

# Weakly distance-regular digraphs of one type of arcs

Yushuang Fan    Zhiqi Wang    Yuefeng Yang\*

*School of Science, China University of Geosciences, Beijing, 100083, China*

## Abstract

In this paper, we classify all commutative weakly distance-regular digraphs of girth  $g$  and one type of arcs under the assumption that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} \geq k_{1,g-1} - 2$ . In consequence, we recover [13, Theorem 1.1] as a special case of our result.

*AMS classification:* 05E30

*Key words:* Association scheme; Cayley digraph; weakly distance-regular digraph.

## 1 Introduction

All the digraphs considered in this paper are finite, simple, strongly connected and not undirected. Let  $\Gamma$  be a digraph and  $V\Gamma$  be its vertex set. For any  $x, y \in V\Gamma$ , let  $\partial(x, y)$  denote the *distance* from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Gamma$ . The pair  $\tilde{\partial}(x, y) = (\partial(x, y), \partial(y, x))$  is called the *two-way distance* from  $x$  to  $y$ . Let  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$  be the set of all pairs  $\tilde{\partial}(x, y)$ . An arc  $(u, v)$  of  $\Gamma$  is of *type*  $(1, q - 1)$  if  $\partial(v, u) = q - 1$ .

As a natural generalization of distance-regular graphs (see [3, 4] for the theory of distance-regular graphs), Wang and Suzuki [10] introduced the concept of weakly distance-regular digraphs. A digraph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *weakly distance-regular* if, for any  $\tilde{h}, \tilde{i}, \tilde{j} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$ , the number of  $z \in V\Gamma$  such that  $\tilde{\partial}(x, z) = \tilde{i}$  and  $\tilde{\partial}(z, y) = \tilde{j}$  is constant whenever  $\tilde{\partial}(x, y) = \tilde{h}$ . This constant is denoted by  $p_{\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}}^{\tilde{h}}$ . The integers  $p_{\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}}^{\tilde{h}}$  are called the *intersection numbers*. The size of  $\Gamma_{\tilde{i}}(x) := \{y \in V\Gamma \mid \tilde{\partial}(x, y) = \tilde{i}\}$  depends only on  $\tilde{i}$ , denoted by  $k_{\tilde{i}}$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is *commutative* if  $p_{\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}}^{\tilde{h}} = p_{\tilde{j}, \tilde{i}}^{\tilde{h}}$  for all  $\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}, \tilde{h} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$ .

Weakly distance-transitive digraphs is a special class of weakly distance-regular digraphs. A digraph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *weakly distance-transitive* if, for any vertices  $x, y, x'$  and  $y'$  satisfying  $\tilde{\partial}(x, y) = \tilde{\partial}(x', y')$ , there exists an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $x' = \sigma(x)$  and  $y' = \sigma(y)$ .

---

\*Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* fys@cugb.edu.cn (Yushuang Fan), Shero577@163.com (Zhiqi Wang), yangyf@cugb.edu.cn (Yuefeng Yang).

Beginning with [10], some special families of weakly distance-regular digraphs were classified. See [9, 10] for valency 2, [11–13] for valency 3, [9] for thin case, [14] for quasi-thin case, and [15] for thick case. Especially, in [13], the third author, Lv and Wang determined all commutative weakly distance-regular digraphs of valency 3 and one type of arcs. In this paper, we continue to study weakly distance-regular digraphs of one type of arcs, and obtain the following result which improves [13, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a commutative weakly distance-regular digraph of valency  $k$  more than 3 and girth  $g$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  has one type of arcs, that is,  $k = k_{1,g-1}$ . If  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} \geq k - 2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to one of the following digraphs:*

- (i)  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{11}, \{1, 3, 4, 5, 9\})$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{14}, \{1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 11\})$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{26}, \{1, 3, 9, 14, 16, 22\})$ ;
- (iv)  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}, \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, k)\})$ ;
- (v)  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_k, \{(1, 0), (1, 1), \dots, (1, k-1)\})$ .

In order to give a high-level description of our proof of Theorem 1.1, we need additional notations and terminologies. Let  $\Gamma$  be a weakly distance-regular digraph and  $R = \{\Gamma_{\tilde{i}} \mid \tilde{i} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)\}$ , where  $\Gamma_{\tilde{i}} = \{(x, y) \in V\Gamma \times V\Gamma \mid \tilde{\partial}(x, y) = \tilde{i}\}$ . Then  $(V\Gamma, R)$  is an association scheme (see [2, 16, 17] for the theory of association schemes), which is called the *attached scheme* of  $\Gamma$ . For each  $(i, j) \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$ , let  $A_{i,j}$  denote a matrix with rows and columns indexed by  $V\Gamma$  such that  $(A_{i,j})_{x,y} = 1$  if  $\tilde{\partial}(x, y) = (i, j)$ , and  $(A_{i,j})_{x,y} = 0$  otherwise. It follows from the definition of association schemes that

$$A_{i,i'}A_{j,j'} = \sum_{(h,h') \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)} p_{(i,i'),(j,j')}^{(h,h')} A_{h,h'}.$$

For two nonempty subsets  $E$  and  $F$  of  $R$ , define

$$EF = \{\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \mid \sum_{\Gamma_{\tilde{i}} \in E} \sum_{\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in F} p_{\tilde{i},\tilde{j}}^{\tilde{h}} \neq 0\},$$

and write  $\Gamma_{\tilde{i}}\Gamma_{\tilde{j}}$  instead of  $\{\Gamma_{\tilde{i}}\}\{\Gamma_{\tilde{j}}\}$ . For any  $(a, b) \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$ , we usually write  $\Gamma_{a,b}$  (resp.  $k_{a,b}$ ) instead of  $\Gamma_{(a,b)}$  (resp.  $k_{(a,b)}$ ).

**Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1.** By [5, Theorem 2.3], if  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in (v). We only need to consider the case that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k - 1$  or  $k - 2$ .

In Section 2, we give some basic results concerning commutative weakly distance-regular digraphs which will be used frequently in this paper.

In Section 3, we prove our main result under the assumption that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k-1$ . We begin with determining the decompositions of  $A_{1,g-1}^2$  and  $A_{1,g-1}A_{g-1,1}$ , respectively. Based on these decompositions, we construct  $\Gamma$ .

In Section 4, we prove our main result under the assumption that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k-2$ . We divide our proof into two cases according to whether the set  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$  contains  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}$ . For each case, we compute the number of vertices of  $\Gamma$ , and determine these digraphs according to [6] and [7].

In Section 5, we give a proof of Lemma 4.1.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we always assume that  $\Gamma$  is a commutative weakly distance-regular digraph. Now we list some basic properties of intersection numbers.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([1, Proposition 5.1] and [2, Chapter II, Proposition 2.2]) *For each  $\tilde{i} := (a, b) \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$ , define  $\tilde{i}^* = (b, a)$ . The following hold:*

- (i)  $k_{\tilde{d}}k_{\tilde{e}} = \sum_{\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)} p_{\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}} k_{\tilde{f}}$ ;
- (ii)  $p_{\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}} k_{\tilde{f}} = p_{\tilde{f},\tilde{e}^*}^{\tilde{d}} k_{\tilde{d}} = p_{\tilde{d}^*,\tilde{f}}^{\tilde{e}} k_{\tilde{e}}$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{\tilde{e} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)} p_{\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}} = k_{\tilde{d}}$ ;
- (iv)  $\sum_{\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)} p_{\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}} p_{\tilde{g},\tilde{f}}^{\tilde{h}} = \sum_{\tilde{i} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)} p_{\tilde{g},\tilde{d}}^{\tilde{i}} p_{\tilde{i},\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{h}}$ ;
- (v)  $\text{lcm}(k_{\tilde{d}}, k_{\tilde{e}}) \mid p_{\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^{\tilde{f}} k_{\tilde{f}}$ ;
- (vi)  $|\Gamma_{\tilde{d}}\Gamma_{\tilde{e}}| \leq \text{gcd}(k_{\tilde{d}}, k_{\tilde{e}})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $(1, q-1) \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$  with  $q > 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Gamma_{1,q-1} \in \Gamma_{1,q-1}^2$ ;
- (ii)  $p_{(1,q-1),(1,q-1)}^{(1,q-1)} \neq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\{\Gamma_{1,q-1}, \Gamma_{q-1,1}\} \subseteq \Gamma_{1,q-1}\Gamma_{q-1,1}$ .

*Proof.* By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{f} = (1, q-1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (ii), we get  $p_{(1,q-1),(1,q-1)}^{(1,q-1)} = p_{(1,q-1),(q-1,1)}^{(q-1,1)}$ . The desired result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that each arc of  $\Gamma$  is of type  $(1, g-1)$ . If  $a < i$  for each  $\Gamma_{a,b} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^i$ , then  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma) = \{(s, t) \mid \Gamma_{s,t} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^j \text{ for some } j < i\}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\Gamma_{a,b} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^i$ , since  $a < i$ , we have  $\Gamma_{a,b} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^a$ , which implies  $\Gamma_{a,b}\Gamma_{1,g-1} \subseteq \Gamma_{1,g-1}^{a+1}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}^{i+1} \subseteq \cup_{j < i} \Gamma_{1,g-1}^j$ . By induction, we get  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}^{i+l} \subseteq \cup_{j < i} \Gamma_{1,g-1}^j$  for  $l > 0$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is strongly connected, the desired result follows.  $\square$

Let  $P_{\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}}(x, y) = \Gamma_{\tilde{i}}(x) \cap \Gamma_{\tilde{j}^*}(y)$  for all  $\tilde{i}, \tilde{j} \in \tilde{\partial}(\Gamma)$  and  $x, y \in VT$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that each arc in  $\Gamma$  is of type  $(1, g - 1)$ . If  $p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k_{1,g-1} - a > a$  for  $a \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $g = 3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_{0,0}, x_{1,0}, \dots, x_{g-1,0})$  be a circuit, where the first subscription of  $x$  are read modulo  $g$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$P_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}(x_{i-1,0}, x_{i+1,0}) = \{x_{i,j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq l-1\} \quad (1)$$

for all  $i$ , where  $l = p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)}$ .

Assume the contrary, namely,  $g > 3$ . Since  $(x_{i,j}, x_{i+1,0}, x_{i+2,0}, \dots, x_{i-1,0})$  is a circuit for  $0 \leq j \leq l-1$ , we have  $(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i,j}) \in \Gamma_{2,g-2}$ . By (1), one gets  $P_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}(x_{i-1,0}, x_{i+1,0}) \subseteq P_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i-1,0})$  and  $p_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} \geq l$ .

**Case 1.**  $p_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} > l$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain  $p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} k_{2,g-2} = p_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} k_{1,g-1}$ . Since  $p_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} > p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k_{1,g-1} - a$  for some  $a \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have  $k_{2,g-2} = k_{1,g-1}(k_{1,g-1} - 1)/(k_{1,g-1} - 2)$  or  $k_{2,g-2} = k_{1,g-1}^2/(k_{1,g-1} - a)$ . Since  $\gcd(k_{1,g-1}, k_{1,g-1} - 1) = \gcd(k_{1,g-1} - 1, k_{1,g-1} - 2) = 1$ , one gets  $a = 2$  and  $k_{1,g-1} - 2 \mid k_{1,g-1}^2$ . In view of  $k_{1,g-1} > 4$ , we obtain  $k_{1,g-1} = 6$ , which implies  $k_{2,g-2} = 9$ ,  $p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 4$  and  $p_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 6$ . By the commutativity of  $\Gamma$  and setting  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{d}^* = (1, g - 1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iii), one has  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,g-2}\}$ .

Pick distinct vertices  $x, y, y'$  such that  $x \in P_{(g-1,1), (1,g-1)}(y, y')$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,g-2}\}$  with  $g > 3$ , from Lemma 2.2, we have  $\partial(y, y') > 1$ . Let  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}(y) = \{z_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 5\}$  and  $(y, z_0, w)$  be a path such that  $\partial(y, y') = \partial(w, y') + 2$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 4$ , we may assume  $P_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}(y, w) = \{z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ . If  $(y', z_i) \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ , from  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,g-2}\}$ , then  $\Gamma_{2,g-2}(x) \subseteq \Gamma_{1,g-1}(y') \cup \{z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ , contrary to the fact that  $k_{2,g-2} = 9$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $(y', z_0) \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ . It follows that  $(y', w) \in \Gamma_{2,g-2}$ , and so  $\partial(y, y') = g$ . Similarly,  $\partial(y', y) = g$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{g-1,1}\Gamma_{1,g-1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{g,g}\}$ .

By setting  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{d} = (1, g - 1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iii), one has  $p_{(1,g-1), (g,g)}^{(1,g-1)} = 5$ . Lemma 2.1 (iv) implies  $p_{(1,g-1), (1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} p_{(g-1,1), (2,g-2)}^{(1,g-1)} = 6 + p_{(g-1,1), (1,g-1)}^{(g,g)} p_{(g,g), (1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)}$ . It follows from the commutativity of  $\Gamma$  that  $p_{(g-1,1), (1,g-1)}^{(g,g)} = 18/5$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $p_{(2,g-2), (g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = l$ .

Since  $P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{i-1,0}, x_{i+1,0}) \subseteq P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i-1,0})$  for all  $i$ , from (1), we have

$$P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i-1,0}) = \{x_{i,j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq l-1\}. \quad (2)$$

For  $y_i \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{i-1,0}, x_{i+1,j})$ , since  $(y_i, x_{i+1,j}, x_{i+2,0}, x_{i+3,0}, \dots, x_{i-1,0})$  is a circuit with distinct vertices  $y_i, x_{i+3,0}$ , we get  $y_i \in P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i-1,0})$ , and so  $P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{i-1,0}, x_{i+1,j}) \subseteq P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i-1,0})$  for  $0 \leq j \leq l-1$ . The fact  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = l$  implies that  $P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{i-1,0}, x_{i+1,j}) = P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{i-2,0}, x_{i-1,0})$ . By (2), one has  $(x_{i,j'}, x_{i+1,j}) \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ , and so

$$P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,j}) = \{x_{1,j''} \mid 0 \leq j'' \leq l-1\}, \quad (3)$$

$$P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{0,j}, x_{1,j'}) = \{x_{2,j''} \mid 0 \leq j'' \leq l-1\} \quad (4)$$

for  $0 \leq j, j' \leq l-1$ .

Let  $(x_{0,0}, x_{1,l}, \dots, x_{g-1,l})$  be a circuit with  $x_{1,l} \notin P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,0})$ . By (3), one has  $x_{2,l} \notin \{x_{2,j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq l-1\}$ . For each  $y \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,l})$ , since  $(x_{0,0}, y, x_{2,l}, x_{3,l}, \dots, x_{g-1,l})$  is a circuit, we get  $x_{2,l} \in P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{0,0}, y)$ . In view of (4), one obtains  $P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,l}) \cap P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,0}) = \emptyset$ . Repeating this process, we have  $l \mid k_{1,g-1}$ , which implies  $a = 2$  and  $k_{1,g-1} = 4$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The commutativity of  $\Gamma$  will be used frequently in the sequel, so we no longer refer to it for the sake of simplicity.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $2p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)} > k_{1,2}$ , then  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{h}} \geq 2p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)} - k_{1,2}$  for each  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{h}}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(0,0)} = k_{1,2}$ , we may assume  $\tilde{h} \neq (0,0)$  and  $x \neq z$ . Pick a vertex  $y \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(x, z)$ . Suppose  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, y) = \{w_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  with  $l = p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)}$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)} k_{1,2} = p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} k_{1,2}$ , which implies that  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = l$ . Note that  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, y) \cap P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(y, z) = P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, y) \setminus (\bigcup_{\tilde{i} \neq (2,1)} P_{(2,1),\tilde{i}}(y, z))$ . Since  $\sum_{\tilde{i} \neq (2,1)} p_{(2,1),\tilde{i}}^{(1,2)} = k_{1,2} - l$  from Lemma 2.1 (iii), we obtain  $|P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, y) \cap P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(y, z)| \geq l - (k_{1,2} - l) = 2l - k_{1,2}$ . By  $P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, z) \supseteq P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, y) \cap P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(y, z)$ , we get  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{h}} \geq 2l - k_{1,2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \subseteq \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{2,4}\}$ . Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If  $\Gamma_{2,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2$  and  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ , then  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, x) \subseteq P_{(2,2),(2,1)}(y, z)$  for all  $y \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, z)$ .*

(ii) If  $\Gamma_{2,j} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2$  and  $(x', z') \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  with  $j \in \{2, 3\}$ , then  $P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x', z') \subseteq P_{(2,2),(2,1)}(y', z') \cup P_{(2,3),(2,1)}(y', z')$  for all  $y' \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x', z')$ ;

*Proof.* (i) For each  $w \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, x)$ , since  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , we have  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ , and so  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, x) \subseteq \Gamma_{2,2}(y)$  with  $y \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, z)$ .

(ii) For each  $w' \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x', z')$ , since  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , one gets  $(y', w') \in \Gamma_{2,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,3}$ , and so  $P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x', z') \subseteq \Gamma_{2,2}(y') \cup \Gamma_{2,3}(y')$  with  $y' \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x', z')$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *The digraph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}, \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, k)\})$  is weakly distance-regular for  $k \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}, \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, k)\})$  is weakly distance-transitive. For any vertex  $(a, b)$  distinct with  $(0, 0)$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}((0, 0), (a, b)) = \begin{cases} (1, 2), & \text{if } a = 1, b \neq 0; \\ (2, 1), & \text{if } a = 2, b \neq 0; \\ (3, 3), & \text{if } a = 0, b \neq 0; \\ (2, 4), & \text{if } a = 2, b = 0; \\ (4, 2), & \text{if } a = 1, b = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Let  $(a, b)$  and  $(x, y)$  be two vertices satisfying  $\tilde{d}((0, 0), (a, b)) = \tilde{d}((0, 0), (x, y))$ . It suffices to verify that there exists an automorphism  $\sigma$  of the digraph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}, \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, k)\})$  such that  $\sigma(0, 0) = (0, 0)$  and  $\sigma(a, b) = (x, y)$ . If  $(a, b) = (x, y)$ , then the identity permutation is a desired automorphism. Suppose  $(a, b) \neq (x, y)$ . By (5), one has  $b \neq 0, y \neq 0$  and  $a = x$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the permutation on the vertex set of the digraph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}, \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, k)\})$  such that

$$\sigma(u, v) = \begin{cases} (u, v), & \text{if } v \notin \{b, y\}; \\ (u, y), & \text{if } v = b; \\ (u, b), & \text{if } v = y. \end{cases}$$

Routinely,  $\sigma$  is a desired automorphism.  $\square$

$$\mathbf{3} \quad p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k - 1$$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 under the assumption that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k - 1$ . Since  $k > 3$ , from Lemma 2.4, we have  $g = 3$ . Now we prove it step by step.

**Step 1.**  $A_{1,2}^2 = (k - 1)A_{2,1} + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} A_{2,j}$  with  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k - 1$  and  $p_{(2,j),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ .

In view of Lemma 2.1 (i) and (v), one gets  $A_{1,2}^2 = (k-1)A_{2,1} + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(i,j)}A_{i,j}$  with  $(i,j) \neq (2,1)$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{f}^* = (1,2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k-1$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1,2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(i,j)}k_{i,j} = k$ . Setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1,2)$  and  $\tilde{f} = (i,j)$  in Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain  $p_{(i,j),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ .

It suffices to show that  $i = 2$ . Suppose not. Then  $(i,j) = (1,2)$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Lemma 2.5, we have  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,1)} \geq k-2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1,2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $k^2 \geq k + 2p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)}k$ , which implies  $k \leq 3$ , contrary to the fact that  $k > 3$ .

**Step 2.**  $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} = kI + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}A_{3,3}$  with  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = k-1$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (i) and (iii), it suffices to show that  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . Suppose not. By Step 1, we obtain  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$ . Since  $k > 3$ , from Lemma 2.2, we have  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,j}, \Gamma_{j,2}\}$  or  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,j}, \Gamma_{j,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  with  $j \in \{2,3\}$ . By Lemma 2.5, we get  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,j)} \geq k-2$ . Lemma 2.6 (ii) implies  $p_{(2,j),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} \geq p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,j)} \geq 2$ , contrary to Step 1.

**Step 3.**  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} > 1$ .

Assume the contrary, namely,  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ . By Step 1 and Lemma 2.1 (i), one has  $k_{2,j} = k$ . In view of Steps 1, 2 and Lemma 2.1 (iv), we get  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)}p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)}p_{(2,1),(2,j)}^{(1,2)} = k + p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(3,3)}p_{(3,3),(1,2)}^{(1,2)}$ , which implies  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = k-2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = (1,2)$  and  $\tilde{e} = (2,1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain  $k_{3,3} = (k-1)k/(k-2)$ . Since  $k > 3$  and  $\gcd(k-1, k-2) = 1$ , one has  $k = 4$  and  $k_{3,3} = 6$ .

We claim that  $(x_0, x_3) \notin \Gamma_{3,3}$  for each path  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with  $(x_0, x_2), (x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Suppose not. By Step 1, we have  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ , there exists a vertex  $x \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x_0, x_3)$  such that  $(x_2, x) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ , which implies  $x_1 = x$  since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ . Then  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is a circuit, contrary to the fact that  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Thus, our claim is valid.

Let  $(y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$  be a path with  $(y_i, y_{i+2}) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ . By the claim, we may assume  $(y_0, y_3) \in \Gamma_{a,b}$  with  $(a,b) \neq (3,3)$ . For each  $y'_3 \in \Gamma_{1,2}(y_2) \setminus \{y_3\}$ , since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$  from Step 1, one has  $(y_1, y'_3) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ , which implies  $(y_0, y'_3) \in \Gamma_{3,3}$  by Step 2. It follows that  $\Gamma_{2,j}\Gamma_{1,2} = \{\Gamma_{3,3}, \Gamma_{a,b}\}$ ,  $p_{(a,b),(2,1)}^{(2,j)} = 1$  and  $p_{(3,3),(2,1)}^{(2,j)} = 3$ .

In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $p_{(2,j),(1,2)}^{(a,b)}k_{a,b} = p_{(a,b),(2,1)}^{(2,j)}k_{2,j} = 4$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = (2,j)$  and  $\tilde{e} = (1,2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $p_{(2,j),(1,2)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ . Step 2 and Lemma 2.1 (iv) imply  $p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(3,3)}p_{(2,1),(3,3)}^{(2,j)} + p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(a,b)}p_{(2,1),(a,b)}^{(2,j)} = k + p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(3,3)}p_{(3,3),(2,j)}^{(2,j)}$ . Substituting  $p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(3,3)}, p_{(2,1),(3,3)}^{(2,j)}, p_{(2,1),(a,b)}^{(2,j)}, p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(3,3)}$  into above equation, we obtain  $2p_{(3,3),(2,j)}^{(2,j)} = 2 + p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(a,b)}$ . Since  $p_{(2,j),(1,2)}^{(a,b)}k_{a,b} = 4$ , we get  $p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(a,b)} = 2$  or  $4$ .

Since  $p_{(2,j),(1,2)}^{(a,b)} = p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(a,b)}$ , there exists a path  $(y_0, y'_1, y'_2, y_3)$  such that  $(y_0, y'_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  with  $y_2 \neq y'_2$ . The fact  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$  implies  $(y'_2, y_4) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . Since  $(y_0, y_3) \in \Gamma_{a,b}$

with  $(a, b) \neq (3, 3)$ , from Step 2, we have  $y_4 \notin P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(y_0, y_3)$ , and so  $y_4 \neq y'_1$ , which implies  $(y_4, y'_1) \in \Gamma_{3,3}$ . By  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ , there exists a vertex  $y_5 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(y_4, y'_1)$  such that  $(y_5, y_0) \in \Gamma_{1,2}$ .

Since  $(y_0, y_3) \in \Gamma_{a,b}$  with  $(a, b) \neq (3, 3)$ , from Step 2, we have  $(y_3, y_5), (y_4, y_0) \notin \Gamma_{2,1}$ . By Step 1, we get  $(y_3, y_5), (y_4, y_0) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . The claim implies  $(y_3, y_0) \in \Gamma_{a,b}$ , and so  $a = b = 2$ . Then  $j = 2$ . It follows that there exists  $y \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(y_0, y_3)$  with  $y \neq y_2$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , one has  $(y, y_4) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . The fact  $y \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(y_4, y_0)$  implies  $\Gamma_{2,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ , contrary to Step 2.

**Step 4.**  $k_{2,j} = 1$ ,  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = k - 1$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} = k_{3,3} = k$ .

In view of Steps 1, 2 and Lemma 2.1 (iv), one obtains  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)}p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)}p_{(2,1),(2,j)}^{(1,2)} = k + p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(3,3)}p_{(3,3),(1,2)}^{(1,2)}$ , which implies that  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} = (k - 1)p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} - k^2 + 3k - 1$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} \leq k$ , from Lemma 2.5 and Step 3, one has  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = k - 1$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} = k$ . By Steps 1, 2 and Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain  $k_{2,j} = 1$  and  $k_{3,3} = k$ . This completes the proof of this step.

**Step 5.**  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (iv).

Let  $(x_{0,0}, x_{1,1}, x_{2,2}, x_{0,0})$  be a circuit and  $\Gamma_{1,2}(x_{h,h}) = \{x_{h+1,l} \mid 0 \leq l \leq k, l \neq h\}$  for  $0 \leq h \leq 2$ , where the first subscription of  $x$  are read modulo 3. Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)} = k - 1$ , we may assume  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,2}) = \{x_{1,l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq k, l \neq 2\}$ ,  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{1,1}, x_{0,0}) = \{x_{2,l} \mid 2 \leq l \leq k\}$  and  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{2,2}, x_{1,1}) = \{x_{0,l} \mid 0 \leq l \leq k, l \neq 1, 2\}$ .

Note that  $x_{2,2} \notin P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,2})$  and  $x_{2,2} \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{1,1}, x_{0,0})$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)} = p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k - 1$  from Step 1, there exists  $x_{2,1} \in P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,2})$  such that  $x_{2,1} \notin P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{1,1}, x_{0,0})$ . It follows that  $\Gamma_{2,1}(x_{0,0}) = \{x_{2,l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq k\}$ .

Suppose  $P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,s}) = P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,t})$ , where  $s, t$  are two distinct integers with  $1 \leq s, t \leq k$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k - 1$ , there exists a vertex  $x_{2,l} \in \Gamma_{2,1}(x_{0,0})$  such that  $x_{2,l} \notin P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,s})$ , which implies  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,l}) \subseteq \Gamma_{1,2}(x_{0,0}) \setminus \{x_{1,s}, x_{1,t}\}$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,1)} = k - 1$ . Since  $\Gamma_{2,1}(x_{0,0}) = \{x_{2,l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq k\}$ , we may assume  $P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,l}) = \{x_{2,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq k, s \neq l\}$  and  $(x_{1,l}, x_{2,l}) \notin \Gamma_{1,2}$  for  $1 \leq l \leq k$ .

Since  $(x_{0,0}, x_{2,0}) \notin \Gamma_{2,1}$ , from Step 1, one has  $(x_{0,0}, x_{2,0}) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . In view of Step 4, we get  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} = k$ , which implies  $(x_{1,l}, x_{2,0}) \in \Gamma_{1,2}$  for all  $1 \leq l \leq k$ . By Step 1, there exists a vertex  $x_{1,0} \in P_{(2,1),(2,j)}(x_{2,2}, x_{0,0})$ . Hence,  $x_{2,h} \in \Gamma_{1,2}(x_{1,0})$  for  $1 \leq h \leq k$ . Since  $P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_{0,0}, x_{1,l'}) = \{x_{2,s} \mid 1 \leq s \leq k, s \neq l'\}$  for  $1 \leq l' \leq k$ ,  $(x_{1,l}, x_{2,h})$  is an arc for  $0 \leq l, h \leq k$  with  $l \neq h$ . Similarly,  $(x_{a,l}, x_{a+1,h}) \in \Gamma_{1,2}$  for all  $a$  and  $0 \leq l, h \leq k$  with  $h \neq l$ .

By Proposition 2.7,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (iv).



$$4 \quad p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k - 2$$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 under the assumption that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = k - 2$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The girth  $g$  of  $\Gamma$  is 3.*

*Proof.* The proof is rather long, and we shall prove it in Section 5.  $\square$

By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1, 2)$  and  $\tilde{f} = (2, 1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k - 2$ . Setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iv), one gets

$$k^2 - 5k + 4 + \sum_{\tilde{i} \neq (2,1)} p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{\tilde{i}} p_{(2,1),\tilde{i}}^{(1,2)} = \sum_{\tilde{j} \neq (0,0)} p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{j}} p_{(1,2),\tilde{j}}^{(1,2)}. \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (i) or (ii).*

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Lemma 2.5, we get  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,1)} \geq k - 4$ .

**Case 1.**  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \neq \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}\}$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (i) and (v), one has

$$A_{1,2}^2 = (k - 2)A_{2,1} + A_{1,2} + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} A_{2,j} \quad (7)$$

with  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} k_{2,j} = k$  and  $j > 1$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} \geq k - 4$ , we get  $k = 4$  or  $5$ . Lemma 2.1 (ii) implies  $p_{(2,1),(2,j)}^{(1,2)} k = p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} k_{2,j}$ , and so  $p_{(2,1),(2,j)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ .

Let  $(x, y, z, w)$  be a path such that  $z, w \in \Gamma_{1,2}(x)$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , from (7), we have  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Suppose  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . Note that  $z \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, y)$ ,  $w \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, y)$  and  $w \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, z)$ . Again, since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , one gets  $y, z, w \notin \Gamma_{1,2}(u) \cup \Gamma_{2,1}(u)$  for each  $u \in \Gamma_{1,2}(x) \setminus \{y, z, w\}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 (iii) that  $k = \sum_{\tilde{i}} p_{(1,2),\tilde{i}}^{(1,2)} \geq p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(0,0)}^{(1,2)} + 3$ , contrary to the fact that  $k \leq 5$ . Then  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ .

Since  $x \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y, w)$ , one has  $\Gamma_{2,j} \in \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} \neq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$ . Then  $|\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}| \geq 5$ . By Lemma 2.1 (i), (v) and (vi), we have  $k = 5$  and  $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} = 5I + A_{1,2} + A_{2,1} + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,j)} A_{2,j} + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{i}} A_{\tilde{i}}$  with  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{i}} k_{\tilde{i}} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,j)} k_{2,j} = 5$  and  $\tilde{i} \notin \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, j)\}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 (ii) that  $p_{(1,2),\tilde{i}}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . In view of (6) and (7), one has  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} + 3 = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{i}} + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,j)}$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{i}}, p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,j)}, p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,j)} \in \{1, 5\}$ .

Note that  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$ . Then  $j = 2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (i), we have  $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} = kI + A_{1,2} + A_{2,1} + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}A_{2,2}$  with  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2} = k^2 - 3k$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 (ii) that  $p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2}/k = k - 3$ . By (6) and (7), we obtain  $k^2 - 5k + 3 + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = (k - 3)p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}$ . If  $k = 4$ , then  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 1 + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2} = p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2} = 4$ . Then  $k = 5$  and  $3 + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 2p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2} = 5$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2} = 10$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), we get  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 1$ ,  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  and  $k_{2,2} = 5$ .

Pick a vertex  $u_1 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, w)$ . Note that  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . Since  $w \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, z)$  and  $y \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, z)$ , we have  $(z, u_1) \notin \Gamma_{1,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1}$ , and so  $(z, u_1) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  from (7). The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}\}$  and  $x \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y, u_1)$  imply  $(y, u_1) \in \Gamma_{1,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}(w) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ . Since  $p_{(2,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , one gets  $(z, u_i) \in \Gamma_{1,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 5$ . By (7), we obtain  $(y, u_i) \in \Gamma_{1,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ . It follows that  $\Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,2} \subseteq \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}\}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,2}^3 \subseteq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}\}$ . By Lemma 2.3, one has  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma) = \{(0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$  with  $|V\Gamma| = 16$ , contrary to [6].

**Case 2.**  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}\}$ .

By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we have  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,1)} = 2$ , which implies  $k \leq 6$  from Lemma 2.5. By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{f} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iii), we obtain  $k = 5$  or  $6$ .

Suppose  $k = 5$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one has  $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} = 5I + 2A_{1,2} + 2A_{2,1}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,2}^3 \subseteq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}\}$ . Lemma 2.3 implies  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma) = \{(0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 1)\}$  with  $|V\Gamma| = 11$ . In view of [6],  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (i).

Suppose  $k = 6$ . By Lemma 2.1 (v) and setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we have  $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} = 6I + 2A_{1,2} + 2A_{2,1} + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}A_{3,3}$  with  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}k_{3,3} = 6$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), we get  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}k_{3,3} = p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)}k$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . It follows from (6) and (7) that  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 6$ , and so  $k_{3,3} = 1$ . Then  $\Gamma_{3,3}\Gamma_{1,2} = \{\Gamma_{2,1}\}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,2}^4 = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . By Lemma 2.3, we obtain  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma) = \{(0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 3)\}$  with  $|V\Gamma| = 14$ . It follows from [6] that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (ii).  $\square$

In the following, we only need to consider the case that  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} \neq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, namely,  $A_{1,2}A_{2,1} = kI + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}A_{3,3}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 (iii) that  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = k - 1$ . By Lemma 2.5, we have  $k - 4 \leq p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} \leq k$ .

Lemma 2.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.2 imply  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,1),(2,i)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . By (6), we obtain  $k^2 - 5k + 4 + 2k \geq (k-1)p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}$ . Since  $k > 3$ , one has  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} \in \{k-4, k-3, k-2\}$ . If  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{2,i} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2 \setminus \{\Gamma_{2,1}\}$ , from (6), then  $k^2 - 5k + 4 + 2 = (k-1)p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}$ , a contradiction. Then  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} > 1$  for some  $i > 1$ .

**Case 1.**  $\Gamma_{2,4} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ .

Let  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i+1})$  be a circuit with  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,i}$  and  $i = \max\{a \mid \Gamma_{2,a} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2\}$ , where the subscription of  $x$  could be read modulo  $i+2$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}\}$ . Then  $i = 3$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,1),(2,i)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , from Lemma 2.6 (i), we have  $p_{(2,1),(2,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,1),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 1$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} > 1$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} \in \{k-2, k-3, k-4\}$ , from (6), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = k-3$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = k-2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain  $k_{2,3}p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = kp_{(2,1),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = k$ , which implies  $k = 4$ . It follows that there exists  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_0, x_2)$  with  $x_1 \neq x'_1$ . The fact  $\partial(x_3, x_0) \leq 2$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  imply  $(x_1, x_3), (x'_1, x_3) \notin \Gamma_{2,1}$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,1),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , we may assume  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$  and  $(x'_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 2$  implies that there exists  $x'_2 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_1, x_3)$  with  $x'_2 \neq x_2$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , we obtain  $x_4 \notin P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_3, x'_1)$ , and so  $(x_2, x_4) \notin \Gamma_{2,2}$  from Lemma 2.6 (i). The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  implies  $(x_2, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$  and  $(x'_2, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Then there exists  $x'_3 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_2, x_4)$  with  $x_3 \neq x'_3$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$  implies  $x_0 \notin P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_4, x'_2)$ . By Lemma 2.6 (i), we get  $(x_3, x_0) \notin \Gamma_{2,2}$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  and  $p_{(2,1),(2,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,1),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$  imply  $(x_3, x_0) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ ,  $(x'_3, x_0) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ , and  $x_1$  or  $x'_1 \in \Gamma_{2,2}(x_4)$ . By Lemma 2.6 (i), one obtains  $x_1$  or  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_0, x'_3)$ , contrary to the fact that  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,i}\}$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Note that  $p_{(2,1),(2,i)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $k_{2,i}p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} = kp_{(2,1),(2,i)}^{(1,2)} = 2k$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} \mid 2k$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} \in \{k-2, k-3, k-4\}$  with  $k > 3$ , from (6), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} = 2$  and  $k = k_{2,i} = 5$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  implies  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,i}$ . Similarly,  $(x_l, x_{l+2}) \in \Gamma_{2,i}$  for all  $l$ . Let  $x'_l \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_{l-1}, x_{l+1})$  with  $x_l \neq x'_l$ . By similar argument, one has  $x_{l+1}, x'_{l+1} \in P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_{l-1}, x_l)$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} = 2$ , there exists  $x''_{l+1} \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_l, x'_{l+2})$  with  $x''_{l+1} \neq x_{l+1}$ . By similar argument, we get  $x_{l+1}, x'_{l+1}, x''_{l+1} \in P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_{l-1}, x_l)$ . The fact  $p_{(2,1),(2,i)}^{(1,2)} = 2$  implies  $x'_{l+1} = x''_{l+1}$ , and so  $P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_0, x_1) = P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_0, x'_1) = \{x_2, x'_2\}$ .

Let  $(x_0 = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{i+1})$  be a circuit with  $(y_0, y_2) \in \Gamma_{2,i}$  and  $y_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2\}$ . Note that  $y_1 \notin \{x_1, x'_1\}$ . Similarly, there exist  $y'_1 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(y_0, y_2)$  with  $y'_1 \neq y_1$  and  $y'_2 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(y_1, y_3)$  with  $y'_2 \neq y_2$  such that  $(y'_1, y'_2)$  is an arc and  $P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_0, y_1) = P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_0, y'_1) = \{y_2, y'_2\}$ . It follows that  $|\{x_1, x'_1, y_1, y'_1\}| = 4$ . Note that  $k_{2,i} = 5$ .

Let  $(x_0 = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{i+1})$  be a circuit with  $(z_0, z_2) \in \Gamma_{2,i}$  and  $z_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2, y_2, y'_2\}$ . Similarly, there exists a vertex  $z'_1 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z_0, z_2)$  with  $z'_1 \neq z_1$  such that  $z_2 \in P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_0, z_1) = P_{(2,i),(2,1)}(x_0, z'_1)$ . It follows that  $x_1, x'_1, y_1, y'_1, z_1, z'_1$  are pairwise distinct, contrary to the fact that  $k = 5$ .

**Case 2.**  $\Gamma_{2,4} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ .

Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k - 2$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), we get  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . Let  $(x, y, z)$  be a path such that  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{2,4}$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,4}\}$ . Note that  $p_{(2,4),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $k_{2,4} p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = k p_{(2,1),(2,4)}^{(1,2)} = 2k$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = k - 1$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} \in \{k - 2, k - 3, k - 4\}$  with  $k > 3$ , from (6), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = 2$ ,  $k = k_{2,4} = 5$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain  $k_{3,3} = 10$ . Let  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, z) = \{y, y'\}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2}(z) = \{w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , we may assume  $w_0, w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y)$  and  $w_0 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y)$ . Note that  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  and  $p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ . Since  $x, w_0 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y, y')$ , one has  $w_1, w_2 \notin \Gamma_{2,1}(y')$ , which implies  $w_3, w_4 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y')$ . It follows that  $(x, w_i) \in \Gamma_{3,3}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 4$ , and so  $\Gamma_{2,4}\Gamma_{1,2} = \{\Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . By Lemma 2.1 (i), we get  $k_{2,4}k = p_{(2,4),(1,2)}^{(3,3)}k_{3,3}$ , a contradiction.

Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , we have  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,i}, \Gamma_{2,4}\}$  and  $p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,4),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Let  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i+1})$  be a circuit with  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,i}$ . For each  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_0, x_2)$ , since  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ , one gets  $x_1, x'_1 \in P_{(2,1),(2,i)}(x_2, x_3)$ , and so  $x_1 = x'_1$  from  $p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . It follows that  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} = 1$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = k - 1$ , from (6), one gets  $k^2 - 5k + 5 + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = (k - 1)p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} \in \{k - 4, k - 3, k - 2\}$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)}k_{2,4} = p_{(2,4),(2,1)}^{(1,2)}k = k$  from Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain  $k = 4$ ,  $k_{2,4} = 2$ ,  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = 2$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 1$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain  $k_{3,3} = 12$ . For  $w \in P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(y, z)$ , since  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ , one has  $(x, w) \in \Gamma_{3,3}$ , which implies  $p_{(3,3),(2,1)}^{(2,4)} \neq 0$ . Lemma 2.1 (ii) implies  $12p_{(2,4),(1,2)}^{(3,3)} = p_{(2,4),(1,2)}^{(3,3)}k_{3,3} = p_{(3,3),(2,1)}^{(2,4)}k_{2,4} \leq 8$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Since  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , from Lemmas 2.2 and 4.3, one has  $\Gamma_{2,i} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . In view of Lemma 2.6 (ii), we get  $p_{(2,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} \geq p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,i)}$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = k - 2$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.5, we obtain  $2 = \sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} \geq p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,i)} \geq k - 4$ . Then  $k \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $\Gamma_{2,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, namely,  $\Gamma_{2,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , by Lemma 2.6, we get  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)} = 1$ . Lemma 2.5 implies  $k = 4$  or  $5$ . Let  $(x, y, z)$  be a path such that  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Pick a vertex  $y' \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(x, z)$ .

Suppose  $|P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y, y')| = 1$  and  $k = 5$ . Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}(z) = \{w_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4\}$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , we may assume  $w_0, w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y)$  and  $w_0 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y')$ . The fact  $w_0 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y, y')$  implies  $w_1, w_2 \notin \Gamma_{2,1}(y')$ , and so  $w_3, w_4 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y')$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 1$ , we have  $w_i \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, x)$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , which implies  $y \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(w_i, x)$  or  $y' \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(w_i, x)$ , contrary to the fact that  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ .

Suppose  $k = 5$ . Then  $|P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y, y')| > 1$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,2)} = 1$  and  $x \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(y', y)$ , one has  $(y', y) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . It follows that  $\Gamma_{2,3} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ . The fact  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$  implies  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain  $k_{2,3} p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = k p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 5$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} > 1$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 1$  and  $k_{2,3} = 5$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} k = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3}$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} \geq 2$ , which implies  $p_{(1,2),(0,0)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} \geq 6 > k$ , contrary to Lemma 2.1 (iii). Hence,  $k = 4$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \neq (0,0)} p_{(1,2),\tilde{j}}^{(1,2)} = 3$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , from (6), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,i)} > 1$  for some  $i \in \{3, 4\}$ , which implies  $p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . Pick a vertex  $w \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, x)$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , we obtain  $y \in P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(z, w)$ . The fact  $x \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(y', w)$  implies  $y' \in P_{(3,1),(2,i)}(z, w)$ ,  $i = 3$  and  $\Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ . Since  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \neq (0,0)} p_{(1,2),\tilde{j}}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , we get  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{2,2}\}$  with  $p_{(2,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,3),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(3,2),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), one obtains  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = p_{(2,3),(1,2)}^{(1,2)} k = 4$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} k = 4$ , which imply  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,2)}$ . In view of (6), we have  $1 + p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 1 + 2p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $\Gamma_{2,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, namely,  $\Gamma_{2,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ . By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we have  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{2,3}\}$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , we have  $p_{(2,2),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}\}$ . Let  $(y, z, w)$  be a path such that  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . Pick a vertex  $u \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(y, w)$ .

Suppose that there exists  $z' \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(y, w)$  with  $z' \neq z$  or  $u' \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(y, w)$  with  $u' \neq u$ . Note that  $y \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(z, u) \cap P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(z', u)$  and  $w \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, u) \cap P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z', u)$ , or  $y \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(z, u) \cap P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(z, u')$  and  $w \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, u) \cap P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z, u')$ . Since  $\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ , we have  $z, z' \in P_{(2,1),(2,3)}(w, u)$  or  $u, u' \in P_{(2,3),(2,1)}(z, w)$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(2,1),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ .

Note that  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 1$ . Lemma 2.6 (i) implies  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1 (v) and setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain  $k_{2,2} = k_{2,3} = k$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} k = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3}$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} =$

$p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . Since  $k \in \{4, 5, 6\}$  and  $\Gamma_{2,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ , by setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{f} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  and  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = k - 3$ . By (6), one obtains  $k = 5$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), one has  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}k_{3,3} = p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)}k$ , and so  $k_{3,3} = 5$ .

Pick a vertex  $x \in P_{(2,1),(2,2)}(y, z)$ . Since  $y \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(z, u)$  and  $\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ , we have  $(z, u) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , we may assume  $P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(u, y) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$  and  $(v_2, z) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 1$  and  $v_2 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(z, u)$  imply that  $v_0, v_1 \notin \Gamma_{1,2}(z)$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , one gets  $x \in \{v_0, v_1\}$ , and so  $(x, w) \in \Gamma_{2,2} \cup \Gamma_{3,2}$  from  $P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, z) = \emptyset$ . If  $(x, w) \in \Gamma_{3,2}$ , then there exists  $u' \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(x, w)$ , which implies  $u', z \in P_{(2,1),(2,3)}(w, u)$  since  $x \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(u', u)$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . Thus,  $(x, w) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ .

Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}(z) = \{w, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  and  $\Gamma_{2,1}(y) = \{x, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ . In view of  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 1$ , we may assume  $(w_1, x), (x_1, w) \in \Gamma_{1,2}$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$  implies  $w_1, w \notin \Gamma_{2,1}(y)$  and  $x, x_1 \notin \Gamma_{1,2}(z)$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , we get  $w_2, w_3, w_4 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(y)$  and  $x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \Gamma_{1,2}(z)$ . Then  $(x, w_i), (x_i, w) \in \Gamma_{2,3} \cup \Gamma_{3,2} \cup \Gamma_{3,3}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 4$ . It follows that  $\Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}\Gamma_{1,2} \subseteq \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{1,2}^3 \subseteq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ .

Let  $(y_0, y_2) \in \Gamma_{3,3}$  and  $(y_2, y_3) \in \Gamma_{1,2}$ . Since  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$  and  $p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(3,3)} = 2$ , there exists  $y_1 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y_0, y_2)$  with  $(y_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2}$ . It follows that  $(y_0, y_3) \in \Gamma_{1,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,3} \cup \Gamma_{3,2} \cup \Gamma_{3,3}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{3,3}\Gamma_{1,2} \subseteq \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ .

Let  $(z_0, z_2) \in \Gamma_{3,2}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 1$ , there exists  $z_1 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(z_0, z_2)$ . Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}(z_2) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $u_0 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(z_2, z_0)$ . Since  $z_0 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(z_1, u_0)$ , we have  $(z_1, u_0) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . The fact  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$  implies  $(z_1, u_i) \in \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . It follows that  $(u_i, z_0) \in \Gamma_{1,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2} \cup \Gamma_{2,3} \cup \Gamma_{3,2} \cup \Gamma_{3,3}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{3,2}\Gamma_{1,2} \subseteq \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,2}^4 \subseteq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ .

Since  $k = k_{2,3} = k_{2,2} = k_{3,3} = 5$ , from Lemma 2.3, one obtains  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma) = \{(0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$  with  $|\text{VT}| = 31$ , contrary to [8].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, namely,  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \neq \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}\}$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5, we have  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{2,4}\}$ . The fact  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$  implies  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(2,4),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . By Lemma 2.6 (ii), we obtain  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,2)} = 1$ . Lemma 2.5 implies  $k \in \{4, 5\}$ . Let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  be a path such that  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . Pick a vertex  $u \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(x_0, x_2)$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{2,3}\}$

implies  $(u, x_1) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ .

Suppose  $k = 5$ . Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}(x_2) = \{v_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 4\}$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 3$ , we may assume  $v_0, v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(x_1)$  and  $v_0 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(u)$ . The fact  $p_{(2,1),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 1$  implies  $v_1, v_2 \notin \Gamma_{2,1}(u)$ , and so  $v_3, v_4 \in \Gamma_{2,1}(u)$ . It follows that  $P_{(2,4),(2,1)}(x_1, x_2) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Then  $k = 4$ .

Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)}$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), we get  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , which implies  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (i) and (v), we obtain  $k_{2,3} = 4$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)}k_{2,4} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}k_{3,3} = 4$ . By (6), one has  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = 1 + p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)}$ , which implies  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 1$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = 2$ . It follows that  $k_{3,3} = 4$  and  $k_{2,4} = 2$ .

Let  $(y_0, y_2) \in \Gamma_{2,4}$  and  $P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(y_0, y_2) = \{y_1, y'_1\}$ . We claim that  $(y_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$  if  $(y'_1, y_3) \notin \Gamma_{2,1}$  for  $y_3 \in \Gamma_{1,2}(y_2)$ . Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , we may assume  $y'_3, y''_3 \in P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(y'_1, y_2)$ . The fact  $y_0 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(y_1, y'_1)$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \leq 1$  for  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$  imply  $y'_3, y''_3 \notin \Gamma_{2,1}(y_1)$ , and so  $(y_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . Thus, our claim is valid.

Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 1$ , there exists a vertex  $x_3 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x_0, x_2)$ . The fact  $x_0 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(x_1, x_3)$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{2,3}\}$  imply  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , there exist  $x_5 \in P_{(1,2),(3,2)}(x_0, x_3)$  and  $x_4 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_3, x_5)$  such that  $x_5 \notin \{x_1, x_3\}$  and  $(x_0, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . It follows that there also exist  $x_7 \in P_{(1,2),(3,2)}(x_0, x_5)$  and  $x_6 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_5, x_7)$  such that  $x_7 \notin \{x_3, x_5\}$  and  $(x_0, x_6) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ .

Suppose  $x_1 = x_7$ . Let  $\Gamma_{1,2}(x_0) = \{x_1, x_3, x_5, x\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , we have  $x_i \in P_{(1,2),(2,3)}(x_0, x)$  for some  $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ , which implies  $x, x_{i+2} \in P_{(1,2),(3,2)}(x_0, x_i)$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{1,2}(x_0) = \{x_1, x_3, x_5, x_7\}$ .

Since  $p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ , we have  $x_1 \in P_{(1,2),(3,2)}(x_0, x_7)$ , which implies that there exists  $x_8 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_7, x_1)$  such that  $(x_0, x_8) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . The fact  $x_0 \in P_{(2,1),(2,3)}(x_5, x_6)$  implies  $(x_4, x_6) \in \Gamma_{2,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,4}$ .

Suppose  $(x_4, x_6) \in \Gamma_{2,4}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,4)} = 2$ , there exists  $x'_5 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_4, x_6)$  with  $x'_5 \neq x_5$ . By the claim,  $(x_7, x'_5, x_3)$  is a path. Note that  $x_7 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_0, x'_5)$  and  $x_3 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x_0, x'_5)$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{2,3}\}$ , one gets  $(x_0, x'_5) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . The fact  $x_8, x'_5 \in P_{(2,3),(2,1)}(x_0, x_7)$  and  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 1$  imply  $x_8 = x'_5$ . Since  $x_0, x_8 \in P_{(2,1),(1,2)}(x_1, x_3)$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 1$ , one gets  $x_8 = x_0$ , a contradiction.

Note that  $(x_4, x_6) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . Similarly,  $(x_2, x_4), (x_6, x_8), (x_8, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{2,4}\}$ , one has  $(x_8, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,1}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $k = 6$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, namely,  $k \in \{4, 5\}$ . By Lemmas 4.3–4.6, we have

$\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2} \in \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1}$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , one gets  $p_{(2,1),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one has  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = 2k$ .

Suppose  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 1$ . Since  $k \in \{4, 5\}$  and  $k_{2,3} = 2k$ , by setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we get  $k = 5$ ,  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}\}$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,2)} = 1$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 (ii) that  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} k$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , contrary to (6). Hence,  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} > 1$ .

Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} \neq 0$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} < k$ . The fact  $k \in \{4, 5\}$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = 2k$  imply that  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 2$  and  $k_{2,3} = k$ .

Let  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$  and  $w \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, z)$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 2$ , there exist distinct vertices  $y, y' \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x, z)$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{2,3}\}$  implies  $y, y' \in \Gamma_{3,2}(w)$ . It follows that  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} \geq 2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $k \geq p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} + p_{(1,2),(0,0)}^{(1,2)}$ , which implies  $k = 5$ ,  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 2$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}\}$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} k$ , and so  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,2)} = 2$ .

Pick a vertex  $w' \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x, z)$  with  $w \neq w'$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 \cap \Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{2,3}\}$ , one has  $y, y' \in \Gamma_{3,2}(w')$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}\}$ , we may assume  $(y, y') \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . Note that  $y', w, w' \in P_{(1,2),(3,2)}(x, y)$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *If  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (iii).*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.7, one obtains  $k = 6$ . In view of Lemma 4.6, one gets  $\Gamma_{1,2}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)}$ , from Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 2.1 (iii), we obtain  $\Gamma_{1,2}\Gamma_{2,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ . Since  $\sum_{i>1} p_{(2,i),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , one has  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ . By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 (ii), we get  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 2$ .

Let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  be a path such that  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,3}$ . Since  $P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x_0, x_2) \subseteq P_{(2,3),(2,1)}(x_1, x_2)$  from Lemma 2.6 (ii), we have  $p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} \geq 2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{f} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 2$  and  $p_{(1,2),(3,3)}^{(1,2)} = 1$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} k_{2,3} = p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} k$ , and so  $k_{2,3} = 6$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we have  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = 2$ . It follows from (6) that  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 6$ , and so  $\Gamma_{3,3}\Gamma_{1,2} = \{\Gamma_{2,1}\}$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e}^* = (1, 2)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $k_{3,3} = 1$ .

Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} = 2$ , there exist three distinct vertices  $z_0, z_1 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x_0, x_2)$  and  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,2),(1,2)}(x_0, x_2)$  with  $x_1 \neq x'_1$ .

Since  $p_{(2,1),(2,1)}^{(1,2)} = 4$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ , there exist distinct vertices  $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in P_{(2,1),(2,1)}(x_1, x_2)$  such that  $\Gamma_{1,2}(x_2) = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_0, z_1\}$ . If  $(x_0, y_i) \in \Gamma_{3,3}$  for



some  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , from  $p_{(1,2),(2,1)}^{(3,3)} = 6$ , then  $z_1 \in P_{(1,2),(2,1)}(x_0, y_i)$ , contrary to the fact that  $\Gamma_{1,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,2}^2$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(1,2)} = p_{(1,2),(3,2)}^{(1,2)} = 2$ , we may assume  $y_0, y_1 \in \Gamma_{2,3}(x_0)$  and  $y_2, y_3 \in \Gamma_{3,2}(x_0)$ . It follows that  $\Gamma_{2,3}\Gamma_{1,2} = \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2}^3 = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ .

Since  $y_0, y_1 \in P_{(2,3),(2,1)}(x_0, x_2)$ , we have  $p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} \geq 2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{f} = (2, 3)$  and  $\tilde{e} = (2, 1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (ii), one gets  $p_{(1,2),(2,3)}^{(2,3)} = p_{(2,3),(2,1)}^{(2,3)} \geq 2$ . It follows that there exist  $z_2, z_3 \in P_{(1,2),(2,3)}(x_0, x_2)$  such that  $\Gamma_{1,2}(x_0) = \{x_1, x'_1, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_{3,2}\Gamma_{1,2} = \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{3,2}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,2}^4 = \{\Gamma_{1,2}, \Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,3}, \Gamma_{3,2}\}$ . By Lemma 2.3, we obtain  $\tilde{\partial}(\Gamma) = \{(0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3)\}$  with  $|\text{VT}| = 26$ . In view of [7],  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the digraph in Theorem 1.1 (iii).  $\square$

Combining Step 5 in Section 3 and Lemmas 4.2, 4.8, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 5 Proof of Lemma 4.1

Before giving a proof of Lemma 4.1, we need some more basic terminologies and notations. Let  $\Gamma$  be a weakly distance-regular digraphs. For a nonempty subset  $E$  of  $R$ , we say  $E$  *closed* if  $\Gamma_{\tilde{i}^*}\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \subseteq E$  for any  $\Gamma_{\tilde{i}}$  and  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}}$  in  $E$ , and denote  $\langle E \rangle$  the minimum closed subset containing  $E$ . For any nonempty closed subset  $F$  of  $R$ , the *quotient digraph* of  $\Gamma$  over  $F$ , denoted by  $\Gamma/F$ , is defined as the digraph with vertex set  $V\Gamma/F$  in which  $(F(x), F(y))$  is an arc whenever there is an arc in  $\Gamma$  from  $F(x)$  to  $F(y)$ , where  $F(x) = \{y \in V\Gamma \mid (x, y) \in \cup_{f \in F} f\}$  and  $V\Gamma/F = \{F(x) \mid x \in V\Gamma\}$ .

In this section, we prove Lemma 4.1 by contradiction. Suppose  $g > 3$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $k = 4$ . We give a proof of Lemma 4.1 step by step.

**Step 1.** For some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ ,  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} \neq 2$ .

Suppose, to the contrary that  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}^* = (1, g-1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iv), we have  $2 \sum_{\tilde{f}} p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,g-1)} = 4 + \sum_{\tilde{i} \neq (0,0)} p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} p_{\tilde{i},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)}$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (iii), one gets  $\sum_{\tilde{f}} p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,g-1)} = \sum_{\tilde{i}} p_{\tilde{i},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 4$ , which implies  $\sum_{\tilde{i} \neq (0,0)} p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} p_{\tilde{i},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 4$ . It follows that  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$ ,  $p_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$ . Denote  $F = \langle \Gamma_{l,l} \rangle$ . Note that  $\{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\} \subseteq F \cap \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1}$ .

**Case 1.**  $\{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\} \subsetneq F \cap \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1}$ .

Let  $s$  be the minimum positive integer such that  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{l,l}^s$  for some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$ . Pick vertices  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s$  such that  $(x_0, x_s) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{j}}$  and  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$ , there exist five vertices  $w, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$  such that  $w \in P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(x_0, x_s)$ ,  $P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(x_0, x_1) = \{y_0, y_1\}$  and  $P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(x_{s-1}, x_s) = \{y_2, y_3\}$ .

If  $(x_s, y_0) \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ , from  $p_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ , then  $P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(x_1, y_0) = \{x_0\}$ , which implies that  $(x_1, x_s) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$  for some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1}$  with  $\tilde{a} \notin \{(0,0), (l,l)\}$  since  $y_0 \in P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(x_1, x_s)$ , contrary to the minimality of  $s$ . Similarly,  $\Gamma_{1,g-1} \cap \{(x_0, y_2), (x_0, y_3), (x_s, y_0), (x_s, y_1)\} = \emptyset$ .

Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ , there exist  $z \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(w, y_0)$  and  $z' \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(w, y_1)$  with  $z, z' \notin \{x_0, x_{s-1}, x_s\}$ . If  $z = x_1$  or  $z' = x_1$ , from  $p_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ , then  $P_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}(w, x_1) = \{x_0\}$ , and so  $(x_1, x_s) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$  for some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1}$  with  $\tilde{a} \notin \{(0,0), (l,l)\}$  since  $w \in P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(x_1, x_s)$ , contrary to the minimality of  $s$ . Hence,  $x_1 \notin \{z, z'\}$ . The fact  $x_0, x_1 \in P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(y_0, y_1)$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} \leq 2$  for all  $\tilde{j} \neq (0,0)$  imply that  $z \neq z'$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}(w) = \{z, z', x_0, x_s\}$ . Note that  $x_{s-1}, x_s \in P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(y_2, y_3)$ . Since  $(x_0, y_2), (x_0, y_3) \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ , we may assume  $(z, y_2), (z', y_3) \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ , we obtain  $z$  or  $z' \in P_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}(w, x_s)$ . It follows that  $\{z, x_{s-1}\} \subseteq P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(x_s, y_2)$  or  $\{z', x_{s-1}\} \subseteq P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(x_s, y_3)$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $\{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\} = F \cap \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1}$ .

Since  $k = 4$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ , the valency of the quotient digraph  $\Gamma/F$  is 2. Let  $g'$  be the girth of the quotient digraph  $\Gamma/F$ . Observe that  $g' \leq g$ .

**Case 2.1.**  $g' < g$ .

Let  $s$  be the minimum integer such that  $\Gamma_{\tilde{\delta}(x_0, x_{g'})} \in \Gamma_{l,l}^s$ , where  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{g'})$  is a path with  $F(x_0) = F(x_{g'})$ . Since  $g' < g$ , we get  $x_0 \neq x_{g'}$ . Pick vertices  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{s-1}$  such that  $(z_i, z_{i+1}) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ , where  $z_0 = x_0$  and  $z_s = x_{g'}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$  implies that there exist vertices  $y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3$  such that  $P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(z_0, z_1) = \{y_0, y_1\}$  and  $P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(z_{s-1}, z_s) = \{y_2, y_3\}$ .

Since  $\Gamma_{l,l}$  is symmetric in the association scheme  $(V\Gamma, R)$ , one has  $g' > 2$ . If  $x_1 \in \{y_0, y_1\}$ , then  $(z_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{g'})$  is a path with  $F(z_1) = F(x_{g'})$ , contrary to the minimality of  $s$ . Hence,  $x_1 \notin \{y_0, y_1\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ , there exists  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_0, x_2)$  with  $\Gamma_{1,g-1}(x_0) = \{y_0, y_1, x_1, x'_1\}$ . Note that  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$  and  $p_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ . The fact  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$  implies  $(x_1, x'_1) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$ .

Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ , there exist  $x'_2 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_1, x_3)$  with  $x'_2 \neq x_2$  and  $x''_2 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x'_1, x_3)$  with  $x''_2 \neq x_2$ . By  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}^{(1,g-1)} = p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$ , if  $x'_2 = x''_2$ , then  $(x_2, x'_2) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$ ; if  $x'_2 \neq x''_2$ , then  $\Gamma_{l,l} \cap \{(x_2, x'_2), (x_2, x''_2), (x'_2, x''_2)\} \neq \emptyset$  since  $x_2, x'_2, x''_2 \in \Gamma_{g-1,1}(x_3)$ . Then there exist a path of length 2 from  $x_0$  to  $w_2$  and a path of length 2 from  $x_0$  to  $w'_2$  such that  $w_2, w'_2 \in \Gamma_{g-1,1}(x_3)$  and  $(w_2, w'_2) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$ . By induction, there exist a path of length  $i$  from  $x_0$  to  $w_i$  and a path of length  $i$  from  $x_0$  to  $w'_i$  such that  $w_i, w'_i \in \Gamma_{g-1,1}(x_{i+1})$  and  $(w_i, w'_i) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq g' - 1$ .

Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ , from the minimality of  $s$ , there exist vertices  $u_i \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(w_{g'-1}, y_i)$  and  $u'_i \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(w'_{g'-1}, y_i)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 3$  with  $z_{s-1}, x_{g'} \notin \{u_2, u_3, u'_2, u'_3\}$ . The fact  $p_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$  and  $P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(z_s, y_i) = \{z_{s-1}\}$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  imply  $u_2, u_3 \notin \Gamma_{l,l}(z_s)$ . Since  $w_{g'-1} \in P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(z_s, u_2) \cap$

$P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(z_s, u_3)$  and  $p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$ , we obtain  $u_2 \neq u'_2$  and  $u_3 \neq u'_3$ . By  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$  and  $P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(y_2, y_3) = \{z_s, z_{s-1}\}$ ,  $u_2, u'_2, u_3, u'_3$  are pairwise distinct. Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ , we have  $u'_i \in P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(u_i, y_i)$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . By  $z_{s-1} \in P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(x_{g'}, y_3) \cap P_{(l,l),(1,g-1)}(x_{g'}, y_2)$ , one gets  $u_2, u'_2, u_3, u'_3 \notin \Gamma_{l,l}(x_{g'})$ . It follows that  $u_3 \in P_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}(w'_{g'-1}, u_2)$  and  $u'_3 \in P_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}(w'_{g'-1}, u'_2)$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $p_{(1,g-1),(l,l)}^{(1,g-1)}k = p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)}k_{l,l}$ , and so  $k_{l,l} = 2$ . Since  $(u_2, u'_2, u'_3, u_3)$  is an undirected circuit of length 4 in the graph  $(VT, \Gamma_{l,l})$ , from Lemma 2.1 (i) and (v), one gets  $A_{l,l}^2 = 2A_{0,0} + 2A_{a,a}$  and  $F = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}, \Gamma_{a,a}\}$  with  $k_{a,a} = 1$ , where  $\tilde{\partial}(u_2, u'_3) = (a, a)$ . It follows from the minimality of  $s$  that  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{(l,l)} = 2$ , there exists  $z \in P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(w'_{g'-1}, u'_{g'-1})$  with  $z \neq x_{g'}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$  implies  $(z, x_{g'}) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$ . If  $s = 2$ , from  $A_{l,l}^2 = 2A_{0,0} + 2A_{a,a}$ , then  $(x_0, x_{g'}) \in \Gamma_{a,a}$  and  $(x_0, z) \in \Gamma_{l,l}$ , which imply that there is a path of length  $g'$  from  $x_0$  to  $z$  with  $F(x_0) = F(z)$ , contrary to the minimality of  $s$ . Thus,  $s = 1$  and  $x_0 = z_{s-1}$ . Since  $A_{l,l}^2 = 2A_{0,0} + 2A_{a,a}$ , we get  $(x_0, z) \in \Gamma_{a,a}$ . Note that  $a = \partial(x_0, z) \leq g'$  and  $l = \partial(x_0, x_{g'}) \leq g'$ . The fact that the girth of the quotient digraph of  $\Gamma/F$  is  $g'$  implies  $l = a = g'$ , contrary to the fact that  $k_{l,l} = 2$  and  $k_{a,a} = 1$ .

**Case 2.2.**  $g' = g$ .

Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{l,l}\}$ , from the proof of [10, Proposition 4.3], the quotient digraph  $\Gamma/F$  is isomorphic to the digraph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_g, \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\})$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an isomorphism from the digraph  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_g, \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\})$  to  $\Gamma/F$ . Pick  $x_{i,j} \in \sigma(i, j)$  for all  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_g$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(x_{i,j}, x_{i,j+1}, \dots, x_{i,j-1})$  and  $(x_{i,j}, x_{i+1,j}, \dots, x_{i-1,j})$  are two circuits for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_g$ . Note that  $(x_{0,0}, x_{2,2}), (x_{0,0}, x_{3,1}) \in \Gamma_{4,2g-4}$ . But  $x_{2,0} \in P_{(2,g-2),(2,g-2)}(x_{0,0}, x_{2,2})$  and  $P_{(2,g-2),(2,g-2)}(x_{0,0}, x_{3,1}) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

**Step 2.**  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$ .

Let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{g-1})$  be a circuit. Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_0, x_2)$  with  $x_1 \neq x'_1$ . Note that  $x_1, x'_1 \in P_{(g-1,1),(2,g-2)}(x_2, x_3)$ , and so  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} \geq 2$ . Lemma 2.1 (iii) and Step 1 imply  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 2$  or 3.

Suppose, to the contrary that  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 2$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x'_2 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_1, x_3)$  with  $x'_2 \neq x_2$ . Since  $(x_0, x'_2) \in \Gamma_{2,g-2}$ , there exists  $x''_1 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_0, x'_2)$  with  $x''_1 \neq x_1$ , which implies  $x_1, x'_1, x''_1 \in P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_{g-1}, x_0)$ . By  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 2$ , we get  $x''_1 = x'_1$ . Since  $x_2, x'_2 \in P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(x_1, x'_1)$ , there exists  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}\}$  with  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} \geq 2$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (iii), one has  $\sum_{\tilde{f} \neq (2,g-2)} p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,g-1)} = 2$  and  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$ . Setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}^* = (1, g-1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iv), we obtain

$$4 + \sum_{\tilde{f} \neq (2,g-2)} p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{f}} p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,g-1)} = 4 + \sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{l}} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} \geq 8. \quad (8)$$

Step 1 implies  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} \geq 3$  for some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{i}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we get  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} k_{\tilde{i}} = 4p_{\tilde{i},(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)}$ . Since  $p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{i}}^{(1,g-1)} \leq 2$ , we have  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} = 4$ .

Similarly, there exists a vertex  $x'_0 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_{g-1}, x_1)$  with  $x_0 \neq x'_0$  and  $(x'_0, x'_1) \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ . Since  $P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x_0, x_1) = P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x'_0, x_1) = \{x_2, x'_2\}$ , there exist  $x''_2 \in P_{\tilde{i},(g-1,1)}(x_0, x_1)$  and  $x'''_2 \in P_{\tilde{i},(g-1,1)}(x'_0, x_1)$  with  $x''_2, x'''_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2\}$ . If  $x'''_2 = x''_2$ , then  $x_0, x'_0 \in P_{(g-1,1),\tilde{i}}(x_1, x''_2)$ , which implies  $p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{i}}^{(1,g-1)} = 2$  since  $p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{i}}^{(1,g-1)} \leq 2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x''_2 \neq x'''_2$ . By  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} = 4$ , we have  $x''_2, x'''_2 \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}(x'_1)$ .

Since  $P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(x_1, x'_1) = \{x_2, x'_2, x''_2, x'''_2\}$ , we get  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 4$  for some  $\tilde{j} \neq (0,0)$ . Pick a vertex  $x'_3 \in P_{\tilde{i},(g-1,1)}(x'_1, x_2)$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{i}} = 4$ , one has  $x'_2, x''_2, x'''_2 \in \Gamma_{g-1,1}(x'_3)$ , which implies  $P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_1, x'_3) = \{x_2, x'_2, x''_2, x'''_2\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$  and  $\sum_{\tilde{f} \neq (2,g-2)} p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,g-1)} = 2$ , one gets  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{f}} = 4$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{f}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}^2 \setminus \{\Gamma_{2,g-2}\}$ .

By (8), we have  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{l}} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 8$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 4$  and  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$ , one gets  $p_{(1,g-1),\tilde{j}}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$  and  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} < 4$  for  $\tilde{h} \notin \{(0,0), \tilde{j}\}$ . Lemma 2.1 (ii) implies  $p_{(1,g-1),\tilde{j}}^{(1,g-1)} k_{\tilde{j}} = p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} k_{\tilde{j}}$ , and so  $k_{\tilde{j}} = 1$ .

Let  $F = \langle \Gamma_{\tilde{j}} \rangle$ . By  $k = 4$ , the valency of the quotient digraph  $\Gamma/F$  is 2. Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} < 4$  for  $\tilde{h} \notin \{(0,0), \tilde{j}\}$ , from the proof of [10, Proposition 4.3], the quotient digraph  $\Gamma/F$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_g, \{(1,0), (0,1)\})$ . The fact that  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{j}} = 4$  and  $k_{\tilde{j}} = 1$  imply that there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma$  from  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_g \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (1,0,1), (0,1,1)\})$  to  $\Gamma$ . Observe that  $(\sigma(0,0,0), \sigma(2,2,0)), (\sigma(0,0,0), \sigma(3,1,0)) \in \Gamma_{4,2g-4}$ . But one can verify  $\sigma(2,0,0) \in P_{(2,g-2),(2,g-2)}(\sigma(0,0,0), \sigma(2,2,0))$  and  $P_{(2,g-2),(2,g-2)}(\sigma(0,0,0), \sigma(3,1,0)) = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.

**Step 3.** If  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} = 4$ , then  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 2$  for  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}\}$ .

Suppose  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 4$  for some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}\}$ . Let  $(z, z') \in \Gamma_{\tilde{h}}$  and  $P_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}(z, z') = \{y, y', y'', y'''\}$ . Pick a vertex  $x \in P_{(g-1,1),(i,j)}(y, z)$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} = 4$ , we get  $y', y'', y''' \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}(x)$ . The fact  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$  implies  $z, z' \notin P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x, y)$ , and so  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-2,2)}^{(1,g-1)} \leq 2$ , contrary to Step 2. Hence,  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} \leq 3$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1} \setminus \{\Gamma_{0,0}\}$ .

Since  $p_{(g-1,1),(2,g-2)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$  from Step 2, by Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $p_{(g-1,1),(i,j)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}^* = (1, g-1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iv), we have

$$10 = \sum_{\tilde{f}} p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{f}} p_{(g-1,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,g-1)} = 4 + \sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{l}} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)}. \quad (9)$$

If  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} = 3$  for some  $\Gamma_{\tilde{h}} \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}\Gamma_{g-1,1}$ , from (9), then  $p_{\tilde{h},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$ ,

which implies  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{h}} k_{\tilde{h}} = p_{\tilde{h},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} k = 4$  by Lemma 2.1 (ii), a contradiction. Since  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$  from Lemma 2.1 (iii), the desired result follows by (9).

**Step 4.**  $g = 4$  and  $k_{2,2} = 6$ .

Since  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$  from Step 2, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we get  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} k = p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} k_{2,g-2}$ , and so  $k_{2,g-2} = 6$ . It suffices to show that  $g = 4$ .

Since  $p_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $p_{(i,j),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$  for some  $(i,j) \neq (2,g-2)$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1,g-1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} k_{i,j} = 4$ . Step 1 implies  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} = 1$  or 4.

Let  $(x,z) \in \Gamma_{2,g-2}$  and  $P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x,z) = \{y,y'\}$ . We claim  $(y,z') \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  for  $z' \in P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x,y') \setminus \{z\}$  and  $(z'',y) \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  for  $z'' \in P_{(g-1,1),(2,g-2)}(y',z) \setminus \{x\}$ . Suppose  $(y,z') \in \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  for some  $z' \in P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x,y') \setminus \{z\}$ . Since  $z, z' \in P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(y,y')$ , we have  $p_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \geq 2$  for some  $\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 3$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}^* = (1,g-1)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iv), one gets  $6 + p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} = \sum_{\tilde{l}} p_{(g-1,1),(1,g-1)}^{\tilde{l}} p_{\tilde{l},(1,g-1)}^{(1,g-1)} \geq 8$ , which implies  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} = 4$ . The fact  $p_{(i,j),(g-1,1)}^{(1,g-1)} = 1$  implies that there exists a vertex  $z''' \in P_{(i,j),(g-1,1)}(x,y')$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(i,j)} = 4$ , we obtain  $y \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x,z''')$ , and so  $z, z', z''' \in P_{(1,g-1),(g-1,1)}(y,y')$ , contrary to Step 3. Hence,  $(y,z') \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  for  $z' \in P_{(2,g-2),(g-1,1)}(x,y') \setminus \{z\}$ . Similarly,  $(z'',y) \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$  for  $z'' \in P_{(g-1,1),(2,g-2)}(y',z) \setminus \{x\}$ . Thus, our claim is valid.

Let  $(x_0 = x_g, x_1, \dots, x_{g-1})$  be a circuit. Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$ , there exist vertices  $x'_2 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_1, x_3)$  with  $x'_2 \neq x_2$ ,  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_0, x'_2)$  with  $x'_1 \neq x_1$  and  $x'_3 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x'_2, x_4)$  with  $x'_3 \neq x_3$ . By the claim, we have  $(x'_1, x_2) \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x''_2 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x'_1, x_3)$  with  $x''_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2\}$ . By the claim, we get  $(x''_2, x'_3) \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}$ . Since  $p_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}^{(2,g-2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x''_3 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x''_2, x_4)$  with  $x''_3 \notin \{x_3, x'_3\}$ . The claim implies  $x'_3, x''_3 \notin \Gamma_{1,g-1}(x_2)$ . It follows that there exists  $x'''_3 \in P_{(1,g-1),(1,g-1)}(x_2, x_4)$  with  $\Gamma_{g-1,1}(x_4) = \{x_3, x'_3, x''_3, x'''_3\}$ . If  $g > 4$ , then  $\Gamma_{g-1,1}(x_4) \subseteq P_{(g-1,1),(2,g-2)}(x_4, x_5)$ , contrary to Step 2. Hence,  $g = 4$ .

**Step 5.**  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{i,j}\}$ ,  $p_{(i,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(i,j)} = 1$  and  $k_{i,j} = 4$  with  $(i,j) \neq (2,2)$ .

By Step 4, we have  $k_{2,2} = 6$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (iii) and Step 2, we have  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{i,j}\}$  and  $p_{(i,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$  for some  $(i,j) \neq (2,2)$ . By setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{e} = (1,3)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(i,j)} k_{i,j} = 4$ . Step 1 implies  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(i,j)} = 1$  or 4.

Assume the contrary, namely,  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(i,j)} = 4$ . Note that  $k_{i,j} = 1$ . Since  $k = 4$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), one gets  $i = 2$ . Let  $\Gamma_{a,b} \in \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$  with  $(a,b) \neq (0,0)$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,3} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}^2$ , from Lemma 2.2, we have  $1 < a, b \leq 4$ .

Suppose  $a < 4$  or  $b < 4$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $a < 4$ . Let  $(x, w) \in \Gamma_{a,b}$ . By Step 3, there exist vertices  $u, u'$  such that  $P_{(3,1),(1,3)}(x, w) = \{u, u'\}$ . Note that  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 4$ . By Lemma 2.1 (iii), one gets  $\Gamma_{2,j} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ . Since  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$ , if  $a = 2$ , then  $(a, b) = (2, 2)$  and there exist distinct vertices  $y, y' \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x, w)$  and  $z \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(w, x)$ , which imply  $y, y', u, u' \in P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(w, z)$  since  $x \in P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(z, u) \cap P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(z, u')$ , contrary to Step 2. Hence,  $a = 3$ .

Let  $(x, y, z, w)$  be a path. If  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ , from  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 4$ , then  $u \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(y, w)$ , which implies that  $(u, x, y)$  is a circuit, a contradiction. Since  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$ , from the commutativity of  $\Gamma$ , we have  $(x, z), (y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Similarly,  $(u, y) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . By  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x' \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(u, y) \setminus \{x\}$  with  $(x', z) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Since  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(w, y) \subseteq P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(y, z)$  and  $p_{(3,1),(2,2)}^{(1,3)} = 3$ , we get  $x$  or  $x' \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(w, y)$ , contrary to the fact that  $\Gamma_{1,3} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}^2$ . Thus,  $a = b = 4$ .

Note that  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{4,4}\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{(4,4)} = 2$  from Step 3, by setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{c}^* = (1, 3)$  in Lemma 2.1 (i), we have  $k_{4,4} = 6$ . Since  $k_{2,j} = 1$ , from Lemma 2.1 (i) and (vi), one gets  $\Gamma_{2,j}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{i',j-1}\}$  with  $k_{i',j-1} = 4$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii), one gets  $p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{(1,3)} = p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 0$ , which implies  $i' = 3$  from  $k_{2,2} = 6$ . Let  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,3}(z) = \{w, w', w'', w'''\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , we may assume  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x, z) = \{y, y'\}$  and  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z, x) = \{w'', w'''\}$ . The fact  $w'', w''' \in P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y, z) \cap P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y', z)$  and  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$  imply  $(y, w), (y', w') \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  or  $(y, w'), (y', w) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Since  $\Gamma_{2,j}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{3,j-1}\}$ , we have  $w, w' \in \Gamma_{3,j-1}(x)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{3,j-1}\}$ , and so  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{3,j-1}\}$ .

Pick a path  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  with  $(x_0, x_2), (x_2, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Since  $k_{2,j} = 1$ , from Lemma 2.1 (i), we have  $k_{c,d} = 1$  with  $\tilde{\partial}(x_0, x_4) = (c, d)$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{4,4}\}$  implies  $x_1 \notin P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_0, x_4)$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 4$ , one gets  $(c, d) \neq (2, j)$ . Note that  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{3,j-1}\}$ . Since  $k_{2,2} = 6$  and  $k_{1,3} = k_{3,j-1} = 4$ , one gets  $c = 4$ .

Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 4$ , we may assume  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  implies that there exists  $x'_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_1, x_3)$  with  $x'_2 \neq x_2$ . Since  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , one has  $(x_0, x'_2), (x'_2, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ , which implies that there exist  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x'_2, x_0)$  and  $x'_3 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_4, x'_2)$ . Since  $k_{4,4} = 6$  and  $k_{4,d} = 1$ , we get  $d < 4$ . Note that  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{3,j-1}\}$ . Since  $k_{2,2} = 6$  and  $k_{1,3} = k_{3,j-1} = 4$ , one obtains  $d = 2$  and  $j = c = 4$ . By  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 4$ , we have  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_4, x_0)$ , which implies  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(x'_2, x_4)$ , contrary to the fact that  $\Gamma_{2,2} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ .

This completes the proof of this step.

**Step 6.** For each  $\Gamma_{\tilde{l}} \in \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$  with  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} = 1$ .

In view of Step 5, we have  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(i,j)} = p_{(i,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ . By Step 2 and setting  $\tilde{d} = \tilde{c} = \tilde{h} = \tilde{g}^* = (1, 3)$  in Lemma 2.1 (iv), we have  $7 = \sum_{\tilde{f}} \tilde{f} p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{\tilde{f}} p_{(3,1),\tilde{f}}^{(1,3)} = 4 + \sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{(3,1),(1,3)}^{\tilde{l}} p_{\tilde{l},(1,3)}^{(1,3)}$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (iii), we get  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{\tilde{l},(1,3)}^{(1,3)} = 3$ , and so  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} = 1$  for all  $\Gamma_{\tilde{l}} \in \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$  with  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$ .

**Step 7.**  $i = 2$ .

Suppose  $i = 1$ . Note that  $j = 3$  and  $\Gamma_{1,3} \in \Gamma_{1,3}^2$ . Let  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{1,3}$  and  $y \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x, z)$ . Pick a vertex  $w \in P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(x, z)$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$  from Step 6, we have  $(y, w) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  by Step 5. The fact  $x \in P_{(3,1),(1,3)}(y, w)$  implies  $\Gamma_{2,2} \in \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ . Note that  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{(2,2)}k_{2,2} = 6$ , contrary to Lemma 2.1 (v). Thus,  $i = 2$ .

**Step 8.** Suppose  $(x, z) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  and  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x, z) = \{y, y'\}$ . Then  $P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y, z) \cap P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y', z) \subseteq \Gamma_{3,1}(x)$  and  $P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(x, y) \cap P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(x, y') \subseteq \Gamma_{1,3}(z)$ .

Let  $w \in P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y, z) \cap P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y', z)$ . Since  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$  from Step 5, there exist distinct vertices  $w' \in P_{(2,j),(3,1)}(y, z)$  and  $w'' \in P_{(2,j),(3,1)}(y', z)$ . By  $j > 2$ , we have  $w', w'' \notin P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z, x)$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , one gets  $w \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z, x)$ . Hence,  $P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y, z) \cap P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(y', z) \subseteq \Gamma_{3,1}(x)$ . Similarly,  $P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(x, y) \cap P_{(3,1),(2,2)}(x, y') \subseteq \Gamma_{1,3}(z)$ .

**Step 9.** Let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a path such that  $(x_0, x_2), (x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\partial}(x_0, x_3) = \tilde{a}$ . Then  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \notin \Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3}$ ,  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  and

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} = \{(y_0, y_3) \mid \text{there is a path } (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \text{ with } (y_0, y_2), (y_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}\}.$$

Suppose  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \in \Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3}$ . Note that there exists  $x'_2 \in P_{(2,2),(1,3)}(x_0, x_3)$  with  $x_2 \neq x'_2$ . By Step 5, we have  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x'_1, x''_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_0, x'_2)$  such that  $x_1, x'_1, x''_1$  are pairwise distinct. Since  $(x_0, x_3) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$  and  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , from Step 8, we may assume  $(x'_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  and  $(x''_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . It follows that  $p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{a}} \geq 1$  and  $p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{\tilde{a}} \geq 2$ . The fact  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  and  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$  imply that there exists  $x''_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x'_1, x_3)$  with  $x''_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2\}$ . Since  $(x_0, x_3) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ , from Step 8, one gets  $(x_0, x''_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ .

If  $p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{a}} = 2$ , then there exists  $x'''_2 \in P_{(2,2),(1,2)}(x_0, x_3)$  with  $x'''_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2, x''_2\}$ , which implies  $x_1$  or  $x''_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_0, x'''_2)$  since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ . Lemma 2.1 (iii) implies  $p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{a}} = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Step 4, we have  $k_{\tilde{a}} = p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{a}}k_{\tilde{a}} = k_{2,2}p_{\tilde{a},(3,1)}^{(2,2)} \geq 6$ . Since  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , there exists  $(y_0, y_3) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$  such that there is no path  $(y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)$  with  $(y_0, y_2), (y_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ .

Since  $p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{a}} = 1$  and  $p_{(1,2),(1,2)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , we may assume  $y_2 \in P_{(2,2),(1,3)}(y_0, y_3)$  and  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(y_0, y_2) = \{y_1, y'_1\}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,2),(2,j)}^{\tilde{a}} \geq 2$  and  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$  imply that there exist  $y''_1 \in P_{(1,3),(2,j)}(y_0, y_3)$  with  $y''_1 \notin \{y_1, y'_1\}$  and  $y''_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(y''_1, y_3)$  with  $y_2 \neq y''_2$ . Note that  $(y_0, y''_2) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . This implies  $y_2, y''_2 \in P_{(2,2),(1,3)}(y_0, y_3)$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(1,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{a}} = 1$ . Thus,  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \notin \Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3}$ .

For any  $(z_0, z_3) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$ , there exists a path  $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$  with  $(z_1, z_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  by  $x_1 \in P_{(1,3),(2,j)}(x_0, x_3)$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \notin \Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3}$ , we have  $(z_0, z_2) \notin \Gamma_{2,2}$ , and so  $(z_0, z_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  from Step 5. Conversely, for any path  $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)$  with  $(z_0, z_2), (z_1, z_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ , since  $p_{(2,2),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 3$  and  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \notin \Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3}$ , we get  $p_{\tilde{a},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 1$  and  $(z_0, z_3) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$ , which imply  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} = \{(y_0, y_3) \mid \text{there is a path } (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \text{ with } (y_0, y_2), (y_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}\}$ .

Since  $p_{\tilde{a},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ , from Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have  $k_{\tilde{a}}p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{a}} = k_{2,j}p_{\tilde{a},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 4$ . It follows that  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ .

This completes the proof of this step.

**Step 10.** Let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, y_3)$  be a path with  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(x_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\partial}(x_0, y_3) = \tilde{b}$ . Then  $\Gamma_{2,j}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$ ,  $\Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$ ,  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = 1$  and  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$ .

Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x'_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_1, y_3)$  with  $x_2 \neq x'_2$ . By Step 5, one has  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , which implies  $(x_0, x'_2) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Then there exists  $x'_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_0, x'_2)$  with  $x_1 \neq x'_1$ . Since  $(x_0, y_3) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ , from Step 8, one has  $(x'_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Since  $x'_2 \in P_{(2,2),(1,3)}(x_0, y_3)$  and  $x_2 \in P_{(2,j),(1,3)}(x_0, y_3)$ , we get  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} \geq 1$  and  $p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} \geq 1$ .

**Case 1.**  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} \geq 2$  or  $p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} \geq 2$ .

Suppose  $p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} \geq 2$ . Then there exist  $x''_2 \in P_{(2,j),(1,3)}(x_0, y_3)$  with  $x''_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2\}$  and  $x''_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_0, x''_2)$  with  $x''_1 \notin \{x_1, x'_1\}$  since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  and  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ . By Step 9, one has  $(x''_1, y_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ , which implies that there exists  $x'''_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x''_1, y_3)$  with  $x'''_2 \neq x''_2$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$  and  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , we get  $x'''_2 \notin \{x_2, x'_2\}$ . The fact  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$  implies  $(x_0, x'''_2) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Suppose  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} \geq 2$ . Then there exist  $x''_1 \in P_{(1,3),(2,2)}(x_0, y_3)$  with  $x''_1 \notin \{x_1, x'_1\}$  and  $x''_2, x'''_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x''_1, y_3)$  with  $\Gamma_{3,1}(y_3) = \{x_2, x'_2, x''_2, x'''_2\}$  since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  and  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ . Since  $(x_0, y_3) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ , from Step 8, we may assume  $(x_0, x''_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(x_0, x'''_2) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . For both cases, since  $x'_2, x'''_2 \in P_{(2,2),(1,3)}(x_0, y_3)$  and  $x_2, x''_2 \in P_{(2,j),(1,3)}(x_0, y_3)$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), one has  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = 2$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Steps 4, 5, we get  $2k_{\tilde{b}} = k_{2,2}p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 6p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)}$  and  $2k_{\tilde{b}} = k_{2,j}p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 4p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,j)}$ . Then  $p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ ,  $p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 3$  and  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 6$ . Since  $p_{(3,1),(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii) and (iv), one has  $p_{(1,3),(2,2)}^{(3,1)}p_{(3,1),(3,1)}^{(2,2)} + p_{(1,3),(2,2)}^{\tilde{b}}p_{(3,1),\tilde{b}}^{(2,2)} = 4 + \sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{(3,1),(1,3)}^{\tilde{l}}p_{\tilde{l},(2,2)}^{(2,2)} = 10$ . Step 6 implies  $\sum_{\tilde{l} \neq (0,0)} p_{\tilde{l},(2,2)}^{(2,2)} \geq 6$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = 1$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Steps 4, 5, we have  $k_{\tilde{b}} = k_{2,2}p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 6p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)}$  and  $k_{\tilde{b}} = k_{2,j}p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} = 4p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,j)}$ , which imply that  $p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 3$ . Then  $p_{\tilde{b},(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  and  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$ . Since  $p_{\tilde{a},(3,1)}^{(2,j)} \geq 1$  and  $p_{(3,1),(3,1)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , from Lemma 2.1 (iii), one gets  $\Gamma_{2,j}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$  and  $\Gamma_{2,2}\Gamma_{1,3} = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$ .

**Step 11.** Let  $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  be a path with  $(z_0, z_2), (z_2, z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(z_1, z_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Then  $(z_0, z_4) \in \Gamma_{4,4}$  and  $k_{4,4} \in \{3, 6\}$ .



Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , there exists  $z'_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_1, z_3)$  with  $z_2 \neq z'_2$ . By Step 5, we have  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$  and  $k_{2,j} = 4$ , which imply  $z_0, z_4 \in \Gamma_{2,2}(z'_2)$ .

We claim that there exists a path  $(z_4, w_3, w_2, w_1, z_0)$  such that  $(z_4, w_2), (w_2, z_0) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(w_3, w_1) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  if  $k_{\tilde{c}} \in \{3, 6\}$  with  $\tilde{\partial}(z_0, z_4) = \tilde{c}$ . Let  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_4, z'_2) = \{w_3, w'_3\}$  and  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z'_2, z_0) = \{w_1, w'_1\}$ . Since  $k_{\tilde{c}} \in \{3, 6\}$  and  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \leq 1$  for all  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$  from Step 6, by Lemma 2.1 (v), we have  $\Gamma_{\tilde{c}} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ , which implies  $w_1, w'_1 \notin \Gamma_{3,1}(z_4)$ . Since  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , from Step 8, we may assume  $(w'_3, w_1), (w_3, w'_1) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(w_3, w_1) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  implies that there exists  $w_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(w_3, w_1)$  with  $w_2 \neq z'_2$ . Since  $(w_3, z_0), (z_4, w_1) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ , from Step 8, we obtain  $w_2 \in P_{(2,j),(2,j)}(z_4, z_0)$ . Thus, our claim is valid.

By the claim, it suffices to show  $\partial(z_0, z_4) = 4$  and  $k_{\tilde{c}} \in \{3, 6\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , there exist  $z'_1 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_0, z'_2)$  with  $z'_1 \neq z_1$  and  $z'_3 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z'_2, z_4)$  with  $z'_3 \neq z_3$ . Since  $(z_0, z_2), (z_2, z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ , one has  $(z_0, z_3), (z_1, z_4) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ , which implies  $(z'_1, z_3), (z_1, z'_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  from Step 8. By  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , one has  $(z'_1, z'_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Then there exists  $z''_2 \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z'_1, z'_3)$  with  $z''_2 \notin \{z_2, z'_2\}$ . Since  $(z_0, z'_3), (z'_1, z_4) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ , from Step 8, we get  $(z_0, z''_2), (z''_2, z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . The fact  $z_2, z''_2 \in P_{(2,j),(2,j)}(z_0, z_4)$  and  $z'_2 \in P_{(2,2),(2,2)}(z_0, z_4)$  imply  $p_{(2,j),(2,j)}^{\tilde{c}} \geq 2$  and  $p_{(2,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{c}} \geq 1$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Step 4, we have

$$k_{\tilde{c}} p_{(2,j),(2,j)}^{\tilde{c}} = k_{2,j} p_{\tilde{c},(j,2)}^{(2,j)} = 4 p_{\tilde{c},(j,2)}^{(2,j)}, \quad (10)$$

$$k_{\tilde{c}} p_{(2,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{c}} = k_{2,2} p_{\tilde{c},(2,2)}^{(2,2)} = 6 p_{\tilde{c},(2,2)}^{(2,2)}. \quad (11)$$

**Case 1.**  $p_{(2,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{c}} = 1$ .

By (11), we have  $k_{\tilde{c}} = 6 p_{\tilde{c},(2,2)}^{(2,2)}$ . In view of (10), one gets  $p_{\tilde{c},(j,2)}^{(2,j)} = 3$ , which implies  $k_{\tilde{c}} = 6$  since  $p_{(2,j),(2,j)}^{\tilde{c}} \geq 2$ .

Assume the contrary, namely,  $\partial(z_0, z_4) < 4$ . By Step 5, we get  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$  with  $k_{2,j} = 4$ . Steps 9 and 10 imply  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$  with  $k_{3,1} = 4$ ,  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$  and  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Hence,  $(z_0, z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ .

Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , we may assume that  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_0, z_4) = \{z, z'\}$  and  $w \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_4, z_0)$ . By Steps 9 and 10, one gets  $z_1, z'_1 \in \Gamma_{\tilde{b}^*}(z_4)$  with  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$ . The fact  $k = 4$  implies that  $z, z', z_1, z'_1$  are pairwise distinct. In view of Step 5, we have  $z, z' \in P_{(2,2),(3,1)}(w, z_0)$ . Since  $p_{(2,2),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 3$  from Step 2, we may assume  $(w, z_1) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(w, z'_1) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . In view of Step 9, one has  $(w, z_2) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$  with  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ . If  $(z_3, w) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ , from Steps 9 and 10, then  $(z_2, w) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}$  with  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$ , a contradiction. By Step 5, we obtain  $(z_3, w) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ , which implies  $(z_2, w) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$  from Step 9. It follows that  $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}^*$ . Since  $k_{2,2} = 6$  from Step 4, we have  $\tilde{a} = (3, 3)$ .

Since  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , we have  $z'_1, z'_3 \in \Gamma_{2,2}(w)$ . Since  $(z_0, z''_2), (z''_2, z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ , from Steps 9 and 10, one gets  $(w, z''_2), (z''_2, w) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}$  with  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$ . It follows that  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}^*$ . The fact  $k_{2,2} = 6$  implies  $\tilde{b} = (3, 3)$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $p_{(2,2),(2,2)}^{\tilde{c}} \geq 2$ .

Note that there exists  $z'''_2 \in P_{(2,2),(2,2)}(z_0, z_4)$  with  $z'''_2 \notin \{z_2, z'_2, z''_2\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , we may assume  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_0, z'''_2) = \{z''_1, z'''_1\}$  and  $P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z'''_2, z_4) =$

$\{z_3'', z_3'''\}$ . Note that  $z_2' \in P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(z_1, z_1') \cap P_{(3,1),(1,3)}(z_3, z_3')$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \leq 1$  for  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$ , one has  $\{z_1'', z_1'''\} \neq \{z_1, z_1'\}$  and  $\{z_3'', z_3'''\} \neq \{z_3, z_3'\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $z_1'' \notin \{z_1, z_1'\}$  and  $z_3'' \notin \{z_3, z_3'\}$ .

If  $z_1''' = z_1'$ , from Steps 9 and 10, then  $(z_1', z_4) \in \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}$ , which implies  $z_2', z_2''' \in P_{(1,3),(2,2)}(z_1', z_4)$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(2,2),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = 1$ . Similarly, we have  $z_1''' \notin \{z_1, z_1'\}$  and  $z_3''' \notin \{z_3, z_3'\}$ .

Since  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \leq 1$  for  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$ , we have  $z_3''$  or  $z_3''' \notin \Gamma_{3,1}(z_0)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $(z_0, z_3''') \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ . By Step 8, we may assume  $(z_1'', z_3''') \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Since  $p_{(2,j),(3,1)}^{(1,3)} = 1$ , we obtain  $(z_1''', z_3''') \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . The fact  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  implies that there exists  $z_2'''' \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(z_1''', z_3''')$  with  $z_2'''' \neq z_2'''$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,j)} = 1$ , one has  $z_2'''' \notin \{z_2, z_2', z_2'', z_2'''\}$ . Note that  $(z_1'', z_3''') \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(z_0, z_3''') \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ . By Step 8, we get  $(z_0, z_2''') \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ .

Suppose  $(z_2''', z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . The fact  $z_2'''' \in P_{(3,1),(1,3)}(z_3''', z_3'')$  and  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \leq 1$  for  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$  imply that  $(z_2''', z_3'') \notin \Gamma_{1,3}$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$  and  $\Gamma_{3,1}(z_4) = \{z_3, z_3', z_3'', z_3'''\}$ , one gets  $z_3$  or  $z_3' \in \Gamma_{1,3}(z_2''')$ . By Steps 9 and 10, we have  $z_3, z_3' \in \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}(z_0)$ . It follows that  $z_2'''' \in P_{(2,j),(1,3)}(z_0, z_3)$  or  $z_2'''' \in P_{(2,j),(1,3)}(z_0, z_3')$ , contrary to the fact that  $p_{(2,j),(1,3)}^{\tilde{b}} = 1$  from Step 10. Then  $(z_2''', z_4) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ .

By Steps 9 and 10, we have  $z_3, z_3', z_3'', z_3''' \in P_{\tilde{b},(1,3)}(z_0, z_4)$ , which implies  $p_{\tilde{b},(1,3)}^{\tilde{c}} = 4$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Step 10, one obtains  $4k_{\tilde{c}} = p_{\tilde{b},(1,3)}^{\tilde{c}} k_{\tilde{c}} = k_{\tilde{b}} p_{\tilde{c},(3,1)}^{\tilde{b}} = 12p_{\tilde{c},(3,1)}^{\tilde{b}}$ , which implies  $k_{\tilde{c}} = 3p_{\tilde{c},(3,1)}^{\tilde{b}}$ . Since  $z_2, z_2'', z_2'''' \in P_{(2,j),(2,j)}(z_0, z_4)$ , one gets  $p_{(2,j),(2,j)}^{\tilde{c}} \geq 3$ . Since  $p_{\tilde{c},(j,2)}^{(2,j)} \leq 4$  from Lemma 2.1 (iii), by (10), one has  $k_{\tilde{c}} = 3$ .

Since  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$  with  $k_{2,j} = 4$ , from Steps 9 and 10, we get  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$  with  $k_{3,1} = 4$ ,  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$  and  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Then  $\partial(z_0, z_4) = 4$ .

This completes the proof of this step.

In the following, we reach a contradiction based on the above discussion.

By Steps 4, 5 and 7, one gets  $\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \{\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{2,j}\}$  with  $k_{2,2} = 6$ . In view of Steps 9 and 10, we have  $\Gamma_{1,3}^3 = \{\Gamma_{3,1}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$  with  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$  and  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Step 11 implies  $k_{4,4} \in \{3, 6\}$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(3,1)}^{\tilde{l}} \leq 1$  for  $\tilde{l} \neq (0, 0)$  from Step 6, we have  $\Gamma_{2,2}, \Gamma_{4,4} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$  by Lemma 2.1 (v). Lemma 2.2 implies  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} \subseteq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,j}, \Gamma_{j,2}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}^*}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}^*}\}$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_{\tilde{b}} \in \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ . Since  $k_{\tilde{b}} = 12$ , from Lemma 2.1 (i), one gets  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$ . It follows from Step 10 that there exists a path  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  such that  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ ,  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$  and  $(x_0, x_4) \in \Gamma_{1,3}$ . By Step 11, we obtain  $(x_2, x_4) \in \Gamma_{2,2}$ . Since  $p_{(1,3),(1,3)}^{(2,2)} = 2$ , there exists  $x_3' \in P_{(1,3),(1,3)}(x_2, x_4)$  with  $x_3 \neq x_3'$ . The fact  $\Gamma_{1,3} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$  implies  $(x_1, x_4) \notin \Gamma_{3,1}$ . By Step 8, one has  $(x_1, x_3') \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . In view of Steps 9 and 10, we get  $(x_0, x_3') \in \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}$  with  $\tilde{a} \neq \tilde{b}$ . Since  $x_4 \in P_{(1,3),(3,1)}(x_0, x_3')$ , one obtains  $\Gamma_{\tilde{a}} \in \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ , contrary to the fact that  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{\tilde{b}}\}$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma_{\tilde{b}} \notin \Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1}$ . Note that  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} \subseteq \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,j}, \Gamma_{j,2}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}^*}\}$ . Step 9 implies  $k_{\tilde{a}} \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Since  $k_{2,j} = 4$  and  $j > 2$ , from Step 6 and Lemma 2.1 (i), (vi), we have  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,j}, \Gamma_{j,2}, \Gamma_{\tilde{a}}\}$  with  $k_{\tilde{a}} = 4$ . The fact  $k_{2,2} = 6$

implies  $\tilde{a} = (3, 3)$ . Let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  be a path such that  $(x_0, x_2) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$  and  $(x_0, x_3) \in \Gamma_{1,3}$ . Since  $x_0 \in P_{(3,1),(1,3)}(x_1, x_3)$  and  $\Gamma_{1,3}\Gamma_{3,1} = \{\Gamma_{0,0}, \Gamma_{2,j}, \Gamma_{j,2}, \Gamma_{3,3}\}$ , we have  $(x_1, x_3) \in \Gamma_{2,j}$ . Step 9 implies  $(1, 3) = \tilde{\partial}(x_0, x_3) = \tilde{a} = (3, 3)$ , a contradiction.

## Acknowledgements

Y. Yang and Z. Wang are supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2652019319).

## References

- [1] Z. Arad, E. Fisman and M. Muzychuk, Generalized table algebras, *Israel J. Math.* 114 (1999) 29–60.
- [2] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, California, 1984.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [4] E.R. van Dam, J.H. Koolen and H. Tanaka, Distance-regular graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* (2016) DS22.
- [5] Z. Li, S. Gao and H. Guo, Commutative weakly distance-regular digraphs of circle with fixed length, *Ars Combin.* 101 (2011) 301–307.
- [6] A. Hanaki, Classification of weakly distance-regular digraphs with up to 21 vertices, <http://math.shinshu-u.ac.jp/~hanaki/as/data/wdrdg>.
- [7] A. Hanaki, Classification of association schemes of order 26, <http://math.shinshu-u.ac.jp/~hanaki/as/as26>.
- [8] A. Hanaki, Classification of association schemes of order 31, <http://math.shinshu-u.ac.jp/~hanaki/as/as31>.
- [9] H. Suzuki, Thin weakly distance-regular digraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 92 (2004) 69–83.
- [10] K. Wang and H. Suzuki, Weakly distance-regular digraphs, *Discrete Math.* 264 (2003) 225–236.
- [11] K. Wang, Commutative weakly distance-regular digraphs of girth 2, *European J. Combin.* 25 (2004) 363–375.
- [12] Y. Yang, B. Lv and K. Wang, Weakly distance-regular digraphs of valency three, I, *Electron. J. Combin.* 23(2) (2016), Paper 2.12.
- [13] Y. Yang, B. Lv and K. Wang, Weakly distance-regular digraphs of valency three, II, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 160 (2018) 288–315.

- [14] Y. Yang, B. Lv and K. Wang, Quasi-thin weakly distance-regular digraphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 51 (2020) 19–50.
- [15] Y. Yang and K. Wang, Thick weakly distance-regular digraphs, [arXiv:2003.08053](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.08053).
- [16] P.H. Zieschang, An Algebraic Approach to Association Schemes, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol.1628, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [17] P.H. Zieschang, *Theory of Association Schemes*, Springer Monograph in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2005.