

Local and 2-local derivations of simple n -ary algebras

Bruno Leonardo Macedo Ferreira^a, Ivan Kaygorodov^b & Karimbergen Kudaybergenov^c

^a Federal University of Technology, Guarapuava, Brazil

^b CMCC, Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, Brazil

^c V.I.Romanovskiy Institute of Mathematics Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

E-mail addresses:

Bruno Leonardo Macedo Ferreira (brunoferreira@utfpr.edu.br)

Ivan Kaygorodov (kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com)

Karimbergen Kudaybergenov (karim2006@mail.ru)

Keywords: local derivation, 2-local derivation, n -Lie algebra, ternary Malcev algebra.

AMS: 17A30, 17A42.

Abstract: In the present paper we prove that every local and 2-local derivation of the complex finite dimensional simple Filippov algebra is a derivation. As a corollary we have the description of all local and 2-local derivations of complex finite dimensional semisimple Filippov algebras. All local derivations of the ternary Malcev algebra M_8 are described. It is the first example of a finite-dimensional simple algebra which admits pure local derivations, i.e. algebra admits a local derivation which is not a derivation.

INTRODUCTION

The study of local derivations started with Kadison's article [8]. A similar notion, which characterizes non-linear generalizations of derivations, was introduced by Šemrl as 2-local derivations. In his paper [15] was proved that a 2-local derivation of the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H is a derivation. After these works, appear numerous new results related to the description of local and 2-local derivations of associative algebras (see, for example, [11]). The study of local and 2-local derivations of non-associative algebras was initiated in some papers of Ayupov and Kudaybergenov (for the case of Lie algebras, see [2, 3]). In particular, they proved that there are no pure local and 2-local derivations on semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebras. In [5] it is also given examples of 2-local derivations on nilpotent Lie algebras which are not derivations. After the cited works, the study of local and 2-local derivations was continued for Leibniz algebras [4] and Jordan algebras [1]. Local automorphisms and 2-local automorphisms, also were studied in many cases, for example, they were studied on Lie algebras [2, 6]. The present paper is devoted to the study local and 2-local derivations of n -ary simple algebras, such that Filippov algebras and the ternary Malcev algebra M_8 . Early, some certain types of generalized derivations of these algebras were described in [9, 10].

Our brief introduction finishes with two principal definitions.

Definition 1. Let \mathbf{A} be an n -ary algebra. A linear map $\nabla : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is called a local derivation, if for any element $x \in \mathbf{A}$ there exists a derivation $\mathfrak{D}_x : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that $\nabla(x) = \mathfrak{D}_x(x)$.

Definition 2. A (not necessary linear) map $\Delta : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is called a 2-local derivation, if for any two elements $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$ there exists an derivation $\mathfrak{D}_{x,y} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that $\Delta(x) = \mathfrak{D}_{x,y}(x)$, $\Delta(y) = \mathfrak{D}_{x,y}(y)$.

1. LOCAL AND 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS OF FILIPPOV ALGEBRAS

1.1. Preliminaries. A Filippov algebra, whose definition appeared in [7], is defined as an algebra L with one anticommutative n -ary operation $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying the identity

$$[[x_1, \dots, x_n], y_2, \dots, y_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \dots, [x_i, y_2, \dots, y_n], \dots, x_n].$$

An example of an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional n -ary Filippov algebra is the algebra with the basis $\ell = \{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ and the multiplication table

$$[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^{n+i+1} e_i,$$

where \hat{e}_i denotes the omission of the element e_i from the n -ary product. We denote this algebra by A_{n+1} . We will consider $(n - 1)$ -ary n -dimensional algebras A_n for $n \geq 4$. As mentioned in [12], the algebras of type A_n exhaust all simple finite-dimensional $(n - 1)$ -ary Filippov algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Thanks to [9] we have the following description of the matrix of a derivation of the n -ary algebra A_n .

Proposition 3. A linear map $\mathfrak{D} : A_n \rightarrow A_n$ is a derivation of the $(n - 1)$ -ary algebra A_n if and only if the matrix of \mathfrak{D} has the following matrix form:

$$[\mathfrak{D}]_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1k} & \dots & x_{1n-1} & x_{1n} \\ -x_{12} & 0 & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2k} & \dots & x_{2n-1} & x_{2n} \\ -x_{13} & -x_{23} & 0 & \dots & x_{3k} & \dots & x_{3n-1} & x_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -x_{1k} & -x_{2k} & -x_{3k} & \dots & 0 & \dots & x_{kn-1} & x_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -x_{1n-1} & -x_{2n-1} & -x_{3n-1} & \dots & -x_{kn-1} & \dots & 0 & x_{n-1n} \\ -x_{1n} & -x_{2n} & -x_{3n} & \dots & -x_{kn} & \dots & -x_{n-1n} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

that is, $x_{ii} = 0$ and $x_{ij} + x_{ji} = 0$ to $i \neq j$.

1.2. Local derivations of semisimple Filippov algebras. In the present subsection, we proved that each local derivation of the complex finite-dimensional simple Filippov n -ary ($n > 2$) algebra is a derivation. As a corollary, jointed with the results from [3, 12], we have the same statement for all complex finite-dimensional semisimple Filippov n -ary ($n > 1$) algebras.

Theorem 4. Each local derivation of A_n is a derivation.

Proof. Let ∇ be an arbitrary local derivation of A_n , by definition we have

$$\nabla(x) = D_x(x).$$

Let us consider B and A_x the matrix of the linear operator ∇ and D_x respectively. Thus $B(x) = A_x(x)$,

$$B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & \dots & b_{1k} & \dots & b_{1n-1} & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & \dots & b_{2k} & \dots & b_{2n-1} & b_{2n} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} & \dots & b_{3k} & \dots & b_{3n-1} & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{k1} & b_{k2} & b_{k3} & \dots & b_{kk} & \dots & b_{kn-1} & b_{kn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{n-11} & b_{n-12} & b_{n-13} & \dots & b_{n-1k} & \dots & b_{n-1n-1} & b_{n-1n} \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & b_{3n} & \dots & b_{nk} & \dots & b_{nn-1} & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^x & a_{13}^x & \dots & a_{1k}^x & \dots & a_{1n-1}^x & a_{1n}^x \\ -a_{12}^x & 0 & a_{23}^x & \dots & a_{2k}^x & \dots & a_{2n-1}^x & a_{2n}^x \\ -a_{13}^x & -a_{23}^x & 0 & \dots & a_{3k}^x & \dots & a_{3n-1}^x & a_{3n}^x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -a_{1k}^x & -a_{2k}^x & -a_{3k}^x & \dots & 0 & \dots & a_{kn-1}^x & a_{kn}^x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -a_{1n-1}^x & -a_{2n-1}^x & -a_{3n-1}^x & \dots & -a_{kn-1}^x & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1n}^x \\ -a_{1n}^x & -a_{2n}^x & -a_{3n}^x & \dots & -a_{kn}^x & \dots & -a_{n-1n}^x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = A_x(x),$$

for all $x \in A_{n+1}$. Taking $\Xi_k = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_k, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ we get $b_{kk} = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we obtain

$$B(\Xi_k + \Xi_l) = A_{\Xi_k + \Xi_l}(\Xi_k + \Xi_l).$$

Hence, $A_{\Xi_k + \Xi_l}$ is an antisymmetric matrix, it gives that $b_{kl} = -b_{lk}$ and the matrix B is antisymmetric. The last gives that ∇ is a derivation. \square

1.3. 2-Local derivations of semisimple Filippov algebras. In the present subsection, we prove that each local derivation of the complex finite-dimensional simple Filippov n -ary ($n > 2$) algebra is a derivation. As a corollary, jointed with the results from [5, 12], we have the same statement for all complex finite-dimensional semisimple Filippov n -ary ($n > 1$) algebras.

Theorem 5. *Each 2-local derivation of A_n is a derivation.*

Proof. Let Δ be an arbitrary 2-local derivation of A_n . Then, by the definition, for every element $a, b \in A_n$, there exists a derivation $D_{a,b}$ of A_n such that

$$\Delta(a) = D_{a,b}(a), \quad \Delta(b) = D_{a,b}(b).$$

By Proposition 3, the matrix $A^{a,b}$ of the derivation $D_{a,b}$ has the following matrix form:

$$A^{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12}^{a,b} & x_{13}^{a,b} & \cdots & x_{1k}^{a,b} & \cdots & x_{1n}^{a,b} \\ -x_{12}^{a,b} & 0 & x_{23}^{a,b} & \cdots & x_{2k}^{a,b} & \cdots & x_{2n}^{a,b} \\ -x_{13}^{a,b} & -x_{23}^{a,b} & 0 & \cdots & x_{3k}^{a,b} & \cdots & x_{3n}^{a,b} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -x_{1k}^{a,b} & -x_{2k}^{a,b} & -x_{3k}^{a,b} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & x_{kn}^{a,b} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -x_{1n}^{a,b} & -x_{2n}^{a,b} & -x_{3n}^{a,b} & \cdots & -x_{kn}^{a,b} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & T^{a,b} \\ & & \ddots & \\ -T^{a,b} & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i$ be an arbitrary element from A_n . For every $v \in A_n$ there exists a derivation $D_{v,a}$ such that

$$\Delta(v) = D_{v,a}(v), \quad \Delta(a) = D_{v,a}(a).$$

Then from

$$D_{e_n,v}(e_n) = D_{e_n,a}(e_n), \quad v \in A_n,$$

it follows that $T_{i,n}^{e_n,v} = T_{i,n}^{e_n,a}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we can write

$$A^{e_n,a} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & & \hat{T} & \mathbf{T}^{e_n,v} \\ & 0 & & \\ -\hat{T} & & \ddots & \\ \hline & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where $\mathbf{T}^{e_n,v} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1n}^{e_n,v} \\ x_{2n}^{e_n,v} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1n}^{e_n,v} \end{pmatrix}$. Hence,

$$\Delta(a) = D_{e_n,a}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^{e_n,a} e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (-x_{in}^{e_n,v} \lambda_i) e_n,$$

for some elements $\mu_i^{e_n,a} \in \mathbb{F}$. Similarly, taking e_j for each $j = n-1, n-2, \dots, 2$ we have from

$$D_{e_j,v}(e_j) = D_{e_j,a}(e_j), \quad v \in A_n,$$

we have the following $T_{i,j}^{e_j,v} = T_{i,j}^{e_j,a}$ for each $j = n-1, n-2, \dots, 2$ and $T_{i,j}^{e_j,v} = -T_{j,i}^{e_j,v}$. Hence,

$$\Delta(a) = D_{e_i,a}(a), \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that

$$\Delta(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (-T_{i,j}^{e_i,v_j} \lambda_{e_j}) e_i, \quad v_j \in A_{n+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore the mapping Δ is linear and it is a local derivation. By Theorem 4, we get that Δ is a derivation. This completes the proof. \square

2. LOCAL DERIVATIONS OF THE TERNARY MALCEV ALGEBRA M_8

2.1. Preliminaries. The idea of introducing a generalization of Filippov algebras comes from binary Malcev algebras and it was realized in a paper of Pojidaev [13]. He defined n -ary Malcev algebras, generalizing Malcev algebras and n -ary Filippov algebras. For construction of the most important example of n -ary Malcev (non-Filippov) we denote by \mathbf{A} a composition algebra with an involution $\bar{\cdot} : a \mapsto \bar{a}$ and unity 1. The symmetric, bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(x\bar{y} + y\bar{x})$ defined on A is assumed to be nonsingular. If \mathbf{A} is equipped with a ternary multiplication $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ by the rule

$$[x, y, z] = (x\bar{y})z - \langle y, z \rangle x + \langle x, z \rangle y - \langle x, y \rangle z,$$

then \mathbf{A} becomes a ternary Malcev algebra [13], which will be denoted by $M(\mathbf{A})$. If $\dim \mathbf{A} = 8$ then $M(\mathbf{A})$ is simple ternary Malcev (non-3-Lie) algebra and we denote it by M_8 .

Let A be that above mentioned composition algebra and assume that $1, a, b, c$ are orthonormal elements in A . Choose the following basis of M_8 (see [14]):

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= 1, & e_2 &= a, & e_3 &= b, & e_4 &= ab, \\ e_5 &= c, & e_6 &= ac, & e_7 &= bc, & e_8 &= abc. \end{aligned}$$

Further we need to the following properties of basis elements (see [14]). For each $i \in \{2, \dots, 8\}$, it is possible to choose j, k, l, m, s, t , all depending on i , such that

$$(1) \quad e_i = e_1 e_i = e_j e_k = e_l e_m = e_s e_t \text{ and } e_k e_m = e_t.$$

Thanks to [14] we have the following description of the basis of the algebra of derivations of M_8 :

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta_{23} - \Delta_{14}, \Delta_{24} + \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{25} - \Delta_{16}, \Delta_{26} + \Delta_{15}, \Delta_{27} + \Delta_{18}, \Delta_{28} - \Delta_{17}, \Delta_{34} - \Delta_{12}, \\ \Delta_{35} - \Delta_{17}, \Delta_{36} - \Delta_{18}, \Delta_{37} + \Delta_{15}, \Delta_{38} + \Delta_{16}, \Delta_{45} - \Delta_{18}, \Delta_{46} + \Delta_{17}, \Delta_{47} - \Delta_{16}, \\ \Delta_{48} + \Delta_{15}, \Delta_{56} - \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{57} - \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{58} - \Delta_{14}, \Delta_{67} + \Delta_{14}, \Delta_{68} - \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{78} + \Delta_{12} \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\Delta_{ij} = e_{ij} - e_{ji}$ and e_{ij} are the ordinary matrix units.

Proposition 6. *A linear map $\mathcal{D} : M_8 \rightarrow M_8$ is a derivation of the algebra M_8 if and only if the antisymmetric matrix of \mathcal{D} has the following matrix form:*

$$(2) \quad [\mathcal{D}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 & -\gamma_3 & -\gamma_4 & -\gamma_5 & -\gamma_6 & -\gamma_7 \\ \gamma_1 & 0 & -\alpha_1 & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_3 & -\alpha_4 & -\alpha_5 & -\alpha_6 \\ \gamma_2 & \alpha_1 & 0 & -\alpha_7 & -\alpha_8 & -\alpha_9 & -\alpha_{10} & -\alpha_{11} \\ \gamma_3 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_7 & 0 & -\alpha_{12} & -\alpha_{13} & -\alpha_{14} & -\alpha_{15} \\ \gamma_4 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_8 & \alpha_{12} & 0 & -\alpha_{16} & -\alpha_{17} & -\alpha_{18} \\ \gamma_5 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_9 & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{16} & 0 & -\alpha_{19} & -\alpha_{20} \\ \gamma_6 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_{10} & \alpha_{14} & \alpha_{17} & \alpha_{19} & 0 & -\alpha_{21} \\ \gamma_7 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{15} & \alpha_{18} & \alpha_{20} & \alpha_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &= -\alpha_7 - \alpha_{16} + \alpha_{21}, & \gamma_2 &= \alpha_2 - \alpha_{17} - \alpha_{20}, & \gamma_3 &= -\alpha_1 - \alpha_{18} + \alpha_{19}, \\ \gamma_4 &= \alpha_4 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{15}, & \gamma_5 &= -\alpha_3 + \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{14}, \\ \gamma_6 &= -\alpha_6 - \alpha_8 + \alpha_{13}, & \gamma_7 &= \alpha_5 - \alpha_9 - \alpha_{12}.\end{aligned}$$

2.2. Local derivations of M_8 . In the present subsection, we shall give a description of all local derivations of M_8 . As the principal result, we have that M_8 admits local derivations that are not derivations. Which gives the first known example of a simple finite-dimensional algebra admitting pure local derivations. The quotient space of the space of local derivation by the space of derivations of M_8 is of dimension 7.

Recall [14] that, if $1, u, v, w \in A$ are orthonormal, then

$$(3) \quad \bar{u}v\bar{u} = -\bar{v}, \quad (u\bar{v})w = -(u\bar{w})v, \quad u(\bar{v}w) = -v(\bar{u}w).$$

Note that $u^2 = v^2 = w^2 = -1$. Thus using Moufang identity $(uv)(wu) = u((vw)u)$ for composition algebra and the above first identity we get that

$$(4) \quad (uv)(wu) = vw.$$

Proposition 7. *Let $x, y \in M_8$ be the elements such that $x^2 = -1$, $y \in \{x\}^\perp$, $y^2 = -1$. Then there exists $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(M_8)$ such that*

$$\Phi(e_2) = x \text{ and } \Phi(e_3) = y.$$

Proof. Since $x^2 = -1$, $y \in \{x\}^\perp$, $y^2 = -1$, it follows that $\{e_1, x, y, xy\}$ is an orthonormal system. Take an element $z \in \{e_1, x, y, xy\}^\perp$ such that $z^2 = -1$. Using (3) we can infer that

$$\{e_1, x, y, xy, z, xz, yz, xyz\}$$

is an orthonormal system, in particular, for any three different elements u, v, w from the above system identities from (3) are true.

Define a linear mapping Φ on A on basis elements as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(e_1) &= e_1, & \Phi(e_2) &= x, & \Phi(e_3) &= y, & \Phi(e_4) &= xy, \\ \Phi(e_5) &= z, & \Phi(e_6) &= xz, & \Phi(e_7) &= yz, & \Phi(e_8) &= xyz.\end{aligned}$$

Using identities (1), (3), (4) we obtain that Φ is an automorphism of the composition algebra A . Since any automorphism of A commutes with the involution and hence, it preserves bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. It follows that Φ is also an automorphism of the ternary algebra M_8 . \square

Theorem 8. *A linear mapping ∇ on M_8 is a local derivation if and only if its matrix is antisymmetric. In particular, the dimension of the space $\text{LocDer}M_8$ of all local derivations of M_8 is equal to 28.*

Proof. Let ∇ be a local derivation on M_8 . By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4 we obtain that the matrix of ∇ is antisymmetric.

Let $\nabla : M_8 \rightarrow M_8$ be an arbitrary linear mapping with the corresponding antisymmetric matrix $(\nabla_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 8}$. Let us show that ∇ is a local derivation.

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, 21\}$ denote by D_i derivation of M_8 defined as in (2) with the coefficients $\alpha_i = 1$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. In fact,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{B} = \{D_i : 1 \leq i \leq 21\}.$$

Let $x = \sum_{k=1}^8 x_k e_k \in M_8$ be a fixed non zero element. We need to find a derivation D_x such that $\nabla(x) = D_x(x)$.

Set $\nabla(x) = \sum_{i=1}^8 y_i e_i$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^8 x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^8 \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_{ij} x_i x_j = 0,$$

because $\nabla_{ij} = -\nabla_{ji}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$. This means that

$$(6) \quad \nabla(x) \in x^\perp = \left\{ z = \sum_{i=1}^8 z_i e_i \in M_8 : (x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^8 x_i z_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Take a derivation D_{e_1} of M_8 such that $\nabla(e_1) = D_{e_1}(e_1)$. If necessary replacing ∇ with $\nabla - D_{e_1}$ we can assume that $\nabla(e_1) = 0$. Then $\nabla_{1i} = \nabla_{i1} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 8$. Thus ∇ maps M_8 into e_1^\perp , that is,

$$(7) \quad \nabla(x) \in e_1^\perp$$

for all $x \in M_8$.

Let us consider the following possible two cases.

Case 1. Let $x = x_1 e_1$. Then

$$\nabla(x) = x_1 \nabla(e_1) = 0 = D_x(x),$$

where D_x is a trivial derivation.

Case 2. Let $x = \lambda_0 e_1 + \lambda x_1$, where $\lambda \neq 0$ and $x_1 \in e_1^\perp$ with $x_1^2 = -1$.

Since $\nabla(e_1) = 0$, it follows that

$$y = \nabla(x) = \nabla(\lambda_0 e_1 + \lambda x_1) = \lambda \nabla(x_1).$$

Combining (6) and (7) we obtain that

$$(8) \quad y \in \{e_1, x\}^\perp.$$

Thus y represents as $y = \mu y_1$, where $y_1^2 = -1$. By (8) we obtain that

$$y_1 \in \{e_1, x_1\}^\perp.$$

By Proposition 7 there exists an automorphism Φ such that

$$\Phi(e_2) = x_1 \text{ and } \Phi(e_3) = y_1.$$

Take a derivation $D = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}D_1$, where D_1 is a derivation from the list (5). Note that $D_1(e_1) = 0$ and $D_1(e_2) = e_3$. Then the following mapping

$$D_x = \Phi \circ D \circ \Phi^{-1}$$

is a derivation. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} D_x(x) &= \Phi \circ D \circ \Phi^{-1}(\lambda_0 e_1 + \lambda x_1) = \Phi(D(\Phi^{-1}(\lambda_0 e_1 + \lambda x_1))) = \Phi(D(\lambda_0 e_1 + \lambda e_2)) \\ &= \Phi(D(\lambda e_2)) = \mu \Phi(e_3) = \mu y_1 = y = \nabla(x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

At the end we formulate Problem concerning 2-local derivations of M_8 . Likewise as in the proof of Theorem 5, we can obtain that any 2-local derivation of M_8 is linear. In particular, it is a local derivation. In this regard, the following question arises.

Problem 9. *Is any 2-local derivation of M_8 a derivation?*

REFERENCES

- [1] Ayupov Sh., Arzikulov F., 2-Local derivations on associative and Jordan matrix rings over commutative rings, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 522 (2017), 28–50.
- [2] Ayupov Sh., Kudaybergenov K., 2-Local automorphisms on finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 507 (2016), 121–131.
- [3] Ayupov Sh., Kudaybergenov K., Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 493 (2016), 381–398.
- [4] Ayupov Sh., Kudaybergenov K., Omirov B., Local and 2-local derivations and automorphisms on simple Leibniz algebras, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 43 (2020), 3, 2199–2234.
- [5] Ayupov Sh., Kudaybergenov K., Rakhimov I., 2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 474 (2015), 1–11.
- [6] Costantini M., Local automorphisms of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 562 (2019), 123–134.
- [7] Filippov V., n -Lie algebras, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 26 (1985), 6, 126–140.
- [8] Kadison R., Local derivations, *Journal of Algebra*, 130 (1990), 2, 494–509.
- [9] Kaygorodov I., $(n + 1)$ -ary derivations of semisimple Filippov algebras, *Mathematical Notes*, 96 (2014), 1–2, 206–216.
- [10] Kaygorodov I., On $(n + 1)$ -ary derivations of simple n -ary Malcev algebras, *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal*, 25 (2014), 4, 575–585.
- [11] Khrypchenko M., Local derivations of finitary incidence algebras, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 154 (2018), 1, 48–55.
- [12] Ling W., On Structure of n -Lie Algebras, Thesis (Universität Siegen, Siegen, 1993).
- [13] Pozhidaev A., n -ary Malcev algebras, *Algebra Logic*, 40 (2001), 3, 170–182
- [14] Pojidaev A., Saraiva P., On derivations of the ternary Malcev algebra M_8 , *Communications in Algebra*, 34 (2006), 10, 3593–3608.
- [15] Šemrl P., Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 125 (1997), 2677–2680.