & \longleftarrow & H_{\text{Nis}_W^Y}^*(Y, F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(T, F) & \longleftarrow & H_{\text{Nis}_{Z'}^{X'}}^*(Y, F) \\ \simeq \uparrow \text{Lm 3.10} & & \simeq \uparrow \text{Lm 3.10} \\ H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(T, F) & \longleftarrow & H_{\text{Nis}_{Z'}^{X'}}^*(Y, F) \\ \downarrow \text{Lm 3.11} & & \downarrow \text{Lm 3.11} \\ H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & H_{\text{Nis}_{Z'}^{X'}}^*(X', F), \end{array}$$

where  $T = Y \times_{X'} X$ .

The case of  $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F)$  is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $V$  be a scheme over  $S$ , and let  $U$  be a  $V$ -scheme. Let  $X$  be a  $S$ -scheme, and  $c \in \text{Fr}_n(U, X)$  be a framed correspondence over  $S$ . Then for any linear presheaf with framed transfers  $F$  over  $S$ , there is the commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Y^U}^*(U, F) & \xleftarrow{c^*} & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}}^*(U, F) & \xleftarrow{c^*} & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}}^*(X, F), \end{array}$$

where  $Y = c^{-1}(Z)$ .

*Proof.* The claim follows because morphisms of diagram (3.14) commute with the morphisms  $H_\nu^*(-, F_\nu) \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{Nis}})$ , for  $\nu$  as in Definition 3.13.  $\square$

#### 4. COHOMOLOGIES OUT OF INFINITY ON $\mathbb{A}_V^1$ .

In this section, we show some partial  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariance of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable linear framed presheaves. Namely, we introduce the notion of cohomologies out of the infinity, and show that the cohomologies out of infinity on the relative affine line  $\mathbb{A}_V^1$  over a local henselian scheme  $V$  are trivial for a quasi-stable linear framed presheaf, Theorem 4.12. We say 'cohomologies out of infinity' and we could 'the universal cohomologies that are trivial at infinity', by the definition these are cohomologies with respect to the union of subtopologies  $\text{Nis}_Z^{\mathbb{A}^1 \times V}$ , defined in Section 3, for all closed subschemes  $Z$  that are finite over  $V$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme over a scheme  $S$ . Denote by  $\text{Prop}_S^X$  the topology on  $\text{Sch}_X$  generated by topologies  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$ , for all closed subschemes  $Z$  in  $X$  proper over  $S$ , see Definition 3.4.

**Example 4.2.** Let  $S$  be a scheme. We denote by

$$\text{Fin}_S = \text{Prop}_S^{\mathbb{A}^1 \times S}$$

the topology on  $\text{Sch}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times S}$  generated by  $\text{Nis}_Z^{\mathbb{A}^1 \times S}$ , for all closed subschemes  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times S$  finite over  $S$ .

#### 4.1. Excision isomorphisms.

**Proposition 4.3.** (*Étale excision*)

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be an étale neighbourhood of a closed subscheme  $Z$  in an essentially smooth local henselian scheme  $X$  over  $k$ . Then for any quasi-stable framed linear presheaf  $F$  the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\tilde{X} - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(\tilde{X}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(X - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X) \end{array}$$

is the Cartesian and coCartesian.

**Proposition 4.4.** (*Zariski excision with finite support*) Let  $V$  be a local scheme. Let  $Z_0, Z_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_V^1$  be closed immersions,  $Z_0 \cap Z_1 = \emptyset$ . Assume that  $Z_0$  is finite over  $V$ .

Then for any quasi-stable linear framed presheaf

$$G: \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

see Definitions 2.4 and 2.5 for the category of open pairs, the morphism

$$j^*: G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0), \quad (4.5)$$

induced by the canonical open immersion of pairs

$$j: (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  the canonical ample bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and let  $t_\infty$  denote the section that vanishing locus is  $\infty$ . Denote by the same symbols the inverse images on the schemes of the form  $- \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , in particular  $\mathbb{P}_V^1$ .

Denote  $\infty_V = \infty \times V = \mathbb{P}_V^1 \setminus \mathbb{A}_V^1$ , and let  $\overline{Z}_1$  be the closure of  $Z_1$  in  $\mathbb{P}_V^1$ . Since  $Z_0$  is finite over  $V$  it is a closed subscheme in  $\mathbb{P}_V^1$ . So

$$Z_0 \cap \overline{Z}_1 = Z_0 \cap Z_1 = \emptyset.$$

Denote by  $\delta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$  a section that vanishing locus is the graph of the canonical immersion  $\mathbb{A}_V^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_V^1$ .

Since  $Z_0$  and  $\overline{Z}_1$  are finite over a local scheme, they are semi-local, and for any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are invertible sections

$$\gamma_{1,\infty} \in \Gamma(\overline{Z}_1, \mathcal{O}(l)), \gamma_{Z_0} \in \Gamma(Z_0, \mathcal{O}(l-1)).$$

We are going to show that (4.5) has left and right inverse morphisms.

(1) Firstly, we construct the left inverse. By [28, III, Corollary 10.7] for large enough  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exist sections

$$s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l)), s'_0 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l-1))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0} \delta|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0}, & s'_0|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0}, \\ s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\infty_V \cup \overline{Z}_1)} &= \gamma_{1,\infty}, & s'_0|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times \infty_V} &= \gamma_{1,\infty} \delta^{-1}|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\infty_V)}, \\ & & s'_0|_{Z(\delta)} &= t_\infty^{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the section  $s = s_1 \lambda + s_0(1 - \lambda)$ , and framed correspondences of open pairs over  $V$

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (Z(s), \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V \mathbb{A}_V^1, s/t_\infty^l, g) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)), \\ c'_0 &= (Z(s'), 0 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), s'_0/t_\infty^l, g'_0) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(0 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)), \\ \tilde{c}_1 &= (Z(s_1), 1 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1), s_1/t_\infty^l, \tilde{g}_1) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g, g'_0$  and  $\tilde{g}_1$  are given by the projections to the second multiplicand. Define

$$\text{id}^\tau = (Z(\delta), 0 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V \mathbb{A}_V^1, s_0/t_\infty^l, g_0) \in \text{Fr}_1(0 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0))$$

$g_0$  is induced by the projection to the second multiplicand. Then the following equalities hold  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V)$

$$c \circ i_1 = j \circ \tilde{c}_1, c \circ i_0 = \text{id}^\tau + e \circ c'_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} i_0: 0 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), \\ i_1: 1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e: (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0).$$

Since  $G$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant the framed correspondence  $\text{id}^\tau$  induces the same endomorphism on  $G((\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0))$  as  $\sigma_{(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)}$  because of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy

$$(Z(\delta), (s_0 \lambda + \delta t_\infty^{l-1})/t_\infty^l, g_0 \circ \text{pr}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)),$$

where  $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$ . Since  $G$  is additive we have  $i_0^* c^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^*$ . The morphism  $c'$  is trivial morphism in the category of pairs  $\text{Fr}_+^{\text{pair}}(V)$ , and induces consequently the trivial morphism  $(c')^*$  on the values of the presheaf  $G$ . Thus morphism (4.5) has the left inverse, because

$$c_1^* j^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* = \sigma_{(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)}^*,$$

and since the latter morphism is an automorphism, because  $G$  is quasi-stable.

(1) Next, we construct the right inverse to (4.5). Define  $V_1 = \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1$ . By [28, III, Corollary 10.7], for large enough  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exist sections

$$s_1 \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l)), s'_0 \in \Gamma(V_1 \times \mathbb{P}_V^1, \mathcal{O}(l-1))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times_V Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0} \delta|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times Z_0}, & s'_0|_{V_1 \times_V Z_0} &= \gamma_{Z_0}, \\ s_1|_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times (\infty_V \cup \overline{Z}_1)} &= \gamma_{1,\infty}, & s'_0|_{V_1 \times_V (\infty_V \cup \overline{Z}_1)} &= \gamma_{1,\infty} \delta^{-1}|_{V_1 \times_V (\infty_V \cup \overline{Z}_1)}, \\ & & s'_0|_{Z(\delta)} &= t_\infty^{l-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Define the sections

$$s_0 = \delta s'_0, s = s_1 \lambda + s_0(1 - \lambda),$$

and framed correspondences of open pairs over  $V$

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (Z(s), \mathbb{A}^1 \times V_1 \times_V V_1, s/t_\infty^l, g) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)), \\ c'_0 &= (Z(s'), 0 \times V_1 \times_V (V_1 - Z_0), s'_0/t_\infty^l, g'_0) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(0 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1 - Z_0, V_1 - Z_0)), \\ \tilde{c}_1 &= (Z(s_1), 1 \times \mathbb{A}_V^1 \times_V V_1, s_1/t_\infty^l, g) \\ &\in \text{Fr}_1(1 \times (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g$ ,  $g'_0$  and  $\tilde{g}_1$  are given by the projections on the second multiplicand. Define

$$\text{id}^\tau = (Z(\delta), 0 \times V_1 \times_V V_1, s_0, g_0) \in \text{Fr}_1(1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0))$$

$g_0$  is induced by the projection to the second multiplicand. Then the following equalities hold in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V)$

$$c \circ i_1 = j \circ \tilde{c}_1, \quad c \circ i_0 = \text{id}^\tau + ec'_0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} i_0: 0 \times (V, V_1 - Z_0) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), \\ i_1: 1 \times (V, V_1 - Z_0) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), \\ e: (V_1 - Z_0, V_1 - Z_0) &\rightarrow (V_1, V_1 - Z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, the framed correspondence  $\text{id}^\tau$  induces the same endomorphism on  $G((V_1, V_1 - Z_0))$  as  $\sigma_{(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)}$  because of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy

$$(Z(\delta), (s_0\lambda + \delta t_0^{l-1})/t_\infty^l, g_0 \circ \text{pr}) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0), (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)),$$

where  $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1 \times (V_1, V_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (V_1, V_1 - Z_0)$ . Since  $G$  is additive we have  $i_0^*c^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^*$ . The object  $(V_1 - Z_0, V_1 - Z_0)$  is trivial in the category of pairs, so the morphism  $c'_0$  is trivial, and the induced morphism  $(c'_0)^*$  too. Thus morphism (4.5) has the right inverse, because

$$j^*c_1^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* + (ec'_0)^* = (\text{id}^\tau)^* = \sigma_{(V_1, V_1 - Z_0)}^*,$$

and since the latter morphism is an automorphism, because  $G$  is quasi-stable.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $V$  be a local scheme. Let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme in  $\mathbb{A}_V^1$  finite over  $V$ , and  $X' \subset X$  be open neighbourhoods of  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}_V^1$ .*

*Then for any quasi-stable linear framed presheaf*

$$G: \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

*see Definitions 2.4 to 2.6 for the category of open pairs  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_*^{\text{pair}}(V)$ , the canonical morphism*

$$G(X', X' - Z) \rightarrow G(X, X - Z),$$

*is an isomorphism. For any quasi-stable framed linear presheaf  $F$  on  $\text{Sm}_V$ , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X' - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(X - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X) \end{array}$$

*is Cartesian and coCartesian.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.4 the claim for any considered presheaf  $G$  holds for  $X = \mathbb{A}_V^1$ . The case of an arbitrary open neighbourhood  $X$  of  $Z$  follows by the composition

$$G(X', X' - Z) \simeq G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \simeq G(X, X - Z).$$

To deduce the claim for the presheaf  $F$  consider the framed presheaves on the category of open pairs over  $V$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (X, U) &\mapsto \text{Ker}(F(X) \rightarrow F(U)), \\ (X, U) &\mapsto \text{Coker}(F(X) \rightarrow F(U)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The claim follows by Proposition 4.4, since the canonical open immersion of pairs

$$j: (\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0)$$

induces the isomorphism

$$j^*: G(\mathbb{A}_V^1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_0) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1, \mathbb{A}_V^1 - Z_1 - Z_0),$$

for  $G$  being anyone from (4.7).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $V$  be a local scheme, and  $X = \mathbb{A}_V^1$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme in  $X$  finite over  $V$  that is local with the closed point  $z$ .*

*Then for any quasi-stable framed linear presheaf  $F$  over  $V$ , the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_z - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X_z) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(X - Z) & \longleftarrow & F(X) \end{array}$$

*is Cartesian and coCartesian, where  $X_z$  denotes the local scheme of  $X$  at  $z$ .*

*Proof.* The claim follows from Corollary 4.6.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Coverings and cohomologies.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $Z$  be a closed subscheme. Consider the morphism*

$$X_Z^h \amalg (X - Z) \rightarrow X$$

*and let  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_Z \amalg (X - Z)$  be a Nisnevich covering. Then for any additive presheaf of abelian groups  $F$  over  $X$ , there is a quasi-equivalence of complexes*

$$\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) \simeq \text{coCone}(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X - Z, F) \oplus \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_Z, F) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_Z - Z, F))$$

*where the first term is the Čech complex with respect to the composite morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , see Section 1.3.3, and the other terms are defined in view of the base changes over  $X - Z$  and  $\tilde{X}_Z$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $X' = \tilde{X}_Z \amalg (X - Z)$ , and

$$\tilde{X}_Z = \tilde{X} \times_{X'} \tilde{X}_Z, \quad \tilde{X}_{X-Z} = \tilde{X} \times_{X'} (X - Z).$$

Then  $\tilde{X} \cong \tilde{X}_Z \amalg \tilde{X}_{X-Z}$ .

The terms of the complex  $\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F)$  are groups

$$F(\tilde{X}^{\times l}) \simeq \bigoplus_{J \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} F\left(\prod_{j \in J} \tilde{X}_Z \times \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, l\} - J} \tilde{X}_{X-Z}\right).$$

If  $J \neq \{1, \dots, n\}$  above, then

$$\prod_{j \in J} \tilde{X}_Z \times \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, l\} - J} \tilde{X}_{X-Z} \cong (X - Z) \times \prod_{j \in J} \tilde{X}_Z \times \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, l\} - J} \tilde{X}_{X-Z}.$$

Hence

$$\text{coCone}(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X - Z, F))$$

is quasi-equivalent to the complex

$$\text{coCone}(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}_Z}(X, F) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}_Z}(X - Z_0, F))$$

Similarly, the complex

$$\text{coCone}(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_Z, F) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_Z - Z, F)) \tag{4.10}$$

is quasi-equivalent to

$$\text{coCone}(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}_Z}(X_Z^h) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}_Z}(X_Z^h - Z)), \tag{4.11}$$

where all the complexes including the latter two complexes are defined in view of the relative product over  $X$ . The diagonal embedding  $X_Z^h \rightarrow X_Z^h \times_X X_Z^h$  defines a clopen immersion, since  $X_Z^h$  is pro-étale over  $X$ . Since  $X_Z^h \times_X Z = Z$ ,

$$(X_Z^h \times X_Z^h - X_Z^h) \times_X Z = \emptyset \amalg \hat{X}.$$

Hence for each  $l$ ,

$$(\tilde{X}_Z^{\times l} \times_X X_Z^h - \tilde{X}_Z^{\times l}) \times_X Z = \emptyset.$$

Then it follows that complexes (4.10) and (4.11) are quasi-equivalent. So the claim follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $V$  be a local henselian scheme, and  $F$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable framed additive presheaf of abelian groups over  $V$ . Let  $X = V \times \mathbb{A}^1 - W$ , for a closed subscheme  $W$  finite over  $V$ . Then*

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) = 0,$$

for any closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $X$  finite over  $V$ .

*Proof.* If  $Z$  is finite over a local henselian scheme  $V$ , the connected components of  $Z$  are local henselian schemes. We prove the claim by induction on the number of the connected components of  $Z$ . If  $Z = \emptyset$ , i.e. the number is zero, the claim is tautology, since the topology  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  is trivial. We can assume that  $Z = Z_0 \amalg Z_1$ , where  $Z_0$  is local henselian, and  $Z_1$  has less number of connected components than  $Z$ . According to Section 1.3.3

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) = \varinjlim_{\tilde{X}} \underline{H}^*(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F)),$$

where  $\tilde{X}$  runs over filtered set of  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$  of  $X$ . Hence

$$\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) = H^*(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F)),$$

where  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_0 \amalg \tilde{X}_1 \amalg (X - Z)$ , where  $\tilde{X}_0 = X_{Z_0}^h$ ,  $\tilde{X}_1 = X_{Z_1}^h$ .

There is a quasi-equivalence of complexes

$$\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_0 - Z_0, F) \simeq F(\tilde{X}_0 - Z_0)$$

since  $\tilde{X}_0 \times_X Z_1 = \emptyset$ . By the inductive assumption applied to  $X - Z_0$  and closed subscheme  $Z_1$ , there is a quasi-equivalence

$$\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X - Z_0, F) \simeq F(X)$$

Also, there a quasi-equivalence

$$\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_0, F) \simeq F(\tilde{X}_0)$$

since there is a lifting  $\tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_0 \times_X \tilde{X}$ .

Since  $\tilde{X}$  is a refinement of the  $\text{Nis}_Z^X$ -covering

$$\tilde{X}_0 \amalg (X - Z_0) \rightarrow X$$

we have the quasi-equivalence of complexes

$$\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) \simeq \text{coCone}(\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X - Z_0, F) \oplus \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_0, F) \rightarrow \check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X}_0 - Z_0, F)).$$

By Proposition 4.3 and corollary 4.8 there is the quasi-equivalence

$$F(X) \simeq \text{coCone}(F(X - Z_0) \oplus F(\tilde{X}_0 - Z_0) \rightarrow F(\tilde{X}_0 - Z_0))$$

Thus we get the quasi-equivalence of complexes  $\check{C}_{\tilde{X}}(X, F) \simeq F(X)$ . So the claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme. Consider the topology  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^S \cup \text{Fin}_S$  on  $\text{Sm}_{S \times \mathbb{A}^1}$  generated by the topologies  $\underline{\text{Nis}}^S$ , see Definition 3.1, and  $\text{Fin}_S$ , see Example 4.2. Then the projection  $S \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$  induces the canonical isomorphism*

$$H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}^S \cup \text{Fin}_S}^*(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \simeq H_{\text{Nis}}^*(S, F),$$

for any  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable framed additive presheaf of abelian groups  $F$  on  $\text{Sm}_S$ .

*Proof.* Consider the presheaves on the small étale site over  $S$

$$\begin{aligned} U &\mapsto h_{\text{fnis}}^q(U) = H_{\text{fnis}}^q(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \\ U &\mapsto h_{\text{nis}}^q(U) = H_{\text{nis}}^q(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, F). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.12 the canonical morphism of presheaves  $h_{\text{fnis}}^q \rightarrow h_{\text{nis}}^q$  is a Nisnevich local isomorphism. Then the morphism of the spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{nis}}^p(S, h_{\text{fnis}}^q) &\Rightarrow H_{\underline{\text{Nis}}^S \cup \text{Fin}_S}^{p+q}(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \\ H_{\text{nis}}^p(S, h_{\text{nis}}^q) &\Rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^{p+q}(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \end{aligned}$$



is an isomorphism.  $\square$

## 5. FINITE SUPPORT INJECTIVITY

In this section, we apply the result of the previous section to formulate used in next sections enough criterion for the injectivity of the restriction homomorphism

$$H_{\text{nis}}^*(X, F_{\text{nis}}) \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^*(\eta, F_{\text{nis}})$$

of the Nisnevich cohomologies of an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant framed linear presheaf  $F$  from an irreducible scheme  $X$  to the generic point  $\eta$ , Corollary 5.2.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme over a field  $k$ , and  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ , and  $Z$  be a closed subscheme in  $X$ . Assume there is a framed correspondence  $c \in \text{Fr}_N(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$  such that  $c^{-1}(Z)$  is finite over  $S$ . Denote  $c_0 = c \circ i_0$ ,  $c_1 = c \circ i_1$ , where  $i_0: S \times 0 \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $i_1: S \times 1 \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then the morphisms*

$$c_0^*, c_1^*: \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(S, F),$$

are equal, for all  $i \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The claim follows because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^*(X, F) & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{c_0^{-1}(Z)}^{S \times 0}}^*(S \times 0, F) & \longleftarrow & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{c^{-1}(Z)}^{S \times \mathbb{A}^1}}^*(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) & \longrightarrow & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{c_1^{-1}(Z)}^{S \times 1}}^*(S \times 1, F) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(S \times 0, F) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_{S \cup \text{Fin}_S}}^*(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(S \times 1, F), \end{array}$$

where the topologies in the second row are defined in Definition 3.4,  $\text{Nis}_S$  is defined in Definition 3.1, and  $\text{Fin}_S$  in Example 4.2. Here the arrows between the first two rows are given by Definition 2.10, the arrows from the second row to the third one are inverse images for the canonical morphisms of sites. The commutativity of the diagram is provided by Proposition 3.15 and lemma 3.17, and the isomorphisms in the last row are proven by Corollary 4.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme over a field  $k$ , and  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ , and  $Z$  be a closed subscheme in  $X$ . Assume that*

- there is a framed correspondence  $c \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_N(S \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$  given by the difference of explicit framed correspondences  $c = c^+ - c^-$  such that schemes  $(c^+)^{-1}(Z)$  and  $(c^-)^{-1}(Z)$  are finite over  $S$ .
- for some  $\tilde{c}_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\text{F}_N(S, X - Z)$

$$c_1 = j \circ \tilde{c}_1,$$

where  $c_1 = c \circ i_1$ ,  $i_1: S \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is the unit section,  $j: X - Z \rightarrow X$  is the canonical immersion.

Then the morphisms

$$c_0^*: \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(X, F)$$

induced by  $c_0$  vanish, for all  $i \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The claim follows, since homomorphism  $j^*: \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, F) \rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(X - Z, F)$  given by the inverse image vanishes, and  $c_0^* = \tilde{c}_1^* j^*$  by Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *If the assumption of Corollary 5.2 holds for any  $Z$  in  $X$  of positive codimension, then*

$$\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(X, F) \cong 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Theta$  denote the scheme that is the union of generic points of  $X$ . Define and consider the topology  $\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta} := \bigcup_Z \text{Nis}_Z^X$ , where  $Z$  runs over all closed subschemes  $Z$  in  $X$  of positive codimension. By Corollary 5.2 we have  $\underline{H}_{\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}}^i(X, F) \cong \varinjlim \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X - Z, F) \cong 0$ . The claim of corollary follows because of the spectral sequence for the morphism of sites given by  $\text{Nis}^{X/\Theta}$  and  $\text{Nis}$

$$H_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^i(X, H_{\text{nis}}^j(-, F)) \Rightarrow \underline{H}_{\text{Nis}_Z^X}^{i+j}(X, F),$$

since  $\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^i(\Theta, F) = 0$ .  $\square$

## 6. COMPACTIFIED CORRESPONDENCES AND COHOMOLOGIES AT $\infty$ ON $\mathbb{A}_V^1$ .

In this section, we prove triviality of the cohomologies at infinity on the relative affine line  $\mathbb{A}_V^1$  over a local essentially smooth scheme  $V$ . Namely, we construct framed  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy 'with finite supports' needed to apply Corollary 5.3.

### 6.1. Compactified correspondences.

**Definition 6.1.** A  $d$ -dimensional framed correspondence  $\Phi$  from a  $U$ -scheme  $X$  to an  $U$ -scheme  $Y$  over a scheme  $U$  is a set of data  $(S, \varphi, g)$ , where

- (1)  $S$  is an  $X$ -scheme of relative dimension  $d$  over  $X$  equipped with a closed embedding  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$ ,
- (2)  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}((\mathbb{A}_X^n)_S^h)^{\oplus n-d}$  is a set of results functions such that  $\mathcal{X} = Z(\varphi)$ , and
- (3)  $g: (\mathbb{A}_X^n)_S^h \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of  $S$ -schemes.

**Definition 6.2.** Let  $B$  a local one-dimensional scheme,  $z \in B$  be the closed point,  $\eta \in B$  be the generic point.

Let  $V \in \text{Sch}_B$ ,  $T \in \text{Sch}_\eta$ , and  $V_\eta = V \times_B \eta$ . Assume we are given with

- (1) a projective equi-dimensional scheme  $\overline{X}$  over  $V$  of relative dimension  $d$ , and an ample bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\overline{X}$  with a section  $t_\infty \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(1))$  such that the closed subscheme  $X_\infty = Z(t_\infty)$  in  $\overline{X}$  has positive relative codimension over  $V$ ;
- (2) a  $d$ -dimensional framed correspondence  $\Phi = (X_\eta, \varphi, g)$  from  $V_\eta$  to  $T$  over  $\eta$ ,
- (3) an isomorphism  $X_\eta \cong (\overline{X} - X_\infty) \times_B \eta$ ;

The set of data  $(\overline{X}, X_\infty, \Phi)$  is called  $V$ -focused compactified  $d$ -dimensional  $\eta$ -framed correspondence from  $V_\eta$  to  $T$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $B$  a local one-dimensional scheme,  $z \in B$  be the closed point,  $\eta \in B$  be the generic point. Let  $V \in \text{Sch}_B$ ,  $T \in \text{Sch}_\eta$ , and  $V_\eta = V \times_B \eta$ .

Let  $r: V_\eta \rightarrow T$  be a morphism of schemes. Let  $(\overline{X}, X_\infty, \Phi)$  be  $V$ -focused compactified  $d$ -dimensional  $\eta$ -framed correspondence from  $V_\eta$  to  $T$ .

We say that  $(\overline{X}, X_\infty, \Phi)$   $V$ -smoothly contains  $r$  if there is a closed subscheme  $\Gamma$  in  $X = \overline{X} - X_\infty$  such that

- (1) the canonical projection  $X \rightarrow V$  induces the isomorphism  $\gamma: \Gamma \cong V$ , and the morphism  $X \rightarrow V$  is smooth over  $\Gamma$ ,
- (2)  $g \circ \gamma_\eta^{-1} = r$ , where  $\gamma_\eta: \Gamma \times_B \eta \cong V_\eta$ .

### 6.2. Universal endo-correspondence and contracting correspondence of dimension one.

**Proposition 6.4.** Let  $B$  be a local scheme. Let  $Z \in B$  be a closed subscheme,  $U \subset B$  be the open complement. Let  $\overline{X}$  be a projective scheme over  $B$ , and  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  denote an ample bundle on  $\overline{X}$ .

Let  $Y \rightarrow \overline{X}$  and  $D \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be closed immersions,  $F = \overline{F_U}$  be the the closure in  $\overline{X}$  of the scheme  $F_U = (Y \cap D) \times_B U$ , and  $\Delta: B \rightarrow X$  be a section such that

- (1)  $\overline{X}$  is equi-dimensional scheme over  $B$  of pure relative dimension  $n$ ;
- (2)  $Y$  and  $D$  has positive codimension in the closed fibre;
- (3)  $F$  is of codimension at least 2 in the closed fibre;
- (4) the  $B$ -scheme  $\overline{X}$  is smooth over the subscheme  $\Delta(B)$ , and  $\Delta(B) \cap D = \emptyset$ .

Then for large enough  $d_i$ , there are sections  $s_i \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d_i))$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , such that

- (1) the vanishing locus  $\overline{C} = Z(s_2, \dots, s_n)$  is of pure relative dimension one over  $B$ ;
- (2)  $\Delta(B) \subset \overline{C}$ , and the morphism  $\overline{C} \rightarrow B$  is smooth over  $\Delta(B)$ ;
- (3)  $\overline{C} \cap D$  and  $\overline{C} \cap Y$  are finite over  $B$ ;
- (4)  $(\overline{C} \cap Y \cap D) \times_B U = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Step 1) Consider the closed subscheme  $\Delta(B)$  in  $\overline{X}$ , and the first order thickening  $\Delta(B)_2 = Z(\mathcal{I}^2(\Delta(B)))$ . Since the morphism  $\overline{X} \rightarrow B$  is smooth over  $\Delta(B)$ , and  $\Delta(B)$  is local, there is a trivialisation  $N_{\Delta(B)/(\overline{X})} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\Delta(B)}^n$ . Since  $\Delta(B)$  is local, there is a trivialisation  $\mathcal{O}(1)|_{\Delta(B)} \simeq \mathcal{O}(\Delta(B))$ . Hence for any  $d$ , there are sections

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma(\Delta(B)_2, \mathcal{O}(d))$$

such that

$$Z(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \Delta(B).$$

Step 2) Consider the schemes

$$\overline{X} \times_B z, \overline{X} \times_B z, Y \times_B z, D \times_B z, F \times_B z. \quad (6.5)$$

Let  $N$  be an irreducible component of anyone of schemes (6.5). Let  $S \subset \overline{X} \times_B z$  be a finite set of closed points, such that for any such  $N$  the intersection  $N \cap S$  is not empty. Choose a section

$$s_n \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d)), s_n|_{\Delta(B)_2} = \gamma_n, s_n|_S \in \mathcal{O}(d_n)^\times. \quad (6.6)$$

Define

$$\overline{X}_{n-1} = Z(s_n) \subset \overline{X} =: \overline{X}_n.$$

Then it follows by the second condition on  $s_n$  in (6.6) that  $\dim_z(\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z) = n - 1$ , and hence

$$\dim_B \overline{X}_{n-1} = n - 1. \quad (6.7)$$

Moreover, it follows by the same condition that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(Y \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z &\geq 1, \\ \text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(D \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z &\geq 1, \\ \text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(F \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z &\geq 2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

and consequently

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X}_{n-1} \times_B z}(F_{n-1} \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B z \geq 2, \quad (6.9)$$

where  $F_{n-1} = \overline{F_{U,n-1}}$ ,  $F_{U,n-1} = (Y \cap D \cap \overline{X}_{n-1}) \times_B U$ . Also we conclude that  $\overline{X}_{n-1}$  is smooth on  $\Delta(B)$  over  $B$  by the first condition on  $s_n$  in (6.6).

By induction we construct sections  $s_i \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d_i))$ , for  $i = n, \dots, 2$ , and get the schemes  $X_i, Y_i, D_i, F_i$  satisfying

$$\dim_B \overline{X}_i = i, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X} \times_B z}(Y_i \times_B z) \geq 1, \quad (6.11)$$

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X} \times_B z}(D_i \times_B z) \geq 1, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X} \times_B z}(F_i \times_B z) \geq 2, \quad (6.13)$$

where  $Y_i = Y \cap \overline{X}_i$ ,  $D_i = D \cap \overline{X}_i$ ,  $F_i = \overline{F_{i,U}}$ ,  $F_{i,U} = (Y \cap D \cap \overline{X}_i) \times_B U$ , and such that  $\Delta \subset \overline{X}_i$ ,  $\overline{X}_i \rightarrow B$  is smooth over  $\Delta$ .

Then the scheme  $\overline{C} = \overline{X}_1 = Z(s_2, \dots, s_n)$  satisfies the claim of the proposition. Indeed, assertion (1) in the proposition holds by (6.10). Assertion (2) holds by the reason explained above. Assertion (3) follows by (6.11), and (6.12). Hence  $F_1$  is a finite scheme over  $B$ , and since by (6.13)  $F_1 \times_B z = \emptyset$ , it follows by the Nakayama lemma that  $F_1 = \emptyset$ . Whence it follows that

$$(Y \cap D \cap \overline{C}) \times_B U = F_1 \times_B U = \emptyset.$$

So assertion (4) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.14.** *Let  $B$  be a one-dimensional irreducible local scheme,  $z \in B$  be the closed point,  $\eta \in B$  be the generic point. Let  $X \in \text{Sm}_B$ ,  $x \in X_z = X \times_B z$ . Let  $d = \dim_B^x X$  be the relative dimension of  $X$  at  $x$  over  $B$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme of positive codimension in  $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$ .*

*Then there is a  $d$ -dimensional  $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified  $\eta$ -framed correspondence  $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$  from  $(X_{(x)})_\eta = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$  to  $X_\eta = X \times_n \eta$ , where  $\Phi = (S_\eta, \varphi, \text{val})$ ,  $S_\eta = S \times_B \eta$ ,  $S = S - S_\infty$ , such that*

- (1)  $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$   $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map  $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ ;
- (2)  $\text{val}^{-1}(Z)$  is of positive relative codimension in  $\overline{X}_\eta$ ,
- (3) and

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{S} \times_{X_{(x)}} x} (\overline{YX_\infty} \times_{X_{(x)}} x) \geq 2, \quad (6.15)$$

where  $\overline{YX_\infty}$  is the closure of  $(\text{val}^{-1}(Z) \cap S_\infty) \times_B \eta$  in  $\overline{S}$ .

*Proof.* Step 0) We can assume without loss of generality that  $X \in \text{SmAff}_B$ ,  $X$  is irreducible,  $\dim_B X = d$ ,  $T_{X_\eta/\eta} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{X_\eta}^d$ , where  $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$ ; since if otherwise then we can shrink the given scheme  $X$  to a Zariski neighbourhood of  $x$  with this properties.

Step 1) Let  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^{N'}$  be a closed immersion,  $N' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\overline{X}$  denote the closure of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}_B^{N'}$ . Then  $\overline{X}$  is irreducible projective scheme over  $B$ . Since  $\dim B = 1$ , the scheme  $\overline{X}$  is equi-dimensional over  $B$  by A.2. Denote

$$\overline{X}_\eta = \overline{X} \times_B \eta, \overline{X}_z = \overline{X} \times_B z.$$

Consider the closed complement  $X_{\infty, \eta} = \overline{X}_\eta \setminus X_\eta$ , and denote by  $X_\infty$  the closure of  $X_{\infty, \eta}$  in  $\overline{X}$ . Note that

$$X_\infty \times_B \eta = X_{\infty, \eta} \text{ and } X_\infty \subset \overline{X} \setminus X.$$

Since  $\dim B = 1$ , it follows by Proposition A.2, that  $X_\infty$  is equi-dimensional over  $B$ , and

$$\dim(X_\infty \times_B z) < \dim_B X = d.$$

So  $X_\infty$  is of positive relative codimension in  $\overline{X}$  over  $B$ .

Step 2) Since  $\overline{X}$  is a projective scheme over  $B$ , there is an ample bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\overline{X}$ . Let  $F \subset \overline{X}_z$  be a finite set of closed points that intersects non-emptily every irreducible component of  $(\overline{X}_z) - ((X_\infty \times_B z) \cup x)$ . Then, for a large enough  $d$ , there is a section  $t_\infty \in \Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}(d))$

$$t_\infty|_{X_\infty} = 0, t_\infty|_S \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{O}(d)^\times).$$

Since  $\dim_B((X_\infty \times_B z) \cup x) < \dim_B X$ , it follows that  $\overline{X}_z$  equals the closure of  $(\overline{X}_z) - ((X_\infty \times_B z) \cup x)$ . Hence  $F$  intersects each irreducible component of  $\overline{X}_z$ . Then

$$Z(t_\infty) \supset X_\infty, \dim_B Z(t_\infty) = n - 1, n = \dim_B X.$$

Let us denote

$$X'_\infty = Z(t_\infty), X' = \overline{X} - X'_\infty,$$

and redenote  $\mathcal{O}(1) := \mathcal{O}(d)$ .

Step 3) By step (2)  $X'$  is an affine Zariski neighbourhood of  $x$  in the projective  $B$ -scheme  $\overline{X}$ , the complement  $X'_\infty$  is of positive relative codimension in  $\overline{X}$  over  $B$ , and  $X'_\infty \supset X_\infty$ . Consequently,  $X'$  is smooth at  $x$  over  $B$ , and there is the canonical open immersion

$$X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta,$$

where  $X'_\eta = X' \times_B \eta$ .

Step 4) Since the tangent bundle of  $X_\eta$  is trivial, we get

$$X'_\eta \in \text{SmAff}_\eta, T_{X'_\eta} \simeq \mathbf{1}_{X'_\eta}^d.$$

Then there is a closed immersion  $X'_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\eta^N$  such that the normal bundle  $N_{X'_\eta/\mathbb{A}_\eta^N}$  is trivial. Then there is a vector of regular functions  $f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_\eta^N)$ ,  $d = \dim_B X'_\eta$ , such that

$$Z(f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N) = X'_\eta \amalg \check{X}'_\eta,$$

for some  $\tilde{X}'_\eta$ . By [10, Lemma 3.6] the morphism  $j: X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$  given by the canonical immersion has a lifting  $\tilde{j}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X_\eta$ , where  $\mathcal{E} = (\mathbb{A}_\eta^N)_{X'_\eta}^h$ . Thus we get the  $d$ -dimensional framed correspondence

$$(X'_\eta)^{\text{fr}} = (X'_\eta, f_{d+1}, \dots, f_N, \tilde{j}).$$

Step 5) By Steps (3-4) we get  $d$ -dimensional  $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified  $\eta$ -framed correspondence

$$(\overline{X}, X'_\infty, (X'_\eta)^{\text{fr}})$$

from  $\eta$  to  $X_\eta$ . The base change along the map  $X_{(x)} \rightarrow B$  gives the  $d$ -dimensional  $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified  $\eta$ -framed correspondence  $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$  from  $X_{(x)}$  to  $X$ , where  $\overline{S} = \overline{X} \times_B X_{(x)}$ ,  $S_\infty = X'_\infty \times_B X_{(x)}$ , and  $\Phi$  is the base change of  $(X'_\eta)^{\text{fr}}$ . This gives the initial claim of the lemma, we proceed with (1),(2), and (3).

Step 6) The composite morphism

$$X_{(x)} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \overline{X}$$

induces the section  $\Delta: X_{(x)} \rightarrow \overline{S}$ . Since the morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  is smooth, the morphism  $\overline{S} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$  is smooth over  $\Delta$ . Thus  $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$   $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map  $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ . This gives claim (1).

Step 7) Since  $Z$  is of positive codimension in  $X_\eta$ , it follows that  $\text{val}^{-1}(Z) = (X_{(x)})_\eta \times j^{-1}(Z) = (X_{(x)})_\eta \times (Z \cap X'_\eta)$  is of positive codimension in  $X'_\eta$ . This gives claim (2).

Step 8) Finally, to prove inequality (6.15) of claim (3) we note that  $\text{val}^{-1}(Z) \cap S_\infty = (\overline{Z} \cap X'_\infty) \times_B (B - \eta) \times_B X_{(x)}$ . Then the claim follows, since

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X}_\eta} (\overline{Z} \cap X'_\infty) \times_B \eta \leq 2,$$

where  $\overline{Z}$  is the closure of  $Z$  in  $\overline{X}$ , and consequently

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{X}_z} (\overline{(\overline{Z} \cap X'_\infty)} \times_B \eta) \times_B z \leq 2.$$

□

**Lemma 6.16.** *Suppose there is a  $d$ -dimensional  $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence  $(\overline{S}, S_\infty, \Phi)$  from  $(X_{(x)})_\eta = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$  to  $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$  that smoothly contains the canonical map  $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ , and such that  $\text{val}_S^{-1}(Z)$  is of positive codimension over  $X_{(x)}$ , and*

$$\text{codim}_{\overline{S} \times_{X_{(x)}} x} (\overline{Y X_\infty} \times_{X_{(x)}} x) \geq 2, \quad (6.17)$$

where  $\overline{Y X_\infty}$  is the closure of  $(\text{val}^{-1}(Z) \cap S_\infty) \times_B \eta$  in  $\overline{S}$ ,  $\Phi = (S_\eta, \varphi, \text{val}_{S_\eta})$ ,  $S_\eta = (\overline{S} - S_\infty) \times_B \eta$ .

Then there is a one-dimensional  $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence  $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$  from  $(X_{(x)})_\eta$  to  $X_\eta$  that  $X_{(x)}$ -smoothly contains the canonical map  $(X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ ,  $\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)$  is finite over  $X_{(x)}$ .

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 6.4 to the scheme  $\overline{S}$ , and subschemes  $D = S_\infty$ ,  $Y = Z \times_B X_{(x)}$ , and  $\Delta = \Delta(X_{(x)})$ . To do this we need to prove the assumptions in Proposition 6.4. The assumptions (1) and (4) follows by assumptions on the scheme  $\overline{S}$ . The assumption (2) follows by the assumption on  $S_\infty$  and (6.17). The assumption (3) follows by (6.17)

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  the ample line bundle on on the projective scheme  $\overline{S}$ , and by  $t_\infty$  the section on  $\overline{S}$  such that  $Z(t_\infty) = S_\infty$  provided by Definition 6.2. By Proposition 6.4 there is a vector of sections  $(s_2, \dots, s_d)$ ,  $s_i \in \Gamma(\overline{S}, \mathcal{O}(l))$ , for a large enough  $l$ , such that

(1) the scheme

$$\overline{C} = Z(s_2, \dots, s_d) \subset \overline{S}$$

is of relative dimension 1 over  $X_{(x)}$ ,

(2) there is the inclusion  $\Delta(X_{(x)}) \subset \overline{C}$ ,

(3) the morphism  $\overline{C} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$  is smooth over  $\Delta(X_{(x)})$ ,

(4)  $\overline{C} \cap (Z \times_B X_{(x)})$  is finite over  $B$ ,  $C_\infty = \overline{C} \cap S_\infty$  is finite over  $B$ ,  $(C_\infty \cap Z) \times_B U = \emptyset$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{V} = (X_{(x)}) \times_B \eta$ . By assumption there is a closed embedding  $S_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N$ , a regular map  $\text{val}: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{S_\eta}^h \rightarrow X_\eta$  is a regular map, and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{S_\eta}^h)^{\oplus N-d}$  is a vector of regular functions, such that  $Z(\varphi) = S_\eta$ . By point (1) above there is the canonical embedding  $\overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{S}$ ,  $C_\eta \rightarrow S_\eta$ , where  $C_\eta = C \times_B \eta$ ,  $C = \overline{C} - C_\infty$ . Define  $\text{val}_{C_\eta}: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{C_\eta}^h \rightarrow X_\eta$  as the composite morphism

$$(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{C_\eta}^h \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{S_\eta}^h \xrightarrow{\text{val}_{S_\eta}} X_\eta.$$

Let  $p: \overline{C} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$  be the canonical projections, and  $C = \overline{C} \times_{\overline{S}} S$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= s_i/t_\infty^d, & \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n, \\ e_i &= f_i, & \text{for } i > n. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\overline{\text{val}_C^{-1}(Z)}$  is a closed subscheme in  $\overline{C} \cap (Z \times_B X_{(x)})$ , and it is finite over  $B$ . Finally, choose liftings  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, d$ , of the functions  $s_j/t_\infty^d \in \mathcal{O}(S_\eta)$ , and the by the same symbols the functions on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N|_{C_\eta}$ . Thus  $\Psi = (C_\eta, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_d, \varphi, \text{val}_{C_\eta})$  is a one-dimensional framed correspondence from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $X_\eta$ , and  $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$  is an  $X_{(x)}$ -focused compactified framed correspondence.  $\square$

### 6.3. Finite correspondence homotopy, and the vanishing of cohomologies at infinity.

**Lemma 6.18.** *Let  $B$  be one-dimensional local scheme,  $z \in B$  be the closed point,  $\eta \in B$  be the generic point,  $X \in \text{Sm}_B$ ,  $Z$  be a closed subscheme in  $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$ .*

*Denote  $\mathcal{V} = (X_{(x)})_\eta = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$ . Assume there is a one-dimensional compactified  $X_{(x)}$ -focused framed correspondence  $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$  from  $(X_{(x)})_\eta$  to  $X_\eta$  such that*

- (1)  $(\overline{C}, C_\infty, \Psi)$  smoothly contains the canonical map  $\text{can}_\eta: (X_{(x)})_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ ,
- (2) the closure  $\overline{Z}$  of  $Z = \text{val}^{-1}(Z)$  in  $\overline{C}$  is finite over  $X_{(x)}$ , where  $\Psi = (C_\eta, \varphi, \text{val})$ ,  $C_\eta = (\overline{C} - C_\infty) \times_B \eta$ .

*Then there are framed correspondences  $c \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta)$ ,  $c_0^+, c_1 \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta - Z)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that*

- (1)  $c \circ i_0 = s_\mathcal{V}^N \text{can}_\eta + c_0^+$ ,  $\tilde{c} \circ i_1 = j \circ c_1$ , in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta)$ , where  $i_0, i_1: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  are the zero and unit sections,  $\text{can}_\eta: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow X_\eta$  is the canonical morphism,  $j: X_\eta - Z \rightarrow X_\eta$  is the open immersion,
- (2)  $c^{-1}(Z)$  is finite over  $\mathcal{V}$ .

*Proof.*

- 1) Firstly, assume the residue field  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  has at least three elements.

According to Definitions 6.1 to 6.3 we are given with

- (d1) the projective relative curve  $\overline{C}$  over  $X_{(x)}$ , a closed subscheme  $C_\infty$  finite over  $X_{(x)}$ , a closed embedding  $C_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (d2) a set of functions  $e_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, N$ , and a regular map  $\text{val}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X_\eta$ , such that  $C_\eta = Z(e_2, \dots, e_N)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the henselisation  $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{C_\eta}^h$ ;
- (d3) and a map  $\Delta: X_{(x)} \rightarrow C$  such that  $\text{val} \circ \Delta$  equals to the canonical map  $\text{can}: X_{(x)} \rightarrow X$ , and the morphism  $\overline{C} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$  is smooth over  $\Delta(X_{(x)})$ .

Denote

$$\overline{C}_\eta = \overline{C} \times_B \eta = \overline{C} \times_{X_{(x)}} \mathcal{V}, C_{\infty, \eta} = C_\infty \times_{X_{(x)}} \mathcal{V},$$

and denote

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{val}^{-1}(Z) = \overline{Z} \times_B \eta;$$

then  $\overline{C}_\eta$  is a projective scheme of relative dimension one over  $\mathcal{V}$ , and  $C_{\infty, \eta}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  are closed subschemes finite over  $\mathcal{V}$ . By (d3) the morphism  $\overline{C} \rightarrow X_{(x)}$  is smooth over  $\Delta(X_{(x)})$ . It follows that there is a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(\Delta(X_{(x)}))$  on  $\overline{C}$  with the section  $\delta$  that vanishing locus  $Z(\delta)$  equals  $\Delta(X_{(x)})$ . We write  $\mathcal{L}(\Delta)$  for  $\mathcal{L}(\Delta(X_{(x)}))$  and its restriction on  $\overline{C}_\eta$ .

Since  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and  $\Delta(X_{(x)})$  is finite over the local scheme  $X_{(x)}$ , by Lemma B.1  $\mathcal{O}(1)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\Delta)|_{\mathcal{Z}}$  are trivial. Since  $\#\mathcal{O}_z(z) > 2$ , by Lemma B.2, it follows that for any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  there are (invertible)

sections

$$\gamma_\infty, \gamma_0^+, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}(1)^\times), \gamma_\infty = \gamma_1 - \gamma_0 \delta|_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Since  $C_{\eta, \infty}$  is finite over  $X_{(x)}$  there is an invertible section

$$\beta_0^+ \in \Gamma(C_{\eta, \infty}, \mathcal{O}(1)^\times).$$

By Serre's theorem on ample bundles [28, III, Corollary 10.7] there are sections  $s_0^+ \in \Gamma(\overline{C}_\eta, \mathcal{L}(\Delta(\mathcal{V}))(d))$ ,  $s_1 \in \Gamma(\overline{C}_\eta, \mathcal{O}(d))$  for large enough  $d$

$$\begin{aligned} s_\infty|_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \gamma_\infty, & s_0^+|_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \gamma_0^+, \\ s_\infty|_{C_{\eta, \infty}} &= 0, & s_0^+|_{C_{\eta, \infty}} &= (\beta_0^+)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= \delta s_0^+, & s_1 &= s_0 + s_\infty, \\ s_\lambda &= s_0(1 - \lambda) + s_1 \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (Z(s_\lambda), e_1, e_2, \dots, 2_N, g) \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta), \\ c_0^+ &= (Z(s_0^+), e_1|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^N}, e_2, \dots, 2_N, \text{val}_0) \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta - Z), \\ c_1 &= (Z(s_1), s_1/t_\infty^d, e_2, \dots, 2_N, \text{val}_1) \in \text{Fr}_N(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta - Z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^N)$  is a lifting of  $s_\lambda/t_\infty^d \in \mathcal{O}(C_\eta \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ , the map  $g: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1}^N)_{Z(s_\lambda)}^h \rightarrow X_\eta$  is a lifting of the map

$$Z(s_\lambda) \rightarrow C_\eta \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow C_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta,$$

and the maps  $g_0: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{Z(s_0^+)}^h \rightarrow X_\eta - Z$  and  $\text{val}_1: (\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^N)_{Z(s_1)}^h \rightarrow X_\eta - Z$  are induced by  $g$ , because  $s_0^+|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \delta^{-1} \gamma_0$  and  $s_1|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \gamma_1$  are invertible.

Then

$$c \circ i_0 = \text{can}' + j \circ c_0^+, \quad c \circ i_1 = j \circ c_1,$$

where  $\text{can}' = (\Delta(\mathcal{V}), e_1, e_2, \dots, 2_N, g)$  equals  $\sigma_D^N \text{can}$  where  $D \in \text{GL}_N(\mathcal{V})$  is defined by the differentials of  $e_i$ -s.

Then

$$s_\lambda|_{C_{\eta, \infty} \times \mathbb{A}^1} = \delta|_{C_{\eta, \infty}} (\beta_0^+)^d$$

is an invertible section. Hence  $Z(s_\lambda)$  is finite over  $X_{(x)} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  and is contained in  $C_\eta$ .

$$s_\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1} = s_\infty|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \gamma_\infty$$

is invertible, it follows that  $Z(s_\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1})$  is contained in  $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  and finite over  $X_{(x)}$ . Hence

$$c^{-1}(Z) = p(g_{Z(s_\lambda)}^{-1}(Z)) = p(Z(s_\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathbb{A}^1}))$$

is finite over  $\mathcal{V}$ , where  $p: Z(s_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is a finite morphism induced by the projection  $C_\eta \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ .

2) Let the residue field  $k = \mathcal{O}_z(z)$  at  $z$  in  $B$  be arbitrary.

Let  $q_0, q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

$$(q_0, q_1) = 1, (q_0, \text{char } k) = 1, (q_2, \text{char } k) = 1.$$

Define the polynomials

$$f_i = t^{q_i-1} + t^{q_i-2} + \dots + 1 = (t^{q_i} - 1)/(t - 1) \in R[t], R = \mathcal{O}_B(B).$$

Then  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are separable over the closed point  $z$ . Denote  $B_i = Z(f_i)$  are closed subschemes in  $\mathbb{A}_B^1$  and let  $p_i: B_i \rightarrow B$  denote the canonical projections. Then  $p_i$  are finite étale morphisms of schemes.

$$e_i = (Z(f_i) \times_B \mathcal{V}, f_i, r_i) \in \text{Fr}_1(\mathcal{V}, B_i \times_B \mathcal{V})$$

$$c = c^{B_0} \circ e_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} + c^{B_1} \circ e_1^{\mathbb{A}^1} \in \text{Fr}_{2+N}(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta).$$

$$\begin{aligned} c \circ i_0 &= c_0^{B_0} \circ e_0 \circ (b_0)_\varepsilon - c_0^{B_1} \circ e_1 \circ (b_1)_\varepsilon = \\ &\quad \sigma^N \text{can}^{B_0} \circ e_0 \circ (b_0)_\varepsilon - \sigma^N \text{can}^{B_1} \circ e_1 \circ (b_1)_\varepsilon = \\ &\quad \sigma^N \text{can} \circ (p_0 \circ e_0 \circ (b_0)_\varepsilon - p_1 \circ e_1 \circ (b_1)_\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_i^\vee: B_i \times_B \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ . Finally, since by Lemma C.1  $[p_i \circ e_i] = [(q_i)_\varepsilon] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_1(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$ , it follows by Lemma C.2 that

$$[c \circ i_0] = [\sigma^{2+N} \text{can}] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{2+N}(\mathcal{V}, X_\eta).$$

□

**Corollary 6.19.** *Let  $B$  be one-dimensional local scheme, with the closed point  $z \in B$  and the generic point  $\eta \in B$ . Let  $X \in \text{Sm}_B$ ,  $x \in X \times_B z$ .*

*Denote  $\mathcal{V} = X_{(x)} \times_B \eta$ . Then for any closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $X \times_B \eta$ , there are a framed correspondences  $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta)$ ,  $c' \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_N(\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1, X_\eta - Z)$  such that*

- (1)  $c \circ i_0 = \sigma_\mathcal{V}^N \text{can}_\eta$ ,  $c \circ i_0 = j \circ c'$ , where  $i_0, i_1: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  are the zero and unit sections,  $\text{can}_\eta: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow X_\eta$  is the canonical morphism,  $j: X_\eta - Z \rightarrow X_\eta$  is the open immersion,
- (2)  $c^{-1}(Z)$  is finite over  $\mathcal{V}$ .

*Proof.* The claim follows by the consequent use of Lemmas 6.14, 6.16 and 6.18. □

**Theorem 6.20.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme over a one-dimensional irreducible base scheme  $B$ . Let  $x \in X$  be a point over a closed point  $z \in B$ . Let  $F$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant quasi-stable framed presheaf of abelian groups over the generic point  $\eta \in B$ . Then*

$$\underline{H}^1(X_x \times_B \eta, F) \cong 0$$

*Proof.* The claim follows by the combination of Corollary 5.3 with Corollary 6.19. □

## 7. STRICT HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE

In this section, we combine Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 6.20 on cohomologies out and at the infinity on  $\mathbb{A}_V^1$ , for an essentially smooth local henselian  $k$ -schemes  $V$ , to prove the strict homotopy invariance theorem over the field  $k$ . Let  $F$  be a quasi-stable homotopy invariant framed linear presheaf over  $k$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $V$  be a local henselian essentially smooth scheme over  $k$ . Then*

$$\begin{cases} H_{\text{nis}}^0(V \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) = F(V \times \mathbb{A}^1), \\ H_{\text{nis}}^i(V \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) = 0, i > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The morphism of sites given by the embedding of the topology  $\text{Fin}_S$  on  $\text{Sm}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times V}$  into the Nisnevich topology leads to the spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{Fin}_V}^p(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, H_{\text{nis}}^q(F)_{\text{Fin}_V}) \Rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^r(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F_{\text{nis}}), r = p + q,$$

where  $H_{\text{nis}}^*(F)_{\text{Fin}_V}$  denotes the sheafification with respect to  $\text{Fin}_V$  of the presheaf  $H_{\text{nis}}^*(-, F_{\text{nis}})$ . By Lemma 3.6 the topology  $\text{Fin}_V$  has enough set of points given by the schemes of the form

$$U_v^h, \text{ for } v \in U, \text{ and } U \times_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times V} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times V)_{(\infty, z)},$$

where  $z$  is the closed point of  $V$ . Since the schemes  $U_v^h$  are Nisnevich points,  $\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(U_v^h, F) \cong 0$ . By Theorem 6.20

$$\underline{H}_{\text{nis}}^*(U \times_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times V} (\mathbb{P}^1 \times V)_{(\infty, z)}, F) \simeq 0.$$

Combining the latter two equivalences we conclude

$$H_{\text{nis}}^*(F)_{\text{Fin}_V} \cong F_{\text{Fin}_V}.$$

Thus

$$H_{\text{Fin}_V}^p(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F_{\text{Fin}_V}) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^p(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F_{\text{nis}});$$

and the claim of the theorem follows, since  $\underline{H}_{\text{Fin}_V}^*(\mathbb{A}^1 \times V, F) \cong 0$  by Theorem 4.12. □



**Corollary 7.2.** *The presheaves  $h_{\text{nis}}^i(F) = H_{\text{nis}}^i(-, F)$ ,  $i > 0$ , on the category  $\text{Sm}_k$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ . The claim is to prove that

$$H_{\text{nis}}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \simeq H_{\text{nis}}^i(X, F), i \geq 0. \quad (7.3)$$

Consider the morphism of small étale sites

$$p: \text{Et}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{nis}} \rightarrow \text{Et}_X^{\text{nis}},$$

and consider the restrictions of  $F$  on the mentioned sites. It follows by Theorem 7.1 that

$$Rp_*^i(F) = 0, \text{ for } i > 0, Rp_*(F) = F,$$

where  $Rp_*^i(F)$  denotes the higher direct image of  $F$  along  $p$ . Then the spectral sequence

$$H_{\text{nis}}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F) \leftarrow H_{\text{nis}}^p(X, Rp_*^{i-p}(F))$$

implies (7.3).  $\square$

## 8. MOTIVES OVER NON-PERFECT FIELDS

Corollary 7.2 extends the theory of framed motives by Garkusha and Panin and other authors [1, 4, 15, 16, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] to the case of non-perfect base field, since the perfectness assumption is required only in the argument for the strict homotopy invariance theorem [25, Theorem 1.1, §17]. Thus all the results in the above works hold for non-perfect fields. Consequently, the same applies to [8, 11, 22], for example. Moreover, the result allows to generalise results for so called cohomological motivic categories [13], this covers Localisation and Embedding theorems in the original theory of Voevodsky's motives [39]. The theory of Milnor-Witt motives [3, 5, 6, 7, 13, 20] extends as well. We refer the reader for the sources, and let us recover and complete some results in the following axiomatic setting.

*Remark 8.1.* The original generality of the results of [39] generalised here is a perfect base field that admits a resolution of singularities, and the use of the resolution of singularities is eliminated by [29] or by [36].

The original generality of [24] was infinite perfect fields  $k$ ,  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , the case of  $\text{char } k = 2$  is given by [16], and the finite fields case by [15] with participation of Druzhinin and Kylling.

The central results of [18] depend to the assumptions in the works by Garkusha and Panin and Ananyevskiy and Neshitov [1, 23, 24, 25] except the independent proof for the case of finite fields.

*Remark 8.2.* The validity of the transfers based techniques over fields of characteristic  $p$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients was known, and follows from the perfect base field case because in short for a purely inseparable extension  $k'/k$  (1) there is a correspondence from  $\text{Spec}(k)$  to  $\text{Spec}(k')$  with the support  $\text{Spec}(k')$  that is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalent to the multiplication by  $p$ , and (2)  $\text{Spec}(k') \times_k \text{Spec}(k') \simeq \text{Spec } k'[t]/(t^p)$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalent to  $\text{Spec}_{k'}$ .

Suslin covered the case of  $\mathbf{DM}(k)[1/p]$  in [35] by proving the equivalence of cohomologies of motivic complexes of  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -modules for a purely inseparable extension  $k'/k$  as he said via "an accurate analyse of Frobenius endomorphism action". [35] documents the result presented in the talk in 2008 in Russian [34].

The validity of Suslin's argument, in the framed setting was understood in different forms by several authors, that allowed to extend the theory of framed motives with  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -coefficients to all base fields, but the results were not published in the full form. The only published source for the argument for framed transfers that we mentioned is [19] by E. Elmanto and A. Khan. The latter work proves the result in the elegant form  $\mathbf{SH}(k)[1/p] \simeq \mathbf{SH}(k')[1/p]$ , and contains as applications of the argument the vertical equivalences in (1.7), but do not contain the formula (1.8) that is formally stronger. We suppose nevertheless that the written reasoning is applicable to (1.8), and (1.4), and (1.5) as well, and the only reason is the desire of authors of [19] to avoid potential conflicts with others who presented and announced framed transfers results with inverted characteristic.

**8.1. Garkusha-Panin's framed motives.** Let us recall some main formulations and briefly recall steps and ingredients of [24]. We refer the reader to the proof in the sources, we mention here only the role of Theorem 7.1.

Recall that  $\mathrm{Fr}_*(k)$  denotes the graded category of framed correspondences [24], and let  $\mathrm{Fr}(-, -): \mathrm{Fr}_*^{\mathrm{op}}(k) \times \mathrm{Sm}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$  denote the bi-presheaf of stable framed correspondences, so for all  $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$  there are quasi-stable framed presheaves  $\mathrm{Fr}(-, X) = \varinjlim_l \mathrm{Fr}_l(-, X)$ . Let  $\Delta_k^\bullet$  denote the co-simplicial scheme given by affine simplexes  $\Delta_k^l$ .

Consider the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectrum and  $S^1$ -spectrum of motivic spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_T^\infty Y_+) &= (\mathrm{Fr}(-, Y_+), \mathrm{Fr}(-, T \wedge Y_+)_f, \dots, \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_T^f Y_+)_f, \dots), \\ \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y_+) &= (\mathrm{Fr}(-, Y_+), \mathrm{Fr}(-, S^1 \wedge Y_+)_f, \dots, \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^r Y_+)_f, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(-)_f$  is the fibrant replacement within the injective Nisnevich local model structure on the category of simplicial Nisnevich sheaves over  $k$ .

**Theorem 8.3** (Additivity). *The  $S^1$ -spectrum of presheaves  $C^*\mathrm{Fr}(\Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X)$  is  $\Omega_{S^1}$ -spectrum in positive degrees, where*

$$\mathrm{Fr}(\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\infty X) := (\mathrm{Fr}(X), \mathrm{Fr}(S^1 \wedge X), \dots, \mathrm{Fr}(S^i \wedge X)).$$

*Proof.* The claim is a reformulation of results proven [24, §6-7], the original argument do not require assumptions on the base field. See also [11, Appendix].  $\square$

**Theorem 8.4** (Preservation of transfers). *For any Nisnevich covering  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  the morphism  $C^*\mathrm{Fr}(\Sigma_{S^1}^\infty \check{C}_X(\tilde{X})) \rightarrow C^*\mathrm{Fr}(\Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X)$  is a Nisnevich local equivalence, where  $\check{C}_X(\tilde{X})$  denotes the Čech simplicial scheme.*

*Proof.* The original argument in [25], and [38] holds for any field.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.5** (Cone Th.). *The canonical morphism*

$$\mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X \wedge \mathbb{A}^1) / \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X \wedge \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X \wedge T),$$

where  $T = (\mathbb{A}^1 / \mathbb{G}_m)$ , and the morphism

$$\mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X / U) \simeq \mathrm{Fr}(-, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty X // U),$$

for any  $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ , and open immersion  $U \rightarrow X$ , are stable motivic equivalences.

*Proof.* The first claim, that is used in Theorem 8.8, follows by the arguments of [23] with the use of Corollary 7.2. To prove the second we refer to [11] that provides  $\mathrm{Fr}(-, X/U) \simeq \mathrm{Fr}(-, X//U)$  is a motivic equivalence, for any infinite field, and the stable equivalence over finite fields follows via the arguments of [15]. The general direct proof is provided by [9].  $\square$

*Remark 8.6.* Let us note that M. Hoyois had shown to the author the argument that deduces unstable motivic equivalence over finite fields from the result of [11].

**Theorem 8.7** (Cancellation). *The canonical morphism of  $S^1$ -spectra of pointed simplicial presheaves*

$$\mathrm{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \mathrm{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m} \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y)$$

is a Nisnevich local equivalence in positive degrees.

*Proof.* The claim follows by the arguments of [1] with the use of Corollary 7.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.8.** *For any  $Y \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ , (1) there is a natural stable motivic equivalence*

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty Y_+ \simeq \mathrm{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_T^\infty Y_+)_f,$$

and the right side in positive degrees is a motivically fibrant with Morel-Voevodsky model structure  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ -spectrum of motivic spaces; (2) there is a natural  $S^1$ -stable motivic equivalence

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}^\infty \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty Y_+ \simeq \mathrm{Fr}(- \times \Delta_k^\bullet, \Sigma_{S^1}^\infty Y_+)_f$$

and the right side in positive degrees is a motivically fibrant with Morel-Voevodsky model structure  $\Omega_{S^1}$ -spectrum of motivic spaces. (3) In particular, the stalks of the motivic homology groups of  $Y$  on a local henselian essentially smooth  $U$  are isomorphic to the homologies of the complex

$$[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \times \Delta_k^l, Y_+) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \times \Delta_k^1, Y_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(-, Y_+).$$

*Proof.* Point (3) follows from (2). Points (1,2) follow by the same arguments as in [24] with the use of Theorem 7.1.  $\square$

**8.2. Correspondences additive  $\infty$ -categories.** We use the formalism that is more special modification in comparing with the one introduced in [17].

**Definition 8.9.** A *correspondences category*  $\text{Corr}$  is an additive  $\infty$ -category equipped with a monoidal functor

$$\text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \text{Func}(\text{Corr}, \text{Corr}); X \mapsto - \times X, \quad (8.10)$$

and an object  $\text{pt}_k \in \text{Corr}$  such that the functor

$$e: \text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \text{Corr}; X \mapsto \text{pt}_k \times X. \quad (8.11)$$

is essentially surjective on objects.

Denote by  $h_{\text{tr}}(X) \in \text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$  the representable presheaf  $U \mapsto \text{Corr}(U, X)$ . We sometimes denote  $e(X)$  by  $X$ , and  $e_* h_{\text{tr}}(X) \in \text{Pre}(\text{Sm}_k)$  by  $h_{\text{tr}}(X)$ . Also we use notation  $\text{Pre}^{\text{tr}}(\text{Sm}_S) = \text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$ .

**Notation 8.12.** Denote by  $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$  the category of additive functors  $\text{Corr} \rightarrow R$  for an  $\infty$ -category  $R$ . Denote by  $\text{HI}_{\Sigma}^R(\text{Corr})$  the subcategory spanned by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant objects. and by  $\text{Shv}_{\tau}^R(\text{Corr})$  the subcategory of  $\tau$ -sheaves for a topology  $\tau$  on  $\text{Sm}_k$ . We write  $S^1$  for  $R$  if  $R = \mathbf{SH}$  is the topological stable homotopy category. We omit the superscript if  $R = \mathbf{H}$ , the homotopy category of simplicial sets.

**Definition 8.13.** For any object  $X \in \text{Sm}_S$  the endofunctor  $- \times X$  on  $\text{Corr}$  induces the inverse and direct image endofunctors on  $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$  that we denote  $F \mapsto F \times X$ , and  $F \mapsto F^X = F(- \times X)$ . Moreover, given a diagram of schemes  $\mathcal{X}: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Sm}_S$  two composites

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Sm}_S \rightarrow \text{Func}(\text{Corr}, \text{Corr}) \rightrightarrows \text{Func}(\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr}), \text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})),$$

where the second arrows are given by the inverse image and direct images respectively, give diagrams in the category of endofunctors on  $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$ . We define the endofunctors  $- \times \mathcal{X}$  and  $(-)^{\mathcal{X}}$  on  $\text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$  as the colimits of the described above diagrams.

In particular,  $F^{\mathbb{A}^1} = F(- \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ ,  $F^{\Delta_k^{\bullet}} = F(- \times \Delta_k^{\bullet})$ ; the endofunctor  $- \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$  we denote also by  $- \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}$ , and  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$ , and use notation  $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F = F(- \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) = F^{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$ .

**Definition 8.14.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote monoidal the category that objects are integers, and an adjunction  $G_l \dashv G_r$  of endofunctors on an  $\infty$ -category  $C$ . Consider the monoidal functor  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Func}(C, C)$  that takes 1 to  $G_l$ , in other words this means the sequence of functors

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{G_l} C \xrightarrow{G_l} C \xrightarrow{G_l} \cdots \quad (8.15)$$

By the Grothendieck construction this corresponds to the fibred category

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

and define the category  $\text{Spt}_{\mathcal{G}} C$  as the category of left inverse functors  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , and  $C[G^{-1}]$  as the category of functors that take all morphisms to cartesian ones.

We write  $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}] = \text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})[- \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}]$ , and similarly for  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})$ , and  $\mathbf{H}(\text{Corr})$ ,  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ .

*Remark 8.16.* The above definition of  $C[G^{-1}]$  is equivalent retell of the constuction of the colimit of the sequence (8.15), that we use to defined the homotopy t-structure. We refer to [33, §2.2] for the standard equivalent constructions of the stabilisation.

**Definition 8.17.** Define  $\mathbf{H}_\tau^R(\text{Corr}) = \text{Shv}_\tau^R(\text{Corr}) \cap \text{HI}_\Sigma^R(\text{Corr})$ . Define  $\mathbf{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(\text{Corr}) = \mathbf{H}_\tau^{\text{SH}}(\text{Corr})$ , and  $\mathbf{SH}_\tau(\text{Corr}) = \mathbf{SH}_\tau^{S^1}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}$  means the inverting of the suspension endofunctor  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$  on  $\text{Pre}_\Sigma(\text{Corr})$ . We omit the subscript for the case  $\tau = \text{nis}$ .

**Definition 8.18.** Define the following properties of correspondences categories  $\text{Corr}$  as above:

- (Fr) Functor (8.10) lifts to a functor  $\text{Fr}_*(k) \rightarrow \text{Corr}$  such that for any  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$ ,  $\sigma_X \sim \text{id}_X \in \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{Corr}}(X, X)$ , where  $\text{Fr}_*(k)$  denotes the  $\infty$ -category that is the nerve of the classical one.
- (NT) For any Nisnevich covering  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , the canonical embedding morphism

$$h_{\text{tr}}^{\tilde{X}}(X) \rightarrow h_{\text{tr}}(X)$$

is a Nisnevich local equivalence, where  $h_{\text{tr}}^{\tilde{X}}(X)$  denotes the subpresheaf that is the image in the set-theoretic sense of the morphism  $h_{\text{tr}}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow h_{\text{tr}}^{\tilde{X}}(X)$ .

- (NC) The natural transformation  $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}}$  in  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  is an equivalence.

**Example 8.19.** The additivisation of the pre-additive  $\infty$ -category of tangentially framed correspondences  $\text{Corr}^{\text{fr}}$  introduced by E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. Khan AND V. Sosnilo AND M. Yakerson is a correspondences category. As shown in [18] it satisfies (Fr), (NL) for any base scheme. (NC) holds over fields, that follows by [1] in combination with Corollary 7.2.

**Example 8.20.** The category of a cohomological correspondences  $\text{Cor}^A$ , defined in [13] for a SL-orientable cohomology theory on  $\text{Sm}_k$  in the axiomatic sense like the one introduced by Panin & Smirnov in [32]. Recall that  $c \in \text{Cor}^A$  is given by a class in  $A_Z^{\dim X}(U \times_k X)$ , for some  $Z$  is a closed subscheme finite over  $X$ .

The property (Fr) is proven in [13]. (NL) is proven as well, so let us check it is the form as it is formulated above. We have to show that for any  $c$  as above, and  $U$  local henselian, and a Nisnevich covering  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  there is a lifting  $\tilde{c}$  along the morphism  $\text{Cor}^A(U, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^A(U, X)$ . Since  $Z$  is finite over local henselian  $U$ , the connected components of  $Z$  are local henselian, so with out loss of generality we can assume that  $Z$  is local henselian. Hence  $Z$  admits a lifting along the Nisnevich covering  $U \times_k \tilde{X} \rightarrow U \times_k X$ . So the claim follows, because  $A_Z^{\dim X}(U \times_k \tilde{X}) \cong A_Z^{\dim X}(U \times_k X)$ .

To show the cancellation in the form of (NC) we note that the cancellation theorem [13, Theorem 9.0.17] implies the equivalence of endofunctors  $\text{Id} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}}^{\text{pre}}$  on  $\text{HI}_\Sigma^{S^1}(\text{Cor}^A(\text{SmAff}_k))$  and the claim holds because by Lemma 8.27 it follows that  $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}^{\text{pre}} F$  for any  $F \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Cor}^A(k))$ .

**Example 8.21.** Voevodsky's correspondences  $\text{Cor}$  category is  $\text{Cor}^A$  from Example 8.20 for  $A$  being Chow groups.

**Example 8.22.** Milnor-Witt correspondences  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}$  category is  $\text{Cor}^A$  from Example 8.20 for  $A$  being Chow-Witt groups.

The theory of Chow-Witt groups for perfect fields of characteristic different from 2 is developed in [ ] by J. Fasel. The case of an arbitrary perfect field is provided by N. Feld's work [21] as the theory associated with the Milnor-Witt cycle module  $\mathbf{K}^{\text{MW}}$  over  $k$ . The theory of Chow-Witt groups on  $\text{Sm}_k$  over a field  $k$  induces the theory of Chow-Witt groups on over a base scheme that is essentially smooth scheme over  $k$ . Since any field  $k$  equals to the field at the generic point of some smooth scheme over a perfect field  $\underline{k}$ , the theory of Chow-Witt groups over any field is provided by the above.

### 8.3. Geometric motives and the stable motivic localisation.

**Definition 8.23.** Define  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$  as the localisation of the category of simplicial objects  $\Delta\text{Corr} = \text{Func}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Corr}^{\text{sp}})$  with respect to morphisms of the form

$$\check{C}_X(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow X, \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X,$$

$X \in \text{Sm}_k$ ,  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a Zariski covering, and  $\check{C}_X(\tilde{X})$  is the Čech simplicial scheme. Put  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) = \text{Stab } \mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr})$ .

*Remark 8.24.*  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Cor}(k))$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{geom}}(k)$  from [39].

**Lemma 8.25.** *Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Corr}$  as above that satisfies (NL), and an  $\infty$ -category  $R$ , then  $L_{\text{nis}}e_* \simeq e_*L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$ , where  $e_*: \text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$  denotes the canonical functor. In particular, the category  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$  is abelian.*

*Proof.* The argument is contained in [18]. By the construction and definition of  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})$  the functor  $e_*$  restricts to  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$ . By (NL) the functor  $e_*$  is Nisnevich exact. Hence for any  $F \in \text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$ ,  $e_*L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ , and the morphism  $e_*F \rightarrow e_*L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$  is a Nisnevich local equivalence. The first claim follows. The second claim follows, because the category is additive, and the kernel  $\ker_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$  functor in  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$  is given by the restriction of  $\ker^{\text{tr}}$  in  $\text{Pre}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ , and the cokernel  $\text{coker}^{\text{tr}}$  in  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$  is given by  $\text{coker}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}(f) = L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} \text{coker}^{\text{tr}}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.26.** We say that a scheme  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$  satisfies (NHC), if for each  $E \in \text{HI}_{\Sigma}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ ,  $H_{\text{nis}}^l(X \times U, E_{\text{nis}}) = 0$ ,  $l > 0$ ,  $H_{\text{nis}}^0(X \times U, E_{\text{nis}}) = E_{\text{nis}}(X \times U)$ , for any essentially smooth local henselian  $U$ , where  $E_{\text{nis}}$  denote the associated Nisnevich sheaf on  $\text{Sm}_k$ .

**Lemma 8.27.** *(Fr) implies (NHC) for all open subsets of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F: \text{Corr} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant additive functor, and  $F_{\text{nis}}$  be the associated Nisnevich sheaf on  $\text{Sm}_k$ . (NHC) for  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  follows by Theorem 7.1. To prove the general case note firstly that by Theorem 7.1 and [25, Theorem 3.9]  $H_{\text{nis}}^l(V, F_{\text{nis}}) = 0$ ,  $l > 0$ ,  $H_{\text{nis}}^0(V, F_{\text{nis}}) = F(V)$ , for any open subset  $V$  of  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . Then by Theorem 7.1 again and [25, Theorem 3.11] the claim follows, since  $H_{\text{nis}}^l(V \times U, F_{\text{nis}})$  maps injectively to  $H_{\text{nis}}^l(V \times \eta, F_{\text{nis}})$ , where  $\eta$  is the generic point of a local henselian essentially smooth  $U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.28.** *Suppose we are given with a correspondences category  $\text{Corr}$ . Let  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ . Let  $X \in \text{Sm}_k$  satisfy (NHC). The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the canonical morphism  $F \rightarrow F(- \times X)$  is an equivalence in  $\text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$ ,*
- (2) *the canonical morphism of presheaves  $\pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F) \rightarrow \pi^{\text{pre}}(F)(- \times X)$  is a Nisnevich local isomorphism, for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*
- (3) *the canonical morphism of the Nisnevich sheaves  $\pi_i^{\text{shv}}(F) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\text{shv}}(F)(- \times X)$  is an isomorphism, for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Since (1) means that  $\pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F)(-) \rightarrow \pi^{\text{pre}}(F)(- \times X)$  is an isomorphism, for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it implies (2). Conversely, (2) means that the canonical morphism  $F(-) \rightarrow F(- \times X)$  in  $\text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$  is a Nisnevich local equivalence, and since both presheaves  $F$  and  $F(- \times X)$  are contained in  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Corr})$ , it follows that  $F(-) \simeq F(- \times X)$  in  $\text{Pre}(\text{Corr})$ . So (2) implies (1). To prove the equivalence of (2) and (3) consider the commutative diagrams, for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and essentially smooth local henselian  $U$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F)(U) & \longrightarrow & \pi^{\text{pre}}(F)(U \times X) \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \pi_i^{\text{shv}}(F)(U) & \longrightarrow & \pi^{\text{shv}}(F)(U \times X) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Theorem 7.1. Since (2) and (3) mean respectively that the first and the second horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.29.** *Given  $\text{Corr}$  such that  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  satisfies (NHC), an object  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Corr})$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant if and only if the associated Nisnevich sheaves  $\pi_i^{\text{shv}}F$  are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.1  $X = \mathbb{A}^1$  satisfies (NHC). So the claim follows by the equivalence of (1) and (3) in Lemma 8.28.  $\square$

Note that for each  $F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ ,  $\pi_i^{\text{shv}}F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}(\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}))$ .

**Corollary 8.30.** *Given  $\text{Corr}$  such that (NHC) holds for  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , then  $F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  is in  $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$  if and only if for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\pi_i^{\text{shv}}F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}(\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}))$  is in  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}]$ .*

*Proof.* So the claim follows by the equivalence of (1) and (3) in Lemma 8.28 applied to  $X = \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $X = \text{pt}_k \in \text{Sm}_k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8.31.** *Given  $\text{Corr}$  such that  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$  satisfies (NHC), for any  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant  $F \in \text{Pre}_{\text{gp}, \Sigma}(\text{Corr})$ , the sheaf  $L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}(\text{Corr})$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* The claim follows by the equivalence of (1) and (2) in Lemma 8.28, and because the morphisms  $\pi_i^{\text{pre}}(F) \rightarrow \pi_i^{\text{pre}}(L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} F)$  are Nisnevich local equivalences.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.32.** *Given a correspondences category  $\text{Corr}$ , then*

(1) *if (Fr) holds, the canonical morphism of the endofunctors on  $\text{Pre}_{\text{gp}, \Sigma}(\text{Corr})$   $L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{tr}} \simeq L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}$  is an equivalence.*

(2) *if (Fr), (NL) hold, then for any  $F \in \text{Pre}_{\text{gp}, \Sigma}(\text{Corr})$ , there is the canonical equivalence  $L_{\text{mot}} e_* F \simeq L_{\text{nis}} L_{\mathbb{A}^1} \gamma_* F$ , where  $e_*: \text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \text{Pre}(\text{Sm}_k)$  denotes the canonical functor.*

(3) *if (Fr), (NL), (NC) hold, the canonical functors*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$$

*are fully faithful.*

*Proof.* (1) follows immediate from Lemma 8.27, Corollary 8.31. (2) follows by Lemma 8.25. (NC) implies that the second arrow in (3) is fully faithful, The functor  $\Delta \text{Corr} \rightarrow \text{Pre}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr})$  is fully faithful, and consequently the composite  $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{geom}}(\text{Corr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Corr}) = \text{HI}_{\Sigma}(\text{Corr}) \cap \text{Shv}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Corr})$  too. To conclude point (3) we use that  $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \mathbf{SH}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Corr})$ .  $\square$

#### 8.4. Harts of homotopy t-structures.

**Lemma 8.33.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category, and  $\mathcal{S}$  be a reflective subcategory,  $L: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  be the left adjoint localisation functor. Let the subcategories  $\mathcal{C}_{<0}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$  define a t-structure  $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{C}_{<0}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{<0}$ . Then there is the t-structure  $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{S}} &\simeq L\tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{C}}, & \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} &\simeq L\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{<0} &\simeq L(\mathcal{C}_{<0}), \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0} &\simeq L(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}), \\ \mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit} &\simeq L(\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}). \end{aligned} \tag{8.34}$$

*We write in this case  $L(\tau^{\mathcal{C}}) = \tau^{\mathcal{S}}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the functors and categories given by (8.34).

Then for each  $F$ , there is the canonical composite morphism  $F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}} F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} F$  Since for each  $F \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$\text{fib}(F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}} F) \simeq \tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{C}},$$

and  $L(F) \simeq F$ ,

$$\text{fib}(F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} F) \simeq \tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{S}}.$$

Since each  $F \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}$  is equivalent to  $L(\tilde{F})$ , for  $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ , and each  $G \in \mathcal{S}_{<0}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}_{<0}$ , it follows that  $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}(F, G) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{F}, G)$  is trivial.

By the assumption again, it follows that  $\tau_{<0}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S})$  lands in  $\mathcal{C}_{<0}$ , and hence by (8.34) it lands in  $\mathcal{S}_{<0}$ . By (8.34), it follows that  $\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{S})$  lands in  $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}$ .

Thus the data defines a t-structure  $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$ . To prove the claim in the hearts of the t-structures, consider  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}$ , then by the assumption  $L(F) \in \mathcal{C}_{<1}$ , and so  $L(F) \in \mathcal{S}_{<1}$  by (8.34). Then since it follows by (8.34), also that  $L(F) \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $L(F) \in \mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit}$ . So  $L(\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit})$  lands in  $\mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit}$ . On the other side, let  $F \in \mathcal{S}^{\heartsuit}$ , put  $\tilde{F} = \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}} F$ . Then it follows, since  $\tau^{\mathcal{S}}$  is a t-structure, that  $L(\tilde{F}) \simeq L\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}} F \simeq \tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{S}} F \simeq F$ . Thus  $L: \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\text{mathrm{hart}}}$  has a left inverse induced by  $\tau_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{C}}$ .  $\square$

Given a stable  $\infty$ -category  $R$ , and a correspondences category  $\text{Corr}$ , a t-structure on  $R$  induces the ones on the stable  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})$ ,  $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$  that we call homotopy t-structures and denote  $\tau^{\text{tr}, \text{pre}}$ ,  $\tau^{\text{pre}}$ . By Lemma 8.33 there is the t-structure  $\tau^{\text{shv}} = L_{\text{nis}}(\tau^{\text{pre}})$  on  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Sm}_k)$ , since  $L_{\text{nis}}$  preserves  $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Sm}_k)_{<0}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 8.25 it follows that if (NisTr) holds, then  $L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}$  preserves  $\text{Pre}^R(\text{Corr})_{<0}$ . Then by Lemma 8.33 there is the t-structure  $\tau^{\text{tr}, \text{shv}} = L_{\text{nis}}(\tau^{\text{tr}, \text{pre}})$ . Summarising we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.35.** *Given  $\text{Corr}$  that satisfy  $(\text{NisTr})$ , and a stable  $\infty$ -category  $R$  with filtered limits and equipped with a  $t$ -structure, then there is a  $t$ -structure  $\tau$  on  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})$  such that (1)  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^R(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$  if and only if the stalks of  $F$  at local henseleian essentially smooth schemes are in  $R_{\geq 0}$  (2) the heart of  $\tau$  is  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{R^\heartsuit}(\text{Corr})$*

*Proof.* By the above define  $\tau = L_{\text{nis}}(\tau^{\text{tr,pre}})$  in sense of Lemma 8.33. Then (1) follows by Lemma 8.25, and (8.34). (2) follows by Lemma 8.33, since  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{R^\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) = L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}(\text{Pre}^{R^\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.36.** (1) *The homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  induces the  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} &= \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}), \\ \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} &= \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr}), \end{aligned} \quad (8.37)$$

*and the truncation functor are induced by the restriction. Moreover, for any  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$  the image  $L_{\text{mot}}^{\text{tr}}F \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  is contained in  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ . (2) The levelwise homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  induces the homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} &= \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}], \\ \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} &= \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\leq 0} \cap \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8.38)$$

*and the truncation functor are induced by the restriction. Moreover, for any  $F \in \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$  the image  $L_{\text{smot}}^{\text{tr}}F \in \mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$  is contained in  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 8.29 and Corollary 8.30 the homotopy  $t$ -structure truncation functors on  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  and on  $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}\text{Shv}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  induces by the restriction a  $t$ -structures truncation functors on  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$  and  $\mathbf{SH}(\text{Corr})$ . The induced  $t$ -structures are the homotopy  $t$ -structures, because the first equalities of Equation (8.37), (8.38) hold by the definition. Thus the In what follows we use the first claims in (1) and (2) are proven. In what follows we use the first claims in both points.

To prove the second claim in point (1) of categories for the given  $F \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$  consider the truncation  $F_{\geq 0}$  with respect to the homotopy on  $\text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ . Then  $F \rightarrow F_{\geq 0}$  is a Nisnevich local equivalence. By Theorem 7.1 in view of [9] the functor  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}$  preserves the Nisnevich local equivalences. Hence  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F_{\geq 0}$  is a Nisnevich local equivalence. Then since  $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F_{\geq 0} \in \text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ ,  $L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F \simeq L_{\text{nis}}^{\text{tr}}L_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{\text{tr}}F_{\geq 0} \in \text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})_{\geq 0}$ , so the claim is done by Theorem 8.32(1,2). The claim in (2) follows similarly because by [9]  $\mathbb{G}_m$  satisfies (HNC), and consequently  $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}$  preserves the Nisnevich local equivalences on  $\text{Pre}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{S^1}(\text{Corr})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.39.** *Given correspondences category  $\text{Corr}$  that satisfy  $(\text{Fr})$ ,  $(\text{NisTr})$ , and  $(\text{NisCanc})$ , the following holds:*

(1)  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1, \heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$ , where the latter category denotes the subcategory of the abelian category  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$  spanned by  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant objects.

(2)  $\mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(\text{Corr}) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$ , and the latter category is the abelian category with the objects given by sequences  $(F_l \in \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr}), l \in \mathbb{Z})$ , equipped with isomorphisms  $F_l \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}}F_{l+1}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 8.36 that  $\mathbf{SH}^{S^1, \heartsuit}(\text{Corr})$  and  $\mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(\text{Corr})$  are equivalent to the subcategories of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -local objects of  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{S^1, \heartsuit}(\text{Corr})$  and  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\heartsuit}(\text{Corr})$ , while the latter categories are equivalent to  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$  and  $\text{Shv}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Corr})$  by Lemma 8.35.  $\square$

Consider the additive category  $\mathbb{Z}\text{F}_*(k)$  that is the additivisation of  $\text{Fr}_+(k)$  in sense of Definition 2.6. Denote by  $\text{Corr}^{\mathbb{Z}\text{tfr}}(k)$  the classical additive category that is the homotopy category of  $\text{Corr}^{\text{tfr, gp}}(k)$ . So the representable presheaves are

$$h^{\mathbb{Z}\text{tfr}}(X)(U) = \pi_0(h^{\text{tfr, gp}}(X)(U)).$$

Define the quotient categories  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(k)$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k)$  and  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$  of the classical additive categories  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_*(k)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(k)$  and  $\text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$  with respect to the relations  $s \circ \text{pr} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times X}$ , where  $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$ , and  $s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$  is the zero section. Then

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{Ztfr}}(X)(U) = \pi_0(h^{\text{tfr,gp}}(X)(U \times \Delta_k^\bullet)).$$

There is the sequence of functors of classical categories

$$\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$$

because the homotopy classes of the mages of  $\sigma_X$  and  $\text{id}_X$  in the mapping space the functor  $\text{Corr}_k^{\text{tfr}}(X, X)$  with respect to the functor  $\text{Fr}_+(k) \rightarrow \text{Corr}^{\text{tfr}}(k)$  are equal.

**Lemma 8.40.**  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(-, X) \simeq \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{Ztfr}}(X)(-)$

*Proof.* By [18, Corollaries 2.3.20, 2.3.25] the morphism  $\text{Fr}(- \times \Delta^\bullet, X) \rightarrow h^{\text{tfr}}(X)(- \times \Delta^\bullet)$  is an equivalence on affine schemes. Hence  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \times \Delta^\bullet, X) \simeq h^{\text{Ztfr}}(X)(- \times \Delta^\bullet)$  on affine schemes, and

$$\pi_0(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}(- \times \Delta^\bullet, X)) \simeq \pi_0(h^{\text{Ztfr}}(X)(- \times \Delta^\bullet))$$

□

**Lemma 8.41.**  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{ZF}}(X)(-) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_k(-, X)$

*Proof.* Consider the representable presheaves in  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_*(k)$ . The presheaf  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{ZF}}(X)(-)$  is the quotient presheaf of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(-, X)$  with respect to the relations

$$c = c \circ \sigma_U, \text{ for each } c \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(U, X). \quad (8.42)$$

The presheaf  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_k(-, X)$  is the quotient presheaf of  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(-, X)$  with respect to the relations

$$c = \sigma_X \circ c, \text{ for each } c \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_{*,k}(U, X). \quad (8.43)$$

Relations (8.42) and (8.43) are equivalent up to the naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy equivalence because  $\pi_0(\text{SL}_n(\Delta_k^\bullet))$  is trivial for any field  $k$ . □

**Lemma 8.44.** *There are equivalences of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(\text{SmAff}_k) &\simeq \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(\text{SmAff}_k), \\ \text{HI}^{\text{ZF}}(\text{SmAff}_k) &\simeq \text{HI}^{\text{Ztfr}}(\text{SmAff}_k), \\ \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k) &\simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The functor  $\text{Fr}_+(k) \rightarrow \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$ , induces the functor  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k) \rightarrow \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$ , that is an equivalence because the induced morphism of prerepresentable presheaves

$$\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{ZF}}(X)(-) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(-, X) \cong \pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} h^{\text{Ztfr}}(X)(-)$$

is an isomorphism in  $\text{Pre}^{\text{Ab}}(\text{Sm}_k)$  by Lemma 8.41 and Lemma 8.41. Consequently, the categories of presheaves and Nisnevich sheaves on  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(\text{SmAff}_k)$  and  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(\text{SmAff}_k)$  are equivalent. Finally, note that the categories of Nisnevich sheaves on the latter categories are equivalent to the ones on  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k)$  and  $\pi_0^{\mathbb{A}^1} \text{Corr}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$ . □

**Corollary 8.45.** *There is an equivalence  $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* It follows by Theorem 8.39 applied to  $\text{Corr}^{\text{tfr}}$  that  $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{Ztfr}}(k)$ . and the claim follows by Lemma 8.44. □

**Corollary 8.46.** *There is an equivalence  $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}^{\text{eff}, \heartsuit}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider quotient category  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$  of the category  $\widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$  with respect to the relations  $s \circ \text{pr} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1 \times X}$ , where  $\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}_X^1 \rightarrow X$ , and  $s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^1$  is the zero section. The functor  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}(k) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Cor}}(k)$  is an equivalence because it induces the isomorphism of representable presheaves by [2, Theorem 8.11]. Hence

$$\text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{ZF}}(k) \simeq \text{HI}_{\text{nis}}^{\text{MW}}(k),$$



where  $\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{MW}}(k) = \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{Ab}}(\overline{\mathrm{Cor}}(k))$ . By Theorem 8.39 applied to  $\overline{\mathrm{Cor}}(k)$  there is equivalence  $\widetilde{\mathrm{DM}}^{\mathrm{eff}, \heartsuit}(k) \simeq \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{MW}}(k)$ . The claim follows by Corollary 8.45.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.47.** *The heart of the homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(k)$  is equivalent to the category of homotopy modules  $\mathrm{SHI}_{\mathrm{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 8.39 and Lemma 8.44  $\mathbf{SH}^{\heartsuit}(k) \simeq \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{Ztfr}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \simeq \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$ .

Consider the functors

$$\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \xrightarrow{u} \mathrm{SHI}_{\mathrm{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{EM}} \mathbf{SH}(k) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}],$$

where  $u$  is forgetful, EM takes  $F = (F_l, l \in \mathbb{Z})$  to the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -spectrum  $HF$  of Eilenberg-MacLane  $S^1$ -spectra sheaves  $HF_l \in \mathbf{SH}^{S^1}(k)$ ,  $\tau(F) = (\pi_{l,l}(F), l \in \mathbb{Z})$ . For any  $F \in \mathrm{SHI}_{\mathrm{nis}}(k)$ , the spectrum  $L_{\mathrm{nis}}HF$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, and Nisnevich local. Hence  $\pi_0 HF = F$ . Consequently, for  $F = (F_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathrm{SHI}_{\mathrm{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]$ ,

$$\pi_{l,l} HF = \varinjlim_j \pi_{j,j}(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F_{l+j}) = \varinjlim_j \Omega_{\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}} F_{l+j} = F_l.$$

Thus

$$u\tau\mathrm{EM} \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{SHI}_{\mathrm{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}]}$$

Since  $u$  is conservative, the functors  $u$  and  $\tau\mathrm{EM}$  define equivalence

$$\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{nis}}^{\mathrm{ZF}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}] \simeq \mathrm{SHI}_{\mathrm{nis}}(k)[\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge -1}].$$

$\square$

## 9. COUSIN COMPLEX

Let  $\mathrm{Corr}$  be a correspondences category that satisfies (Fr) and (NL), see Definitions 8.9 and 8.18. Let  $F$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant additive functor  $\mathrm{Corr} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}$ . For  $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ , and a closed subscheme  $Z$  in  $X$ , denote  $E_Z^l(X) = \pi_{-l} \mathrm{fib}(L_{\mathrm{nis}}F(X) \rightarrow L_{\mathrm{nis}}F(X - Z))$ , where  $L_{\mathrm{nis}}$  denote the associated Nisnevich local sheaf. By Corollary 8.31 and Lemma 8.25 since  $\mathrm{Corr}$  satisfies (Fr) and (NL),  $L_{\mathrm{nis}}F$  defines  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant functor  $\mathrm{Corr} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}$ . Hence  $E^l(-)$  define  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant functors  $\mathrm{Corr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$ . Denote by  $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{pair}}$  the subcategory in the category of arrows of  $\mathrm{Corr}$  spanned by the morphisms induced by open immersions  $X - Z \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathrm{Sm}_k$ . Then the mapping  $(X - Z \rightarrow X) \rightarrow E_Z^l(X)$  defines an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant functors  $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{pair}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$ .

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $U$  be essentially smooth local scheme over  $k$ , and  $V = U - Z(f)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  such that  $Z(f)$  is smooth. Then for any closed subscheme  $W$  of codimension  $r$  in  $V$ , the morphism*

$$E_W^l(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\mathrm{codim}_V W' = r-1} E_{W'}^l(V)$$

*is trivial, for all  $l$ , where  $W'$  runs over the filtered set of closed subschemes of codimension  $r - 1$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ ,  $x \in X$ , and a closed subscheme  $Y$  such that  $U = X_x$ ,  $W = Y_x$ , by [25, Theorem 2.11], for the case  $Z(f) = \emptyset$ , and Corollary 6.19, for  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ , there is

$$c' \in \mathrm{ZF}_N(V, X - Y), c = (Z, \mathcal{V}, \varphi, g) \in \mathrm{ZF}(V \times \mathbb{A}^1, X)$$

such that  $c \circ i_0 = \sigma_V^N \mathrm{can}$ ,  $c \circ i_1 = j \circ c'$ , where  $i_0, i_1: V \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is the zero and unit sections,  $\mathrm{can}: V \rightarrow X$  is the canonical morphism,  $j: X - Y \rightarrow X$  is the open immersion. Denote by  $\widetilde{W}$  the image in  $V$  of the preimage of  $Y$  along the morphisms

$$V \leftarrow V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \leftarrow Z \xrightarrow{g} X,$$

then  $\mathrm{codim} \widetilde{Y} \geq r - 1$ . Since  $E_-^l(-)$  defines an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant functors  $\mathrm{Corr}^{\mathrm{pair}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$ , the morphisms  $(j \circ c')^*, \mathrm{can}^*: E_Y^l(X) \rightarrow E_{\widetilde{W}}^l(V)$  are equal. Since  $(j \circ c')^* = 0$ , it follows that  $\mathrm{can}^* = 0$ . Hence the morphism

$$j^*: E_Y^l(X) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\mathrm{codim} W' = r-1} E_{W'}^l(V)$$

is trivial. Since the latter claim holds for all  $X$  and  $Y$  as above, the morphism

$$j^* : E_W^l(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim}_V W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V)$$

is trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.2.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 9.1, the short sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim}_V W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim}_V W'=r-1} E_{W'}^l(V-W) \rightarrow E_W^{l+1}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Recall that  $W$  is any closed subscheme of  $V$ ,  $\text{codim } W = r$ .

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Theorem 9.3.** *The Cousin complex*

$$0 \rightarrow E^l(V) \rightarrow E^l(\eta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(1)}} E_x^{l+1}(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(r)}} E_x^{l+r}(V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(d)}} E_x^{l+d}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, for a scheme  $V = U - Z(f)$ , where  $U$  is essentially smooth local scheme of dimension  $d$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  such that  $Z(f)$  is smooth, and  $\eta$  being the generic point of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $E_x^l(V) = E_x^l(V_x)$  by the definition. It follows from Corollary 9.2 that the short sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\text{codim } W=r-1} E_W^{l+r-1}(V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in V^{(r-1)}} E_x^{l+r-1}(V_x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{codim } W=r} E_W^{l+r}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX A. RELATIVE DIMENSION OVER ONE-DIMENSIONAL BASE.

In this appendix, we prove some results on the relative dimension over one dimensional local base schemes.

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine scheme, such that  $\dim X' \geq 1$ , for each irreducible component  $X'$  of  $X$ . Then there is a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  such that  $\text{codim}_X Z(f) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since the codimension of  $Z(f)$  at each point of  $X$  is less or equal to one it is enough to prove that  $Z(f)$  is of positive codimension in  $X$ . Equivalently we need to construct a function  $f$  such that  $Z(f) \cap X' \neq \emptyset$ , and  $f|_{X'} \neq 0$  for any irreducible component  $X'$  of  $X$ .

Let  $X_2$  be the closed subscheme in  $X$  that is the union of pairwise intersections of the irreducible components of  $X$ . Then  $X_2$  does not contain any one irreducible component  $X'$  of  $X$ . Hence for any  $X'$  there is a function  $f_{X'} \in \mathcal{O}_{X'}(X')$  such that  $f_{X'} \neq 0$ ,  $f_{X'}|_{X_2 \cap X'} = 0$ . Then combining the functions  $f_{X'}$ , for all irreducible components  $X'$  we get the required function  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition A.2.** *Let  $B$  be a one-dimensional irreducible local scheme,  $z \in B$  be the closed point,  $\eta$  be the generic point. Given a scheme  $X$  over  $B$  such that the schemes  $X_z = X \times_B z$  and  $X_\eta = X \times_B \eta$  are non-empty. Then  $X$  is equidimensional over  $B$ , i.e.  $\dim X_z = \dim X_\eta$ .*

*Proof.* Since any scheme has a Zariski covering by affine schemes, we can assume that  $X$  is affine and irreducible, and consequently  $X_\eta$  too. If  $\dim X_z = 0$ , then  $X$  is quasi-finite over  $B$ , and  $\dim X_\eta = 0$  as well. Suppose  $\dim X_z = d \geq 1$ , and the claim is proven, for all  $X$  such that  $\dim X_z < d$ .

By Proposition A.1 there is a regular function  $f_z \in \mathcal{O}_{X_z}(X_z)$  such that  $\text{codim}_{X_z} Z(f_z) = 1$ . Then choose a lifting  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  of  $f_z$ . Since  $X$  is irreducible, it follows that  $\text{codim}_X Z(f) = 1$ , and so  $\text{codim}_X Z(f) = 1$ . Moreover, since  $X$  is irreducible, it follows that  $X_\eta$  is dense in  $X$ , and  $X_\eta$  is irreducible too. Hence  $f_\eta := f|_{X_\eta} \neq 0$ . So  $\text{codim}_{X_\eta} Z(f_\eta) \geq 1$ , and  $\text{codim}_{X_\eta} Z(f_\eta) = 1$ .

Let  $X_1$  denote the irreducible component of  $Z(f)$ . By the above

$$\text{codim}_{X_z}(X_1 \times_B z) = 1, \text{codim}_{X_\eta}(X_1 \times_B \eta) = 1.$$

Then  $X_1$  is an irreducible affine scheme over  $B$  such that  $X_1 \times_B z$  and  $X_1 \times_B \eta$  are non-empty. By the inductive assumption  $\dim(X_1 \times_B z) = \dim(X_1 \times_B \eta)$ . Hence  $\dim X_z = \dim X_\eta$ .  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. SECTIONS OF LINE BUNDLES

In this section, we summarise some results on the lifting and existence of section of line bundles used in the text.

**Lemma B.1.** *Let  $X$  be a local scheme, and  $Z$  be a finite scheme over  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a linear bundle on  $Z$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Since  $Z$  is finite over a spectrum of a local ring,  $Z$  is semi-local and affine. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is a coherent sheaf on the affine scheme  $Z$ , there restriction homomorphism  $\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L})$  is surjective, where  $F$  is the set of closed points of  $Z$ . Since  $F$  is a union of spectra of fields there is an invertible section  $f \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L})$ . Then any section  $s \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L})$  such that  $s|_F = f$  is invertible.  $\square$

**Lemma B.2.** *Let  $X$  be a local scheme, and  $Z$  be a finite scheme over  $X$ . Assume the residue field at the closed point of  $X$  has at least three elements. Let  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_0$  be linear bundles on  $Z$ . Then for any section  $\delta \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_0)$  there are invertible sections  $s_1, s_\infty \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $s_0^+ \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1})$ ,  $s_1 = s_\infty + \delta s_0^+$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Z$  is finite over a spectrum of a local ring,  $Z$  is semi-local and affine. Let  $F$  be the union of closed points of  $Z$ .

Consider the case of  $Z = F$ . If  $\delta = 0$ , we choose any invertible sections  $s_0^+$  and  $s_\infty$ , and put  $s_1 = s_\infty$ . If  $\delta$  is invertible, since by assumption it follows that  $\#k \geq 3$ , there are invertible sections  $s_0, s_1 \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $s_0 \neq s_1$ ; then the section  $s_\infty := s_1 - s_0$  is non trivial, and is invertible. Put  $s_0^+ = s_0 \delta^{-1}$ .

For a given scheme  $Z$  from the lemma, by the above we choose sections  $f_1, f_\infty \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $f_0^+ \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1})$ ,  $f_1 = f_\infty + \delta f_0^+$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_0$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}$  are coherent sheaves on the affine scheme  $Z$ ,

$$\Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L}_0), \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(F, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where  $F$  is the union of closed points of  $Z$ . Then there are sections  $s_\infty \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1)$ ,  $s_0^+ \in \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_0^{-1})$ ,  $s_\infty|_F = f_\infty$ ,  $s_0^+|_F = f_0^+$ . Define  $s_1 = s_\infty + \delta s_0^+$ , then  $s_1|_F = f_1$ . Since a preimage of an invertible section along homomorphisms (B.3) is invertible, the claim follows.  $\square$

## APPENDIX C. FRAMED CORRESPONDENCES HOMOTOPIES

In this section, we summarise some framed correspondences homotopies used in the article which were known before. In particular, the following homotopies were used already in [25], and the equivalent statements to the following ones can be founded in [10].

**Lemma C.1.** *Given a scheme  $U$  and monic polynomial  $f$  in  $\mathcal{O}(U)[t]$  of degree  $l$ , then there is the equality of framed correspondences*

$$[(Z(f), f, r)] = l_\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_1(U, U)$$

where  $r: \mathbb{A}_U^1 \rightarrow U$  is the canonical projection.

*Proof.* The equality follows by the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} [(Z(f), f, r)] &= [(Z((t-1)^{l-1}), t(t-1)^{l-1}, r)] + [(Z(t), t(t-1)^{l-1}, r)] \\ &= [(Z((t-1)^{l-1}), (t-1)^{l-1}, r)] + [(Z(t), (-1)^{l-1}t, r)] \\ &= [(l-1)_\varepsilon] + [(-1)^{l-1}] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}}_1(U, U), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is given by the homotopy

$$(Z(\tilde{f}), \tilde{f}, r) \in \text{Fr}_1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, U), \tilde{f} = f(1-\lambda) + t(t-1)^{l-1}\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(U)[\lambda][t],$$

the second because of homotopies

$$\begin{aligned} (Z((t-1)^{l-1}) \times \mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{g}_0, r) &\in \text{Fr}_1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, U), \\ \tilde{g}_0 = t(t-1)^{l-1}(1-\lambda) + (t-1)^{l-1}\lambda &\in \mathcal{O}(U)[\lambda][t], \\ (Z(t) \times \mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{g}_1, r) &\in \text{Fr}_1(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, U), \\ \tilde{g}_1 = t(t-1)^{l-1}(1-\lambda) + t(-1)^{l-1}\lambda &\in \mathcal{O}(U)[\lambda][t], \end{aligned}$$

and the third equality holds, since  $[(Z((t-1)^{l-1}), (t-1)^{l-1}, r)] = [(l-1)_\varepsilon]$  by the inductive assumption, and  $Z(t), (-1)^{l-1}t, r = \langle (-1)^{l-1} \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma C.2.** *For any scheme  $U$  and integers  $l_1, l_2$ , there is the equality*

$$(l_1)_\varepsilon \circ (l_2)_\varepsilon = \sigma(l_1 l_2)_\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2}(U, U).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma C.4 for any  $l_1$ ,

$$[(l_1)_\varepsilon \circ \langle (-1)^j \rangle] = \sigma[(-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon].$$

Then for any  $l_1$ ,

$$[(-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon] = [(-1)^{j l_1} \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon],$$

since for even  $l_1$ ,

$$[(-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon] = [(l_1)_\varepsilon] = [(-1)^{j l_1} \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon]$$

and for odd  $l_1$ ,

$$(-1)^j = (-1)^{j l_1}.$$

Hence

$$[(l_1)_\varepsilon \circ \sum_{j=0}^{l_2-1} \langle (-1)^j \rangle] = [\sigma[\sum_{j=0}^{l_2-1} \langle (-1)^j \cdot (l_1)_\varepsilon \rangle]] = [\sigma[\sum_{j=0}^{l_1 l_2 - 1} \langle (-1)^j \rangle]] = (l_2 l_1)_\varepsilon.$$

$\square$

**Lemma C.3.** *For a matrix  $G \in \mathrm{GL}_n(V)$ , for a scheme  $V$ , consider the framed correspondence  $c_G = (0 \times V, G(t_1, \dots, t_n), \mathrm{pr})$ , where  $\mathrm{pr}: \mathbb{A}_V^2 \rightarrow V$  is the canonical projection. If the matrices  $G_1, G_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(V)$  belong to the same orbit in  $E(V) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(V) / E(V)$  with respect to the two-side action of the elementary matrices group  $E(V)$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(V)$ , then  $[c_{G_1}] = [c_{G_2}] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_n}(V)$*

*Proof.* The claim follows because  $E(V)$  is generated by elementary transvections  $e_{v,l}(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $v \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n})$ ,  $l \in \Gamma(V, (\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n})^\vee)$ , and for each matrix  $G \in \mathrm{GL}_n(V)$ , each transvection  $e_{v,l}(t)$  define  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies  $c_{G e_{v,l}(t\lambda)}, c_{e_{v,l}(t\lambda)G} \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(V \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}(V \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \mathcal{O}(V)[\lambda]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma C.4.** *For any scheme  $U$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{O}^\times(U)$ , there is the equality*

$$[\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \circ \langle \lambda_2 \rangle] = [\sigma\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle] \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2}(U).$$

*Proof.* Consider the diagonal matrices  $T_1 = \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$  and  $T_2 = \langle 1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(U)$ . Then

$$r_{1,2} e_{2,1}(-\lambda_1^{-1}) T_1 e_{1,2}(\lambda_2) e_{2,1}(-\lambda_2^{-1}) = T_2,$$

where

$$r_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the rotation. Since the rotation  $r_{1,2}$  is an elementary matrix,  $T_1$  can be turned to  $T_2$  via elementary transformations, in other words the orbits of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(U)$  with respect to the two-side action of elementary matrices

The claim follows from Lemma C.3 because  $\langle \lambda_1 \rangle \circ \langle \lambda_2 \rangle = c_{T_1}$ , and  $\sigma[\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle] = c_{T_2}$  in sense of notation from Lemma C.3.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ananyevskiy, G. Garkusha, and I. Panin. Cancellation theorem for framed motives of algebraic varieties. *Advances in Mathematics* 383 (2021), p. 107681.
- [2] A. Ananyevskiy and A. Neshitov. Framed and MW-transfers for homotopy modules. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 25.2 (2019), 41 pp.
- [3] T. Bachmann, B. Calmès, F. Déglise, J. Fasel, and P. A. Østvær. Milnor-Witt Motives. arXiv: 2004.06634.
- [4] T. Bachmann and E. Elmanto. Notes on motivic infinite loop space theory. 2019. arXiv: 1912.06530.
- [5] B. Calmès and J. Fasel. The category of finite MW-correspondences. 2017. arXiv: 1412.2989v2.
- [6] F. Déglise and J. Fasel. MW-motivic complexes. 2017. arXiv: 1708.06095.
- [7] F. Déglise and J. Fasel. The Milnor–Witt motivic ring spectrum and its associated theories. 2017. arXiv: 1708.06102.
- [8] Druzhinin. arXiv: 1811.11086.
- [9] Druzhinin. Stable motivic trivial fibre decomposition, and framed motives formulas over integers ( $\cdot$ ). in preparation.

- [10] A. Druzhinin. Rigidity for smooth affine pairs over a field. arXiv: 1809.04158.
- [11] A. Druzhinin. Framed motives of smooth affine pairs. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 226.3 (2022), p. 106834.
- [12] A. Druzhinin. Strict  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance over integers. Motivic Geometry Seminar.
- [13] A. Druzhinin and H. Kolderup. Cohomological correspondence categories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 20.3 (May 2020), pp. 1487–1541.
- [14] A. Druzhinin, H. Kolderup, and P. A. Østvær. Strict  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance over the integers. Dec. 2020. arXiv: 2012.07365v1.
- [15] A. Druzhinin and J. I. Kylling. Framed motives and zeroth stable motivic homotopy groups in odd characteristic. 2018. arXiv: 1809.03238.
- [16] A. Druzhinin and I. Panin. Surjectivity of the étale excision map for homotopy invariant framed presheaves. 2018. arXiv: 1808.07765.
- [17] Druzhinin and Sosnilo. Zariski local framed motivic spectra. arXiv: 2108.08275.
- [18] E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. A. Khan, V. Sosnilo, and M. Yakerson. Motivic infinite loop spaces. to appear in *CJM*. 2018. arXiv: 1711.05248.
- [19] E. Elmanto and A. Khan. Perfection in motivic homotopy theory. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 120 (Jan. 2020), pp. 28–38.
- [20] J. Fasel and P. A. Østvær. A cancellation theorem for Milnor–Witt correspondences. 2017. arXiv: 1708.06098.
- [21] N. Feld. Morel Homotopy Modules and Milnor–Witt Cycle Modules. Dec. 2019.
- [22] G. Garkusha and A. Neshitov. Fibrant resolutions for motivic Thom spectra. 2018. arXiv: 1804.07621.
- [23] G. Garkusha, A. Neshitov, and I. Panin. Framed motives of relative motivic spheres. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374 (2021), pp. 5131–5161.
- [24] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. Framed motives of algebraic varieties (after V. Voevodsky). *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 34 (2021), pp. 261–313.
- [25] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. Homotopy invariant presheaves with framed transfers. *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics* 8.1 (2020), pp. 1–94.
- [26] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. The triangulated categories of framed bispectra and framed motives. arXiv: 1809.08006.
- [27] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, and P. A. Østvær. Framed motivic  $\Gamma$ -spaces. 2019. arXiv: 1907.00433.
- [28] R. Hartshorne. Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977, pp. xvi+496.
- [29] M. Levine. Mixed Motives. *Handbook of K-Theory*. Ed. by E. M. Friedlander and D. R. Grayson. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005, pp. 429–521.
- [30] F. Morel. An introduction to  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory. *Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory*. ICTP Lect. Notes, XV. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004, pp. 357–441.
- [31] F. Morel. The stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -connectivity theorems. *K-Theory* 35.1-2 (2005), pp. 1–68.
- [32] I. Panin. Oriented cohomology theories of algebraic varieties. II (After I. Panin and A. Smirnov). *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 11.1 (2009), pp. 349–405.
- [33] M. Robalo. K-theory and the bridge from motives to noncommutative motives. *Advances in Mathematics* 269 (2015), pp. 399–550.
- [34] A. Suslin. Motivic complexes over nonperfect fields. Talk on the second annual conference-meeting MIAN–POMI ”Algebra and Algebraic Geometry”, PDMI RAS, St. Petersburg. Dec. 2008.
- [35] A. Suslin. Motivic complexes over nonperfect fields. *Ann. K-Theory* 2.2 (2017), pp. 277–302.
- [36] V. Voevodsky. Cancellation theorem. *Doc. Math.* Extra vol.: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday (2010), pp. 671–685.
- [37] V. Voevodsky. Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers. *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*. Vol. 143. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 87–137.
- [38] V. Voevodsky. Notes on framed correspondences. 2001.
- [39] V. Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*. Vol. 143. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 188–238.

ANDREI DRUZHININ,, CHEBYSHEV LABORATORY, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY,, A.DRUZHININ@SPBU.RU AND, ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, RUSSIA, ANDREI.DRUZH@GMAIL.COM