

PARABOLIC WEIGHTED SOBOLEV-POINCARÉ TYPE INEQUALITIES

LARS DIENING, MIKYOUNG LEE, AND JIHOON OK

ABSTRACT. We derive weighted Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities in function spaces concerned with parabolic partial differential equations. We consider general weights depending on both space and time variables belonging to a Muckenhoupt class, so-called the parabolic A_p -class, where only the parabolic cubes are involved in the definition.

1. Introduction

Sobolev and Poincaré type inequalities are fundamental tools investigating relevant Sobolev spaces and related partial differential equations (PDEs). For classical weighted Sobolev spaces they have been studied for a long time in for instance [1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, 16, 20, 27]. In particular, Fabes, Kenig and Serapioni [16] obtained the weighted Sobolev-Poincaré inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{w(B_r)} \int_{B_r} |f - (f)_{B_r}|^{pk} w \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(B_r)} \int_{B_r} |Df|^p w \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and, using this, proved C^α -regularity and Harnack's inequality for a solution to a degenerate linear elliptic equation. In the above inequality, B_r is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n , $1 < p < \infty$, a weight $w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is in the A_p -class, and $k > 1$ can be chosen as

$$k = \frac{n}{n-1} + \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$. We also refer to [6] for a simpler proof of (1.1). After then, regularity theory for degenerate parabolic equations have been actively studied, see for instance [7, 8, 9, 19, 28]. However, the weights treated in the preceding papers are independent of the time variable or satisfy the A_p condition on the time or the space variables separately. In this paper, we consider Sobolev spaces arising from parabolic PDEs and weights in the so-called parabolic A_p -class (cf. Section 2), which is a natural Muckenhoupt class in the parabolic setting, and derive the Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities in this parabolic setting.

More precisely, we consider a function $u \in L^1(I, W_1^1(\Omega))$ that is a distributional solution of the following divergence type linear parabolic equations:

$$(1.2) \quad u_t = \operatorname{div}_x G \quad \text{in } \Omega \times I,$$

where $z = (x, t) \in \Omega \times I \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, when $G \in L^1(\Omega \times I, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Here the distributional solution u of (1.2) means it satisfies that

$$\int_{\Omega \times I} u \varphi_t \, dz = \int_{\Omega \times I} G \cdot D_x \varphi \, dz \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times I).$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35; 35K10, 35A23.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev-Poincaré inequality, parabolic equation, weight, parabolic Muckenhoupt class.

The crucial point is that u may not be differentiable with respect to t but satisfies (1.2) in the distributional sense. Regarding this point, a parabolic Poincaré type inequality for u in the framework of Orlicz space, which is a larger class than the L^p space, was derived in [12]. In this paper we obtain Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities for u with weight $w = w(x, t)$ in the parabolic A_p class and $G \in L^p_w(\Omega \times I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p > 1$, in Theorem 3.1 and its corollaries. A typical case of (1.2) is when $G(x, t) = \mathbf{A}(x, t)Du + F(x, t)$, where \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix satisfying suitable uniform ellipticity and boundedness conditions. In this case there have been studied regularity estimates for Du when $F \in L^p_w(\Omega \times I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ in [3, 25] and hence, applying our main result, improved regularity for the solution u can be also observed, see Remark 3.17.

Non-divergence type second order linear parabolic equations have the form

$$(1.3) \quad u_t - a_{ij}(x, t)u_{x_i x_j} = f \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ satisfies suitable uniform ellipticity and boundedness conditions. For this equation, it is well known that if Ω is in $C^{1,1}$, \mathbf{A} is continuous and $f \in L^p(\Omega_T)$, then there exists a strong solution u in $W_p^{2,1}(\Omega_T)$, i.e., weak derivatives u_t , Du , D^2u exist in L^p -space, with $u = 0$ on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T . (We may consider discontinuous coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} in VMO type spaces, see [21].) Here, $Du = D_x u$ and $D^2u = D_x^2 u$ are the spatial gradient and Hessian of u , respectively. Regarding to the $W_p^{2,1}$ -space, we have the following Sobolev-Poincaré type inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \left(\int_{C_r} |Du - (Du)_{C_r}|^{pk} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq cr \left(\int_{C_r} [|u_t|^p + |D^2u|^p] dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for any parabolic cylinder C_r and any function $u \in W_p^{2,1}(C_r)$, where $k = \frac{n+2}{n+2-p} > 1$ if $p < n + 2$, see for instance [23, Theorem 19]. The main point in the above inequality is that the right-hand side does not involve the derivative of Du with respect to variable t but u_t . Therefore it is enough to consider u_t and D^2u when L^p -regularity theory is studied for (1.3). In recent papers [2, 4, 13] there have been studied strong solutions in the setting of weighted spaces, but the weighted version of (1.4) has not been investigated. In this paper, we derive the weighted version of (1.4) in Theorem 4.1 as a direct consequence of the main result. Moreover, we also obtain its boundary version on an upper half region of a parabolic cube/cylinder for functions with zero value on the flat boundary.

The main difficulty is that, in contrast to the unweighted case, we cannot take advantage of the classical weighted Sobolev-Poincaré inequality (1.1) on each time slice, because the restriction of a weight function in the parabolic A_p -class on each time slice, $x \mapsto w(x, t)$, does not belong to the A_p -class in general. In order to overcome this difficulty, we present an alternative approach. Its main idea is that by making use of the parabolic Poincaré type estimates obtained in [12] (cf. Lemma 2.4) we derive pointwise estimates in terms of a parabolic version of Riesz potential, which is called the *caloric Riesz potential* (cf. (2.3)) introduced in [15] (see also [22]), and then we apply the boundedness of a maximal operator in L^p_w -spaces with the A_p weight w in the parabolic setting. We notice that similar arguments have been used in, for instance, [17, 26], where weighted Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities are derived in the setting of spaces of homogeneous type. In this paper, we are interested in solutions of parabolic PDEs and Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities in relevant weighted spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Notation. We write $z = (x, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, t)$ as a point in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We define the parabolic distance d_p between two points $z = (x, t)$ and $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by

$$d_p(z, \tilde{z}) := \max \left\{ |x - \tilde{x}|, \sqrt{|t - \tilde{t}|} \right\},$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean distance. For $\alpha > 0$, $z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{r} := (\tilde{\mathbf{r}}, r_{n+1}) = (r_1, \dots, r_n, r_{n+1})$ with $r_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, the α -parabolic rectangle $Q_{\mathbf{r}}^\alpha(z)$ is defined by

$$Q_{\mathbf{r}}^\alpha(z) := K_{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}}(x) \times (t - \alpha r_{n+1}^2, t + \alpha r_{n+1}^2)$$

where $K_{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}}(x) := \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_i - y_i| < r_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the n -dimensional rectangle. In particular, for $r > 0$, if $r_i = r$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we write $Q_r^\alpha(z) = Q_{\mathbf{r}}^\alpha(z)$. Note that $Q_r^\alpha(z)$ is called an α -parabolic cube. In addition, for $\alpha, r > 0$, the α -parabolic cylinder $C_r^\alpha(z)$ is defined by

$$C_r^\alpha(z) := B_r(x) \times (t - \alpha r^2, t + \alpha r^2),$$

where $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$ is the n -dimensional ball centered at x with radius r . In particular, when $\alpha = 1$, we abbreviate $Q_r^1(z) = Q_r(z)$ and $C_r^1(z) = C_r(z)$, which are called a parabolic cube and a parabolic cylinder, respectively.

We also denote

$$(2.1) \quad Q_r^+(z) = Q_r(z) \cap \{x_n > 0\} \text{ and } C_r^+(z) = C_r(z) \cap \{t > 0\}.$$

For the sake of simplicity, we omit the center z when $z = 0$ in the above notation, for example, $Q_r = Q_r(0)$, $Q_r^+ = Q_r^+(0)$, \dots . In addition, we denote

$$(2.2) \quad T_r = Q_r(0) \cap \{x_n = 0\} = C_r(0) \cap \{x_n = 0\}.$$

Let U be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For a function $v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote the spatial gradient of v by $Dv = (v_{x_1}, \dots, v_{x_n})$, the spatial Hessian of v by D^2v , and the time derivative of v by v_t . For an integrable function g in U , we define the mean of g in U by

$$(g)_U := \int_U g(z) dz := \frac{1}{|U|} \int_U g(z) dz.$$

Finally, the caloric Riesz potential of a measurable function g in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{I}_\beta g(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{g(\tilde{z})}{d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{n+2-\beta}} d\tilde{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $0 < \beta \leq n + 2$.

Parabolic A_p -class and weighted spaces. For $1 < p < \infty$, a weight w in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , i.e., a locally integrable nonnegative function w in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , is called a parabolic A_p weight, denoted by $w \in A_p$, if

$$[w]_p := \sup_Q \left(\int_Q w dz \right) \left(\int_Q w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} dz \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all parabolic cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Every parabolic A_p weight has the doubling property, and monotonicity $A_{p_1} \subset A_{p_2}$ for $p_1 \leq p_2$. We identify weight w with measure $w(E) := \int_E w(z) dz$, for measurable sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For the properties of A_p weight, we refer to, for instance, [3, 18].

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be bounded. Given $w \in A_p$, $L_w^p(U)$ denotes the *weighted Lebesgue space* which contains all measurable functions u on U such that $\|u\|_{L_w^p(U)} := \left(\int_U |u|^p w dz \right)^{1/p} < \infty$

∞ . We define the space $W_{p,w}^{2,1}(U)$ as the set of functions u satisfying that u, u_t, Du, D^2u exist in $L_w^p(U)$. When $w \equiv 1$, we write $W_p^{2,1}(U) = W_{p,1}^{2,1}(U)$.

For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $W_p^1(\Omega)$ by the set of functions u satisfying that u, Du exist in $L^p(\Omega)$. In addition, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $u \in L^p(I, W_p^1(\Omega))$ means that $u(\cdot, t) \in W_p^1(\Omega)$ a.e. $t \in I$ and $Du \in L^p(\Omega \times I)$.

Poincaré type inequalities. We introduce Poincaré type inequalities under a parabolic equation in divergence form. From now on, if $a \leq r_i \leq b$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ and for some $0 < a < b$, we write $a \leq \mathbf{r} \leq b$ where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1})$.

Lemma 2.4 (Theorem 2.8, [12]). *For $\alpha, r > 0$, let $C = \Omega \times I \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be an α -parabolic cylinder C_r^α or α -parabolic rectangle $Q_{\mathbf{r}}^\alpha$ with $r/2 \leq \mathbf{r} \leq 2r$. If $u \in L^1(I, W_1^1(\Omega))$ is a distributional solution of $u_t = \operatorname{div} G$ in C with $G \in L^1(C, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_C |u - (u)_C| dz \leq cr \int_C |Du| dz + c\alpha r \int_C |G| dz$$

for some $c = c(n) > 0$.

Note that in [12, Theorem 2.8], only the α -parabolic cylinders C_r^α are considered, but the inequality (2.5) still holds for the α -parabolic rectangles $Q_{\mathbf{r}}^\alpha$ as stated in the above lemma without any significant modification to the proof.

3. Weighted Sobolev inequalities for solutions of parabolic equations in divergence form.

We consider a distributional solution u to (1.2), for which we obtain the following Sobolev-Poincaré type inequality that is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. If $u \in L^1((-r^2, r^2), W_1^1(K_r))$ with $Du \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a distributional solution to $u_t = \operatorname{div} G$ in Q_r , where $G \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u \in L_w^{pk}(Q_r)$ with*

$$(3.2) \quad k = \frac{n+2}{n+1} + \delta > 1 \quad \text{for some } \delta = \delta(n, p, [w]_p) > 0,$$

and we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |u - (u)_{Q_r}|^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|Du| + |G|]^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for some $c = c(n, p, [w]_p) > 0$.

Moreover, the theorem holds true if we replace the n -dimensional cube K_r and the parabolic cube Q_r by the n -dimensional ball B_r and the parabolic cylinder C_r , respectively.

Remark 3.3. A more specific expression of the constant k can be found in (3.6) in the proof of Lemma 3.4 below. In the unweighted case (i.e., $w \equiv 1$) with $p < n+2$, we can choose $k = \frac{n+2}{n+2-p}$, since $w \equiv 1 \in A_1$ and hence the constant q in the proof of Lemma 3.4 can be taken as 1.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first prove a higher integrability of the caloric Riesz potential in the weighted Lebesgue spaces under the parabolic setting.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. If $f \in L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $f \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q_r$, then we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{I}_1 |f|]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |f|^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $k > 1$ is given in (3.2), for some $c = c(n, p, [w]_p) > 0$.

Moreover, the lemma holds true if we replace the parabolic cube Q_r by the parabolic cylinder C_r .

Proof. Note that $w \in A_q$ for some $q \in (1, p)$ and $[w]_q > 0$ depending only on n, p and $[w]_p$, see [18]. Moreover, we shall assume that $q > \frac{p}{n+2}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1|f|(z) = \int_{d_p(\tilde{z}, z) \leq \varepsilon} \frac{|f(\tilde{z})|}{d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{n+1}} d\tilde{z} + \int_{d_p(\tilde{z}, z) > \varepsilon} \frac{|f(\tilde{z})|}{d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{n+1}} d\tilde{z} =: I_1 + I_2.$$

For I_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j-1}\varepsilon < d_p(\tilde{z}, z) \leq 2^{-j}\varepsilon} \frac{|f(\tilde{z})|}{d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{n+1}} d\tilde{z} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(j+1)(n+1)} \varepsilon^{-(n+1)} \int_{d_p(\tilde{z}, z) \leq 2^{-j}\varepsilon} |f(\tilde{z})| d\tilde{z} \\ &\leq c(n)\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \int_{Q_{2^{-j}\varepsilon}(z)} |f(\tilde{z})| d\tilde{z} \leq c(n)\varepsilon \mathcal{M}f(z) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \leq c(n)\varepsilon \mathcal{M}f(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}f(z) := \sup_{s>0} \int_{Q_s(z)} |f(\tilde{z})| d\tilde{z}$ is the parabolic maximal function of f , and we used the fact that $|Q_{2^{-j}\varepsilon}(z)| = 2^{n+1}(2^{-j}\varepsilon)^{n+2}$. For I_2 , by Hölder's inequality and the facts that $f \equiv 0$ on $(Q_r)^c$ and $w \in A_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p}} \left(\int_{d_p(\tilde{z}, z) > \varepsilon} d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{p-q}} dz \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p}} \varepsilon^{1 - \frac{q(n+2)}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last inequality we used the following fact

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{d_p(\tilde{z}, z) > \varepsilon} d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{p-q}} dz \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > \varepsilon\}} |x|^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{p-q}} dx dt + 2 \int_{\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > \sqrt{t}\}} |x|^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{p-q}} dx dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < |x| \leq \sqrt{t}\}} t^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{2(p-q)}} dx dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^{\varepsilon^2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{p-q} + n} dt + c \int_0^{\varepsilon^2} t^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{2(p-q)} + \frac{n}{2}} dt = c\varepsilon^{-\frac{p(n+1)}{p-q} + n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{M}f(z) = \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{p}} \varepsilon^{1 - \frac{q(n+2)}{p}},$$

hence

$$\varepsilon = [\mathcal{M}f(z)]^{-\frac{p}{q(n+2)}} \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(n+2)}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q(n+2)}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_1|f|(z) = I_1 + I_2 \leq c[\mathcal{M}f(z)]^{1 - \frac{p}{q(n+2)}} \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(n+2)}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q(n+2)}}.$$

Then, letting

$$(3.6) \quad k = \frac{q(n+2)}{q(n+2)-p} = \frac{n+2}{n+2-p/q} =: \frac{n+2}{n+1} + \delta,$$

we have

$$\left(\int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{I}_1 |f|]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq c \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(n+2)}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} d\tilde{z} \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q(n+2)}} \left(\int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{M}f]^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}}.$$

Since $w \in A_p$, by the boundedness of the maximal operator in $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ in the parabolic setting, see [3, Eq. (2.4)] and [18], and using the fact that $f \equiv 0$ in $(Q_r)^c$,

$$\left(\int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{I}_1 |f|]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq c \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{-\frac{1}{q-1}} dz \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q(n+2)}}.$$

Finally, since $w \in A_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{I}_1 |f|]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} &\leq c \left(\int_{Q_r} |f|^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} |Q_r|^{\frac{q-1}{q(n+2)}} \left(\frac{w(Q_r)}{|Q_r|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{q(n+2)}} \\ &\leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |f|^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} w(Q_r)^{\frac{1}{pk}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.5).

If we consider the parabolic cylinder C_r instead of Q_r , the result directly follows from (3.5) and the fact that

$$(3.7) \quad 1 \leq \frac{w(Q_r)}{w(A)} \leq [w]_p \left(\frac{|Q_r|}{|A|} \right)^p, \quad \text{whenever } A \subset Q_r$$

(see [18, Eq. (9.2.1)]). Indeed, since $C_r \subset Q_r$ and $f \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus C_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(C_r)} \int_{C_r} [\mathcal{I}_1 |f|]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{I}_1 |f|]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \\ &\leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |f|^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(C_r)} \int_{C_r} |f|^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we start the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

We first consider the parabolic cube Q_r . It suffices to prove the theorem for the case that $(u)_{Q_r} = 0$, since $v := u - (u)_{Q_r}$ also satisfies $v_t = \operatorname{div} G$ in the distributional sense.

Let $\tilde{z} \in Q_r$ satisfy that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_\rho(\tilde{z})} |u(z) - u(\tilde{z})| dz = 0.$$

Such a point \tilde{z} is called the parabolic Lebesgue point of u . One can easily see that the set of points that are not the parabolic Lebesgue points has Lebesgue measure zero in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Define $r_j := 2^{1-j}r$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $\tilde{Q}_j := Q_{r_j}(\tilde{z}) \cap Q_r$. Then we see that $\tilde{Q}_0 = Q_r$ and \tilde{Q}_j is a parabolic rectangle $Q_{\mathbf{r}}^1(\xi)$ with $r_j/2 \leq \mathbf{r} \leq r_j$ for some $\xi \in Q_r$, hence $|\tilde{Q}_j| \approx |Q_{r_j}(\tilde{z})| \approx r_j^{n+2}$, where relevant constants depend only on n .

Applying (2.5) in Lemma 2.4 with $C = \tilde{Q}_j$ (i.e., $\alpha = 1$), we have

$$(3.9) \quad |u(\tilde{z})| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |(u)_{\tilde{Q}_j} - (u)_{\tilde{Q}_{j+1}}| \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{Q}_j} |u - (u)_{\tilde{Q}_j}| dz \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{Q}_j} r_j [|Du| + |G|] dz.$$

Then, since $Q_{r_j}(\tilde{z}) = \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} (Q_{r_i}(\tilde{z}) \setminus Q_{r_{i+1}}(\tilde{z}))$, for almost every $\tilde{z} \in Q_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(\tilde{z})| &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \int_{(Q_{r_i}(\tilde{z}) \setminus Q_{r_{i+1}}(\tilde{z})) \cap Q_r} r_j^{-n-1} [|Du| + |G|] dz \\ &= c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i r_j^{-n-1} \right) \int_{(Q_{r_i}(\tilde{z}) \setminus Q_{r_{i+1}}(\tilde{z})) \cap Q_r} [|Du| + |G|] dz \\ &= c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i 2^{-(n+1)(i-j)} \right) \int_{(Q_{r_i}(\tilde{z}) \setminus Q_{r_{i+1}}(\tilde{z})) \cap Q_r} r_i^{-n-1} [|Du| + |G|] dz \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{(Q_{r_i}(\tilde{z}) \setminus Q_{r_{i+1}}(\tilde{z})) \cap Q_r} r_i^{-n-1} [|Du| + |G|] dz \\ &\leq c \int_{Q_r} \frac{|Du(z)| + |G(z)|}{d_p(z, \tilde{z})^{n+1}} dz = c \mathcal{I}_1(|Du| + |G|) \chi_{Q_r}(\tilde{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, applying Lemma 3.4 with $f = (|Du| + |G|) \chi_{Q_r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |u|^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [\mathcal{I}_1(|Du| + |G|) \chi_{Q_r}]^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \\ &\leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|Du| + |G|]^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We next consider the parabolic cylinder C_r . Let $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in C_r$ satisfy that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{C_\rho(\tilde{z})} |u(z) - u(\tilde{z})| dz = 0.$$

Note that this equality is equivalent to (3.8). Define $r_j := 2^{1-j}r$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_{r_{j_0+1}}(\tilde{z}) \subset C_r \not\subset C_{r_{j_0}}(\tilde{z})$. Put $\tilde{C}_0 = C_r$ and $\tilde{C}_j = C_{r_j}(\tilde{z})$ for $j > j_0$. On the other hand, for $j = 1, \dots, j_0$, we can find $\rho_j, \alpha_j > 0$ and $z_j = (y_j, \tau_j) \in C_{r_j}(\tilde{z}) \cap C_r$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}r_j \leq \rho_j \leq r_j, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha_j \leq 4, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}_j := C_{\rho_j}^{\alpha_j}(z_j) \subset C_{r_j}(\tilde{z}) \cap C_r.$$

Indeed, we can choose

$$\rho_j := \begin{cases} \frac{r_j + r - |\tilde{x}|}{2} & \text{if } r_j > r - |\tilde{x}|, \\ r_j & \text{if } r_j \leq r - |\tilde{x}|, \end{cases} \quad \alpha_j := \begin{cases} \frac{r_j^2 + r^2 - |\tilde{t}|}{2\rho_j^2} & \text{if } r_j^2 > r^2 - |\tilde{t}|, \\ \frac{r_j}{\rho_j^2} & \text{if } r_j^2 \leq r^2 - |\tilde{t}|, \end{cases}$$

$$y_j := \begin{cases} (r - \rho_j) \frac{\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|} & \text{if } r_j > r - |\tilde{x}|, \\ \tilde{x} & \text{if } r_j \leq r - |\tilde{x}|, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_j := \begin{cases} (r^2 - \alpha_j \rho_j^2) \frac{\tilde{t}}{|\tilde{t}|} & \text{if } r_j^2 > r^2 - |\tilde{t}|, \\ \tilde{t} & \text{if } r_j^2 \leq r^2 - |\tilde{t}|, \end{cases}$$

so that $B_{\rho_j}(y_j)$ is the largest ball in $B_{r_j}(\tilde{x}) \cap B_r$ and $(\tau_j - \alpha_j \rho_j^2, \tau_j + \alpha_j \rho_j^2) = (\tilde{t} - r_j^2, \tilde{t} + r_j^2) \cap (-r^2, r^2)$. Note that for every $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\tilde{C}_{j+1} \subset \tilde{C}_j \subset \tilde{C}_0 = C_r$ and $|\tilde{C}_j| \approx r_j^{n+2}$

with relevant constant depending only on n and independent of j . Therefore, applying (2.5) in Lemma 2.4 with $C = \tilde{C}_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(\tilde{z})| &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{C}_j} |u - (u)_{\tilde{C}_j}| dz \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tilde{C}_j} r_j [|Du| + |G|] dz \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{C_{r_j}(\tilde{z}) \cap C_r} r_j [|Du| + |G|] dz, \end{aligned}$$

which is the counterpart of (3.9). The rest part of the proof is exactly same as the one for the parabolic cube Q_r . \square

The following higher integrability of $|u|^p w$ follows from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. If $u \in L^1((-r^2, r^2), W_1^1(K_r))$ with $Du \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a distributional solution to $u_t = \operatorname{div} G$ in Q_r , where $G \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then $|u|^p w \in L^\gamma(Q_r)$ for some $\gamma \in (1, k)$ depending only on n, p and $[w]_p$, where $k > 1$ is given in (3.2) (see (3.12) below), and we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q_r|} \int_{Q_r} [|u - (u)_{Q_r}|^p w]^\gamma dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p\gamma}} \leq c r \left(\frac{1}{|Q_r|} \int_{Q_r} [|Du|^p + |G|^p] w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for some $c = c(n, p, [w]_p) > 0$.

Moreover, the corollary holds true if we replace the parabolic cube Q_r by the parabolic cylinder C_r .

Proof. We recall the following reverse Hölder type inequality for an A_p -weight:

$$(3.11) \quad \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{1+\varepsilon_0} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_0}} \leq c \int_{Q_r} w dz,$$

where $\varepsilon_0, c > 0$ depend only on n, p and $[w]_p$ (see [18]). Then choose $\gamma \in (1, k)$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad 1 < \frac{(k-1)\gamma}{k-\gamma} < 1 + \varepsilon_0.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|u - (u)_{Q_r}|^p w]^\gamma dz &= \frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |u - (u)_{Q_r}|^{p\gamma} w^{\frac{\gamma}{k}} w^{\gamma - \frac{\gamma}{k}} dz \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |u - (u)_{Q_r}|^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{k}} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} w^{\frac{(k-1)\gamma}{k-\gamma}} dz \right)^{\frac{k-\gamma}{k}}, \end{aligned}$$

and moreover, using Hölder's inequality with (3.12) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} w^{\frac{(k-1)\gamma}{k-\gamma}} dz \right)^{\frac{k-\gamma}{k}} &= \left(\frac{|Q_r|}{w(Q_r)} \right)^{\frac{k-\gamma}{k}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w^{\frac{(k-1)\gamma}{k-\gamma}} dz \right)^{\frac{k-\gamma}{k}} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{|Q_r|}{w(Q_r)} \right)^{\frac{k-\gamma}{k}} \left(\int_{Q_r} w dz \right)^{\frac{(k-1)\gamma}{k}} = c \left(\frac{w(Q_r)}{|Q_r|} \right)^{\gamma-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it directly follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|u - (u)_{Q_r}|^p w]^\gamma dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p\gamma}} \\ & \leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|Du|^p + |G|^p] w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{w(Q_r)}{|Q_r|} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired estimates. \square

We next consider distributional solutions to (1.2) in the upper half parabolic cube Q_r^+ , or cylinder C_r^+ , in (2.1) with zero boundary condition on T_r in (2.2).

Theorem 3.13. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. If $u \in L^1((-r^2, r^2), W_1^1(K_r^+))$ with $Du \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a distributional solution of $u_t = \operatorname{div} G$ in Q_r^+ with $u = 0$ on T_r , where $G \in L_w^p(Q_r^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then $u \in L_w^{pk}(Q_r^+)$ with $k > 1$ given in (3.2), and we have*

$$(3.14) \quad \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} |u|^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} [|Du| + |G|]^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for some $c = c(n, p, [w]_p) > 0$.

Moreover, the theorem holds true if we replace the parabolic cube Q_r by the parabolic cylinder C_r .

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 with an extension argument. For a function v on Q_r^+ we define \tilde{v} and \bar{v} on Q_r by the odd extension and the even extension of v , respectively, i.e.,

$$\tilde{v}(z) = v(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, t) & \text{if } x_n > 0, \\ -v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n, t) & \text{if } x_n < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bar{v}(z) = v(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = \begin{cases} v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, t) & \text{if } x_n > 0, \\ v(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n, t) & \text{if } x_n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ and define $G^* := (\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_{n-1}, \bar{g}_n)$. Then we can see that \tilde{u} (the even extension of u) is in $L^1((-r^2, r^2), W_1^1(K_r))$ and satisfies

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{u}_t = \operatorname{div} G^* \quad \text{in } Q_r, \quad \text{in the distribution sense.}$$

This can be proved by using a cut-off function, see for instance [24, Theorem 3.4]. Indeed, for any small $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, let $U_\varepsilon = \{(x', x_n, t) : 0 \leq x_n \leq \varepsilon\}$ and $\eta_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \eta_\varepsilon \leq 1$, $\eta_\varepsilon(\tau) = 1$ if $|\tau| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, $\eta_\varepsilon(\tau) = 0$ if $|\tau| \geq \varepsilon$, $\eta_\varepsilon(-\tau) = \eta_\varepsilon(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$|\eta'_\varepsilon| \leq 4/\varepsilon$. Then we have that for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q_r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_r} \tilde{u} \varphi_t dz &= \int_{Q_r^+} \tilde{u} (\varphi(x', x_n, t) - \varphi(x', -x_n, t))_t dz \\
&= \int_{Q_r^+} u [(1 - \eta_\varepsilon(x_n)) (\varphi(x', x_n, t) - \varphi(x', -x_n, t))]_t dz \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_{Q_r^+ \cap U_\varepsilon} u [\eta_\varepsilon(x_n) (\varphi(x', x_n, t) - \varphi(x', -x_n, t))]_t dz}_{=: I_1} \\
&= \int_{Q_r^+} G \cdot D[(1 - \eta_\varepsilon(x_n)) (\varphi(x', x_n, t) - \varphi(x', -x_n, t))] dz + I_1 \\
&= \int_{Q_r^+} G \cdot D(\varphi(x', x_n, t) - \varphi(x', -x_n, t)) dz \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_{Q_r^+ \cap U_\varepsilon} G \cdot D[\eta_\varepsilon(x_n) (\varphi(x', x_n, t) - \varphi(x', -x_n, t))] dz}_{=: I_2} + I_1 \\
&= \int_{Q_r} G^* \cdot D\varphi dz + I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.15) since

$$|I_1| \leq c \|\varphi_t\|_\infty \int_{Q_r^+ \cap U_\varepsilon} |u| dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

$$|I_2| \leq c \|D\varphi\|_\infty \int_{Q_r^+ \cap U_\varepsilon} |G| dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, from Theorem 3.1 with the facts that $(\tilde{u})_{Q_r} = 0$ and $1 \leq \frac{w(Q_r)}{w(Q_r^+)} \leq [w]_p 2^p$ by (3.7), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} |u|^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |\tilde{u}|^{pk} w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \\
&\leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|D\tilde{u}| + |G^*|]^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} [|Du| + |G|]^p w dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.16. In stead of the zero boundary condition on the flat, we can consider a zero initial condition. More precisely, under the same setting as in the above theorem, if $u \in L^1((-r^2, r^2), W_1^1(K_r))$ with $Du \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a distributional solution of $u_t = \operatorname{div} G$ in Q_r , where $G \in L_w^p(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies that

$$\lim_{t \searrow -r^2} \int_{-r^2}^t \left(\int_{K_r} |u(x, s)| dx \right) ds = 0,$$

then we have the estimate (3.14), replacing Q_r^+ with Q_r . Its proof is almost the same as the one of the above theorem.

We end this section with an application of the above results to typical linear parabolic equations in divergence form.

Remark 3.17. We consider the following linear parabolic equation

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, t)Du) = \operatorname{div}F & \text{in } C_r, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial_p(C_r), \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_p(C_r) = (\partial B_r \times (-r^2, r^2)) \cup (B_r \times \{t = -r^2\})$ as the parabolic boundary of C_r . Then in view of [25], one can see that, under suitable assumptions on \mathbf{A} , for instance that \mathbf{A} is bounded, satisfies the uniform ellipticity and is of VMO (vanishing mean oscillation), if $F \in L_w^p(C_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$, there exists $u \in L^q((-r^2, r^2); W_q^1(B_r))$ for some $q > 1$ such that u is a distributional solution of (3.18) and

$$\int_{C_r} |Du|^p w \, dz \leq c \int_{C_r} |F|^p w \, dz.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.13, we obtain that $u \in L_w^{pk}(C_r)$ and

$$\left(\frac{1}{w(C_r)} \int_{C_r} |u - (u)_{C_r}|^{pk} w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(C_r)} \int_{C_r} |F|^p w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

4. Weighted Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities for the spatial gradient

We consider functions in $W_1^{2,1}$ -space and obtain weighted Sobolev-Poincaré type inequalities for Du with a weight $w \in A_p$ and $1 < p < \infty$ as consequences of the results in the previous section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. If $u \in W_1^{2,1}(Q_r)$ and $u_t, |D^2u| \in L_w^p(Q_r)$, then $Du \in L_w^{pk}(Q_r, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $k > 1$ given in (3.2), and we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |Du - (Du)_{Q_r}|^{pk} w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|D^2u|^p + |u_t|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for some $c = c(n, p, [w]_p) > 0$.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, set $v_i := u_{x_i}$. Then we have $(v_i)_t = (u_t)_{x_i} = \operatorname{div} G^i$ a.e. in Q_r , where $G^i = (g_1^i, \dots, g_n^i)$ with $g_i^i = u_t$ and $g_j^i = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, we have for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} |u_{x_i} - (u_{x_i})_{Q_r}|^{pk} w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} &\leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|D(u_{x_i})|^p + |G^i|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r)} \int_{Q_r} [|D^2u|^p + |u_t|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. If $u \in W_1^{2,1}(Q_r^+)$ with $u_t, |D^2u| \in L_w^p(Q_r^+)$ and $u = 0$ on T_r , then $Du \in L_w^{pk}(Q_r^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $k > 1$ given in (3.2), and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |u_{x_i}| + |u_{x_n} - (u_{x_n})_{Q_r^+}| \right]^{pk} w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \\ \leq c r \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} [|u_t|^p + |D^2u|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $c = c(n, p, [w]_p) > 0$.

Proof. Since $u_{x_i} = 0$ on T_r for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, in view of the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.13 we have that for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r} |u_{x_i}|^{pk} w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} \leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} [|D^2 u|^p + |u_t|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

On the other hand, for u_{x_n} , we directly apply Theorem 3.1. Note that clearly Theorem 3.1 still holds if we replace Q_r by Q_r^+ . Since $(v_i)_t = (u_t)_{x_i} = \operatorname{div} G$ a.e. in Q_r^+ , where $G^i = (0, \dots, 0, u_t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} |u_{x_n} - (u_{x_n})_{Q_r^+}|^{pk} w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{pk}} &\leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} [|D(u_{x_n})|^p + |G|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq cr \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_r^+)} \int_{Q_r^+} [|D^2 u|^p + |u_t|^p] w \, dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.3. Theorems 3.13, 4.1 and 4.2 still hold if we replace the parabolic cube Q_r by the parabolic cylinder C_r . Moreover, we also have the counterparts of Corollary 3.10 for those theorems.

5. Acknowledgment

We thank the referee for helpful comments. L. Diening was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – SFB 1283/2 2021 – 317210226. M. Lee was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1F1A1061295). J. Ok was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2017R1C1B2010328)

REFERENCES

1. B. Bojarski, *Remarks on Sobolev imbedding inequalities. Complex analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1351, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
2. S. Byun and M. Lee, *Weighted estimates for nondivergence parabolic equations in Orlicz spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), no. 8, 2530–2563.
3. S. Byun, D.K. Palagachev and L.G. Softova, *Global gradient estimates in weighted Lebesgue spaces for parabolic operators*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 41 (2016), no. 1, 67–83.
4. S. Byun, M. Lee and J. Ok, *Nondivergence parabolic equations in weighted variable exponent spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370 (2018), no. 4, 2263–2298.
5. S. Chanillo and R.L. Wheeden, *Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities and estimates for weighted Peano maximal functions*, Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985), no. 5, 1191–1226.
6. F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, *A note on a weighted Sobolev inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), no. 4, 703–704.
7. F. Chiarenza and R.P. Serapioni, *A Harnack inequality for degenerate parabolic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 9 (1984), no. 8, 719–749.
8. F. Chiarenza and R.P. Serapioni, *Degenerate parabolic equations and Harnack inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 137 (1984), 139–162.
9. F. Chiarenza and R. P. Serapioni, *Pointwise estimates for degenerate parabolic equations*, Appl. Anal. 23 (1987), no. 4, 287–299.
10. S. K. Chua, *Weighted Sobolev’s inequalities on domains satisfying the chain condition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 449–457.
11. S. K. Chua, *Weighted inequalities on John domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 258 (2001), 763–776.
12. L. Diening, S. Schwarzacher, B. Stroffolini and A. Verde, *Parabolic Lipschitz truncation and caloric approximation*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 56 (2017), no. 4, Art. 120, 27 pp.
13. H. Dong and D. Kim, *On L_p -estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations with A_p weights*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370 (2018), no. 7, 5081–5130.

14. I. Drelichman and R.G. Durán, *Improved Poincaré inequalities with weights*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 347 (2008), no. 1, 286–293.
15. F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, *Gradient estimates via non-linear potentials*, Amer. J. Math. 133 (2011), no. 4, 1093–1149.
16. E. Fabes, C.E. Kenig and R.P. Serapioni, *The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 7 (1982), no. 1, 77–116.
17. B. Franchi, C. Pérez and R. Wheeden, *Self-improving properties of John-Nirenberg and Poincaré inequalities on spaces of homogeneous type*, J. Funct. Anal. 153 (1998), no. 1, 108–146.
18. L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier analysis. Second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250. Springer, New York, 2009.
19. C. E. Gutiérrez and R. Wheeden, *Harnack’s inequality for degenerate parabolic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), no. 4-5, 745–770.
20. P. Hajlasz and P. Koskela, *Sobolev met Poincaré*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2000), no. 688.
21. D. Kim and N. V. Krylov, *Parabolic equations with measurable coefficients*, Potential Anal. 26 (2007), no. 4, 345–361.
22. T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, *Riesz potentials and nonlinear parabolic equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 212 (2014), no. 3, 727–780.
23. G.M. Lieberman, *A mostly elementary proof of Morrey space estimates for elliptic and parabolic equations with VMO coefficients*, J. Funct. Anal. 201 (2003), no. 2, 457–479.
24. O. Martio, *Reflection principle for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations and quasiregular mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 6 (1981), no. 1, 179–187.
25. Q. H. Nguyen *Gradient weighted norm inequalities for very weak solutions of linear parabolic equations with BMO coefficient*, arXiv:1705.07438.
26. E. Sawyer and R.L. Wheeden, *Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on Euclidean and homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 114 (1992), no. 4, 813–874.
27. J. Strömberg and R.L. Wheeden, *Fractional integrals on weighted H^p and L^p spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 287 (1985), no. 1, 293–321.
28. M. Surnachev, *A Harnack inequality for weighted degenerate parabolic equations*, J. Differential Equations 248 (2010), no. 8, 2092–2129.

LARS DIENING, UNIVERSITY BIELEFELD, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 25, 33615 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
Email address: lars.diening@uni-bielefeld.de

MIKYOUNG LEE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: mikyounglee@pusan.ac.kr

JIHOON OK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04107, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: jihoonok@sogang.ac.kr