

Quasiperiodicity and blowup in integrable subsystems of nonconservative nonlinear Schrödinger equations

Jonathan Jaquette

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA.
jaquette@bu.edu

August 3, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we study the dynamics of a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equation $iu_t = \Delta u + u^p$ for $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$. We prove that the PDE is integrable on the space of non-negative Fourier coefficients, in particular that each Fourier coefficient of a solution can be explicitly solved by quadrature. Within this subspace we demonstrate a large class of (quasi)periodic solutions all with the same frequency, as well as solutions which blowup in finite time in the L^2 norm.

Keywords : Nonlinear Schrödinger equations, integrable system, (quasi)periodic orbits, finite time blowup, nonconservative equation.

AMS subject classifications

35B10, 35B44, 35Q55, 37K10

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the dynamical behavior and global wellposedness of solutions to the spatially periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \Delta u + u^p, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{T}^d \\ u(0) = u_0, & x \in \mathbb{T}^d. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS) have been extensively studied by mathematicians and physicists, and broadly serve as reduced models for nonlinear dispersive equations. The particular equation in (1) however falls outside the class of NLS most commonly considered: the nonlinearity here is not gauge invariant, $(e^{i\theta}u)^p \neq e^{i\theta}u^p$ for generic $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and (1) does not admit an obvious Hamiltonian structure. Moreover (1) does not admit any analytic conserved quantities [JLT20]. As such many classical methods of analysis, such as proving global existence via conservation laws, are rendered inapplicable.

Nevertheless this class of equations has received sustained interest over the past several decades. Most notably its local well-posedness theory can be extended to negative Sobolev spaces [KPV96,

BT06]. Recently the quadratic case has been studied as a toy model for the perturbation of a Hamiltonian NLS by an electric field [Lég18], and similar nonlinearities appear in other applications [GNT06, CCO09, HOT13]. For further references we direct the reader to [Kis19, JLT20] and the references contained therein.

While (1) may not be Hamiltonian, the complex analyticity of the nonlinearity imposes a different type of structure. Of particular interest to us is that the space of functions supported on non-negative Fourier modes forms an invariant subsystem. Moreover in Theorem 1.2 we prove this to be an integrable subsystem. That is, each Fourier coefficient of a solution can be explicitly solved by quadrature. Using these explicit formula we are able to study the dynamics of (1) in great detail. Namely, we show in Theorem 1.6 that small initial data supported on strictly positive Fourier modes will yield (quasi)periodic orbits. Moreover these quasiperiodic orbits all have the same frequency vector as solutions to the linear Schrödinger equation. Hence if \mathbb{T}^d is a rational torus then these quasiperiodic solutions are simply periodic. By leveraging this rigidity of allowable frequencies, we prove in Theorem 1.5 that sufficiently large monochromatic initial data to the quadratic case of (1) will blow up in finite time in the L^2 norm.

To begin our analysis let us consider first the dynamics of spatially constant solutions. Such an ansatz yields the complex ODE $i\dot{z} = z^p$, wherein the complex plane is foliated by homoclinic solutions to zero, with the exception of a finite number of solutions which blowup in finite (positive or negative) time. Much of this behavior carries over to the whole PDE in (1). In [JLT20] it is shown that close to constant initial data (of arbitrarily large norm) is guaranteed to have semi-global existence, and moreover solutions will converge to the zero equilibrium as time limits to either positive or negative infinity. As a consequence there exists an open set of homoclinic orbits from the zero equilibrium to itself. If there were to exist any analytic conserved quantity they would necessarily be constant on this open set, and thereby globally constant.

Recent work has illuminated intricate dynamics in the case of (1) on \mathbb{T}^1 with a quadratic nonlinearity. From their numerical experiments [COS16] observed that real initial data both large and small appears to decay to zero, and they conjectured that real initial data would be globally wellposed. Using computer assisted proofs, the work [JLT20] has demonstrated infinite families of non-trivial equilibria and heteroclinic orbits between these equilibria and the zero equilibrium [JLT20]. Many questions remain about the dynamical behavior of solutions, such as the existence and stability of (quasi)periodic orbits, the possibility of connecting orbits between the non-trivial equilibria, etc. At a more foundational level it is unclear when solutions will be globally wellposed. As seen by the ODE $i\dot{z} = z^p$, a smallness condition on the initial data is by no means sufficient to preclude finite time blowup.

For comparison to another nonlinear Schrödinger equation lacking gauge invariance one may consider the equation $iu_t = \Delta u + |u|^p$. By studying the dynamics of the zeroth Fourier mode it has been shown that a robust set of initial data will blowup in finite time [Oh12, II15, FO17]. For the nonlinearity $|u|^2$ recent work has shown that initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$ will have a global solution if and only if $u_0(x) = i\mu_0$ for $\mu_0 \geq 0$ [FG20]. While global solutions are rare for this PDE, that does not seem to be the case in (1). However without an obvious Hamiltonian structure or conserved quantities it is unclear how to characterize which solutions are globally wellposed.

It seems counterintuitive that a PDE without analytic conserved quantities would be integrable. The term integrable originated to refer to systems whose solutions could be expressed in terms of elementary functions and quadrature, which is to say the definite integrals of known functions, and in Hamiltonian dynamics integrability coincides with the existence of a complete set of independent integrals of motion [Koz83, RGB89]. Integrable PDEs, such as the Korteweg-de Vries equation, are often characterized by possessing an infinite number of conserved quantities, the existence of a Lax pair, and explicit solvability (e.g. via the inverse scattering transform method).

One such integrable PDE is the cubic Szegő equation $i\partial_t u = \Pi(|u|^2 u)$ which is posed on initial data $u_0 \in L^2_+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ supported on non-negative Fourier coefficients [GG10, GG12, GG15], where the Szegő projection $\Pi : L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2_+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ is defined as

$$\Pi \left(\sum_{-\infty < n < \infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{i\omega n x} \right) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{i\omega n x}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}).$$

This equation can be seen as a toy model for totally non-dispersive equations. In other integrable PDEs, such as the one dimensional defocusing cubic NLS, the infinite hierarchy of conservation laws prevents so-called weak turbulence, which is to say the unbounded growth of a solution's higher Sobolev norms. This phenomenon is not prohibited by the cubic Szegő equation, which admits solutions whose concentration of energy undergoes infinitely many transitions alternating from low frequencies to high frequencies, and back to low frequencies [GG17, GG19].

It is also on the space of non-negative Fourier coefficients that we show in Theorem 1.2 that (1) possesses an integrable subsystem. The invariance of this subsystem can be seen from the fact that the nonlinearity u^p satisfies $\Pi \circ \Pi(u)^p = \Pi(u)^p$. However there cannot exist any analytic conserved quantities on this subspace of non-negative Fourier coefficients by the same argument as in [JLT20]. Nevertheless that does not rule out the existence of singular conserved quantities. In fact the ODE $i\dot{z} = z^p$, whose explicit solution is given (3), preserves the functional $V(z) := \frac{1}{z^{p-1}} + \frac{1}{\bar{z}^{p-1}}$. For solutions to (1) supported on non-negative Fourier modes this functional V , when evaluated on a solution's zeroth Fourier mode, is conserved under the dynamics. Thus, it does not seem unreasonable to conjecture that there may exist an infinite hierarchy of singular conserved quantities. For further references on singular symplectic forms we direct the reader to [BDM⁺19].

In this paper we will primarily work with a Wiener algebra of functions with summable Fourier coefficients. To first fix notation, for $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ define $|n| = |n_1| + \dots + |n_d|$.

Definition 1.1. For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{R}/\frac{2\pi}{\omega_i} \mathbb{Z}$, a number $s \geq 0$ and $u \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we define a norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + |n|)^s |\hat{u}(n)|, \quad \hat{u}(n) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u(x) e^{-i\omega n x}.$$

We define the weighted Wiener algebra $A_s(\mathbb{T}^d) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to be the set of functions u with $\|u\| < \infty$.

If one chooses $s = 0$, then one obtains the canonical Wiener algebra of functions with summable Fourier coefficients, which embeds between the spaces $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq A_0(\mathbb{T}^d) \subseteq C^0(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{C})$. The space $A_s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is a Banach algebra, which is to say that $\|uv\| \leq \|u\| \|v\|$ for all $u, v \in A_s(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and the PDE (1) is locally well posed on $A_s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for all $s \geq 0$.

To focus on the space of non-negative Fourier coefficients, we define the following subspace of the Wiener algebra

$$A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d) = \{u \in A_s(\mathbb{T}^d) : \hat{u}(n) \neq 0 \text{ only if } \min_{1 \leq i \leq d} n_i \geq 0\}.$$

The foundational result we prove in this paper is that we can explicitly compute solutions to the PDE (1) on this subspace, which is to say that $A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is an integrable subspace.

Theorem 1.2. Fix initial data $u_0 \in A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for $s \geq 0$ and suppose $u(t)$ solves (1) with initial data $u(0) = u_0$. Let $J = (-T_{\min}, T_{\max})$ denote the maximal interval of existence and define functions $a_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} a_n(t) e^{i\omega n x}$$

for all $t \in J$. Then each function $a_n(t)$ may be solved for explicitly by quadrature.

If the initial data $u_0 \in A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ has zero average, then the formula for computing solutions simplifies, as we present in Corollary 2.1. As an example, we present this result for the quadratic NLS posed on \mathbb{T}^1 .

Example 1.3. Consider (1) with $(p, d) = (2, 1)$ and fix initial data $u_0 \in A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^1)$. Let $J = (-T_{min}, T_{max})$ denote the maximal interval of existence and define functions $a_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(t) e^{i\omega n x}$$

for all $t \in J$. Let $\phi_n = \hat{u}_0(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\phi_0 = 0$ then the functions a_n are recursively defined as

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(t) &:= 0, \\ a_n(t) &:= \phi_n e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} - i e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} \int_0^t e^{-i\omega^2 n^2 s} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} a_k(s) a_{n-k}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

This style of recursively defining the Fourier coefficients is similar to previous results in the literature studying other complex PDEs with quadratic nonlinearity. The work [Sak03] used such an approach to study blowup solutions of the Constantin-Lax-Majda equation with periodic data. A similar approach appears in [GNSY13] to study $u_t = \Delta u + u^2$ and in [BT06, IO15] to study $iu_t + \Delta u = u^2$, each considering complex initial data $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

As an illustrative example of the functions $a_n(t)$ defined in Example 1.3 we consider the case of monochromatic initial data.

Example 1.4. Fix $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and initial data $u_0(x) = A e^{i\omega x}$. The first few functions $a_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as in Example 1.3 are given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(t) &= A e^{i\omega^2 t} \\ a_2(t) &= \frac{A^2}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{2i\omega^2 t} - \frac{1}{2} e^{4i\omega^2 t} \right) \\ a_3(t) &= \frac{A^3}{\omega^4} \left(\frac{1}{6} e^{3i\omega^2 t} - \frac{1}{4} e^{5i\omega^2 t} + \frac{1}{12} e^{9i\omega^2 t} \right) \\ a_4(t) &= \frac{A^4}{\omega^6} \left(\frac{7e^{4i\omega^2 t}}{144} - \frac{e^{6i\omega^2 t}}{10} + \frac{e^{8i\omega^2 t}}{32} + \frac{e^{10i\omega^2 t}}{36} - \frac{11e^{16i\omega^2 t}}{1440} \right) \\ a_5(t) &= \frac{A^5}{\omega^8} \left(\frac{19e^{5i\omega^2 t}}{1440} - \frac{37e^{7i\omega^2 t}}{1080} + \frac{5e^{9i\omega^2 t}}{256} + \frac{5e^{11i\omega^2 t}}{504} - \frac{e^{13i\omega^2 t}}{144} - \frac{11e^{17i\omega^2 t}}{5760} + \frac{113e^{25i\omega^2 t}}{241920} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that each function $a_n(t)$ is given by $A^n/\omega^{2(n-1)}$, multiplied by a function which is $2\pi/\omega^2$ periodic in time. This is shown to be the case in general in Theorem 3.1. If the ratio $|A|/\omega^2$ is small, we expect $\|a_n\|_{L^\infty}$ to shrink geometrically in n , and expect the solution u to be $2\pi/\omega^2$ periodic. Likewise, if the ratio is large, then we would expect the coefficients to grow geometrically in n , and the solution u to blowup. In Figure 1 we plot solutions for $\omega = 1$ and values $A = 1, 2, 3$ with the functions $a_n(t)$ computed up to $n = 300$. Numerical evidence suggests that the ratio $|A|/\omega^2 \approx 3.37$ is the approximate dividing line between monochromatic initial data whose solution is periodic versus blows up in finite time. The following theorem summarizes what we can prove rigorously.




Figure 1: Plots of periodic solutions $u(t, x)$ with initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{ix}$.

Theorem 1.5. Consider (1) with $(p, d) = (2, 1)$ and initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$ with $A \in \mathbb{C}$, $\omega > 0$.

(a) If $|A| \leq 3\omega^2$, then $u \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^1))$ is a periodic solution with period $\frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}$.

(b) If $|A| \geq 6\omega^2$, then the solution blows up in finite time in the L^2 norm. In particular, there exists some $|T_*| \leq \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}$ such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_*} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} = +\infty$.

The existence of periodic solutions is not limited to the special choice of monochromatic initial data; we show that for moderately sized initial data supported on positive Fourier modes, their solutions will be (quasi)periodic.

Theorem 1.6. Consider (1) with initial data $u_0 \in A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ supported on strictly positive Fourier modes, that is $\hat{u}_0(n) \neq 0$ only if $n_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. If

$$\|u_0\| \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)}{2} \right)^{1/(p-1)},$$

where $\|\omega\|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \omega_i^2$, then the solution $u \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d))$ is (quasi)periodic with frequencies $\{\omega_i^2/2\pi\}_{i=1}^d$ and $\|u(t)\| \leq \left(\frac{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)}{2} \right)^{1/(p-1)}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is interesting to note that the (quasi)periodic orbits in Theorem 1.6 all have locked frequencies. If \mathbb{T}^d is a rational torus then these solutions are simply periodic, and if the set $\{\omega_i^2\}_{i=1}^d$ is rationally independent then each solution densely wraps around an invariant torus of dimension d . This

frequency locking makes the problem of characterizing (quasi)periodic solutions extremely resonant; each of the periodic orbits in Theorem 1.5 has a Floquet multiplier of 1 with infinite multiplicity.

This resonance may be seen in contrast to the Hamiltonian NLS $iu_t = \Delta u \pm |u|^{p-1}u$ where monochromatic initial data yields periodic solutions with amplitude dependent frequency. This may also be seen in contrast to the nonresonance conditions one typically imposes in the study of quasiperiodic orbits to overcome the problem of small divisors. In Hamiltonian PDEs there are two widespread methods for proving existence of quasiperiodic orbits: one based on a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction [CW93, Bou98] and the other based on the KAM method [KP96, PP15]. While the extreme resonance in the frequencies of the quasiperiodic solutions to (1) may seem daunting, we are able to leverage the explicit formula for solutions in Theorem 1.2 to great effect, and circumvent the delicate analysis required of the standard methods.

As can be seen from Example 1.3, we have a formula for computing a solution's Fourier coefficients to arbitrary order. However there is no *a priori* guarantee that this series will converge for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, the monochromatic initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$ in Theorem 1.5 gives a parameterized family of periodic orbits which terminates in a finite time blowup if $|A|/\omega^2$ is too large. As a result of each periodic orbit having period $2\pi/\omega^2$, the blowup time is bounded above by $2\pi/\omega^2$. The periodic orbits in Figure 1 suggests that the blowup will occur at single point $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Unfortunately we cannot hope for the existence of a simple blowup profile, as the only solutions of the form $u(t, x) = \psi(t)\varphi(x)$ are either constant in t or x [JLT20].

We have primarily studied solutions with initial data supported on strictly positive Fourier modes as this avoids the introduction of secular terms. This is not the case for generic initial data in $A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, such as those with a nontrivial zeroth Fourier mode. It should be noted that, as Theorem 1.2 allows us to exactly compute solutions, this appearance of secular terms are not an artifact of a perturbative ansatz, but an aspect of the genuine solution. While the local wellposedness of these solutions is guaranteed, a complete understanding of the dynamics in $A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is not clear.

More broadly, it is interesting to ask how perturbations of solutions in $A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ behave in the whole space $A_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For example, what is the local stability of the (quasi)periodic orbits in Theorem 1.6? Furthermore, if some initial data $u_0 \in A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ blows up in finite time, will perturbations of it in $A_0(\mathbb{T}^d)$ also blowup?

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2 we begin by proving how solutions may be solved by quadrature in Theorem 1.2, and then work towards proving the existence of (quasi)periodic orbits in Theorem 1.6. To do so, first we derive a recursive relationship for the space-time Fourier coefficients of a solution in Lemma 2.6. We then equate solutions to this recursive relationship to fixed points of an operator T given in Definition 2.7. To prove Theorem 1.6, we then show that T has a fixed point in a suitably chosen ball using the Schauder fixed point theorem.

Then in Section 3 we focus on proving Theorem 1.5. First we show in Theorem 3.1 that solutions of (1) with monochromatic initial data satisfy a geometric scaling in their Fourier coefficients. We then prove part (b) of Theorem 1.5 that sufficiently large monochromatic initial data will blowup in the L^2 norm. To prove part (a) we use a computer assisted proof to explicitly compute the coefficients up to a certain order, and then show that the operator T has a fixed point in a ball about this truncated solution.

2 Integrability and Quasiperiodicity

In this paper, we study initial data to equation (1) with non-negative Fourier modes. We begin by reviewing some algebraic properties of \mathbb{N}^d , where \mathbb{N} denote the set of non-negative integers. Firstly the set \mathbb{N}^d forms a commutative semi-ring, which is to say it inherits the algebraic operations of

elementwise addition and multiplication from \mathbb{Z}^d , however \mathbb{N}^d lacks additive inverses. The space \mathbb{N}^d may also be endowed with the structure of a semi-module, which is to say that if $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ then we define $rn = (rn_1, \dots, rn_d)$. Furthermore, to clarify a slight abuse of notation, for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we define $r+n = r1_{\mathbb{N}^d} + n = (r+n_1, \dots, r+n_d)$ where $1_{\mathbb{N}^d} = (1, \dots, 1)$ denotes the multiplicative identity on \mathbb{N}^d .

We define the Banach space

$$\ell_{s,d}^1 := \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} : a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \|a\|_{\ell_s^1} < \infty \right\}, \quad \|a\| := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} (1 + |n|)^s |a_n|,$$

and the Cauchy product of two elements $a, b \in \ell_{s,d}^1$ by

$$(a * b)_n := \sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^d}} a_{n_1} b_{n_2}.$$

Note that for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ the sum above is finite, and the Cauchy product here is naturally identified the multiplication of two power series in d variables. The d variables we consider here are $e^{i\omega_k x_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$.

The sequence space $\ell_{s,d}^1$ is isometrically isomorphic to $A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$. In this manner the Cauchy product of two elements in $\ell_{s,d}^1$ corresponds with the multiplication of their respective functions $A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Furthermore $\ell_{s,d}^1$ is a commutative Banach algebra; if $a, b \in \ell^1$, then $\|a * b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$. For notational brevity we define $a^p = a * \dots * a$. Also note that the function $F(a) = a^p$ is Fréchet differentiable and $DF(a)h = pa^{p-1} * h$.

Below we show that if $u_0 \in A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ then each of the Fourier modes $a_n(t)$ of its solution can be explicitly solved by quadrature.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix initial data $u_0 \in A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and define $\phi_n \in \ell_{s,d}^1$ by $\phi_n = \hat{u}_0(n)$. Let $u(t)$ denote the solution to (1) with $u(0) = u_0$, and $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the maximal interval of existence. As $A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\ell_{0,d}^1$ are isometrically isomorphic, there exists a corresponding function $a : J \rightarrow \ell_{s,d}^1$ such that

$$u(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} a_n(t) e^{i\omega n x}$$

By expanding the PDE (1) in Fourier modes and matching terms, we see that a must satisfy the following densely defined differential equation, defined in each component as:

$$\dot{a}_n = i\omega^2 n^2 a_n - i(a^p)_n. \quad (2)$$

Note that the zero mode of (2) becomes as written below

$$\dot{a}_0 = -ia_0^p$$

which has the following explicit solution

$$a_0(t) := \frac{\phi_0}{(1 + i(p-1)\phi_0^{p-1}t)^{1/(p-1)}} \quad (3)$$

where one takes the branch $1^{1/(p-1)} = 1$ so that $a_0(0) = \phi_0$.

We will prove by induction that each function $a_n(t)$ can be solved by quadrature. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|n| \geq 1$ and assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $0 \leq |k| \leq |n| - 1$ that $a_k(t)$ may be solved

for by quadrature. The differential equation (2) is linear in a_n , so to solve the equation, we define integrating factors

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(t) &= i\omega^2 n^2 - ip(a_0(t))^{p-1}, & Q_n(t) &= -i((a(t)^p)_n - pa_0(t)^{p-1}a_n(t)) \\ & & &= -i \sum_{\substack{n_1+\dots+n_p=n \\ 0 \leq |n_1|, \dots, |n_p| < |n|}} a_{n_1}(t)a_{n_2}(t) \cdots a_{n_p}(t). \end{aligned}$$

As defined, $Q_n(t)$ depends only on the Fourier modes $a_k(t)$ for $|k| < |n|$ where each $a_k(t)$ may be solved for explicitly by quadrature. Substituting P_n and Q_n back into (2) yields the following non-homogeneous linear first order differential equation

$$\dot{a}_n = P_n(t)a_n + Q_n(t).$$

Then $a_n(t)$ can be explicitly solved for by quadrature as follows:

$$a_n(t) = \phi_n \exp \left\{ \int_0^t P_n(s) ds \right\} + \exp \left\{ \int_0^t P_n(s) ds \right\} \int_0^t \exp \left\{ - \int_0^s P_n(\tau) d\tau \right\} Q_n(s) ds. \quad (4)$$

□

To reiterate, while the expression $(a(t)^p)_n$ in the definition of Q_n may appear to depend on the entire sequence $\{a_n(s)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d}$, it in fact only depends on components $a_k(s)$ with $|k| \leq |n|$. In the definition of Q_n we subtract away the dependence on $a_n(t)$, thereby Q_n only depends on the solutions $a_k(t)$ for $|k| < |n|$. For instance, in Example 1.3 we have $Q_n(t) = -i \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k(s)a_{n-k}(s)$. In this manner the functions $a_n(t)$ may be recursively solved for using the formula in (4) for increasing orders of $|n|$.

As a further remark, note that (4) is essentially the Duhamel's formula version of the differential equation in (2). If $\phi_0 = 0$ we obtain a significant simplification.

Corollary 2.1. *Fix initial data $u_0 \in A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and suppose $u(t)$ solves (1) with initial data $u(0, x) = u_0(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \phi_n e^{i\omega n x}$ and suppose $\phi_0 = 0$. Let $J = (-T_{min}, T_{max})$ denote the maximal interval of existence and define a function $a : J \rightarrow \ell_{s,d}^1$ such that*

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} a_n(t) e^{i\omega n x}$$

for all $t \in J$. The $a_n(t)$ are given by the recursive formula :

$$a_0(t) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$a_n(t) = \phi_n e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} - i e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} \int_0^t e^{-i\omega^2 n^2 s} (a^p(s))_n ds, \quad (6)$$

where we define $\omega^2 j = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i^2 j_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

Proof. If $\phi_0 = 0$, then $a_0(t) = 0$, $P_n(t) = i\omega^2 n^2$ and $Q_n(t) = -i(a^p)_n$. The corollary follows by simplifying the expression in (4). □

The computation from Example 1.4 in the case $(p, d) = (2, 1)$ suggests that small monochromatic initial data will yield periodic solutions with a fixed frequency of $\omega^2/2\pi$. To study such functions

we define the space $\ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ of space-time Fourier coefficients; for a sequence $c \in \ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ we associate it with a (quasi)periodic function $u \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d))$ given as

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n, j \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{n, j} e^{i\omega^2 j t} e^{in\omega x}. \quad (7)$$

We define a norm on $\ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ as

$$\|a\| = \sum_{n, j \in \mathbb{N}^d} (1 + |n|)^s |a_{n, j}|.$$

Note that if $s = 0$, then $\ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell_{0,2d}^1$. Again we may define the Cauchy product, corresponding with the multiplication of two functions in $C^0(\mathbb{R}, A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d))$, for two elements $a, b \in \ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ as follows

$$(a * b)_{n, j} := \sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^d}} \sum_{\substack{j_1 + j_2 = j \\ j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N}^d}} a_{n_1, j_1} b_{n_2, j_2}.$$

This space is also a Banach algebra; for $a, b \in \ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ we have $\|a * b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$.

One may further note from Example 1.4 that $a_n(t)$ contains Fourier modes $e^{i\omega^2 j t}$ only for $n \leq j \leq n^2$. This example was only for the one dimensional case $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$. To make sense of an expression $n \leq j \leq n^2$ for elements $n, j \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we introduce a partial order on \mathbb{N}^d .

Definition 2.2. Define a partial order \leq and a strict partial order $<$ on \mathbb{N}^d as follows.

- Two elements $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ satisfy $m \leq n$ if and only if $m_k \leq n_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$.
- Two elements $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ satisfy $m < n$ if and only if $m_k < n_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$.

These partial orders are respected under addition and multiplication of elements in \mathbb{N}^d . That is for elements $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}^d$, if $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $a + c \leq b + d$ and $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot d$. Furthermore we note that if $m \leq n$ for elements $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ then $n - m \in \mathbb{N}^d$. We are now prepared to define a subspace of $\ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$ which generalizes the type of Fourier series seen in Example 1.4.

Definition 2.3. Define the Banach space

$$X := \{c \in \ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1 : c_{n, j} \neq 0 \text{ only if } 1_{\mathbb{N}^d} \leq n \text{ and } n \leq j \leq n^2\}$$

The space X inherits its norm and Banach algebra structure from $\ell_{s,d}^1 \otimes \ell_{0,d}^1$, and the following lemma shows that $*$: $X \times X \rightarrow X$ is a closed operation.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $c \in X$ and $n, j \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then $(c^p)_{n, j} \neq 0$ only if $p \leq n$ and $n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p - 1)(2n - p)$.

Proof. Fix $n, j \in \mathbb{N}^d$. The product c^p may be expressed as

$$(c^p)_{n, j} = \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_p = n} \sum_{\substack{j_1 + \dots + j_p = j \\ n_i \leq j_i \leq n_i^2 \\ 1 \leq i \leq p}} c_{n_1, j_1} \dots c_{n_p, j_p}, \quad n_1, \dots, n_p, j_1, \dots, j_p \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

As $c \in X$, then we have $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} c_{n_i, j_i} \neq 0$ only if $1_{\mathbb{N}^d} \leq n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. The partial order on \mathbb{N}^d respects addition, so if $n_i \geq 1_{\mathbb{N}^d}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq p$ then $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_p \geq p1_{\mathbb{N}^d}$. Hence $(c^p)_{n, j} \neq 0$ only if $n \geq p1_{\mathbb{N}^d}$.

We next prove the lower bound that $(c^p)_{n, j} \neq 0$ only if $n \leq j$. Let us fix partitions $n_1 + \cdots + n_p = n$ and $j_1 + \cdots + j_p = j$ and suppose that $c_{n_1, j_1} \cdots c_{n_p, j_p} \neq 0$. As $c \in X$, then $n_i \leq j_i \leq n_i^2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$. Again, since the partial order \leq on \mathbb{N}^d respects addition, it follows that

$$n = n_1 + \cdots + n_p \leq j_1 + \cdots + j_p = j.$$

Hence $c_{n_1, j_1} \cdots c_{n_p, j_p} \neq 0$, and moreover $(c^p)_{n, j} \neq 0$, only if $n \leq j$.

To prove the upper bound, again let us fix partitions $n_1 + \cdots + n_p = n$ and $j_1 + \cdots + j_p = j$ and suppose that $c_{n_1, j_1} \cdots c_{n_p, j_p} \neq 0$. As $c_{n_i, j_i} \in X$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$ then

$$j = j_1 + \cdots + j_p \leq n_1^2 + \cdots + n_p^2. \quad (8)$$

To proceed, we will focus on one component $(n)_k$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ for fixed $1 \leq k \leq d$; define $m = (n)_k$ and $m_i = (n_i)_k$ for each $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then the inequality in (8) becomes

$$(j)_k \leq m_1^2 + \cdots + m_p^2, \quad (9)$$

where $m_1 + \cdots + m_p = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$.

To define an upper bound on (9) for all possible summations, we define $J_p^+ : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ below

$$J_p^+(m) := \max \left\{ m_1^2 + \cdots + m_p^2 \in \mathbb{N} \mid m_1 + \cdots + m_p = m \text{ and } m_i \geq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p \right\}.$$

We show by induction on p that

$$\begin{aligned} J_p^+(m) &= \underbrace{1^2 + \cdots + 1^2}_{p-1 \text{ times}} + (m - (p-1))^2 \\ &= m^2 - (p-1)(2m-p). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If $p = 1$ then $J^+(m) = m^2$, hence the base case is satisfied. We make the inductive assumption that $J_q^+(m)$ satisfies (10) for all $q < p$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We may then compute

$$\begin{aligned} J_p^+(m) &= \max_{\substack{m_1 + \cdots + m_p = m \\ 1 \leq m_1, \dots, m_p \leq m}} m_1^2 + \cdots + m_p^2 \\ &= \max_{1 \leq m_1 \leq m-(p-1)} \left(m_1^2 + \max_{\substack{m_2 + \cdots + m_p = m - m_1 \\ 1 \leq m_2, \dots, m_p \leq m - m_1}} m_2^2 + \cdots + m_p^2 \right) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq m_1 \leq m-(p-1)} (m_1^2 + J_{p-1}^+(m - m_1)) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq m_1 \leq m-(p-1)} m_1^2 + ((m - m_1)^2 - (p-2)(2(m - m_1) - (p-1))) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$= (m^2 - (p-1)(2m-p)) + \max_{1 \leq m_1 \leq m-(p-1)} -2(m_1 - 1)(m - (p-1) - m_1). \quad (12)$$

Here (11) was obtained by the inductive step, and (12) was obtained by adding and subtracting the expression in (11) when $m_1 = 1$. The quantity inside the maximum in (12) is non-positive for $1 \leq m_1 \leq m - (p-1)$, and is maximized at the value 0 when either $m_1 = 1$ or $m_1 = m - (p-1)$. Thus, the inductive step is completed.

As inequality (9) must be satisfied, then $(j)_k \leq J_p^+(m) = m^2 - (p-1)(2m-p)$ where $m = (n)_k$. Hence $c_{n_1, j_1} \dots c_{n_p, j_p} \neq 0$, and moreover $(c^p)_{n, j} \neq 0$, only if $j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p)$. The lemma follows. \square

Note that Lemma 2.4 only dealt with finite sums and did not require any convergence properties. We may define the following space.

Definition 2.5. *Define the vector algebra*

$$\mathcal{X} := \left\{ c \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^d} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^d} : c_{n, j} \neq 0 \text{ only if } 1_{\mathbb{N}^d} \leq n \text{ and } n \leq j \leq n^2 \right\}$$

Then Lemma 2.4 follows when we replace X by \mathcal{X} . Hence it follows that $*$: $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a well defined operation. We now present a refinement of Corollary 2.1 for initial data on strictly positive Fourier modes, showing that the functions $a_n(t)$ are given as a Fourier sum.

Lemma 2.6. *Take the same hypothesis as in Corollary 2.1, having fixed some initial data $\phi \in \ell_{s, d}^1$. In addition, assume that $\phi_n \neq 0$ only if $1_{\mathbb{N}^d} \leq n$. Then there exist unique Fourier coefficients $c \in \mathcal{X}$ for which the functions a_n are given by:*

$$a_n(t) = \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} c_{n, j} e^{i\omega^2 j t}, \quad (13)$$

where the Fourier coefficients $c_{n, j}$ may be recursively defined as $c_{0, j} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}^d$, and

$$c_{n, j} = \begin{cases} \frac{(c^p)_{n, j}}{\omega^2(n^2 - j)} & \text{if } n \leq j < n^2 \\ \phi_n - \sum_{n \leq k < n^2} \frac{(c^p)_{n, k}}{\omega^2(n^2 - k)} & \text{if } j = n^2, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where we define $\omega^2 j = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i^2 j_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

We remark that for all $n, j \in \mathbb{N}^d$ that the product $(c^p)_{n, j}$ only depends on coefficients $c_{m, k}$ for $|m| < |n|$. Moreover $(c^p)_{n, j}$ only depends on coefficients $c_{m, k}$ for which $m \leq n - (p-1) < n$. Thus we can solve $c_{n, j}$ in increasing orders of $|n|$.

Proof. From Corollary 2.1 we have $a_0(t) = 0$ and furthermore $a_n(t) = 0$ for all $|n| < d$. Hence $c_{n, j} = 0$ for all $|n| < d$.

We prove the rest by induction, assuming $a_m(t)$ has the form in (13) for all $m < n$. By Lemma 2.4 it follows that

$$(a^p(s))_n = \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p)} (c^p)_{n, j} e^{i\omega^2 j s}.$$

Again by Lemma 2.4 we have $(a(s)^p)_n \neq 0$ only if $n \geq p$. By plugging the above expression into

equation (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n(t) &= \phi_n e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} - i e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} \int_0^t e^{-i\omega^2 n^2 s} \left(\sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p)} (c^p)_{n,j} e^{i\omega^2 j s} \right) ds \\
&= \phi_n e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} - i e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p)} \int_0^t (c^p)_{n,j} e^{-i\omega^2 (n^2-j)s} ds \\
&= \phi_n e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} - i e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p)} (c^p)_{n,j} \frac{e^{-i\omega^2 (n^2-j)s} - 1}{-i\omega^2 (n^2-j)} \\
&= \phi_n e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} + \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p)} \frac{(c^p)_{n,j}}{\omega^2 (n^2-j)} \left(e^{i\omega^2 j t} - e^{i\omega^2 n^2 t} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The formula in (14) and the inclusion $c \in \mathcal{X}$ follow. \square

With this recursive definition, we can compute the Fourier coefficients of a solution to any order. However it is not clear whether the solution is bounded. To show this series converges, we wish to show that the unique $c \in \mathcal{X}$ solving (14) in fact has a bounded norm in X . We define an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ whose fixed points correspond to sequences satisfying the recursive relation. We will then show for sufficiently small ϕ the operator T has a fixed point.

To that end, for fixed $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ define bounded linear operators $K, L : X \rightarrow X$ and $\iota : \ell_{s,d}^1 \rightarrow X$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(Kc)_{n,j} &= \begin{cases} \frac{c_{n,j}}{\omega^2 (n^2-j)} & \text{if } p \leq n \text{ and } n \leq j \leq n^2 - (p-1)(2n-p) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
(Lc)_{n,j} &= \begin{cases} \sum_{n \leq k < n^2} c_{n,k} & \text{if } j = n^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
(\iota\phi)_{n,j} &= \begin{cases} \phi_n & \text{if } j = n^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that K is a compact map, that is it can be well approximated by finite dimensional maps. We may compute norms

$$\|K\| = \frac{1}{\|\omega\|^{2p(p-1)}}, \quad \|L\| = 1, \quad \|\iota\| = 1,$$

where $\|\omega\|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \omega_i^2$. Note that these norms do not depend on s , the spatial regularity of our initial data $\phi \in \ell_{s,d}^1$.

Definition 2.7. For fixed $\phi \in \ell_{s,d}^1$, define the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$T(c) := \iota\phi + (I - L)Kc^p$$

By construction, fixed points $c = T(c)$ will satisfy the recursive relation in (14). It then follows from Lemma 2.6 that fixed points of T correspond with (quasi)periodic solutions of (1). To prove Theorem 1.6 we aim to show that T has a fixed point in a ball

$$B_r(\hat{c}) = \{c \in X : \|c - \hat{c}\| \leq r\}.$$

We note that the mapping $c \mapsto c^p$ is Fréchet differentiable and K is a compact map, thereby T is also compact and Fréchet differentiable. By the Schauder fixed point theorem, if there exists some $\hat{c} \in X$ and $r > 0$ for which T maps the ball $B_r(\hat{c})$ inside of itself, then there must exist at least one point $\tilde{c} \in B_r(\hat{c})$ for which $T(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{c}$. Moreover this fixed point must be unique, as each of its coefficients are uniquely determined by the recursion relation in (14).

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For initial data $u_0 \in A_s^+(\mathbb{T}^d)$ let us denote its Fourier coefficients by $\hat{u}_0 = \phi \in \ell_{s,d}^1$, and the maximal interval of existence of its solution by J . From Corollary 2.1 there exists a function $a : J \rightarrow \ell_{s,d}^1$ such that $u(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} a_n(t) e^{i\omega n x}$. By Lemma 2.6 there exists a unique sequence $c \in \mathcal{X}$ such that the component functions $a_n(t)$ can be expressed by the Fourier polynomials in (13) having frequency vector $\{\omega_i^2/2\pi\}_{i=1}^d$. To show that $u(t)$ is globally defined and in fact a (quasi)periodic solution, it suffices to prove that $\|c\| < \infty$. This is equivalent to showing the operator T , given in Definition 2.7, has a fixed point in some ball of finite radius.

Since T is a compact Fréchet differentiable map, by the Schauder fixed point theorem it suffices to find conditions on r and ϕ such that $\sup_{c \in B_r(0)} \|T(c)\| \leq r$. By applying norm estimates on the linear operators and the Banach algebra property of X , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{c \in B_r(0)} \|T(c)\| &\leq \|\phi\| + \sup_{c \in B_r(0)} \|(I - L)K\| \cdot \|c^p\| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| + \frac{2r^p}{\|\omega\|^2 p(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

To focus on when this expression is bounded above by r , we define¹

$$P(r) := \|\phi\| + \frac{2r^p}{\|\omega\|^2 p(p-1)} - r.$$

To find a value of r such that $P(r) \leq 0$ we choose the minimum value of $P(r)$ and see where this is non-positive. We may compute that $0 = P'(r) = \frac{2r^{p-1}}{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)} - 1$ is solved precisely when $r_0 = \left(\frac{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)}{2}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$. Plugging this in we obtain

$$P(r_0) = \|\phi\| - \left(1 - \frac{2}{\|\omega\|^2 p(p-1)} \frac{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)}{2}\right) r_0 = \|\phi\| - \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)}{2}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$$

Hence if $\|\phi\| \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{\|\omega\|^2(p-1)}{2}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$ then $P(r_0) \leq 0$. Hence, the ball $B_{r_0}(0)$ is mapped into itself. By the Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists a fixed point of the map T in the ball. Hence for c defined above, we have $c = T(c)$ with $\|c\| \leq r_0$, and moreover $\|u(t)\| \leq r_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

3 Solutions with monochromatic initial data

When studying evolutionary PDEs it is natural to begin by studying solutions having a single Fourier mode as their initial data. For example, the classical NLS $iu_t = \Delta u \pm |u|^{p-1}u$ has periodic solutions

$$u(t, x) = A e^{it((n\omega)^2 \mp |A|^{p-1})} e^{in\omega x}, \quad A \in \mathbb{C}$$

¹Note that function P is unrelated and not to be confused with functions P_n in (4).

In contrast, the only solutions to (1) of the form $u(t, x) = \psi(t)\phi(x)$ are either constant in t or constant in x , cf [JLT20]. Nevertheless, as worked out in Examples 1.3 and 1.4, we can explicitly solve for the Fourier coefficients of a solution with monochromatic initial data. Note from Example 1.4 that solutions $a_n(t)$ are related by a scaling $A^n/\omega^{2(n-1)}$. In Theorem 3.1 below we show that if the initial data is a single Fourier mode, then all of the solution coefficients are related by geometric scaling in the spatial modes. Throughout this section, we study (1) with $d = 1$ and monochromatic initial data.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix $p \geq 2$ and $d = 1$ and consider (1) with initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$, and define $c(A, \omega) \in \mathcal{X}$ as the unique sequence satisfying the recursive relationship in (14). If $\tilde{c} = c(1, 1)$ then*

$$(c(A, \omega))_{n,j} = \frac{A^n}{\omega^{\frac{2(n-1)}{p-1}}} \tilde{c}_{n,j}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. We show that if the coefficients $c(A, \omega)$ are defined as in (15) then they will satisfy the recursive relationship in (14). When $n = 1$, then (15) says that $c(A, \omega)_{1,j} = A\tilde{c}_{1,j} = A\delta_{1,j}$ using the Kronecker delta, whereby (14) is satisfied. For $n \geq 2$ we first simplify the product $(c^p)_{n,j}$ using the assumption in (15).

$$\begin{aligned} (c^p)_{n,j} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = n \\ 1 \leq k_1, \dots, k_p < n}} c_{k_1, j_1} \cdots c_{k_p, j_p} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_p = n \\ 1 \leq k_1, \dots, k_p < n}} \left(\frac{A^{k_1}}{\omega^{\frac{2(k_1-1)}{p-1}}} \tilde{c}_{k_1, j_1} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{A^{k_p}}{\omega^{\frac{2(k_p-1)}{p-1}}} \tilde{c}_{k_p, j_p} \right) \\ &= \frac{A^n}{\omega^{\frac{2(n-p)}{p-1}}} (\tilde{c}^p)_{n,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Our assumption that $\tilde{c} = c(1, 1)$ satisfies (14) for $n \geq 2$ can be restated as

$$\tilde{c}_{n,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\tilde{c}^p)_{n,j}}{(n^2 - j)} & \text{if } n \leq j < n^2 \\ - \sum_{n \leq k < n^2} \frac{(\tilde{c}^p)_{n,k}}{(n^2 - k)} & \text{if } j = n^2. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

For the components $c(A, \omega)_{n,j}$ with $j < n^2$, we can simplify the RHS of (14), using (16) and (17) as below

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(c^p)_{n,j}}{\omega^2(n^2 - j)} &= \frac{A^n}{\omega^{\frac{2(n-p)}{p-1}}} \frac{(\tilde{c}^p)_{n,j}}{\omega^2(n^2 - j)} \\ &= \frac{A^n}{\omega^{\frac{2(n-1)}{p-1}}} (\tilde{c})_{n,j} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By the rescaling given in (15), the result in (18) equals $(c)_{n,j}$. Hence recurrence relation (14) is satisfied for $j < n^2$. By the same argument the recurrence relation (14) for coefficients $c_{n,j}$ is satisfied for $j = n^2$. \square

Thus Theorem 3.1 shows that the n th coefficient is essentially being scaled by $\left(A/\omega^{\frac{2}{p-1}}\right)^n$. If the ratio $A/\omega^{\frac{2}{p-1}}$ is very small, then we would expect the coefficients of $c(A, \omega)$ to shrink geometrically, and expect the solution $u(t)$ will correspond to a periodic orbit with period $2\pi/\omega^2$. Likewise, if the ratio $A/\omega^{\frac{2}{p-1}}$ is very large, then we would expect the coefficients of $c(A, \omega)$ to grow geometrically, and we may expect the solution to blowup in finite time.

Moreover, if we fix some $p \geq 2$ and $\omega = 1$ and vary A , we might expect there to be some value $A^* > 0$ such that if $A < A^*$ then $\|c(A, 1)\|_X < \infty$ and if $A > A^*$ then $\|c(A, 1)\|_X = \infty$. Due to the geometric scaling of $c(A, 1)$, the critical value A^* is not sensitive to the choice of ℓ^1 for the norm on X . We formalize this notion below.

Definition 3.2. Fix $p \geq 2$ and $d = 1$ and consider (1) with initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{ix}$, and define $c(A, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ as the unique sequence satisfying the recursive relationship in (14). For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ define

$$A^* = A^{*,q} = \sup \left\{ A > 0 : \|c(A, 1)\|_{\ell_{0,d}^q \otimes \ell_{0,d}^q} < \infty \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.3. The constant A^* is independent of q .

Proof. Fix $1 \leq q < \infty$. We show that $A^{*,q} = A^{*,\infty}$. For $\tilde{c} = c(1, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$A^{*,\infty} = \sup \left\{ A > 0 : \sup_{n \geq 0} \left(A^n \sup_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |\tilde{c}_{n,j}| \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

If $A > A^{*,\infty}$, then there exists a subsequence $\{\tilde{c}_{n_i, j_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|A^{n_i} \tilde{c}_{n_i, j_i}| > 1$. Thereby $\|c(A, 1)\|_{\ell_{0,d}^q \otimes \ell_{0,d}^q} = +\infty$, and hence $A^{*,q} \leq A^{*,\infty}$.

Suppose that $A < A^{*,\infty}$. Fix constants $A_0 = \frac{A+A^{*,\infty}}{2}$, $M = \sup_{n \geq 0} \{A_0^n \sup_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |\tilde{c}_{n,j}|\}$, and $\epsilon = |A|/A_0$, whereby $M < \infty$ and $\epsilon < 1$. Then we may calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(A, 1)\|_{\ell_{0,d}^q \otimes \ell_{0,d}^q}^q &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |A^n \tilde{c}_{n,j}|^q \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} n^2 \left(\frac{|A|}{A_0}\right)^{nq} \left(A_0^n \sup_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |\tilde{c}_{n,j}|\right)^q \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} n^2 \epsilon^{nq} M^q \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon < 1$ then it follows that the sum above is finite, and hence $A^{*,q} \geq A^{*,\infty}$. Thus $A^{*,q} = A^{*,\infty}$. \square

For each $p \geq 2$ we can make an empirical estimate for this value of A^* by using the formula in (14) to algorithmically compute the coefficients to any order, and then observe whether the coefficients appear to be growing or shrinking exponentially. For $p = 2$ we estimate that A^* is about 3.37, which was computed using a linear regression to fit $\ln \sum_{j=n}^{n^2} |c(1, 1)_{n,j}|$ using $100 \leq n \leq 300$ (the code for this calculation is available at [JLT20]). While the statistical significance of this test was satisfactory, $R^2 = 0.9999996$, the residual errors are not normally distributed and the estimated value of A^* is sensitive to the upper and lower limits of n used to fit the data.

In the remainder of the paper, we prove rigorous bounds on the value of A^* for $p = 2$. To obtain a lower bound, note that from Theorem 1.6 it follows that $A^* \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Moreover if $|A| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ then $\|c(A, 1)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. To more closely approach the critical value, we use a computer assisted proof

in Theorem 1.5 (a) to show that $A^* \geq 3$. We begin first by proving Theorem 1.5 (b), that if $A \geq 6$ then the solution will exhibit finite time blowup in the L^2 norm.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 (b). Consider the initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$ with $A = 1 = \omega$ and fix $\tilde{c} = c(1, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ as the unique solution to the recursion relation in (14). We prove finite time blowup by first proving a lower bound on the Fourier coefficients $\tilde{c}_{n,n}$, and then using Parseval's theorem to obtain a lower bound on the L^2 norm. We then apply the scaling from Theorem 3.1 to show that the ℓ^2 norm of the coefficients $c(A, 1)$ is unbounded for $|A| \geq 6$.

To estimate $\tilde{c}_{n,n} = c(1, 1)_{n,n}$ note first that $\tilde{c}_{1,1} = 1$. Since $c_{n,j} = 0$ for all $j < n$, the formula in (14) for $\tilde{c}_{n,n}$ reduces to

$$\tilde{c}_{n,n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{c}_{k,k} \tilde{c}_{n-k,n-k}}{n^2 - n}, \quad (19)$$

for all $n \geq 2$. Note then that $\tilde{c}_{n,n}$ will always be a positive real number.

We prove by induction that a lower bound $\tilde{c}_{k,k} \geq \alpha \frac{k}{\beta^k}$ is satisfied for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$ (and in particular for $\alpha = \beta = 6$). As $\tilde{c}_{1,1} = 1$, this inequality is satisfied in the base case if and only if $\beta \geq \alpha$. For the inductive step, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{n,n} &\geq \frac{1}{n^2 - n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha k}{\beta^k} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha(n-k)}{\beta^{n-k}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2 - n} \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kn - k^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^n} \left(n \frac{(n-1)n}{2} - \frac{(n-1)n(2(n-1)+1)}{6} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^n} \left(\frac{n+1}{6} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inductive step is satisfied if

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^n} \left(\frac{n+1}{6} \right) \geq \frac{\alpha n}{\beta^n}.$$

After simplifying, we find that we need $\alpha \geq 6$. Hence, we can take $\alpha = \beta = 6$, and thereby

$$|\tilde{c}_{n,n}| \geq \frac{6n}{6^n}.$$

To prove finite time blowup in the L^2 norm, consider now the solution $u(t, x)$ through the initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$ with $|A|/\omega^2 \geq 6$. Fix $c = c(A, \omega) \in \mathcal{X}$ as the unique solution to the recursion relation in (14). For $\tilde{c} = c(1, 1)$ as above, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $c_{n,j} = \frac{A^n}{\omega^{2(n-1)}} \tilde{c}_{n,j}$. Let $J^{(1)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ denote the maximal time of existence of $u(t)$ in $A_0^+(\mathbb{T}^1)$. Then for all $t \in J^{(1)}$ the function u is given by:

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(t) e^{i\omega n x}, \quad a_n(t) = \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} \frac{A^n}{\omega^{2(n-1)}} \tilde{c}_{n,j} e^{i\omega^2 j t}. \quad (20)$$

Moreover we have $J^{(1)} = (-T_{min}^{(1)}, T_{max}^{(1)})$ for constants defined as

$$-T_{min}^{(1)} = \inf \left\{ t < 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(t)| < \infty \right\}, \quad T_{max}^{(1)} = \sup \left\{ t > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(t)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Note furthermore that the PDE (1) with $(p, d) = (2, 1)$ is locally well-posed on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$, and even $H^s(\mathbb{T}^1)$ for $s > \frac{-1}{2}$, cf [KPV96]. Let $J^{(2)} \supseteq J^{(1)}$ denote the maximal interval of existence of $u(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^1)$. By the construction made in Corollary 2.1, the solution in (20) satisfies Duhamel's formula for all $t \in J^{(2)}$. As Parseval's identity gives a equivalence between the norms of L^2 and ℓ^2 , we may state the maximal interval of existence as $J^{(2)} = (-T_{min}^{(2)}, T_{max}^{(2)})$ where

$$-T_{min}^{(2)} = \inf \left\{ t < 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(t)|^2 < \infty \right\}, \quad T_{max}^{(2)} = \sup \left\{ t > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(t)|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We now argue by contradiction to prove finite time blowup; suppose that $T_{max}^{(2)} > \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}$. Thereby $u \in L^2([0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}], L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})) \cong L^2([0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}] \times \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$, and by the formula in (20) the solution u is $2\pi/\omega$ periodic in space and $2\pi/\omega^2$ periodic in time. By Parseval's theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}] \times \mathbb{T}} |u(t, x)|^2 &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}\right) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} \left| \frac{A^n}{\omega^{2(n-1)}} \tilde{c}_{n,j} \right|^2 \\ &> \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{A^n}{\omega^{2(n-1)}} \tilde{c}_{n,n} \right|^2 \\ &\geq 4\pi^2 \omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{A^n 6n}{\omega^{2n} 6^n} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

If $A \geq 6\omega^2$ then the series diverges, whereby $\|u\|_{L^2([0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}] \times \mathbb{T})} = +\infty$. This contradiction proves that the maximal time of existence is bounded as $T_{max}^{(2)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\omega^2}$. Since (1) is locally well posed in L^2 then it is necessarily the case that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow T_{max}^{(2)}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} = +\infty$. \square

This blowup result trivially extends to the quadratic case of (1) posed on \mathbb{T}^d for $d \geq 2$. We also obtain the following extension.

Corollary 3.4. *Consider (1) with $(p, d) = (2, 1)$ and initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x} + \sum_{n \geq 2} \phi_n e^{i\omega n x}$ for $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega > 0$. If $A/\omega^2 \geq 6$ then the solution will blowup in the L^2 norm in finite time.*

Proof. The formula in $\tilde{c}_{n,n}$ in (19) stays the same, so the rest of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 1.5 (b). \square

From our numerical calculation of $c(1, 1)$ with $p = 2$ we estimate that the critical value A^* is approximately 3.37. Using a computer assisted proof, we are able to rigorously show that if $|A| \leq 3$ then $\|c(A, 1)\| < \infty$. The approach of this proof is in the same spirit as the proof in Theorem 1.6, where we showed that T has a fixed point in some ball $B_r(\hat{c})$. In Theorem 1.6 we considered arbitrary initial data and applied the Schauder fixed point theorem to a ball centered about $\hat{c} = 0$. If we have explicitly given initial data, then we can explicitly compute Fourier coefficients up to any fixed order. We can obtain sharper results by centering about this finite truncation.

We note that all of these coefficients $c(3, 1)_{n,j}$ are rational and it would be possible to exactly represent these coefficients with integers on a computer. Unfortunately the size of the denominators

grows quite rapidly. To save computational expense, we represent each coefficient as an interval which contains the precise value and whose endpoints are floating point numbers. By using interval arithmetic we are able to rigorously manipulate these coefficients and keep track of any rounding error (cf [GS19]).

To show that T maps a ball $B_r(\hat{c})$ into itself and moreover is a contraction, we apply a parameterized Newton-Kantorovich theorem. The two parameters in the theorem are \hat{c} , the approximate fixed point, and r , the radius of the ball. Such a theorem is commonly used in computer assisted proofs of nonlinear dynamics, see for example [JLT20] studying (1) and more generally [vdBL15, MJ17, GS19]. This version of the Newton-Kantorovich theorem reduces the question of whether T maps a ball of radius r into itself, down to whether an explicitly computable polynomial $P(r)$ satisfies a single inequality, see (23), and is sometimes referred to as the radii polynomial approach.

Theorem 3.5 (cf [MJ17]). *Let X be a Banach space, $\hat{c} \in X$, and suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a Fréchet differentiable mapping. Suppose that Y_0, Z_1 are positive constants and $Z_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a positive function, having*

$$\|T(\hat{c}) - \hat{c}\|_X \leq Y_0, \quad (21)$$

and that

$$\sup_{c \in \overline{B_r(0)}} \|DT(\hat{c} + c)\| \leq Z_1 + Z_2(r)r \quad (22)$$

for all $r > 0$. If there is an $r > 0$ so that

$$\underbrace{Z_2(r)r^2 - (1 - Z_1)r + Y_0}_{=: P(r)} \leq 0 \quad (23)$$

then there exists a unique $\tilde{c} \in \overline{B_r(\hat{c})}$ such that $T(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{c}$.

For any fixed values $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can explicitly compute the coefficients of $c(A, 1)_{n,j}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $n \leq j \leq n^2$. Thus we do not need to worry about T being a contraction on the first finitely many coefficients. More formally, let us define subspaces $X = X_N \oplus X_\infty$ below

$$\begin{aligned} X_N &:= \{c \in X : c_{n,j} \neq 0 \text{ only if } n \leq N\} \\ X_\infty &:= \{c \in X : c_{n,j} \neq 0 \text{ only if } n > N\} \end{aligned}$$

and define projection operators $\pi_N : X \rightarrow X_N$ and $\pi_\infty : X \rightarrow X_\infty$.

For fixed initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{ix}$ define $\hat{c} \in X_N$ such that $\hat{c} = \pi_N c(A, 1)$ where $c(A, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$ is the unique sequence satisfying (14). Hence $\hat{c} = \pi_N(\hat{c})$ and furthermore $\hat{c} = \pi_N T(\hat{c})$. Using interval arithmetic to evaluate (14) we can obtain a rigorous enclosure of every component of \hat{c} . If $\|c(A, 1)\| < \infty$, then there exists some $c_\infty \in X_\infty$ such that $c(A, 1) = \hat{c} + c_\infty$. Moreover c_∞ will be a fixed point of the map $T_\infty : X_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ defined for $u \in X_\infty$ by

$$T_\infty(u) = \pi_\infty T(\hat{c} + u). \quad (24)$$

Thus for an explicitly computed finite sequence $\hat{c} \in X_N$, finding a fixed point of T is equivalent to finding a fixed point of T_∞ . To do so, we will aim to apply Theorem 3.5 for the map T_∞ in a ball $B_r^\infty(0) = \{c \in X_\infty : \|c\| \leq r\}$.

We first prove a lemma which defines Y_0, Z_1, Z_2 and shows that they satisfy the inequalities in (21) and (22). We also note that as $\pi_\infty T(\hat{c}) \in X_{2N}$, one could obtain the sharpest Y_0 bound by computing an interval enclosure of $\|T_\infty(0)\|$ using finitely many arithmetic operations. However this can be computationally expensive if N is large. We instead use a faster, rougher bound as given in (25). This is no great loss, as the Z_1 bound is the most difficult one to control in practice.

Lemma 3.6. Fix $(p, d) = (2, 1)$, fix $\hat{c} \in X_N$ and define T_∞ as in (24). Define $b \in \ell_{0,1}^1$ by $b_n = \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |\hat{c}_{n,j}|$ and $Y_0, Z_1, Z_2(r)$ by

$$Y_0 = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq 2N} \frac{(b * b)_n}{n-1}, \quad (25)$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{4}{\omega^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} \frac{|\hat{c}_{n,j}|}{n^2 + 2n(N+1) - j}, \quad (26)$$

$$Z_2(r) = \frac{2r}{\omega^2(N+1)^2}, \quad (27)$$

then the following inequalities are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\infty(0) - 0\|_{X_\infty} &\leq Y_0, \\ \|DT_\infty(0)\|_{B(X_\infty)} &\leq Z_1, \\ \sup_{c \in B_{\mathcal{F}^\infty}(0)} \|DT_\infty(c) - DT_\infty(0)\|_{B(X_\infty)} &\leq Z_2(r)r, \quad \forall r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We divide the proof into three parts.

The Y_0 Bound. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\infty(0)\| &= \|\pi_\infty(I-L)K\hat{c}^2\| \\ &\leq 2\|\pi_\infty K\hat{c}^2\|. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Using the bound $|(Kc)_{n,j}| \leq \frac{1}{2\omega^2(n-1)}|c_{n,j}|$ for all $c \in X$ we obtain

$$\|\pi_\infty K\hat{c}^2\| \leq \sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq 2N} \frac{1}{2n-2} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} \left| \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \hat{c}_{n_1,j_2} \hat{c}_{n_2,j_2} \right|.$$

Define a sequence $b \in \ell_{0,1}^1$ by $b_n = \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |\hat{c}_{n,j}|$. By the Banach algebra property of $\ell_{0,1}^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_\infty K\hat{c}^2\| &\leq \sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq 2N} \frac{1}{2\omega^2(n-1)} \sum_{n_1+n_2=n} \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2=j \\ n \leq j \leq n^2}} |\hat{c}_{n_1,j_2} \hat{c}_{n_2,j_2}| \\ &\leq \sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq 2N} \frac{1}{2\omega^2(n-1)} \sum_{n_1+n_2=n} b_{n_1} b_{n_2} \\ &= \sum_{N+1 \leq n \leq 2N} \frac{(b^2)_n}{2\omega^2(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (28) we see that Y_0 defined in (25) satisfies $\|T_\infty(0)\| \leq Y_0$.

To obtain the Z_1 and Z_2 bounds, we first compute the action of the Fréchet derivative DT_∞ on a vector $h \in X_\infty$ below

$$\begin{aligned} DT_\infty(u)h &= \pi_\infty DT(\hat{c} + u) \cdot h \\ &= 2(I-L)\pi_\infty K(\hat{c} + u) * h. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\|I - L\| \leq 2$ then

$$\sup_{u \in B_r^\infty(0)} \|DT_\infty(u)\| \leq 4 \left(\sup_{h \in X_\infty, \|h\|=1} \|\pi_\infty K \hat{c} * h\| + \sup_{\substack{h \in X_\infty, \|h\|=1 \\ u \in B_r^\infty(0)}} \|\pi_\infty K u * h\| \right). \quad (29)$$

The Z_1 Bound. To bound these terms we first focus on $K \hat{c} * h$, for which the absolute value of its components may be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} |(K \hat{c} * h)_{n,j}| &\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \frac{1}{(n_1+n_2)^2 - (j_1+j_2)} |\hat{c}_{n_1,j_1} h_{n_2,j_2}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \frac{1}{n_1^2 + 2n_1n_2 - j_1} |\hat{c}_{n_1,j_1} h_{n_2,j_2}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \left| \frac{\hat{c}_{n_1,j_1}}{n_1^2 + 2n_1(N+1) - j_1} \right| |h_{n_2,j_2}|. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Here we first used the fact that $j_2 \leq n_2^2$, and then (30) follows from the fact that $h \in X_\infty$ so $h_{n,j} = 0$ for $n \leq N$. Setting aside $1/\omega^2$ for a moment, the terms in (30) may be given by the Cauchy product of the sequence $\{|h_{n,j}|\} \in X_\infty$ and the sequence $\left\{ \left| \frac{\hat{c}_{n,j}}{n^2 + 2n(N+1) - j} \right| \right\} \in X_N$. Using the Banach algebra property of $X = X_N \oplus X_\infty$, it follows that

$$\sup_{h \in X_\infty; \|h\| \leq 1} \|K \hat{c} * h\| \leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} \left| \frac{\hat{c}_{n,j}}{n^2 + 2n(N+1) - j} \right|. \quad (31)$$

Hence, by combining (31) into (29) we see that Z_1 defined in (26) satisfies $\|DT_\infty(\hat{c})\|_{B(X_\infty)} \leq Z_1$.

The Z_2 Bound. To bound the Z_2 term, we now focus on $K u * h$, for which the absolute value of its components may be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} |(K u * h)_{n,j}| &= \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \frac{1}{(n_1+n_2)^2 - (j_1+j_2)} |u_{n_1,j_1} h_{n_2,j_2}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \frac{1}{2n_1n_2} |u_{n_1,j_1} h_{n_2,j_2}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+n_2=n \\ j_1+j_2=j}} \left| \frac{u_{n_1,j_1}}{2(N+1)^2} \right| |h_{n_2,j_2}|. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In the first inequality we used the fact that both $j_1 \leq n_1^2$ and $j_2 \leq n_2^2$. For the second inequality we used the fact that as both $u, h \in X_\infty$ then $|u_{n_1,j_1} h_{n_2,j_2}| \neq 0$ only if $n_1, n_2 \geq N+1$. Setting aside $1/\omega^2$ for a moment, the terms in (32) may be given by the Cauchy product of the sequence $\{|h_{n,j}|\} \in X_\infty$ and the sequence $\left\{ \left| \frac{u_{n,j}}{2(N+1)^2} \right| \right\} \in X_\infty$. Using $\|u\| \leq r$ and the Banach algebra property, it follows that

$$\sup_{u \in B_r^\infty(0)} \sup_{h \in X_\infty, \|h\|=1} \|K u * h\| \leq \frac{r}{2\omega^2(N+1)^2}. \quad (33)$$

Hence, by combining (33) into (29) we see that $Z_2(r)$ defined in (27) satisfies

$$\sup_{u \in B_r^\infty(0)} \sup_{h \in X_\infty, \|h\|=1} \|DT_\infty(\hat{c} + u) \cdot h\| \leq Z_1 + Z_2(r).$$

□

We now present the computer assisted proof of Theorem 1.5 (a). The source code for this computer assisted proof is available at [Jaq21] and uses the interval arithmetic library INTLAB version 10.1 [Rum99]. The computation was run using MATLAB version 2020b and took 60.7 minutes on a Intel i7-8750H processor.

Proof of Theorem 1.5 (a). First we consider the initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$ for $A = 3$ and $\omega = 1$. Fix $c(3, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$, the solution to the recursion relation in (14). For fixed $N = 110$ we use interval arithmetic to compute a rigorous enclosure of $\hat{c} = \pi_N c(3, 1)$. To prove $\|c(3, 1)\| < \infty$ it suffices to show that T_∞ , as defined in (24), has a fixed point in some ball $B_r^\infty(0)$.

We prove that T_∞ has a fixed point by way of Theorem 3.5. Using interval arithmetic we compute $Y_0, Z_1, Z_2(r)$ as defined in (25)-(27), which by Lemma 3.6, satisfy the inequalities (21) and (22). For $P(r)$ defined in (23) and fixed $r_0 = 32$, we use interval arithmetic to show that $P(r_0) < 0$. Hence by Theorem 3.5 it follows that there exists a unique $c_\infty \in \overline{B_r^\infty(0)}$ such that $T_\infty(c_\infty) = c_\infty$. Thereby $c(3, 1) = \hat{c} + c_\infty$ and furthermore $\|c(3, 1)\|_X < \infty$.

More generally, for any $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega > 0$ fix $c(A, \omega) \in \mathcal{X}$ as the unique solution to the recursion relation in (14) for the initial data $u_0(x) = Ae^{i\omega x}$. From Theorem 3.1 we have $c(A, \omega)_{n,j} = \omega^{-2} \left(\frac{A}{\omega^2}\right)^n (c(1, 1))_{n,j}$. The argument above proved that $c(3, 1) = T(c(3, 1))$ and $\|c(3, 1)\|_X < \infty$. This latter statement may be restated as follows

$$\|c(3, 1)\|_X = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} |c(3, 1)_{n,j}| = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} \sum_{n \leq j \leq n^2} 1^{-2} \left(\frac{3}{1^2}\right)^n |(c(1, 1))_{n,j}| < +\infty.$$

It follows that if $|A|/\omega^2 \leq 3$, then $\|c(A, \omega)\|_X \leq \omega^{-2} \|c(3, 1)\|_X < \infty$.

□

By computing more coefficients $\{c_{n,j}\}$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $n \leq j \leq n^2$, then one could more closely approximate the critical value $A^* \approx 3.37$ from below. However the memory requirements of the present computer assisted proof are of order $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$, and taking a large value of N is computationally expensive. On the other hand, there is ample room for improvement for reducing the bound $A^* \leq 6$ from Theorem 1.5 (b). Similar questions on the (quasi)periodicity/blowup of monochromatic initial data may also be asked of (1) for other values of $p \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank M. Beck, A. Delshams, J.P. Lessard, E. Miranda, A. Takayasu, and C.E. Wayne for informative discussions.

References

- [BDM⁺19] Roisin Braddell, Amadeu Delshams, Eva Miranda, Cédric Oms, and Arnau Planas. An invitation to singular symplectic geometry. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 16(supp01):1940008, 2019.

- [Bou98] Jean Bourgain. Quasi-periodic solutions of Hamiltonian perturbations of 2D linear Schrödinger equations. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 363–439, 1998.
- [BT06] Ioan Bejenaru and Terence Tao. Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness results for a quadratic non-linear Schrödinger equation. *Journal of functional analysis*, 233(1):228–259, 2006.
- [CCO09] Mathieu Colin, Th Colin, and Masahito Ohta. Stability of solitary waves for a system of nonlinear schrödinger equations with three wave interaction. In *Annales de l’IHP Analyse non linéaire*, volume 26, pages 2211–2226, 2009.
- [COS16] C.-H. Cho, H. Okamoto, and M. Shōji. A blow-up problem for a nonlinear heat equation in the complex plane of time. *Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 33(1):145–166, Feb 2016.
- [CW93] Walter Craig and C Eugene Wayne. Newton’s method and periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 46(11):1409–1498, 1993.
- [FG20] Kazumasa Fujiwara and Vladimir Georgiev. Necessary and sufficient condition for global existence of L^2 solutions for 1d periodic NLS with non-gauge invariant quadratic non-linearity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.04280*, 2020.
- [FO17] Kazumasa Fujiwara and Tohru Ozawa. Lifespan of strong solutions to the periodic non-linear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 17(3):1023–1030, 2017.
- [GG10] Patrick Gérard and Sandrine Grellier. The cubic Szegő equation. In *Annales scientifiques de l’école Normale Supérieure*, volume 43, pages 761–810, 2010.
- [GG12] Patrick Gérard and Sandrine Grellier. Invariant tori for the cubic Szegő equation. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 187(3):707–754, 2012.
- [GG15] Patrick Gérard and Sandrine Grellier. An explicit formula for the cubic Szegő equation. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 367(4):2979–2995, 2015.
- [GG17] Patrick Gérard and Sandrine Grellier. The cubic Szegő equation and Hankel operators. *Astérisque*, 389:114, 2017.
- [GG19] Patrick Gérard and Sandrine Grellier. A survey of the Szegő equation. *Science China Mathematics*, 62(6):1087–1100, 2019.
- [GNSY13] Jong-Shenq Guo, Hirokazu Ninomiya, Masahiko Shimojo, and Eiji Yanagida. Convergence and blow-up of solutions for a complex-valued heat equation with a quadratic nonlinearity. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 365(5):2447–2467, 2013.
- [GNT06] Stephen Gustafson, Kenji Nakanishi, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Scattering for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 13(2):273–285, 2006.
- [GS19] Javier Gómez-Serrano. Computer-assisted proofs in PDE: a survey. *SeMA Journal*, 76(3):459–484, 2019.

- [HOT13] Nakao Hayashi, Tohru Ozawa, and Kazunaga Tanaka. On a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with quadratic interaction. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis*, volume 30, pages 661–690. Elsevier, 2013.
- [III15] Masahiro Ikeda and Takahisa Inui. Some non-existence results for the semilinear Schrödinger equation without gauge invariance. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 425(2):758–773, 2015.
- [IO15] Tsukasa Iwabuchi and Takayoshi Ogawa. Ill-posedness for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with quadratic non-linearity in low dimensions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 367(4):2613–2630, 2015.
- [Jaq21] Jonathan Jaquette. Matlab code of “Quasiperiodicity and blowup in integrable subsystems of nonconservative nonlinear Schrödinger equations”. <https://github.com/JCJaquette/Quasiperiodicity-and-blowup-in-integrable-subsystems-of-nonconservative-NLS>, 2021.
- [JLT20] Jonathan Jaquette, Jean-Philippe Lessard, and Akitoshi Takayasu. Global dynamics in nonconservative nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.09734*, 2020.
- [Kis19] Nobu Kishimoto. A remark on norm inflation for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Communications on Pure & Applied Analysis*, 18(3):1375, 2019.
- [Koz83] Valery Vasil’evich Kozlov. Integrability and non-integrability in Hamiltonian mechanics. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 38(1):1, 1983.
- [KP96] Sergej Kuksin and Jurgen Poschel. Invariant Cantor manifolds of quasi-periodic oscillations for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Annals of Mathematics*, 143(1):149–179, 1996.
- [KPV96] Carlos Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. Quadratic forms for the 1-d semilinear Schrödinger equation. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 348(8):3323–3353, 1996.
- [Lég18] Tristan Léger. Global existence and scattering for quadratic NLS with potential in 3d. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.09865*, 2018.
- [MJ17] JD Mireles James. Validated numerics for equilibria of analytic vector fields: invariant manifolds and connecting orbits. *Rigorous Numerics in Dynamics*, 74:27–79, 2017.
- [Oh12] Tadahiro Oh. A blowup result for the periodic NLS without gauge invariance. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 350(7-8):389–392, 2012.
- [PP15] Claudio Procesi and Michela Procesi. A KAM algorithm for the resonant non-linear Schrödinger equation. *Advances in Mathematics*, 272:399–470, 2015.
- [RGB89] Alfred Ramani, Basil Grammaticos, and Tassos Bountis. The Painlevé property and singularity analysis of integrable and non-integrable systems. *Physics Reports*, 180(3):159–245, 1989.

- [Rum99] S.M. Rump. INTLAB - INTerval LABoratory. In Tibor Csendes, editor, *Developments in Reliable Computing*, pages 77–104. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999. <http://www.ti3.tu-harburg.de/rump/>.
- [Sak03] Takashi Sakajo. Blow-up solutions of the Constantin-Lax-Majda equation with a generalized viscosity term. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 10(1):187–207, 2003.
- [vdBL15] Jan Bouwe van den Berg and Jean-Philippe Lessard. Rigorous numerics in dynamics. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 62(9):1057–1061, 2015.