

# Distribution of twin primes in repeating sequences of prime factors

John K Sellers

Tuesday 3<sup>rd</sup> August, 2021

## Abstract

We present a deterministic relationship between relative primes and twin primes in successively larger sequences of the natural numbers. This enables setting a finite lower limit on the occurrence of actual twin primes in an unbounded selection of domains.

## 1 Natural Numbers as Repeating Sequences of Prime Factors

The formulation presented here differs from other approaches to prime pairs as outlined in [8] and which are covered in detail in [1, 2, 5, 6, 10]. Here we determine the locations of relative primes, prime to  $P \leq P_k$ , in successively larger sequences of the natural numbers. Those are the only locations where actual prime numbers  $P > P_k$  can appear. Therefore we refer to numbers in those locations as prospective prime numbers.

This approach is more direct and less abstract where the key is tracking prospective prime numbers not prime numbers themselves. In defining a finite set covering all combinations of prime factors  $P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_k$  relative to each other, we can count the number of prospective prime numbers and prospective twin primes in the set, specify their locations, and determine the uniformity of their distribution.

The tie to actual twin primes occurs when a prospective twin prime, prime to  $P \leq P_k$ , occurs between  $P_k$  and  $P_{k+1}^2$ . Then they are actual twin primes. Given this, we can place a non-vacant lower bound on the number of such twin primes for an unbounded succession of specific choices of  $P_k$ .

### 1.1 Numbers in Relation to Prime Factors 2 and 3

If one considers a sequence of natural numbers greater than 5 in terms of its relationships to prime factors 2 and 3 only, one sees a repeating pattern of six numbers relative to those factors as shown in Figure 1. The bottom row shows a useful representation, which we refer to as "sequence notation," that explicitly

N	N+1	N+2	N+3	N+4	N+5
$\tilde{P}$	2 , 3	$\tilde{P}$	2	3	2
$N_{n,1}$	$N_{n,2}$	$N_{n,3}$	$N_{n,4}$	$N_{n,5}$	$N_{n,6}$

Figure 1: Underlying Sequence of Natural Numbers Relative to Prime Factors 2 and 3, The figure as an example shows an arbitrary starting point of  $N = 6n + 5$ .  $\tilde{P}$  represents a prospective prime number because it marks the only location where a prime number  $> 3$  may occur.

shows the count,  $n$ , of the repetitions of the 6-number sequence and a count, "i", within each repeated sequence. The count within the repeating sequence shows an arbitrary but convenient choice for its start that has the two numbers prime to 2 and 3 as the first and third numbers in the repeating sequence.

Each sequence repeats with the identical pattern of prime factors 2 and 3 because:  $N_{n,i} = N_{n',i} + 6(n - n')$  shows that both  $N_{n,i}$  and  $N_{n',i}$  share the same combination of the prime factors 2 and 3. Therefore the two locations occupied by numbers prime to 2 and 3 in each 6-number sequence represent the only locations where prime numbers can occur and each occurrence has the potential to be a twin prime. Whether they are prime numbers or twin primes of course depends on where they occur in the overall sequence of natural numbers in relation to all other prime factors. Since we retain the notation citing repetitions of the 6-number sequence and the position within a given repetition of that sequence even as we consider larger sequences with other prime factors, we refer to the 6-number sequence as the elemental sequence, where the first (*zero<sup>th</sup>*), such elemental sequence starts at the number 5, i.e.,

$$N_{0,1} = 5, N_{0,2} = 6, N_{0,3} = 7, N_{0,4} = 8, N_{0,5} = 9, N_{0,6} = 10$$

An immediate observation from this formulation is that any prime number,  $P > 3$ , may be represented as either  $5 + 6n$ , Progression 1, or  $7 + 6n$ , Progression 2, where  $(n \geq 0)$  or equivalently as:  $6n' \pm 1, (n' \geq 1)$ .

## 1.2 Conversion in and out of sequence notation

As just introduced we use the representation of natural numbers,  $N_{n,i}$ , starting at  $N_{0,1} = 5$ , where  $i$  represents the number's position in the elemental sequence and  $n$  represents which elemental sequence. For example, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 represents the *zero<sup>th</sup>* elemental sequence. Then other natural numbers are represented by

$$N = N_{n,i} = 5 + 6n + (i - 1) \quad \text{with} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \quad (1)$$

Given any natural number,  $N$ , one can find its representation in terms of its elemental sequence and part of that sequence by choosing  $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  so

that  $6|(N - 5 - t)$ , giving:

$$n = \frac{(N - 5 - t)}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad i = t + 1 \quad (2)$$

Testing for values of  $t$  is easy, but one can also solve for  $n$  and  $i$  in terms of  $N$  as follows:

Note that  $N - 5 = \lfloor \frac{N-5}{6} \rfloor 6 + (N - 5) \bmod 6$ . Then comparing this with Equation (1) gives:

$$n = \left\lfloor \frac{N - 5}{6} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad i = (N - 5) \bmod 6 + 1 \quad (3)$$

in turn giving:

$$N = N_{\lfloor \frac{N-5}{6} \rfloor, (N-5) \bmod 6 + 1} \quad (4)$$

Numbers in the first or third position of the elemental sequence may be represented as the following arithmetic progressions:

$$N_{n,1} = 6n + 5 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{n,3} = 6n + 7$$

### 1.3 Products of Numbers Represented in the Sequence Notation

Multiplication rules for Numbers in the first and third positions of the elemental sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n_i,1}N_{n_j,1} &= N_{[6n_i n_j + 5(n_i + n_j) + 3], 3} \\ N_{n_i,1}N_{n_j,3} &= N_{[6n_i n_j + 7n_i + 5n_j + 5], 1} \\ N_{n_i,3}N_{n_j,3} &= N_{[6n_i n_j + 7(n_i + n_j) + 7], 3} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Define  $P_{n,1} = N_{n,1}$  and  $P_{n,3} = N_{n,3}$  when  $N_{n,1}$  and  $N_{n,3}$  respectively are prime numbers. Then In terms of products of prime numbers the multiplication equations become:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n_i,1}P_{n_j,1} &= N_{[6n_i n_j + 5(n_i + n_j) + 3], 3} \\ P_{n_i,1}P_{n_j,3} &= N_{[6n_i n_j + 7n_i + 5n_j + 5], 1} \\ P_{n_i,3}P_{n_j,3} &= N_{[6n_i n_j + 7(n_i + n_j) + 7], 3} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Summarizing in words:

- 1) Any product of prime numbers  $> 3$  that involves an odd number of prime numbers from Progression 1 (all of the form  $P_{n,1}$ ) produces a number in Progression 1:  $N_{n',1}$ .
- 2) Any other product of prime numbers  $> 3$  produces a number in Progression 2:  $N_{n',3}$ .

## 2 Prospective Prime Numbers and Prospective twin primes in Successively Larger Sequences of The Natural Numbers

### 2.1 Definitions and Properties

In this section we add some formalism to a discussion on the sequences of prime factors underlying the natural numbers. Our intention is to add some precision and clarity to this view as we consider successively larger sequences of natural numbers and their implications for the occurrence of prime numbers and twin primes.

It is key to this perspective of the natural numbers to note that the number  $N$  and  $N + n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ , where  $n$  is an integer,  $0 \leq n \leq P_{k+1} - 1$ , have the same occurrence of distinct prime factors among  $P \leq P_k$ . Therefore the sequences

$$N, N + 1, N + 2, \dots$$

and

$$N + n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i, N + n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i + 1, N + n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i + 2, \dots$$

have the same sequence of prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  and that sequence repeats at a frequency of every  $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i$  numbers.

Define  $S_k$  as the following ordered sequence of natural numbers:

$$S_k = \left\{ N_i : 5 \leq N_i \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \quad \&\mathcal{L} \quad N_{i+1} = N_i + 1 \right\} \quad (7)$$

Note that sets  $S_k$  each contain  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  successive natural numbers, all starting with the number 5, but ending on successively larger numbers as we increment  $k$ .

Define  $\psi_k$  as the underlying ordered sequence of prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  found in  $S_k$ . For example, given that  $S_2 = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ , then  $\psi_2 = \{\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2\}$ , where  $\tilde{P}$  indicates a number prime relative to all  $P \leq P_2$  (i.e.,  $P \leq 3$ ). The values in  $\psi_2$  are the distinct prime factors  $P \leq P_2$  found in the numbers of  $S_2$  that are in the same sequential position as the corresponding values in  $\psi_2$ .

When we extend  $S_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$ , the underlying sequence of prime factors  $\psi_k$  of  $S_k$  repeats  $P_{k+1}$  times in  $S_{k+1}$ , giving:

$$\tilde{\psi}_{k+1} = \{\psi_k^{(0)}, \psi_k^{(1)}, \psi_k^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_k^{(P_{k+1}-1)}\} \quad (8)$$

The tilde on  $\psi_{k+1}$  indicates the tentative representation of the sequence  $\psi_{k+1}$  because the locations of prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  are not represented in  $\psi_k$ . Each subsequence  $\psi_k^{(i)}$ , the  $i^{th}$  repetition of  $\psi_k$ , is identical in each of its repetitions. The occurrence  $\tilde{P}$  in the repeated sequences of  $\psi_k$  may or may not be prime numbers, but they are the only locations where new prime numbers, i.e.,  $P > P_k$ , can occur, because every other position in the sequence and its repetitions has one or more prime factors  $P \leq P_k$ .

For example:

$$S_3 = \{ /5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10/11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16/17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22/23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28/ \\ 29, 30, 31.32.33.34/ \}$$

The slash is used merely to indicate underlying groups of numbers corresponding to repetitions of  $\psi_2^{(i)}$ . The underlying prime factor sequence for  $S_3$  is then:

$$\psi_3 = \{ 5, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2 \cdot 5/\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3 \cdot 5, 2/\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 5, 3, 2/\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, 5, 2, 3, 3/ \\ \tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2/ \}$$

and  $\psi_3^1$  has the underlying sequence  $\psi_2$ :

$$\tilde{\psi}_3 = \{ / \tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2/\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2/\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2/\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 3/ \\ \tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2/ \}$$

One can see from this example that the transition  $\psi_2 \rightarrow \psi_3$  involves repeating  $\psi_2$   $P_3 = 5$  times in succession then include occurrences of prime factor 5.

When we extend a sequence using repetitions of the underlying sequence of prime factors, not all numbers prime to those factors will be actual prime numbers. Therefore we refer to locations in the sequence where prime factors must appear as prospective prime numbers and when it is necessary to make that distinction we represent prospective prime numbers as  $\tilde{P}$ . Prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  are all numbers prime to  $P \leq P_k$ . We do not consider a prime number prime to itself. Therefore prospective prime numbers represent the only locations in  $S_k$  where prime numbers  $P > P_k$  can occur.

Define  $S_k^{(i)}$  as subsets of  $S_k$  representing each successive group of  $\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} P_j$  numbers, which correspond to instances of  $\psi_{k-1}^{(i)}$  found in  $\psi_k$ . Then, if we represent  $S_k^{(i)} + N$  as adding the number  $N$  to each number within  $S_k^{(i)}$ , then given  $i > j$  we have:

---

<sup>1</sup>One could think of  $\psi_3$  as a 30-number elemental sequence relative to prime factors 2, 3, 5 analogous to the 6-number sequence defined in Figure 1. The numbers would be represented as  $N = N_{5n,i}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 30$ , with prospective prime numbers, prime to  $P \leq 5$  occurring at:  $i = 3, 7, 9, 13, 15, 19, 25$ , and 27. The conversion would then be:  $N_{5n,i} = 5 + 6 \cdot 5n + (i - 1)$ .

$$S_k^{(i)} = S_k^{(j)} + (i - j) \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} P_l \quad (9)$$

Note that this relationship between  $S_k^{(i)}$  and  $S_k^{(j)}$  means that numbers corresponding to the same locations within  $S_k^{(i)}$  and  $S_k^{(j)}$  have the same prime factors from among  $P \leq P_{k-1}$ . That is, if  $x_n^{(i)}$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  number in  $S_k^{(i)}$  and  $x_n^{(j)}$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  number in  $S_k^{(j)}$  then for all  $P \leq P_{k-1}$ :  $P|x_n^{(i)}$  if and only if  $P|x_n^{(j)}$ . The fact that  $0 \leq (i - j) \leq P_k - 1$  is consistent with this rule, because  $(i - j)$  can only have prime factors  $P \leq P_{k-1}$ .

We can extend the definition of  $S_k^{(i)}$  to successively smaller subsets of  $S_k$  using:

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &= \left\{ S_k^{(0)}, S_k^{(1)}, \dots, S_k^{(P_k-1)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ S_{k-1}^{(0)}, S_{k-1}^{(1)}, \dots, S_{k-1}^{(P_k P_{k-1}-1)} \right\} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \left\{ S_2^{(0)}, S_2^{(1)}, \dots, S_2^{(\prod_{j=3}^k P_j-1)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $l > k$ ,  $S_k \subset S_l$ .

This concept of nested subsets can be applied to  $\psi_k$  as well, however there is some loss of information since the lower level sequences represent fewer prime factors. Never-the-less it is important to realize the repetitive nature of prime factors associated with any set or subset of prime numbers. The nesting for  $\psi_k$  looks like the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k &= \left\{ \psi_{k-1}^{(0)}, \psi_{k-1}^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_{k-1}^{(P_k-1)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \psi_{k-2}^{(0)}, \psi_{k-2}^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_{k-2}^{(P_k P_{k-1}-1)} \right\} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \left\{ \psi_2^{(0)}, \psi_2^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_2^{(\prod_{j=3}^k P_j-1)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Given this nesting of subsets, any such prime factor sequence represented by  $\psi_k \subset \psi_l$ , where  $k < l$ , continues to repeat throughout the natural numbers, e.g., as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . While this is important from the fact that any combinations of prime factors produces an infinitely repeating sequence of those factors within

the natural numbers, however the location of prospective prime numbers differ between the different levels of  $\psi_k$ .

We refer to  $\psi_2 = \{\tilde{P}, 2 \cdot 3, \tilde{P}, 2, 3, 2\}$  as the elemental sequence of prime factors and correspondingly refer to  $S_2^{(i)}$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq P_k - 1$ , as elemental sequences of any set  $S_k$ . We can locate individual numbers precisely by their location within an elemental sequence representing them as:  $N_{n,i} \in S_2^{(n)}$ , where:

$$S_2^{(n)} = \{N_{n,1}, N_{n,2}, N_{n,3}, N_{n,4}, N_{n,5}, N_{n,6}\}$$

Using this sequence notation, note that prime numbers can only occur at  $N_{n,1}$  or  $N_{n,3}$ , because all other  $N_{n,i}$  have a prime factor of 2, 3 or both.

For our purposes we start the progressions at  $N_{0,1} = 5$  and  $N_{0,3} = 7$ . Then given that  $N_{n+1,i} = N_{n,i} + 6$ , the numbers  $N_{n,1}$  and  $N_{n,3}$  represent the following progressions of numbers:

$$N_{n,1} = 6n + 5 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{n,3} = 6n + 7$$

All prime numbers  $P > 3$  therefore fall in one or the other of these two progressions although clearly, not all numbers in each progression is a prime number.

It is useful to define  $P_{m,1} = N_{m,1}$  and  $P_{m,3} = N_{m,3}$  when  $N_{m,1}$  and  $N_{m,3}$  respectively, are prime numbers. Likewise, it is also useful to define  $\tilde{P}_{m,1} = N_{m,1}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{m,3} = N_{m,3}$  when  $N_{m,1}$  and  $N_{m,3}$  respectively, are prospective prime numbers.

## 2.2 Propagation of Prospective Prime Numbers

When we extend  $S_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$  we also extend  $\psi_k$  to  $\psi_{k+1}$ , first by  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions of  $\psi_k$ ,

$\tilde{\psi}_{k+1} = \left\{ \psi_k^{(0)}, \psi_k^{(1)} \psi_k^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_k^{(P_{k+1}-1)} \right\}$ , where each  $\psi_k^{(i)}$  represents the identical repeating sequence of prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  throughout  $S_{k+1}$ . Then we identify the locations of prime factor  $P_{k+1}$ , whereby  $\tilde{\psi}_{k+1} \rightarrow \psi_{k+1}$ .

$S_k$  has  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  numbers:  $N \in S_k \rightarrow 5 \leq N \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ . When we extend  $S_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$  to include all combinations of prime factors  $P \leq P_{k+1}$  relative to each other we need to include  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions of  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  numbers.

Given

$$n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i = \left\lfloor \frac{n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i}{P_{k+1}} \right\rfloor P_{k+1} + \left( n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right) \bmod P_{k+1}$$

$\left( n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right) \bmod P_{k+1} = 0$  if and only if  $n$  is a multiple of  $P_{k+1}$  and  $P_{k+1}$  itself is the least such multiple.

Given any  $N \in S_k$  and any integer  $m \geq 0$ , then for all  $P \leq P_k$ :

$$P|N \leftrightarrow P \left| \left( N + m \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right) \right.$$

Therefore the sequence of occurrence of all prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  in  $S_k$  (i.e.  $\psi_k$ ) is repeated identically in each successive sequence of  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  numbers.

If we limit  $m$  to  $0 \leq m \leq P_{k+1} - 1$  then  $(N + m \prod_{i=1}^k P_i) \in S_{k+1}$ .

Also, if we represent  $S_{k+1}$  as:

$$S_{k+1} = \left\{ S_{k+1}^{(0)}, S_{k+1}^{(1)}, \dots, S_{k+1}^{(P_{k+1}-1)} \right\}$$

where each  $S_{k+1}^{(i)}$  represents one of a succession of  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  numbers, then:

$$S_{k+1}^{(0)} = S_k$$

and for any  $N \in S_k$  and  $N' = N + m \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ , then  $N' \in S_{k+1}^{(m)}$ , where for all  $P \leq P_k$ :

$$P|N \longleftrightarrow P|N'$$

Each repetition of the subsequence  $\psi_k^{(i)}$  in  $\psi_{k+1}$  represents the fact that numbers prime to all  $P \leq P_k$  occur at the same locations within each instance of  $S_{k+1}^{(i)}$ .

Numbers in  $S_k$  that are prime to all  $P \leq P_k$  are what we have defined as prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$ . They can only occur at  $N_{m,1}$  or  $N_{m,3}$  in their respective elemental sequences. Those prospective prime number locations in  $\psi_k$  are repeated  $P_{k+1}$  times as an underlying sequence to  $\psi_{k+1}$ . All of those repetitions of prospective prime numbers from  $S_k$  going to  $S_{k+1}$  remain prime to  $P \leq P_k$ . However, the prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  will occur in exactly one instance of the  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions of each prospective prime number from  $S_k$ . Exactly one instance, because in the  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions of  $\psi_k$  the prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  occurs exactly once in each position of  $\psi_k$  creating a sequence of numbers of length  $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i$  in  $S_{k+1}$ .

The fact that  $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i}{P_{k+1}} = \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  requires that  $P_{k+1}$  occurs exactly once in each position of the  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ -number sequence spread throughout its  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions in  $S_{k+1}$ . If  $P_{k+1}$  occurred as a prime factor within  $S_{k+1}$  at two instances of the same repeated prospective prime number,  $\tilde{P} \in S_k$ , i.e.,

$$P_{k+1} | \left( \tilde{P} + m \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{k+1} | \left( \tilde{P} + m' \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right)$$

where  $0 \leq m, m' \leq P_{k+1} - 1$ , then necessarily  $P_{k+1} | (m' - m)$  which contradicts the condition that  $m, m' < P_{k+1}$ .

Also, given  $N \in S_k$  where for some  $P \leq P_k$  if  $P|N$  then  $P | (N + m \prod_{i=1}^k P_i)$ , therefore,  $N$  cannot be a prospective prime number when extended into  $S_{k+1}$ . Therefore, all prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k+1}$ , i.e. numbers in  $S_{k+1}$  prime to all  $P \leq P_{k+1}$ , result from extensions of prospective prime numbers  $\tilde{P} \in S_k$ , via  $\tilde{P} + m \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ , where  $0 \leq m \leq P_{k+1} - 1$ .

We can now state the following theorem:



**Theorem 2.1.** *Given any prime number,  $P_k > 2$ , let  $n_k^{\tilde{P}}$  be the number of prospective prime numbers  $\tilde{P}$ , prime relative to all  $P \leq P_k$ , where  $P_k < \tilde{P} < 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ .<sup>2</sup> Then defining  $n_1^{\tilde{P}} = 1$ :  $n_k^{\tilde{P}} = (P_k - 1)n_{k-1}^{\tilde{P}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* : Consider the sequence of natural numbers  $5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ . This sequence consists of  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  sequential natural numbers that includes all combinations of prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  situated relative to each other. This sequence of those prime factors repeats in each successive group of  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  natural numbers without end throughout the natural numbers. Assume this sequence has,  $n_k^{\tilde{P}}$  numbers prime to prime numbers  $P \leq P_k$ . Then consider the extension of this sequence to the sequence of numbers  $5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i$ . This involves  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions of the underlying prime factor sequence associated with the numbers  $5 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ . Therefore there are  $P_k n_k^{\tilde{P}}$  numbers prime to  $P < P_k$  in the extended sequence. Since the prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  occurs exactly once in each position of the underlying  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  prime factor sequence spread throughout its  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions, each of the numbers prime to  $P \leq P_k$  has the prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  exactly once during the  $P_{k+1}$  iterations of the underlying sequence. Therefore there are  $n_{k+1}^{\tilde{P}} = P_{k+1} n_k^{\tilde{P}} - n_k^{\tilde{P}} = (P_k - 1)n_k^{\tilde{P}}$  numbers prime to all  $P \leq P_{k+1}$  within the sequence  $5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} P_i$ . If then we define  $n_1^{\tilde{P}} = 1$ , to initialize the succession of prime factor sequences, we have:  $n_k^{\tilde{P}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 1)$ . Starting with  $k = 2$  we have the sequence 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 where only 5 and 7 are prime to 2 and 3 giving  $n_2^{\tilde{P}} = 2$ . Then extending this to include prime factor 5 we get the sequence 5, 6,  $\dots$ , 34, where only 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, and 31 are prime to 2, 3, and 5, giving  $n_3^{\tilde{P}} = 8$ , which verifies the initial cases of the formula  $n_k^{\tilde{P}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 1)$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

We see from Theorem 2.1 that  $n_k^{\tilde{P}}$  is the number of prospective prime numbers in  $S_k = \left\{ 5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right\}$ . That is the number of numbers in positions  $N_{n,1}$  and  $N_{n,3}$  in  $S_k$  that are prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ .

Note that  $n_k^{\tilde{P}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 1)$  alternatively results from using the number  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  in Euler's totient function:[3]

$$\varphi(N) = N \prod_{P|N} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{P} \right) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \prod_{i=1}^k \left( 1 - \frac{1}{P_i} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \left( 1 - \frac{1}{P_i} \right) = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 1)$$

which has the same interpretation.

The average density of prospective prime numbers among all numbers in the set of natural numbers  $S_k$  is :

$$\rho_k^{\tilde{P}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)}{\prod_{j=1}^k P_j} = \prod_{i=1}^k \left( 1 - \frac{1}{P_i} \right) \quad (10)$$

<sup>2</sup>Note that  $4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  cannot be a prime number, because it is an even number. Also, we assume that a prime number is not prime relative to itself.

This can be viewed as a partial or finite reciprocal of Euler's product for the Riemann Zeta function with  $s = 1$ :

$$\zeta_k(s = 1) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{P_k}\right)^{-1} \quad (11)$$

This reciprocal version of Equation (10) represents the average number of natural numbers per prospective prime number in  $S_k$  or the average spacing of prospective prime numbers among all numbers in  $S_k$ .

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we get the full Riemann Zeta function for  $s = 1$  which diverges:

$$\zeta(1) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{P_k}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad (12)$$

which means, like with actual prime numbers, the average density of prospective prime numbers becomes vanishingly small in  $S_k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Next consider the fraction of prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  that are actual prime numbers:

$$\pi_k^{\tilde{P}} = \frac{\pi \left(4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right) - k}{n_k^{\tilde{P}}} \quad (13)$$

$k$  appears in the numerator because  $P \leq P_k$  are not considered as prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$ , because they are already established prime numbers within this concept and are not considered prime to themselves.

Table 1 gives numerical examples of Equation (13) for  $k = 2 \rightarrow 10$ .

In order to evaluate the trend in  $\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}$  for large  $k$  we use the approximation  $\pi(N) \approx \frac{N}{\ln N}$  in Equation (13) giving:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k^{\tilde{P}} &\approx \left[ \frac{4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i}{\ln \left(4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right)} - k \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\ln \left(4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right)} \cdot \left[ \frac{4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i}{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)} \right] - \frac{k}{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Ignoring the 4 relative to the product term gives:

$$\pi_k^{\tilde{P}} \approx \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k \ln P_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{P_i}\right)^{-1} - \frac{k}{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)} \quad (15)$$

Given that prime numbers have no upper bound,  $\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}$  must remain positive as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and the negative term clearly tends toward zero. It turns out the

Table 1: Numerical evaluation of  $\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}$  for small  $k$ .

$k$	$\pi\left(4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right) - k$	$n_k^{\tilde{P}}$	$\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}$
2	$\pi(10) - 2 = 2$	$\prod_{i=1}^2 (P_i - 1) = 2$	1
3	$\pi(34) - 3 = 8$	$\prod_{i=1}^3 (P_i - 1) = 8$	1
4	$\pi(214) - 4 = 43$	$\prod_{i=1}^4 (P_i - 1) = 48$	.896
5	$\pi(2314) - 5 = 339$	$\prod_{i=1}^5 (P_i - 1) = 480$	.706
6	$\pi(30,034) - 6 = 3,242$	$\prod_{i=1}^6 (P_i - 1) = 5,760$	.563
7	$\pi(510,514) - 7 = 42,204$	$\prod_{i=1}^7 (P_i - 1) = 92,160$	.458
8	$\pi(9,699,694) - 8 = 646,021$	$\prod_{i=1}^8 (P_i - 1) = 1,658,880$	.389
9	$\pi(223,092,874) - 9 = 12,283,522$	$\prod_{i=1}^9 (P_i - 1) = 36,495,360$	.337
10	$\pi(6,469,693,234) - 10 = 300,369,786$	$\prod_{i=1}^{10} (P_i - 1) = 1,021,870,080$	.294

negative term decreases much faster than the first term and therefore can be ignored. Ignoring the negative term is equivalent to redefining  $\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}$  as the ratio of all prime numbers in  $S_k$ , i.e. including  $P \leq P_k$ , to all prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$ . However, the number of prospective prime numbers grows multiplicative while the number of prime numbers less than or equal to  $P_k$ , i.e.  $k$ , grows linearly and therefore  $P \leq P_k$  can be ignored.

The reciprocal summation term, which is equal to  $\ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right)$ , tends to zero while the multiplication term tends to infinity as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Ignoring the negative term, look at the ratio:

$$\frac{\pi_{k+1}^{\tilde{P}}}{\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}} \approx \left(\frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+1} - 1}\right) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\ln P_{k+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \ln P_i}}\right]$$

The fraction in the denominator in the square brackets is clearly less than 1, so let  $\frac{\ln P_{k+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^k \ln P_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k}$  where  $\alpha_k > 1$ , giving:

$$\frac{\pi_{k+1}^{\tilde{P}}}{\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}} \approx \left(\frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+1} - 1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k + 1}\right) \quad (16)$$

Where:

$$\alpha_k \ln P_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k \ln P_i = \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right) \longrightarrow P_{k+1}^{\alpha_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$$

In Equation (16) the first fractional factor is asymptotic to 1 from above while the second fractional factor is asymptotic to 1 from below and their product is asymptotic to 1 from below (see Table 2). Therefore we always have:  $\pi_{k+1}^{\tilde{P}} < \pi_k^{\tilde{P}}$ , while  $\frac{\pi_{k+1}^{\tilde{P}}}{\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}} \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

As an example consider:  $P_{9591} = 99,989$ , where  $\pi_{9591}^{\tilde{P}} = .000102892$  and  $P_{9592} = 99,991$ , where  $\pi_{9592}^{\tilde{P}} = .000102882$ , giving  $\frac{\pi_{9592}^{\tilde{P}}}{\pi_{9591}^{\tilde{P}}} = .999902811$ .

If we then look at  $P_{11176} = 118633$  where  $\pi_{11176}^{\tilde{P}} = .0000881401$  and  $P_{11177} = 118661$  where  $\pi_{11177}^{\tilde{P}} = .0000881322$  giving  $\frac{\pi_{11177}^{\tilde{P}}}{\pi_{11176}^{\tilde{P}}} = .9999103700$ .

$\pi_{\tilde{P}}^k$  appears to asymptotically approach zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \ln P_i > \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{P_i}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{P_i}{P_i - 1}\right)$$

Table 2: Evaluation of Equation (16)

$k$	$P_k$	$P_{k+1}$	$\frac{P_{k+1}}{P_{k+1}-1}$	$\prod_{i=1}^k P_i$	$\alpha_k$	$\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k+1}$	$\frac{\pi_{k+1}^{\tilde{P}}}{\pi_k^{\tilde{P}}}$
2	3	5	1.25	6	1.11	.526	.658
3	5	7	1.16	30	1.75	.636	.742
4	7	11	1.1	210	2.23	.690	.759
5	11	13	1.083	2,310	3.02	.751	.814
6	13	17	1.062	30,030	3.64	.784	.833
7	17	19	1.05	510,510	4.46	.816	.862
8	19	23	1.045	9,699,690	5.13	.837	.875
9	23	29	1.035	22,3092,870	5.71	.851	.881

### 2.3 Propagation of Prospective twin primes

Prospective twin primes, where  $\tilde{P}_{i+1} = \tilde{P}_i + 2$  follow the same propagation concept as prospective prime numbers except they are paired occurrences within the same elemental sequence, appearing as  $\tilde{P}_i = \tilde{P}_{m,1}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{i+1} = \tilde{P}_{m,3}$ .

When extending  $S_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$  each prospective twin prime  $(\tilde{P}_{m,1}, \tilde{P}_{m,3}) \in S_k$ , prime relative to  $P \leq P_k$  corresponds to a location of paired prospective prime number locations in the underlying sequence  $\psi_k$ . That sequence is repeated  $P_{k+1}$  times in  $S_{k+1}$  and each instance retains that paired set of locations corresponding to numbers in  $S_{k+1}$  that remain prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ .

These repetitions in  $S_{k+1}$  occur as:

$$\left( \tilde{P}_i + m_k \prod_{j=1}^k P_j, \tilde{P}_{i+1} + m_k \prod_{j=1}^k P_j \right) = \left( \tilde{P}_{[m+m_k \prod_{j=3}^k P_j],1}, \tilde{P}_{[m+m_k \prod_{j=3}^k P_j],3} \right) \quad (17)$$

As with the propagation of prospective prime numbers, the prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  occurs in each position of  $\psi_k$  exactly once throughout its  $P_{k+1}$  repetitions in  $S_{k+1}$  and it cannot occur twice in the same elemental sequence. Therefore, one instance of prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  occurs in two of the repeated locations of each prospective twin prime in  $S_k$  extended to  $S_{k+1}$ , corresponding to the two components of the prospective twin primes.

This leads to the following theorem, using  $\tilde{t}$  to represent a prospective twin prime:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Given any prime number,  $P_k > 2$ , let  $n_k^{\tilde{t}}$  be the number of prospective twin primes  $(\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_{i+1})$ , where  $\tilde{P}_{i+1} = \tilde{P}_i + 2$  and  $\tilde{P}_i$  and  $\tilde{P}_{i+1}$  are prime relative to all  $P \leq P_k$ , and where  $P_k < \tilde{P}_i$  and  $\tilde{P}_{i+1} < 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_k$ . Then defining  $n_1^{\tilde{t}} = 1$ ,  $n_k^{\tilde{t}} = (P_k - 2)n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 2)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the sequence of natural numbers  $5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$ . This sequence consists of  $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$  sequential natural numbers that includes all combinations of prime factors  $P < P_{k-1}$  situated relative to each other. That sequence of prime factors repeats without end throughout the natural numbers for each successive sequence of  $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$  numbers. Assume this sequence has,  $n_{k-1}$  prospective twin primes prime to all prime numbers  $P \leq P_{k-1}$ . Then consider the extension of this sequence to the number  $4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ . This involves  $P_k$  repetitions of the underlying prime factor sequence associated with the numbers  $5 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$ . Therefore there are  $P_k n_{k-1}$  prospective twin primes prime to  $P < P_{k-1}$  in the extended sequence. Since the prime factor  $P_k$  occurs exactly once in each of the  $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$  positions of the underlying prime factor sequence, each of the twin primes prime to  $P \leq P_{k-1}$  has the prime factor  $P_k$  exactly twice during the  $P_k$  iterations of the underlying sequence, once for each number in each twin prime, and the prime factor  $P_k$  never occurs more than once in the same 6-number elemental sequence. Therefore there are  $n_k = P_k n_{k-1} - 2n_{k-1} = (P_k - 2)n_{k-1}$  twin primes prime to all  $P \leq P_k$  within the sequence  $5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ . If then we define  $n_1 = 1$ , to initialize the succession of prime factor sequences, we have:  $n_k = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 2) = \prod_{i=3}^k (P_i - 2)$ .

Starting with  $k = 2$  we have the sequence 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 where 5 and 7 are the only twin prime prime to 2 and 3 giving  $n_2^{\tilde{t}} = 1$ . Then extending this to include prime factor 5 we get the sequence 5, 6,  $\dots$ , 34, where only (11, 13), (17, 19), and (29, 31) are twin primes prime to 2, 3, and 5, giving  $n_3^{\tilde{t}} = 3$ , which verifies the initial cases of the formula  $n_k^{\tilde{t}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 2)$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

The theorem shows the number of prospective twin primes in

Table 3: Numbers ( $n_k^{\tilde{t}}$ ) of prospective twin primes and their associated densities with respects to all numbers ( $\rho_k^{\tilde{t}}$ ) in a set  $S_k$  and with respects to prospective prime numbers ( $\sigma_k^{\tilde{t}}$ ) in that set.

$k$	$P_k$	$4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$	$n_k^{\tilde{t}}$	$\rho_k^{\tilde{t}}$	$\sigma_k^{\tilde{t}}$
3	5	34	3	.1	.375
4	7	214	15	.0714	.313
5	11	2,314	135	.05834	.281
6	13	30,034	1,485	.0495	.258
7	17	510,514	22,275	.0436	.242
8	19	9,699,694	378,675	.0390	.228
9	23	223,092,874	7,952,175	.0356	.218
10	29	6,469,693,234	214,708,725	.0332	.210
11	31	200,560,490,134	6,226,553,035	.0310	.203
12	37	7,420,738,134,814	217,929,355,875	.0294	.197

$S_k = \left\{ N : 5 \leq N \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right\}$  is given by:

$$n_k^{\tilde{t}} = \prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 2) \quad (18)$$

The density of prospective twin primes relative to all numbers in  $S_k$  is:

$$\rho_k^{\tilde{t}} = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{j=1}^k P_j} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=2}^k \frac{P_i - 2}{P_i} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=2}^k \left( 1 - \frac{2}{P_i} \right) \quad (19)$$

The density of prospective twin primes relative to prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  is:

$$\sigma_k^{\tilde{t}} = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{i=2}^k (P_i - 1)} = \prod_{i=2}^k \frac{P_i - 2}{P_i - 1} \quad (20)$$

Given that all prospective prime numbers  $\tilde{P} \in S_k$  occur in the interval,  $P_k < \tilde{P} < 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ , one might think using that interval would give a more accurate density for prospective prime numbers and prospective twin primes, however:

$$\widehat{n}_k^{\tilde{p}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k P_i - P_k} = \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i}} \right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k P_i} \approx n_k^{\tilde{p}}$$

and

$$\widehat{n}_k^{\tilde{t}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{i=1}^k P_i - P_k} = \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i}} \right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{i=1}^k P_i} \approx n_k^{\tilde{t}}$$

Given Theorem 2.2 we also have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Given any prime number  $P_k$ , there are an infinite number of prospective twin primes, prime to  $P \leq P_k$*

*Proof.* Given Theorem 2.2, there are  $n_k^{\tilde{t}} = \prod_{i=3}^k (P_i - 2)$  prospective twin primes relative to prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  in the sequence  $5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$ . Since this sequence of natural numbers includes all possible combinations of prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  situated relative to each other, the underlying sequence of prime factors  $P \leq P_k$  repeats without end throughout the natural numbers.

Then if  $(\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_{i+1}) \in S_k$  is such a prospective twin prime relative to all  $P \leq P_k$ , then so is:

$$\left( \tilde{P}_i + n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i, \tilde{P}_{i+1} + n \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right)$$

where  $n$  is any positive integer.

Therefore given that  $n_k^{\tilde{t}}$  is not zero for any  $P_k$ , there are an infinite number of prospective twin primes relative to  $P \leq P_k$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Systematic Generation of Prospective Prime Numbers and Prospective twin primes

The initial elemental 6-number sequence contains prime numbers  $N_{0,1} = 5$  and  $N_{0,3} = 7$ . Then numbers that remain prime to 2 and 3 occur at:

$$N_{0,1} + m \prod_{i=1}^2 P_i \quad \text{and} \quad N_{0,3} + m \prod_{i=1}^2 P_i \quad (21)$$

where  $m$  has any integer value.

Considering the succession of sets  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_k$ , we can write Equation (21) as:

For  $N_{n,1}$  &  $N_{n,3} \in S_k$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} N_{n_1,1} \\ N_{n_3,3} \end{pmatrix}_{S_k} &= \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} m_3^{(1)} \\ m_3^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^2 P_i + \begin{pmatrix} m_4^{(1)} \\ m_4^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^3 P_i + \dots \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=3}^k \begin{pmatrix} m_j^{(1)} \\ m_j^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \\
\begin{pmatrix} N_{n_1,1} \\ N_{n_3,3} \end{pmatrix}_{S_k} &= \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^k \begin{pmatrix} m_j^{(1)} \\ m_j^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Where the upper numbers go together and the lower numbers go together representing two separate equations.

Also:  $0 \leq m_j \leq P_j - 1$  at each stage and:

$$n_1 = \sum_{j=3}^k m_j^{(1)} \prod_{i=3}^{j-1} P_i \quad \text{and} \quad n_3 = \sum_{j=3}^k m_j^{(3)} \prod_{i=3}^{j-1} P_i$$

Numbers prime to  $P \leq P_k$  in  $S_k$  are produced using this equation by including terms up to and including  $m_k$ , while noting that one value of  $m_j$  in each successive stage of the summation must be omitted separately for each of  $N_{n_1,1}$  and  $N_{n_3,3}$  because those cases produce numbers divisible by  $P_j$ . The reason only one value is omitted for each set of  $m_j$  is because when extending  $S_{j-1}$  to  $S_j$  the underlying sequence  $\psi_{j-1}$  is repeated  $P_j$  times in  $\psi_j$  and the prime factor  $P_j$  occurs exactly once in each position of  $\psi_{j-1}$  spread throughout its  $P_j$  repetitions in  $\psi_j$ . Therefore exactly one instance of  $N_{n_1,1}$  and  $N_{n_3,3}$  for each  $m_j$  will have prime factor  $P_j$  and those correspond to different values of  $m_j$  in the case of  $N_{n_1,1}$  and  $N_{n_3,3}$ , because the prime factor  $P_j > 6$  cannot occur twice in the same elemental sequence.

Therefore the supplemental condition for Equations (22) is that for each successive stage of summation:

$$P_l \nmid \left[ \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^l \begin{pmatrix} m_j^{(1)} \\ m_j^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \right] \tag{23}$$

This condition can also be stated as:

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^l \begin{pmatrix} m_j^{(1)} \\ m_j^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \right] \bmod P_l \neq 0$$

Starting with prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  and extending them to  $S_{k+1}$ , using all values of  $m_{k+1}$  produces all numbers in  $S_{k+1}$  that remain prime to  $P \leq P_k$ . Eliminating the one value of  $m_{k+1}$  for each  $\tilde{P} \in S_k$  then gives the prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k+1}$  that are prime to  $P \leq P_{k+1}$ .

Numbers in  $S_k$  that have a prime factor from among  $P \leq P_k$  cannot be extended into  $S_{k+1}$  as a prospective prime number because, given  $N \in S_k$ ,



if  $P|N$  then  $P|(N + m_{k+1} \prod_{i=1}^k P_i)$  for all values of  $m_{k+1}$ . That is why the existence of prospective prime numbers  $\tilde{P} > P_k$  in  $S_{k+1}$  depend on extension from prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  and actual prime numbers  $P > P_k$  can only occur at locations of prospective prime numbers and in this respect they also depend on extension from the prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$ .

Calculation of prospective prime numbers is best done iteratively, formulating Equation (22) for  $S_k \rightarrow S_{k+1}$  as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_{n_1,1} \\ \tilde{P}_{n_3,3} \end{pmatrix}_{S_{k+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_{n'_1,1} \\ \tilde{P}_{n'_3,3} \end{pmatrix}_{S_k} + \begin{pmatrix} m_{k+1}^{(1)} \\ m_{k+1}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \quad (24)$$

where  $0 \leq m_{k+1}^{(1)}, m_{k+1}^{(3)} \leq P_{k+1} - 1$  and the condition from equation (23) gives:  $m_{k+1}^{(1)} \neq \hat{m}_{k+1}^{(1)}$  and  $m_{k+1}^{(3)} \neq \hat{m}_{k+1}^{(3)}$ , where  $\hat{m}_{k+1}^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{m}_{k+1}^{(3)}$  are disallowed values (since they give results divisible by  $P_{k+1}$ ) given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}_{k+1}^{(1)} &= \frac{\alpha_1 P_{k+1} - \tilde{P}_{n'_1,1} \bmod P_{k+1}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right) \bmod P_{k+1}} \\ &\text{and} \\ \hat{m}_{k+1}^{(3)} &= \frac{\alpha_3 P_{k+1} - \tilde{P}_{n'_3,3} \bmod P_{k+1}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^k P_i\right) \bmod P_{k+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_3$  are the smallest integers making  $\hat{m}_{k+1}^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{m}_{k+1}^{(3)}$  integers; and  $\alpha_1 = 0$  if  $\tilde{P}_{n'_1,1} \bmod P_{k+1} = 0$  and  $\alpha_3 = 0$  if  $\tilde{P}_{n'_3,3} \bmod P_{k+1} = 0$ .

Note that using the maximum value of  $m_j = P_j - 1$  at each stage in Equations (22) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} N_{n_1,1} \\ N_{n_3,3} \end{pmatrix}_{S_k} &= \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^k (P_j - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^k \prod_{i=1}^j P_i - \sum_{j=3}^k \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i - 6 \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k P_i + \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ +1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$\prod_{i=1}^k P_i - 1$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^k P_i + 1$  are prime to  $P \leq P_k$ . they are therefore largest

prospective prime numbers and prospective twin prime in  $S_k$ .<sup>3</sup> Therefore using the maximum value of  $m_j$  at every stage is always a valid choice since it always satisfies the supplemental condition (23). However, the maximum value of  $m_j$  may not be valid if a value less than the maximum value was used at a prior stage.

In extending a prospective prime number  $\tilde{P} \in S_k$  to  $S_{k+1}$ , the choice of  $m_j = 0$  is valid unless  $\tilde{P}$  itself contains the prime factor  $P_{k+1}$ .

We can now state the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Given  $S_k = \{N : 5 \leq N \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i\}$ , then let  $\tilde{P}_{n,1}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{n',3}$  represent numbers in  $S_k$  from the arithmetic progressions  $6n+5$  and  $6n'+7$  respectively ( $n \geq 0$ ) that are also prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ . Then there are equal numbers of  $\tilde{P}_{n,1}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{n',3}$  in  $S_k$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Equation (22), because in each summation term there are equal numbers of valid  $m_j$  for both  $N_{n,1}$  and  $N_{n',3}$ . This is true even when considering the supplemental condition Equation (23) which eliminates one value of  $m_j$  for each of  $N_{n,1}$  and  $N_{n',3}$  at each summation stage. Equation (22) together with its supplemental condition yields numbers in  $S_k$  that are prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ .  $\square$

The generation of prospective twin primes follows the same scheme except at each stage we must have:

$$m_j^{(1)} = m_j^{(3)} = m_j$$

where both  $m_j \neq \hat{m}_j^{(1)}$  and  $m_j \neq \hat{m}_j^{(3)}$  as defined by Equation (25).

Therefore for prospective twin primes, Equation (22) becomes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{n,1} \\ N_{n,3} \end{pmatrix}_{S_k} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^k (m_j) \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \quad (27)$$

which we can abbreviate as:

$$\tilde{t}_k = \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=3}^k (m_j) \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \quad (28)$$

And iterative Equation (24) becomes:

$$\tilde{t}_{k+1} = \tilde{t}_k + (m_{k+1}) \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \quad (29)$$

The parenthesis around coefficient  $m$  represents that  $m$  has a range of values.

---

<sup>3</sup>However, they are not always an actual prime numbers, e.g.,  $\prod_{i=1}^4 P_i - 1 = 209 = 11 \cdot 19$ ; and  $\prod_{i=1}^6 P_i + 1 = 30031 = 59 \cdot 509$ .

As prospective prime numbers in set  $S_k$  are used to generate prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k+1}$ , so are prospective twin primes in  $S_k$  used to generate prospective twin primes in  $S_{k+1}$ . An individual prospective prime number, not part of a prospective twin prime, in  $S_k$  cannot be used to generate prospective twin prime, because its other component necessarily has a prime factor  $P \leq P_k$  and cannot be used to generate larger prospective twin primes.

The next two subsections give simple numerical examples of calculating prospective prime numbers and twin primes.

#### Numerical Example for Numbers Prime to $P \leq P_3 = 5$

Starting with the prospective prime numbers (actual primes in this case) in the first elemental sequence:  $N_{0,1} = 5$  and  $N_{0,3} = 7$ , which are prime to 2 and 3, then in order to calculate other prospective prime numbers, prime to 2, 3, and 5, we have:

$$N_{n,1} = N_{0,1} + \sum_{j=3}^3 m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i = 5 + m_3 \cdot 6 \quad (30)$$

and

$$N_{n,3} = N_{0,3} + \sum_{j=3}^3 m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i = 7 + m_3 \cdot 6 \quad (31)$$

Then using Equations (25) find the disallowed values of  $m_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{m}_j^{(1)} &= \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 5 - 5 \bmod 5}{6 \bmod 5} = 0 \\ \widehat{m}_j^{(3)} &= \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 5 - 7 \bmod 5}{6 \bmod 5} = 3 \end{aligned}$$

We then get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{0,1} \\ N_{1,1} \\ N_{2,1} \\ N_{3,1} \\ N_{4,1} \end{pmatrix} = 5 + \begin{pmatrix} - \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 6 = \begin{pmatrix} - \\ 11 \\ 17 \\ 23 \\ 29 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{0,3} \\ N_{1,3} \\ N_{2,3} \\ N_{3,3} \\ N_{4,3} \end{pmatrix} = 7 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ - \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 6 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 13 \\ 19 \\ - \\ 31 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

Note also that the number pairs, with same value of  $n$ , are twin primes relative to  $P \leq 5$  and in this instance are actual twin primes:

$$(N_{1,1}, N_{1,3})$$

$$(N_{2,1}, N_{2,3})$$

$$(N_{4,1}, N_{4,3})$$

and the following number pairs continue as prospective twin primes, prime to  $P \leq 5$ , for all values of  $m_j \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( N_{1,1} + \sum_{j=4}^k m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \quad , \quad N_{1,3} + \sum_{j=4}^k m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \right) ; \\ & \left( N_{2,1} + \sum_{j=4}^k m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \quad , \quad N_{2,3} + \sum_{j=4}^k m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \right) ; \\ & \left( N_{4,1} + \sum_{j=4}^k m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \quad , \quad N_{4,3} + \sum_{j=4}^k m_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i \right) ; \end{aligned}$$

Numerical Example for Numbers prime to  $P \leq P_4 = 7$

$$(N_{n_1,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,1} \\ N_{2,1} \\ N_{3,1} \\ N_{4,1} \end{pmatrix} + (m_4) \prod_{i=1}^3 P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 17 \\ 23 \\ 29 \end{pmatrix} + (m_4) \cdot 30 \quad (34)$$

and

$$(N_{n_3,3}) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{0,3} \\ N_{1,3} \\ N_{2,3} \\ N_{4,3} \end{pmatrix} + (m_4) \prod_{i=1}^3 P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 13 \\ 19 \\ 31 \end{pmatrix} + (m_4) \cdot 30 \quad (35)$$

Here we use the parenthesis around  $m_4$  because it is an array of numbers which vary for each  $N_{n'_1,1}$  and  $N_{n'_3,3}$ .

Next we find the disallowed values of  $m_4$  using Equations (25):

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(1)}(11) = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 11 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 4}{2} = 5; \alpha_1 = 2$$

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(1)}(17) = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 17 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 3}{2} = 2; \alpha_1 = 1$$

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(1)}(23) = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 23 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 2}{2} = 6; \alpha_1 = 2$$

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(1)}(29) = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 29 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot 7 - 1}{2} = 3; \alpha_1 = 1$$

and

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(3)}(7) = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 7 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 0}{2} = 0; \alpha_1 = 0$$

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(3)}(13) = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 13 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 6}{2} = 4; \alpha_1 = 2$$

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(3)}(19) = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 19 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 5}{2} = 1; \alpha_1 = 1$$

$$\widehat{m}_4^{(3)}(31) = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 31 \bmod 7}{30 \bmod 7} = \frac{\alpha_3 \cdot 7 - 3}{2} = 2; \alpha_1 = 1$$

The allowed values of  $m$  are then:

$$\left( m_4^{(1)} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & - & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & - & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & - \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & - & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

and in the equation for  $N_{n,3}$ :

$$\left( m_4^{(3)} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & - & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & - & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & - & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

Using these results in Equations 34 and 35 gives:

$$(N_{n_1,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 17 \\ 23 \\ 29 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & - & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & - & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & - \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & - & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 30 \quad (38)$$

giving:

$$(N_{n_1,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 41 & 71 & 101 & 131 & - & 191 \\ 17 & 47 & - & 107 & 137 & 167 & 197 \\ 23 & 53 & 83 & 113 & 143^* & 173 & - \\ 29 & 59 & 89 & - & 149 & 179 & 209^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

and

$$(N_{n_3,3}) = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 13 \\ 19 \\ 31 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & - & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & - & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & - & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 30 \quad (40)$$

giving:

$$(N_{n_3,3}) = \begin{pmatrix} - & 37 & 67 & 97 & 127 & 157 & 187^* \\ 13 & 43 & 73 & 103 & - & 163 & 193 \\ 19 & - & 79 & 109 & 139 & 169^* & 199 \\ 31 & 61 & - & 121^* & 151 & 181 & 211 \end{pmatrix} \quad (41)$$

Where numbers with an asterisk are prime to  $p \leq 7$ , but are not prime numbers, having multiple prime factors  $> 7$ . Note that the two array solutions for  $N_{n_1,1}$  and  $N_{n_3,3}$  contain every prospective prime number  $P$  (i.e., prime to all  $P \leq 7$ ), where  $7 < P < 4 + \prod_{i=1}^4 P_i = 214$ .

These results can be rearranged as follows correspond to the next stage  $S_4 \rightarrow S_5$ :

$$(N_{n,1}) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,1} \\ N_{2,1} \\ N_{3,1} \\ N_{4,1} \\ N_{6,1} \\ N_{7,1} \\ N_{8,1} \\ N_{9,1} \\ N_{11,1} \\ N_{13,1} \\ N_{14,1} \\ N_{16,1} \\ N_{17,1} \\ N_{18,1} \\ N_{21,1} \\ N_{22,1} \\ N_{23,1}^* \\ N_{24,1} \\ N_{27,1} \\ N_{28,1} \\ N_{29,1} \\ N_{31,1} \\ N_{32,1} \\ N_{34,1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 17 \\ 23 \\ 29 \\ 41 \\ 47 \\ 53 \\ 59 \\ 71 \\ 83 \\ 89 \\ 101 \\ 107 \\ 113 \\ 131 \\ 137 \\ 143^* \\ 149 \\ 167 \\ 173 \\ 179 \\ 191 \\ 197 \\ 209^* \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (N_{n,3}) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,3} \\ N_{2,3} \\ N_{4,3} \\ N_{5,3} \\ N_{6,3} \\ N_{9,3} \\ N_{10,3} \\ N_{11,3} \\ N_{12,3} \\ N_{15,3} \\ N_{16,3} \\ N_{17,3} \\ N_{19,3} \\ N_{20,3} \\ N_{22,3} \\ N_{24,3} \\ N_{25,3}^* \\ N_{26,3} \\ N_{27,3} \\ N_{29,3} \\ N_{30,3} \\ N_{31,3} \\ N_{32,3} \\ N_{34,3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 \\ 19 \\ 31 \\ 37 \\ 43 \\ 61 \\ 67 \\ 73 \\ 79 \\ 97 \\ 103 \\ 109 \\ 121^* \\ 127 \\ 139 \\ 151 \\ 157 \\ 163 \\ 169^* \\ 181 \\ 187^* \\ 193 \\ 199 \\ 211 \end{pmatrix} \quad (42)$$

These give the following 15 prospective twin primes:

$$(N_{1,1}, N_{1,3}); (N_{2,1}, N_{2,3}); (N_{4,1}, N_{4,3}); (N_{6,1}, N_{6,3}); (N_{9,1}, N_{9,3}); (N_{11,1}, N_{11,3});$$

$$(N_{16,1}, N_{16,3}); (N_{17,1}, N_{17,3}); (N_{22,1}, N_{22,3}); (N_{24,1}, N_{24,3}); (N_{27,1}, N_{27,3}); (N_{29,1}, N_{29,3});$$

$$(N_{31,1}, N_{31,3}); (N_{32,1}, N_{32,3}); (N_{34,1}, N_{34,3})$$

In proceeding to the next stage  $S_4 \rightarrow S_5$ , one must keep the prospective prime numbers with asterisk, which are not actual prime numbers, since they also generate prospective prime numbers.

Prospective prime numbers in  $S_5$  like  $121 = 11^2$  and  $143 = 11 \cdot 13$  which contain prime factor 11 generate prospective prime numbers in  $S_5$  prime to  $P \leq 11$ , as long as one excludes  $m_5 = 0$ , ( $\hat{m}_5 = 0$ ).

This leads to a general rule: starting with prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  to generate prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k+1}$ . Any prospective prime number in  $S_k$  that has prime factor  $P_{k+1}$  generates a prospective prime number in  $S_{k+1}$  using any value of  $m_{k+1}$  except  $m_{k+1} = 0$ . Therefore given any  $\tilde{P} \in S_k$ , where  $P_{k+1} | \tilde{P}$  one can generate a subset of the prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k+1}$  using:

$$\tilde{P} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ \dots \\ P_{k+1} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$$

We have shown above that in generating prospective prime numbers one can sort out the prospective twin primes. However, one can generate all prospective twin primes directly using Equation (28) or Equation (29).

$$\tilde{t}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} + (m_j) \prod_{i=1}^2 P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & - & 4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 6$$

were we used the disallowed values of  $m_j$  calculated above for the prospective prime number example, giving:

$$\tilde{t}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} - & 11 & 17 & 23 & 29 \\ 7 & 13 & 19 & - & 31 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 17 & 29 \\ 13 & 19 & 31 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then these prospective twin primes (actual twin primes in this example) can be used to generate all the prospective twin primes in  $S_4$ , etc. See Figure 2.

### 3 Distribution of Prospective Prime Numbers and Prospective Twin Primes

#### 3.1 Distribution of prospective prime numbers

We can represent a generic prospective prime number in  $S_k$  as:





$$\tilde{P}_{\{k\}} = \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} + (m_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \quad (43)$$

where there are  $n_{k-1}^{\tilde{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (P_i - 1)$  separate  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$  in  $S_{k-1}$  and where  $(m_k)$  represents a spectrum of  $P_k - 1$  numbers out of the  $P_k$  numbers ranging from 0 to  $P_k - 1$  thus making Equation (43) an abbreviation for  $P_k - 1$  separate equations for each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in S_{k-1}$ .

Looking at  $S_k$  as a sequence of subsets  $S_k = \{S_k^{(0)}, S_k^{(1)}, S_k^{(2)}, \dots, S_k^{(P_k-1)}\}$ , where  $S_k^{(j)} = \{5 + j \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \rightarrow 4 + (j+1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\}$  one can see that for a specific value  $(m_k) = m$ :

$$\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} + m \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \in S_k^{(m)}$$

Therefore equation (43) represents that each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in S_{k-1}$  generates  $P_k - 1$  prospective prime numbers  $\tilde{P}_{\{k\}} \in S_k$ , distributed one each to all but one subset  $S_k^{(m_k)}$ .

Let the one disallowed value of  $(m_k)$  corresponding to  $\tilde{P}_{\{k\}} \bmod P_k = 0$  be  $\hat{m}_k$ , where:

$$\left[ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} + \hat{m}_k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right] \bmod P_k = 0 \quad (44)$$

and we can express this as:

$$\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \bmod P_k + \hat{m}_k \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right) \bmod P_k = \alpha P_k$$

where  $\alpha$  is the smallest integer such that  $\hat{m}_k$  is also an integer, giving:

$$\hat{m}_k = \frac{\alpha P_k - \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \bmod P_k}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right) \bmod P_k} \quad (45)$$

We can let  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \bmod P_k = \beta$  and let  $\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right) \bmod P_k = \gamma$ , where:  $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq P_k - 1$  and  $1 \leq \gamma \leq P_k - 1$ . Giving:

$$\hat{m}_k = \frac{\alpha P_k - \beta}{\gamma}$$

which requires that:  $\alpha P_k \equiv \beta \pmod{\gamma}$ . This has a unique solution for  $\alpha$  dependent on  $\beta$  given that  $\gamma$  is fixed for  $S_k$ . [3, 7] Note that  $\hat{m}_k = 0$  only if  $\alpha = 0 = \beta$ , which occurs only when  $P_k | \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$ .

$\widehat{m}_k$  is the disallowed value of  $(m_k)$  in equation (43) which is unique for distinct  $\beta = \widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \bmod P_k$ . Any two distinct instances of  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$  that differ by a multiple of  $P_k$  will therefore have the same value of  $\widehat{m}_k$ . Meaning they have the same disallowed subset and that they each generate one prospective prime number to all the other immediate subsets of  $S_k$ .

Equation (43) gives:

$$\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}} \bmod P_k = \left[ \widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \bmod P_k + (m_k) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right) \bmod P_k \right] \bmod P_k$$

The only variable on the right hand side given a choice of  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$  is  $(m_k)$ , which takes on  $P_k - 1$  distinct values in the range  $0 \leq m_k \leq P_k - 1$ . Therefore the set of  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  generated by one instance of  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$  represents  $P_k - 1$  distinct residue classes mod  $P_k$ . The only residue class not represented is that corresponding to  $(m_k) = \widehat{m}_k$  given in Equation (45).

In generating the set of all  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  we start with  $n_{k-1}^{\widetilde{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (P_i - 1)$  prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k-1}$ . These represent  $n_{k-2}^{\widetilde{P}}$  sets of  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$  each with  $P_{k-1} - 1$  members generated by a single  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}$ . Each of these sets, which we represent as  $\left\{ \widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\widetilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$ , represents  $P_{k-1} - 1$  distinct residue classes mod  $P_{k-1}$  and therefore the same number of residue classes mod  $P_k$ .<sup>4</sup>

Equation (45) shows there is a one-to-one correspondence between the residue class of  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \bmod P_k$  and  $\widehat{m}_k$ . Each member of set  $\left\{ \widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\widetilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  used to generate  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  has a corresponding disallowed value  $\widehat{m}_k$  unique among the members of that set. Therefore, among the  $P_k$  subsets  $S_k^{(m_k)}$  of  $S_k$ ,  $P_{k-1} - 1$  have  $P_{k-1} - 2$  prospective prime numbers,  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}}$ , generated by the set  $\left\{ \widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\widetilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  and  $P_k - (P_{k-1} - 1)$  have  $P_{k-1} - 1$  prospective prime numbers generated by that same set. So, every immediate subset of  $S_k$  has a minimum of  $P_{k-1} - 2$  prospective prime numbers  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}}$ .

Then given that there are  $n_{k-2}^{\widetilde{P}}$  subsets  $\left\{ \widetilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\widetilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$ , each subset  $S_k^{(m_k)}$  of  $S_k$  has a number of prospective prime numbers  $\widetilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  at least equal to:

---

<sup>4</sup> $N = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{P} \right\rfloor \cdot P + N \bmod P = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{P'} \right\rfloor \cdot P' + N \bmod P' \rightarrow N \bmod P' = \left\lfloor \frac{N}{P} \right\rfloor \cdot P - \left\lfloor \frac{N}{P'} \right\rfloor \cdot P' + N \bmod P$ . Therefore, given  $P' > P$  there is a one-to-one correspondence of the residue classes mod  $P$  to a subset of the residue classes mod  $P'$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{S_k}^{\tilde{P}} &\geq n_{k-2}^{\tilde{P}} \cdot (P_{k-1} - 2) \\
&= (P_{k-1} - 2) \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 1) \\
&= [(P_{k-1} - 1) - 1] \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 1) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (P_i - 1) - \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 1) \\
&= n_{k-1}^{\tilde{P}} - n_{k-2}^{\tilde{P}}
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Given that result we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Given the set  $S_k = \left\{ N : 5 \leq N \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right\}$  and subsets  $S_k^{(m)} = \left\{ 5 + m \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \leq N \leq 4 + (m+1) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right\}$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq (P_k - 1)$ , then every subset  $S_k^{(m)}$  has  $n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{P}} \geq n_{k-1}^{\tilde{P}} - n_{k-2}^{\tilde{P}}$  prospective prime numbers  $\tilde{P} \in S_k^{(m)}$ , where  $\tilde{P} = N \in S_k$  and  $P|N \rightarrow P > P_k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  represent a generic prospective prime number in  $S_k$ . Then consider the subset  $\left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  of prospective prime numbers in  $S_{k-1}$  generated by a single  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}$  via the relation:

$$\left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}} = \tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}} + (m_{k-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i$$

where the different  $\tilde{P}_{k-1}$  are each distinguished by their values of  $m_{k-1}$ , where  $m_{k-1}$  has  $P_{k-1} - 1$  valid values among,  $0 \leq m_{k-1} \leq P_{k-1} - 1$ . Therefore, each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  belongs to a unique subset  $S_{k-1}^{(m_{k-1})} \subset S_{k-1}$  meaning  $\left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  consists of one prospective prime number in each of  $P_{k-1} - 1$  of the  $P_{k-1}$  subsets of  $S_{k-1}^{(m)} \subset S_{k-1}$ .

Note that each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  belongs to a unique residue class mod  $P_{k-1}$  as determined by its associated value of  $m_{k-1}$  and therefore also belongs to a unique residue class mod  $P_k$ , given  $P_k > P_{k-1}$ .

Now consider:

$$\left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k\}} \right\} = \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}} + (m_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$$

where we are representing multiple equations, for the  $(P_{k-1} - 1)$  values of  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  and the  $P_k - 1$  valid values of  $m_k$ , where  $m_k$  takes the values  $0 \leq m_k \leq P_k - 1$  with one disallowed value given by:

$$\hat{m}_k = \frac{\alpha \cdot P_k - \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}} \bmod P_k}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right) \bmod P_k}$$

where again we represent multiple equations.  $\alpha$  is the least integer in each case that yields an integer for  $\hat{m}_k$ .

One can see from the equation for  $\hat{m}_k$ , where each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  represents a unique residue class mod  $P_k$  and therefore each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  derived from that  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}}$  has a unique disallowed subset  $S_k^{(\hat{m}_k)}$ . Therefore, for each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}$  there are  $(P_{k-1} - 1)$  individual  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \in \left\{ \tilde{P}_{\{k-1\}} \right\}_{\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}}$  each which generates associated  $\tilde{P}_k$  distributed to all subsets of  $S_k^{(m)} \subset S_k$  except for its unique disallowed subset. This leads to a minimum of  $(P_{k-1} - 2)$  individual  $\tilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  in each subset of  $S_k^{(m)} \subset S_k$  for each  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}}$  in  $S_{k-2}$ .

Given that there are  $n_{k-2}^{\tilde{P}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 1)$  individual  $\tilde{P}_{\{k-2\}} \in S_{k-2}$  we get the number total number of  $\tilde{P}_{\{k\}}$  in each subset  $S_k^{(m)}$  given by:

$$n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{P}} \geq n_{k-2}^{\tilde{P}} \cdot (P_{k-1} - 2) = n_{k-1}^{\tilde{P}} - n_{k-2}^{\tilde{P}} \quad (47)$$

□

### 3.2 Distribution of prospective twin primes

We know from Theorem 2.2 that  $S_{k-1}$  contains  $n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (P_i - 2)$  prospective twin primes prime to all  $P \leq P_{k-1}$  and  $S_k$  contains  $n_k^{\tilde{t}} = \prod_{i=1}^k (P_i - 2)$  prospective twin primes prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ . Let  $\tilde{t}_{k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \\ \tilde{P}_{n_{k-1},3} \end{pmatrix}$  be a generic prospective twin prime in  $S_{k-1}$  and let  $\tilde{t}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_{n_k,1} \\ \tilde{P}_{n_k,3} \end{pmatrix}$  be a corresponding prospective twin prime in  $S_k$  given by:

$$\tilde{t}_k = \tilde{t}_{k-1} + (m_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$$

where this actually represents separate equations relating  $\tilde{P}_{n_k,1}$  to  $\tilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{n_k,3}$  to  $\tilde{P}_{n_{k-1},3}$  both using the same value of  $m_k$  among the  $P_k - 2$  allowed values of  $(m_k)$ , where:

$$0 \leq m_k \leq P_k - 1$$

and where additionally:

$$m_k \neq \widehat{m}_k^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 P_k - \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k} \quad \text{and} \quad m_k \neq \widehat{m}_k^{(3)} = \frac{\alpha_3 P_k - \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},3} \bmod P_k}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k}$$

where  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$  specify disallowed sets and  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_3$  represent the lowest integer values yielding integer solutions for  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$ .

Each prospective twin prime, prime to all  $P \leq P_{k-1}$ , in  $S_{k-1}$  can be used to generate a prospective twin prime, prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ , in each subset  $S_k^{(m_k)}$  except for the subsets  $S_k^{(\widehat{m}_k^{(1)})}$  and  $S_k^{(\widehat{m}_k^{(3)})}$ , where the latter are specific to each  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1} \in S_{k-1}$ .

Given that  $\widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},3} \bmod P_k = \left[\widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k + 2\right] \bmod P_k$ , we always have  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)} \neq \widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$  starting with any specific  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$ . Therefore, each prospective twin prime of  $S_{k-1}$  projects a prospective twin prime into  $P_k - 2$  of the  $P_k$  subsets  $S_k^{(m_k)}$  of  $S_k$ .

Analogous to the approach in the last section we consider generating all the  $\widetilde{t}_k \in S_k$  from the  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1} \in S_{k-1}$  where the latter consist of  $n_{k-2}^{\widetilde{t}_{k-1}} = \prod_{i=2}^{k-2} (P_i - 2)$  sets of  $\{\widetilde{t}_{k-1}\}_{\widetilde{t}_{k-2}}$ . Where each of the sets  $\{\widetilde{t}_{k-1}\}_{\widetilde{t}_{k-2}}$  consist of  $(P_{k-1} - 2)$  prospective twin primes in  $S_{k-1}$  that are generated from the same  $\widetilde{t}_{k-2}$ .

The problem in this case is that while the sets of  $\widehat{m}_{k-1}^{(1)}$  and  $\widehat{m}_{k-1}^{(3)}$  are unique within each set, being derived from one specific  $\widetilde{t}_{k-2}$ , there can be common values between the two sets for two distinct  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1} \in \{\widetilde{t}_{k-1}\}_{\widetilde{t}_{k-2}}$ . This can occur when:

$$\widetilde{t}_{k-1} = \widetilde{t}_{k-1} \pm (nP_k \pm 2)$$

This condition implies that  $P_{n_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k = P_{n'_{k-1},3} \bmod P_k$  or  $P_{n'_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k = P_{n_{k-1},3} \bmod P_k$  and consequently:  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)} = \widehat{m}'_{k-1}{}^{(3)}$  or  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)} = \widehat{m}'_{k-1}{}^{(1)}$ .

Also, given that the two  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$  are derived from the same  $\widetilde{t}_{k-2}$ , we have:

$$\widetilde{t}_{k-1} = \widetilde{t}_{k-2} + m_{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i$$

and

$$\widetilde{t}'_{k-1} = \widetilde{t}_{k-2} + m'_{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i$$

giving:

$$\Delta \widetilde{t}_{k-1} = \Delta m_{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i = \pm (nP_k \pm 2)$$

Therefore in generating the set of  $\{\tilde{t}_{k-1}\}_{\tilde{t}_{k-2}}$  instead of having four excluded subsets between a pair of  $\tilde{t}_{\{k-1\}}$  there will be three excluded subsets whenever that pair of prospective twin primes are generated with:

$$\Delta m_{k-1} = \frac{n^\pm P_k \pm 2}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i}$$

That is  $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  and  $\tilde{t}'_{k-1}$  may share an excluded subset,  $S_{k-1}^{(m)}$ . They cannot share the same two excluded subsets.

Letting:  $\Delta m_{k-1}^+ = \frac{n^+ P_k + 2}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i}$  and  $\Delta m_{k-1}^- = \frac{n^- P_k - 2}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i}$ , Gives:

$$\Delta m_{k-1}^+ + \Delta m_{k-1}^- = \frac{n^+ P_k + 2}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i} + \frac{n^- P_k - 2}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i} = \frac{(n^+ + n^-) P_k}{\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i}$$

Since  $\Delta m_{k-1}^+ + \Delta m_{k-1}^-$  must be an integer, this requires that  $n^+ + n^- = c \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i$ , were  $c$  is an integer, giving:

$$n^+ + n^- = c \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} P_i$$

giving:

$$\Delta m_{k-1}^+ + \Delta m_{k-1}^- = c P_k$$

Additionally, there are  $P_{k-1}$  subsets in  $S_{k-1}$  and to be valid  $\Delta m_{k-1}^\pm < P_{k-1}$ , therefore  $c = 1$ .

As in the last section dealing with the distribution of prospective prime numbers in  $S_k$  we would like to determine the number of prospective twin primes in the subsets of  $S_k$ . The number of cases where redundancies occur, e.g.  $\hat{m}_k^{(1)} = \hat{m}'_k^{(3)}$ , which may differ, means we will look for a minimum number.

As shown in the last section for prospective prime numbers, the sets of  $\hat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{m}_k^{(3)}$  each have internally unique values when generating  $\tilde{t}_k$  from the set of  $\{\tilde{t}_{k-1}\}_{\tilde{t}_{k-2}}$ . Therefore for the purposes of counting  $\tilde{t}_k \in S_k^{(m)}$  we can use Table 4 as a guide for calculating the distribution of prospective twin primes to the subsets of  $S_k^{(m)} \in S_k$ .

The excluded subsets for a given  $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  are represented where the  $\hat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{m}_k^{(3)}$  are shown. With some constraints, the actual distribution to specific subsets doesn't matter for calculating the minimum number of prospective twin primes per subset. Rows may be interchanged and the  $\hat{m}_j$  may be shifted along a row, subject to the following considerations: no two  $\hat{m}_k^{(1)}$  or two  $\hat{m}_k^{(3)}$  can occur in the same column;  $\hat{m}_j^{(3)} = [\hat{m}_j^{(1)} + \Delta m] \bmod P_k$ ; and  $\hat{m}_j^{(1)} = \hat{m}'_j^{(3)}$  can occur.

The separation of  $\hat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{m}_k^{(3)}$  affects the distribution to subsets and that can be calculated:

Table 4: The table shows an allocation of prospective twin primes to subsets where the sets of  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$  are each separately unique. It also arbitrarily shows a case where  $\Delta m = 2$ . Such a table for an arbitrary  $S_k$  would have  $P_k$  subsets across the top and  $P_{k-1} - 2$  individual  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$  down the left-hand column.

	$S_k^{(0)}$	$S_k^{(1)}$	$S_k^{(2)}$	$S_k^{(3)}$	$S_k^{(4)}$	$S_k^{(5)}$	$S_k^{(6)}$	$S_k^{(7)}$	$\dots$	$S_k^{(P_k-2)}$	$S_k^{(P_k-1)}$
$\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\dots$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$
$\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\dots$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$
$\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\dots$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$
$\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\dots$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\dots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\widetilde{t}_k$	$\dots$	$\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$	$\widetilde{t}_k$

$$\widetilde{t}_k = \widetilde{t}_{k-1} + (m_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$$

expanded gives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{n_k,1} \\ P_{n_k,3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \\ \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},3} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} m_k^{(1)} \\ m_k^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$$

Then relating the two disallowed values of  $m_k$ :

$$\widehat{m}_k^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_1 P_k - \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right) \bmod P_k}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{m}_k^{(3)} &= \frac{\alpha_3 P_k - \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},3} \bmod P_k}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_3 P_k - (\widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} + 2) \bmod P_k}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k} \\
&= \frac{\alpha'_3 P_k - \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k - 2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_1 P_k - \widetilde{P}_{n_{k-1},1} \bmod P_k}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k} + \frac{\Delta\alpha \cdot P_k - 2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k} \\
\widehat{m}_k^{(3)} &= \widehat{m}_k^{(1)} + \frac{\Delta\alpha \cdot P_k - 2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i\right) \bmod P_k}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta\alpha = \alpha'_3 - \alpha_1$  are integers chosen to give an integer result, and  $\alpha'_3$  is modified  $\alpha_3$  to account for removing the 2 from the mod function.

One can see that the separation of the two disallowed sets is the same for each prospective twin prime in  $S_k$ , therefore justifying the fixed separation shown in Figure 4.

For the set  $S_k$  we have  $P_k$  subsets and  $P_{k-1} - 2$  prospective twin primes generated in  $S_{k-1}$  for each  $\widetilde{t}_{k-2}$ . Therefore the left hand column of the corresponding table for  $S_k$  would have  $P_{k-1} - 2$  rows of  $\widetilde{t}_{k-1}$ . Columns (subsets) with no occurrence of  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$  or  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$  have  $P_{k-1} - 2$  prospective twin primes  $\widetilde{t}_k$ . Columns with one occurrence of one or the other of  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$  or  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$  have  $P_{k-1} - 3$  prospective twin primes  $\widetilde{t}_k$  and columns with occurrences of both  $\widehat{m}_k^{(1)}$  and  $\widehat{m}_k^{(3)}$  have  $P_{k-1} - 4$  prospective twin primes  $\widetilde{t}_k$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Given the set of sequential numbers  $S_k = \left\{ N : 5 \leq N \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \right\}$*

*and its  $P_k$  subsets  $S_k^{(m)} \subset S_k$ , where*

$$S_k^{(m)} = \left\{ N : 5 + m \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \leq N \leq 4 + (m+1) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \right\}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq P_k - 1,$$

*and letting  $n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\widetilde{t}}$  represent the number of prospective twin primes,  $\widetilde{t}_k$ , in each subset, then:  $n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\widetilde{t}} \geq n_{S_{k-1}}^{\widetilde{t}} - 2n_{S_{k-2}}^{\widetilde{t}}$  and where  $P_k \leq \widetilde{t}_k \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i$  and  $P|\widetilde{t}_k \longrightarrow P > P_k$ .*

*Proof.* Given the preceding discussion we know that for each  $\widetilde{t}_{k-2} \in S_{k-2}$  we have a minimum of  $P_{k-1} - 4$  prospective twin primes in each of the subsets  $S_k^{(m)}$  which are prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ . Then given that there are  $n_{S_{k-2}}^{\widetilde{t}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 2)$  prospective twin primes in  $S_{k-2}$  and representing the number of prospective twin primes in  $S_k^{(m)}$  as  $n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\widetilde{t}}$ , we have:



$$\begin{aligned}
n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{t}} &\geq n_{k-2}^{\tilde{t}} \cdot (P_{k-1} - 4) \\
&= (P_{k-1} - 4) \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 2) \\
&= [(P_{k-1} - 2) - 2] \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 2) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (P_i - 2) - 2 \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (P_i - 2) \\
n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{t}} &\geq n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} - 2n_{k-2}^{\tilde{t}} \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Given that  $S_k$  has  $P_k$  subsets  $S_k^{(m)}$ , the stated minimum number of prospective twin primes in each subset accounts for  $P_k \cdot (P_{k-1} - 4) \prod_{i=3}^{k-2} (P_i - 2)$  of the  $n_k^{\tilde{t}}$  total prospective twin primes in  $S_k$ . Therefore we have:

$$\frac{P_k \cdot n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{t}}}{n_k^{\tilde{t}}} \geq \frac{P_k(P_{k-1} - 4) \prod_{i=3}^{k-2} (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{i=3}^k (P_i - 2)} = \frac{P_k(P_{k-1} - 4)}{(P_k - 2)(P_{k-1} - 2)}$$

Note that  $\frac{P_k}{P_{k-2}} > 1$  and  $\frac{P_{k-1}-4}{P_{k-1}-2} < 1$  and their product is less than 1, but is asymptotic to 1 from below as  $P_k$  increases.<sup>5</sup> This is evident in Table 5.

Table 5: Trend of  $\frac{P_k(P_{k-1}-4)}{(P_k-2)(P_{k-1}-2)}$  as  $P_k$  increases.

$P_k$	$P_{k-1}$	$\frac{P_k}{P_{k-2}}$	$\frac{P_{k-1}-4}{P_{k-1}-2}$	$\frac{P_k(P_{k-1}-4)}{(P_k-2)(P_{k-1}-2)}$
11	7	$\frac{11}{9}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	.73
23	19	$\frac{23}{21}$	$\frac{15}{17}$	.966
53	47	$\frac{53}{51}$	$\frac{43}{45}$	.993
103	101	$\frac{103}{101}$	$\frac{97}{99}$	.991
1577	1573	$\frac{1577}{1575}$	$\frac{1569}{1571}$	.999992

Therefore we can say that prospective twin primes are fairly evenly distributed between the subsets of  $S_k$  and the minimum distribution of prospective

<sup>5</sup>Let  $P_k = P_{k-1} + \Delta$ , then  $\frac{P_k(P_{k-1}-4)}{(P_k-2)(P_{k-1}-2)} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2(\Delta+2)}{P_k[P_k-(\Delta+4)]}}$ . Then from the prime number theorem we can approximate the average spacing of prime numbers as  $\Delta = \ln N$ , which shows that the fraction approaches 1 for large  $P_k$ .

twin primes to each subset  $S_k^{(m)}$  approaches  $\frac{n_k^{\tilde{t}}}{P_k}$  as  $P_k$  increases, where  $n_k^{\tilde{t}}$  is the total number of prospective twin primes in  $S_k$  and  $P_k$  is the number of subsets,  $S_k^{(m)}$  in  $S_k$ .

Consider the set of prospective twin primes in  $S_k$ :  $\tilde{T}_k \subset S_k$ , where:<sup>6</sup>

$$\tilde{T}_k = \left\{ \tilde{t} : P_k < \tilde{t} \leq 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i \quad \& \quad P|\tilde{t} \rightarrow P > P_k \right\}$$

If  $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_k$  then  $\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}_k$ . We know from the discussion on the distribution of prospective twin primes that the subset  $S_k^{(0)}$  contains  $n_{S_k^{(0)}}^{\tilde{t}}$  prospective twin primes  $\tilde{t}_k$ . Also since  $S_k^{(0)} = S_{k-1}$  we know  $\tilde{T}_{k-1}$  contains  $n_{S_k^{(0)}}^{\tilde{t}}$  prospective twin primes  $> P_k$  that are prime to all  $P \leq P_k$ ; i.e., there are  $n_{S_k^{(0)}}^{\tilde{t}}$   $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  where  $\tilde{t}_{k-1} = \tilde{t}_k$ .

The set of prospective twin primes in  $S_{k-1}$  is equal to:

$$\tilde{T}_{k-1} = \left[ \tilde{T}_k \cap \tilde{T}_{k-1} \right] \cup \left\{ \tilde{t}_{k-1} : P_{k-1} < \tilde{t}_{k-1} < 4 + \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i \quad \& \quad P_k|\tilde{t}_{k-1} \right\}$$

The first set involving the intersection of  $\tilde{T}_k$  and  $\tilde{T}_{k-1}$  includes those  $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  where  $\tilde{t}_{k-1} = \tilde{t}_k$ , i.e. where  $\tilde{t}_{k-1} > P_k$  and  $P_k \nmid \tilde{t}_{k-1}$ . The second set includes those  $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  that contain the prime factor  $P_k$  including  $(P_k, P_{k+1})$  if it is a twin prime.  $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  that has  $P_k$  as a prime factor does generate prospective twin primes in  $S_k$  via  $\tilde{t}_{k-1} + (m_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$ , but not for  $m_k = 0$  and therefore not in  $S_k^{(0)}$ . We can therefore place a limit on the number of  $\tilde{t}_{k-1}$  that contain prime factor  $P_k$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{k-1}^{P_k|\tilde{t}} &= n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} - n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{t}} \\ &\leq n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} - [n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} - 2n_{k-2}^{\tilde{t}}] \\ &\leq 2n_{k-2}^{\tilde{t}} \end{aligned}$$

If we look at the fraction of prospective twin primes in  $S_{k-1}$  with prime factor  $P_k$  we get:

$$\frac{n_{k-1}^{P_k|\tilde{t}}}{n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}}} \leq \frac{2n_{k-2}^{\tilde{t}}}{n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}}} = 2 \frac{\prod_{i=3}^{k-2} (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{i=3}^{k-1} (P_i - 2)} = \frac{2}{P_{k-1} - 2}$$

While  $n_{k-1}^{P_k|\tilde{t}}$  grows if we pick a larger  $P_k$ , the fraction it represents of all prospective twin primes in  $S_{k-1}$  becomes negligible.

This gives an interpretation to the formula in Theorem 3.2:

---

<sup>6</sup>We consider that  $P|\tilde{t}$  if  $P$  divides either of the two components of  $\tilde{t} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{n,1} \\ P_{n,3} \end{pmatrix}$

$$n_{S_k^{(m)}}^{\tilde{t}} \geq n_{k-1}^{\tilde{t}} - 2n_{k-2}^{\tilde{t}}$$

The first term on the right is the number of prospective twin primes  $\tilde{t}_{k-1} \in S_{k-1}$  which if there were no disallowed subsets, contribute equally to all subsets  $S_k^{(m)}$ . The negative term then represents subtracting the number of resulting  $\tilde{t}_k = \tilde{t}_{k-1} + m \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$  in  $S_k^{(m)}$  where  $P_k | \tilde{t}_k$ . Therefore the negative term accounts for the disallowed subsets which is uniform across all subsets with respects to determining the minimum number of prospective twin primes in each  $S_k^{(m)}$ . Note also, since each subset of  $S_{k-1}$  has a uniform minimum of  $n_{S_{k-1}}^{\tilde{t}}$  prospective twin primes, in this respect each subset of  $S_{k-1}$  contributes equally to the minimum number of prospective twin primes in each subset of  $S_k$ . This latter point is key to proving Theorem 3.3.

Given Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 we can now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Given integer  $l \geq 4$ , define  $P_k = P_{\pi(\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^l P_i})}$ , then let  $n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t$  be the number of twin primes between  $P_k$  and  $P_{k+1}^2$ , then:*

$$n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t \geq \left( \prod_{j=l}^{k-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) \prod_{i=3}^l (P_i - 2) = \left( \prod_{j=l}^{k-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) n_{P_l}^{\tilde{t}}$$

*Proof.* Given  $l$  and  $P_k = P_{\pi(\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^l P_i})}$  consider the set of sequential natural numbers  $S_k = \{5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^k P_i\}$ . We will show that  $S_k$  always contains prospective twin primes,  $\tilde{t}_k \in S_k$  prime to all  $P \leq P_k$  where  $P_k < \tilde{t}_k < P_{k+1}^2$  and consequently  $\tilde{t}_k = t_k$  is an actual twin prime and the number of such twin primes meets the stated minimum.

Note that while  $\prod_{i=1}^l P_i + 1$  is the largest prospective prime number in  $S_l = \{5 \rightarrow 4 + \prod_{i=1}^l P_i\}$  in that it is prime to all  $P \leq P_l$ , as a consequence of the multiplication rules  $\prod_{i=1}^l P_i \pm 1$  cannot be the square of a prime number.<sup>7</sup>

With the definition of  $P_k$  we have:

$$P_k^2 < \prod_{i=1}^l P_i \rightarrow P_k^2 \in S_l$$

and given

$$P_{k+1}^2 = \left( P_{\pi(\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^l P_i})} + 1 \right)^2, \text{ we have:}$$

---

<sup>7</sup> $\prod_{i=1}^l P_i - 1 = P_{n,1}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^l P_i + 1 = P_{n,3}$ , where  $n = \left[ \prod_{i=3}^l P_i - 1 \right]$  is even. The multiplication rules (6) show that the square of any prime number, where  $n_i + n_j = 2n$ , has an odd number for its elemental sequence number.

$$\prod_{i=1}^l P_i < P_{k+1}^2 < \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} P_i \longrightarrow P_{k+1}^2 \in S_{l+1} \quad \& \quad P_{k+1}^2 \notin S_l$$

Note that  $P_{k+1}$  is the smallest prime number whose square is greater than  $4 + \prod_{i=1}^l P_i$  and  $P_k$  is the largest prime number whose square is less than  $\prod_{i=1}^l P_i$ . Therefore all prospective prime numbers and prospective twin primes in  $S_l$  are less than  $P_{k+1}^2$ . It remains to show that some  $\tilde{t}_l$  are greater than  $P_k$  and are prime to all  $P \leq P_k$  which means some  $\tilde{t}_l = \tilde{t}_k$  or equivalently  $\tilde{T}_l \cap \tilde{T}_k \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\tilde{T}_k$  is the set of all  $\tilde{t}_k \in S_k$  and  $\tilde{T}_l$  is the set of all  $\tilde{t}_l \in S_l$ . In doing this we will show the inequality for  $n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^{\tilde{t}}$  holds.

To prove  $\tilde{T}_l \cap \tilde{T}_k \neq \emptyset$  we must trace:  $\tilde{t}_l \in S_l \rightarrow \tilde{t}_l = \tilde{t}_{l+1} \in S_{l+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tilde{t}_l = \tilde{t}_k \in S_k$ . This can only be done through the zeroth subset of each set:  $\tilde{t}_l \in S_l \rightarrow \tilde{t}_l = \tilde{t}_{l+1} \in S_{l+1}^{(0)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tilde{t}_l = \tilde{t}_k \in S_k^{(0)}$ , requiring  $m_j = 0$  at each stage of:  $\tilde{t}_k = \tilde{t}_l + \sum_{j=l+1}^k (m_j) \prod_{i=j}^{k-1} P_i$ , otherwise the resulting  $\tilde{t}_k$  may be larger than  $P_{k+1}^2$ . This is straightforward because:

$$S_l = S_{l+1}^{(0)} \subset S_{l+2}^{(0)} \subset S_{l+3}^{(0)} \dots \subset S_k^{(0)}$$

Based on our definitions,  $S_{l+1}^{(0)}$  contains  $n_{S_{l+1}}^{\tilde{t}}$  prospective twin primes, prime to  $P \leq P_{l+1}$ , where  $\tilde{t}_{l+1} = \tilde{t}_l$ . We also know that  $S_{l+2}^{(0)} = S_{l+1}$  has  $n_{S_{l+2}}^{\tilde{t}}$  prospective twin primes, prime to  $P \leq P_{l+2}$ . However all subsets of  $S_{l+1}$  have contributed prospective twin primes to  $S_{l+2}^{(0)}$ .

Given that all subsets of  $S_{l+1}$  contribute prospective twin primes uniformly to all subsets to  $S_{l+2}$  with respects to the minimum number in each subset, the fraction of prospective twin primes in  $S_{l+2}^{(0)}$  generated from  $\tilde{t}_l = \tilde{t}_{l+1} \in S_{l+1}^{(0)}$  is given by:

$$\frac{n_{S_{l+1}}^{\tilde{t}}}{n_{l+1}^{\tilde{t}}} n_{S_{l+2}}^{\tilde{t}} \approx \text{number of } \tilde{t}_{l+2} = \tilde{t}_l$$

where we have divided the number of prospective twin primes in  $S_{l+1}^{(0)}$  by the total number of prospective twin primes in  $S_{l+1}$  as the fraction of prospective twin primes in  $S_{l+2}^{(0)}$  generated by prospective twin primes in  $S_{l+1}^{(0)}$ .

Then we have  $n_{S_{l+3}}^{\tilde{t}}$  prospective twin primes,  $\tilde{t}_{l+3} \in S_{l+3}^{(0)}$  derived from all  $\tilde{t}_{l+2} \in S_{l+2}$ . The fraction of those derived from the set of  $\tilde{t}_{l+2} = \tilde{t}_l \in S_{l+2}^{(0)}$  is:

$$\frac{n_{S_{l+1}}^{\tilde{t}}}{n_{l+1}^{\tilde{t}}} \frac{n_{S_{l+2}}^{\tilde{t}}}{n_{l+2}^{\tilde{t}}} n_{S_{l+3}}^{\tilde{t}} \approx \text{number of } \tilde{t}_{l+3} = \tilde{t}_l$$

Carrying this process forward up to the number of  $\tilde{t}_k = \tilde{t}_l$ , where then  $P_k < \tilde{t}_l \leq P_{k+1}^2$ , gives:

Table 6: Calculated minimum number of twin primes  $P_k \leq t \leq P_{k+1}^2$  compared to actual.

$l$	$k$	$n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t$	Actual $t$ $P_k \leq t_k < P_{k+1}^2$	$\frac{n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t}{\text{Actual } t} >$
4	6	7	16	.44
5	15	43	74	.58
6	40	350	480	.73
7	127	3,988	4,653	.86
8	443	52,432	57,529	.91

$$n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t \approx n_{S_k^{(0)}}^{\tilde{t}} \prod_{j=l+1}^{k-1} \frac{n_{S_j^{(0)}}^{\tilde{t}}}{n_j^{\tilde{t}}} \quad (49)$$

Expanding this using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 2.2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t &\geq (P_{k-1} - 4) \prod_{i=3}^{k-2} (P_i - 2) \cdot \prod_{j=l+1}^{k-1} \frac{(P_{j-1} - 4) \prod_{i=3}^{j-2} (P_i - 2)}{\prod_{i=3}^j (P_i - 2)} \\ &= (P_{k-1} - 4) \prod_{i=3}^{k-2} (P_i - 2) \cdot \left( \prod_{j=l+1}^{k-1} \frac{P_{j-1} - 4}{P_{j-1} - 2} \right) \left( \prod_{j=l+1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{P_j - 2} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{P_{k-1} - 4}{P_{k-1} - 2} \right) \left( \prod_{j=l}^{k-2} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) \prod_{i=3}^l (P_i - 2) \\ &= \left( \prod_{j=l}^{k-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) \prod_{i=3}^l (P_i - 2) = \left( \prod_{j=l}^{k-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) n_l^{\tilde{t}} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The first factor is less than one because its individual factors approach 1 from below, but there is a disproportionate increase in  $k$  when  $l$  is increased so there are more terms in the product as  $l$  and  $k$  increase. The last factor is just the number of prospective twin primes in  $S_l$ , which grows by the factor  $(P_{l+1} - 2)$  as  $l$  increases to  $l + 1$ . Table 6 evaluates inequality (50) for a few low values of  $l$  showing growing accuracy with  $l$  where the second factor appears to grow fast enough to keep a finite and growing product.<sup>8</sup> We will now show formally that  $n_{P_{k'} \rightarrow P_{k'+1}^2}^t \geq n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}^2}^t$ .

<sup>8</sup>Numbers in the "Actual" column from: <https://www.hugin.com.au/prime/twin.php>

Now consider Equation (50) letting  $l \rightarrow l + 1$  and  $k \rightarrow k'$ , where  $k = \pi \left( \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^l P_i} \right)$  and  $k' = \pi \left( \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{l+1} P_i} \right)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} n_{p_{k'} \rightarrow P_{k'+1}}^t &\geq \left( \prod_{j=l+1}^{k'-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) n_{l+1}^{\tilde{t}} \\ &\geq \left( \prod_{j=l}^{k-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) n_l^{\tilde{t}} \cdot \left( \frac{P_l - 2}{P_l - 4} \right) \left( \prod_{j=k}^{k'-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) (P_{l+1} - 2) \end{aligned}$$

which gives:

$$n_{p_{k'} \rightarrow P_{k'+1}}^t \geq n_{p_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}}^t \cdot \frac{(P_l - 2)(P_{l+1} - 2)}{(P_l - 4)} \left( \prod_{j=k}^{k'-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} \right) \quad (51)$$

Noting that  $P_k + 2 \leq P_{k+1}$  we can use:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=k}^{k'-1} \frac{P_j - 4}{P_j - 2} &= \prod_{j=k}^{k'-1} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{P_j - 2} \right) \geq \prod_{j=0}^{k'-k} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{P_k + 2j - 2} \right) \\ &\geq 1 - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k'-k} \frac{1}{P_k + 2(j-1)} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{2}{P_k - 2} - (k' - k) \frac{2}{P_k} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Then using the definition of  $k'$ :

$$\begin{aligned} k' &= \pi \left( \sqrt{\prod_1^{l+1} P_i} \right) \approx \frac{\sqrt{\prod_1^{l+1} P_i}}{\ln \sqrt{\prod_1^{l+1} P_i}} = \frac{\sqrt{P_{l+1}} \cdot \sqrt{\prod_1^l P_i}}{\ln \sqrt{P_{l+1}} + \ln \sqrt{\prod_1^l P_i}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\prod_1^l P_i}}{\ln \sqrt{\prod_1^l P_i}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{P_{l+1}}}{1 + \frac{\ln \sqrt{P_{l+1}}}{\ln \sqrt{\prod_1^l P_i}}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

giving:

$$k' \approx k \sqrt{P_{l+1}}$$

Using this in result (52) gives:

$$\prod_{j=k}^{k'-1} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{P_j - 2} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{P_k - 2} - k \left( \sqrt{P_{l+1}} - 1 \right) \frac{2}{P_k}$$

Then ignoring the  $-1$  in the last term and using  $k \approx \frac{P_k}{\ln P_k}$  gives:

$$\prod_{j=k}^{k'-1} \left(1 - \frac{2}{P_j - 2}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{P_k - 2} - \frac{2\sqrt{P_{l+1}}}{\ln P_k}$$

Using this in the inequality (51) gives:

$$n_{P_{k'} \rightarrow P_{k'+1}}^t \geq n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}}^t \cdot \frac{(P_l - 2)(P_{l+1} - 2)}{(P_l - 4)} \left[1 - \frac{2}{P_k - 2} - \frac{2\sqrt{P_{l+1}}}{\ln P_k}\right]$$

The factor in front of the square brackets is  $> (P_{l+1} - 2)$ . Since  $P_k$  is the largest prime whose square is less than  $\prod_{i=1}^l P_i$ , if we take  $P_k \approx \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^l P_i}$  then the last term in the square brackets is seen to be less than 1 for  $l > 5$  and gets ever smaller for larger  $l$  and the second term in the square brackets is much less than 1 and gets ever smaller as  $l$  and  $P_k$  increase. Therefore, given Table 6 showing growing values of  $n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}}^t$  one can see that for  $l > 4$ :

$$n_{P_{k'} \rightarrow P_{k'+1}}^t > n_{P_k \rightarrow P_{k+1}}^t$$

This completes the proof. □

Given Theorem 3.3 we can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Given any natural number,  $N$  there is always a twin prime greater than  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Pick integer  $l$  so that  $P_k = P_{\pi(\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^l P_i})} > N$ . Then we know from Theorem (3.3) that there is always a twin prime  $> P_k$ . □

## References

- [1] E. Bombieri, J.B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, "Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli," Acta Math., **156**, 1986, 203-251
- [2] E. Bombieri, J.B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, "Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli II," Math. Ann, **277**, 1987, 361-393
- [3] Robert Freud and Edit Gyarmati, *Number Theory*, Am. Math. Soc., 2020
- [4] B. Gensel, "An Elementary Proof of the Twin Prime Conjecture", Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory. 2020, 8(3), 52-56.
- [5] D.A. Goldston, J. Pintz and C.Y. Yildirim, "Primes in Tuples I," Ann. Math. **170**, 2009 (819-862)

- [6] D.A. Goldston, J. Pintz and C.Y. Yildirim, "Primes in Tuples II," *Ann. Math.* **204**,2010 (1-47)
- [7] G.H. Hardy and E.M Wright, revised by D.R. Heath-Brown and J.H Silverman, *An Introduction To The Theory Of Numbers*, Sixth Edition, Oxford University Press, 2008
- [8] Maynard,James "On The Twin Prime Conjecture,"  
arXiv:1910.14674v1 [math.NT] 29 Oct 2019
- [9] Roger Plymen, *The Great Prime Number Race*, Am. Math. Soc., 2020
- [10] Yitang Zhang, "Bounded gaps between primes", *Ann. of Math.*, **179**,2014 (1121-1174)