

ON THE NUMBER OF ZEROS OF DIAGONAL QUARTIC FORMS OVER FINITE FIELDS

JUNYONG ZHAO, YULU FENG, SHAOFANG HONG*, AND CHAOXI ZHU

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ elements with p being an odd prime and m being a positive integer. For $c, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ non-quartic, let $N_n(c)$ and $M_n(y)$ be the numbers of zeros of $x_1^4 + \dots + x_n^4 = c$ and $x_1^4 + \dots + x_{n-1}^4 + yx_n^4 = 0$, respectively. In 1979, Myerson used Gauss sum and exponential sum to show that the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(0)x^n$ is a rational function in x and presented its explicit expression. In this paper, we make use of the cyclotomic theory and exponential sums to show that the generating functions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n$ are rational functions in x . We also obtain the explicit expressions of these generating functions. Our result extends Myerson's theorem gotten in 1979.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let p be a prime and let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^m$ elements with m being a positive integer. Then one can find an element $g \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\mathbb{F}_q^* := \{g^i : i = 0, 1, \dots, q-2\} := \langle g \rangle$. Such element g is called a *generator* of \mathbb{F}_q^* . Suppose that $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a polynomial with n variables over \mathbb{F}_q . We set $N(F=0)$ to be the number of n -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) such that $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. That is,

$$N(F=0) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}.$$

Determining the exact value of $N(F=0)$ is an important topic in number theory and finite field. An explicit formula for $N(F=0)$ is known when $\deg(F) \leq 2$ (see [12, 15]). Generally speaking, it is difficult to present an explicit formula for $N(F=0)$. Many authors have studied deeply the p -adic behavior of $N(F=0)$ (see, for example, [1, 3, 5, 6, 14, 17, 23, 24]). Studying the formula for $N(F=0)$ has attracted lots of authors for many years (See, for instance, [4, 11, 13, 20, 22] and [25] to [27]).

A diagonal form over \mathbb{F}_q is an equation of type

$$a_1x_1^e + \dots + a_nx_n^e = c$$

with e being a positive integer, coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If $e = 1$, then

$$N(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = c) = q^{n-1}.$$

For $e = 2$, there is an explicit formula for $N(a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2 = c)$ in [15]. For the case $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$, we denote by $N_n^{(e)}(c) = N(x_1^e + \dots + x_n^e = c)$. In 1977, Chowla, Cowles

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T06, 11T22.

Key words and phrases. Diagonal quartic form, cyclotomic number, generating function, finite fields.

*S.F. Hong is the corresponding author and was supported partially by National Science Foundation of China Grant #11771304.

and Cowles [7] presented the expression of the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n^{(3)}(0)x^n$ over \mathbb{F}_p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n^{(3)}(0)x^n = \frac{x}{1-px} + \frac{x^2(p-1)(2+dx)}{1-3px^2-pdx^3},$$

where d is uniquely determined by $4p = d^2 + 27b^2$, and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. In 1979, Myerson [18] extended the Chowla-Cowles-Cowles theorem from the prime finite field \mathbb{F}_p to the general finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Recently, Hong and Zhu [10] proved that the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n^{(3)}(c)x^n$ is a rational function and also presented its explicit expression.

Throughout this paper, for brevity, we set $N_n(c) := N_n^{(4)}(c)$. In [18], Myerson also showed that the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(0)x^n$ over \mathbb{F}_q with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is rational in x and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(0)x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{(q-1)x^2(3-6sx-(q-4s^2)x^2)}{1-6qx^2+8qsx^3+(q^2-4qs^2)x^4}, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{x}{1-qx} - \frac{(q-1)x^2(1+6sx+(9q-4s^2)x^2)}{1+2qx^2+8qsx^3+(9q^2-4qs^2)x^4}, & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where s is uniquely determined by $q = s^2 + 4t^2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\gcd(s, p) = 1$.

In this paper, we address the problem of investigating the rationality of the generating functions of the sequence $\{N_n(c)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We will make use of the cyclotomic theory and exponential sums to study the generating functions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n$. We show that the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n$ is a rational function and also arrive at its explicit expression. The first main result of this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^m$ elements with p being an odd prime and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*

(i). *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{6sx^3+(q-4s^2)x^4+B_1(c,x)}{1-6qx^2+8qsx^3+(q^2-4qs^2)x^4}, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{6sx^3+(9q-4s^2)x^4+B_2(c,x)}{1+2qx^2+8qsx^3+(9q^2-4qs^2)x^4}, & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

with

$$B_1(c, x) := \begin{cases} 3x - (6s+3)x^2 + (-q+4s^2)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ -x + (2s+8t-3)x^2 + (-q-8st)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -x + (2s-3)x^2 + (-q+16t^2)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ -x + (2s-8t-3)x^2 + (-q+8st)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$B_2(c, x) := \begin{cases} 3x + (2s+1)x^2 + (3q-4s^2)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ -x + (2s-8t+1)x^2 + (3q+8st)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -x + (-6s+1)x^2 + (-5q-16t^2)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ -x + (2s+8t+1)x^2 + (3q-8st)x^3, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then s and t are uniquely determined by

$$q = s^2 + 4t^2, \quad \gcd(p, s) = 1, \quad s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad 2t \equiv sg^{\frac{3(q-1)}{4}} \pmod{p},$$

and if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $s = (-p)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and $t = 0$.

(ii). If $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{(1-q)x^2}{1+qx^2}, & \text{if } c = 0, \\ \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{x+x^2}{1+qx^2}, & \text{if } c \neq 0 \text{ is square,} \\ \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{-x+x^2}{1+qx^2}, & \text{if } c \text{ is non-square.} \end{cases}$$

Evidently, Theorem 1.1 extends Myerson's theorem [18].

Let $M_n^{(e)}(y)$ be the number of zeros of

$$x_1^e + \cdots + x_{n-1}^e + yx_n^e = 0$$

with $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ not being the e -th power of any element in \mathbb{F}_q . Gauss [9] showed that if $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and y is non-cubic, then $M_3^{(3)}(y) = p^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(-c+9d)$, where c and d are uniquely determined by $4p = c^2 + 27d^2$, $c \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ except for the sign of d . In 1978, Chowla, Cowles and Cowles [8] determined the sign of d for the case of 2 being a non-cubic element of \mathbb{F}_p . Hong and Zhu [10] determined the sign of d when 2 is cubic in \mathbb{F}_p . Furthermore, they showed that the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}^{(3)}(y)x^n$ is a rational function for any $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with y being non-cubic over \mathbb{F}_q and also presented its explicit expression.

For brevity, we let $M_n(y) := M_n^{(4)}(y)$ in the remaining part of this paper. With the help of Theorem 1.1, we prove that the generating function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n$ is rational as the following second main result of this paper shows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^m$ elements with p being an odd prime. Let $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$ be non-quartic. Then each of the following is true.*

(i). If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{qx}{1-qx} + \frac{(q-1)(3x^2+B_1(y,x))}{1-6qx^2+8qsx^3+(q^2-4qs^2)x^4}, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{qx}{1-qx} + \frac{(q-1)(-x^2+B_2(-y,x))}{1+2qx^2+8qsx^3+(9q^2-4qs^2)x^4}, & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where $s, t, B_1(y, x)$ and $B_2(-y, x)$ are given as in Theorem 1.1.

(ii). If $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n = \frac{qx}{1-qx} + \frac{(q-1)x}{1+qx^2}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present several lemmas on dimension n cyclotomic numbers of order k . Section 3 is devoted to computing the values of $N_n(c)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 4$. In Sections 4 and 5, we provide the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

2. DIMENSION n CYCLOTOMIC NUMBERS OF ORDER k

Throughout, we always let $q = p^m = kf + 1$ with k and f being positive integers. For any integer $r \geq 1$, we define $\langle r \rangle := \{0, \dots, r-1\}$. We begin with a well-known result on the solutions of linear congruence.

Lemma 2.1. [16] *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be nonzero integers and let $r > 1$ be a positive integer. Suppose $d = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n, r)$. Then the congruence*

$$a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n \equiv b \pmod{r}$$

has a solution if and only if $d|b$. If $d|b$, then the above congruence has exactly dr^{n-1} solutions (x_1, \dots, x_n) modulo r .

In particular, we have the following result on restricted solutions of the linear congruence.

Lemma 2.2. *Let a_1, \dots, a_n and b be nonzero integers and let $d = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n, kf)$. If $d|b$, then the number of the restricted solutions (x_1, \dots, x_n) with $0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_n \leq \frac{kf}{d} - 1$ of the linear congruence*

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n \equiv b \pmod{kf} \quad (2.1)$$

is equal to $(\frac{kf}{d})^{n-1}$.

Proof. The condition that $d|b$ is certainly necessary for the congruence to have a solution.

On the other hand, suppose that $d|b$. The congruence (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{a_1}{d}x_1 + \dots + \frac{a_n}{d}x_n \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{kf}{d}}. \quad (2.2)$$

The congruence (2.2) has exactly $(\frac{kf}{d})^{n-1}$ solutions from Lemma 2.1. \square

The next lemma provides a basic fact in the cyclotomic theory in \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.3. [21] *Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$ and let $g^{ku_1+i} = g^{ku_2+j}$ with $0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq f-1$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$. Then $u_1 = u_2$ and $i = j$.*

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$ and let i and j be two integers. We define the *cyclotomic number of order k with respect to g* , denoted by $(i, j)_k$, to be the number of pairs $(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2$ satisfying that $1 + g^{ku_1+i} = g^{ku_2+j}$, where $1 = g^0$ is the multiplicative identity of \mathbb{F}_q .

Remark 2.1. For any $i \in \langle k \rangle$, we define the *cyclotomic classes* C_i with respect to the generator g of \mathbb{F}_q^* as follows:

$$C_i := \{g^{i+ku} : u = 0, 1, \dots, f-1\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, the k cyclotomic classes C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{k-1} are pairwise disjoint, and their union equals \mathbb{F}_q^* . Evidently, $C_{i+vk} = C_i$ for any integer v . The cyclotomic number $(i, j)_k$ is equal to the number of solutions $(x_i, x_j) \in C_i \times C_j$ of the equation $x_i + 1 = x_j$.

We now exhibit some properties of cyclotomic number as follows.

Lemma 2.4. [21] *Each of the following is true.*

- (1). *For any integers m_1 and m_2 , $(i + m_1k, j + m_2k)_k = (i, j)_k$.*
- (2). *$(i, j)_k = (-i, j - i)_k$.*
- (3). *$(i, j)_k = \begin{cases} (j, i)_k, & \text{if } f \text{ is even,} \\ (j + \frac{k}{2}, i + \frac{k}{2})_k, & \text{if } f \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$*

The next lemma provides explicit formulas of cyclotomic numbers of order 4 for \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.5. [13, 19] *Let $q = p^m = 4f + 1$ with p being an odd prime and let g be a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^* . If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then let $s = (-p)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and $t = 0$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then s and t are uniquely determined by*

$$q = s^2 + 4t^2 \text{ with } p \nmid s, s \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 2t \equiv sg^{\frac{3(q-1)}{4}} \pmod{p}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then all the cyclotomic numbers of order 4 for \mathbb{F}_q , corresponding to g , are determined unambiguously as follows:

For f even, one has

$$A = (0, 0)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q - 11 - 6s),$$

$$B = (0, 1)_4 = (1, 0)_4 = (3, 3)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q - 3 + 2s + 8t),$$

$$C = (0, 2)_4 = (2, 0)_4 = (2, 2)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q - 3 + 2s),$$

$$D = (0, 3)_4 = (3, 0)_4 = (1, 1)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q - 3 + 2s - 8t),$$

$$E = (1, 2)_4 = (2, 1)_4 = (1, 3)_4 = (3, 1)_4 = (2, 3)_4 = (3, 2)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q + 1 - 2s),$$

and for f odd, we have

$$A = (0, 0)_4 = (2, 0)_4 = (2, 2)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q - 7 + 2s),$$

$$B = (0, 1)_4 = (1, 3)_4 = (3, 2)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q + 1 + 2s - 8t),$$

$$C = (0, 2)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q + 1 - 6s),$$

$$D = (0, 3)_4 = (1, 2)_4 = (3, 1)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q + 1 + 2s + 8t),$$

$$E = (1, 0)_4 = (1, 1)_4 = (2, 1)_4 = (2, 3)_4 = (3, 0)_4 = (3, 3)_4 = \frac{1}{16}(q - 3 - 2s).$$

Let us now define the generalized cyclotomic number.

Definition 2.2. Let $q = p^m$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$. Let k, f and n be positive integers such that $q - 1 = kf$. Let i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} and i_n be n integers. Then we define the *dimension n cyclotomic number of order k with respect to g* , denoted by $[i_1, \dots, i_n]_k$, to be the number of n -tuples $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \langle f \rangle^n$ satisfying

$$g^{ku_1+i_1} + \dots + g^{ku_n+i_n} = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2.2. Similar to cyclotomic number, the cyclotomic number $[i_1, \dots, i_n]_k$ can also be viewed as the number of solutions of the equation

$$x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1 \quad (x_j \in C_{i_j}, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

For any integers m_1, \dots, m_n and v , since $C_{i+v k} = C_i$, one can deduce that

$$[i_1 + m_1 k, \dots, i_n + m_n k]_k = [i_1, \dots, i_n]_k.$$

If $n = 1$, then (2.4) becomes $g^{ku_1+i_1} = 1$ with $0 \leq u_1 \leq f - 1$, which is equivalent to the congruence

$$ku_1 \equiv -i_1 \pmod{q - 1} \text{ with } 0 \leq u_1 \leq f - 1. \quad (2.5)$$

By Corollary 2.2, (2.5) has a solution if $i_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ and no solution if $i_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. Thus

$$[i_1]_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{if } i_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.6. *We have $[0]_4 = 1$ and $[1]_4 = [2]_4 = [3]_4 = 0$.*

The next lemma expresses the dimension 2 cyclotomic number of order k in terms of the cyclotomic number of order k .

Lemma 2.7. *We have $[i_1, i_2]_k = (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k$.*

Proof. Letting $n = 2$ in (2.4) and noticing that $g^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = g^{\frac{kf}{2}} = -1$, one arrives at

$$1 + g^{ku_1 + i_1 + \frac{kf}{2}} = g^{ku_2 + i_2}, \quad 0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq f - 1,$$

which, by the definition of cyclotomic number, has $(i_1 + \frac{kf}{2}, i_2)_k$ solutions $(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2$. Thus applying Lemma 2.4 gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} [i_1, i_2]_k &= (i_1 + \frac{kf}{2}, i_2)_k \\ &= (-i_1 - \frac{kf}{2}, i_2 - i_1 - \frac{kf}{2})_k \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_2 - i_1 - \frac{kf}{2}, -i_1 - \frac{kf}{2})_k, & \text{if } f \text{ is even,} \\ (i_2 - i_1 - \frac{kf}{2} + \frac{k}{2}, -i_1 - \frac{kf}{2} + \frac{k}{2})_k, & \text{if } f \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k, & \text{if } f \text{ is even,} \\ (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k, & \text{if } f \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\ &= (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k \end{aligned}$$

as expected. The proof of Lemma 2.7 is complete. \square

From Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, the following result follows immediately.

Lemma 2.8. *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} [0, 0]_4 &= (0, 0)_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}(q - 6s - 11), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{16}(q + 2s - 7), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [1, 1]_4 &= (0, -1)_4 = (0, 3)_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}(q + 2s - 8t - 3), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{16}(q + 2s + 8t + 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [2, 2]_4 &= (0, -2)_4 = (0, 2)_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}(q + 2s - 3), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{16}(q - 6s + 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [3, 3]_4 &= (0, -3)_4 = (0, 1)_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}(q + 2s + 8t - 3), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{16}(q + 2s - 8t + 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where s and t are given as in (2.3).

In the next lemma, we determine $[i_1, i_2, i_3]_k$ in terms of cyclotomic numbers of order k .

Lemma 2.9. *Each of the following is true.*

(i). *For any integers i_1, i_2 and i_3 , we have*

$$[i_1, i_2, i_3]_k = \alpha + \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (v - i_3, -i_3)_k (i_2 - i_1, v - i_1)_k,$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} f, & \text{if } i_1 \equiv i_2 + \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k} \text{ and } i_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii). For any integer i , we have

$$[i, i, i]_k = \beta + \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (v, -i)_k (0, v)_k,$$

with

$$\beta := \begin{cases} f, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \text{ and } f \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i). By the definition of dimension 3 cyclotomic number of order k , one has

$$\begin{aligned} [i_1, i_2, i_3]_k &= \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \langle f \rangle^3 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} + g^{ku_3+i_3} = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \langle f \rangle^3 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 0 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} = 1}} 1 + \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \langle f \rangle^3 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = g^{ku+v} \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3} = 1}} 1 \\ &:= S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

First of all, we have

$$S_1 = \left(\sum_{\substack{u_3=0 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3}=1}}^{f-1} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{u_1, u_2=0 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 0}}^{f-1} 1 \right) = [i_3]_k \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 0}} 1.$$

Since $g^{\frac{q-1}{2}} = g^{\frac{kf}{2}} = -1$, the equation $g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 0$ can be rewritten in the following form

$$g^{k(u_2-u_1)} = -g^{i_1-i_2} = g^{i_1-i_2 + \frac{kf}{2}},$$

which is equivalent to the congruence

$$-ku_1 + ku_2 \equiv i_1 - i_2 + \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{kf}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, this congruence has f solutions $(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2$ if $i_1 - i_2 + \frac{kf}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, and 0 solutions (u_1, u_2) , if k does not divide $i_1 - i_2 + \frac{kf}{2}$. Hence, by (2.6), one gets that

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} f, & \text{if } i_1 - i_2 + \frac{kf}{2} \equiv i_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Consequently, we calculate S_2 . By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.4 (2), one derives that

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \langle f \rangle^3 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = g^{ku+v} \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3} = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \sum_{\substack{u_3 \in \langle f \rangle \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3} = 1}} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = g^{ku+v}}} 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_3 \in \langle f \rangle \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3}=1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2}=g^{ku+v}}} 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_3 \in \langle f \rangle \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3}=1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{k(u_1-u)+i_1-v} + g^{k(u_2-u)+i_2-v}=1}} 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_3 \in \langle f \rangle \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3}=1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1-v} + g^{ku_2+i_2-v}=1}} 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1-v} + g^{ku_2+i_2-v}=1}} 1 \right) \sum_{u=0}^{f-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{u_3 \in \langle f \rangle \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3}=1}} 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1-v} + g^{ku_2+i_2-v}=1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u, u_3) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku+v} + g^{ku_3+i_3}=1}} 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} [i_1 - v, i_2 - v]_k [v, i_3]_k \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (i_2 - i_1, v - i_1)_k (i_3 - v, -v)_k \\
&= \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (i_2 - i_1, v - i_1)_k (v - i_3, -i_3)_k. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.8) and (2.9) into (2.7) gives us the desired result. Part (i) is proved.

(ii). If $i \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ and f is even, then by part (i), one has

$$[0, 0, 0]_k = f + \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (v, 0)_k (0, v)_k.$$

If $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ or f is odd, then part (i) tells us that

$$[i, i, i]_k = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (v - i, -i)_k (0, v - i)_k.$$

Since $v - i$ runs over a complete residue system modulo k as v runs from 0 to $k - 1$, by Lemma 2.4 (1), one can deduce that

$$[i, i, i]_k = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} (v, -i)_k (0, v)_k$$

as desired. Part (ii) is proved.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.9. \square

Picking $k = 4$ in Lemma 2.9, it follows from Lemma 2.4 (1) and Lemma 2.5 that the following result is true.

Lemma 2.10. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} [0, 0, 0]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{64}(q^2 + 14q + 4s^2 + 24s + 21), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{64}(q^2 - 6q - 4s^2 + 9), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [1, 1, 1]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{64}(q^2 - 10q + 8st + 24t + 9), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{64}(q^2 + 2q - 8st - 24t - 3), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [2, 2, 2]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{64}(q^2 - 6q - 4s^2 + 9), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{64}(q^2 - 6q - 16t^2 + 24s - 3), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[3, 3, 3]_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{64}(q^2 - 10q - 8st - 24t + 9), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{64}(q^2 + 2q + 8st + 24t - 3), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where s and t are as given in (2.3).

Proof. For any integer $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$[i, i, i]_4 = \beta + \sum_{v=0}^3 (v, -i)_4 (0, v)_4,$$

with

$$\beta := \begin{cases} f, & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } f \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $i = 1$. Then by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.9 (ii), we have $\beta = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [1, 1, 1]_4 &= (0, 3)_4 (0, 0)_4 + (1, 3)_4 (0, 1)_4 + (2, 3)_4 (0, 2)_4 + (3, 3)_4 (0, 3)_4 \\ &= \frac{1}{256} ((q - 3 + 2s - 8t)(q - 11 - 6s) + (q + 1 - 2s)(q - 3 + 2s + 8t) \\ &\quad + (q + 1 - 2s)(q - 3 + 2s) + (q - 3 + 2s + 8t)(q - 3 + 2s - 8t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{64} (q^2 - 6q - 4s^2 - 16t^2 + 8st + 24t + 9) \\ &= \frac{1}{64} (q^2 - 10q + 8st + 24t + 9) \end{aligned}$$

since $q = s^2 + 4t^2$.

For the other cases, using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.9 (ii) and by computations, we can get the desired results. The proof of Lemma 2.12 is complete. \square

In the next lemma, we supply the relation between $[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]_k$ and cyclotomic numbers.

Lemma 2.11. *Each of the following is true:*

(i). *For any integers i_1, i_2, i_3 and i_4 , we have*

$$[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]_k = \gamma + \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} (v_2 - v_1, -v_1)_k (i_2 - i_1, v_1 - i_1)_k (i_4 - i_3, v_2 - i_3)_k,$$

where

$$\gamma := \begin{cases} (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k f + (i_4 - i_3, -i_3)_k f, & \text{if } i_2 - i_1 \equiv \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k} \text{ and } i_4 - i_3 \equiv \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k}, \\ (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k f, & \text{if } i_2 - i_1 \not\equiv \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k} \text{ and } i_4 - i_3 \equiv \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k}, \\ (i_4 - i_3, -i_3)_k f, & \text{if } i_2 - i_1 \equiv \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k} \text{ and } i_4 - i_3 \not\equiv \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii). For any integer i , we have

$$[i, i, i, i]_k = 2\theta + \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} (v_2 - v_1, -v_1)_k (0, v_1 - i)_k (0, v_2 - i)_k,$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (0, -i)_k f, & \text{if } f \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } f \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i). By the definition of dimension 4 cyclotomic number of order k , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & [i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]_k \\ = & \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} + g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 1}} 1 \\ = & \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 1 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 0 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 1}} 1 + \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \sum_{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = g^{ks_1+v_1} \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = g^{ks_2+v_2} \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} 1 \\ = & : T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

First of all, applying Lemma 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 1 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0}} 1 = \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = 1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0}} 1 \right) \\ &= [i_1, i_2]_k \sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0}} 1 \\ &= (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k \sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g^{\frac{kf}{2}} = -1$, $g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$g^{ku_4+i_4} = -g^{ku_3+i_3} = g^{ku_3+i_3 + \frac{kf}{2}},$$

which is true if and only if

$$k(u_4 - u_3) \equiv (i_3 - i_4) + \frac{kf}{2} \pmod{q-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, this congruence has f or 0 solutions (u_3, u_4) according to k dividing $(i_3 - i_4) + \frac{kf}{2}$ or not. Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = 0}} 1 = \begin{cases} f, & \text{if } i_3 - i_4 + \frac{kf}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

and so

$$T_1 = \begin{cases} (i_2 - i_1, -i_1)_k f, & \text{if } i_3 - i_4 + \frac{kf}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

By the symmetry, one can exchange i_1 and i_3 , and exchange i_2 and i_4 in (2.12), and arrives at

$$T_2 = \begin{cases} (i_4 - i_3, -i_3)_k f, & \text{if } i_1 - i_2 + \frac{kf}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

Now we turn to the evaluation of T_3 . We can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \sum_{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = g^{ks_1+v_1} \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = g^{ks_2+v_2} \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \sum_{\substack{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+i_1} + g^{ku_2+i_2} = g^{ks_1+v_1} \\ g^{ku_3+i_3} + g^{ku_4+i_4} = g^{ks_2+v_2}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \sum_{\substack{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{k(u_1-s_1)+(i_1-v_1)} + g^{k(u_2-s_1)+(i_2-v_1)} = 1 \\ g^{k(u_3-s_2)+(i_3-v_2)} + g^{k(u_4-s_2)+(i_4-v_2)} = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \sum_{\substack{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^4 \\ g^{ku_1+(i_1-v_1)} + g^{ku_2+(i_2-v_1)} = 1 \\ g^{ku_3+(i_3-v_2)} + g^{ku_4+(i_4-v_2)} = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \sum_{\substack{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+(i_1-v_1)} + g^{ku_2+(i_2-v_1)} = 1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_3+(i_3-v_2)} + g^{ku_4+(i_4-v_2)} = 1}} 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_1+(i_1-v_1)} + g^{ku_2+(i_2-v_1)} = 1}} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ku_3+(i_3-v_2)} + g^{ku_4+(i_4-v_2)} = 1}} 1 \right) \sum_{\substack{(s_1, s_2) \in \langle f \rangle^2 \\ g^{ks_1+v_1} + g^{ks_2+v_2} = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in \langle k \rangle^2} [i_1 - v_1, i_2 - v_1]_k [i_3 - v_2, i_4 - v_2]_k [v_1, v_2]_k \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in (k)^2} (i_2 - i_1, v_1 - i_1)_k (i_4 - i_3, v_2 - i_3)_k (v_2 - v_1, -v_1)_k, \quad (2.14)$$

where Lemma 2.7 is applied in the last step. Putting (2.12) to (2.14) into (2.10) gives us the desired result. This finishes the proof of part (i).

(ii). Letting $i_1 = i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = i$ in part (i), one obtains that

$$[i, i, i, i]_k = 2\theta + \sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in (k)^2} (v_2 - v_1, -v_1)_k (0, v_1 - i)_k (0, v_2 - i)_k,$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} (0, -i)_k f, & \text{if } f \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } f \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

as required. The proof of Lemma 2.11 is complete. \square

Letting $k = 4$ in Lemma 2.11, by Lemma 2.4 (1) and Lemma 2.5, the following result follows immediately.

Lemma 2.12. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} [0, 0, 0, 0]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 - 79q - 60sq - 20s^2 - 60s - 34), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 - 28sq + 25q + 12s^2 - 12s - 10), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [1, 1, 1, 1]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq - 48tq + 13q - 32st - 12s + 16t^2 - 48t - 18), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 + 4sq - 16tq - 11q + 32st - 12s + 16t^2 + 48t + 6), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [2, 2, 2, 2]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq + 13q - 12s - 48t^2 - 18), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq + 21q - 60s + 80t^2 + 6), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \\ [3, 3, 3, 3]_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq + 48tq + 13q + 32st - 12s + 16t^2 + 48t - 18), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{256}(q^3 - 4q^2 + 4sq + 16tq - 11q - 32st - 12s + 16t^2 - 48t + 6), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where s and t are given as in (2.3).

Proof. One can use Lemmas 2.5 and 2.11 (ii) and some computations to get the desired results. The proof of Lemma 2.12 is complete. \square

3. VALUES OF $N_n(c)$ FOR $1 \leq n \leq 4$

In this section, we compute $N_n(c)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 4$. We begin with the following lemma which expresses the number of solutions of a diagonal equation in terms of dimension n cyclotomic number of order k .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$ and $q - 1 = kf$. Let $N_n^{(k)}(c)$ denote the number of zeros $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ of the diagonal equation*

$$x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k = c. \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$N_n^{(k)}(c) = k^n \underbrace{\left[\text{ind}_g \left(\frac{1}{c} \right), \dots, \text{ind}_g \left(\frac{1}{c} \right) \right]}_{n \text{ times}} \Big|_k.$$

Proof. Set $i = \text{ind}_g \left(\frac{1}{c} \right)$. Then we can rewrite (3.1) in the following form

$$g^{k \text{ind}_g(x_1) + i} + \dots + g^{k \text{ind}_g(x_n) + i} = 1.$$

Hence

$$N'_n{}^{(k)}(c) = \#\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \langle q \rangle^n : g^{ku_1+i} + \dots + g^{ku_n+i} = 1\}.$$

Since $q-1 = kf$, $ku \equiv kv \pmod{q-1}$ if and only if $u \equiv v \pmod{f}$. So $g^{ku+i} = g^{k(u+jf)+i}$ for all integers j with $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. Therefore

$$N'_n{}^{(k)}(c) = \#\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \langle f \rangle^n : g^{k(u_1+j_1f)+i} + \dots + g^{k(u_n+j_nf)+i} = 1\}$$

for all $0 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq k-1$. For any j_r ($1 \leq r \leq n$), there exists k choices, and so by the definition of dimension n cyclotomic numbers of order k , one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} N'_n{}^{(k)}(c) &= k^n \#\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \langle f \rangle^n : g^{ku_1+i} + \dots + g^{ku_n+i} = 1\} \\ &= k^n \underbrace{\#\{i, \dots, i\}}_{n \text{ times}} = k^n \underbrace{\left[\text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{c}\right), \dots, \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \right]}_{n \text{ times}} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

For any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the next lemma exhibits the relation between $N_n^{(k)}(c)$ and $N_n^{(k)}(g^a)$, where $a \equiv \text{ind}_g(c) \pmod{k}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$ and $\text{ind}_g(c) \equiv a \pmod{k}$ with $a \in \langle k \rangle$. Then $N_n^{(k)}(c) = N_n^{(k)}(g^a)$.*

Proof. Since $a \equiv \text{ind}_g(c) \pmod{k}$, one has $c = g^{\text{ind}_g(c)} = g^{ku+a}$ for some integer u . Then $x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k = c$ can be rewritten as $(g^{-u}x_1)^k + \dots + (g^{-u}x_n)^k = g^a$. Therefore $N_n^{(k)}(c) = N_n^{(k)}(g^a)$ as desired.

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

In the next lemma, we will derive the number of zeros of diagonal quartic form by the cyclotomic theory. These give the initial values of $N_n(c)$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ elements with p being an odd prime and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \langle g \rangle$. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and let $N_n(c)$ be the number of zeros of the following diagonal quartic equation*

$$x_1^4 + \dots + x_n^4 = c.$$

Then each of the following is true:

(i).

$$N_1(c) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

(ii).

$$N_2(c) = q + \begin{cases} -3 + \epsilon_1(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 1 + \epsilon_2(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\epsilon_1(c) = \begin{cases} -6s, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 2s + 8t, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2s, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2s - 8t, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad \epsilon_2(c) = \begin{cases} 2s, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 2s - 8t, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -6s, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2s + 8t, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

(iii).

$$N_3(c) = q^2 + 6s + \begin{cases} \epsilon_3(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \epsilon_4(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\epsilon_3(c) = \begin{cases} 17q + 4s^2, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ -7q - 8st, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -7q + 16t^2, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ -7q + 8st, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad \epsilon_4(c) = \begin{cases} -3q - 4s^2, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 5q + 8st, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -3q - 16t^2, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 5q - 8st, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

(iv).

$$N_4(c) = q^3 - 4s^2 + \begin{cases} \epsilon_5(c) - 17q, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \epsilon_6(c) + 7q, & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\epsilon_5(c) = \begin{cases} -60sq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 20sq + 48tq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 20sq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 20sq - 48tq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad \epsilon_6(c) = \begin{cases} -28sq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 4sq + 16tq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 20sq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 4sq - 16tq, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

with s and t are given as in (2.3).

Proof. First of all, let $N'_n(c)$ be the number of zeros of $x_1^4 + \cdots + x_n^4 = c$ with $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Evidently, one has $\text{ind}_g(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $\text{ind}_g(\frac{1}{g^j}) \equiv 4 - j \pmod{4}$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, one may let $\text{ind}_g(c) \equiv i \pmod{4}$ with $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

(i). Let $n = 1$. By Lemma 3.2, one yields that $N_1(c) = N_1(g^i)$. Since $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $x_1^4 = c$ has no zero solution $x_1 = 0$. Thus $N_1(g^i) = N'_1(g^i)$. By Lemma 3.1, (2.6) and Remark 2.2, one can deduce that

$$N_1(g^i) = 4 \left[\text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right) \right]_4 = 4[4 - i]_4 = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Since $N_1(c) = N_1(g^i)$, by (3.5) we have

$$N_1(c) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \text{ind}_g(c) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

as required.

(ii). Let $n = 2$. Lemma 3.2 gives us that $N_2(c) = N_2(g^i)$. One can easily check that

$$N_2(c) = N_2(g^i) = N'_2(g^i) + 2N'_1(g^i). \quad (3.6)$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 2.2, one has

$$N'_2(g^i) = 16 \left[\text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right), \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right) \right]_4 = 16[4 - i, 4 - i]_4.$$

From Lemma 2.8, one derives that if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then

$$N'_2(g^i) = \begin{cases} q - 6s - 11, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q + 2s + 8t - 3, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ q + 2s - 3, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ q + 2s - 8t - 3, & \text{if } i = 3, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

and if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then

$$N'_2(g^i) = \begin{cases} q + 2s - 7, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q + 2s - 8t + 1, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ q - 6s + 1, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ q + 2s + 8t + 1, & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Noticing that $q = s^2 + 4t^2$, putting (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6) yields that

$$N_2(c) = \begin{cases} q + \epsilon_1(c) - 3, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ q + \epsilon_2(c) + 1, & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are determined by (3.2). Part (ii) is proved.

(iii). Let $n = 3$. Then in the similar way as in part (ii),

$$N_3(c) = N_3(g^i) = N'_3(g^i) + 3N'_2(g^i) + 3N'_1(g^i). \quad (3.9)$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 2.2,

$$N'_3(g^i) = 64 \left[\text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right), \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right), \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right) \right]_4 = 64[4 - i, 4 - i, 4 - i]_4.$$

From Lemma 2.10, one gets that if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then

$$N'_3(g^i) = \begin{cases} q^2 + 14q + 4s^2 + 24s + 21, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q^2 - 10q - 8st - 24t + 9, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ q^2 - 6q - 4s^2 + 9, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ q^2 - 10q + 8st + 24t + 9, & \text{if } i = 3, \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

and if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then

$$N'_3(g^i) = \begin{cases} q^2 - 6q - 4s^2 + 9, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q^2 + 2q + 8st + 24t - 3, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ q^2 - 6q + 24s - 16t^2 - 3, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ q^2 + 2q - 8st - 24t - 3, & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Applying (3.5), (3.7) to (3.11), one has

$$N_3(c) = \begin{cases} q^2 + 6s + \epsilon_3(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ q^2 + 6s + \epsilon_4(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where ϵ_3 and ϵ_4 are determined by (3.3). Part (iii) is proved.

(iv). Let $n = 4$. In the similar way as in parts (ii) and (iii), we have

$$N_4(c) = N_4(g^i) = N'_4(g^i) + 4N'_3(g^i) + 6N'_2(g^i) + 4N'_1(g^i). \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} N'_4(g^i) &= 256 \left[\text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right), \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right), \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right), \text{ind}_g\left(\frac{1}{g^i}\right) \right]_4 \\ &= 256[4 - i, 4 - i, 4 - i, 4 - i]_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then from Lemma 2.12, it follows that if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then

$$N'_4(g^i) = \begin{cases} q^3 - 4q^2 - 60sq - 79q - 20s^2 - 60s - 34, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq + 48tq + 13q + 32st - 12s + 16t^2 + 48t - 18, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq + 13q - 12s - 48t^2 - 18, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq - 48tq + 13q - 32st - 12s + 16t^2 - 48t - 18, & \text{if } i = 3, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

and if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then

$$N'_4(g^i) = \begin{cases} q^3 - 4q^2 - 28sq + 25q + 12s^2 - 12s - 10, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ q^3 - 4q^2 + 4sq + 16tq - 11q - 32st - 12s + 16t^2 - 48t + 6, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ q^3 - 4q^2 + 20sq + 21q - 60s + 80t^2 + 6, & \text{if } i = 2, \\ q^3 - 4q^2 + 4sq - 16tq - 11q + 32st - 12s + 16t^2 + 48t + 6, & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, applying (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), (3.10) to (3.14) and noticing that $q = s^2 + 4t^2$,

$$N_4(c) = \begin{cases} q^3 - 17q - 4s^2 + \epsilon_5(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ q^3 + 7q - 4s^2 + \epsilon_6(c), & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

where ϵ_5 and ϵ_6 are determined by (3.4). Part (iv) is proved.

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = p^m$, we define the *trace* of x relative to \mathbb{F}_p , denoted by $\text{Tr}(x)$, as follows:

$$\text{Tr}(x) := x + x^p + \cdots + x^{p^{m-1}}.$$

Clearly, the trace function is a linear mapping from \mathbb{F}_q onto \mathbb{F}_p (see. for instance, [15]). The following lemma is well known in finite fields.

Lemma 4.1. [2] *Let y be any element of \mathbb{F}_q . Then*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \text{Tr}(xy)}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } y = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

For any $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, let $T_u := \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \text{Tr}(uv^4)}{p}\right)$. The following result is well known and due to Myerson.

Lemma 4.2. [18] *Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of $q = p^m = 4f + 1$ elements with p an odd prime. Let g be a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^* . Then T_1, T_g, T_{g^2} and T_{g^3} are the roots of the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 - 6qx^2 + 8qsx + q^2 - 4qs^2 &= 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ x^4 + 2qx^2 + 8qsx + 9q^2 - 4qs^2 &= 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \end{aligned}$$

where s is uniquely determined by $q = s^2 + 4t^2$, $s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\gcd(s, p) = 1$.

We can now give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i). By Lemma 4.1, one has

$$N_n(c) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \text{Tr}(x(x_1^4 + \cdots + x_n^4 - c))}{p}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(xy^4)}{p}\right) \right)^n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(-xc)}{p}\right) \\
 &= q^{n-1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(xy^4)}{p}\right) \right)^n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(-xc)}{p}\right) \\
 &:= q^{n-1} + \frac{1}{q} R(n, c).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Now we turn our attention to the evaluation of $R(n, c)$. Recalling that

$$T_u = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(uy^4)}{p}\right),$$

we have

$$R(n, c) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} T_x^n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(-xc)}{p}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^3 \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ \operatorname{ind}_g(x) \equiv l \pmod{4}}} T_x^n \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(-xc)}{p}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\operatorname{ind}_g(x) \equiv l \pmod{4}$ with $0 \leq l \leq 3$. Then $x = g^{4k+l}$ for some integer k and

$$T_x = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(g^{4k+l}y^4)}{p}\right) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(g^l(g^k y)^4)}{p}\right).$$

Note that $g^k y$ runs through \mathbb{F}_q if y runs over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus

$$T_x = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(g^l y^4)}{p}\right) = T_{g^l}. \tag{4.3}$$

With (4.3) applied to (4.2), one has

$$R(n, c) = \sum_{l=0}^3 T_{g^l}^n \lambda_l(c), \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\lambda_l(c) := \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ \operatorname{ind}_g(x) \equiv l \pmod{4}}} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(-xc)}{p}\right).$$

The proof is divided into the following two cases.

CASE 1. $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Then for $0 \leq l \leq 3$, by Lemma 4.1, one has

$$T_{g^l}^4 - 6qT_{g^l}^2 + 8qsT_{g^l} + q^2 - 4qs^2 = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Multiplying $T_{g^l}^{n-4}\lambda_l(c)$ on both sides of (4.5), one arrives at

$$T_{g^l}^n \lambda_l(c) - 6qT_{g^l}^{n-2} \lambda_l(c) + 8qsT_{g^l}^{n-3} \lambda_l(c) + (q^2 - 4qs^2)T_{g^l}^{n-4} \lambda_l(c) = 0.$$

Then taking the sum gives us that

$$\sum_{l=0}^3 T_{g^l}^n \lambda_l(c) - 6q \sum_{l=0}^3 T_{g^l}^{n-2} \lambda_l(c) + 8qs \sum_{l=0}^3 T_{g^l}^{n-3} \lambda_l(c) + (q^2 - 4qs^2) \sum_{l=0}^3 T_{g^l}^{n-4} \lambda_l(c) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

For $n \geq 5$, by (4.4) and (4.6), we have

$$R(n, c) - 6qR(n-2, c) + 8qsR(n-3, c) + (q^2 - 4qs^2)R(n-4, c) = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

It then follows from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - 6qx^2 + 8qsx^3 + (q^2 - 4qs^2)x^4) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^n - 6q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^{n+2} + 8qs \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^{n+3} + (q^2 - 4qs^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^{n+4} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^4 R(n, c)x^n - 6q \sum_{n=1}^2 R(n, c)x^{n+2} + 8qsR(1, c)x^4 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} (R(n, c) - 6qR(n-2, c) + 8qsR(n-3, c) + (q^2 - 4qs^2)R(n-4, c))x^n \\ &= R(1, c)(x - 6qx^3 + 8qsx^4) + R(2, c)(x^2 - 6qx^4) + R(3, c)x^3 + R(4, c)x^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^n = \frac{R(1, c)(x - 6qx^3 + 8qsx^4) + R(2, c)(x^2 - 6qx^4) + R(3, c)x^3 + R(4, c)x^4}{1 - 6qx^2 + 8qsx^3 + (q^2 - 4qs^2)x^4}.$$

Then noticing the well-known identity that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n-1}x^n = \frac{x}{1-qx}$, by (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (q^{n-1} + \frac{1}{q}R(n, c))x^n \\ &= \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{R(1, c)(x - 6qx^3 + 8qsx^4) + R(2, c)(x^2 - 6qx^4) + R(3, c)x^3 + R(4, c)x^4}{1 - 6qx^2 + 8qsx^3 + (q^2 - 4qs^2)x^4} \\ &:= \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{\tilde{B}_1(c, x)}{1 - 6qx^2 + 8qsx^3 + (q^2 - 4qs^2)x^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_1(c, x) &= (N_1(c) - 1)(x - 6qx^3 + 8qsx^4) + (N_2(c) - q)(x^2 - 6qx^4) \\ &\quad + (N_3(c) - q^2)x^3 + (N_4(c) - q^3)x^4 \\ &= (N_1(c) - 1)x + (N_2(c) - q)x^2 + (-6qN_1(c) + N_3(c) + 6q - q^2)x^3 \\ &\quad + (8qsN_1(c) - 6qN_2(c) + N_4(c) - 8qs + 6q^2 - q^3)x^4, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\tilde{B}_1(c, x) = 6sx^3 + (q - 4s^2)x^4 + B_1(c, x),$$

where $B_1(c, x)$ is given in (1.2). So the desired result follows immediately.

CASE 2. $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. For $0 \leq l \leq 3$, by Lemma 4.2 one has

$$T_{g^l}^4 + 2qT_{g^l}^2 + 8qsT_{g^l} + 9q^2 - 4qs^2 = 0.$$

In the same way as in CASE 1, we can derive that if $n \geq 5$, then

$$R(n, c) + 2qR(n-2, c) + 8qsR(n-3, c) + (9q^2 - 4qs^2)R(n-4, c) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

It then follows from (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 + 2qx^2 + 8qsx^3 + (9q^2 - 4qs^2)x^4) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^n \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^n + 2q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^{n+2} + 8qs \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^{n+3} + (9q^2 - 4qs^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n, c)x^{n+4} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^4 R(n, c)x^n + 2q \sum_{n=1}^2 R(n, c)x^{n+2} + 8qsR(1, c)x^4 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} (R(n, c) + 2qR(n-2, c) + 8qsR(n-3, c) + (9q^2 - 4qs^2)R(n-4, c))x^n \\
 &= R(1, c)(x + 2qx^3 + 8qsx^4) + R(2, c)(x^2 + 2qx^4) + R(3, c)x^3 + R(4, c)x^4. \tag{4.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n-1}x^n = \frac{x}{1-qx}$, by (4.1) and (4.10) one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (q^{n-1} + \frac{1}{q}R(n, c))x^n \\
 &= \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{\tilde{B}_2(c, x)}{1 + 2qx^2 + 8qsx^3 + (9q^2 - 4qs^2)x^4}, \tag{4.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{B}_2(c, x) &:= (N_1(c) - 1)(x + 2qx^3 + 8qsx^4) + (N_2(c) - q)(x^2 + 2qx^4) \\
 &\quad + (N_3(c) - q^2)x^3 + (N_4(c) - q^3)x^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, one has

$$\tilde{B}_2(c, x) = 6sx^3 + (9q - 4s^2)x^4 + B_2(c, x),$$

where $B_1(c, x)$ is given in (1.3). Then the expected result follows immediately from (4.11).

(ii). Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. It is well known that (see, for example, [15])

$$N(x^k = c) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \varphi^j(c), \tag{4.12}$$

where φ is a multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_q of order $d = \gcd(k, q-1)$. Since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, by (4.12) $N(x^4 = c) = N(x^2 = c)$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(x_1^4 + \dots + x_n^4 = c) &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ x_1^4 + \dots + x_n^4 = c}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ c_1 + \dots + c_n = c}} N(x_1^4 = c_1) \cdots N(x_n^4 = c_n) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ c_1 + \dots + c_n = c}} N(x_1^2 = c_1) \cdots N(x_n^2 = c_n) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = c}} 1 = N(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = c).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by the formula for $N(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = c)$ given in [15], we obtain that

$$N_n(c) = \begin{cases} q^{n-1} + v(c)q^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta((-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ q^{n-1} + q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\eta((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}c), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

where the integer-valued function v on \mathbb{F}_q is defined by $v(c) := -1$ if $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $v(0) := q - 1$, and η is the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_q .

Since $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, one has $\eta(-1) = -1$. By (4.13), we have

$$N_1(c) - q^0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } c \text{ is square,} \\ -1, & \text{if } c \text{ is non-square,} \end{cases} \quad N_2(c) - q = \begin{cases} 1 - q, & \text{if } c = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } c \text{ is square,} \\ 1, & \text{if } c \text{ is non-square,} \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

and $N_{n+2}(c) - q^{n+1} = -q(N_n(c) - q^{n-1})$ for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and positive integer n . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + qx^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (N_n(c) - q^{n-1})x^n \\ &= (N_1(c) - 1)x + (N_2(c) - q)x^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (N_n(c) - q^{n-1} + q(N_{n-2}(c) - q^{n-3}))x^n \\ &= (N_1(c) - 1)x + (N_2(c) - q)x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Putting (4.14) into (4.15) gives us that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(c)x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{(1-q)x^2}{1+qx^2}, & \text{if } c = 0, \\ \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{x+x^2}{1+qx^2}, & \text{if } c \text{ is square,} \\ \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{-x+x^2}{1+qx^2}, & \text{if } c \text{ is non-square.} \end{cases}$$

So part (ii) is proved.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i). Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since g is a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^* , one has $-1 = g^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$. Thus -1 is quartic if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and non-quartic if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(y) &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ x_1^4 + \dots + yx_n^4 = 0}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \\ x_1^4 + \dots + x_{n-1}^4 = 0}} 1 + \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \\ (\frac{x_1}{x_n})^4 + \dots + (\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n})^4 = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{4}} y}} 1 \\ &= N_{n-1}(0) + \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \\ x_1^4 + \dots + x_{n-1}^4 = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{4}} y}} 1 \\ &= N_{n-1}(0) + (q-1)N_{n-1}((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{4}} y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(0)x^n + (q-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{4}} y)x^n. \quad (5.1)$$

By (1.1), Theorem 1.1 and (5.1), one can deduce the desired result for $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n$.

(ii). Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then by $-1 = g^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$, we know that -1 is non-square. In the same way as in the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(y) &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ x_1^4 + \dots + yx_n^4 = 0}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ x_1^2 + \dots + yx_n^2 = 0}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 = 0}} 1 + \sum_{x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 = -y}} 1 \\ &= N_{n-1}(0) + (q-1)N_{n-1}(-y) \\ &= N_{n-1}(0) + (q-1)N_{n-1}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n+1}(y)x^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(0)x^n + (q-1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(1)x^n \\ &= \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{(1-q)x^2}{1+qx^2} + (q-1) \left(\frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{x+x^2}{1+qx^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{qx}{1-qx} + \frac{(q-1)x}{1+qx^2} \end{aligned}$$

as expected. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, p -Adic estimates for exponential sums and the theorem of Chevalley-Warning, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **20** (1987), 543-556.
2. T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, New York, 1976.
3. J. Ax, Zeros of polynomials over finite fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 255-261.
4. B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans, and K.S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi sums*, Canad. Math. Soc. Ser. Monogr. Adv. Texts, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1998.
5. W. Cao, A partial improvement of the Ax-Katz theorem, *J. Number Theory* **132** (2012), 485-494.
6. C. Chevalley, Démonstration d'une hypothèse de M. Artin (French), *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **11** (1935), 73-75.
7. S. Chowla, J. Cowles and M. Cowles, On the number of zeros of diagonal cubic forms, *J. Number Theory* **9** (1977), 502-506.
8. S. Chowla, J. Cowles and M. Cowles, The number of zeros of $x^3 + y^3 + cz^3$ in certain finite fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **299 (300)** (1978), 406-410.
9. C.F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*, Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Conn., 1966.
10. S.F. Hong and C.X. Zhu, On the number of zeros of diagonal cubic forms over finite fields, *Forum Math.* **33** (2021), 697-708.
11. S.N. Hu, S.F. Hong and W. Zhao, The number of rational points of a family of hypersurfaces over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **156** (2015), 135-153.
12. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 84, Springer, New York, 1990.
13. S.A. Katre and A.R. Rajwade, Resolution of the sign ambiguity in the determination of the cyclotomic numbers of order 4 and the corresponding Jacobsthal sum, *Math. Scand.* **60** (1987), 52-62.
14. N.M. Katz, On a theorem of Ax, *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 485-499.
15. R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
16. P.J. McCarthy, *Introduction to arithmetical functions*, Springer, New York, 1986.
17. O. Moreno and C.J. Moreno, Improvement of Chevalley-Warning and the Ax-Katz theorem, *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), 241-244.
18. G. Myerson, On the number of zeros of diagonal cubic forms, *J. Number Theory* **11** (1979), 95-99.

19. G. Myerson, Period polynomials and Gauss sums for finite fields, *Acta Arith.* **39** (1981), 251-264.
20. W.M. Schmidt, *Equations over finite fields, an elementary approach*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
21. T. Storer, *Cyclotomy and difference sets*, Chicago, IL: Marham, 1967.
22. D.Q. Wan, Zeros of diagonal equations over finite fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 1049-1052.
23. D.Q. Wan, An elementary proof of a theorem of Katz, *Amer. J. Math.* **111** (1989), 1-8.
24. E. Warning, Bemerkung zur Vorstehenden Arbeit von Herr Chevalley, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **11** (1936), 76-83.
25. A. Weil, On some exponential sums, *Proc. Natu. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **34** (1948), 204-207.
26. J. Wolfmann, The number of solutions of certain diagonal equations over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **42** (1992), 247-257.
27. J. Wolfmann, New results on diagonal equations over finite fields from cyclic codes, *Contemp. Math.* **168** (1994), 387-395.

MATHEMATICAL COLLEGE, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R. CHINA
Email address: jyzhao_math@163.com

MATHEMATICAL COLLEGE, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R. CHINA
Email address: yulufeng17@126.com

MATHEMATICAL COLLEGE, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R. CHINA
Email address: sfhong@scu.edu.cn, hongsf02@yahoo.com, s-f.hong@tom.com

MATHEMATICAL COLLEGE, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P.R. CHINA
Email address: zhuxi0824@126.com