

An Upper Bound on the Number of Bent Functions ^{*}

Vladimir N. Potapov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia; email: vpotapov@math.nsc.ru

Abstract

The number of n -ary bent functions is less than $2^{3 \cdot 2^{n-3}(1+o(1))}$ as n is even and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Keywords: Boolean function, bent function, upper bound

1 Introduction

Let $F = \{0, 1\}$. The set F^n is called the n -dimensional boolean hypercube (or the boolean n -cube). The hypercube F^n equipped with scalar multiplication and coordinate-wise modulo 2 addition \oplus can be considered as an n -dimensional vector space. Functions $\phi_x(y) = (-1)^{\langle x, y \rangle}$ are called characters. Here $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n y_n$ is the inner product. Let f be a function that maps from the boolean hypercube to real numbers. The Fourier transform of g is defined by the formula $\widehat{g}(y) = (g, \phi_y)$, i.e., $\widehat{g}(y)$ are the coefficients of the expansion of f in the basis of characters.

We can define the Walsh–Hadamard transform of boolean function $f : F^n \rightarrow F$ by the formula $W_f(y) = \widehat{(-1)^b}(y)$, i.e.,

$$W_f(y) = \sum_{x \in F^n} (-1)^{f(x) \oplus \langle x, y \rangle}.$$

Boolean function b is said to be a bent function if $W_b(y) = \pm 2^{n/2}$ for all $y \in F^n$. It is easy to see that bent functions $b : F^n \rightarrow F$ exist only if n is even.

Every boolean function f can be represented as a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{y \in F^n} M[f](y) x_1^{y_1} \dots x_n^{y_n}$, where $x^0 = 1$, $x^1 = x$, and $M[f] : F^n \rightarrow F$ is the Möbius transform of f . It is well known that

$$M[f](y) = \bigoplus_{x \in \Gamma_y} f(x) \tag{1}$$

where Γ_y is the face of F^n that contains $\bar{0}$, y and all vectors between $\bar{0}$ and y . Note that $M[M[f]] = f$ for each boolean function. The degree of this polynomial is called the algebraic degree of f . It is easy to see that the number of boolean functions of n variables with degree $\deg f \leq d$ is equal to $2^{\sum_{i=0}^d \binom{n}{i}}$.

It is well known (see [1]–[3]) that the degree of a bent function $b : F^n \rightarrow F$ is not greater than $n/2$. Therefore the number of bent functions is not greater than $2^{\sum_{i=0}^{n/2} \binom{n}{i}}$. Let N_n be the number

^{*}The work was supported by the program of fundamental scientific researches of the SB RAS I.5.1, project No. 0314-2019-0017.

of bent functions on n variables. Then $\log N_n \leq 2^{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{n/2}$. In [5] and [6] there are some upper bounds of N_n . These bounds have type $\log N_n \leq 2^{n-1}(1+o(1))$ and they are a bit better than the trivial upper bound based on the estimation of algebraic degree. We obtain new upper bound $\log N_n \leq 3 \cdot 2^{n-3}(1+o(1))$. Note that Tokareva's conjecture (see [4]) of the decomposition of boolean functions into sums of bent functions implies that $\log N_n \geq 2^{n-2} + \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{n/2}$.

2 Preliminaries

It is well known (see [1],[7]) that

$$\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\widehat{f}} = 2^n f$$

where $f * g(z) = \sum_{x \in F^n} f(x)g(z \oplus x)$. Consequently, it holds

$$2^n f * g = \widehat{\widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g}}. \quad (2)$$

Let $\Gamma, \bar{0} \in \Gamma$, be a face of hypercube and let Γ^\perp be the dual face, i.e., $\Gamma^\perp = \{y \in F^n : \forall x \in \Gamma, \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_S$ an indicator function of a set S . If $g = \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^\perp}$ then by (2) it follows

$$f * \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^\perp} = 2^{-\dim \Gamma} \widehat{\widehat{f} \cdot \mathbf{1}_\Gamma} \quad (3)$$

for any face $\Gamma \subset F^n, \bar{0} \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that f and g are boolean functions in n variables. For any face $\Gamma \subset F^n$ if $W_f|_\Gamma = W_g|_\Gamma$ then $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^\perp} (-1)^{f(x)} = \sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^\perp} (-1)^{g(x)}$ for any $z \in F^n$.*

Proof. It follows from (3). Indeed it holds $\widehat{(-1)^f \cdot \mathbf{1}_\Gamma} = \widehat{(-1)^g \cdot \mathbf{1}_\Gamma}$ by conditions of the lemma. Then $(-1)^f * \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^\perp} = (-1)^g * \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^\perp}$. It is clear that $((-1)^f * \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^\perp})(z) = \sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^\perp} (-1)^{f(x)}$. Hence we obtain the required conclusion. \square

Denote by $\text{wt}(z)$ the number of units in $z \in F^n$. Let B_r be a ball with radius r in F^n , i.e., $B_r = \{x \in F^n : \text{wt}(x) \leq r\}$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that f and g are n -ary boolean functions and $\max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\} \leq r$. If $f|_{B_r} = g|_{B_r}$ then $f = g$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis of the lemma we have $M[f](y) = M[g](y) = 0$ if $\text{wt}(y) > r$. By (1) for any $y \in F^n$ such that $\text{wt}(y) = r + 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M[f](y) &= \bigoplus_{x \in \Gamma_y} f(x) = f(y) \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in \Gamma_y \cap B_r} f(x) = \\ &= f(y) \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in \Gamma_y \cap B_r} g(x) = M[g](y) \oplus f(y) \oplus g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f(y) = g(y)$ for any $y \in B_{r+1}$. By induction on weights $\text{wt}(y)$ of the vectors $y \in F^n$ we obtain that $f(y) = g(y)$ for all $y \in F^n$. \square

We will use the following property of bent functions.

Proposition 1. *([1]–[3]) Let $f : F^n \rightarrow F$ be a bent function, let $A : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ be a non-degenerate affine transformation and let $\ell : F^n \rightarrow F$ be an affine function. Then $g = f \circ A \oplus \ell$ is a bent function.*

Functions f and g from Proposition 1 are called equivalent. It is easy to see that the cardinality of any equivalence class is not greater than $a_n = 2^{n^2}(1+o(1))$.

3 Main result

Theorem 1. *The number of bent functions in n variables is not greater than $6^{3 \cdot 2^{n-6}} 2^{2^{n-2}(1+o(1))}$ as n is even and $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Let b be a bent function in n variables. It is well known (see [1]–[3]) that $W_b = 2^{n/2}(-1)^g$ where g is a bent function too. Therefore $\deg(g) \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Consider a face Γ , $\bar{0} \in \Gamma$ and $\dim \Gamma = n - 2$. By Lemma 2 there exist at most $T_n = 2^{\sum_{i=0}^{n/2} \binom{n-2}{i}} = 2^{2^{n-3}(1+o(1))}$ different functions $g' : \Gamma \rightarrow F$ such that $g' = g|_{\Gamma}$ and g are bent functions in n variables. By Lemma 1 we obtain that g' is determined by sums $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}} (-1)^{b(x)}$ for all $z \in F^n$. It is easy to see that the sums $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}} (-1)^{b(x)}$ can be equal to $-4, -2, 0, 2$ or 4 . If a sum equals ± 4 then $b(x) = 1$ for all $x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}$ or $b(x) = 0$ for all $x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}$. If it equals ± 2 then we have four possibilities for the vector of values of b on $z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}$. At last if $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}} (-1)^{b(x)} = 0$ then we have six possibilities for $b|_{z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}}$.

For any bent function b the proportion of a 2-dimensional subspace S of F^n such that $\sum_{x \in S} (-1)^{b(x)} = \pm 2$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ (for example, see [8]). Then we can find a non-degenerate linear transformation A of b such that the proportion of 2-dimensional faces $z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}$ with $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}} (-1)^{b \circ A(x)} = \pm 2$ is not greater than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of all affine functions. If $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}} (-1)^{b \circ A(x)} = 0$ then $\sum_{x \in z \oplus \Gamma^{\perp}} (-1)^{b \circ A(x) \oplus \ell(x)} = \pm 4$ for $\frac{1}{4}$ of functions $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore we can find ℓ_0 with such proportion or better.

At last we note that by Lemma 2 it is necessary to recover b only on $B_{n/2}$. Suppose that b is a function with the best proportion of sums $-4, -2, 0, 2, 4$ from some equivalence class. Denote by Q_n the minimum number of elements from Γ that is needed for recovering b . It is easy to see that $Q_n = 2^{n-3}(1+o(1))$. Since $b'(x) = b(x) \oplus a$ is a bent function, without loss of generality, we suppose that b has the best proportion of sums $-4, -2, 0, 2, 4$ on faces which is determined by this Q_n elements. Therefore an upper bound of the number of bent functions is $a_n T_n 4^{Q_n/2} 6^{3Q_n/8}$ where a_n is the cardinality of the largest equivalence class. \square

It is easy to see that $6^{3 \cdot 2^{n-6}} 2^{2^{n-2}}$ is less than $2^{3 \cdot 2^{n-3}}$.

References

- [1] C. Carlet, *Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Coding Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 562 pages, 2020.
- [2] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, “Four decades of research on bent functions,” *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 78(1), 2016, pp. 5–50.
- [3] S. Mesnager, *Bent Functions: Fundamentals and Results*. Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2016.
- [4] N. Tokareva, “On the number of bent functions from iterative constructions: lower bounds and hypothesis,” *Adv. Math. Commun.*, vol. 5(4), 2011, pp. 609–621.

- [5] C. Carlet and A. Klapper, “Upper bounds on the number of resilient functions and of bent functions,” Proceedings of the 23rd Symposium on Information Theory in the Benelux, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium. 2002.
- [6] S.V. Agievich, “On the continuation to bent functions and upper bounds on their number,” Prikl. Diskr. Mat. Suppl., no. 13, 2020, pp. 18–21 (in Russian).
- [7] M.A. Tsfasman and S.G. Vladuts, *Algebraic geometric codes. Basic notations*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 139, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [8] V.N. Potapov and S.V. Avgustinovich, “Combinatorial designs, difference sets, and bent functions as perfect colorings of graphs and multigraphs,” Siberian Math. J., vol. 61(5), 2020, pp. 867–877.