# Coprime automorphisms of finite groups

Cristina Acciarri, Robert M. Guralnick, and Pavel Shumyatsky

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  of order e. Denote by  $I_G(\alpha)$  the set of commutators  $g^{-1}g^{\alpha}$ , where  $g \in G$ , and by  $[G, \alpha]$  the subgroup generated by  $I_G(\alpha)$ . We study the impact of  $I_G(\alpha)$  on the structure of  $[G, \alpha]$ . Suppose that each subgroup generated by a subset of  $I_G(\alpha)$  can be generated by at most r elements. We show that the rank of  $[G, \alpha]$ is  $(e, r)$ -bounded. Along the way, we establish several results of independent interest. In particular, we prove that if every element of  $I_G(\alpha)$  has odd order, then  $[G, \alpha]$  has odd order too. Further, if every pair of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$  generates a soluble, or nilpotent, subgroup, then  $[G, \alpha]$  is soluble, or respectively nilpotent.

## 1. Introduction

An automorphism  $\alpha$  of a finite group G is coprime if  $(|G|, |\alpha|) = 1$ . We denote by  $C_G(\alpha)$  the fixed-point subgroup  $\{x \in G; x^{\alpha} = x\}$  and by  $I_G(\alpha)$  the set of all commutators  $g^{-1}g^{\alpha}$ , where  $g \in G$ . Then  $[G, \alpha]$ stands for the subgroup generated by  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

It is well known that properties of the centralizer  $C_G(\alpha)$  of a coprime automorphism have strong influence over the structure of G. There is a wealth of results illustrating this phenomenon, probably the most famous of which is Thompson's Theorem that if  $\alpha$  has prime order and  $C_G(\alpha) = 1$ , then G is nilpotent [[23](#page-21-0)]. Over the years, this was generalized in several directions. In particular, Khukhro proved that if G admits an automorphism  $\alpha$  of prime order p with  $C_G(\alpha)$  of order

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D45.

Key words and phrases. Finite groups, automorphisms.

The first and the third authors were supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF), Brazil. The second author was partially supported by a Simons Foundation fellowship and NSF grant DMS-1901595.

m, then G has a nilpotent subgroup of  $(m, p)$ -bounded index and p-bounded class [[14](#page-21-1)]. Throughout, we use the term  $(a, b, c \dots)$ -bounded to mean "bounded from above by some function depending only on the parameters  $a, b, c \ldots$ ". Further, if G admits a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  of prime order p with  $C_G(\alpha)$  of rank r, then G has characteristic subgroups R and N such that  $N/R$  is nilpotent of p-bounded class, while R and  $G/N$  have  $(p, r)$ -bounded ranks [[15](#page-21-2)]. Recall that the rank of a finite group  $G$  is the least number  $r$  such that each subgroup of  $G$ can be generated by at most r elements.

Given a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  of a finite group G, there is a kind of (rather vague) duality between  $C_G(\alpha)$  and  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Note that since  $|G| = |C_G(\alpha)||I_G(\alpha)|$ , if one of  $C_G(\alpha)$ ,  $I_G(\alpha)$  is large then the other is small. Our purpose in the present article is to show that also properties of  $I_G(\alpha)$  may strongly impact the structure of G. It is easy to see that if  $|I_G(\alpha)| \leq m$ , then the order of  $[G, \alpha]$  is m-bounded. Indeed, since  $|I_G(\alpha)| \leq m$ , the index of the centralizer  $[G : C_G(\alpha)]$  is at most m and we can choose a normal subgroup  $N \leq C_G(\alpha)$  such that  $[G : N] \leq m!$ . Observe that  $[G, \alpha]$  commutes with N (see item (iii) of Lemma [2.1\)](#page-2-0) and therefore the centre of  $[G, \alpha]$  has index at most m!. The Schur theorem [[20](#page-21-3), Theorem 4.12] now tells us that  $[G, \alpha]'$  has m-bounded order. We can pass to the qutient  $G/[G,\alpha]'$  and, without loss of generality, assume that  $[G, \alpha]$  is abelian. But then  $[G, \alpha] = I_G(\alpha)$  and so  $|[G, \alpha]| \leq m$ . We address the question whether a rank condition imposed on the set  $I_G(\alpha)$  has an impact on the structure of G. We emphasize that  $I_G(\alpha)$ in general is not a subgroup and therefore the usual concept of rank does not apply to  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Instead we consider the condition that each subgroup of G generated by a subset of  $I_G(\alpha)$  can be generated by at most r elements. Our main result is as follows.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Theorem 1.1. *Let* G *be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism* α *of order* e *and suppose that any subgroup generated by a subset of*  $I_G(\alpha)$  *can be generated by* r *elements. Then*  $[G, \alpha]$  *has*  $(e, r)$ *bounded rank.*

The much easier particular case of the theorem for  $e = 2$  was earlier dealt with in [[1](#page-20-0)]. As might be expected, Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) depends on the classification of finite simple groups. Along the way, we establish several results of independent interest. In particular, we prove the following result.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>Theorem 1.2. *Let* G *be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$  *such that*  $g^{-1}g^{\alpha}$  *has odd order for every*  $g \in G$ *. Then*  $[G, \alpha] \leq O(G)$ .

As usual,  $O(G)$  stands for the maximal normal subgroup of odd order of G. Recall that an immediate corollary of Glauberman's celebrated  $Z^*$ -theorem is that if G contains an involution x such that  $[g, x]$ has odd order for every  $g \in G$ , then  $[G, x] \leq O(G)$  [[5](#page-21-4)]. A theorem obtained in [[11](#page-21-5)] states that if G contains an element x of prime order  $p$ such that  $[g, x]$  has p-power order for every  $g \in G$ , then  $[G, x] \leq O_p(G)$ . Thus, one may wonder whether the assumption that  $\alpha$  is coprime in Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) is really necessary. In Section 3 we give examples showing that the theorem is no longer true if the assumption is omitted.

It is well known that if any pair of elements of a finite group generates a soluble (respectively nilpotent) subgroup, then the whole group is soluble (respectively nilpotent). Indeed, Guest  $[8]$  $[8]$  $[8]$  showed that if q is of prime order at least 5 and if every two conjugates of g generate a soluble group, then q is in the soluble radical of  $G$ . We will establish a similar result for groups with coprime automorphisms.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Theorem 1.3. *Let* G *be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$ *. If any pair of elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$  *generates* a *soluble subgroup, then*  $[G, \alpha]$  *is soluble. If any pair of elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$ *generates a nilpotent subgroup, then*  $[G, \alpha]$  *is nilpotent.* 

Note that in  $S_n$  for  $n \geq 5$  any four transpositions generate a soluble subgroup. Furthermore there are almost simple groups containing elements of order 3 such that any three conjugates generate a soluble subgroup (see Guest [[8](#page-21-6)]).These examples show that the coprimeness assumption is needed in the previous theorem. It seems likely that the assumption can be removed in the case where  $\alpha$  is of prime order at least 5.

## 2. Preliminary results

All groups considered in this paper are finite. The Feit-Thompson theorem that groups of odd order are soluble [[4](#page-20-1)] will be used without explicit references. We start with a collection of well-known facts about coprime automorphisms of finite groups (see for example [[6](#page-21-7)]).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Lemma 2.1. *Let a group* G *admit a coprime automorphism* α*. The following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $G = [G, \alpha]C_G(\alpha)$  *and*  $|I_G(\alpha)| = [G : C_G(\alpha)]$ ;
- (ii) If N is any  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup of G we have  $C_{G/N}(\alpha) =$  $C_G(\alpha)N/N$ , and  $I_{G/N}(\alpha) = \{gN \mid g \in I_G(\alpha)\};$
- (iii) *If* N is any  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup of G such that  $N =$  $C_N(\alpha)$ , then  $[G, \alpha]$  centralizes N;

# 4 CRISTINA ACCIARRI, ROBERT M. GURALNICK, AND PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

(iv) *The group* G *possesses an* α*-invariant Sylow* p*-subgroup for each prime*  $p \in \pi(G)$ *.* 

Throughout, by a simple group we mean a nonabelian simple group. We will often use without special references the well-known corollary of the classification that if a simple group  $G$  admits a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  of order e, then  $G = L(q)$  is a group of Lie type and  $\alpha$  is a field automorphism. Furthermore,  $C_G(\alpha) = L(q_0)$  is a group of the same Lie type defined over a smaller field such that  $q = q_0^e$  (see [[7](#page-21-8)]).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Lemma 2.2. *Let* r *be a positive integer and* G *a simple group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$  *of order*  $e > 1$ *.* 

- (1) *If the order of*  $[P, \alpha]$  *is at most r whenever*  $P$  *is an*  $\alpha$ *-invariant Sylow subgroup of* G*, then the order of* G *is* r*-bounded.*
- (2) If the rank of  $[P, \alpha]$  *is at most* r whenever P *is an*  $\alpha$ -*invariant Sylow subgroup of* G*, then the rank of* G *is* r*-bounded.*

PROOF. We know that  $G = L(q_0^e)$  is a group of Lie type and  $q_0 = p^s$ is a p-power for some prime p. Moreover  $C_G(\alpha) = L(q_0)$  is a group of the same Lie type. Choose an  $\alpha$ -invariant Sylow p-subgroup U in G such that  $C_U(\alpha)$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of  $C_G(\alpha)$ .

Comparing the orders of G and U note that  $|G| \leq |U|^3$  (see [[7](#page-21-8)]) and so for (1), it suffices to show that U is bounded in terms of  $|[U,\alpha]|$ . Note that  $|U| = q_0^{ed}$  for some d and  $|C_U(\alpha)| = q_0^d$ , it follows that  $|[U,\alpha]| \geq q_0^{d(e-1)} > |U|^{1/2}.$ 

We now prove  $(2)$ . To bound the rank of  $G$ , it suffices to bound the rank of each Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroup of G [[10,](#page-21-9) [19](#page-21-10)]. If  $\ell \neq p$ , it is well known that the rank of a Sylow  $\ell$ -subgroup of G is at most the (untwisted) Lie rank of G plus the rank of the Weyl group [[7](#page-21-8), Sec. 4.10].

Now consider  $U$ . By  $[7, 3.3.1, Thm. 3.3.3]$  $[7, 3.3.1, Thm. 3.3.3]$  $[7, 3.3.1, Thm. 3.3.3]$ , there exists an elementary abelian  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup A of U of order  $p^{esf(m)}$  where m is the untwisted Lie rank of G and  $f(m)$  is some function which grows quadratically in the Lie rank  $m$ . Moreover, this subgroup  $A$  is a product of root subgroups if the group is untwisted and a product of abelian subgroups of root subgroups in the twisted case and each of these subgroups is  $\alpha$ -invariant. It follows as in the proof of (1), that  $[A, \alpha]$  has rank at least  $(e-1)sf(m)$ . Our hypothesis implies that e, s and m are bounded. Since  $|U| \leq p^{esm^2}$ , this bounds the rank of U and the Lie rank of  $G$ . The result now follows.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Lemma 2.3. *Let* G *be a simple group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$ *. There is a prime*  $p \in \pi(G)$  *such that* G *is generated by two p-subgroups*  $P_1$  *and*  $P_2$  *with the property that*  $P_1 = [P_1, \alpha]$  *and*  $P_2 = [P_2, \alpha].$ 

**PROOF.** Again, G is a group of Lie type, say over the field of q elements and  $\alpha$  is a field automorphism of odd order e (and so  $e \geq 5$ or  $e = 3$  and  $G = Sz(q)$ . Furthermore,  $C_G(\alpha)$  is the group of the same Lie type over the field of  $q_0$  elements where  $q = q_0^e$ . In particular,  $\alpha$  normalizes a Borel subgroup  $B = UT$ , where T is a torus and U is a Sylow p-subgroup, where q is a p-power. Then  $B^- = U^-T$  is the opposite Borel subgroup (with  $U \cap U^- = 1$ ). This is obtained by conjugating B by the longest element in the Weyl group. We claim that  $G = \langle [U, \alpha], [U^-, \alpha] \rangle$ .

First consider the rank 1 groups:  $PSL_2(q)$ ,  $PSU_3(q)$ ,  ${}^2G_2(q)$ ,  $Sz(q)$ . Note that  $|[U,\alpha]| \geq (q/q_0)^m$  where  $|U| = q^m$  and by inspection of the maximal subgroups (cf [[24](#page-21-11)]), deduce that the only maximal subgroup containing  $[U, \alpha]$  is B and so the result holds.

In a similar way we treat the group  ${}^2F_4(2^d)$  – all maximal subgroups are known ([[24](#page-21-11), 4.9.3]) and none of them contains both  $[U, \alpha]$  and  $[U^-, \alpha]$ .

So assume that G has (twisted) Lie rank at least 2. Since the automorphism  $\alpha$  normalizes any root subgroup, each parabolic subgroup P containing B (and similarly for  $B^-$ ) is  $\alpha$ -invariant. Note that  $P = QL$  where Q is the unipotent radical and L is the standard Levi subgroup. Note that  $[L, L]$  is a central product of quasisimple groups of Lie type of smaller rank and so, by induction on the rank, we have  $[L, L] \leq \langle [U \cap L, \alpha], [U^- \cap L, \alpha] \rangle$ . Observe that  $[L, L]$  is generated by the root subgroups corresponding to the system of a subset of positive roots. So every simple root is contained in some parabolic subgroup. We conclude that in particular the root subgroups  $U_{\pm a}$  are contained in  $\langle [U, \alpha], [U^-, \alpha] \rangle$  for each positive simple root a. Since the positive simple root subgroups  $U_a$  generate  $U$  (and  $U_{-a}$  generate  $U^-$ ), we see that U and U<sup>-</sup> are contained in  $\langle [U, \alpha], [U^-, \alpha] \rangle$  and it is well known (see Section 2.9 in [[7](#page-21-8)]) that these generate  $G$ .

Throughout, the term "semisimple group" means direct product of simple groups.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Lemma 2.4. *Let* C *be a positive integer and* G *a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$  *such that*  $G = [G, \alpha]$ *. Suppose that the order of*  $[P, \alpha]$  *is at most* C *whenever* P *is an*  $\alpha$ *-invariant Sylow subgroup of* G*. Then the order of* G *is* C*-bounded.*

**PROOF.** First, suppose that G is abelian, in which case  $P = [P, \alpha]$ and  $p \leq |P| \leq C$ . It follows that  $|G| \leq C^f$ , where f is the number of primes less than or equal to  $C$ . So we assume that  $G$  is nonabelian. If G is simple, then the result is immediate from Lemma  $2.2(1)$ . If 6 CRISTINA ACCIARRI, ROBERT M. GURALNICK, AND PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

G is semisimple and  $\alpha$  transitively permutes the simple factors, then any  $\alpha$ -invariant Sylow subgroup Q is a product  $Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_l$ , where  $\alpha$  transitively permutes the factors  $Q_i$ . Observe that  $|[Q,\alpha]| \geq |Q_1|^{l-1}$ and the result follows. So suppose that G has proper  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroups. Let  $\pi(G) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$  and for each  $i \leq k$  choose an  $\alpha$ invariant Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroup  $P_i$  in G. Let  $s(G)$  denote the product  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} |[P_i, \alpha]|$ . Obviously  $s(G) \leq C^k$  and note that k is C-bounded. Thus  $s(G)$  is C-bounded and so we will use induction on  $s(G)$ . Suppose first that  $\alpha$  acts nontrivially on every  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup of G. Let M be a minimal  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup. By induction the order of  $G/M$  is C-bounded. The subgroup M is either an elementary abelian p-group for some prime  $p \leq C$  or a semisimple group. In any case  $[M, \alpha]$  has C-bounded order. Since  $[M, \alpha]$  is normal in M, which has  $C$ -bounded index in  $G$ , we conclude that the normal closure  $\langle [M, \alpha]^G \rangle$  has C-bounded order. Because of minimality of M we have  $\langle [M, \alpha]^G \rangle = M$  and so the order of G is C-bounded. This completes the proof in the particular case where  $\alpha$  acts nontrivially on every  $\alpha$ invariant normal subgroup of G.

Next, suppose that G has nontrivial normal subgroups contained in  $C_G(\alpha)$ . Let N be the product of all such subgroups. In view of the above  $G/N$  has C-bounded order. Since  $N \leq Z(G)$ , we deduce from Schur's Theorem  $[20,$  $[20,$  $[20,$  Theorem 4.12 that G' has C-bounded order. Hence the result.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>LEMMA 2.5. Let  $G = H\langle a \rangle$  be a group with a normal subgroup H *and an element* a *such that*  $(|H|, |a|) = 1$  *and*  $H = [H, a]$ *. Suppose that* G *faithfully acts by permutations on a set* Ω *in such a way that the element* a *moves only* m *points. Then the order of* G *is* m*-bounded.*

**PROOF.** First, note that the order of a is obviously m-bounded. Another useful observation is that because of Lemma [2.4](#page-4-0) without loss of generality we can assume that  $H$  is a p-group for some prime p. Let  $\Omega_0$  be a nontrivial G-orbit. If a moves no points in  $\Omega_0$ , then taking into account that  $H = [H, \alpha]$  we conclude that also H acts trivially on  $\Omega_0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\alpha$  moves at least 2 points on every nontrivial G-orbit and so there are at most  $m/2$  orbits of G in  $\Omega$ . Since G embeds into a subdirect product  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$ , with  $G_i$  transitive on the *i*th nontrivial G-orbit, without loss of generality the action of G on  $\Omega$  can be assumed transitive and so it is sufficient to bound the cardinality of  $\Omega$ . Consider the corresponding permutational representation of G over C. So G naturally acts on the  $|\Omega|$ -dimensional linear space V. The dimension of  $[V, a]$  is  $m - 1$ . The space V is a direct sum of irreducible G-modules and there are at most m of these (the trivial module and at most  $m-1$  nontrivial ones). Each of the irreducible G-modules has  $(m, |a|)$ -bounded dimension by the Hartley-Isaacs Theorem B [[12](#page-21-12)]. It follows that the dimension of V is m-bounded, as required. follows that the dimension of  $V$  is m-bounded, as required.

The following lemma will be useful.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Lemma 2.6. *Let* G *be a group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$  *such that*  $G = [G, \alpha]$ *. Suppose that*  $G = NH$  *is a product of an* α*-invariant normal subgroup* N *and an* α*-invariant subgroup* H*. Assume that*  $[H, \alpha]$  *is generated by*  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$  *while*  $[N, \alpha]$  *is generated by*  $b_1, \ldots, b_t$ . Then  $G = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_t \rangle$ .

PROOF. Since  $G = N[H, \alpha]$ , without loss of generality we can assume that  $H = [H, \alpha]$ . Thus,  $H = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_s \rangle$ . Hence the subgroup  $\langle [N, \alpha]^H \rangle$  is contained in  $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_t \rangle$ . By Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii) the image of N in the quotient group  $G/\langle [N, \alpha]^H \rangle$  becomes central and therefore the image of H becomes normal. Hence,  $\langle [N, \alpha]^H \rangle H$  is normal in G. Obviously,  $\alpha$  acts trivially on  $G/([N, \alpha]^H)H$ . Since  $G = [G, \alpha]$ , we conclude that  $G = \langle [N, \alpha]^H \rangle H$  and the result follows.

In the sequel we will require the following well-known fact (see  $[21, 1]$  $[21, 1]$  $[21, 1]$ ) p. 271]).

<span id="page-6-2"></span>Lemma 2.7. *Let* N *be a normal subgroup of a finite group* G*. Let* H *be a minimal subgroup of* G *such that*  $G = NH$ *. Then*  $H \cap N \leq \Phi(H)$ *.* 

# 3. Theorems [1.2](#page-1-1) and [1.3](#page-2-1)

In this section Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) and Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) will be proved. Naturally, the proof relies on the classification of simple groups. We will also extensively use standard facts about conjugacy classes and characters of  $PGL_2(q)$  (see [[3](#page-20-2)]). We first prove results about  $PSL_2(q)$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>LEMMA 3.1. Let  $e \geq 5$  be an odd positive integer,  $q_0$  an odd prime *power, and let*  $q = q_0^e$ *. Let*  $\alpha$  *be a field automorphism of*  $H := \text{PSL}_2(q)$ *of order* e (necessarily with  $C_H(\alpha) = \text{PSL}_2(q_0)$ ). Let  $C = \alpha^H$ . Then  $\dot{C}C^{-1} = H.$ 

PROOF. For convenience it is easier to work with  $J = \text{PGL}_2(q)$ . Since  $J = HC_J(\alpha)$ , this suffices (i.e.  $\alpha^J = \alpha^H$ ). Set  $M = J(\alpha)$ .

Note that J has  $q + 1$  conjugacy classes of order prime to q and 1 conjugacy class of elements whose order is not relatively prime to q. So J has  $q + 2$  nontrivial irreducible characters of dimensions  $q - 1$ , q, and  $q + 1$ . Note that  $\alpha$  leaves precisely  $q_0 + 2$  conjugacy classes invariant and so by Brauer's permutation lemma, the same is true for irreducible characters.

By the class equation formula, the lemma is equivalent to saying that

$$
\sum \phi(\alpha)\phi(\alpha^{-1})\phi(y)/\phi(1) \neq 0, \qquad (*)
$$

for every  $y \in H$ . Here the sum is taken over the irreducible characters  $\phi$  of  $M$ .

Note that if  $\phi$  is an irreducible character of M and is not irreducible when restricted to J, then  $\phi(\alpha) = 0$ . So it suffices to consider the  $\alpha$ invariant characters of J. Each has precisely e distinct extensions to M, which are the same up to a twist by a linear character of  $M/J$ and so give the same value in the sum above – thus, to show that the class sum is nonzero, it suffices to pick one extension of each invariant character to  $\langle J, \alpha \rangle$ .

By inspection of the character table for  $PGL<sub>2</sub>(q)$ , it follows that if  $\phi$  is an irreducible character,  $|\phi(y)| \leq 2$  for each  $y \in H$ . If  $\phi$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant, we claim that  $|\phi(\alpha)| \leq q_0 + 1$ .

To see this, note that if U is a Sylow p-subgroup of order  $q$ , then every nontrivial character of U occurs once and the trivial character with multiplicity at most 2. Thus,  $\alpha$  permutes the nontrivial characters of U fixing exactly  $q_0 - 1$  of them and so the claim holds.

If  $\phi$  is not irreducible over J (equivalently not  $\alpha$ -invariant), then  $\phi(\alpha) = 0$  and so does not contribute to the sum in (\*). If  $\phi(1) = 1$ , then  $\phi(y) = 1$  as well (since  $y \in H = [J, J]$ ) and so the contribution is 1 for each. There are two such characters.

There are  $q_0$  irreducible characters not of degree 1 that are  $\alpha$ invariant. For any such character  $\phi$ , we have

$$
|\phi(\alpha)\phi(\alpha^{-1})\phi(y)/\phi(1)| \le 2(q_0+1)^2/(q-1).
$$

Since there are  $q_0$  such characters, the absolute value of the sum of these is at most  $2q_0(q_0 + 1)^2/(q - 1) < 2$ . The last inequality follows since  $q > q_0^5$ . This implies the claim. since  $q \ge q_0^5$ . This implies the claim.

Essentially the same proof yields:

<span id="page-7-0"></span>LEMMA 3.2. Let  $e \geq 4$  be a positive integer,  $q_0$  a power of 2, and  $q = q_0^e$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a field automorphism of  $H := \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$  of order e *(so*) *with centralizer*  $PSL_2(q_0)$ *). Let*  $C = \alpha^H$ *. Then*  $CC^{-1} = H$ *.* 

**PROOF.** Note that since q is even,  $PSL_2(q) = PGL_2(q)$ . In this case, there are q conjugacy classes of elements of odd order and 1 conjugacy class of involutions for a total of  $q + 1$  conjugacy classes. As in the previous result, we see that there are  $q_0 + 1$   $\alpha$ -invariant irreducible characters of H of possible dimensions  $q-1, q$  and  $q+1$ . The trivial character of  $H$  is the only linear character. The estimates for the character values are the same as in the previous lemma and so each nontrivial character contributes at most  $2(q_0+1)^2/(q-1)$ . Since  $e \geq 4$  and there are  $q_0$  characters to account for, the absolute value of this sum is at most  $2q_0(q_0+1)^2/(q-1) < 1$  (since  $e \geq 4$ ) unless possibly  $q_0 = 2$  and  $e \leq 5$ . In those two cases, one just computes the class sum directly to obtain the result. class sum directly to obtain the result.

We are ready to prove Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1) For the reader's convenience we restate it here.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Theorem 3.3. *Let* G *be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$  *such that*  $g^{-1}g^{\alpha}$  *has odd order for every*  $g \in G$ *. Then*  $[G, \alpha] \leq O(G)$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that this is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Then  $G = [G, \alpha]$  and  $O(G) = 1$ . Let M be a minimal  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup of G. Since  $G/M$  satisfies the hypothesis, by induction  $G/M$  has odd order. The subgroup M is either elementary abelian or semisimple.

If  $M$  is abelian, then  $M$  is a 2-subgroup and so by hypotheses  $M \leq C_G(\alpha)$ . It follows from Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii) that  $M \leq Z(G)$ , which leads to a contradiction since  $G/M$  has odd order and  $O(G) = 1$ .

Hence, we can assume that  $M$  is a direct product of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups and  $\alpha$  transitively permutes the simple factors. Moreover because of minimality  $G = M$ . If M is a product of more than one simple group, and if  $S$  is a simple factor in which  $q$  is an involution, observe that  $g^{-1}g^{\alpha}$  has order two, a contradiction.

So we are reduced to the case that  $G$  is simple. It follows that  $G$ is a group of Lie type and  $\alpha$  is a field automorphism, say of coprime order e.

If G is a group of Lie type in characteristic 2, then field automorphisms do not centralize Sylow 2-subgroups (but do normalize one) and so we have a contradiction again.

So  $G$  is a group of Lie type in odd characteristic  $p$ , defined over a field of size  $q = q_0^e$  with all divisors of e at least 5 (the only case where there is a coprime automorphism of order 3 is for the Suzuki groups which are in characteristic 2).

First suppose that G has (twisted) Lie rank 1. If  $G = \text{PSL}_2(q)$  we apply Lemma [3.1.](#page-6-0) If  $G = \text{PSU}_3(q)$  or  ${}^2\text{G}_2(q)$ , we observe that there is an  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup isomorphic to  $PSL_2(q)$  which is not centralized by  $\alpha$  and so again the lemma applies.

So we may assume that G has rank at least 2. Note that  $\alpha$  normalizes a Borel subgroup and, by the structure of field automorphisms,  $\alpha$  normalizes each parabolic subgroup of  $G$  containing  $B$ . We see that  $\alpha$  normalizes a Levi subgroup L (and so its derived subgroup). By choosing the parabolic subgroup to be minimal properly containing the Borel subgroup, we can assume that  $L$  is of rank 1. There may be a center but since the rank 1 case reduces to  $PSL_2(q)$ , the center will be a 2-group. The result follows.

We will now show that the coprimeness assumption is really necessary in Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-1) First, quote a linear algebra result from [[9](#page-21-14)].

THEOREM 3.4. Let *k* be an algebraically closed field and let  $A, B \in$  $M_n(k)$  *be matrices such that*  $AB - BA$  *has rank* 1*. Then* A *and* B *can be simultaneously triangularized.*

This implies that if F is any field and  $x, y \in GL_n(F)$  such that the group commutator  $[x, y]$  is a transvection, then  $\langle x, y \rangle$  has unipotent derived group, and in particular  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is soluble.

To see this, we can assume that  $F$  is algebraically closed and write  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = I + A$ , where A is a nilpotent rank one matrix. Thus,  $xy - yx = yxA$  has rank 1 and so x, y are simultaneously triangular, whence the commutator subgroup  $\langle x, y \rangle'$  is unipotent.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $x \in GL(V)$  act on V irreducibly. Then for *any*  $y \in GL(V)$  *the commutator* [x, y] *is not a transvection.* 

**PROOF.** By way of contradiction suppose that there is  $y \in GL(V)$ such that the commutator  $[x, y]$  is a transvection. On the one hand, the subgroup  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is irreducible because so is x. On the other hand,  $\langle x, y \rangle'$ is unipotent and therefore  $C_V(\langle x, y \rangle) \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.

The following example shows that Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) is no longer true if the assumption that the automorphism  $\alpha$  is coprime is omitted.

Let  $q = 2^a > 2$  and let  $G = SL_2(q)$ . Let  $x \in G$  be an element of order  $q+1$ . Then [x, y] has odd order for all  $y \in G$ . This is because the only elements of even order in  $G$  are transvections and, by Corollary [3.5,](#page-9-0) these are not commutators  $[x, y]$ .

We will now prove Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-1) For the reader's convenience we restate it here.

Theorem 3.6. *Let* G *be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$ *. If any pair of elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$  *generates* a soluble *subgroup, then*  $[G, \alpha]$  *is soluble. If any pair of elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$ *generates a nilpotent subgroup, then*  $[G, \alpha]$  *is nilpotent.* 

**PROOF.** Assume that any pair of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$  generates a soluble subgroup. We wish to prove that  $[G, \alpha]$  is soluble. Assume that this is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Arguing precisely as in the proof of Theorem [3.3,](#page-8-0) we see that either  $G$  is simple or G is a product of  $r > 1$  copies of a simple group L and  $\alpha$  permutes the factors transitively.

Consider the second case. By conjugating (in  $Aut(G)$ ), we may assume that  $\alpha = (x, 1, \ldots, 1)$  where x is an automorphism of L and  $\rho$ permutes the coordinates of G. It is clear that an element of  $I_G(\alpha)$  can have an arbitrary first coordinate in  $L$  and since  $L$  can be generated by 2 elements, the result holds in this case.

Assume that G is simple. As in the proof of Theorem [3.3,](#page-8-0) by minimality it follows that  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$  or  $\mathrm{Sz}(q)$ .

Suppose first that  $G = \text{PSL}_2(q)$ . Lemmas [3.1](#page-6-0) and [3.2](#page-7-0) imply that every element of G is conjugate to some element in  $I_G(\alpha)$ . In particular, there are elements of order  $(q \pm 1)$  (if q is even) or  $(q \pm 1)/2$  (if q is odd). Since  $q \geq 32$ , there are no proper subgroups containing elements of both orders.

Therefore  $G = Sz(q)$  and proper  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroups of G are either soluble or contained in  $C_G(\alpha)$ . Choose two  $\alpha$ -invariant cyclic Hall subgroups J and K of order  $q + \sqrt{2q + 1}$  and  $q - \sqrt{2q + 1}$ , respectively. It is straightforward that  $\langle [J, \alpha], [K, \alpha] \rangle$  is a nontrivial  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup generated by two elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Hence,  $\langle [J, \alpha], [K, \alpha] \rangle$  is soluble. The subgroup structure of the Suzuki groups is given in [[24](#page-21-11), p. 117. We see that no proper subgroup of G contains  $\langle [J, \alpha], [K, \alpha] \rangle$ , a contradiction.

Thus,  $[G, \alpha]$  is soluble, as claimed. We will now show that if any pair of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$  generates a nilpotent subgroup, then  $[G, \alpha]$ is nilpotent.

Again, let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then  $G =$  $[G, \alpha] = NH$ , where N is an  $\alpha$ -invariant elementary abelian normal psubgroup and H is an  $\alpha$ -invariant nilpotent  $p'$ -subgroup such that  $H =$ [H,  $\alpha$ ]. By Lemma [2.6,](#page-6-1)  $G = \langle I_N(\alpha), I_H(\alpha) \rangle$ . Since any pair of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$  generates a nilpotent subgroup and since  $(|N|, |H|) = 1$ , we deduce that  $I_N(\alpha)$  centralizes  $I_H(\alpha)$ . Taking into account that N is abelian deduce that  $I_N(\alpha) \leq Z(G)$ . It follows that  $G/Z(G)$  is nilpotent and this completes the proof and this completes the proof.

# 4. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)

We are ready to embark on the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) It will be convenient to deal separately with the case where G is nilpotent.

4.1. The case of nilpotent groups. As usual, we write  $Z_i(H)$ and  $\gamma_i(H)$  for the *i*th term of the upper and lower central series of a group  $H$ , respectively.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Lemma 4.1. *Let* p *be a prime and* G *a group admitting a coprime*  $automorphism \alpha \ such \ that \ G = [G, \alpha]$ *. Let* M *be an*  $\alpha$ *-invariant normal*  $p\text{-}subgroup$  of G and assume that  $|I_M(\alpha)| = p^m$  for some nonnegative *integer* m. Then  $M \leq Z_{2m+1}(O_p(G))$ .

**PROOF.** If  $m = 0$ , then the result is immediate from Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii), so assume that  $m \geq 1$  and use induction on m.

Let  $K = O_p(G)$  and  $N = M \cap Z_2(K)$ . If  $N \nleq Z(K)$ , then Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii) implies that  $I_N(\alpha) \neq 1$ , in which case we have  $|I_{M/N}(\alpha)| <$  $|I_M(\alpha)| = p^m$ . By induction  $M/N \leq Z_{2m-1}(K/N)$ , whence  $M \leq$  $Z_{2m+1}(K)$ . If  $N \leq Z(K)$ , then it turns out that  $M \cap Z(K) = M \cap Z_i(K)$ for any  $i \geq 2$  and so, obviously,  $M \leq Z(K)$ . This concludes the proof. proof.  $\Box$ 

The following result is well known (see for example [[22](#page-21-15), Lemma 2.2]). It will be useful later on.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>LEMMA 4.2. Let G be a group of prime exponent p and rank  $r_0$ . *Then there exists a number*  $s = s(r_0)$ *, depending only on*  $r_0$ *, such that*  $|G| \leq p^s$ .

Throughout this subsection, unless stated otherwise,  $G$  is a p-group admitting a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  such that  $G = [G, \alpha]$  and any subgroup generated by a subset of  $I_G(\alpha)$  can be generated by at most r elements.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that* G *is of prime exponent* p*. There exists a number*  $l = l(r)$ *, depending on r only, such that the rank*  $r(G)$  *of* G *is at most* l*.*

**PROOF.** Let C be Thompson's critical subgroup of G (see  $[6,$  $[6,$  $[6,$  Theorem 5.3.11], and set  $A = Z(C)$ . Observe that  $[A, \alpha]$  is an r-generated abelian subgroup of exponent p and so the order of  $[A, \alpha]$  is at most  $p^r$ . By Lemma [4.1](#page-11-0) A is contained in  $Z_{2r+1}(G)$ . Since  $[G, C]$  is contained in A, we conclude that C is contained in  $Z_{2r+2}(G)$ . Recall that  $\gamma_{2r+2}(G)$  commutes with  $Z_{2r+2}(G)$  and so in particular  $\gamma_{2r+2}(G)$  centralizes C. Again by Thompson's theorem,  $C_G(C) = A$ . Thus  $\gamma_{2r+2}(G)$ is contained in A, that is, the quotient group  $G/A$  is nilpotent of class  $2r + 1$ . We deduce that G has r-bounded nilpotency class. Since  $G = |G, \alpha|$  is r-generated by hypothesis, it follows that the rank  $r(G)$ of G is r-bounded, as desired.  $\square$ 

We will require the concept of powerful  $p$ -groups. These were intro-duced by Lubotzky and Mann in [[18](#page-21-16)]: a finite p-group  $H$  is powerful if and only if  $H^p \leq [H, H]$  for  $p \neq 2$  (or  $H^4 \leq [H, H]$  for  $p = 2$ ). The reader can consult books [[2](#page-20-3)] or [[13](#page-21-17)] for more information on these groups.

<span id="page-12-1"></span>LEMMA 4.4. *There exists a number*  $\lambda = \lambda(r)$ *, depending only on r*, *such that*  $\gamma_{2\lambda+1}(G)$  *is powerful.* 

**PROOF.** Let  $s(r_0)$  be as in Lemma [4.2](#page-11-1) and let  $l(r)$  be as in Lemma [4.3.](#page-11-2) Take  $N = \gamma_{2\lambda+1}(G)$ , where  $\lambda = s(l(r))$ . In order to show that  $N' \leq N^p$ , we assume that N is of exponent p and prove that N is abelian.

Note that the subgroup  $[N, \alpha]$  is of exponent p. By Lemma [4.3](#page-11-2) the rank of  $[N, \alpha]$  is at most  $l(r)$ . It follows from Lemma [4.2](#page-11-1) that  $|[N,\alpha]| \leq p^{s(l(r))} = p^{\lambda}$ . Now Lemma [4.1](#page-11-0) yields  $N \leq Z_{2\lambda+1}(G)$ . Since  $[\gamma_i(G), Z_i(G)] = 1$  for any positive integer i, we conclude that N is abelian, as required.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>LEMMA 4.5. For any  $i \geq 1$ , there exists a number  $m_i = m_i(i, r)$ , *depending only on i and r, such that*  $\gamma_i(G)$  *is an m<sub>i</sub>-generated group.* 

**PROOF.** Let  $N = \gamma_i(G)$ . We can pass to the quotient  $G/\Phi(N)$  and assume that N is elementary abelian. It follows that  $|I_N(\alpha)| \leq p^r$ . Thus, by Lemma [4.1,](#page-11-0) we have  $N \leq Z_{2r+1}(G)$  and deduce that G has nilpotency class bounded only in terms of i and r. Since  $G = [G, \alpha]$  is r-generated, we conclude that  $r(G)$  is  $(i, r)$ -bounded as well. Therefore N is  $m_i$ -generated for some  $(i, r)$ -bounded number  $m_i$ . This concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

The next proposition shows that Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is valid in the case where  $G$  is a *p*-group.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>Proposition 4.6. *The rank of* G *is* r*-bounded.*

PROOF. Let  $s(r_0)$  be as in Lemma [4.2](#page-11-1) and  $l(r)$  as in Lemma [4.3.](#page-11-2) Take  $N = \gamma_{2\lambda+1}(G)$ , where  $\lambda = \lambda(r) = s(l(r))$ . Let d be the minimal number such that N is d-generated. Lemma [4.5](#page-12-0) tells us that d is an r-bounded integer. Moreover, by Lemma [4.4](#page-12-1) N is powerful. It follows from [[2](#page-20-3), Theorem 2.9] that  $r(N) \leq d$ , and so the rank of N is rbounded. Since the nilpotency class of  $G/N$  is r-bounded (recall that  $\lambda$  depends only on r) and  $G = [G, \alpha]$  is r-generated, we conclude that  $r(G/N)$  is r-bounded as well. Note that  $r(G) \le r(G/N) + r(N)$  and the result follows. the result follows.

<span id="page-12-3"></span>Corollary 4.7. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and let* G *be nilpotent. Then the rank of*  $[G, \alpha]$  *is r-bounded.* 

**PROOF.** The rank of  $[G, \alpha]$  is equal to the rank of  $[P, \alpha]$ , where P is some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and the result easily follows from Proposition [4.6.](#page-12-2)

4.2. The case of soluble groups. As usual, we denote by  $F(G)$ the Fitting subgroup of a group G. Write  $F_0(G) = 1, F_1(G) = F(G)$ and let  $F_{i+1}(G)$  be the inverse image of  $F(G/F_i(G))$ . If G is soluble, then the least number h such that  $F_h(G) = G$  is called the Fitting height of G.

The purpose of this subsection is to show that if under the hy-potheses of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) the group G is soluble, then  $h([G,\alpha])$  is  $(e, r)$ bounded and moreover  $[G, \alpha]$  can be generated by  $(e, r)$ -boundedly many elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . One key step consists in showing that there exists an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number f such that the fth term of the derived series of  $[G, \alpha]$  is nilpotent. For this we will require the following result which is an immediate corollary of Hartley-Isaacs Theorem B in [[12](#page-21-12)].

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Proposition 4.8. *Let* H *be a finite soluble group admitting a coprime automorphism*  $\alpha$  *of order e such that*  $H = [H, \alpha]$ *. Let k be any field with characteristic prime to e, and* V *a simple kH* $\langle \alpha \rangle$ -module. *Suppose that*  $\dim[V, \alpha] = r$ *. There exists an*  $(e, r)$ *-bounded number*  $\delta = \delta(e, r)$  *such that* dim  $V \leq \delta$ .

In the proof of the next proposition we will use the well-known theorem of Zassenhaus (see [[25](#page-21-18), Satz 7] or [[20](#page-21-3), Theorem 3.23]) stating that for any  $n \geq 1$  there exists a number  $j = j(n)$ , depending only on n, such that, whenever *k* is a field, the derived length of any soluble subgroup of  $GL_n(k)$  is at most j.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>Proposition 4.9. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) Suppose that* G *is soluble and*  $G = [G, \alpha]$ *. There exists a number*  $f = f(e, r)$ *, depending only on* e *and* r*, such that the* f*th term* G(f) *of the derived series of* G *is nilpotent.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\delta = \delta(e, r)$  be as in Proposition [4.8](#page-13-0) and  $f = j(\delta)$  be the number given by the Zassenhaus theorem.

Suppose that the proposition is false and let  $G$  be a group of minimal possible order such that the hypotheses hold while  $G^{(f)}$  is not nilpotent. Then G has a unique minimal  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup M. Indeed, suppose that G has two minimal  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroups, say  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Then  $M_1 \cap M_2 = 1$ . Since  $|G/M_1| < |G|$ , the minimality of G implies that  $(G/M_1)^{(f)}$  is nilpotent. By a symmetric argument  $(G/M_2)^{(f)}$  is nilpotent too. This yields a contradiction since  $G^{(f)}$  can be embedded into a nilpotent subgroup of  $G/M_1 \times G/M_2$ .

We claim that  $M = C_G(M)$ . Since M is a p-subgroup for some prime p and because of the uniqueness of M, the Fitting subgroup  $F =$  $F(G)$  is a p-subgroup too. If  $\Phi(F)$  is nontrivial, then we immediately get a contradiction because  $F(G/\Phi(F)) = F/\Phi(F)$  and, again by the minimality of G, we know that  $(G/\Phi(F))^{(f)}$  is nilpotent, so in particular  $G^{(f)} \leq F$ .

So assume that  $\Phi(F) = 1$  and thus F is elementary abelian. If  $M = F$ , then  $M = C_G(M)$  since the Fitting subgroup of a soluble group contains its own centralizer (see, for example, [[6](#page-21-7), Theorem 1.3, Chap. 6. Thus we can assume that  $M < F$ . By hypotheses, on one hand, we know that  $G^{(f)} \leq F_2(G)$  and, on the other hand,  $(G/M)^{(f)}$  is nilpotent (again by the minimality of G). Now let T be an  $\alpha$ -invariant Hall p'-subgroup of  $G^{(f)}$ . It follows that both FT and MT are  $\alpha$ invariant normal subgroups of G. Indeed,  $FT/F$  is normal in  $G/F$ , since  $(G/F)^{(f)}$  is nilpotent and, similarly,  $MT/M$  is normal in  $G/M$ since  $(G/M)^{(f)}$  is nilpotent as well.

Suppose that  $C_F(T) \neq 1$ . Note that  $C_F(T) = Z(FT)$  since F is abelian. Thus  $C_F(T)$  is an  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup of G because FT is normal and  $\alpha$ -invariant. Hence  $M \leq C_F(T)$ . This implies that T centralizes M and so  $MT = T \times M$ . Recall that  $T \leq F_2(G)$  and  $T \cap F = 1$ . It follows that T is nilpotent. Then  $T \times M$  is normal nilpotent and  $T \leq F$ , a contradiction.

Thus,  $C_F(T) = 1$ . On the other hand, we see that  $[F, T] \leq M$ , since the nilpotent p'-subgroup  $MT/M$  and the p-subgroup  $F/M$  are both contained in  $F(G/M)$  and therefore commute. Now we have  $M < F$ and  $F = [F, T] \times C_F(T)$ , so it should be  $C_F(T) \neq 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M = C_G(M)$ , as claimed above.

Therefore  $G/M$  acts faithfully and irreducibly on M. Moreover  $[M, \alpha]$  is r-generated and elementary abelian, so  $|[M, \alpha]| \leq p^r$ . We view M as a  $G/M\langle \alpha \rangle$ -module over the field with p elements. Observe that p does not divide e, since  $\alpha$  is a coprime automorphism. By Proposition [4.8](#page-13-0) we have  $\dim(M) \leq \delta(e, r)$ . Applying the theorem of Zassenhaus conclude that the derived length of  $G/M$  is at most  $f = f(\delta(e, r)).$ Then  $G^{(f)} \leq F$ , which concludes the proof.

As a by-product of the previous result we deduce that the Fitting height of G is  $(e, r)$ -bounded.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Corollary 4.10. *Under the hypothesis of Proposition [4.9](#page-13-1) the Fitting height*  $h(G)$  *is*  $(e, r)$ *-bounded.* 

**PROOF.** By Proposition [4.9](#page-13-1) we know that  $G^{(f)}$  is nilpotent for some  $(e, r)$ -bounded number f. The result follows since  $h(G) \leq f + 1$ .  $\Box$ 

### 16CRISTINA ACCIARRI, ROBERT M. GURALNICK, AND PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

<span id="page-15-2"></span>Proposition 4.11. *Under the hypothesis of Proposition [4.9](#page-13-1) the group* G *is generated by*  $(e, r)$ *-boundedly many elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$ *.* 

**PROOF.** If G is a p-group, then the claim follows from the Burnside Basis Theorem since  $G = [G, \alpha]$  is r-generated. In the case where G is nilpotent, we have  $G = [P_1, \alpha] \times \cdots \times [P_s, \alpha]$ , where  $\{P_1, \ldots, P_s\}$  are the Sylow subgroups of  $G$ . So it follows from the case of  $p$ -groups that G is generated by r elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

Assume that G is not nilpotent. Let  $h = h(G) \geq 2$ . Since we know from Corollary [4.10](#page-14-0) that h is  $(e, r)$ -bounded, we argue by induction on h. Let  $F = F(G)$ . By induction there are  $(e, r)$ -boundedly many elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_d \in I_G(\alpha)$  such that  $G = F\langle a_1, \ldots, a_d \rangle$ . We can choose  $a_1, \ldots, a_d$  in such a way that the subgroup  $H = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_d \rangle$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant. We have seen in the previous paragraph that  $[F, \alpha]$  can be generated by at most r elements from  $I_F(\alpha)$ . Thus, Lemma [2.6](#page-6-1) tells us that G can be generated by  $d + r$  elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

**4.3. The general case.** Let  $G$  be a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  of order e such that any subset of  $I_G(\alpha)$  generates an r-generator subgroup. We want to prove that  $[G, \alpha]$  has  $(e, r)$ bounded rank. Thus, throughout the remaining part of the paper we assume that  $G = [G, \alpha]$ .

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Lemma 4.12. *If* G *is simple, then the rank of* G *is* r*-bounded.*

**PROOF.** This is immediate from Lemma [2.2](#page-3-0) (2).  $\square$ 

LEMMA 4.13. *Suppose that* G *is semisimple and*  $\alpha$  *transitively permutes the simple factors. Then the rank of* G *is* (e, r)*-bounded.*

**PROOF.** Write  $G = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_k$ . Since the case  $k = 1$  was con-sidered in Lemma [4.12,](#page-15-0) we assume that  $k \geq 2$ . Here k is a divisor of e and so it is sufficient to show that the rank of  $S_1$  is at most r. Suppose that this is not the case and choose a subgroup  $H \leq S_1$  which needs at least  $r + 1$  generators. Consider the subgroup  $K \leq S_1 \times S_1^{\alpha}$  generated by all elements of the form  $x^{-1}x^{\alpha}$ , where  $x \in H$ . On the one hand, K is generated by a subset of  $I_G(\alpha)$  and so it can be generated by r elements. On the other hand,  $H$  is a homomorphic image of  $K$  and so we have a contradiction with the fact that  $H$  cannot be generated with  $r$  elements.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Lemma 4.14. *Suppose that* G *is semisimple. Then the rank of* G *is* (e, r)*-bounded.*

**PROOF.** Since  $G = [G, \alpha]$ , it follows that  $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$ , where each factor  $G_i$  is either simple such that  $G_i = [G_i, \alpha]$  or a direct product

of more than one simple groups which are transitively permuted by  $\alpha$ . We already know from the two previous lemmas that the rank of  $G_i$ is  $(e, r)$ -bounded so it remains to show that the number m of such factors is  $(e, r)$ -bounded too. In view of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-1) each subgroup  $G_i$  has an element  $g_i$  such that  $x_i = g_i^{-1}$  $i^{-1}g_i^{\alpha}$  has even order. The abelian subgroup  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \rangle$  has Sylow 2-subgroup of rank m and so it cannot<br>be generated with less than m elements. Hence,  $m \le r$ . be generated with less than m elements. Hence,  $m \leq r$ .

Write  $G_0 = G \langle \alpha \rangle$ .

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Lemma 4.15. *Let* N *be an* α*-invariant normal subgroup of* G *and assume that*  $N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_l$  *is a direct product of nonabelian simple factors* S<sup>i</sup> *. Then both* l *and the rank of* N *are* (e, r)*-bounded.*

**PROOF.** In view of Lemma [4.14](#page-15-1) the rank of  $[N, \alpha]$  is  $(e, r)$ -bounded. Since all factors  $S_i$  have even order and since the rank of the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $[N, \alpha]$  is  $(e, r)$ -bounded, it follows that only  $(e, r)$ boundedly many, say m, of the subgroups  $S_1, \ldots, S_l$  are not contained in  $C_G(\alpha)$ . On the other hand, because of Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii) no nontrivial normal subgroup of G can be contained in  $C_N(\alpha)$ . Thus, every simple factor in the list  $S_1, \ldots, S_l$  is conjugate in G with a factor which is not centralized by  $\alpha$  and so by Lemma [4.14](#page-15-1) each  $S_i$  has  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank. Hence, we only need to show that  $l$  is  $(e, r)$ -bounded.

The group  $G_0$  naturally acts on the set  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_l\}$  by conjugation. The above argument shows that there are at most  $m G_0$ -orbits in this action. It is sufficient to show that each  $G_0$ -orbit has  $(e, r)$ -bounded length. Let  $K$  be the kernel of the action, that is, the intersection of normalizers of  $S_i$ . It is straightforward from Lemma [2.5](#page-5-0) that the index of K in  $G_0$  is m-bounded. Since the length of each  $G_0$ -orbit is at most the index  $[G_0: K]$ , the result follows.

<span id="page-16-1"></span>Lemma 4.16. *Suppose that* G *has an* α*-invariant subgroup* K *of index i such* that  $[K, \alpha]$  *is of rank s. Then the rank of* G *is* (*i, s*)*bounded.*

**PROOF.** We can assume that K is normal in G. Since  $[K, \alpha]$  is normal in K, it follows that the index of the normalizer of  $[K, \alpha]$  in G is a divisor of i. Using the fact that the rank of  $[K, \alpha]$  is s we conclude that the rank of the normal closure  $K_1$  of  $[K, \alpha]$  is  $(i, s)$ -bounded. In view of Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii) the quotient  $K/K_1$  is central in  $G/K_1$ . Hence, by Schur's Theorem [[20](#page-21-3), Theorem 4.12], the image in  $G/K_1$  of the commutator subgroup  $G'$  has *i*-bounded order. Therefore we can pass to the quotient  $G/K_1G'$  and assume that G is abelian. In this case the lemma is obvious.

We will now establish several lemmas about generation of G by elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Recall that Proposition [4.11](#page-15-2) tells us that if G is soluble, then  $G$  can be generated by an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Lemma 4.17. *If* G *is semisimple, then* G *can be generated by an*  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $G = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_l$  where the factors  $S_i$  are simple. The automorphism  $\alpha$  permutes the simple factors and the proof of Lemma [4.14](#page-15-1) shows that there are at most r orbits under this action. Therefore without loss of generality we assume that  $\alpha$  transitively permutes the factors  $S_i$  and so l is a divisor of e. If G is simple, then by Lemma [2.3](#page-3-1) G is generated by two nilpotent subgroups  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  such that  $[P_1, \alpha] = P_1$  and  $[P_2, \alpha] = P_2$ . Each of the subgroups  $P_i$  is generated by at most r elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$  and so G is generated by at most  $2r$ such elements. We will therefore assume that  $l \geq 2$ .

We will use the fact each nonabelian simple group can be generated by two elements. Let  $a, b$  generate  $S_1$ .

Set

 $x_1 = a^{-1}a^{\alpha}, \ x_2 = b^{-1}b^{\alpha} \text{ and } x_3 = ab((ab)^{-1})^{\alpha}.$ 

Note that all  $x_i$  belong to  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Let K be the minimal  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup of G containing  $x_1, x_2$ , and  $x_3$ . Obviously K is generated by at most 3e elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Observe that  $1 \neq x_1x_2x_3 = [a, b] \in$  $S_1 \cap K$ . Evidently, the projection of K to  $S_1$  is the whole group  $S_1$ , that is,  $K$  is a subdirect product of the factors  $S_i$ . We deduce that the conjugacy class  $[a, b]^K$  generates  $S_1$  and so  $S_1$  is contained in K. Since K is  $\alpha$ -invariant we are forced to conclude that  $K = G$  and the result follows.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-17-1"></span>Lemma 4.18. *Suppose that* G *is semisimple-by-soluble. Then* G *is generated by an*  $(e, r)$ *-bounded number of elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$ *.* 

**PROOF.** Let N be an  $\alpha$ -invariant normal semisimple subgroup of G such that  $G/N$  is soluble. Choose a minimal subgroup  $H_0$  of  $G_0$  such that  $G_0 = NH_0$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha \in H_0$ . Set  $H = H_0 \cap G$  and note that  $H = [H, \alpha]$ . Note that H is soluble by Lemma [2.7.](#page-6-2) We have  $G = NH$  and we know from Proposition [4.11](#page-15-2) and Lemma [4.17](#page-17-0) that both H and  $[N, \alpha]$  can be generated by an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . The result follows from Lemma [2.6.](#page-6-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-17-2"></span>LEMMA 4.19. *Assume that*  $G_0/\Phi(G_0)$  *is semisimple-by-soluble. Then* G is generated by an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\overline{G_0} = G_0/\Phi(G_0)$  and denote by  $\overline{G}$  the image of G in  $\overline{G_0}$ . By Lemma [4.18](#page-17-1)  $\overline{G}$  is generated by  $(e, r)$ -boundedly many elements from  $I_{\overline{G}}(\alpha)$ , say  $\overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_s}$  and so  $\overline{G_0} = \langle \overline{\alpha}, \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_s} \rangle$ . Hence  $G_0 =$  $\langle \alpha, x_1, \ldots, x_s \rangle$ , where  $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in I_G(\alpha)$ . Thus G is generated by the  $\alpha$ -orbits of  $x_1, \ldots, x_s$  and the result follows.  $\alpha$ -orbits of  $x_1, \ldots, x_s$  and the result follows.

In what follows  $S(K)$  denotes the soluble radical of a group K.

<span id="page-18-1"></span>Lemma 4.20. *Suppose that* G *is soluble-by-semisimple-by-soluble. Then* G *is generated by an* (e, r)*-bounded number of elements from*  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $S = S(G)$ . Let  $H_0$  be a minimal subgroup of  $G_0$  such that  $G_0 = SH_0$ . Again, without loss of generality we can assume that  $\alpha \in H_0$ . Since  $H_0 \cap S \leq \Phi(H_0)$ , Lemma [4.19](#page-17-2) shows that  $H =$  $H_0 \cap G$  is generated by an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . Note that by Proposition [4.11](#page-15-2) also [S,  $\alpha$ ] is generated by an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ . The result follows from Lemma [2.6.](#page-6-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-18-0"></span>LEMMA 4.21. *Assume that*  $S(G) = 1$ . *Then* G *has an*  $\alpha$ -*invariant semisimple-by-soluble normal subgroup of* (e, r)*-bounded index.*

**PROOF.** Let  $N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_l$  be the socle of G. Here  $S_1, \ldots, S_l$ are the subnormal simple subgroups. In view of Lemma [4.15](#page-16-0) l is  $(e, r)$ bounded. The group  $G_0$  naturally acts on the set  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_l\}$  by conjugation. Let K be the kernel of this action. By  $[16, \text{ Lemma } 2.1],$  $[16, \text{ Lemma } 2.1],$  $[16, \text{ Lemma } 2.1],$ the quotient  $K/N$  is soluble. Therefore K is a semisimple-by-soluble normal subgroup of  $(e, r)$ -bounded index.

<span id="page-18-2"></span>LEMMA 4.22. *The group*  $G_0$  *has a soluble-by-semisimple-by-soluble normal subgroup of* (e, r)*-bounded index.*

PROOF. This is immediate from Lemma [4.21.](#page-18-0)  $\Box$ 

In view of Lemma [4.16](#page-16-1) it is sufficient to prove Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) in the case where  $G$  is soluble-by-semisimple-by-soluble. We already know that in this case G is generated by  $(e, r)$ -boundedly many elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ .

<span id="page-18-3"></span>Lemma 4.23. *Assume that* G *is soluble-by-semisimple-by-soluble.* Let N be an  $\alpha$ -invariant abelian normal subgroup of G. Then  $[N, G]$ *has* (e, r)*-bounded rank.*

**PROOF.** By Lemma [4.20](#page-18-1) we know that G is generated by  $(e, r)$ boundedly many elements from  $I_G(\alpha)$ , say  $b_1, \ldots, b_t$ . Note that  $[N, G] =$  $[N, b_1] \dots [N, b_t]$ . So it is sufficient to bound the rank of  $[N, b_i]$ , for each

 $b_i \in \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\}$ . By Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)i) we have  $N = C_N(\alpha) \times [N, \alpha]$ . Take any  $b \in \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\}$  and choose  $a \in G$  such that  $b = a^{-1}a^{\alpha}$ . Set  $N_0 = C_N(\alpha) \cap C_N(\alpha)^{a^{-1}}$ . Since  $[N, \alpha]$  has rank r by hypothesis, we have  $r(N/N_0) \leq 2r$ . We claim that  $N_0 \leq C_G(b)$ . Indeed, choose  $x \in C_N(\alpha)$  such that  $x^{a^{-1}} \in C_N(\alpha)$ . Then, we have  $x^{a^{-1}} = (x^{a^{-1}})^{\alpha}$ and so x commutes with  $b = a^{-1}a^{\alpha}$  as claimed. Choose now elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_{2r}$  that generate N modulo  $N_0$ . By using linearity in N and the fact that  $N_0$  centralizes b, we deduce that  $[N, b]$  is generated by  $[x_1, b], \ldots, [x_{2r}, b]$ . Hence the result.

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.1.](#page-1-0) Recall that  $G$  is a finite group admitting a coprime automorphism  $\alpha$  of order e such that any subgroup generated by a subset of  $I_G(\alpha)$  can be generated by r elements. We wish to prove that  $[G, \alpha]$  has  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank. Without loss of generality we assume that  $G = [G, \alpha]$ .

As noted above, the combination of Lemma [4.16](#page-16-1) and Lemma [4.22](#page-18-2) ensures that it is sufficient to prove the result in the case where G is soluble-by-semisimple-by-soluble. Hence, we assume that  $G$  has a characteristic series

# $1 \leq S \leq T \leq G$

such that S and  $G/T$  are soluble while  $T/S$  is semisimple. Corollary [4.10](#page-14-0) shows that the Fitting height of  $G/T$  and  $[S, \alpha]$  is  $(e, r)$ -bounded. Note that  $[S, \alpha]$  is subnormal in G. Therefore the Fitting height of the normal closure  $\langle [S, \alpha]^G \rangle$  equals that of  $[S, \alpha]$ . In view of Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-0)iii) the quotient  $S/\langle [S, \alpha]^G \rangle$  is central in  $G/\langle [S, \alpha]^G \rangle$ . Therefore G has a characteristic series of  $(e, r)$ -bounded length, say  $l = l(e, r)$ , all of whose factors are either semisimple or nilpotent. Moreover, there is at most one semisimple factor in the series and, by Lemma [4.14,](#page-15-1) it is of  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank. We will prove the theorem by induction on l.

If  $l = 1$ , then G is either semisimple or nilpotent. In the former case the result follows from Lemma [4.14](#page-15-1) and in the latter one from Corollary [4.7.](#page-12-3) Therefore we assume that  $l \geq 2$ . Let N be the last term of the series. By induction  $G/N$  has  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank.

If  $N \leq Z(G)$ , then the rank of  $G/Z(G)$  is bounded and a theorem of Lubotzky and Mann [[18](#page-21-16)] guarantees that  $G'$  has  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank (see also [[17](#page-21-20)]). Thus we can pass to  $G/G'$  and simply assume that G is abelian, whence the result is immediate. We therefore assume that N is not central in  $G$ . If  $N$  is semisimple, we have nothing to prove since the semisimple quotient of the series has  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank. Hence, we assume that  $N$  is nilpotent. In this case the rank of  $N$  is equal to the rank of some Sylow *p*-subgroup P of N. Thus, passing to  $G/O_{p'}(N)$ without loss of generality, we can assume that  $N = P$ .

We note that P has an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of generators. Indeed, pass to the quotient  $G/\Phi(P)$  and assume that P is elementary abelian. By Lemma [4.23](#page-18-3)  $[P, G]$  has  $(e, r)$ -bounded rank and by the above this is also true for  $G/[P,G]$ . Hence, P has  $(e, r)$ -boundedly many generators as well.

Next, we claim that for any  $i \geq 2$  there exists a number  $m_i =$  $m_i(i, e, r)$ , depending only on i, e and r, such that  $V = \gamma_i(P)$  has  $m_i$ bounded number of generators. We can pass to the quotient  $G/\Phi(V)$ and assume that V is elementary abelian. Now  $[V, \alpha]$  is an elementary abelian r-generated group, so  $|[V, \alpha]| \leq p^r$ . Thus, by Lemma [4.1,](#page-11-0) we have  $V \leq Z_{2r+1}(O_p(G))$  so, in particular,  $V \leq Z_{2r+1}(P)$  and deduce that the nilpotency class of  $P/\Phi(V)$  is bounded in terms of i and r only. Since P has an  $(e, r)$ -bounded number of generators, we conclude that  $r(P/\Phi(V))$  is  $(i, e, r)$ -bounded as well. Therefore V is  $m_i$ -generated for some  $(i, e, r)$ -bounded number  $m_i$ , as claimed.

Let  $s(r_0)$  be as in Lemma [4.2](#page-11-1) and let  $l(r)$  be as in Lemma [4.3.](#page-11-2) Take  $M = \gamma_{2\lambda+1}(P)$ , where  $\lambda = s(l(r))$ . We want to prove that M is powerful. In order to show that  $M' \leq M^p$ , we assume that M is of exponent p and prove that M is abelian. Note that the subgroup  $[M, \alpha]$ is of exponent p. By Lemma [4.3](#page-11-2) the rank of  $[M, \alpha]$  is at most  $l(r)$ . It follows from Lemma [4.2](#page-11-1) that  $|I_M(\alpha)| \leq p^{s(l(r))} = p^{\lambda}$ . Now Lemma [4.1](#page-11-0) yields that  $M \leq Z_{2\lambda+1}(P)$ . Since  $[\gamma_i(P), Z_i(P)] = 1$ , for any positive integer  $i$ , we conclude that  $M$  is abelian, as required.

Let now  $d_0$  be the minimal number such that M is  $d_0$ -generated. It was shown above that  $d_0$  is an  $(e, r)$ -bounded integer. Since M is powerful, it follows from [[2](#page-20-3), Theorem 2.9] that  $r(M) \leq d_0$ , and so the rank of M is  $(e, r)$ -bounded. Since the nilpotency class of  $P/M$  is  $(e, r)$ -bounded and P has  $(e, r)$ -boundedly many generators, we deduce that  $r(P/M)$  is  $(e, r)$ -bounded as well. Now  $r(P) \le r(P/M) + r(M)$ <br>and the result follows. This concludes the proof. and the result follows. This concludes the proof.

### References

- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[1] C. Acciarri and P. Shumyatsky, On the rank of a finite group of odd order with an involutory automorphism, *Monatsh Math* 194, 461-469 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-020-01479-4.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[2] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, Analytic pro-p groups. Cambridge 1991.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[3] L. Dornhoff, Group representation theory. Part A: Ordinary representation theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 7. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1971
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[4] W. Feit and J. Thompson, Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 773–1029.

#### <span id="page-21-4"></span>22CRISTINA ACCIARRI, ROBERT M. GURALNICK, AND PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

- <span id="page-21-7"></span>[5] G. Glauberman, Central elements in core-free groups, J. Algebra 4 (1966), 403–420.
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>[6] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1980.
- [7] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A: Almost Simple K-groups, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 40, AMS, Providence, RI, 1998.
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>[8] S. Guest, A solvable version of the Baer-Suzuki theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 5909–5946.
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>[9] R. M. Guralnick, A note on pairs of matrices with rank one commutator, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 8 (1979), 97–99.
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>[10] R. M. Guralnick, On the number of generators of a finite group, Arch. Math. (Basel) 53 (1989), 521–523.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>[11] R. M. Guralnick and G. R. Robinson, On extensions of the Baer-Suzuki theorem, *Israel J. Math.* **82** (1993), 281-297.
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>[12] B. Hartley and I. M. Isaacs, On characters and fixed points of coprime operator groups. J. Algebra 131 (1990), 342–358.
- <span id="page-21-17"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span>[13] E. I. Khukhro, p-Automorphisms of finite p-groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 246, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [14] E. I. Khukhro, Groups and Lie rings admitting an almost regular automorphism of prime order, Mat. Sb., 181 (1990), 1197–1219; English translation Math. USSR Sb. 71 (1992), 51—63.
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>[15] E. I. Khukhro, Groups with an automorphism of prime order that is almost regular in the sense of rank, *J. London Math. Soc.*(2)  $77$  (2008), 130–148.
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>[16] E. I. Khukhro and P. Shumyatsky, Nonsoluble and non-p-soluble length of finite groups, Israel J. Math. 207 (2015), 507–525.
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>[17] L. A. Kurdachenko and P. Shumyatsky, The ranks of central factor and commutator groups. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.154, (2013) 63–69.
- <span id="page-21-16"></span><span id="page-21-10"></span>[18] A. Lubotzky and A. Mann, Powerful p-groups I, J. Algebra 105 (1987), 484– 505.
- [19] A. Lucchini, A bound on the number of generators of a finite group, Arch.Math. 53 (1989), 313–317.
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>[20] D. J. S. Robinson, Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. part 1, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- <span id="page-21-15"></span><span id="page-21-13"></span>[21] J. S. Rose, A Course on Group Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1978.
- [22] P. Shumyatsky, Involutory automorphisms of finite groups and their centralizers, *Arch. Math.* **71** (1998), 425–432.
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>[23] J. G. Thompson, Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.45 (1959), 578–581.
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>[24] R. A. Wilson, The Finite Simple Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 251. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2009.
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>[25] H. Zassenhaus, Beweis eines Satzes über diskrete Gruppen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 12 (1938), 289–312.

Cristina Acciarri: Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche, Universita degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Via ` Campi 213/b, I-41125 Modena, Italy and

Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia-DF, 70910- 900 Brazil

Email address: cristina.acciarri@unimore.it

Robert M. Guralnick: Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA90089-2532, USA Email address: guralnic@usc.edu

PAVEL SHUMYATSKY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRASILIA, Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 Brazil Email address: pavel@unb.br