

A DIRECT PROOF OF STAHL'S THEOREM FOR A GENERIC CLASS OF ALGEBRAIC FUNCTIONS

SERGEY P. SUETIN

ABSTRACT. Under the assumption of the existence of Stahl's S -compact set we give a short proof of the limit zeros distribution of Padé polynomials and convergence in capacity of diagonal Padé approximants for a generic class of algebraic functions. The proof is direct but not from the opposite as Stahl's original proof is. The generic class means in particular that all branch points of the multi-sheeted Riemann surface of the algebraic function are of the first order (i.e., we assume the surface is such that all branch points are of square root type).

We do not use the relations of orthogonality at all. The proof is based on the maximum principle only.

Bibliography: [13] titles.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The seminal Stahl's Theory (see [12], [13], [1] and the bibliography therein) on the convergence of diagonal Padé approximants for the multivalued analytic functions consists of two parts. Namely, geometrical part and analytical part. In the first part it is proven that for a multi-valued function there exists a unique admissible compact set S possesses Stahl's symmetric property. Traditionally it is referred to as an S -compact set or briefly S -curve (see [12] and [9] for details). In the original Stahl's Theory it is supposed that the set of singularities of a multivalued analytic function is of zero (logarithmic) capacity. Here we restrict our investigation to the case of finite number of singular points only. Note that a short proof of the existence of an S -curve for a multivalued analytic function with a finite number of singular points was proposed by E. Rakhmanov in 1994 in the unpublished paper [8] (see also [6]). Rakhmanov's proof is based on the connection between capacity and potential of equilibrium measure of a compact set.

In the second part of the Stahl's Theory it is proven that there exists zeros limit distribution of Padé polynomials and convergence in capacity of the diagonal Padé approximants takes place as well. The original Stahl's proof of the existence of limit zeros distribution of Padé polynomials is based on the method of proof from the opposite. In the current paper we give a short and direct proof of the second part of Stahl's Theory for a generic class of algebraic functions and under the assumption that the first geometrical component is valid. We do not use the relations of orthogonality at all. The proof is based on the maximum principle only (cf. [7] and [11]).

Let introduce a generic class \mathcal{F} of admissible multivalued functions which was mentioned above.

Date: July 1, 2021.

We set that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) f is an algebraic function with all the branch points of the first order (i.e., we assume that all the branch points are of square root type);
 - (2) S -curve of f consists of a finite number of non-intersected closed analytic arcs each of which contains exactly two branch points of f – the ends of the arc.
- Some discussion on this assumptions are given in Section 3.

1.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f_\infty \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$ and $S = S(f_\infty)$ be the corresponding Stahl's compact set. Let λ_S be the probability equilibrium measure supported on S and $V^{\lambda_S}(z)$ be the corresponding logarithmic potential of λ_S :

$$V^{\lambda_S}(z) = \gamma_S - g_S(z, \infty), \quad z \in D := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S, \quad (1)$$

$g_S(z, \infty)$ is the Green function for the domain D with the logarithmic singularity at the infinity point $z = \infty$ and γ_S is the Robin constant for D .

Set $\mathbb{P}_n := \mathbb{C}_n[z]$ be the set of all algebraic polynomials with complex coefficients of order $\leq n$. For arbitrary polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, $Q \neq 0$, we denote by $\chi(Q)$ the zero counting measure of Q : $\chi(Q) := \sum_{\zeta: Q(\zeta)=0} \delta_\zeta$, where each zero of Q is represented with respect to its multiplicity.

For $f_\infty \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$ and arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Padé polynomials $P_n, Q_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, $Q_n \neq 0$, are defined (non-uniquely) from the following relation:

$$R_n(z) := (Q_n f_\infty - P_n)(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{n+1}}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty; \quad (2)$$

$R_n(z)$ is the so-called error function.

The following result holds (see [12], [13]).

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f_\infty \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\frac{1}{n}\chi(Q_n), \frac{1}{n}\chi(P_n) \xrightarrow{*} \lambda_S. \quad (3)$$

Set $Q_n(z) = z^{k_n} + \dots$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|R_n(z)|^{1/n} \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} \text{cap}(S)e^{-g_S(z, \infty)} \quad \text{inside } D, \quad (4)$$

$$|f(z) - [n/n]_f(z)|^{1/n} \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} e^{-2g_S(z, \infty)} \quad \text{inside } D. \quad (5)$$

Recall that the existence of an S -compact set for a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ follows from [8].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

2.1. For two positive sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ the relation $\alpha_n \asymp \beta_n$ means that $0 < C_1 \leq \alpha_n/\beta_n \leq C_2 < \infty$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and some constants C_1, C_2 which do not depend on n . For two sequences $\{\alpha_n(z)\}$ and $\{\beta_n(z)\}$ of functions holomorphic in a domain Ω the relation $\alpha_n \asymp \beta_n$ means that for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ $0 < C_1 \leq |\alpha_n(z)/\beta_n(z)| \leq C_2 < \infty$ for $z \in K$ where the constants C_1, C_2 depend on K but do not depend on n and $z \in K$. Evidently that for such pairs of sequences and functions we have that $|\alpha_n/\beta_n|^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that $S = S(f) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^p S_j$, where $S_j = \text{arc}(a_{2j-1}, a_{2j})$. Set $w^2 = \prod_{j=1}^p (z - a_{2j-1})(z - a_{2j})$. Then the two-sheeted hyperelliptic Riemann surface (RS) $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ of the function w is the RS associated with $f_\infty \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$, in accordance with Stahl's Theory. Point \mathbf{z} on $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ is given by $\mathbf{z} = (z, w)$. The RS $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ may be considered as a two-sheeted covering of the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with the canonical projection π , $\pi: \mathfrak{R}_2(w) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, $\pi(\mathbf{z}) = z$. Let $\Gamma := \pi^{-1}(S)$. Then Γ shares $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ into two domains, We shall call them the (open) sheets of $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$. The function w is a single-valued function on this RS and has opposite signs on the sheets. We denote by $\mathfrak{R}_2^{(0)}$ the sheet of $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ where $w(z)/z^p \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and referred to it as zero sheet. Another sheet will be denoted by $\mathfrak{R}_2^{(1)}$ and referred to as first sheet of $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$. Then we have $\mathfrak{R}_2(w) = \mathfrak{R}_2^{(0)} \sqcup \Gamma \sqcup \mathfrak{R}_2^{(1)}$. Points on the sheets will be denoted by $z^{(j)}$, $j = 0, 1$, respectively. Evidently $\pi(\mathfrak{R}_2^{(j)}) = D$. We shall identify $\mathfrak{R}_2^{(0)}$ with the Stahl's domain $D = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$ and $\infty^{(0)}$ with ∞ and consider the germ f_∞ as the germ $f_{\infty^{(0)}}$. In general $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ is not the Riemann surface of f and thus f is not a single-valued on $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$. But since $f \in \mathcal{F}$ the germ $f_{\infty^{(0)}}$ can be extended from the infinity point $\infty^{(0)}$ to the whole zero sheet $\mathfrak{R}_2^{(0)}$ and even more to a small enough neighborhood $V^{(0,1)}$ of Γ , $V^{(0,1)} \cap \mathfrak{R}_2^{(1)} \neq \emptyset$. In accordance with Stahl's Theory (see also [8]) the Green function $g_S(z, \infty)$ of D can be lifted to RS $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ as $g(\mathbf{z})$ with the following properties: $g(z^{(0)}) = g(z, \infty)$, $g(z^{(1)}) = -g(z^{(0)}) < 0$. From now on we shall suppose that $V^{(0,1)}$ is such that $\partial V^{(0,1)} \cap \mathfrak{R}_2^{(1)} = \{z^{(1)} : g(z, \infty) = \log R\}$, $R > 1$. Set $\mathfrak{D} := \mathfrak{R}_2^{(0)} \cup V^{(0,1)}$ be a domain on $\mathfrak{R}_2(w)$ and $f_{\infty^{(0)}}$ continues in \mathfrak{D} as a meromorphic (analytic and single-valued) function, $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{D})$. The function $R_n(z)$ is also extended to \mathfrak{D} as a meromorphic function $R_n(\mathbf{z})$. Let a polynomial $q_m(z) = z^m + \dots$ is such that the set of its zeros coincide with the projection of poles of f in \mathfrak{D} . Then $\tilde{f} := q_m f$ and $q_m R_n$ are holomorphic functions in \mathfrak{D} .

2.2. For each $\rho \in (1, R)$ we denote by $\Gamma_\rho^{(1)}$ the set of points $z^{(1)}$ such that $g_S(z, \infty) = \log \rho$ as $z^{(1)} \in \Gamma_\rho^{(1)}$. Clearly we have $g(z^{(1)}) = -\log \rho$. The set $\Gamma_\rho^{(0)}$ is defined similarly and we have on that set $g(z^{(0)}) = \log \rho$. For $\rho \in (1, R)$ let $D_\rho^{(1)}$ be a subdomain of \mathfrak{D} with $\partial D_\rho^{(1)} = \Gamma_\rho^{(1)}$, $\infty^{(0)} \in D^{(1)}$. Similarly $D_\rho^{(0)} \subset \mathfrak{D}$, $\partial D^{(0)} = \Gamma_\rho^{(0)}$, $\infty^{(0)} \in D^{(0)}$. Set

$$u_n(\mathbf{z}) := \log |q_m(z)R_n(\mathbf{z})| + (n+1-m)g(\mathbf{z}), \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{D}. \quad (6)$$

Function u_n is a subharmonic function in \mathfrak{D} . Thus for each $\rho \in (1, R)$ we have that

$$u_n(\mathbf{z}) \leq \max_{\zeta \in \Gamma_\rho^{(1)}} u_n(\zeta), \quad \mathbf{z} \in D_\rho^{(1)}. \quad (7)$$

From (7) it follows that

$$|R_n(z^{(0)})q_m(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{2n+2-2m}} M_{n,1}(\rho), \quad z \in \Gamma_\rho. \quad (8)$$

where we set $M_{n,1}(\rho) := \max_{z \in \Gamma_\rho} |R_n(z^{(1)})q_m(z)|$. Relations (6) and (7) imply that for $1 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < R$ we have

$$M_{n,1}(\rho_1) \leq \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^{n-m+1} M_{n,1}(\rho_2). \quad (9)$$

The following identity holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(z^{(0)}) &= Q_n(z)f(z^{(0)}) - P_n(z) = Q_n(z)f(z^{(1)}) - P_n(z) + Q_n(z)[f(z^{(0)}) - f(z^{(1)})] \\ &= R_n(z^{(1)}) + Q_n(z)[f(z^{(0)}) - f(z^{(1)})], \quad z \in \Gamma_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From (8) and (9) it follows that

$$\max_{z \in \Gamma_\rho} |Q_n(z)[f(z^{(0)}) - f(z^{(1)})]q_m(z)| = M_{n,1}(\rho)(1 + \varepsilon_n), \quad \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

From now on we shall consider only such $\rho \in (1, R)$ that $|q_m(z)[f(z^{(0)}) - f(z^{(1)})]| \geq C(\rho) > 0$ as $z \in \Gamma_\rho$ (clearly, $f(z^{(0)}) - f(z^{(1)}) \neq 0$). Set $m_n(\rho) := \max_{z \in \Gamma_\rho} |Q_n(z)|$.

Then from (11) it follows that

$$m_n(\rho) \asymp M_{n,1}(\rho). \quad (12)$$

Since $\deg Q_n \leq n$, then Bernstein–Walsh theorem gives us the inequality:

$$|Q_n(z)| \leq e^{ng_{\Gamma_{\rho_1}}(z, \infty)} m_n(\rho_1), \quad z \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}, \quad \rho_2 > \rho_1, \quad (13)$$

where $g_{\Gamma_{\rho_1}}(z, \infty)$ is the Green function for the domain $g_S(z, \infty) > \log \rho_1$. Clearly, $g_{\Gamma_{\rho_1}}(z, \infty) = g_S(z, \infty) - \log \rho_1$. From (13) we obtain the estimate

$$m_n(\rho_2) \leq \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^n m_n(\rho_1). \quad (14)$$

After combining the relations (9), (12) and (14) we obtain that

$$m_n(\rho_2) \asymp \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^n m_n(\rho_1) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{n,1}(\rho_2) \asymp \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^n M_{n,1}(\rho_1). \quad (15)$$

Let $Q_n(z) = z^{k_n} + \dots$, $k_n = \deg Q_n \leq n$. Again Bernstein–Walsh theorem gives us the inequality:

$$|Q_n(z)| \leq e^{k_n g_{\Gamma_{\rho_1}}(z, \infty)} m_n(\rho_1), \quad z \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}, \quad \rho_2 > \rho_1. \quad (16)$$

From this relation it follows that

$$m_n(\rho_2) \leq \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^{k_n} m_n(\rho_1). \quad (17)$$

But in accordance with (15) $m_n(\rho_2) \asymp (\rho_2/\rho_1)^n m_n(\rho_1)$. From here and (17) we obtain the inequality

$$\left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^n \leq C \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\right)^{k_n}, \quad (18)$$

where $C = C(\rho_1, \rho_2)$, $k_n \leq n$, $1 < \rho_1 < \rho_2$. It directly follows from (18) that

$$\deg Q_n/n \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19)$$

2.3. Let $D_\rho := \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : g_S(z, \infty) > \log \rho\}$, $\rho > 1$, $g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \infty)$ be the Green function for D_ρ . Then $g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \infty) = g_S(z, \infty) - \log \rho = \log |z| + \gamma_\rho + o(1) = \log |z| + \gamma_S - \log \rho + o(1)$. Thus $\gamma_\rho = \gamma_S - \log \rho$.

Set

$$u_n(z) := \frac{1}{k_n} \log |Q_n(z)| - g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \infty) - \frac{1}{k_n} \log m_n(\rho). \quad (20)$$

Since function u_n is subharmonic in the domain D_ρ and $u_n \leq 0$ on Γ_ρ , then $u_n \leq 0$ in D_ρ and $u_n(\infty) \leq 0$. Thus we have that $\log \rho - \gamma_S \leq \log m_n(\rho)^{1/k_n}$ and finally obtain

$$m_n(\rho)^{1/k_n} \geq \rho e^{-\gamma_S} = \rho \operatorname{cap}(S). \quad (21)$$

For $z \in K \Subset D_\rho$ and $\zeta \in \Gamma_\rho$ we have by definition $g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \zeta) = 0$. Let now extend the function $g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \zeta)$ inside Γ_ρ by identity $g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \zeta) \equiv 0$ when $z \in D_\rho$, $\zeta \in \operatorname{int} \Gamma_\rho$.

$$\text{Let } Q_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (z - \zeta_j),$$

$$v_n(z) := \frac{1}{k_n} \log |Q_n(z)| - g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \infty) + \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \zeta_j) - \frac{1}{k_n} \log m_n(\rho), \quad (22)$$

$z \in D_\rho$. Then v_n is a harmonic function in D_ρ and $v_n \leq 0$ on Γ_ρ . From this it follows that for $z \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}$, $\rho < \rho_2 < R$, we have

$$|Q_n(z)| \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \zeta_j) \right\} \leq m_n(\rho) \exp \left\{ k_n g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \infty) \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Let $z_n^* \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}$ is such that $|Q_n(z_n^*)| = m_n(\rho_2)$. Then from (23) we obtain that

$$m_n(\rho_2) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z_n^*, \zeta_j) \right\} \leq m_n(\rho) \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho} \right)^{k_n}. \quad (24)$$

Ultimately from (24) and (15) we obtain that

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z_n^*, \zeta_j) \right\} \leq C(\rho, \rho_2)^{1/k_n}, \quad \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0. \quad (25)$$

Let now $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a subsequence such that $z_n^* \rightarrow z^* \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}$ and $\frac{1}{k_n} \chi(Q_n) \rightarrow \mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \in \Lambda$, $\mu(1) = 1$. Then according to the descendance principle (see [5, Chapter I, § 3, Theorem 1.3], [10], [2] and [3]), we have that

$$\int g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z^*, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \leq 0, \quad z^* \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}, \quad \rho_2 > \rho. \quad (26)$$

It directly follows from (26) that $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D_\rho$. Since it is true for every $\rho > 1$ than we have that $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subset S$.

2.4. So we have got that $k_n/n \rightarrow 1$ and each limit point μ of the sequence $\{\frac{1}{k_n}\chi(Q_n)\}$ satisfies the condition $\text{supp } \mu \subset S$.

Since $\text{supp } \mu \subset S$ we have that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in \Lambda}} m_n(\rho)^{1/k_n} \leq C_2 < \infty.$$

Also from (21) it follows that

$$\underline{\lim}_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in \Lambda}} m_n(\rho)^{1/k_n} \geq C_1 > 0.$$

Set

$$u_n(z) := \frac{1}{k_n} \log \frac{1}{|Q_n(z)|} - \frac{1}{k_n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \zeta_j) + g_{\Gamma_\rho}(z, \infty), \quad z \in D_\rho.$$

Then $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence of harmonic functions in D_ρ . Let $u(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(z)$. Then u is a harmonic function with the following properties (see (15)):

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &= V^\mu(z) - V^{\lambda_S}(z) + \text{const}, \\ \min_{z \in \Gamma_{\rho_1}} u(z) &= \min_{z \in \Gamma_{\rho_2}} u(z) \quad \text{for each } \rho_1, \rho_2 > \rho. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $u(z) = \text{const}$ and thus $V^\mu(z) = V^{\lambda_S}(z)$ for $z \in D_\rho$. Since $\text{supp } \mu \subset S$, then $V^\mu(z) = V^{\lambda_S}$ for $z \in D$. Finally $\mu = \lambda_S$ because S has no inner points.

Eventually we have that for each $\rho > 1$ there exist the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(\rho)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n,1}(\rho)^{1/n} = \rho \text{ cap } S, \quad \rho > 1, \quad (27)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in S} |Q_n(z)|^{1/n} = \text{cap } S = e^{-\gamma_S}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in \Gamma_\rho} |R_n(z^{(0)})|^{1/n} = \frac{1}{\rho} e^{-\gamma_S}. \quad (28)$$

From the above it easy to prove that

$$|R_n(z)|^{1/n} \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} \text{cap}(S) e^{-g_S(z, \infty)}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (29)$$

Indeed, let

$$v_n(z) := \frac{1}{n-m+1} \log |q_m(z) R_n(z)| + g_S(z, \infty) + \gamma_S. \quad (30)$$

Then for each $\rho > 1$ function v_n is a subharmonic function in D_ρ and from (28) it follows that for $z \in \Gamma_\rho$ we have

$$v_n(z) \leq C_n, \quad (31)$$

where $C_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (31) we obtain that for $z \in D_\rho$

$$|q_m(z) R_m(z)|^{1/(n-m+1)} \leq \text{cap}(S) e^{-g_S(z, \infty)} e^{C_n}. \quad (32)$$

Thus

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_n(z)|^{1/n} \leq \text{cap}(S) e^{-g_S(z, \infty)}. \quad (33)$$

Relation (29) follows from (28) and (33) by two-constants theorem (cf. [4, § 3, subsection 8, (31)–(36)]).

Since $\frac{1}{n}\chi(Q_n) \rightarrow \lambda_S$, then inside D

$$|Q_n(z)|^{1/n} \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} e^{-V^{\lambda_S}(z)}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (34)$$

From (29) and (34) the relation (5) follows.

Theorem 1 is proven.

3. APPENDIX

In fact the admissible class of analytic functions is wider than it is described in the items (1)–(2) of definition of \mathcal{F} . In particular our approach is valid for the class of multivalued functions generated by the inverse Zhoukovskii transform. More precisely, let $\varphi(z) := z + (z^2 - 1)^{1/2}$, where $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$, $\Delta = [-1, 1]$ and such branch of the function $(\cdot)^{1/2}$ is chosen that $\varphi(z)/z \rightarrow 2$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Let $1 < A < B < \infty$ and $a := (A + 1/A)/2$, $b := (B + 1/B)/2$. Then the function

$$f_{\Delta}(z) := \left[\left(A - \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \right) \left(B - \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (35)$$

is an algebraic function of fourth order with the set of square root singularities $\Sigma(f) = \{\pm 1, a, b\}$. Under the above conditions on $(\cdot)^{1/2}$ we have that $f \in \mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta)$ and Stahl's compact set $S(f) = [-1, 1]$. Now let $\varphi_{\Delta_j}(z)$ be the inverse Zhoukovskii function for a segment $\Delta_j := [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_k = \emptyset$, $j \neq k$. Set

$$f(z) := \prod_{j=1}^m f_{\Delta_j}(z), \quad (36)$$

where each $f_{\Delta_j}(z)$ is defined by (35) with some suitable A_j and B_j .

When all $\Delta_j \subset \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then $S(f) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^m \Delta_j$. Since $\mathbb{C}(z, f) \subset \mathcal{F}$, our approach is valid for each $f \in \mathbb{C}(z, f)$.

Another admissible and non-trivial subclass of \mathcal{F} is obtained when at least one of the branch points α_j, β_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$, of f does not belong to the real line.

We can generalize (35) in the following way. Set

$$f_{\Delta}(z) := \prod \left(A - \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \right)^{\alpha} \left(B - \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \right)^{\beta} \cdots \left(C - \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \right)^{\gamma}, \quad (37)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha + \beta + \dots + \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, to obtain functions which are not from \mathcal{F} but for which the proof from Section 2 is also valid.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. I. Aptekarev, V. I. Buslaev, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, S. P. Suetin, "Padé approximants, continued fractions, and orthogonal polynomials", *Russian Math. Surveys*, 2011, **66**, 6, 1049–1131.
- [2] E. M. Chirka, "Potentials on a compact Riemann surface", "Complex analysis, mathematical physics, and applications", *Collected papers*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 2018, **301**, MAIK Nauka/Interperiodica, Moscow, 287–319.
- [3] E. M. Chirka, "Capacities on a Compact Riemann Surface", "Analysis and mathematical physics", *Collected papers. On the occasion of the 70th birthday of Professor Armen Glebovich Sergeev*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 2020, **311**, Steklov Math. Inst., Moscow, 41–83.
- [4] A. A. Gonchar, E. A. Rakhmanov, "Equilibrium distributions and degree of rational approximation of analytic functions", *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 1987, **134(176)**, 3(11), 306–352.
- [5] N. S. Landkof, "Foundations of modern potential theory", Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972, .
- [6] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, E. A. Rakhmanov, S. P. Suetin, "Variation of the equilibrium energy and the S -property of stationary compact sets", *Sb. Math.*, 2011, **202**, 12, 1831–1852.

- [7] J. Nuttall, “Asymptotics of diagonal Hermite–Padé polynomials”, 1984, *J. Approx. Theory*, **42**, 299–386.
- [8] E. A. Perevoznikova, E. A. Rakhmanov, “The variation of the equilibrium energy and the S -property of compact sets of minimal capacity”, Manuscript, In Russian. 1994,
- [9] E. A. Rakhmanov, “Orthogonal polynomials and S -curves”, “Recent advances in orthogonal polynomials, special functions and their applications”, 11th International Symposium,, August 29-September 2, 2011 Universidad Carlos III de Madrid Leganes, Spain, *Contemp. Math.*, **578**, ed . J. Arvesu and G. Lopez Lagomasino, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, 195–239.
- [10] Edward B. Saff, Vilmos Totik, “Logarithmic potentials with external fields”, Appendix B by Thomas Bloom, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, **316**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, .
- [11] Herbert Stahl, “Three different approaches to convergence problem of diagonal Padé approximants”, “Rational approximation and applications in mathematics and physics”, Lantcut, 1985, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1237**, Springer, Berlin, 1987, 79–124.
- [12] Herbert Stahl, “The convergence of Padé approximants to functions with branch points”, *J. Approx. Theory*, **91**, 1997, 2, 139–204.
- [13] Herbert R. Stahl, “Sets of Minimal Capacity and Extremal Domains”, 112 pp.. **arXiv: 1205.3811**

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, RUSSIA
Email address: `suetin@mi-ras.ru`