

On the composition operators on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of power weights

Douadi Drihem

M'sila University, Department of Mathematics
 Laboratory of Functional Analysis and Geometry of Spaces
 M'sila 28000, Algeria
 E-mail: douadidr@yahoo.fr, douadi.drihem@univ-msila.dz

Abstract

Let $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Under some assumptions on G , s , α , p and q we prove that

$$\{G(f) : f \in A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)\} \subset A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$$

implies that G is a linear function. Here $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ stands either for the Besov space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ or for the Triebel-Lizorkin space $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$. These spaces unify and generalize many classical function spaces such as Sobolev spaces of power weights.

1 Introduction

Let $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ function. Suppose that $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{m}$ for $m \geq 3$ and $1 < p < \frac{n}{2}$ for $m = 2$. In 1978 Dahlberg [12, Theorem 1] proved that

$$G(f) \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

implies $G(t) = ct$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. More precisely, there is no non-trivial function G which acts via left composition on $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces, with $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{m}$ for $m \geq 3$ and $1 < p < \frac{n}{2}$ for $m = 2$.

The extension of the Dahlberg result to Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces is given by Bourdaud in [4] and [5], Runst in [26], and Sickel in

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47H30; Secondary 46E35.

Key words and phrases: Besov spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, power weights, Nemtzki operators.

[29], [30] and [31]. For a continuous function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and Lebesgue-measurable f we shall call the operator

$$T_G : f \rightarrow G(f),$$

the composition operator or the Nemytzkij operator. Further results concerning the composition operators in Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces are given [2], [6], [8] and [27].

Recently the author in [16] gave necessary and sufficient conditions on G such that

$$(1.1) \quad T_G(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)) \subset W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha),$$

with some suitable assumptions on m, p and α . More precisely, he proved the following result. Let $m = 2, 3, \dots$ and let $1 < p < \infty, 0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. Assume that $m > \frac{n+\alpha}{p}$. Then the composition operator T_G satisfies (1.1) if and only if G satisfies the following conditions:

$$G(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G^{(m)} \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}).$$

For the classical Sobolev spaces, see [3], [7], [20] and [23].

The motivation to study the problem of composition on function spaces comes from applications to partial differential equations, where many nonlinear equations are given by a composition operator, for example the nonlinear equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(t, x) - \Delta f(t, x) = T_G(f(t, x)), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n. \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x). \end{cases}$$

To study this equation in functional spaces such as Sobolev spaces we need to estimate the nonlinear term T_G in such spaces, see for example [18].

Another motivation to study the composition operators in function spaces can be found in [11] and the references therein.

This paper is a continuation of the previous paper [16] written by the same author. We will study the Dahlberg problem on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with power weights. One of the main difficulties to study this problem is that the norm of the $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ spaces with $\alpha \neq 0$ is not translation invariant, so some new techniques must be developed. Our main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. Let $G \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose*

$$1 + \frac{1}{p} < s < \frac{n + \alpha}{p}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad T_G(\mathbb{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)) \subset \mathbb{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha).$$

Then

$$(1.3) \quad G(t) = ct, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some constant c .

Here $\mathbb{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ stands either for the Besov space $\mathbb{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ or for the Triebel-Lizorkin space $\mathbb{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$. We recover the results on classical Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces by taking $\alpha = 0$. Some sufficient conditions on G which ensure (1.2) are given in [17].

The question arises what happens when $s \geq \frac{n+\alpha}{p}$ holds. In that case the Dahlberg result does not hold by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. Let $G(t) = t^2, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $s > \frac{n+\alpha}{p}$ or*

$$s = \frac{n+\alpha}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad q = 1$$

in the case of Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$. Then

$$T_G(\mathbb{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)) \subset \mathbb{A}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha).$$

We will prove these results in Section 3.

1.1 Notation

As usual, we denote by \mathbb{R}^n the n -dimensional real Euclidean space, \mathbb{N} the collection of all natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we write $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. The notation $X \hookrightarrow Y$ stands for continuous embeddings from X to Y , where X and Y are normed spaces. We use the notation $[x]$ for the integer part of the real number x . Let f be a measurable function and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We define the translation operator by $\tau_a f = f(\cdot - a)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with center x and radius r .

If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable set, then $|\Omega|$ stands for the (Lebesgue) measure of Ω and χ_Ω denotes its characteristic function. The Lebesgue space L^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess-sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < p < \infty$. The weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ contains all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

By $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the Schwartz space of all complex-valued, infinitely differentiable and rapidly decreasing functions on \mathbb{R}^n and by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the dual space of all tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^n . We define the Fourier transform of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The inverse Fourier transform is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$. Both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are extended to the dual Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the usual way.

By c we denote generic positive constants, which may have different values at different occurrences. Further notation will be introduced later on when needed.

2 Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

We present the Fourier analytical definition of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of power weights and recall their basic properties. We first need the concept of a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Let ψ be a function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \psi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We put $\mathcal{F}\varphi_0 = \psi$, $\mathcal{F}\varphi_1 = \psi(\frac{\cdot}{2}) - \psi$ and $\mathcal{F}\varphi_j = \mathcal{F}\varphi_1(2^{1-j}\cdot)$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a smooth dyadic resolution of unity,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}\varphi_j(x) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we obtain the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j * f$$

of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (convergence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

We are now in a position to state the definition of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces equipped with power weights.

Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.

(i) The Besov space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|\varphi_j * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

with the obvious modification if $q = \infty$.

(ii) The Triebel-Lizorkin space $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} |\varphi_j * f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} < \infty,$$

with the obvious modification if $q = \infty$.

Remark 2.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > -n$. The spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ and $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ are independent of the particular choice of the smooth dyadic resolution of unity $\{\mathcal{F}\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms). In particular $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ and $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ are quasi-Banach spaces and they are Banach spaces if $p, q \geq 1$, see [10] and [35]. In addition

$$(2.1) \quad F_{p,2}^m(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) = W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha), \quad (\text{Sobolev spaces of power weights})$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and any $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Moreover, for $\alpha = 0$ we re-obtain the usual Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, see [28], [33] and [34] for more details about unweighted function spaces.

The next theorem implies that the spaces $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ exclusively contain regular distributions, at least for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $s > 0$. The proof is given in [15].

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $s > 0$. Then

$$A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let f be an arbitrary function on \mathbb{R}^n and $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We put

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x).$$

By ball means of differences we mean the function

$$d_t^M f(x) = t^{-n} \int_{|h| \leq t} |\Delta_h^M f(x)| dh = \int_B |\Delta_{th}^M f(x)| dh,$$

where $B = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |h| \leq 1\}$ is the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n , $t > 0$ is a real number and M is a natural number. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $s > 0$. We set

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^* = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} + \left(\int_0^1 t^{-sq} \|d_t^M f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

with the obvious modification if $q = \infty$.

To prove Theorem 1.1 with $\alpha = 0$, the arguments of [29, Corollary 2] are based on the characterization of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces by differences. One of the main difficulties to prove Theorem 1.1 is that the norm in $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ is not translation invariant, so we are forced to introduce a new method. We think that it is better to use the following characterization of $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ by ball means of differences, see [13].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $0 < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that*

$$0 < s < M.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^$ is equivalent norm in $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$.*

To prove our results of this paper we need some embeddings. The following statement holds by [14, Theorem 5.9] and [25].

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq \beta \leq \infty$, $\alpha_1 > -n$ and $\alpha_2 > -n$. We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n + \alpha_1}{p} \leq s_2 - \frac{n + \alpha_2}{q}.$$

Let $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ and $\frac{\alpha_2}{q} \geq \frac{\alpha_1}{p}$. Then

$$B_{q,\beta}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha_2}) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\beta}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha_1}).$$

2.1 Technical results

In this subsection we give several results used throughout this paper. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator \mathcal{M} is defined on locally integrable functions by

$$\mathcal{M}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

Various important results have been proved in the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ under some assumptions on α and p . The condition $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, $1 < p < \infty$ is crucial in the study of the boundedness of classical operators in

$L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ spaces, such as the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. One of the main tools of this paper is based on the following results, which follows since $|\cdot|^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Muckenhoupt class, if and only if $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}$$

holds for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$.

For the proof, see e.g. [32, p 218, 6.4]. We shall require below the following lemma which is a simple conclusion of [1] and [22].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$. If $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of locally integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n and $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$, then*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}f_j)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}.$$

We need the following Marschall's inequalities, see [24, Proposition 1.3]. But here, we use the simplified version given in [36, Proposition 6.1].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $A > 0, R \geq 1$. Let $b \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq AR\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } b \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq A\}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}b * f(x)| \leq c(AR)^{\frac{n}{t}-n} \|b\|_{\dot{B}_{1,t}^{\frac{n}{t}}} \mathcal{M}_t(f)(x)$$

for any $0 < t \leq 1$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where c is independent of A, R, b and f , and

$$\mathcal{M}_t(f) = (\mathcal{M}(|f|^t))^{1/t}.$$

Here $\dot{B}_{1,t}^{\frac{n}{t}}$ denotes the homogeneous Besov spaces.

The purpose of the following lemma is to generalize the dilation properties obtained on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces in [33, Proposition 3.4.1] to the spaces $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$, which will play an important role in the rest of the paper.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $1 < p < \infty, 1 < q \leq \infty, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $s > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant c independent of λ such that*

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \leq c \lambda^{s-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|f\|_{A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}$$

holds for all λ with $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$ and all $f \in A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$.

Proof. As the proof for the space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$ is similar, we consider only $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$. Of course, $f(\lambda \cdot)$ must be interpreted in the sense of distributions. By Theorem 2.3, it follows that $f(x)$ is a regular distribution and $f(\lambda x)$ makes also sense as a locally integrable function. Our proofs use partially some decomposition techniques already used in [33, Proposition 3.4.1]. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $2^k \leq \lambda < 2^{k+1}$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}\varphi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v \mathcal{F}(f(\lambda \cdot)))(x) &= \lambda^{-n} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda^{-1} \cdot))(x) \\ &= \lambda^{-n} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_1(2^{1-v} \cdot) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda^{-1} \cdot))(x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{1-v} \cdot) \mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

if $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly if $v = 0$. We will prove that

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{vsq} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\phi_{v,\lambda} \mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda \cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ \leq c \lambda^{s - \frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)},$$

where the positive constant c is independent of λ and

$$\mathcal{F}\phi_{v,\lambda} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}\varphi_0(\lambda \cdot), & \text{if } v = 0 \\ \mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{1-v} \cdot), & \text{if } v \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

We divide the sum in (2.2) into two parts; the first, $\sum_{v=0}^{k+2}$ and the second $\sum_{v=k+3}^{\infty}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{1-v} \cdot) &= \sum_{j=v-2}^{v+1} \mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{1-v} \cdot) \mathcal{F}\varphi_1(2^{k-j+1} \cdot) \\ &= \sum_{i=-2}^1 \mathcal{F}\phi_{v,\lambda} \mathcal{F}\varphi_1(2^{k-v-i+1} \cdot), \quad v \geq k+3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\phi_{v,\lambda} \mathcal{F}(f)) = \sum_{i=-2}^1 \phi_{v,\lambda} * \varphi_{v-k+i} * f, \quad v \geq k+3.$$

By Lemma 2.8 we obtain

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\phi_{v,\lambda} \mathcal{F}(f))| \leq \sum_{i=-2}^1 \mathcal{M}(\varphi_{v-k+i} * f), \quad v \geq k+3.$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{v=k+3}^{\infty} 2^{vsq} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\phi_{v,\lambda}\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \leq \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \sum_{i=-2}^1 \left\| \left(\sum_{v=k+3}^{\infty} 2^{vsq} |\mathcal{M}(\varphi_{v-k+i} * f)|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{s-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of λ . Let $v \in \{1, \dots, k-2\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v\mathcal{F}(f(\lambda\cdot)))(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{1-v}\cdot)\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{1-v}\cdot)\mathcal{F}\varphi_0\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda x) \\ &= \phi_{v,\lambda} * \varphi_0 * f(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v\mathcal{F}(f(\lambda\cdot)))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} &= \|\phi_{v,\lambda} * \varphi_0 * f(\lambda\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ &= \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|\phi_{v,\lambda} * \varphi_0 * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|\mathcal{M}(\varphi_0 * f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|\varphi_0 * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of λ . Now, let $v \in \{0, k-1, k, k+1, k+2\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_0\mathcal{F}(f(\lambda\cdot)))(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_0(\lambda\cdot)\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_0(\lambda\cdot)\mathcal{F}\varphi_0\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda x) \\ &= \omega_\lambda * \varphi_0 * f(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_\lambda = \lambda^{-n}\psi(\lambda^{-1}\cdot)$. We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_{k-1}\mathcal{F}(f(\lambda\cdot)))(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_1(\lambda 2^{2-k}\cdot)\mathcal{F}(f))(\lambda x) \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda,k} * \varphi_0 * f(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda,k} = \lambda^{-n}2^{kn-2n}\varphi_1(\lambda^{-1}2^{k-2}\cdot)$. If $l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, then we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_{k+l}\mathcal{F}(f(\lambda\cdot)))(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda,k+l+1} * \varphi_i * f(\lambda x).$$

Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v\mathcal{F}(f(\lambda\cdot)))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \sum_{i=0}^3 \|\varphi_i * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)},$$

where $v \in \{0, k-1, k, k+1, k+2\}$ and we have we used Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8. Using the estimate

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i\right)^\delta \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i^\delta, \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1, a_i \geq 0, i \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

we get

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{v=0}^{k+2} 2^{vsq} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v \mathcal{F}(f(\lambda \cdot)))|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}$$

can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=0}^{k+2} 2^{vs} \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\varphi_v \mathcal{F}(f(\lambda \cdot))) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ & \leq c \left(\sum_{v=1}^{k-1} 2^{vs} + 1 \right) \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|\varphi_0 * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} + c \lambda^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\varphi_i * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ & \leq c \lambda^{s-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|\varphi_0 * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} + c \lambda^{s-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\varphi_i * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ & \leq c \lambda^{s-\frac{n+\alpha}{p}} \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $s > 0$, where the positive constant c is independent of k . The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.10. We would like mention that Theorem 2.9 can be extended to $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, -n < \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $s > \max(0, \frac{n}{p} - n)$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1 + 25\sqrt{n}$ be such that $a < b$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}, z^j = (z_1^j, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $z_1^1 = 0, z_1^j = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}j^{\lambda-1}}, j \geq 2$. We set

$$P_k = \left\{ x : \frac{1}{16\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} \leq x_i \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}k^\lambda}, i = 2, \dots, n, \frac{a}{k^{\lambda+\beta}} < x_1 - z_1^k < \frac{b}{k^{\lambda+\beta}} \right\}$$

and

$$P_k^* = \left\{ x : \frac{1}{16\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} \leq x_i \leq \frac{1}{8\sqrt{n}k^\lambda}, i = 2, \dots, n, \frac{a}{k^{\lambda+\beta}} < x_1 - z_1^k < \frac{a+b}{2k^{\lambda+\beta}} \right\}.$$

The following lemma is very important for what will follow.

Lemma 2.11. Let $\gamma = \lfloor (4\sqrt{n}(|b| + |a|))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \rfloor + 3$.

(i) There exists $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P_k \cap P_r = \emptyset \quad \text{if } r \neq k, \quad r, k \geq \max(v, \gamma).$$

(ii) Let $0 < t < \frac{T}{8k^{\lambda+\beta}}$, $T = b - a$. If $k \geq \gamma$ then

$$x \in P_k^* \quad \text{implies} \quad x + \ell h \in P_k$$

for any $\ell = 0, 1, 2$, $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$, $0 < h_i < \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We will do the proof in two steps.

Step 1. Proof of (i). Define

$$U_j = j - (j + 1) \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^\lambda, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$(2.3) \quad U_j - 4\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^\lambda \geq 20\sqrt{n}, \quad j \geq v,$$

which is possible since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(U_j - 4\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^\lambda \right) = \lambda - 4\sqrt{n} - 1 \geq 21\sqrt{n}.$$

We claim that

$$(2.4) \quad x \in P_k \quad \text{implies} \quad |x - z^k| < \frac{1}{k^\lambda}.$$

Indeed, observe that

$$\frac{-1}{4\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} < \frac{a}{k^{\lambda+\beta}} < x_1 - z_1^k < \frac{b}{k^{\lambda+\beta}} < \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}k^\lambda}.$$

Let $k, r \geq \max(v, \gamma)$. It is obvious that

$$4\sqrt{n}(z_1^k - z_1^r) = \frac{1}{k^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{1}{r^{\lambda-1}}.$$

If $r > k$, then

$$\frac{1}{k^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{1}{r^{\lambda-1}} \geq \frac{1}{k^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\lambda-1}} = \frac{1}{k^\lambda} \left(k - (k+1) \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^\lambda \right) = \frac{1}{k^\lambda} U_k.$$

Let $x \in P_r$, with $r > k$. The triangle inequality gives

$$|x_1 - z_1^k| \geq |z_1^k - z_1^r| - |x_1 - z_1^r| \geq |z_1^k - z_1^r| - \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} U_k - \frac{1}{(k+1)^\lambda}.$$

But

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} U_k - \frac{1}{(k+1)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} \left(U_k - 4\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^\lambda \right).$$

Thanks to (2.3) we end up with $|x_1 - z_1^k| > \frac{5}{k^\lambda}$ for any $k \geq \max(v, \gamma)$. That gives,

$$P_k \cap P_r = \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad r > k \geq \max(v, \gamma).$$

Now, let $x \in P_r$ with $\max(v, \gamma) \leq r < k$. Again by the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 - z_1^k| &\geq |z_1^k - z_1^r| - |x_1 - z_1^r| \geq |z_1^k - z_1^r| - \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{1}{r^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{1}{(r+1)^{\lambda-1}} \right) - \frac{1}{r^\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}r^\lambda} U_r - \frac{1}{r^\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $|x_1 - z_1^k| > \frac{5}{r^\lambda} \geq \frac{5}{k^\lambda}$ for any $k > r \geq \max(v, \gamma)$ where we used again (2.3). This gives the desired result.

Step 2. Proof of (ii). Let $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $x \in P_k^*$. We have

$$\frac{1}{16\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{16\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} + \ell h_i \leq x_i + \ell h_i \leq \frac{1}{8\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} + \ell h_i < \frac{1}{8\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} + \frac{\ell t}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Since $k \geq \gamma$, we obtain

$$k^\beta \geq 4\sqrt{n}(|b| + |a|) \geq 4\sqrt{n}T.$$

This together with $0 < t < \frac{T}{8k^{\lambda+\beta}}$ yields that

$$\frac{1}{16\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} \leq x_i + \ell h_i < \frac{1}{8\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}k^\lambda} \frac{T}{k^\beta} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}k^\lambda}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n.$$

Now,

$$\frac{a}{k^{\lambda+\beta}} < x_1 - z_1^k + \ell h_1 < \frac{a+b}{2k^{\lambda+\beta}} + \frac{2t}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{a+b}{2k^{\lambda+\beta}} + \frac{T}{2k^{\lambda+\beta}} \leq \frac{b}{k^{\lambda+\beta}},$$

since $T = b - a$. The proof is complete. \square

3 Proof of the results

The main aim of this section is to present the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.2. We follow the same notations as in [27, Chapter 5].

Definition 3.1. (i) For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define a distribution \bar{f} by

$$\bar{f}(\varphi) = \overline{f(\bar{\varphi})}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(ii) The space of real-valued distributions $\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be

$$\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \bar{f} = f\}.$$

(iii) Let A be a complex-valued, normed distribution space such that $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we define the real-valued part \mathbb{A} by $\mathbb{A} = A \cap \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equipped with the same norm as A .

We set

$$\mathbb{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) = \{f \in F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) : f \text{ is real-valued}\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) = \{f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) : f \text{ is real-valued}\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is based on [29, Theorem 1] and [27, Theorem 5.3.1/3]. By the embeddings $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ and $B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha) \hookrightarrow A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$, we need only prove that any composition operator that takes $B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ to $B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ is linear.

Step 1. First we need to construct a suitable function. Assume that G is not of the form (1.3). Since $G \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ there exist two real numbers a and b , and a number $C > 0$ such that

$$|G^{(2)}(x)| \geq C \quad x \in I = [a, b].$$

Using the mean-value theorem for higher order differences, see [19, §60] we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad |(\Delta_h^2 G)(x)| = |G^{(2)}(\xi)||h|^2, \quad h \neq 0, \quad \xi \in]x, x + 2h[,$$

which yields that

$$(3.2) \quad |(\Delta_h^2 G)(x)| \geq C|h|^2 \quad \text{if } x, x + 2h \in I.$$

Let $\theta, \eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be compactly supported positive functions such that

$$\text{supp}\theta \subset \{x : |x_i| \leq 4, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

$$\theta(x) = 1, \quad \text{if } |x_i| \leq 2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\text{supp}\eta \subset \{x : |x_i| \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \|\eta\|_1 = 1$$

and

$$\eta(x) = 1, \quad \text{if } |x_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $\lambda > 1, j \in \mathbb{N}, z^j = (z_1^j, z_2^j, \dots, z_n^j)$ with $z_1^1 = 0, z_1^j = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}j^{\lambda-1}}, j \geq 2$ and $z_2^j = \dots = z_n^j = 0, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider

$$g_j(x) = j^\lambda(x_1 - z_1^j)\theta_j * \check{\eta}_j(x),$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{N}, \theta_j = \theta(j^\lambda \cdot), \check{\eta}_j = \frac{\eta_j}{\|\eta_j\|_1}$,

$$\eta_j = \eta(j^\lambda(\cdot - z^j)), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$\text{supp}\theta_j * \eta_j \subset \{x : |x_i - z_i^j| \leq 5j^{-\lambda}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$\theta_j * \eta_j \equiv 1$$

on

$$\{x : |x_i - z_i^j| \leq j^{-\lambda}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Thanks to Theorem 2.9 there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_j\|_{B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} &\leq c j^{\lambda(s - \frac{n+\alpha}{p})} \|g_j(j^{-\lambda}\cdot)\|_{B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \\ &= c j^{\lambda(s - \frac{n+\alpha}{p})} \|\vartheta_j\|_{B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where the positive constant c is independent of j . Here

$$\vartheta_j(x) = (x_1 - j^\lambda z_1^j) \theta * \tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \eta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Obviously $\vartheta_j = \omega * \tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \eta + \theta * \tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \tilde{\eta}$, where $\tilde{\eta}(x) = x_1 \eta(x)$, with $\omega(x) = x_1 \theta(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}\varphi_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a partition of unity. We claim that

$$(3.3) \quad |\varphi_l * \omega * \tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \eta| \lesssim j^L \mathcal{M}(\varphi_l * \omega)$$

and

$$|\varphi_l * \theta * \tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \tilde{\eta}| \lesssim j^L \mathcal{M}(\varphi_l * \theta),$$

where $L > 13n$ and the implicit constant is independent of l and j . Hence

$$\|g_j\|_{B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim j^{\lambda(s - \frac{n+\alpha}{p}) + L}.$$

We prove our claim. By similarity, we prove only (3.3). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since η is a Schwartz function, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \eta(x - y)| &\leq c(1 + |x - y - j^\lambda z^j|)^{-L} \\ &\leq c(1 + |x - y|)^{-L} (1 + j^\lambda |z^j|)^L \\ &\leq c j^L (1 + |x - y|)^{-L} \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant c is independent of l, x, y and j . We set $\varpi_L(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-L}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varphi_l * \omega * \tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \eta(x)| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_l * \omega(y)| |\tau_{j^\lambda z^j} \eta(x - y)| dy \\ &\lesssim j^L \varpi_L * |\varphi_l * \omega|(x) \\ (3.4) \quad &\lesssim j^L \varpi_L \chi_{B(x,2)} * |\varphi_l * \omega|(x) + j^L \varpi_L \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,2)} * |\varphi_l * \omega|(x). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the first term of (3.4) is bounded by $c\mathcal{M}(\varphi_l * \omega)(x)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_L \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,2)} * |\varphi_l * \omega|(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varpi_L \chi_{B(x,2^{i+1}) \setminus B(x,2^i)} * |\varphi_l * \omega|(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-iL} \varpi_L \chi_{B(x,2^{i+1})} * |\varphi_l * \omega|(x) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(\varphi_l * \omega)(x) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{i(n-L)} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(\varphi_l * \omega)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\lambda \geq 1 + 25\sqrt{n}$. Let $\beta > 0$, $\gamma = \lfloor (4\sqrt{n}(|b| + |a|))^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \rfloor + 3$ and

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=\max(v,\gamma)}^{\infty} j^{\beta} g_j(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let us prove that f belongs to $B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha})$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha})} &\leq c \sum_{j=\max(v,\gamma)}^{\infty} j^{\beta} \|g_j\|_{B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha})} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=\max(v,\gamma)}^{\infty} j^{\beta+L+\lambda(s-\frac{n+\alpha}{p})}. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$(3.5) \quad \beta + L + \lambda\left(s - \frac{n+\alpha}{p}\right) < -1,$$

then we have $f \in B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha})$.

Step 2. Let

$$(3.6) \quad \max\left(p, \frac{n+\alpha}{2-s+\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}\right) < p_1 < \frac{n+\alpha-1}{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}-s+1} \quad \text{and} \quad s_1 = s - \frac{n+\alpha}{p} + \frac{n+\alpha}{p_1},$$

which is possible, since $s > 1 + \frac{1}{p}$. That choice guarantees

$$p < p_1, \quad 0 < s_1 < 2 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha}) \hookrightarrow B_{p_1,\infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha}),$$

by Theorem 2.5. We will prove that

$$(3.7) \quad T_G(f) \notin B_{p_1,\infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{\alpha}).$$

As in [29, Theorem 1], see also [27, Theorem 5.3.1/3], we use the two sequences of cubes P_k and P_k^* , $k \geq \max(v, \gamma)$. From Lemma 2.11 and (2.4), we get

$$(3.8) \quad f(y) = k^{\beta} g_k(y) = k^{\beta+\lambda}(y_1 - z_1^k)$$

for any $y \in P_k$ and any $k \geq \max(v, \gamma)$. Let

$$\varrho(t) = \left(\frac{T}{8t}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}}, \quad t > 0, \quad T = b - a$$

and $0 < t < \frac{T}{8k^{\lambda+\beta}}$. Again, from Lemma 2.11 if $\max(v, \gamma) \leq k \leq \varrho(t)$ then

$$(3.9) \quad x \in P_k^* \quad \text{implies} \quad x + \ell h \in P_k$$

for any $\ell = 0, 1, 2, h = (h_1, \dots, h_n), 0 < h_i < \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}, i = 1, \dots, n$. We have

$$|\Delta_h^2(T_G(f))(x)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^2 C_i^2 (-1)^i G(f(x + (2-i)h)) \right|,$$

where $C_i^2, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ are the binomial coefficients. Let $x \in P_k^*$. From (3.8) and (3.9), with the help of (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h^2(T_G(f))(x)| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^2 C_i^2 (-1)^i G(k^{\beta+\lambda}(x_1 + (2-i)h_1 - z_1^k)) \right| \\ &= |\Delta_{k^{\lambda+\beta}h_1}^2 G(k^{\lambda+\beta}(x_1 - z_1^k))| \\ &\geq C|h_1|^2 k^{2(\lambda+\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant C is independent of k and h . Consequently

$$d_t^2(T_G(f))(x) = t^{-n} \int_{|h| \leq t} |\Delta_h^2(T_G(f))(x)| dh \geq ct^2 k^{2(\lambda+\beta)},$$

which yields that

$$\|d_t^2(T_G(f))\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^{p_1}$$

is greater than

$$\begin{aligned} ct^{2p_1} \sum_{k=\max(v, \gamma)}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} k^{2(\lambda+\beta)p_1} \int_{P_k^*} |x|^\alpha dx &\geq ct^{2p_1} \sum_{k=\max(v, \gamma)}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} k^{2(\lambda+\beta)p_1 - \lambda\alpha} \int_{P_k^*} dx \\ &\geq ct^{2p_1} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} k^{(\lambda+\beta)(2p_1-1) - \lambda(n-1+\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $t > 0$ sufficiently small, with the help of the fact that $\alpha \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\|T_G(f)\|_{B_{p_1, \infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^{p_1} \geq c \sup_{t>0} t^{(2-s_1)p_1} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} k^{(\lambda+\beta)(2p_1-1) - \lambda(n-1+\alpha)}.$$

We claim that

$$\sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} k^{(\lambda+\beta)(2p_1-1)-\lambda(n-1+\alpha)} \approx t^{-\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}} \left((\lambda+\beta)(2p_1-1)-\lambda(n-1+\alpha)+1 \right),$$

which yields (3.7) if $\frac{\lambda(n-1+\alpha)-1}{(\lambda+\beta)p_1} < s_1 - \frac{1}{p_1}$ provided β fulfills (3.5). We may choose

$$\max \left(1 + 25\sqrt{n}, \frac{\beta + 1 + L}{\frac{n+\alpha}{p} - s} \right) < \lambda < \frac{\frac{1}{p_1} + \beta \left(s_1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right)}{\frac{n+\alpha}{p} - s}.$$

Our assumptions leads to (3.7) by taking

$$\beta > \max \left(0, \frac{(1 + 25\sqrt{n}) \left(\frac{n+\alpha}{p} - s \right) - \frac{1}{p_1}}{s_1 - \frac{1}{p_1}}, \frac{\frac{1}{p_1} - 1 - L}{1 - s_1 + \frac{1}{p_1}} \right),$$

which is possible in view of (3.6). Since $G(0) = 0$ is necessary for (1.2), it follows that $G(t) = ct, t \in \mathbb{R}$ for some constant c . We prove our claim. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor, \quad t > 0,$$

which yields that

$$\frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \leq k \leq \varrho(t).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} k^{(\lambda+\beta)(2p_1-1)-\lambda(n-1+\alpha)} &\approx \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} (\varrho(t))^{(\lambda+\beta)(2p_1-1)-\lambda(n-1+\alpha)} \\ &\approx t^{-\left((2p_1-1) - \frac{\lambda(n-1+\alpha)}{\lambda+\beta} \right)} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor} 1 \\ &\approx t^{-\left((2p_1-1) - \frac{\lambda(n-1+\alpha)}{\lambda+\beta} \right)} \left(\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $\varrho(t) = \left(\frac{T}{8t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}}$. Observe that

$$\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor > \frac{3}{4}\varrho(t) - 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\varrho(t)$$

and

$$\lfloor \varrho(t) \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{1}{4}\varrho(t) \rfloor < \frac{3}{4}\varrho(t) + 1 \leq \varrho(t)$$

for sufficiently small $t > 0$. Therefore our claim is proved. The proof is complete. \square

From (2.1), we immediately obtain the following statement.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < n(p - 1)$. Let $G \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and T_G be a composition operator. Suppose*

$$2 \leq m < \frac{n + \alpha}{p}$$

and the acting condition

$$T_G(\mathbb{W}_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)) \subset \mathbb{W}_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha).$$

Then

$$G(t) = ct, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some constant c .

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need some preparations. Consider the partition of the unity $\{\mathcal{F}\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. We define the convolution operators Λ_j by the following:

$$\Lambda_j f = \varphi_j * f, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_0 f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi * f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We associate the convolution operator Q_j defined as $Q_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi(2^{-j}\cdot)) * f, j \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $\Lambda_0 = Q_0$, thus we obtain $Q_j f = \sum_{i=0}^{j+1} \Lambda_i f, j \in \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the product of these two distributions as

$$(3.10) \quad T_G(f) = f^2 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_j f \cdot Q_j f$$

whenever this limit exists in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Related to this definition we introduce the following operators

$$\Pi_1(f) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} Q_{j-2} f \Lambda_j f, \quad \Pi_2(f) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{\Lambda}_j f \Lambda_j f$$

and $\Pi_3(f) = \Pi_1(f)$, with $\bar{\Lambda}_j = \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \Lambda_k, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The advantage of the above decomposition consists in

$$\text{supp}\mathcal{F}(Q_{j-2} f \Lambda_j f) \subset \{\xi : 2^{j-3} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\text{supp}\mathcal{F}(\bar{\Lambda}_j f \Lambda_j f) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \leq 5 \cdot 2^j\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The next lemma shows that the multiplication on the right-hand side of (3.10) makes sense, but under some suitable assumptions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty, 0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $s > 0$. Let $f \in A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$. Then f^2 is well defined and $(Q_j f)^2$ tends to f^2 as j tends to infinity.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.3 the elements of $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$ are regular distributions. So f^2 is well defined, as an element of $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us prove that $\{Q_j f\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f almost everywhere. Using $s > 0$, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|\Lambda_i f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim \|f\|_{A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}.$$

Then the series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_i f$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$ to a limit $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$. Therefore $\{Q_j f\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to g almost everywhere. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We write

$$\langle f - g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f - Q_j f, \varphi \rangle + \langle g - Q_j f, \varphi \rangle, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality bracket between $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The first term tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$, while by Hölder's inequality there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of j such that

$$|\langle g - Q_j f, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|g - Q_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)},$$

which tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $f = g$ almost everywhere. Consequently, $(Q_j f)^2$ tends to f^2 as j tends to infinity. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.4. For interested readers, we refer to [21] and [27, Chapter 5] for more detailed discussion of (3.10).

The next lemma is used in the proof of our Theorem 1.2, see [9] for the proof.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $A, B > 0, 1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty, 0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$ and $s > 0$. Let $\{f_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of functions such that*

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F} f_l \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq A2^{l+1}\}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_l \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{lsq} \|f_l\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_l \right\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} \lesssim \left\| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{lsq} |f_l|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)}.$$

The following lemma is particular case of the Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality given in [33].

Lemma 3.6. *Let $R > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ independent of R such that for all $f \in L^p$ with $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$, we have*

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq c R^{\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_p.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$. Recall that

$$T_G(f) = \Pi_1(f) + \Pi_2(f) + \Pi_3(f).$$

Hence we need only to estimate $\Pi_i(f)$, $i = 1, 2$. Lemma 3.5 yields that $\|\Pi_1(f)\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left\| \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^{sjq} |Q_{j-2} f \Lambda_j f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \sup_{j=2,3,\dots} |Q_{j-2} f| \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^{sjq} |\Lambda_j f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{j=2,3,\dots} \|Q_{j-2} f\|_\infty \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 \leq p < t < \infty$. From Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_{j-2} f\|_\infty & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \|\Lambda_i f\|_\infty \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} 2^{i\frac{n}{t}} \|\Lambda_i f\|_t \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{t,1}^{\frac{n}{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of $j \geq 2$. Using the embeddings

$$(3.11) \quad F_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha) \hookrightarrow B_{p,1}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha) \hookrightarrow B_{t,1}^{\frac{n}{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\|\Pi_1(f)\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \leq \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}^2.$$

Again, by Lemmas 3.5-3.6 we obtain that $\|\Pi_2(f)\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{sjq} |\bar{\Lambda}_j f \Lambda_j f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\bar{\Lambda}_j f| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{sjq} |\Lambda_j f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|\bar{\Lambda}_j f\|_\infty \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$. The proof follows by the same arguments above, but we use the embeddings

$$B_{p,q_1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha) \hookrightarrow B_{p,1}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha) \hookrightarrow B_{t,1}^{\frac{n}{t}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

instead of (3.11), where

$$q_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s = \frac{n+\alpha}{p} \\ q, & \text{if } s > \frac{n+\alpha}{p}. \end{cases}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.7. Let $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha < n(p-1)$. Suppose that $s > \frac{n+\alpha}{p}$ or

$$s = \frac{n+\alpha}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad q = 1$$

in the case of Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$. By similar arguments of Theorem 1.2 we obtain that the spaces $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha)$ are algebras with respect to pointwise multiplication.

Acknowledgements

We thank the referees for carefully reading the paper and for making several useful suggestions and comments, which improved the exposition of the paper substantially. This work is funded by the General Direction of Higher Education and Training under Grant No. C00L03UN280120220004 and by The General Directorate of Scientific Research and Technological Development, Algeria.

References

- [1] K. Andersen and R. John, *Weighted inequalities for vector-valued maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. 69 (1980/1981), 19-31.
- [2] G. Bourdaud and D. Kateb, *Fonctions qui opèrent sur certains espaces de Besov*, Ann. Inst. Fourier. 40 (1990), 153-162.
- [3] G. Bourdaud, *Le calcul fonctionnel dans les espaces de Sobolev*, Invent. Math. 104 (1991), 435-446.
- [4] G. Bourdaud, *Fonctions qui opèrent sur les espaces de Besov et de Triebel*, Annales de L'I.H.P. (Analyse non linéaire) 10 (1993), 413-422.

- [5] G. Bourdaud, *The functional calculus in Sobolev spaces*. In: Schmeisser HJ., Triebel H. (eds) *Function spaces, differential operators and non-linear analysis*. Teubner-Texte Math. 133, Teubner, Stuttgart, Leipzig, 1993, 127-142.
- [6] G. Bourdaud, M. Lanza de Cristoforis and W. Sickel, *Superposition operators and functions of bounded p -variation*, *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*. 22 (2006), 455-487.
- [7] G. Bourdaud, *Superposition in homogeneous and vector valued Sobolev spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2010), 6105-6130.
- [8] G. Bourdaud, M. Moussai and W. Sickel, *Composition operators in Lizorkin-Triebel spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), 1098-1128.
- [9] H. Brahim Boulares and D. Drihem, *Multiplication on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of power weights*, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* Accepted.
- [10] H.Q. Bui, *Weighted Besov and Triebel spaces: Interpolation by the real method*, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 12 (1982), 581-605.
- [11] T. Cazenave, D. Fang and H. Zheng, *Continuous dependence for NLS in fractional order spaces*, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN* 28 (2011), 135-147.
- [12] B.J. Dahlberg, *A note on Sobolev spaces*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, 35(1) (1979), 183-185.
- [13] A. Djeriou and D. Drihem. *On the continuity of pseudo-differential operators on multiplier spaces associated to Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 16, 153 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-019-1418-7>
- [14] D. Drihem, *Embeddings properties on Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 16 (2) (2013), 439-460.
- [15] D. Drihem, *Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces*, arXiv:1808.08227 (2020).
- [16] D. Drihem, *Nemytzkij operators on Sobolev spaces with power weights: I*, *J. Math. Sci* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-022-05895-9>.

- [17] D. Drihem, *Composition operators on Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with application to semilinear parabolic equations*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 16, 29 (2022).
- [18] F. Ribaud, *Cauchy problem for semilinear parabolic equations with initial data in $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 14(1) (1998), 1-46.
- [19] H. Heuser, *Lehrbuch der Analysis*, Vol. 1. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1990.
- [20] S. Igari, *Sur les fonctions qui opèrent sur l'espace \hat{A}^2* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 15 (1965), 525-536.
- [21] J. Johnsen, *Pointwise multiplication of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Math. Nachr. 175 (1995), 85-133.
- [22] V.M. Kokilashvili, *Maximum inequalities and multipliers in weighted Lizorkin-Triebel spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 239, (1978) 42-45. In Russian.
- [23] M. Marcus and V.J. Mizel, *Complete characterization of functions which act, via superposition, on Sobolev spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (1979), 187-218.
- [24] J. Marschall, *Weighted parabolic Triebel spaces of product type. Fourier multipliers and pseudo-differential operators*, Forum Math. 3 (1991), 479-511.
- [25] M. Meyries and M.C. Veraar, *Sharp embedding results for spaces of smooth functions with power weights*, Studia. Math. 208 (3) (2012), 257-293.
- [26] T. Runst, *Mapping properties of non-linear operators in spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov type*, Anal. Math. 12 (1986), 313-346.
- [27] T. Runst and W. Sickel, *Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytzkij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1996.
- [28] Y. Sawano, *Theory of Besov spaces*, Developments in Math. 56, Springer, Singapore, 2018.

- [29] W. Sickel, *Necessary conditions on composition operators acting on Sobolev spaces of fractional order. The critical case $1 < s < n/p$* , Forum Math. 9 (1997), 267-302.
- [30] W. Sickel, *Conditions on composition operators which map a space of Triebel-Lizorkin type into a Sobolev space. The case $1 < s < n/p$. II*, Forum Math. 10 (1998), 199-231.
- [31] W. Sickel, *Necessary conditions on composition operators acting between Besov spaces. The case $1 < s < n/p$. III*, Forum Math. 10 (1998), 303-327.
- [32] E. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy. Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [33] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [34] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces II*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [35] J. Xu and D. Yang, *Applications of Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Acta Math. Sci., Ser. B 23 (2003), 328-338.
- [36] W. Yuan, W. Sickel and D. Yang, *Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2005, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2010.