

THE MAIN ZERO-SUM CONSTANTS OVER $D_{2n} \times C_2$

F. E. BROCHERO MARTÍNEZ, A. LEMOS, B. K. MORIYA, AND S. RIBAS

ABSTRACT. Let C_2 be the cyclic group of order 2 and D_{2n} be the dihedral group of order $2n$, where n is even. In this paper, we provide the exact values of some zero-sum constants over $D_{2n} \times C_2$, namely small Davenport constant, Gao constant, η -constant and Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant. As a consequence, we prove the Gao's and Zhuang-Gao's Conjectures for this group. These are the first concrete results on zero-sum problems for a family of non-abelian groups of rank greater than 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite multiplicative group. The *zero-sum problems* consist of studying the conditions which ensures that a given sequence over G has a non-empty product-one subsequence with some prescribed properties. This kind of problem dates back to the pioneering works of Erdős, Ginzburg & Ziv [8], van Emde Boas & Kruswijk [7] and Olson [18, 19]. It has applications and connections in several branches of mathematics, such as number theory [1], coding theory [21], factorization theory [4], and finite geometry [5, 6]. For an overview on zero-sum problems over abelian groups one can refer to the surveys from Caro [3] and Gao & Geroldinger [12]. In the 80s, the zero-sum problems were further generalized to non-abelian groups (see, for instance, [24, 23, 26]), and this explains the use of multiplicative notation; in particular, the use of product-one rather than zero-sum.

1.1. Definitions and notations. Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be a free abelian monoid, written multiplicatively, with basis G . A sequence S over a finite group G is an element of $\mathcal{F}(G)$. Note that $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is equipped with the sequence concatenation product denoted by \cdot . A sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ has the form

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bullet g_i = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bullet g_{\tau(i)},$$

for any permutation $\tau : \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, where $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ are the *terms* of S and $k = |S| \geq 0$ is the *length* of S . Given $g \in G$ and $t \geq 0$, we abbreviate $g^{[t]} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \bullet g$. For $g \in G$, the *multiplicity* of the term g in S is denoted by $v_g(S) = \#\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \mid g_i = g\}$, therefore we may also write $S = \prod_{g \in G} \bullet g^{[v_g(S)]}$. A sequence T is a *subsequence* of S if $v_g(T) \leq v_g(S)$ for all $g \in G$. In this case, we use the notation $T \mid S$ and write $S \cdot T^{-1} = \prod_{g \in G} \bullet g^{[v_g(S) - v_g(T)]}$ and $T^{[k]} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bullet T$. Moreover, let:

$$\pi(S) = \{g_{\tau(1)} \dots g_{\tau(k)} \in G \mid \tau \text{ is a permutation of } \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \quad \text{be the set of products of } S;$$

$$\Pi(S) = \bigcup_{\substack{T \mid S \\ |T| \geq 1}} \pi(T) \subset G \quad \text{be the set of subsequence products of } S;$$

$$S \cap K = \prod_{\substack{g \mid S \\ g \in K}} \bullet g \quad \text{be the subsequence of } S \text{ formed by the terms that lie in a subset } K \text{ of } G.$$

Date: August 3, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B75 (primary), 11B50 & 11P70 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Zero-sum problem, small Davenport constant, η -constant, Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant, Gao constant, Gao's conjecture.

The first named author was partially supported by FAPEMIG APQ-02973-17, Brazil.

The sequence S is called:

- *product-one free* if $1 \notin \Pi(S)$,
- *product-one sequence* if $1 \in \pi(S)$,
- *minimal product-one sequence* if it is a non-empty product-one sequence and every proper subsequence is product-one free,
- *short product-one sequence* if it is a product-one sequence of length $1 \leq |S| \leq \exp(G) = \text{lcm}\{\text{ord}(g) : g \in G\}$.

For a given finite multiplicative group G , the following zero-sum invariants are defined:

- *Small Davenport constant*, $\mathbf{d}(G)$, is the maximum length of a product-one free sequence over G ,
- *Gao constant*, $\mathbf{E}(G)$, is the smallest $\ell > 0$ such that every $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S| \geq \ell$ has a product-one subsequence of length $|G|$,
- *η -constant*, $\eta(G)$, is the smallest $\ell > 0$ such that every $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S| \geq \ell$ has a short product-one subsequence,
- *Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant*, $\mathbf{s}(G)$, is the smallest $\ell > 0$ such that every $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S| \geq \ell$ has a product-one subsequence of length $\exp(G)$.

It is possible to show that they are all well-defined and finite for finite groups G .

1.2. Background on the zero-sum constants. Let C_n be the cyclic group of order n and D_{2n} be the dihedral group of order $2n$. The following table summarizes some known results with references (not necessarily the main or the first):

G	$\mathbf{d}(G)$	$\mathbf{E}(G)$	$\eta(G)$	$\mathbf{s}(G)$
C_n	$n - 1$	$2n - 1$ [8]	n	$2n - 1$ [8]
$C_m \times C_n, m \mid n$	$m + n - 2$ [19]	$\mathbf{d}(G) + G $ [9]	$2m + n - 2$ [13]	$2m + 2n - 3$ [13]
$C_{p^{\alpha_1}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{\alpha_k}},$ p prime, $\alpha_1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_k$	$\sum_{i=1}^k (p^{\alpha_i} - 1)$ [18]	$\mathbf{d}(G) + G $ [9]	unknown	unknown
D_{2n}, n even	n [2]	$3n$ [2]	$n + 1$ [25]	$2n$ [17]
D_{2n}, n odd	(both parities)	(both parities)	(both parities)	$3n$ [17]

The scenario is still obscure for groups of rank greater than two (see, for example, [16] and the references therein, where the results broke the expected rule). Concrete results are known only for few families of groups (see, for instance, [22] and references therein).

By Pigeonhole Principle, it holds $\mathbf{d}(G) + 1 \leq |G|$ for every group G . Olson & White [20] proved that if G is non-abelian then $\mathbf{d}(G) \leq \lfloor |G|/2 \rfloor$. We also have that $\mathbf{d}(G) \leq \eta(G)$ by definition, and in addition $\mathbf{E}(G) \leq 2|G| - 1$ (see [14, Theorem 10.1]). Some routine arguments (see [26, Lemma 4]) yield

$$\mathbf{s}(G) \geq \eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1 \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}(G) \geq \mathbf{d}(G) + |G|. \quad (1.2)$$

Conjecture 1.1 (Gao [11]). $\mathbf{s}(G) = \eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1$ for every finite group G .

Conjecture 1.2 (Zhuang-Gao [26]). $\mathbf{E}(G) = \mathbf{d}(G) + |G|$ for every finite group G .

1.3. On the group $D_{2n} \times C_2$. This paper deals with some zero-sum constants over the non-abelian group of rank three:

$$D_{2n} \times C_2 = \langle x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^n = z^2 = 1, yx = xy^{-1}, xz = zx, yz = zy \rangle,$$

where $n \geq 4$ is even. We observe more generally that if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then, by [15, Section 1.8], $D_{2n} \times C_m \simeq D_{2mn}$. Hence, by the Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups, we may assume without loss of generality that $m \mid n$ and that $m > 1$. It explains why we set n even for $m = 2$.

Let $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ be integers such that $m \mid n$. We expect that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}(D_{2n} \times C_m) &= m + n - 1, \\ \mathbf{E}(D_{2n} \times C_m) &= 2mn + m + n - 1, \\ \eta(D_{2n} \times C_m) &= 2m + n - 1, \\ \mathbf{s}(D_{2n} \times C_m) &= 2m + 2n - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Some simple arguments show that the lower bounds of in the previous expressions hold (see Lemma 2.2 and Ineqs. (1.1) and (1.2)). In the particular case that $m = 2$, we are able to prove the respective upper bounds. It is worth mentioning that these are the first concrete results on the zero-sum problems for non-abelian groups of rank greater than two.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some auxiliary results that will be used throughout the paper, which include general lower bounds for $\mathbf{d}(G)$ and $\eta(G)$, and a result on zero-sum problems. In Sections 3 and 4, respectively, we prove Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 for the group $G \simeq D_{2n} \times C_2$.

2. THE LOWER BOUNDS AND ANOTHER AUXILIARY RESULT

In this section, we present the auxiliary results that will be used throughout the paper. We start with the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and let H be a normal subgroup of G . We have that*

(a)

$$\mathbf{d}(G) \geq \mathbf{d}(H) + \mathbf{d}(G/H).$$

(b) *If $\exp(H) = \exp(G)$, then*

$$\eta(G) \geq \eta(H) + \mathbf{d}(G/H).$$

Proof:

(a) Let $S_1 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S_1| = \mathbf{d}(G/H)$ be a sequence without subsequences whose products (in any order) belong to H (that is, S_1 is product-one free over the quotient G/H), and let $S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ with $|S_2| = \mathbf{d}(H)$ be a product-one free sequence. It follows that $S = S_1 \cdot S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is product-one free and $|S| = \mathbf{d}(H) + \mathbf{d}(G/H)$.

(b) Let $S_1 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be as in the previous case, and let $S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ be a sequence with no short product-one subsequences such that $|S_2| = \eta(H) - 1$. By ‘‘short’’ here we mean sequences of length at most $\exp(G) = \exp(H)$. It follows that $S = S_1 \cdot S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ satisfies $|S| = \mathbf{d}(G/H) + \eta(H) - 1$ and we claim that S has no short product-one subsequences. In fact, suppose that $T = T_1 \cdot T_2 \mid S$ is a short product-one sequence, where $T_1 \mid S_1$ and $T_2 \mid S_2$. Since $\pi(T) = 1$, we have that $\pi(T_1) \in H$, therefore T_1 is empty. Hence $T = T_2$, but this is a contradiction by definition of T .

□

We now present the lower bounds that have motivated our expectations on the small Davenport constant and on the η -constant.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ be integers such that $m \mid n$. We have that*

(a)

$$\mathbf{d}(D_{2n} \times C_m) \geq m + n - 1.$$

(b) If n is even, then

$$\eta(D_{2n} \times C_m) \geq 2m + n - 1.$$

Proof: Let $G \simeq D_{2n} \times C_m$ and $H \simeq C_n \times C_m$, so that $\exp(H) = \exp(G)$ provided n is even. Since the index $[G : H] = 2$, it follows that H is a normal subgroup of G . This lemma now follows from the previous lemma. \square

Sometimes, we need to ensure that a given sequence over G of large enough length is not product-one free. The study of the product-one free sequences of large length (with some prescribed property) is called *inverse zero-sum problem*. The next result is concerned with the inverse problem related to Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant over a cyclic group.

Lemma 2.3 ([8, 10]). *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $s(C_n) = 2n - 1$. In addition, let $2 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$, and suppose that $S \in \mathcal{F}(C_n)$ and $|S| = 2n - k$. If every subsequence $T \mid S$ of length $|T| = n$ is product-one free, then there exist distinct elements $a \mid S$ and $b \mid S$ such that $\min\{v_a(S), v_b(S)\} \geq n - 2k + 3$ and $v_a(S) + v_b(S) \geq 2n - 2k + 2$, where ab^{-1} generates C_n .*

From now on, we let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer and $m = 2$. We will also use the following notation:

Notations. For $S \in \mathcal{F}(D_{2n} \times C_2)$, we write $S = S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_3 \cdot S_4$, where

$$S_1 = \prod_i^\bullet (xy^{u_i}z), \quad S_2 = \prod_i^\bullet (xy^{v_i}), \quad S_3 = \prod_i^\bullet (y^{w_i}z), \quad \text{and} \quad S_4 = \prod_i^\bullet (y^{t_i}),$$

and $|S| = |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| + |S_4|$.

In addition, for $g = x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma \in D_{2n} \times C_2$, where $\alpha, \gamma \in \{0, 1\}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq n - 1$, we define the parity of g in the following way: The parity of g is even if β is even, and the parity of g is odd if β is odd.

The following lemma helps us to solve the small cases of Propositions 3.1 and 4.1, namely, $n \in \{4, 6, 8\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(D_{2n} \times C_2)$ such that $|S_i| \leq 2$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where the terms of each S_i have opposite parities provided $|S_i| = 2$. If $5 \leq |S| \leq 8$, then there exists $T \mid S$ with $|T| = 4$ whose product belongs to $C_{n/2} \simeq \langle y^2 \rangle$. Moreover,*

- (a) *if $6 \leq |S| \leq 8$, then $\pi(T)$ has at least two distinct elements provided either $n/2$ is even or, for every integer α , $S \nmid xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+n/2} z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot xy^{\alpha+n/2} \cdot z \cdot y^{n/2} z \cdot 1 \cdot y^{n/2}$;*
- (b) *if $|S| = 5$ and $|S_1| + |S_2| \geq 2$, then $\pi(T)$ has at least two distinct elements provided either $n/2$ is even or, for every integer α , $T \nmid xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+n/2} z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot xy^{\alpha+n/2} \cdot z \cdot y^{n/2} z \cdot 1 \cdot y^{n/2}$.*

Proof: We split into two cases and some subcases.

(a) **Case** $6 \leq |S| \leq 8$. Since $|S_i| \leq 2$, we have that $2 \leq |S_1| + |S_2| \leq 4$.

(a.1) **Subcase** $|S_1| + |S_2| = 4$. Let

$$T = xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot xy^{v_2},$$

so that $y^{u_2 - u_1 + v_2 - v_1}, y^{u_2 - u_1 + v_1 - v_2} \in \pi(T)$. Since $u_1 \not\equiv u_2 \pmod{2}$ and $v_1 \not\equiv v_2 \pmod{2}$, these products belong to $C_{n/2}$. These products are further distinct if and only if $v_1 \not\equiv v_2 \pmod{n/2}$. Since $v_1 \not\equiv v_2 \pmod{2}$, we are done unless $n/2$ is odd. In this case, changing the order of $xy^{u_1}z$ and $xy^{u_2}z$, we obtain similarly that either the products are distinct or $u_1 \equiv u_2 \pmod{n/2}$. Changing the order of $xy^{u_2}z$ and xy^{v_1} , we also obtain that either the products are distinct or (without loss of generality) $u_1 \equiv v_1 \equiv u_2 + n/2 \equiv v_2 + n/2 \pmod{n}$. Hereupon, we observe that $\pi(T) = \{1\}$.

If $|S_3| = 2$, say $y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z \mid S$, then let

$$T' = xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+n/2} z \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z,$$

so that $\pi(T') \subset C_{n/2}$. Since $y^{\pm w_1 \pm w_2 + n/2} \in \pi(T')$, we have $y^{\pm w_1 \pm w_2 + n/2} = 1$ if and only if $w_1 \pm w_2 \equiv n/2 \pmod{n}$. It implies that $\{w_1, w_2\} = \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n . Similarly, we obtain $\{t_1, t_2\} = \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n provided $|S_4| = 2$, that is, $y^{t_1} \cdot y^{t_2} \mid S$.

If $|S_3| = |S_4| = 1$, say $y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1} \mid S$, then let

$$T'' = \begin{cases} xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1} & \text{if } w_1 \equiv t_1 \pmod{2}, \\ xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+n/2} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1} & \text{if } w_1 \not\equiv t_1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi(T'') \subset C_{n/2}$. If $w_1 \equiv t_1 \pmod{2}$, then changing orders and imposing $\pi(T'') = 1$, we obtain $w_1 \pm t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, which implies either $w_1 \equiv t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ or $w_1 \equiv t_1 \equiv n/2 \pmod{n}$. If $w_1 \not\equiv t_1 \pmod{2}$, then $w_1 \pm t_1 \equiv n/2 \pmod{n}$, which implies $\{w_1, t_1\} = \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n .

- (a.2) **Subcase** $|S_1| + |S_2| = 3$. Then either $|S_1| = 2$ or $|S_2| = 2$. These two cases are completely similar, therefore we just need to prove for $|S_1| = 2$. Since $|S| \geq 6$, we also have either $|S_3| = 2$ or $|S_4| = 2$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $|S_3| = 2$. Let

$$T = xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z,$$

so that $y^{u_2 - u_1 \pm w_1 \pm w_2} \in \pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. Since u_1 and u_2 have opposite parities, as well as w_1 and w_2 do, these products belong to $C_{n/2}$. Moreover, these products are equal if and only if $n/2$ is odd, $u_1 \equiv u_2 + n/2 \pmod{n}$ and $\{w_1, w_2\} = \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n . In this case, let

$$T' = \begin{cases} xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1} & \text{if } u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1 \text{ is even,} \\ xy^{u_2}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1} & \text{if } u_2 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1 \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi(T') \subset C_{n/2}$. The same argument as previous subcase implies that $v_1 \in \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $t_1 \in \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n , therefore we are done.

- (a.3) **Subcase** $|S_1| + |S_2| = 2$. Then either $(|S_1|, |S_2|) = (2, 0)$, $(|S_1|, |S_2|) = (1, 1)$, or $(|S_1|, |S_2|) = (0, 2)$. The first and third cases are completely similar, therefore we just need to prove the first and the second. In any case, $|S_3| = |S_4| = 2$.

For $(|S_1|, |S_2|) = (2, 0)$, we take

$$T = \begin{cases} xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z, & \text{or} \\ xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot y^{t_1} \cdot y^{t_2}, \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. The same argument as Subcase (a.1) for $|S_3| = 2$ works.

For $(|S_1|, |S_2|) = (1, 1)$, we take either

$$T' = \begin{cases} xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1}, & \text{if } u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1 \text{ is even, or} \\ xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_2}, & \text{if } u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_2 \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi(T') \subset C_{n/2}$. The same argument than Subcase (a.2) for its T' works, therefore we are done.

- (b) **Case** $|S| = 5$. If $|S_1| + |S_2| = 1$, then the only possible T is $T = y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z \cdot y^{t_1} \cdot y^{t_2}$, so that $\pi(T) = \{y^{w_1 + w_2 + t_1 + t_2}\} \subset C_{n/2}$. On the other hand, suppose that $|S_1| + |S_2| \geq 2$. By Pigeonhole Principle, there exists $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $|S_i| = 2$. We consider the following subcases:

(b.1) **Subcase** $|S_1| = |S_2| = 2$. The only possible T is

$$T = xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot xy^{v_2},$$

so that $\pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. By the same arguments of Case (a.1), we have that either $\pi(T)$ has two distinct elements, or $u_1 \equiv u_2 + n/2 \pmod{n}$ and $w_1 \equiv w_2 + n/2 \pmod{n}$. The fifth term of S can be anyone in $S_3 \cdot S_4$.

(b.2) **Subcase** $|S_1| = |S_3| = 2$. The only possible T is

$$T = xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z,$$

so that $\pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. By the same arguments of Case (a), we have that either $\pi(T)$ has two distinct elements, or $u_1 \equiv u_2 + n/2 \pmod{n}$ and $w_1 \equiv w_2 + n/2 \pmod{n}$. The fifth term of S can be anyone in $S_2 \cdot S_4$.

The subcases $|S_1| = |S_4| = 2$, $|S_2| = |S_3| = 2$ and $|S_2| = |S_4| = 2$ follow similarly.

(b.3) **Subcase** $|S_1| = 2$, $|S_2| = |S_3| = |S_4| = 1$. The only possible T is

$$T = \begin{cases} xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1}, & \text{if } u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1 \text{ is even, or} \\ xy^{u_2}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1}, & \text{if } u_2 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1 \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. As the T' of Subcase (a.2), either $\pi(T)$ has two distinct elements, or (say $u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1$ is even) $u_1 - v_1 \pm w_1 \pm t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for every choice of signs and $n/2$ is odd. The latter implies that either $u_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{n}$ or $u_1 \equiv v_1 + n/2 \pmod{n}$, and further $w_1, t_1 \in \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n . The fifth term of S is $xy^{u_2}z \mid S_1$, where $u_2 \not\equiv u_1 \pmod{2}$.

The subcase $(|S_1|, |S_2|, |S_3|, |S_4|) = (1, 2, 1, 1)$ follows similarly.

(b.4) **Subcase** $|S_3| = 2$, $|S_1| = |S_2| = |S_4| = 1$. The only possible T is

$$T = \begin{cases} xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{t_1}, & \text{if } u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1 \text{ is even, or} \\ xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{v_1} \cdot y^{w_2}z \cdot y^{t_1}, & \text{if } u_1 + v_1 + w_2 + t_1 \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. As the T' of Subcase (a.3), either $\pi(T)$ has two distinct elements, or (say $u_1 + v_1 + w_1 + t_1$ is even) $u_1 - v_1 \pm w_1 \pm t_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for every choice of signs and $n/2$ is odd. The latter implies that either $u_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{n}$ or $u_1 \equiv v_1 + n/2 \pmod{n}$, and further $w_1, t_1 \in \{0, n/2\}$ modulo n . The fifth term of S is $y^{w_2}z \mid S_3$, where $w_2 \not\equiv w_1 \pmod{2}$.

The subcase $(|S_1|, |S_2|, |S_3|, |S_4|) = (1, 1, 1, 2)$ follows similarly.

It completes the proof of the lemma. □

3. PROOF OF CONJECTURE $s(G) = \eta(G) + \exp(G) - 1$ FOR $G \simeq D_{2n} \times C_2$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then $s(D_{2n} \times C_2) \leq 2n + 2$.*

Proof: Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(D_{2n} \times C_2)$ with $|S| = 2n + 2$. If $|S_1| + |S_2| \leq 1$, then $|S_3| + |S_4| \geq 2n + 1 = s(C_n \times C_2)$. Since $S_3 \cdot S_4 \in \mathcal{F}(C_n \times C_2)$, we are done. From now on, we assume that $|S_1| + |S_2| \geq 2$. If $|S_i| \geq 3$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then there exists $g_{i_1} \cdot g_{i_2} \mid S_i$ with the same parity, which implies that $g_{i_1} \cdot g_{i_2} \in C_{n/2} = \langle y^2 \rangle$. This argument can be repeated $\left\lceil \frac{|S_i| - 2}{2} \right\rceil$ times. Therefore, we obtain at least

$$\left\lceil \frac{|S_1| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S_2| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S_3| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S_4| - 2}{2} \right\rceil \geq \frac{|S| - 8}{2} = n - 3 = 2 \cdot \frac{n}{2} - 3 \quad (3.1)$$

terms over $C_{n/2}$, each of them being a product of exactly two terms of S_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let $S' \in \mathcal{F}(C_{n/2})$ denote these (at least) $n - 3$ terms. We look at the terms of S that are not used to form S' , and denote this sequence by R . It follows that the terms of R lying in the same S_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$,

have opposite parities, otherwise we would obtain one more pair whose product lies in $C_{n/2}$. We have $|R| \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$ and the left hand side of Ineq. (3.1) equals $\frac{2n+2-|R|}{2} = n+1 - \frac{|R|}{2}$. If $|R| \leq 4$, then the left hand side of Ineq. (3.1) is at least $n-1 = s(C_{n/2})$, therefore we are done. Thus we assume that $|R| \in \{6, 8\}$.

If $|R| = 6$, then the left hand side of Ineq. (3.1) can be improved to $n-2$. If S' has no product-one subsequence of length $n/2$, then Lemma 2.3 ensures that

$$S' = (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-1]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]},$$

where $\gcd(\alpha - \beta, n/2) = 1$.

If $|R| = 8$, then the left hand side of Ineq. (3.1) equals $n-3$. If S' has no product-one subsequence of length $n/2$, then Lemma 2.3 ensures that either

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-2]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]}, \\ S' &= (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-2]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-2]} \cdot (y^{2\gamma}), \quad \text{or} \\ S' &= (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-3]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]} \cdot (y^{2\gamma}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gcd(\alpha - \beta, n/2) = 1$. Notice that if $n \leq 6$, then the third sequence becomes $S' = (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]} \cdot (y^{2\gamma})$, where $\gcd(\gamma - \beta, n/2) = 1$.

We now use mainly the following strategy: select two or four terms involving at least two in $S_1 \cdot S_2$ to yield an element in $C_{n/2}$, place other elements of S' along with them to obtain a product equals 1 (depending on the parity of $n/2$), and then include pairs of elements of S' just to complete $n/2$ terms. Consider the following cases and subcases:

- (i) $n \geq 10$. We have $\frac{n}{2} - 3 \geq \frac{n-2}{4} \geq 2$. Consider the subcases according to $|S_1|$ and $|S_2|$.
- (i.1) Suppose that $|S_1| \geq 3$. Then at least one term from S' comes from a product of two terms $(xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \mid S_1$ having the same parity.

We first consider that $(xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) = y^{2\alpha}$. If $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{n/2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta}) \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta}) \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^{n/2-3} &= 1 \quad \text{if } v_{y^{2\alpha}}(S') \geq \frac{n}{2} - 2, \quad \text{and} \\ (xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^2 \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^2 \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^{n/2-5} &= 1 \quad \text{if } v_{y^{2\alpha}}(S') = \frac{n}{2} - 3. \end{aligned}$$

These products have n terms (considering as terms of S). The case $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{n/2}$ is similar, so we consider $\alpha, \beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n/2}$.

If $n/2$ is even, then

$$(xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\alpha}) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{n/4-1} \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{n/4-1} = 1$$

and this product has n terms.

If $n/2$ is odd, then the set $\{\pm k(\alpha - \beta) \pmod{n/2} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{4}\}$ generates $n/2 - 1$ distinct and non-zero elements modulo $n/2$, since $\gcd(\alpha - \beta, n/2) = 1$. In particular, $\pm k(\alpha - \beta) \equiv -\alpha \pmod{n/2}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{4}$, thus $\pm k(\alpha - \beta) - \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{n/2}$ for some sign \pm . Thus either

$$\begin{aligned} (xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4} \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^k \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4-k} &= 1 \\ &\text{for the positive sign } +k, \quad \text{or} \\ (xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4} \cdot (y^{2\alpha}) \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^{k-1} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4-k} &= 1 \\ &\text{for the negative sign } -k, \end{aligned}$$

and these products have n terms.

The subcases $(xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) = y^{2\beta}$ and $(xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) = y^{2\gamma}$ (where the latter only occurs if $|R| = 8$) are completely similar, as well as the case $|S_2| \geq 3$.

(i.2) Suppose that $2 \leq |S_1| + |S_2| \leq 4$.

If either $|S_1| = 2$ or $|S_2| = 2$ and the respective terms have the same parity, then we obtain one more term in $C_{n/2}$; in this case we are done if $|R| = 6$ since we would obtain $|S'| = n - 1$ terms over $C_{n/2}$. Thus, suppose that $|R| = 8$. Then the product of this pair must be $y^{2\alpha}$ and we must have $S' = (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-1]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]}$ in order to avoid product-one of length $n/2$. If $2\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n/2}$, then we just change the order, obtaining another sequence which is not extremal. Otherwise, we may assume without loss of generality that $xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z = y^{2\alpha} \in \{1, y^{n/2}\}$, where $y^{2\alpha} = y^{n/2}$ only if $n/2$ is even. Thus either

$$\begin{aligned} (xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{n/4-1} \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{n/4-1} \cdot y^{2\alpha} &= 1 && \text{if } n/2 \text{ is even, or} \\ (xy^{u_1}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4} \cdot (xy^{u_2}z) \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4} &= 1 && \text{if } n/2 \text{ is odd (that is, } y^{2\alpha} = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we assume that no terms of S' comes from $S_1 \cdot S_2$, that is, the terms of S_1 and of S_2 , whenever there are two, have opposite parities. Lemma 2.4 ensures that there exists a subsequence $T = g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot g_3 \cdot g_4 \mid R$ with $g_1 \cdot g_2 \mid S_1 \cdot S_2$ such that $g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot g_3 \cdot g_4 = y^{2\delta} \in C_{n/2}$. Moreover, either $2\delta \not\equiv 2\alpha \pmod{n}$ or $2\delta \not\equiv 2\beta \pmod{n}$. Suppose without loss of generality that $2\delta \not\equiv 2\alpha \pmod{n}$. Notice that $(y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-3]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-2]} \mid S'$. The equation $\pm k(\alpha - \beta) \equiv \alpha - \delta \pmod{n/2}$ has a solution for some sign \pm and some $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{4}$. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4} \cdot g_2 \cdot g_3 \cdot g_4 \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^{k-1} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4-k} &= 1 \\ &\text{for the positive sign } +k, \text{ or} \\ g_1 \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^{k+1} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-6)/4-k} \cdot g_2 \cdot g_3 \cdot g_4 \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-6)/4} &= 1 \\ &\text{for the negative sign } -k \text{ where } 1 \leq k < \frac{n-2}{4}, \text{ or} \\ g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot g_3 \cdot g_4 \cdot (y^{2\alpha})^{(n-6)/4} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{(n-2)/4} &= 1 \\ &\text{for the negative sign } -k \text{ where } k = \frac{n-2}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and all these products have n terms.

(i.3) If $|S_1| + |S_2| \leq 1$, then $|R| \leq 5$, a contradiction.

(ii) $n = 8$. We have $|S| = 18$ and either $|R| = 6$ or $|R| = 8$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $T \mid R \mid S$ with $|T| = 4$ yielding two distinct products in C_4 . Notice that the subsets $\{y^{4\alpha}, y^{2\alpha+2\beta}, y^{4\beta}\}$ and $\{y^{2\alpha+2\beta}, y^{4\beta}, y^{2\beta+2\gamma}\}$ of C_4 both contain three distinct elements and can be obtained as a product of two terms of S' , since $\alpha \not\equiv \beta \pmod{2}$. Therefore, the inverse of at least one of the elements of these subsets matches one of the products of T . It produces a product-one of length 8.

(iii) $n = 6$. We have $|S| = 14$ and either $|R| = 6$ or $|R| = 8$.

If $R \nmid xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+3} z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot xy^{\alpha+3} \cdot z \cdot y^3 z \cdot 1 \cdot y^3$, then Lemma 2.4 ensures that there exists $T \mid R \mid S$ with $|T| = 4$ yielding two distinct products in C_3 . By Pigeonhole Principle, one of these products belongs to either $\{y^{-2\alpha}, y^{-2\beta}\} \subset C_3$ or $\{y^{-2\beta}, y^{-2\gamma}\} \subset C_3$. Therefore, we multiply the terms of T (in a suitable order) by the respective term of S' , obtaining 1 as a product of 6 terms of S .

If $R \mid xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+3} z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot xy^{\alpha+3} \cdot z \cdot y^3 z \cdot 1 \cdot y^3$, then, by changing terms among S' and R , we must have

$$S = (xy^\alpha z)^{[a_1]} \cdot (xy^{\alpha+3} z)^{[a_2]} \cdot (xy^\alpha)^{[a_3]} \cdot (xy^{\alpha+3})^{[a_4]} \cdot (z)^{[a_5]} \cdot (y^3 z)^{[a_6]} \cdot (1)^{[a_7]} \cdot (y^3)^{[a_8]},$$

where $a_1 + \dots + a_8 = 14$ (otherwise, by Lemma 2.4, we are done). By Pigeonhole Principle, S contains three pairs of identical terms, and their respective product (in any order) is 1.

(iv) $n = 4$. We have $|S| = 10$ and either $|R| = 6$ or $|R| = 8$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $T \mid R \mid S$ with $|T| = 4$ yielding two distinct products in C_2 . One of these products is 1, thus we are done.

It completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer. It holds that $s(D_{2n} \times C_2) = 2n + 2$ and $\eta(D_{2n} \times C_2) = n + 3$. In particular, $s(D_{2n} \times C_2) = \eta(D_{2n} \times C_2) + \exp(D_{2n} \times C_2) - 1$.*

Proof: By Lemma 2.2(b), Proposition 3.1, and Ineq. (1.1), it follows that

$$n + 3 \leq \eta(D_{2n} \times C_2) \leq s(D_{2n} \times C_2) - \exp(D_{2n} \times C_2) + 1 \leq 2n + 2 - n + 1 = n + 3,$$

therefore the equalities hold. □

4. PROOF OF CONJECTURE $E(G) = d(G) + |G|$ FOR $G \simeq D_{2n} \times C_2$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then $E(D_{2n} \times C_2) \leq 5n + 1$.*

Proof: The argument follows the same arguments as in Proposition 3.1. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(D_{2n} \times C_2)$, with $|S| = 5n + 1$. If $|S_1| + |S_2| \leq 1$, then $|S_3| + |S_4| \geq 5n = 2n + E(C_n \times C_2)$, therefore there exists $T_1 \cdot T_2 \mid S$ with $|T_1| = |T_2| = 2n$ such that $1 \in \pi(T_1 \cdot T_2)$. From now on, suppose that $|S_1| + |S_2| \geq 2$.

Let $g' \cdot g'' \mid S_1 \cdot S_2$, and consider $S_0 = S \cdot (g' \cdot g'')^{[-1]}$. According to our notation, let $S_0 = S_{01} \cdot S_{02} \cdot S_3 \cdot S_4$, where $S_{01} \mid S_1$, $S_{02} \mid S_2$, and $S_{01} \cdot S_{02} = (S_1 \cdot S_2) \cdot (g' \cdot g'')^{[-1]}$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.1, it is possible to find

$$\left\lceil \frac{|S_{01}| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S_{02}| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S_3| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S_4| - 2}{2} \right\rceil \geq \frac{|S_0| - 7}{2} = 5 \cdot \frac{n}{2} - 4$$

elements in $C_{n/2}$, each of them being a product of exactly two terms of S .

(i) **Case $n \geq 6$.** Since

$$5 \cdot \frac{n}{2} - 4 - j \cdot \frac{n}{2} \geq 2 \cdot \frac{n}{2} - 1 \tag{4.1}$$

for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (first part of Lemma 2.3) implies that there exists a product-one subsequence of length $3 \cdot n/2$ over $C_{n/2}$, which corresponds to $3n$ terms over S_0 . Let $S' \mid S_0$ be the subsequence formed by these $3n$ terms such that $1 \in \pi(S')$. In addition, let $S'' = S \cdot S'^{[-1]} = (g' \cdot g'' \cdot S_0) \cdot S'^{[-1]}$ so that $|S''| = 2n + 1$, where $S'' = S''_1 \cdot S''_2 \cdot S''_3 \cdot S''_4$, $S''_i \mid S_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and $|S''_1| + |S''_2| \geq 2$. Again as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we obtain at least

$$\left\lceil \frac{|S''_1| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S''_2| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S''_3| - 2}{2} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{|S''_4| - 2}{2} \right\rceil \geq \frac{|S''| - 7}{2} = 2 \cdot \frac{n}{2} - 3$$

elements in $C_{n/2}$, each of them being a product of exactly two terms of S'' . Let $R \mid S'' \mid S$ be the sequence formed by the terms of S'' which are not used to form the (at least) $n - 3$ pairs, so that $|R| \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$. Looking more closely, we notice that the exact number of pairs as the latter is $\frac{2n+1-|R|}{2} = n - \frac{|R|-1}{2}$.

If $|R| \leq 3$, then we can use the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem once again, which implies that there exists a subsequence of S of length $4n$ whose product is 1.

If $|R| = 7$, then we may suppose that the last $n - 3$ pairs over $C_{n/2}$ must comprise either

$$\begin{aligned} S''' &= (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-2]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]}, \\ S''' &= (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-2]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-2]} \cdot (y^{2\gamma}), \quad \text{or} \\ S''' &= (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-3]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]} \cdot (y^{2\gamma}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gcd(\alpha - \beta, n/2) = 1$. In this case, we will follow the steps of Proposition 3.1 (with $|R| = 8$) work, namely Cases (i.1), (i.2), (ii), and (iii).

If $|R| = 5$, the last $n - 2$ pairs must comprise the sequence $S''' = (y^{2\alpha})^{[n/2-1]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[n/2-1]} \in C_{n/2}$, where $\gcd(\alpha - \beta, n/2) = 1$. There are two subcases:

(i.1) **Subcase:** R contains exactly one term from $S_1 \cdot S_2$. It implies that at least one term of S''' is a product of a pair of $S_1 \cdot S_2$, say $xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z = y^{2\alpha}$.

If $n \geq 10$, then the proposition follows from the same argument than Cases (i.1) and (i.2 - “the respective terms have the same parity”) of Proposition 3.1.

If $n = 8$, then we consider two more cases. If α is odd, then we just change the order, obtaining a sequence of maximal length which is distinct from $(y^{2\alpha})^{[3]} \cdot (y^{2\beta})^{[3]}$; therefore α is even. It implies that $xy^{u_1}z \cdot y^{2\beta} \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot y^{2\beta} \cdot y^{2\alpha} = 1$, and this product has 8 terms from S . Thus we are done.

If $n = 6$, then the same argument implies that we must have $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, that is, $xy^{u_1}z \cdot xy^{u_2}z = 1$ (otherwise, we would change the order). Since $xy^{u_1}z \cdot y^{2\beta} \cdot xy^{u_2}z \cdot y^{2\beta} = 1$ and this product has 6 terms from S , we are done.

(i.2) **Subcase:** R contains at least two terms from $S_1 \cdot S_2$. In particular, we are in the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 (b). From the previous subcase, we may assume that every term of S''' comes from pairs of $S_3 \cdot S_4$.

If $n \geq 10$, then the proposition follows from the same arguments than Case (i.2 - “no terms of S' comes from $S_1 \cdot S_2$ ”) of Proposition 3.1 (with $|R| = 6$), using Case (b) of Lemma 2.4.

If $n = 8$, then our argument follows the same steps than Case (ii) of Proposition 3.1 (with $|R| = 6$), using Case (b) of Lemma 2.4.

If $n = 6$, then Lemma 2.4 implies that there exists $T \mid R$ with $|T| = 4$ such that $\pi(T) \subset C_{n/2}$. If $T \nmid xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+3}z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot xy^{\alpha+3} \cdot z \cdot y^3 z \cdot 1 \cdot y^3$, then we use the same argument than Case (iii) of Proposition 3.1, thus we are done. On the other hand, if $T \mid xy^\alpha z \cdot xy^{\alpha+3}z \cdot xy^\alpha \cdot xy^{\alpha+3} \cdot z \cdot y^3 z \cdot 1 \cdot y^3$, then T has two or four terms of $S_1 \cdot S_2$ and $\pi(T)$ has only one term. Furthermore, since every term of S''' comes from pairs of $S_3 \cdot S_4$, it follows that $9 \leq |S_3| + |S_4| \leq 11$. Suppose without loss of generality that $y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z = y^{2\alpha}$ (the case $y^{t_1} \cdot y^{t_2} = y^{2\alpha}$ is completely similar). In any case, we obtain $\pi(T) = \{1\}$, therefore we may assume that $0 \notin \{2\alpha, 2\beta\}$ modulo 6 (otherwise we are done). It implies that $y^{w_1 \pm w_2} \in \pi(T \cdot y^{w_1}z \cdot y^{w_2}z)$, therefore the terms of S_3 are all distinct, as well as the terms of S_4 . Since $|S_3| + |S_4| \geq 9$, it follows that either $|S_3| \geq 5$ or $|S_4| \geq 5$. Suppose without loss of generality that $|S_3| \geq 5$. Thus we can set either $\{w_1, w_2\} = \{1, 5\}$ modulo n or $\{w_1, w_2\} = \{2, 4\}$ modulo n , which implies that $0 \equiv w_1 + w_2 \equiv 2\alpha \pmod{n}$, a contradiction. Hence we are done.

(ii) **Case** $n = 4$. Notice that $|S| = 21$, $C_2 \simeq \{1, y^2\}$, and $\mathfrak{s}(C_2) = 3$. Since

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|S_1| - 2}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{|S_2| - 2}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{|S_3| - 2}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{|S_4| - 2}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \frac{21 - 7}{2} = 7 \geq 3 + 2j \quad (4.2)$$

for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem (first part of Lemma 2.3) implies that there exist three disjoint subsequences of S , say S' , S'' and S''' , such that $1 \in \pi(S') \cap \pi(S'') \cap \pi(S''')$ and $|S'| = |S''| = |S'''| = 4$. It remains at least one pair of terms over $S_0 = S \cdot (S' \cdot S'' \cdot S''')^{[-1]}$

whose product belongs to C_2 . Let $R \mid S \cdot (S' \cdot S'' \cdot S''')^{[-1]}$ be the sequence of unpaired terms, so that $|R| \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

If $|R| \leq 3$, then the left hand side of Ineq. (4.2) is at least $\frac{21-3}{2} = 9 \geq 3 + 2j$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Thus there exists $S'''' \mid S_0$ with $|S''''| = 4$ such that $1 \in \pi(S''''')$, therefore $1 \in \pi(S' \cdot S'' \cdot S'''' \cdot S''''')$.

If $|R| = 7$, then, by Lemma 2.4, there exists $T \mid R$ with $|T| = 4$ such that $\pi(T)$ contains at least two distinct elements of C_2 . In particular, $1 \in \pi(T)$, hence $1 \in \pi(S' \cdot S'' \cdot S'''' \cdot T)$.

If $|R| = 5$, then it remains two pairs over S_0 whose product belongs to C_2 . These pairs, namely $g' \cdot g''$ and $g''' \cdot g''''$, must comprise the products (say) $g' \cdot g'' = 1$ and $g''' \cdot g'''' = y^2$ (otherwise, the pairs form equal products over C_2 , hence we obtain another sequence S'''' of length 4 such that $1 \in \pi(S''''')$, and we are done). Furthermore, by Lemma 2.4, there exists $T \mid R$ with $|T| = 4$ such that the product of the terms of T belongs to C_2 . If this product is 1, then $1 \in \pi(S' \cdot S'' \cdot S'''' \cdot T)$, thus we are done. If this product is y^2 , then $1 \in \pi(S' \cdot S'' \cdot g' \cdot g'' \cdot T \cdot g''' \cdot g''''')$. The latter product has 16 terms, thus we are done.

It completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer. It holds that $E(D_{2n} \times C_2) = 5n + 1$ and $d(D_{2n} \times C_2) = n + 1$. In particular, $E(D_{2n} \times C_2) = d(D_{2n} \times C_2) + |D_{2n} \times C_2|$.*

Proof: By Lemma 2.2(a), Proposition 4.1, and Ineq. (1.2), it follows that

$$n + 1 \leq d(D_{2n} \times C_2) \leq E(D_{2n} \times C_2) - |G| \leq 5n + 1 - 4n = n + 1,$$

therefore the equalities hold. □

REFERENCES

- [1] W.R. Alford, A. Granville & C. Pomerance; *There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers*. Annals of Math. **140** (1994), 703-722.
- [2] J. Bass; *Improving the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem for some non-abelian groups*. J. Number Theory **126** (2007), 217-236.
- [3] Y. Caro; *Zero-sum problems - A survey*. Disc. Math. **152** (1996) 93-113.
- [4] S. Chapman, M. Fontana, A. Geroldinger & B. Olberding; *Multiplicative ideal theory and factorization theory*. Springer **170** (2016).
- [5] Y. Edel; *Extensions of generalized product caps*. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **31**(1) (2004), 5-14.
- [6] Y. Edel, S. Ferret, I. Landjev & L. Storme; *The classification of the largest caps in $AG(5, 3)$* . J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **99**(1) (2002), 95-110.
- [7] P. van Emde Boas & Kruyswijk; *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups III*. Z.W. Math. Centrum, Amsterdam (1969).
- [8] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, A. Ziv; *Theorem in the additive number theory*. Bull. Res. Council Israel **10** (1961) 41-43.
- [9] W.D. Gao; *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups*. J. Number Theory **58** (1996), 100-103.
- [10] W.D. Gao; *An addition theorem for finite cyclic groups*. Discrete Math. **163** (1997), 257-265.
- [11] W.D. Gao; *On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size II*. Discrete Math. **271** (2003), 51-59.
- [12] W.D. Gao, A. Geroldinger; *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey*. Expo. Math. **24** (2006), 337-369.
- [13] A. Geroldinger, F. Halter-Koch; *Non-unique factorizations*. Algebraic, combinatorial and analytic theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC (2006).
- [14] D.J. Grynkiewicz; *Structural Additive Theory*. Springer (2013).
- [15] T.W. Hungerford; *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 73, Springer (1974).
- [16] C. Liu; *On the lower bounds of Davenport constant*. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **171** (2020).
- [17] J.S. Oh, Q. Zhong; *On Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv inverse theorems for dihedral and dicyclic groups*. Isr. J. Math. **238** (2020), 715-743.
- [18] J.E. Olson; *A combinatorial problem on finite Abelian groups I*. J. Number Theory **1** (1969), 8-10.

- [19] J.E. Olson; *A combinatorial problem on finite Abelian groups II*. J. Number Theory **1** (1969), 195-199.
- [20] J.E. Olson & E.T. White; *Sums from a sequence of group elements*. in: H. Zassenhaus (Ed.), Number Theory and Algebra, Academic Press, New York (1977), 215-222.
- [21] A. Plagne & W.A. Schmid; *An application of coding theory to estimating Davenport constants*. Des. Codes Crypt. **61** (2011), 105-118.
- [22] W.A. Schmid; *The inverse problem associated to the Davenport constant for $C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{2n}$, and applications to the arithmetical characterization of class groups*. The Electr. J. Combin. **18** (2011), #P33.
- [23] T. Yuster & B. Petersen; *A generalization of an addition theorem for solvable groups*. Can. J. Math. **XXXVI** (3) (1984), 529-536.
- [24] T. Yuster; *Bounds for counter-example to addition theorem in solvable groups*. Arch. Math. **51** (1988), 223-231.
- [25] Q. Zhong; *On an inverse problem of Erdős, Kleitman, and Lemke*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **177** (2021), 105323.
- [26] J.J. Zhuang, W.D. Gao; *Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem for dihedral groups of large prime index*. Europ. J. Combin. **26** (2005), 1053-1059.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS (UFMG), BELO HORIZONTE, MG, 31270-901, BRAZIL,

Email address: `fbrocher@mat.ufmg.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE VIÇOSA (UFV), VIÇOSA, MG, 36570-000, BRAZIL,

Email address: `abiliolemos@ufv.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE VIÇOSA (UFV), VIÇOSA, MG, 36570-000, BRAZIL,

Email address: `bhavinkumar@ufv.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE OURO PRETO (UFOP), OURO PRETO, MG, 35400-000, BRAZIL,

Email address: `savio.ribas@ufop.edu.br`