

REFINED CRAMÉR TYPE MODERATE DEVIATION THEOREMS FOR GENERAL SELF-NORMALIZED SUMS WITH APPLICATIONS TO DEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES AND WINSORIZED MEAN

BY LAN GAO^{1,*}, QI-MAN SHAO^{1,2,‡} AND JIASHENG SHI^{1,†}

¹Department of Statistics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, *lgao@link.cuhk.edu.hk; †jiashengSHI@link.cuhk.edu.hk

²Department of Statistics and Data Science, Southern University of Science and Technology, ‡shaoqm@sustech.edu.cn

Let $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of independent bivariate random vectors. In this paper, we establish a refined Cramér type moderate deviation theorem for the general self-normalized sum $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / (\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2)^{1/2}$, which unifies and extends the classical Cramér (1938) theorem and the self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation theorems by Jing, Shao and Wang (2003) as well as the further refined version by Wang (2011). The advantage of our result is evidenced through successful applications to weakly dependent random variables and self-normalized winsorized mean. Specifically, by applying our new framework on general self-normalized sum, we significantly improve Cramér type moderate deviation theorems for one-dependent random variables, geometrically β -mixing random variables and causal processes under geometrical moment contraction. As an additional application, we also derive the Cramér type moderate deviation theorems for self-normalized winsorized mean.

1. Introduction. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 < \infty$ for $i \geq 1$. Set $B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2$,

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \quad \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\text{and } \hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

The self-normalized sum is defined by S_n/V_n and is closely related to the widely-used Student's t statistic $t_n = S_n/(\sqrt{n} \hat{\sigma}_n)$ in the sense that

$$\mathbb{P}(t_n \geq x) = \mathbb{P}(S_n/V_n \geq x[n/(n+x^2-1)]^{1/2}).$$

Therefore, to investigate the distribution of Student's t statistic is equivalent to consider that of the less complex self-normalized statistic.

The past three decades have witnessed the flourishing development of asymptotic theory for self-normalized sums of independent random variables. Regarding the sufficient and necessary conditions for the self-normalized central limit theorem, we refer to Giné, Götze and Mason (1997) and Shao (2018) for independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables and general non-i.i.d. random variables, respectively. Specifically,

[‡]The research is partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China NSFC 12031005, Shenzhen Outstanding Talents Training Fund, Hong Kong Research Grants Council GRF-14302515 and 14304917.

MSC2020 subject classifications: Primary 62E17, 62E20; secondary 62J07.

Keywords and phrases: Moderate deviation, Self-normalized sums, Dependent random variables, High-dimensional, Winsorized mean.

for the i.i.d. case, the former paper showed that S_n/V_n is asymptotically standard normal if and only if the common distribution is in the domain of attraction of the normal law. Basically, there are two ways to measure the accuracy in normal approximation. One method is the absolute error, which concerns the celebrated Berry-Esseen bound and Edgeworth expansion. See [Bentkus and Götze \(1996\)](#), [Bentkus, Bloznelis and Götze \(1996\)](#) and [Wang and Jing \(1999\)](#) for Berry-Esseen bounds and [Hall \(1987\)](#) for Edgeworth expansion. Another method is the relative error, which estimates the ratio of the tail probabilities, typically including the Cramér type moderate deviations. [Shao \(1999\)](#) established the following self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation result for i.i.d. random variables. If $\mathbb{E}|X_1|^3 < \infty$, then

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n)}{1 - \Phi(x)} \rightarrow 1$$

holds uniformly for $0 \leq x \leq o(n^{1/6})$, where $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal distribution function. [Jing, Shao and Wang \(2003\)](#) further extended the result to general independent random variables. In particular, they obtained that if $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 < \infty$ for $i \geq 1$, then there exists an absolute constant $A > 0$, such that

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq A(1+x)^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 / B_n^3$$

holds uniformly for $0 \leq x \leq B_n(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3)^{-1/3}$.

In addition, [Wang \(2011\)](#) corrected the skewness in normal approximation and proved that if $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 < \infty$ for $i \geq 1$, then there exist positive constants A_0 and C_0 such that

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + cB_n)}{[1 - \Phi(x+c)]\Psi_x} = e^{O_1\Delta_{n,x}} \left[1 + O_2 \left((1+x) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 / B_n^3 \right) \right],$$

holds uniformly for $|c| \leq x/5$ and for all $0 < x \leq \frac{1}{3}B_n(\max_i \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3)^{-1/3}$ and $x \leq C_0B_n^3 / \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3$, where $|O_1| \leq A_0$, $|O_2| \leq A_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_x &= \exp \left[\gamma^2 \left(\frac{4\gamma}{3} - 2 \right) x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 / B_n^3 \right], \\ \Delta_{n,x} &= (1+x)^3 B_n^{-3} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|X_i|^3 \mathbb{1}((1+x)|X_i| \geq B_n)] \\ &\quad + (1+x)^4 B_n^{-4} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|X_i|^4 \mathbb{1}((1+x)|X_i| \leq B_n)], \end{aligned}$$

with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1+c/x)$. Especially, if X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}X_1^4 < \infty$, then (1.2) implies there exist positive constants A_0 and C_0 depending on $\mathbb{E}X_1^2$ and $\mathbb{E}X_1^4$ such that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}[X_1^3]}{3\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{E}[X_1^2])^{3/2}} \right\} \left[1 + O_1 \left(\frac{1+x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{(1+x)^4}{n} \right) \right],$$

uniformly in $0 < x \leq C_0 n^{1/4}$, where $|O_1| \leq A_0$. Observe that in the i.i.d. case, the classical self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation presented in (1.1) gives a convergence rate

of $(1+x)^3/\sqrt{n}$ and the corresponding range of convergence $x = o(n^{1/6})$. Thus, by specifying the skewness correction term Ψ_x , (1.2) can improve the result of [Jing, Shao and Wang \(2003\)](#) in terms of both the convergence rate and the range of convergence when the higher fourth moments exist.

It is worth mentioning that the moment conditions for self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation theorems are much weaker than those in the classical theorems for standardized sums. As a result, to account for robustness against heavy-tailed data, the self-normalized sum would be recommended in real-world applications. We refer to [de la Peña, Lai and Shao \(2009\)](#) for a systematic introduction to the theory and statistical applications of self-normalized statistics.

Due to its rigorous control on the ratio of tail probabilities, the self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation has been successfully applied in high-dimensional statistical analysis, including large-scale multiple testing ([Fan, Hall and Yao, 2007](#); [Liu and Shao, 2010, 2013](#)), signal detection ([Delaigle and Hall, 2009](#)), classification ([Fan and Fan, 2008](#)) and feature screening ([Chang, Tang and Wu, 2016](#)) among others.

Most of the existing works have focused on the classical self-normalized sum, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)^{1/2}$ for independent random variables $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Yet, in some scenarios, the sequence used for normalizing in the denominator could be different from the numerator, which occurs for a variety of commonly used studentized nonlinear statistics such as the studentized U-statistic and the studentized L-statistics. Therefore, investigations into general self-normalized processes beyond the classical form are imperative. [Shao and Zhou \(2016\)](#) attempted to extend the Cramér type moderate deviation theorem to a more general setting, that is, $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i + D_{1n}) / ((\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)(1 + D_{2n}))^{1/2}$, where the remainders D_{1n} and D_{2n} are measurable functions of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ but negligible. Our present work will establish a fundamental framework in [Theorem 2.1](#) on the Cramér type moderate deviation for a more general self-normalized form of $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / (\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2)^{1/2}$, where $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is a sequence of independent bivariate random vectors and X_i and Y_i could be different from each other. It is worthwhile to mention that our [Theorem 2.1](#) can cover not only the classical Cramér type moderate deviation for standardized sums by [Cramér \(1938\)](#), but also the self-normalized counterparts by [Jing, Shao and Wang \(2003\)](#) and [Wang \(2011\)](#).

Our investigation into the general self-normalized sum is also motivated by seeking to develop sharper self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation results for weakly dependent random variables. Though Cramér type moderate deviation theory has been well studied for independent random variables, the theory for dependent data remains largely underdeveloped. The biggest challenge is that the classical theory for independent random variables cannot be directly applied due to dependence. [Chen et al. \(2016\)](#) made the first attempt to develop the theory for self-normalized sums of dependent random variables with geometrically decaying dependence. However, their result can be further improved by applying our framework on the general self-normalized sum. The key observation is that after dividing the weakly dependent random variables into consecutive big blocks and small blocks, its self-normalized sum can be approximated by a general self-normalized sum of independent bivariate random vectors. Therefore, Cramér type moderate deviation theorems for the self-normalized sums of weakly dependent random variables can be established based on our fundamental theory on the general self-normalized sum. More details will be presented in [Section 3](#).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Our framework on general self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation is presented in [Section 2](#). [Section 3](#) shows applications to the self-normalized sums of weakly dependent random variables under one-dependence, geometrically β -mixing condition, and geometric moment contraction. [Section 4](#) presents an additional application to studentized winsorized mean that naturally takes the form of a general self-normalized sum. [Section 5](#) is devoted to proofs of the theorems in [Sections 2–4](#). Other technical proof details are included in the [Supplementary Material](#).

2. Main Results. Let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ be independent bivariate random vectors satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{E}X_i = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}Y_i^2.$$

We remark that for the convenience of presentation, $\{X_i\}$ and $\{Y_i\}$ are standardized so $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}Y_i^2$. In other words, one should think of X_i as $X_{n,i}$ and similarly Y_i as $Y_{n,i}$. Let

$$(2.2) \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad T_n = \frac{S_n}{V_n}.$$

We first propose an exponential moment condition as follows. Suppose there exists some constant $c_0 \geq 0$ such that for $x > 0$ satisfying (2.7),

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}e^{\min\left\{\frac{x^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2}, 2xX_i\right\}} < \infty.$$

The above moment condition links X_i with Y_i and shows how they interact with each other. In particular, it is automatically satisfied for the classical self-normalized sum with $Y_i = X_i$.

The following notations will be used throughout the paper. Define

$$(2.4) \quad L_{3,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3),$$

$$\delta_{x,i} = (1+x)^3 \left(\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| > 1)] + \mathbb{E}[|Y_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)Y_i| > 1)] \right)$$

$$+ (1+x)^4 \left(\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^4 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1)] + \mathbb{E}[|Y_i|^4 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)Y_i| \leq 1)] \right),$$

$$r_{x,i} = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \min \left(\frac{X_i^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2}, 2xX_i \right) \right\} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| > 1) \right],$$

$$R_{x,i} = \delta_{x,i} + r_{x,i}, \quad \delta_x = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x,i}, \quad r_x = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{x,i}, \quad \text{and} \quad R_x = \delta_x + r_x.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume (2.1) and (2.3) are satisfied. In addition, $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3 < \infty$ for $i \geq 1$. Then there exist absolute positive constants $0 < c_1 \leq 1/4$ and $A > 0$ such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq xV_n + c) = [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} (1 + O_2(1+x)L_{3,n}),$$

where

$$\Psi_x^* = \exp \left\{ x^3 \left(\frac{4}{3} \gamma^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 2\gamma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i Y_i^2] \right) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{c}{x} \right),$$

uniformly for $|c| \leq x/5$ and for all $x > 0$ satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad (1+x)L_{3,n} \leq c_1, \quad x^{-2}R_x \leq c_1,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq \frac{\frac{1}{4} \wedge \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c_0}}}{\left[\max_i (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3) \right]^{1/3}}, \quad \max_i r_{x,i} \leq c_1,$$

where $|O_1| \leq A$ and $|O_2| \leq A$.

Theorem 2.1 unifies the classical standard and self-normalized Cramér type moderate deviation theorems as well as the refined version by Wang (2011). The assumption (2.3) is satisfied for a wide class of statistics, including the block sums of weakly dependent random variables and the self-normalized winsorized mean. More details will be provided in the proof of Theorems 3.1–3.3 and 4.1.

The following corollary is a straightforward application of Theorem 2.1 to the classical standardized sum of independent random variables. The proof will be given in Section A.18 in the Supplementary Material.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma^2$. Denote $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. If there exists a positive constant t_0 such that $\mathbb{E}e^{tX_1} < \infty$ for $|t| \leq t_0$, then we have*

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma\sqrt{n})}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp\left\{\frac{x^3\mathbb{E}X_1^3}{6\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}\right\} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{(1+x)^4}{n} + \frac{1+x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]$$

holds uniformly for $0 < x \leq O(n^{1/4})$.

3. Applications to Weakly Dependent Random Variables. The Cramér type moderate deviation theory has been well studied for independent random variables, yet there are few results available for dependent data. The novel work of Chen et al. (2016) made the first attempt to develop the theory for self-normalized sums of weakly dependent random variables satisfying the geometrically β -mixing condition or geometric moment contraction. In this section, we will further improve their results by applying our fundamental framework on the general self-normalized sum. Before that, we will start with a Cramér type moderate deviation theorem for self-normalized sums of one-dependent random variables. The reason to first investigate under one-dependence is two-fold. First, one-dependence is the simplest scenario of dependency and the result for one-dependent random variables can be applied to m -dependence, where m could also go to infinity. Second, many weakly dependent random variables can be approximated by some one-dependent random variables. A typical example includes the block sums of random variables satisfying geometric moment contraction, which will be presented in Section 3.3. Therefore, the following Theorem 3.1 under one-dependence lays the foundation for Theorem 3.3 under geometric moment contraction.

3.1. Cramér type moderate deviation under one-dependence. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be one-dependent random variables, which means for $i, j \geq 1$, ξ_i is independent of ξ_j if $|j - i| \geq 2$. Put

$$(3.1) \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2, \quad \rho_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\xi_i\xi_{i+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\xi_i^2}.$$

Note that $|\rho_n| \leq 1/2$. Moreover, in many applications where weakly dependent sequence can be approximated by some one-dependent random variables, the covariances $\mathbb{E}\xi_i\xi_{i+1}$ are negligible compared to the variables $\mathbb{E}\xi_i^2$ due to weak dependence, hence $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, ρ_n can be moved to the remainders and the limiting distribution will still be standard normal. One can find more details in the proof of Theorem 3.3, which obtains Cramér type moderate deviation result under geometric moment contraction by applying Theorem 3.1.

Under existence of the fourth moment, we have the following theorem for self-normalized sums of one-dependent random variables.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that $\mathbb{E}\xi_i = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\xi_i^4 \leq a_1^4$ and $\mathbb{E}\xi_i^2 \geq a_2^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\rho_n \geq \rho$ for some $\rho > -1/2$. Denote $a = a_1/a_2$. Then there exist positive numbers a_0 and $A(\rho)$ depending on ρ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n) = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \left(1 + O_1 a^4 \frac{(1+x)^2}{n^{1/4}} \right)$$

holds uniformly for $x \in (0, a_0 a^{-2} n^{\frac{1}{8}})$, where $|O_1| \leq A(\rho)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 relies on the big-block-small-block technique and an application of Theorem 2.1. The main idea is to approximate the self-normalized sum of one-dependent random variables by a general self-normalized sum of independent random vectors based on the big blocks. In more details, let the length of big blocks be $l = \lfloor n^\alpha \rfloor$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of x for any $x > 0$, and the length of small blocks be only 1. Denote $k = \lfloor n/(l+1) \rfloor$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$, we define the j -th big block by

$$(3.3) \quad H_j = \{i : (j-1)(l+1) + 1 \leq i \leq j(l+1) - 1\}$$

and the sums over j -th big block by

$$(3.4) \quad X_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} \xi_i \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j^2 = \sum_{i \in H_j} \xi_i^2.$$

Observe that by this construction, the big-block sums $\{X_j\}_{j=1}^k$ and $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are both sequences of independent random variables, because $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are one-dependent and the adjacent big blocks H_j and H_{j+1} are separated by a random variable $\xi_{j(l+1)}$. Since the big blocks contain $(1 - n^{-\alpha})$ proportion of the random variables in $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$, we can approximate the self-normalized sum S_n/V_n of $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ by the general self-normalized sum $\sum_{j=1}^k X_j / (\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2)^{1/2}$. The crucial quantity $r_{x,j}$ can be separated into two self-normalized sums of independent random variables due to one-dependence and thus can be bounded by using Lemma A.5 in the Supplementary Material. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 can be proved by applying Theorem 2.1 and calculating the error terms involved. More details of the proof will be provided in Section 5.2.

Compared with the classical result (1.1) for independent data, one-dependence results in a narrower zone of convergence and a slower convergence rate. Moreover, (3.2) can be easily extended to general m -dependent random variables, where m could depend on n and go to infinity. Indeed, if Z_1, \dots, Z_n are m -dependent and suppose $b = n/m$ is an integer for simplicity, we define $\xi_j = \sum_{i=1+jm}^{jm} Z_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq b$, then ξ_1, \dots, ξ_b are one-dependent random variables and Theorem 3.1 can be applied.

3.2. Cramér type moderate deviation under β -mixing. In time series, asymptotic independence conditions such as mixing conditions are usually proposed to replace independence, among which β -mixing is an important dependent structure and has been connected with a large class of time series models including ARMA models, GARCH models and certain Markov processes. This subsection provides a Cramér type moderate deviation theorem for block-normalized sums of geometrically β -mixing random variables, which improves the result by Chen et al. (2016).

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of random variables. Let $\sigma_{-\infty}^t$ and σ_{t+m}^∞ be σ -fields generated by $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq t}$ and $\{X_i\}_{i \geq t+m}$, respectively. The β -mixing coefficient is given by

$$(3.5) \quad \beta(m) := \sup_t \mathbb{E} \sup\{|\mathbb{P}(B|\sigma_{-\infty}^t) - \mathbb{P}(B)| : B \in \sigma_{t+m}^\infty\}.$$

We say $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is geometrically β -mixing if $\beta(m)$ admits an exponentially decaying rate, that is, there exist positive numbers a_1, a_2 and τ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \beta(m) \leq a_1 e^{-a_2 m^\tau}.$$

To account for dependence, the block technique is naturally used to estimate the variance of sums of dependent random variables (see [Chen et al. \(2016\)](#)). Set $l = \lceil n^\alpha \rceil + 1$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $k = \lfloor n/l \rfloor$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$, define the j -th block and corresponding j -th block sum by

$$(3.7) \quad H_j = \{i : l(j-1) + 1 \leq i \leq lj\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} X_i,$$

respectively. The block-normalized sum is then defined by

$$(3.8) \quad T_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2}}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a β -mixing sequence satisfying (3.6). Assume $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and there exist positive numbers μ_1 and μ_2 such that $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^r \leq \mu_1^r$ for $r > 4$ and $\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=s}^{s+t} X_i)^2 \geq \mu_2^2 t$ for all $i \geq 1, s \geq 0, t \geq 1$. Then, for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\tau > 0$, there exist positive numbers A and d_0 depending on $a_1, a_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \alpha, \tau$ and r such that,*

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq A \left(\frac{(1+x)^2}{n^\alpha} + \frac{(1+x)^2 \log n}{n^{\min\{(1-\alpha)/4, \alpha\tau/2\}}} \right)$$

uniformly in $0 \leq x \leq d_0 \min\{n^{\alpha/2}, (\log n)^{-1/2} n^{\min\{(1-\alpha)/8, \alpha\tau/4\}}\}$.

As for the choice of α , for any given τ , we can always choose α such that $1 - \alpha \leq 2\alpha\tau$, that is, $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{1+2\tau}$. To optimize the convergence rate and the range of x in (3.9),

(i) when $\tau \geq 2$, let $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$, then

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = 1 + O\left(\frac{(1+x)^2 \log n}{n^{1/5}}\right)$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, d_1 (\log n)^{-1/2} n^{1/10})$;

(ii) when $\tau < 2$, let $\alpha = \frac{1}{1+2\tau}$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = 1 + O\left(\frac{(1+x)^2 \log n}{n^{\frac{\tau}{2(1+2\tau)}}}\right)$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, d_1 (\log n)^{-1/2} n^{\frac{\tau}{4(1+2\tau)}})$.

REMARK 3.1. *We now compare our result with Theorem 4.2 in [Chen et al. \(2016\)](#). They proved that given $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^r < \infty$ for $r > 3$ and the same assumption (3.6),*

$$(3.12) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq A \left[\frac{(1+x)^2}{n^\alpha} + \frac{(1+x)^{5/4}}{n^{(1-\alpha)/8}} \right]$$

uniformly in $0 \leq x \leq d_0 (\min\{(\log n)^{-4/5} n^{(1-\alpha)/10}, n^{\alpha\tau/2}, n^{\alpha/2}\})$. (We have to mention that the original version of Theorem 4.2 in [Chen et al. \(2016\)](#) missed the error term $\frac{(1+x)^2}{n^\alpha}$ and the corresponding condition $x \leq d_0 n^{\alpha/2}$.) When $(1-\alpha) > 2\alpha\tau$, our results might be worse for some choices of α . However, when $(1-\alpha) \leq 2\alpha\tau$, our results improve theirs in terms of both the convergence rate and the corresponding range of x . The improvement is achieved by applying our framework for general self-normalized sum and correcting the bias to normal approximation by specifying the skewness term Ψ_x^* .

The proof of Theorem 3.2 again builds on the big-block-small-block technique. Recall that for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $Y_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} X_i$ is a block sum defined in (3.7). We first apply big-block-small-block technique to separate the sequence $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^k$ into consecutive big blocks and small blocks. Let the size of big-blocks be $m_1 = \lceil n^{\alpha_1} \rceil$ for $0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - \alpha$ and the size of small-blocks be only 1. Denote $k_1 = \lceil k/(m_1 + 1) \rceil$. For $1 \leq u \leq k_1$, define the u -th big block by

$$(3.13) \quad I_u = \{j : (m_1 + 1)(u - 1) + 1 \leq j \leq (m_1 + 1)u - 1\},$$

and the sums over u -th big block by

$$(3.14) \quad \zeta_u = \sum_{j \in I_u} Y_j, \quad \eta_u^2 = \sum_{j \in I_u} Y_j^2.$$

Then the self-normalized sum $T_k = \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j / (\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2)^{1/2}$ can be approximated by the general self-normalized sum $\sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \zeta_u / (\sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \eta_u^2)^{1/2}$ constructed on the big blocks. However, unlike the big-block sums under one-dependence, the big-block sums $\{(\zeta_u, \eta_u)\}_{u=1}^{k_1}$ are not independent under β -mixing assumption in (3.6), but weakly dependent. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 can not be directly applied. Note that the adjacent random vectors (ζ_u, η_u) and $(\zeta_{u+1}, \eta_{u+1})$ depend on $\{Y_j\}_{j=(m_1+1)(u-1)+1}^{(m_1+1)u-1}$ and $\{Y_j\}_{j=(m_1+1)u+1}^{(m_1+1)(u+1)-1}$, respectively. Since Y_j defined in (3.7) is a block sum of $\{X_i\}$ and by the β -mixing assumption on $\{X_i\}$, we can see the β -mixing dependence coefficient between (ζ_u, η_u) and $(\zeta_{u+1}, \eta_{u+1})$ is bounded by $O(e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha_2}})$, which converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. According to Lemma 5.3 (Berbee, 1987) presented in Section 5.3, the weakly dependent random vectors $\{(\zeta_u, \eta_u)\}_{u=1}^{k_1}$ can be replaced with independent random vectors $\{(\tilde{\zeta}_u, \tilde{\eta}_u)\}_{u=1}^{k_1}$ that have the same marginal distributions, with probability $1 - O(k_1 e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha_2}})$. Moreover, the crucial quantity $r_{x,u}$ can be approximated by two self-normalized sums of independent random variables due to the β -mixing assumption (see Lemma 5.3) and the block technique. Consequently, our main result in Theorem 2.1 can be applied to the general self-normalized sum $\sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \tilde{\zeta}_u / (\sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \tilde{\eta}_u^2)^{1/2}$. Detailed proof will be given in Section 5.3.

3.3. *Cramér type moderate deviation for causal processes under geometric moment contraction (GMC).* The GMC (see Wu and Shao (2004), Hsing and Wu (2004) and Wu (2005, 2011)) is satisfied by many non-linear time series models including various GARCH models that are commonly used in statistics, econometrics and engineering. In this subsection, we present a Cramér type moderate deviation theorem for block normalized sums of random variables satisfying GMC.

Let $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be i.i.d. random variables and define σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\dots, \varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_t)$. Suppose that $\{X_i = G_i(\mathcal{F}_i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a causal process with $G_i(\cdot)$ being a measurable function such that X_i is well-defined. Let $\{\varepsilon_t^*\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an independent copy of $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and we similarly define $\mathcal{F}_t^* = \sigma(\dots, \varepsilon_{t-1}^*, \varepsilon_t^*)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. (GMC). Assume that $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^r < \infty$ for all $i \geq 1$ with $r > 2$. Define the functional dependence measure by

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta_r(n) = \sup_i \|X_i - G_i(\mathcal{F}_{i-n}^*, \varepsilon_{i-n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_i)\|_r,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_r = (\mathbb{E}|\cdot|^r)^{1/r}$. We say $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ satisfies GMC if there exist positive constants a_1, a_2 and $0 < \tau \leq 1$ such that

$$(3.16) \quad \Delta_r(n) \leq a_1 e^{-a_2 n^\tau}.$$

Note that the GMC property (3.16) implies $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ forgets the past $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(\dots, \varepsilon_{-1}, \varepsilon_0)$ geometrically fast.

REMARK 3.2. *Define another functional dependence measure as*

$$(3.17) \quad \theta_r(n) = \sup_i \|X_i - G_i(\dots, \varepsilon_{i-n-2}, \varepsilon_{i-n-1}, \varepsilon_{i-n}^*, \varepsilon_{i-n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_i)\|_r.$$

The property (3.16) is equivalent to $\theta_r(n) \leq a'_1 e^{-a'_2 n^\tau}$ for some positive constants a'_1 and a'_2 .

Now we assume $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a sequence of random variables with

$$(3.18) \quad \mathbb{E}X_i = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}|X_i|^4 < \infty,$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Write $S_{k,m} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+m} X_i$. Assume there exists a positive number ω_1 such that for any $k \geq 0, m \geq 1$,

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbb{E}(S_{k,m}^2) \geq \omega_1^2 m.$$

As with the procedure for β -mixing random variables, we construct the block-normalized sum for random variables satisfying GMC. Let the block size $m = \lceil n^\alpha \rceil$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $k = \lfloor n/m \rfloor$. For $1 \leq j \leq k$, define the j -th block and the j -th block sum by

$$H_j = \{i : m(j-1) + 1 \leq i \leq mj\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} X_i.$$

The block-normalized sum is then given by

$$(3.20) \quad T_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2}}.$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a causal process satisfying (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19). Then we have for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\tau > 0$, there exist positive numbers A and d_0 depending on $a_1, a_2, \omega_1, \alpha$ and τ such that*

$$(3.21) \quad \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x) = [1 - \Phi(x)] \left(1 + O_1 \left(\frac{1+x^2}{n^\alpha} + \frac{1+x^2}{n^{(1-\alpha)/4}} \right) \right)$$

uniformly in $0 \leq x \leq d_0 \min\{n^{\alpha\tau/2}, n^{\alpha/2}, n^{(1-\alpha)/8}\}$, where $|O_1| \leq A$.

Corollary 4.3 in [Chen et al. \(2016\)](#) stated that (3.12) also holds for the self-normalized block sum of GMC random variables. Compared with their result, our convergence rate and the associated converging range of x significantly improve theirs.

The main idea of our proof for Theorem 3.3 is to approximate $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^k$ by one-dependent random variables and then apply Theorem 3.1. Define

$$(3.22) \quad \tilde{Y}_j = \mathbb{E}(Y_j | \varepsilon_l, m(j-2) + 1 \leq l \leq mj)$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad \tilde{T}_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j}{(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j^2)^{1/2}},$$

where $m = \lceil n^\alpha \rceil$. Since $Y_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} X_i$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_{mj} and depends weakly on $\mathcal{F}_{m(j-2)}$ by the GMC assumption (3.16), it is intuitive that \tilde{Y}_j is close to Y_j . In particular, we can prove $\|\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j\|_r \leq a_1 m e^{-a_2 m^\tau}$. Therefore, the self-normalized sum T_k of $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^k$ can be

well approximated by the self-normalized sum \tilde{T}_k of $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}_{j=1}^k$. Moreover, since $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are i.i.d. random variables, it is easy to see $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}_{j=1}^k$ are one-dependent. Consequently, Theorem 3.3 can be proved by applying Theorem 3.1 and controlling the errors caused by the approximation by one-dependent random variables. We will present the detailed proof in Section 5.4.

4. Applications to self-normalized winsorized mean. Although the sample mean has always been a prominent unbiased estimator for a location parameter, it has the troubling disadvantage of being heavily influenced by gross outliers. Yet, robustness is often a desirable property, especially in real-world applications. Thus robust alternatives, typically including the trimmed mean (Rothenberg, Fisher and Tilanus (1964)), the winsorized mean (Dixon (1960), Huber (1964)), and the Huber estimator (Huber (1964, 1973)), are imperative to make more reliable statistical inference for unknown parameters. Suppose we have i.i.d. observations Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n with common distribution Y and

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}[Y] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(Y).$$

For a thresholding parameter $\tau > 0$ that determines the tradeoff between bias and robustness, the winsorized mean is defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \hat{\mu}_W = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(Y_i),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad f(x) = x \mathbb{1}(|x| \leq \tau) + \tau \mathbb{1}(x > \tau) - \tau \mathbb{1}(x < -\tau).$$

The trimmed mean is defined by

$$(4.3) \quad \hat{\mu}_T = c_{\tau,n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\},$$

where $c_{\tau,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}$. Moreover, the Huber loss (Huber (1964)) is given by

$$(4.4) \quad \ell_{\tau}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}u^2 & \text{if } |u| \leq \tau, \\ \tau|u| - \frac{1}{2}\tau^2 & \text{if } |u| > \tau, \end{cases}$$

which is a compromise between square loss and absolute loss. The Huber estimator is then defined as

$$(4.5) \quad \hat{\mu}_H = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{\tau}(Y_i - \mu).$$

These robust estimators are common in reducing the impact of outliers and are all asymptotically equivalent to the sample mean when the associated tuning parameter τ tends to infinity. Compared with the Huber estimator, the trimmed mean and the winsorized mean have explicit formulas and therefore are easier to be applied in real-world applications. It is well-known that these robust estimators are asymptotically normal under some regularity conditions. Recently Zhou et al. (2018) obtained a Cramér-type moderate deviation theorem for the Huber estimator when allowing the tuning parameter τ to diverge with the sample size n in some regime, and they applied the result to establish theoretical guarantees for the false discovery rate in multiple testing procedure for population means. However, the statistic they investigated depends on the unknown variance, which needs to be well estimated in practice.

4.1. *Cramér-type moderate deviation for self-normalized winsorized mean and trimmed mean.* In this section, we will provide Cramér-type moderate deviation theorems for the self-normalized winsorized mean defined in (4.6) and self-normalized trimmed mean defined in (4.9), as an application of our main Theorem 2.1. The self-normalized winsorized mean and trimmed mean are asymptotically pivotal statistics in the sense that their asymptotic distributions do not depend on unknown parameters as $(n, \tau) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)$, therefore they can be directly used in the multiple testing of population means with theoretical justification. In addition, we will see our results for self-normalized winsorized mean and trimmed mean outperform that for the Huber estimator established in Zhou et al. (2018).

Since the winsorized mean and trimmed mean have explicit expressions as presented in (4.1) and (4.3), we can easily construct the studentized counterparts by plugging in the sample variance. The studentized winsorized mean is given by

$$(4.6) \quad S_{\tau,n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \hat{\mu}_W)^2}}$$

and the studentized trimmed mean is given by

$$(4.7) \quad U_{\tau,n} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \hat{\mu}_T)^2}},$$

where $\mathcal{N} = \{1 \leq i \leq n : |Y_i| \leq \tau\}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tau,n} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu + \mu - \hat{\mu}_W)^2}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu))^2}} = \frac{S_{\tau,n}^*}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n} (S_{\tau,n}^*)^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{\tau,n}^*$ is the self-normalized winsorized mean defined as

$$(4.8) \quad S_{\tau,n}^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)^2}}.$$

Similarly, we have for the studentized trimmed mean that

$$U_{\tau,n} = \frac{U_{\tau,n}^*}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c_{\tau,n}} (U_{\tau,n}^*)^2}},$$

where $U_{\tau,n}^*$ is the self-normalized trimmed mean defined as

$$(4.9) \quad U_{\tau,n}^* = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu)^2}}$$

and $c_{\tau,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}$. Since the function $x/(1 - \frac{1}{n}x^2)^{1/2}$ is an increasing function for $0 < x < n^{1/2}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n} > x) = \mathbb{P}\left(S_{\tau,n}^* > \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{x^2}{n}}}\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n} > x) = \mathbb{P}\left(U_{\tau,n}^* > \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{x^2}{c_{\tau,n}}}}\right)$$

Therefore, to investigate the limiting properties of $S_{\tau,n}$ and $U_{\tau,n}$ is equivalent to investigate that for the simpler self-normalized statistics $S_{\tau,n}^*$ and $U_{\tau,n}^*$, respectively.

Before stating our Cramér type moderate deviation results, let us first present how the self-normalized winsorized mean and trimmed mean connect with the general self-normalized sum investigated in our main Theorem 2.1. First for the self-normalized winsorized mean, though (4.8) presents the form of a self-normalized sum of independent random variables for $S_{\tau,n}^*$, the expectation of $f(Y) - \mu$ is slightly deviated from 0 and needs to be calibrated. Denote

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mathbb{E}f(Y), \quad \sigma_1^2 = \mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2, \quad \sigma_2^2 = \mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \mu)^2.$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tau,n}^* &= \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \tilde{\mu})}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1} - \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \tilde{\mu})}{\sigma_1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mu)^2}{n\sigma_2^2}}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \\ (4.10) \quad &= \frac{S_n - c}{V_n} \cdot \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where S_n , V_n and c are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(Y_i) - \tilde{\mu}}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1}, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(f(Y_i) - \mu)^2}{n\sigma_2^2} \\ \text{and } c &= \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \tilde{\mu})}{\sigma_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n - c}{V_n} > \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x\right).$$

Note that the random variables involved in S_n and V_n are different, which means the existing results for classical self-normalized sums cannot be directly applied.

As for the self-normalized trimmed mean, note that in the numerator, $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu)$ in (4.9) is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}$. Similarly in the denominator, $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (Y_i \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu)^2$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n [(Y_i - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}]^2$. Thus we have

$$U_{\tau,n}^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n [(Y_i - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}]^2}}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}], \quad \sigma_3^2 = \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu_0]^2, \\ \text{and } \sigma_4^2 &= \mathbb{E}[(Y - \mu)^2 \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the self-normalized winsorized mean, we can obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n^\circ - \delta}{V_n^\circ} > \frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x\right),$$

where

$$S_n^\circ = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(Y_i - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3}, \quad (V_n^\circ)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[(Y_i - \mu) \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \leq \tau\}]^2}{n\sigma_4^2}$$

$$\text{and } \delta = \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu_0}{\sigma_3}.$$

Consequently, our result for general self-normalized sums in Theorem 2.1 can be directly applied to $S_{\tau,n}^*$ and $U_{\tau,n}^*$ to derive the following bias-corrected Cramér-type moderate deviation theorems for the self-normalized winsorized mean and trimmed mean under the fourth moment.

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume $\mathbb{E}[Y^4] < \infty$. Then there exist an absolute positive constant c_1 and positive constants c_2 and A depending on σ , $\mathbb{E}[|Y|^3]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y^4]$, such that for*

$$(4.11) \quad \tau \geq c_1 n^{1/6} \max\{(\mathbb{E}[Y^4])^{1/2}/\sigma, (\mathbb{E}[Y^4]/\sigma)^{1/3}\},$$

it holds that

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) &= [1 - \Phi(x)] \exp\left\{-\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\right\} \\ &\times \left[1 + O_1\left(\frac{(1+x^4)}{n} + \frac{(1+x)\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3} + \frac{(1+x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, c_2 \min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\})$, where O_1 is a bounded quantity satisfying $|O_1| \leq A$. Similar result holds for $\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^ < -x)$.*

THEOREM 4.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 4.1, the same result as (4.12) holds for $U_{\tau,n}^*$.*

Observe that under the fourth moment, the general framework Theorem 2.1 enables us to pin down the bias-corrected term $\exp\{-\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\}$ which depends on the skewness of the underlying distribution. After correcting this skewness in normal approximation, the convergence rate and the converging range significantly improve that given in Theorem 4.3, where only third moment is assumed.

The choice of τ should be determined by taking both convergence rate and robustness of estimator into account. We observe from (4.12) that the ratio $\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x)/([1 - \Phi(x)] \exp\{-\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\})$ converges to 1 for $x \in (0, o(\min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\}))$. The widest possible range $x \in (0, o(n^{1/4}))$ can be achieved by choosing $\tau \geq O(n^{1/4})$. When $\tau \leq O(n^{1/3})$, the larger τ is, the faster rate of convergence and wider range of x can be obtained. Yet, once τ exceeds $O(n^{1/3})$, our result reduces to the bias-corrected Cramér-type moderate deviation for the classical self-normalized sample mean (see Theorem 1.1 in Wang (2011)), which is reasonable because the winsorized mean and trimmed mean are asymptotically equivalent to the sample mean as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. It is worth mentioning that when $O(n^{1/6}) \leq \tau \leq O(n^{1/3})$, the ratio $\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x)/([1 - \Phi(x)] \exp\{-\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\})$ converges to 1 at the rate of $O((1+x)^4 n^{-1} + (1+x)\sqrt{n}\tau^{-3})$ uniformly for $x \in o(\min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\})$. In this regime of τ , though the convergence rate of winsorized mean and trimmed mean could be slightly slower than that of the classical self-normalized sample mean and the ranges of x for convergence could be narrower, the winsorized mean and trimmed mean provide robust inference. We will provide the proof of Theorem 4.1 in Section A.11 and the proof of Theorem 4.2 in Section A.12.

Theorem 2.3 in Zhou et al. (2018) is closely related to ours. They established a Cramér-type moderate deviation result for Huber estimator $\hat{\mu}_H$ defined in (4.5) by using a Bahadur representation for the Huber estimator. Theorem 2.1 in their paper reveals that $\hat{\mu}_H - \mu$ is asymptotically close to $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(Y_i - \mu)$, where $f(\cdot)$ is defined by (4.2). Therefore, it is easy to see that the Huber estimator $\hat{\mu}_H$ is close to the winsorized mean $\hat{\mu}_W = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(Y_i)$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 2.3 in Zhou et al. (2018) for the Huber estimator can be restated as follows. The notation $a_n \ll b_n$ means $a_n = o(b_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

REMARK 4.1. Assume $\mathbb{E}|Y|^3 < \infty$. Zhou et al. (2018) proved for $n^{1/4} \ll \tau \ll n^{1/2}$ that

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}\sigma^{-1}|\hat{\mu}_H - \mu| > x)}{2(1 - \Phi(x))} = 1 + O(1) \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{\log n} + x)^3}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1+x}{n^{3/10}} + \frac{(1+x)\sqrt{n}}{\tau^2} + e^{-O(\frac{x}{\tau^2})} \right\}$$

uniformly for $0 \leq x = o(\min\{\sqrt{n}/\tau, \tau^2/\sqrt{n}\})$.

Compared to their condition $n^{1/4} \ll \tau \ll n^{1/2}$, our condition $\tau \geq O(n^{1/6})$ is less restrictive. Moreover, when $\tau \gg n^{1/4}$, both of our convergence rate and the associated converging range of x improve theirs. Our improvement mainly relies on the explicit formula of the self-normalized winsorized mean presented in (4.10) and our fundamental result for general self-normalized sum established in Theorem 2.1. In addition, since the higher moment $\mathbb{E}[Y^4] < \infty$ is assumed, after correcting the bias in normal approximation, the convergence rate and the associated range of x could be significantly improved.

The common downside of our bias-corrected result in Theorem 4.1 and the normal approximation for Huber estimator by Zhou et al. (2018) in Remark 4.1 is that the limiting distributions depend on unknown parameters. In real-world applications, if reliable estimations for the unknown parameters are unavailable, we can directly use normal approximation for the self-normalized winsorized mean presented in the following theorem, where only third moment is required and the limiting distribution does not depend on any unknown parameters.

THEOREM 4.3. Assume $\mathbb{E}|Y|^3 < \infty$. Then there exist absolute positive constants c_1, c_2 and A such that for

$$(4.14) \quad \tau \geq c_1 n^{1/4} \max\{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3/\sigma^2, (\mathbb{E}|Y|^3/\sigma)^{1/2}\},$$

it holds that

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = 1 + O_1 \left(\frac{(1+x^3)\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2} \right),$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, c_2 \min\{n^{1/6}\sigma^3/\mathbb{E}|Y|^3, \tau^2\sigma/(\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3)\})$, where O_1 is a bounded quantity satisfying $|O_1| \leq A$. Similar result holds for $\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* < -x)$.

THEOREM 4.4. Under the conditions of Theorem 4.3, the same result as (4.15) holds for $U_{\tau,n}^*$

It can be observed that the convergence rate and the converging range of x also outperform the results of Zhou et al. (2018) shown in Remark 4.1, and our condition on τ is less restrictive. We relegate the proof of Theorem 4.3 to Section A.13 and the proof of Theorem 4.4 in Section A.14 in the Supplementary Material.

4.2. *Simultaneous confidence intervals.* Cramér type moderate deviation results are useful in providing theoretical guarantees for a wide spectrum of statistical applications, including the multiple testing procedure and multiple confidence intervals for ultra-high dimensional parameters. For an illustrative example, we will construct simultaneous confidence intervals for the means under the following ultra-high mean model by using the studentized winsorized mean estimator defined in (4.6). We consider

$$\mathbf{Z}_i = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\{\mathbf{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_n\}$ are i.i.d. observations, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$, and $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i\}$ are i.i.d. errors. Denote $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i) := (\Sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$. Assume there exist constants C_1, C_2 such that $\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \mathbb{E}|Z_{ij}|^3 \leq C_1$ and $\min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \Sigma_{jj} \geq C_2$.

THEOREM 4.5. *Assume the dimensionality p , the significance level α and the thresholding parameter τ satisfying $\log(p/\alpha) = o(n^{1/3})$ and $\tau \gg n^{1/3}$. Then for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and t_0 satisfying the equation*

$$\frac{t_0}{1 + t_0^2/n} = \Phi^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2p}\right),$$

we have

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(Z_{ij})}{n} \pm \frac{t_0}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (f(Z_{ij}))^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n f(Z_{ij}) \right]^2} \triangleq (L_j, U_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq p$$

are the $1 - \alpha - o(1)$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $(\mu_j)_{j=1}^p$, where $f(\cdot)$ is the function defined in (4.2).

The proof of Theorem 4.5 will be provided in Section A.15 in the Supplementary Material.

5. Proofs. In this section, we present proofs of Theorem 2.1, Theorems 3.1–3.3 and Theorems 4.1. Throughout the rest of this section, A and C denote positive absolute constants that may take different values at each appearance.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1. We prove the theorem for the two scenarios $0 < x \leq 3$ and $x > 3$, respectively. First, we prove it for $0 < x \leq 3$. For this range, it is sufficient to prove a Berry-Esseen bound as the following proposition will show. The proof of Proposition 5.1 is postponed to Section A.1 the Supplementary Material.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *For $0 < x \leq 3$, there exists an absolute constant $A > 0$ such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x + c)] \right| \leq AL_{3,n}.$$

Note that $1 - \Phi(3.6) \leq 1 - \Phi(x + c) \leq 1$ for $0 < x \leq 3$ and $|c| \leq x/5$. Thus, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that for $0 < x \leq 3$,

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) = [1 - \Phi(x + c)](1 + O(1 + x)L_{3,n}).$$

Moreover, it holds for $0 < x \leq 3$ satisfying (2.6) that

$$|(\Psi_x^*)^{-1} - 1| \leq Ax^3 L_{3,n} \leq AL_{3,n},$$

which combining with (5.2) entails that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) = [1 - \Phi(x + c)]\Psi_x^*(1 + O(1 + x)L_{3,n}).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) = [1 - \Phi(x + c)]\Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} (1 + O(1 + x)L_{3,n}),$$

where the quantity $|O_1| \leq A$ for some absolute constant. This completes the proof for $0 < x \leq 3$.

Next we deal with the case $x > 3$. By applying the elementary inequality

$$(5.3) \quad 1 + s/2 - s^2 \leq (1 + s)^{1/2} \leq 1 + s/2,$$

for $s = V_n^2 - 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(V_n^2 + 1) - (V_n^2 - 1)^2 \leq V_n \leq \frac{1}{2}(V_n^2 + 1).$$

Therefore, plugging in the above upper and lower bounds yields

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) \geq \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc)$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc - x\Delta_n) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}\left(S_n > xV_n + c, |V_n^2 - 1| > x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_n = \min\{2x(V_n^2 - 1)^2, x^{-1}(2 \vee 72R_x)\}$ and the notation $a \vee b$ means the maximum of a and b . The upper bound holds because

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(S_n > xV_n + c, |V_n^2 - 1| \leq x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc - 2x^2(V_n^2 - 1)^2, |V_n^2 - 1| \leq x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc - x\Delta_n). \end{aligned}$$

The following Propositions 5.2–5.4 draw an outline of the proof for the case $x > 3$. Their proofs are relegated to Sections A.2–A.4 in the Supplementary Material.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *There exists an absolute constant A such that*

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc) \\ & = [1 - \Phi(x + c)] \Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} \{1 + O_2(1 + x)L_{3,n}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 3$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) and $|c| < x/5$, where $|O_1| \leq A$ and $|O_2| \leq A$.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *There exist absolute constants A_1 and A_2 such that*

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc - x\Delta_n) \\ & \leq [1 - \Phi(x + c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_1 R_x} \{1 + A_2(1 + x)L_{3,n}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 3$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7), and $|c| < x/5$.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *There exist absolute constants A_1 and A_2 such that*

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xV_n + c, |V_n^2 - 1| > x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})\right) \\ & \leq A_1 R_x [1 - \Phi(x + c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_2 R_x}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x > 3$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7), and $|c| < x/5$.

We obtain by substituting the results in Propositions 5.3–5.4 into (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) \\ & \leq [1 - \Phi(x + c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_1 R_x} \{1 + A_1 R_x + A_2(1 + x)L_{3,n}\} \\ & \leq [1 - \Phi(x + c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A R_x} \{1 + A(1 + x)L_{3,n}\} \end{aligned}$$

which together with the result in Proposition 5.2 yields the desired result (2.5) for $x > 3$. The proof is completed.

5.2. *Proof of Theorem 3.1.* The main idea is to apply the big-block-small-block technique to construct a general self-normalized sum based on an independent sequence to which our main result Theorem 2.1 can be applied. Denote $B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\xi_i^2$. We first apply Berry-Esseen bound for sum of one-dependent random variables to cope with the case $0 \leq x \leq O(\sqrt{\log n})$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq xV_n) - \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \right| \\ & \leq \left| \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xB_n(1-n^{-1/3})^{1/2}\right) - \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xB_n(1+n^{-1/3})^{1/2}\right) - \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \right| \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}\left(|V_n^2 - B_n^2| > n^{-1/3}B_n^2\right) \\ & := E_1 + E_2 + E_3. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of ρ_n in (3.1), we have $\text{Var}(S_n) = (1+2\rho_n)B_n^2$. By noticing the assumptions

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_i^4 \leq a_1^4, \quad \mathbb{E}\xi_2^2 \geq a_2^2, \quad a = a_1/a_2, \quad -1/2 < \rho \leq \rho_n \leq 1/2$$

and applying the Berry-Esseen bound for sums of one-dependent random variables (see Shergin (1980)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 & \leq \frac{A(\rho)a^3}{\sqrt{n}} + \left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{x(1-n^{-1/3})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right| \\ & \leq A(\rho)a^3(n^{-1/2} + x^2n^{-1/3}) \leq A(\rho)a^3(1+x)^2n^{-1/3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A(\rho)$ is a positive constant depending on ρ and may take different values at each appearance. In the same manner, this above bound applies to E_2 as well. As for E_3 , it follows by Chebyshev's inequality that

$$E_3 \leq (n^{1/3}B_n^{-2})^2 \mathbb{E}[(V_n^2 - B_n^2)^2] \leq Aa^4n^{-1/3}.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.9) \quad \left| \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq xV_n) - \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \right| \leq A(\rho)a^4(1+x)^2n^{-1/3},$$

Moreover, observe that $1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \geq 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{1+2\rho}}\right)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 2\sqrt{3}$ and

$$(5.10) \quad 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \geq A(\rho)x^{-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2(1+2\rho)}\right\}$$

for $x > 2\sqrt{3}$. As a consequence, there exists a constant c_ρ depending on ρ such that (3.2) holds for $0 \leq x \leq c_\rho\sqrt{\log n}$.

Next we turn to the proof for $x > c_\rho\sqrt{\log n}$. Let the length of big blocks be $l = \lceil n^\alpha \rceil$, and each small block contains only one random variable. Denote $k = \lfloor n/(l+1) \rfloor$. Without loss of generality, let us assume $n/(l+1)$ to be an integer, then the sequence of $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ can be divided into k big blocks and k small blocks. Observe that if $n/(l+1)$ is not an integer, the sequence of $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ will be divided into $k+1$ big blocks and k small blocks, in which the first k big blocks are of size l and the last one is of size $n - (l+1)\lfloor n/(l+1) \rfloor$. Although the

size of the last big block might be different, our analysis also applies under this scenario. For $1 \leq j \leq k$, the j -th big block and the corresponding block sums are given by

$$H_j = \{i : (j-1)(l+1) + 1 \leq i \leq j(l+1) - 1\} \text{ and } X_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} \xi_i, \quad Y_j^2 = \sum_{i \in H_j} \xi_i^2,$$

Moreover, we denote

$$(5.11) \quad S_{n1} = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \quad V_{n1}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2, \quad B_{n1}^2 = \mathbb{E}V_{n1}^2,$$

$$(5.12) \quad S_{n2} = \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_{j(l+1)}, \quad V_{n2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_{j(l+1)}^2, \quad B_{n2}^2 = \mathbb{E}V_{n2}^2.$$

Observe that $\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ and $\{\xi_{j(l+1)}\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ both consists of independent random variables. As S_{n1} is the sum of ξ_i 's in big blocks and it is the main part of $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$, while S_{n2} corresponds to the small blocks. The big-block-small block technique splits the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ into two parts S_{n1} and S_{n2} , each of which is a sum of independent random variables. Let $\tau = B_{n2}/x$ and we do truncations $\hat{\xi}_i = \xi_i \mathbb{1}(|\xi_i| \leq \tau)$ only for the ξ_i 's in small blocks, that is, $i = j(l+1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, so

$$(5.13) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq xV_n) \leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n) + \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq xV_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau),$$

$$(5.14) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq xV_n) \geq \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n) - \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau),$$

where $\hat{S}_n = S_{n1} + \hat{S}_{n2}$, $\hat{V}_n^2 = V_{n1}^2 + \hat{V}_{n2}^2$ with $\hat{S}_{n2} = \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}$ and $\hat{V}_{n2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}^2$. For a positive number $d_1 > 0$, we have the upper bound

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n) \leq \mathbb{P}(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1} - d_1 n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} x B_n) \\ + \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{n2} \geq d_1 n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} x B_n)$$

and the lower bound

$$(5.16) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n) \geq \mathbb{P}(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1} + d_1 n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} x B_n) \\ - \mathbb{P}(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1}, V_{n1}^2 < B_n^2/4) \\ - \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{n2} < -d_1 n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} x B_n + x(\hat{V}_n - V_{n1}), V_{n1}^2 > B_n^2/4).$$

We can obtain the following bounds for the terms involved in (5.15) and (5.16). The proofs of Propositions 5.5 and 5.6 are given in Sections A.5 and A.6 in the Supplementary Material.

PROPOSITION 5.5. *There exists an absolute positive constant d_0 and a constant $A(\rho, d_0)$ depending on ρ and d_0 such that, for $d_1 = \kappa_\rho a^2$ with some sufficiently large constant κ_ρ ,*

$$(5.17) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1} + d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n\right) \\ = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \left(1 + O_1\left(\frac{a^4 x^4}{n^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{a^2 x^2}{n^{\alpha/2}} + \frac{a^3 x}{n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}\right)\right),$$

uniformly for $x \in (2, d_0 a^{-1} \min\{n^{\alpha/4}, n^{(1-\alpha)/4}\})$, where $|O_1| \leq A(\rho, d_0)$. A similar result holds for $\mathbb{P}(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1} - d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n)$. Moreover,

$$(5.18) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1}, V_{n1}^2 < \frac{B_n^2}{4}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{Aa^4x^4}{n^{1-\alpha}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \exp\left\{Aa^4\frac{x^4}{n^{1-\alpha}} + Aa^3\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}}\right\},$$

uniformly for $x \in (2, d_0a^{-1}n^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)})$.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *For $d_1 = \kappa_\rho a^2$ with $\kappa_\rho \geq 10$, we have*

$$(5.19) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{n2} > d_1n^{-\alpha/2}xB_n) \leq \exp\{-\kappa_\rho x^2/6\},$$

$$(5.20) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{n2} < -d_1n^{-\alpha/2}xB_n + x(\hat{V}_n - V_{n1}), V_{n1}^2 > B_n^2/4) \\ \leq \exp\{-\kappa_\rho x^2/14\}.$$

Note that for $x > c_\rho\sqrt{\log n}$ and sufficiently large κ_ρ ,

$$(5.21) \quad \frac{\exp\{-\kappa_\rho x^2/6\}}{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right)} \leq \exp\{-\kappa_\rho x^2/12\} \leq n^{-1/4}.$$

By choosing $\alpha = 1/2$ and combining (5.17)–(5.21), we obtain

$$(5.22) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n) = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^4x^2}{n^{1/4}}\right) \right)$$

uniformly for $x \in (c_\rho\sqrt{\log n}, d_0a^{-1}n^{1/8})$.

In addition, we can bound the error terms in (5.13) and (5.14) as follows. The proof of Proposition 5.7 is shown in Section A.7 in the Supplementary Material.

PROPOSITION 5.7. *Under the conditions in Theorem 3.1, we have for $x \in (c_\rho\sqrt{\log n}, d_0a^{-1}n^{1/8})$ that*

$$(5.23) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \\ \leq A(\rho, d_0) \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right]$$

and

$$(5.24) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xV_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \\ \leq A(\rho, d_0) \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right].$$

Consequently, substituting (5.22)–(5.24) into (5.13) and (5.14) yields the desired result (3.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

5.3. *Proof of Theorem 3.2.* The proof for Theorem 3.2 again builds on the big-block-small-block technique, and also exploits a lemma in Shao and Yu (1996) to replace the weakly dependent big blocks and small blocks by independent random variables, respectively. We begin the proof by introducing three essential lemmas from the literature. Lemma 5.1 (Theorem 4.1 of Shao and Yu (1996)) and Lemma 5.2 (Theorem 10.1.b of Lin and Bai (2010)) concern the bound of moments under weak dependence while Lemma 5.3 (Lemma 2.1 of Berbee (1987)) shows that a β -mixing sequence of random variables can be replaced by an independent sequence of random variables in a domain whose measure is at least $1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta^{(i)}$.

LEMMA 5.1. (Theorem 4.1 in [Shao and Yu \(1996\)](#).) Let $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of zero-mean random variables with $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^r \leq \mu^r$ for $r > 2$ and $\mu > 0$. Assume that mixing condition (3.6) holds, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{i=k}^{i=k+m} X_i \right|^{r'} \right] \leq C m^{r'/2} \mu^{r'},$$

for any $2 \leq r' < r, m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, where C is a constant that depends on r', r, a_1, a_2 and τ .

LEMMA 5.2. (Theorem 10.1.b of [Lin and Bai \(2010\)](#).) Assume $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is a sequence of random variables and $\beta(n)$ is the β -mixing coefficient defined in (3.5). Denote by σ_1^k and σ_{k+n}^∞ the σ -fields generated by $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ and $\{X_i\}_{i \geq k+n}$, respectively. For $X \in L_p(\sigma_1^k)$ and $Y \in L_q(\sigma_{k+n}^\infty)$ with $p, q, r \geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$, we have

$$(5.25) \quad |\mathbb{E}XY - \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}Y| \leq 8\beta(n)^{1/r} \|X\|_p \|Y\|_q.$$

For two random variables (or vectors) X and Y , define

$$\beta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_A \left((\mathbb{P}_{X,Y} - \mathbb{P}_X \times \mathbb{P}_Y)(A) - (\mathbb{P}_{X,Y} - \mathbb{P}_X \times \mathbb{P}_Y)(A^c) \right).$$

LEMMA 5.3. (Lemma 2.1 of [Berbee \(1987\)](#).) Let $\{\xi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a sequence of random variables on the same probability space and define $\beta^{(i)} = \beta(\xi_i, (\xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n))$. Then the probability space can be extended with random variables $\tilde{\xi}_i$ distributed as ξ_i such that $\{\tilde{\xi}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are independent and

$$(5.26) \quad \mathbb{P}(\xi_i \neq \tilde{\xi}_i, \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq n) \leq \beta^{(1)} + \dots + \beta^{(n-1)}.$$

Recall the definition of block sums $\{Y_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ in (3.7). We set the size of big blocks as $m_1 = \lfloor n^{\alpha_1} \rfloor$ for some $0 < \alpha_1 < 1 - \alpha$ and the size of small blocks as 1. Denote $k_1 = k/(m_1 + 1)$, where $k = \lfloor n/l \rfloor$. For simplicity of presentation, we assume $k/(m_1 + 1)$ to be an integer, as explained in the proof of Theorem 3.1. The u -th big block is given by

$$I_u = \left\{ j : (m_1 + 1)(u - 1) + 1 \leq j \leq (m_1 + 1)u - 1 \right\}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq u \leq k_1.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &= \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j, & V_k^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2, & \xi_u &= \sum_{j \in I_u} Y_j, & \eta_u^2 &= \sum_{j \in I_u} Y_j^2, \\ S_{k,1} &= \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \xi_u, & V_{k,1}^2 &= \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \eta_u^2, & S_{k,2} &= \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} Y_{u(m_1+1)}, & V_{k,2}^2 &= \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} Y_{u(m_1+1)}^2, \\ B_n^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}Y_j^2, & B_{n,1}^2 &= \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \sum_{j \in I_u} \mathbb{E}Y_j^2, & B_{n,2}^2 &= \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \mathbb{E}Y_{u(m_1+1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2, it is easy to see that under the mixing condition (3.6),

$$(5.27) \quad \frac{B_n^2}{B_{n,1}^2} = 1 + O\left(\frac{k_1}{k}\right) = 1 + O(n^{-\alpha_1}), \quad \frac{\mathbb{E}S_{k,1}^2}{B_{n,1}^2} = 1 + O(n^{-\alpha}) + O(n^{-\alpha_1}).$$

Let $\hat{Y}_j = Y_j \mathbb{1}(|Y_j| \leq b)$, where $b = B_{n,2}/(1+x)$. Parallel to one-dependent case, we separate the big blocks and small blocks right after truncating the terms inside the small blocks. Denote

$$\hat{S}_{k,2} = \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \hat{Y}_{u(m_1+1)}, \quad \hat{S}_k = S_{k,1} + \hat{S}_{k,2}$$

and

$$\hat{V}_{k,2}^2 = \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \hat{Y}_{u(m_1+1)}^2, \quad \hat{V}_k^2 = V_{k,1}^2 + \hat{V}_{k,2}^2.$$

It is straightforward that

$$(5.28) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_k \geq xV_k) \leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k) + \mathbb{P}\left(S_k \geq xV_k, \max_{1 \leq u \leq k_1} |Y_{u(m_1+1)}| > b\right),$$

$$(5.29) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_k \geq xV_k) \geq \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k) - \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k, \max_{1 \leq u \leq k_1} |Y_{u(m_1+1)}| > b\right).$$

Further, for the main term $\mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k)$, we choose $\varepsilon = d_1 n^{-\alpha_1/2} \log n$ with a positive number $d_1 > 0$ and obtain

$$(5.30) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k) \leq \mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} - \varepsilon x B_n) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{k,2} > \varepsilon x B_n),$$

$$(5.31) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k) \geq \mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} + \varepsilon x B_n) \\ - \mathbb{P}\left(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1}, V_{k,1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} B_n^2\right) \\ - \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_{k,2} - \frac{x\hat{V}_{k,2}^2}{\hat{V}_k + V_{k,1}} < -\varepsilon x B_n, V_{k,1}^2 > \frac{1}{4} B_n^2\right).$$

The estimate for dominated terms $\mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} - \varepsilon x B_n)$ and $\mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} + \varepsilon x B_n)$ is presented in the following lemma and the proof will be shown in Section A.8.

PROPOSITION 5.8. *Assume $\varepsilon = d_1 n^{\alpha_1/2} \log n$ for a positive number $d_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha\tau$. Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2, there exist a positive constant c_0 depending on $d_1, \mu_1/\mu_2, a_1, a_2, \alpha$ and τ such that*

$$(5.32) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} \pm \varepsilon x B_n) / [1 - \Phi(x)] \\ = 1 + O\left(\frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{1-\alpha-\alpha_1}} + \frac{1+x}{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/2}} + \frac{(1+x)^2}{n^\alpha} + \frac{(1+x)^2 \log n}{n^{\alpha_1/2}}\right)$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, c_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/4}, n^{\alpha\tau/2}, n^{\alpha/2}, (\log n)^{-1/2} n^{\alpha_1/4}\})$,

For small-block-related error terms, $S_{k,2}$ and $V_{k,2}^2$ can be replaced with the sum of independent random variables by Lemma 5.3. In addition, following a similar proof to Proposition 5.6, we obtain that under $\varepsilon = d_1 n^{-\alpha_1/2} \log n$ for d_1 being some positive number depending on μ_1/μ_2 , there exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 depending on $a_1, a_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \alpha$ and τ such that

$$(5.33) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{k,2} > \varepsilon x B_n) \\ \leq \exp\{-C_1(1+x)^2 d_1 \log n\} + C_2 \exp\{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}/2\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.34) \quad & \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_{k,2} - \frac{x\hat{V}_{k,2}^2}{\hat{V}_k + V_{k,1}} < -\varepsilon x B_n, V_{k,1}^2 > \frac{1}{4}B_n^2\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_{k,2} - \frac{x\hat{V}_{k,2}^2}{B_n/2} < -\varepsilon x B_n\right) \\
& \leq \exp\{-C_1(1+x)^2 d_1 \log n\} + C_2 \exp\{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}/2\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the error term $C_2 \exp\{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}/2\}$ is obtained by applying Lemma 5.3 to replace $S_{k,2}$ and $V_{k,2}^2$ with sum of independent random variables and the fact that (see Berbee (1987))

$$(5.35) \quad \beta(\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}'}, \{X_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}''}) \leq \beta(\{X_i\}_{i \leq k}, \{X_i\}_{i \geq n+k}) \leq \beta(n),$$

for any sets $\mathcal{J}' \subset \{i \leq k\}$, $\mathcal{J}'' \subset \{i \geq n+k\}$.

Regarding big-block-related error terms, by using Chebyshev's inequality and Taylor expansion, we control the error term in the same manner as the proof of (A.40). We can obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.36) \quad & \mathbb{P}\left(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1}, V_{k,1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}B_n^2\right) \\
& \leq A_5 \frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{1-\alpha-\alpha_1}} \left(1 - \Phi\left[x(1 + O(n^{-\alpha} + n^{-\alpha_1}))\right]\right)
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in (3, c_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/4}, n^{\alpha\tau/2}\})$. When $0 < x \leq 3$, it follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3 and Chebyshev inequality that under condition (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1}, V_{k,1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}B_n^2\right) & \leq \mathbb{P}(V_{k,1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}B_n^2) \\
& \leq a_1 n^{\alpha_1} e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} + \exp\left\{-\frac{B_{n,1}^2 - B_n^2/4}{2 \sum_{u=1}^{k_1} \mathbb{E}\eta_u^4}\right\} \\
& \leq a_1 n^{\alpha_1} e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} + \exp\{-A_1 n^{1-\alpha-\alpha_1} \mu_2/\mu_1\} \\
& \leq \frac{A_2(1+x)^4}{n^{1-\alpha-\alpha_1}} [1 - \Phi(x)].
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.39), (5.33), (5.34), and (5.36) into (5.30) and (5.31) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.37) \quad & \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_k \geq x\hat{V}_k)/[1 - \Phi(x)] \\
& = 1 + O\left(\frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{1-\alpha-\alpha_1}} + \frac{1+x}{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/2}} + \frac{(1+x)^2}{n^\alpha} + \frac{(1+x)^2 \log n}{n^{\alpha_1/2}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, c_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/4}, n^{\alpha\tau/2}, n^{\alpha/2}, (\log n)^{-1/2} n^{\alpha_1/4}\})$.

To avoid redundance, we omit the analysis of the truncation errors in (5.28) and (5.29) as their proofs share the same fashion with (A.59) and (A.60). Consequently, (5.37) also holds for $\mathbb{P}(S_k \geq xV_k)$. Finally, we need to balance the error terms by choosing α_1 and seeking the best convergence rate or largest range for convergence. As a result, we choose $\alpha_1 = (1-\alpha)/2$ when $(1-\alpha)/2 \leq \alpha\tau$, and choose $\alpha_1 = \alpha\tau$ when $(1-\alpha)/2 > \alpha\tau$, and then the desired result follows. This completes the proof for Theorem 3.2.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 3.3. The main idea is to use one-dependent random variables to approximate $\{Y_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ and then apply Theorem 3.1. Recall that $m = \lfloor n^\alpha \rfloor$ and $k = \lfloor n/m \rfloor$. Let

$$\tilde{Y}_j = \mathbb{E}(Y_j | \varepsilon_l, mj - 2m + 1 \leq l \leq mj)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j}{(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j^2)^{1/2}}.$$

As $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are i.i.d. random variables, $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ are one-dependent. Note that by conditional Jensen's inequality, for $2 \leq r \leq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| X_i - \mathbb{E}\left(X_i \mid \varepsilon_\ell : mj - 2m + 1 \leq \ell \leq i\right) \right\|_r^r \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbb{E}\left[X_i - G_k\left(\mathcal{F}_{mj-2m}^*, \varepsilon_{mj-2m+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_i\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_i \right] \right|^r \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| X_i - G_k\left(\mathcal{F}_{mj-2m}^*, \varepsilon_{mj-2m+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_i\right) \right|^r \right] \\ &\leq \left[\Delta_r(i - mj + 2m) \right]^r, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the assumption (3.16) and the fact that $m(j-1) + 1 \leq i \leq mj$ for $i \in H_j$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} (5.38) \quad \|Y_j - \tilde{Y}_j\|_r &\leq \sum_{i \in H_j} \left\| X_i - \mathbb{E}\left(X_i \mid \varepsilon_\ell : i - 2m + 1 \leq \ell \leq i\right) \right\|_r \\ &\leq ma_1 e^{-a_2 m^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

The above bound shows that $\{Y_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ can be well approximated by the one-dependent sequence $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$. We can derive Theorem 3.3 by aggregating the following two propositions. The proofs of Propositions 5.9 and 5.10 will be provided in Sections A.9 and A.10 in the Supplementary Material, respectively.

PROPOSITION 5.9. *Under conditions of Theorem 3.3, we have there exists a positive constant d_0 depending on τ, α, w_1, a_1 and a_2 such that*

$$(5.39) \quad \mathbb{P}(\tilde{T}_k \geq x) = [1 - \Phi(x)] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1+x^2}{n^{(1-\alpha)/4}} + \frac{1+x^2}{n^\alpha}\right) \right),$$

Uniformly for $x \in (0, d_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha)/8}, n^{\alpha/2}\})$.

PROPOSITION 5.10. *Under conditions of Theorem 3.3, we have for $x > 0$,*

$$(5.40) \quad \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x) \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{T}_k \geq x - C_1 n^{-1}) + C_2 (e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} + e^{-O(n^{1-\alpha})})$$

$$(5.41) \quad \text{and } \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x) \geq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{T}_k \geq x + C_1 n^{-1}) - C_2 (e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} + e^{-O(n^{1-\alpha})}).$$

Applying Proposition 5.9 to $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{T}_k \geq x + O(n^{-1}))$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{T}_k \geq x + O(n^{-1})\right) \\ &= \left[1 - \Phi\left(x + O(n^{-1})\right) \right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1+x^2}{n^{(1-\alpha)/4}} + \frac{1+x^2}{n^\alpha}\right) \right) \\ &= [1 - \Phi(x)] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1+x^2}{n^{(1-\alpha)/4}} + \frac{1+x^2}{n^\alpha}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, d_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha)/8}, n^{\alpha/2}\})$. Note that the error term $e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} + e^{-O(n^{1-\alpha})}$ decays at an exponential rate, which is always faster than the polynomial rate. By substituting the above result into (5.40) and (5.41) leads to the desired result (3.21). The proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the Co-Editors, Associate Editor, and referees for their constructive comments that have helped improve the paper significantly.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplement to “Refined Cramér Type Moderate Deviation Theorems for General Self-normalized Sums with Applications to Dependent Random Variables and Winsorized Mean”. The supplement [Gao, Shao and Shi \(2021\)](#) contains all the technical details of the proofs.

REFERENCES

- ALBERINK, I. B. (2000). A Berry-Esseen bound for U -statistics in the non-i.i.d. case. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **13** 519–533. [MR1778586](#)
- BENTKUS, V., BLOZNELIS, M. and GÖTZE, F. (1996). A Berry-Esseen bound for Student’s statistic in the non-i.i.d. case. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **9** 765–796. [MR1400598](#)
- BENTKUS, V. and GÖTZE, F. (1996). The Berry-Esseen bound for Student’s statistic. *Ann. Probab.* **24** 491–503. [MR1387647](#)
- BERBEE, H. (1987). Convergence rates in the strong law for bounded mixing sequences. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **74** 255–270. [MR871254](#)
- CHANG, J., TANG, C. Y. and WU, Y. (2016). Local independence feature screening for nonparametric and semiparametric models by marginal empirical likelihood. *Ann. Statist.* **44** 515–539. [MR3476608](#)
- CHEN, X., SHAO, Q.-M., WU, W. B. and XU, L. (2016). Self-normalized Cramér-type moderate deviations under dependence. *Ann. Statist.* **44** 1593–1617. [MR3519934](#)
- CRAMÉR, H. (1938). Sur un nouveau théoreme-limite de la théorie des probabilités. *Actual. Sci. Ind.* **736** 5–23.
- DE LA PEÑA, V. H., LAI, T. L. and SHAO, Q.-M. (2009). *Self-normalized processes. Probability and its Applications (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Limit theory and statistical applications. [MR2488094](#)
- DELAIGLE, A. and HALL, P. (2009). Higher criticism in the context of unknown distribution, non-independence and classification. In *Perspectives in mathematical sciences. I. Stat. Sci. Interdiscip. Res.* **7** 109–138. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ. [MR2581742](#)
- DIXON, W. J. (1960). Simplified estimation from censored normal samples. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **31** 385–391. [MR119358](#)
- FAN, J. and FAN, Y. (2008). High-dimensional classification using features annealed independence rules. *Ann. Statist.* **36** 2605–2637. [MR2485009](#)
- FAN, X., GRAMA, I. and LIU, Q. (2015). Exponential inequalities for martingales with applications. *Electron. J. Probab.* **20** no. 1, 22. [MR3311214](#)
- FAN, J., HALL, P. and YAO, Q. (2007). To how many simultaneous hypothesis tests can normal, Student’s t or bootstrap calibration be applied? *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **102** 1282–1288. [MR2372536](#)
- GAO, L., SHAO, Q.-M. and SHI, J. (2021). Supplement to “Refined Cramér Type Moderate Deviation Theorems for General Self-normalized Sums with Applications to Dependent Random Variables and Winsorized Mean”.
- GINÉ, E., GÖTZE, F. and MASON, D. M. (1997). When is the Student t -statistic asymptotically standard normal? *Ann. Probab.* **25** 1514–1531. [MR1457629](#)
- HALL, P. (1987). Edgeworth expansion for Student’s t statistic under minimal moment conditions. *Ann. Probab.* **15** 920–931. [MR893906](#)
- HSING, T. and WU, W. B. (2004). On weighted U -statistics for stationary processes. *Ann. Probab.* **32** 1600–1631. [MR2060311](#)
- HUBER, P. J. (1964). Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** 73–101. [MR161415](#)
- HUBER, P. J. (1973). Robust regression: asymptotics, conjectures and Monte Carlo. *Ann. Statist.* **1** 799–821. [MR356373](#)
- JING, B.-Y., SHAO, Q.-M. and WANG, Q. (2003). Self-normalized Cramér-type large deviations for independent random variables. *Ann. Probab.* **31** 2167–2215. [MR2016616](#)
- LIN, Z. and BAI, Z. (2010). *Probability inequalities*. Science Press Beijing, Beijing; Springer, Heidelberg. [MR2789096](#)
- LIU, W. and SHAO, Q.-M. (2010). Cramér-type moderate deviation for the maximum of the periodogram with application to simultaneous tests in gene expression time series. *Ann. Statist.* **38** 1913–1935. [MR2662363](#)
- LIU, W. and SHAO, Q.-M. (2013). A Cramér moderate deviation theorem for Hotelling’s T^2 -statistic with applications to global tests. *Ann. Statist.* **41** 296–322. [MR3059419](#)

- PETROV, V. V. (1965). On the probabilities of large deviations for sums of independent random variables. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen* **10** 310–322. [MR0185645](#)
- ROTHENBERG, T. J., FISHER, F. M. and TILANUS, C. B. (1964). A note on estimation from a Cauchy sample. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **59** 460–463. [MR166872](#)
- SHAO, Q.-M. (1999). A Cramér type large deviation result for Student's t -statistic. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **12** 385–398. [MR1684750](#)
- SHAO, Q. (2018). On necessary and sufficient conditions for the self-normalized central limit theorem. *Sci. China Math.* **61** 1741–1748. [MR3856964](#)
- SHAO, Q.-M. and YU, H. (1996). Weak convergence for weighted empirical processes of dependent sequences. *Ann. Probab.* **24** 2098–2127. [MR1415243](#)
- SHAO, Q.-M. and ZHOU, W.-X. (2016). Cramér type moderate deviation theorems for self-normalized processes. *Bernoulli* **22** 2029–2079. [MR3498022](#)
- SHERGIN, V. (1980). On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for m -dependent random variables. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications* **24** 782–796.
- WANG, Q. (2011). Refined self-normalized large deviations for independent random variables. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **24** 307–329. [MR2795041](#)
- WANG, Q. and JING, B.-Y. (1999). An exponential nonuniform Berry-Esseen bound for self-normalized sums. *Ann. Probab.* **27** 2068–2088. [MR1742902](#)
- WU, W. B. (2005). Nonlinear system theory: another look at dependence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102** 14150–14154. [MR2172215](#)
- WU, W. B. (2011). Asymptotic theory for stationary processes. *Stat. Interface* **4** 207–226. [MR2812816](#)
- WU, W. B. and SHAO, X. (2004). Limit theorems for iterated random functions. *J. Appl. Probab.* **41** 425–436. [MR2052582](#)
- ZHOU, W.-X., BOSE, K., FAN, J. and LIU, H. (2018). A new perspective on robust M -estimation: finite sample theory and applications to dependence-adjusted multiple testing. *Ann. Statist.* **46** 1904–1931. [MR3845005](#)

Supplementary Material to ‘‘Asymptotic Distributions of High-Dimensional Distance Correlation Inference’’

Lan Gao, Qi-Man Shao and Jiasheng Shi

This Supplementary Material contains all technical details of proofs. Section A provides the proofs of all the propositions, Theorems 4.1, 4.3 and 4.5, and Corollary 2.1. Section B presents the proofs of lemmas.

APPENDIX A: PROOF OF PROPOSITIONS

A.1. Proof of Proposition 5.1. The proof borrows some techniques from Wang (2011). The main idea is to first truncate the random variables, and then to apply Berry-Esseen bound for U-statistics and Berry-Esseen bound for sum of independent random variables for the upper bound and the lower bound, respectively. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_i &= X_i \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1), & \hat{S}_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{X}_i, \\ \hat{Y}_i &= Y_i \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)Y_i| \leq 1), & \hat{V}_n^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(A.1)} \quad & |\mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)]| \\ & \leq |\mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)]| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|(1+x)X_i| > 1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|(1+x)Y_i| > 1) \\ & \leq |\mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)]| + (1+x)^3 L_{3,n}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{B}_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\hat{Y}_i^2$ and $K_i = \hat{Y}_i^2 - \mathbb{E}\hat{Y}_i^2$, then it follows from the basic inequality (5.3) that

$$\text{(A.2)} \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n + c) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(2x\hat{S}_n - x^2\hat{V}_n^2 > x^2 + 2xc\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(A.3)} \quad & \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n + c) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n - \frac{x}{2\hat{B}_n} (\hat{V}_n^2 - \hat{B}_n^2) + \frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} (\hat{V}_n^2 - \hat{B}_n^2)^2 > x\hat{B}_n + c\right) \\ & = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i) + \frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} \sum_{i \neq j} K_i K_j \geq x\hat{B}_n + c - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}U_i\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $U_i = \hat{X}_i - \frac{x}{2\hat{B}_n} K_i + \frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} K_i^2$. Observe that $\sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i) + \frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} \sum_{i \neq j} K_i K_j$ is a U-statistic. We will apply the Berry-Esseen bound for U-statistics established by Alberink (2000). Obviously, $|\hat{B}_n^2 - 1| \leq (1+x)L_{3,n} \leq c_1$ by (2.6), hence $3/4 \leq \hat{B}_n^2 \leq 5/4$ for $c_1 \leq 1/2$. We obtain that for $0 < x \leq 3$,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}U_i \right| \leq (1+x)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\hat{Y}_i^4 \leq AL_{3,n},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(U_i) - 1 \right| &\leq AL_{3,n}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|U_i|^3 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n A \left(\mathbb{E}|\hat{X}_i|^3 + \frac{x^3}{\hat{B}_n^3} \mathbb{E}|K_i|^3 + \frac{x^3}{\hat{B}_n^9} \mathbb{E}|K_i|^6 \right) \leq AL_{3,n}, \\ \text{and } \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Var}\left(\frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} K_i K_j\right) &= \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x^2}{\hat{B}_n^6} \mathbb{E}K_i^2 \mathbb{E}K_j^2 \leq AL_{3,n}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Berry-Esseen bound for U-statistics (see Theorem 1 in [Alberink \(2000\)](#)) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i) + \frac{x}{\hat{B}_n^3} \sum_{i \neq j} K_i K_j \geq x\hat{B}_n + c - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}U_i\right) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \right| \\ &\leq AL_{3,n} + \left| [1 - \Phi(x\hat{B}_n + c - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}U_i)] - [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \right| \\ &\leq AL_{3,n} + A \left((\hat{B}_n - 1) + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}U_i \right| \right) \leq AL_{3,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (A.3) yields the upper bound

$$(A.4) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \leq AL_{3,n}.$$

Regarding the lower bound, denote $\hat{W}_i = 2x\hat{X}_i - x^2\hat{Y}_i^2$. It is easy to find that for $0 < x \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\hat{W}_i + x^2 \right| &\leq AxL_{3,n}, \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\hat{W}_i) - 4x^2 \right| \leq Ax^2L_{3,n}, \\ \text{and } \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\hat{W}_i|^3 &\leq Ax^3L_{3,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the Berry-Esseen bound for sums of independent random variables implies

$$\begin{aligned} (A.5) \quad & \left| \mathbb{P}(2x\hat{S}_n - x^2\hat{V}_n^2 > x^2 + 2xc) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \right| \\ &\leq \left| \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{W}_i - \mathbb{E}\hat{W}_i)}{(\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\hat{W}_i))^{1/2}} > x+c - AL_{3,n}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [1 + \Phi(x+c - AL_{3,n})] \right| + |\Phi(x+c - AL_{3,n}) - \Phi(x+c)| \\ &\leq \frac{A \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\hat{W}_i|^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\hat{W}_i))^{3/2}} + AL_{3,n} \leq AL_{3,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (A.2) yields

$$(A.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \geq -AL_{3,n}.$$

Combining (A.1), (A.4) with (A.6), we obtain that for $0 < x \leq 3$,

$$\left| \mathbb{P}(S_n > xV_n + c) - [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \right| \leq AL_{3,n}.$$

This completes the proof.

A.2. Proof of Propositions 5.2. Before starting to prove Proposition 5.2, we first collect some notations related to the conjugated method, which is the main tool to prove Propositions 5.2–5.3. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $W_i = 2xX_i - x^2Y_i^2$ and (ξ_i, η_i) be independent random vectors with distribution

$$(A.7) \quad V_i(x, y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda W_i} \mathbb{1}(X_i \leq x, Y_i \leq y)\}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i}},$$

Denote $\widetilde{W}_i = 2x\xi_i - x^2\eta_i^2$. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\widetilde{W}_i &= \frac{\mathbb{E}W_i e^{\lambda W_i}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i}}, \\ \text{Var } \widetilde{W}_i &= \frac{\mathbb{E}W_i^2 e^{\lambda W_i}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i}} - (\mathbb{E}\widetilde{W}_i)^2, \\ \mathbb{E}|\widetilde{W}_i|^3 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}|W_i|^3 e^{\lambda W_i}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

We establish the expansion of the above moments in the Lemma below. The proof of Lemma A.1 will be given in Section B.1.

LEMMA A.1. *Let $W_i = 2xX_i - x^2Y_i^2$. For $\frac{1}{4} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and $x > 0$ satisfying (2.7), there exists an absolute constant A such that*

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i} &= 1 + 2\lambda^2 x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - \lambda x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 2\lambda^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O_1 R_{x,i}, \\ &= \exp \left\{ 2\lambda^2 x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - \lambda x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 2\lambda^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O_2 R_{x,i} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(A.9) \quad \mathbb{E}W_i e^{\lambda W_i} = 4\lambda x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + 4\lambda^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4\lambda x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O_3 R_{x,i},$$

$$(A.10) \quad \mathbb{E}W_i^2 e^{\lambda W_i} = 4x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 + 8\lambda x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O_4 R_{x,i},$$

$$(A.11) \quad \mathbb{E}|W_i|^3 e^{\lambda W_i} = O_5 x^3 (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3) + O_6 R_{x,i},$$

where $|O_i| \leq A$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$.

The next lemma is Lemma 2.1 in Wang (2011) with some modifications.

LEMMA A.2. *We have for x satisfying (2.7) that*

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x)^4 (\mathbb{E}X_i^2)^2 &\leq 2\delta_{x,i}, \\ (1+x)^5 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 &\leq 2\delta_{x,i}, \\ (1+x)^6 (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3)^2 &\leq \delta_{x,i}, \end{aligned}$$

and similar results hold for Y_i . In addition, if x also satisfies (2.6), then

$$(1+x)^4 L_{3,n}^2 \leq 2\delta_x.$$

By Lemmas A.1 and A.2, it is readily seen that under (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\widetilde{W}_i &= x^2 (4\lambda \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - \mathbb{E}Y_i^2) + x^3 (4\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4\lambda \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2) + O_1 R_{x,i}, \\ \text{Var } \widetilde{W}_i &= 4x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 + x^3 (8\lambda \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4\mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2) + O_2 R_{x,i}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}|\widetilde{W}_i|^3 = O_3 x^3 \left(\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3 \right) + O_4 R_{x,i}.$$

Put $m_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\widetilde{W}_i$, $\sigma_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}\widetilde{W}_i$, $v_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\widetilde{W}_i|^3$. Consequently, we obtain

$$(A.12) \quad m_n = (4\lambda - 1)x^2 + x^3 \left(4\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 \right) + O_1 R_x,$$

$$(A.13) \quad \sigma_n^2 = 4x^2 + x^3 \left(8\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 \right) + O_2 R_x,$$

and

$$(A.14) \quad v_n = O_3 x^3 L_{3,n} + O_4 R_x.$$

Define $m(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i}$, therefore, $m_n = m'(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_n^2 = m''(\lambda)$. Before proving Proposition 5.2, let us present the following lemma that will be applied in the proof.

LEMMA A.3. *For x satisfying (2.6) and (2.7), if $|\delta(x)| < \frac{1}{2}x^2$ and $|\delta_0(x)| < \frac{1}{2}x^2$, then the equation*

$$m'(\lambda) = x^2 + \delta(x),$$

has a unique solution λ_δ . In addition, λ_δ satisfies $\frac{1}{4} < \lambda_\delta < \frac{3}{4}$ and

$$(A.15) \quad \left| \lambda_\delta - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta(x)}{4x^2} \right) + x \left(\lambda_\delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - \lambda_\delta \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 \right) \right| \leq Ax^{-2} R_x,$$

and

$$(A.16) \quad \left| \lambda_\delta - \lambda_{\delta_0} - \frac{\delta(x) - \delta_0(x)}{4x^2} \right| \leq A \{ x^{-2} R_x + |\delta(x) - \delta_0(x)| x^{-1} L_{3,n} \}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(A.17) \quad m(\lambda_\delta) = (2\lambda_\delta^2 - \lambda_\delta)x^2 + x^3 \left(\frac{4}{3}\lambda_\delta^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 2\lambda_\delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 \right) + O_1 R_x,$$

and

$$(A.18) \quad |m(\lambda_\delta) - m(\lambda_{\delta_0})| \leq A(R_x + |\delta(x) - \delta_0(x)|).$$

The proof of Lemma A.3 will be shown in Section B.2. Now we are ready to prove Proposition 5.2. The main idea is to use the conjugate method (see (4.9) in Petrov (1965)). Assume λ_1 is the solution to $m'(\lambda) = x^2 + 2xc$. Write $\widetilde{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{W}_i$, $U_n = (\widetilde{S}_n - m_n)/\sigma_n$. It is well-known that by the conjugate method

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc) &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \widetilde{S}_n} \mathbb{1}(\widetilde{S}_n \geq x^2 + 2xc) \\ &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \sigma_n U_n} \mathbb{1}(U_n \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Let Z be a standard normal random variable. Define

$$I_1 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(U_n \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(Z \leq x)|,$$

$$I_2 = \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \sigma_n Z} \mathbb{1}(Z \geq 0).$$

Integration by parts gives

$$|\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1\sigma_n U_n} \mathbb{1}(U_n \geq 0) - \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1\sigma_n Z} \mathbb{1}(Z \geq 0)| \leq 2I_1.$$

As a result,

$$(A.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc) - \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\}I_2| \\ & \leq 2 \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\}I_1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Berry-Esseen theorem to I_1 and by the fact of (A.13) and (A.14), it is easy to find that for $x > 3$ satisfying (2.6),

$$(A.20) \quad I_1 \leq A \frac{v_n}{\sigma_n^3} \leq A(L_{3,n} + x^{-3}R_x).$$

Regarding I_2 , we have

$$I_2 = \frac{e^{\lambda_1^2\sigma_n^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda_1\sigma_n}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1 - \Phi(\lambda_1\sigma_n)}{\phi(\lambda_1\sigma_n)},$$

where $\phi(x)$ is the standard normal density function. Let $\psi(x) = \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\phi(x)}$. For $x > 3$,

$$(A.21) \quad x^{-1}/2 \leq \psi(x) \leq x^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi'(x)| = |x\psi(x) - 1| \leq x^{-2}.$$

By (A.13) and the fact that $1/4 < \lambda_1 < 3/4$,

$$|\lambda_1\sigma_n - 2\lambda_1x| = \frac{\lambda_1|\sigma_n^2 - 4x^2|}{\sigma_n + 2x} \leq A(x^2L_{3,n} + x^{-1}R_x),$$

therefore, under (2.6) for small constant c_1 , there exists some θ^* between $2\lambda_1x$ and $\lambda_1\sigma_n$, such that

$$(A.22) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \{\psi(2\lambda_1x) + \psi'(\theta^*)(\lambda_1\sigma_n - 2\lambda_1x)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \psi(2\lambda_1x) \{1 + O_1(xL_{3,n} + x^{-2}R_x)\} \\ &= e^{2\lambda_1^2x^2} [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1x)] \{1 + O_1(xL_{3,n} + x^{-2}R_x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/4 < \lambda_1 < 3/4$, we have for $x > 3$

$$e^{2\lambda_1^2x^2} [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1x)] \geq Ax^{-1},$$

which yields

$$I_1 \leq Ae^{2\lambda_1^2x^2} [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1x)] (xL_{3,n} + x^{-2}R_x).$$

Consequently, by (A.19)–(A.22) and $m_n = m'(\lambda_1) = x^2 + 2xc$, we arrive at

$$(A.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc) \\ &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1) + (2\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1)x^2 - 2\lambda_1xc\} \\ & \quad \times [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1x)] \{1 + O_1xL_{3,n} + O_2x^{-2}R_x\} \\ &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x+c)^2 - \lambda_1(x^2 + 2xc)\} \\ & \quad \times [1 - \Phi(x+c)] e^{O_1R_x} \{1 + O_2xL_{3,n}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the last equality holds because

$$|\lambda_1 - \gamma| \leq A_1 x L_{3,n} + A_2 x^{-2} R_x.$$

By applying (A.15) and (A.17) in Lemma A.3, where $\gamma = (1 + c/x)/2$. Noticing that $x^4 L_{3,n}^2 \leq 2\delta_x < 2R_x$ by Lemma A.2, we obtain for x satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$(2\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1)x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x+c)^2 - \lambda_1(x^2 + 2xc) = 2x^2(\lambda_1 - \gamma)^2 \leq O_1 R_x.$$

Thus (A.17) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (A.24) \quad & m(\lambda_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x+c)^2 - \lambda_1(x^2 + 2xc) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\gamma^3 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 2\gamma^2 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O_1 R_x. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we arrive at the desired result (5.6) by substituting (A.24) into (A.23). The proof is completed.

A.3. Proof of Proposition 5.3. The proof again relies on the conjugate method and a randomized concentration inequality for independent random variables. Recalling the definitions of $\lambda_1, \widetilde{S}_n$ and U_n at the very beginning of Section A.2, we have by the conjugate method

$$\begin{aligned} (A.25) \quad & \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc - x\Delta_n) \\ &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \widetilde{S}_n} \mathbb{1}(\widetilde{S}_n \geq x^2 + 2xc - x\widetilde{\Delta}_n) \\ &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \sigma_n U_n} \mathbb{1}(U_n \geq -\frac{x\widetilde{\Delta}_n}{\sigma_n}) \\ &:= P_1 + P_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \sigma_n U_n} \mathbb{1}(U_n > 0), \\ P_2 &= \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\} \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda_1 \sigma_n U_n} \mathbb{1}(-\frac{x\widetilde{\Delta}_n}{\sigma_n} \leq U_n \leq 0), \end{aligned}$$

and $\widetilde{\Delta}_n = \min\{2x(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^2 - 1)^2, x^{-1}(2 \vee 72R_x)\}$. We already established in (A.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} (A.26) \quad & P_1 = \exp\{m(\lambda_1) + (2\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1)x^2 - 2\lambda_1 xc\} \\ & \quad \times [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1 x)](1 + O_1 x L_{3,n} + O_2 x^{-2} R_x). \end{aligned}$$

As for P_2 , because $x\widetilde{\Delta}_n \leq 2 + 72R_x$,

$$(A.27) \quad P_2 \leq e^{2+72R_x} \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\} \mathbb{P}\left(-\frac{x\widetilde{\Delta}_n}{\sigma_n} \leq U_n \leq 0\right).$$

As U_n is a normalized sum of independent random variables, we apply the randomized concentration inequality by Shao and Zhou (2016) (Theorem 4.1 therein) to estimate the concentration probability involved in P_2 . As $\Delta_n \leq 2x(V_n^2 - 1)^2$, it is sufficient to bound the concentration probability as if $\Delta_n = 2x(V_n^2 - 1)^2$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_n = 2x(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^2 - 1)^2$. Denote

$Z_i = Y_i^2 - \mathbb{E}Y_i^2$ and define $\Delta_n^{(i)}$ as $\Delta_n^{(i)} = 2x(\sum_{j \neq i} Z_j)^2$. $\tilde{\Delta}_n^{(i)}$ is defined in the same manner as $\Delta_n^{(i)}$ but with $\{Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ replaced by $\{\eta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. We obtain

$$(A.28) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(-\frac{x\tilde{\Delta}_n}{\sigma_n} \leq U_n \leq 0\right) \leq 17\frac{v_n}{\sigma_n^3} + \frac{5x}{\sigma_n} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{\Delta}_n| + \frac{2x}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|\tilde{W}_i\{\tilde{\Delta}_n - \tilde{\Delta}_n^{(i)}\}|.$$

Furthermore, it follows from the distribution of (ξ_i, η_i) defined in (A.7) that

$$(A.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{\Delta}_n| &= \exp\{-m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}\left\{|\Delta_n| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\} \\ &\leq 2x \exp\{-m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}\left\{(V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(A.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\tilde{W}_i\{\tilde{\Delta}_n - \tilde{\Delta}_n^{(i)}\}| &= \exp\{-m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}\left\{|W_i\{\Delta_n - \Delta_n^{(i)}\}| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\} \\ &\leq 2x \exp\{-m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}\left\{|W_i| \left|(V_n^2 - 1)^2 - \left(\sum_{j \neq i} Z_j\right)^2\right| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\} \\ &= 2x \exp\{-m(\lambda_1)\} \mathbb{E}\left\{|W_i| \left|Z_i^2 + 2Z_i \sum_{j \neq i} Z_j\right| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma below presents the bounds of (A.29) and (A.30) and the proof will be presented in Section B.3.

LEMMA A.4. *For x satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) and $1/4 < \lambda_1 < 3/4$, there exists an absolute constant A such that for $x > 3$,*

$$(A.31) \quad \mathbb{E}\left\{(V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\} \leq A_1 \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} x^{-2} R_x e^{A_2 R_x},$$

$$(A.32) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left\{|W_i| \left|Z_i^2 + 2Z_i \sum_{j \neq i} Z_j\right| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i}\right\} \leq A_1 \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} x^{-2} R_x e^{A_2 R_x}.$$

In general, assume $0 < r < r_0 < 1$ for a constant r_0 . For a number $\omega > r_0$, there exist constants A_1 and A_2 depending on r_0 and ω such that

$$(A.33) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left\{(V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{\sum_{i=1}^n (2rxX_i - \omega r x^2 Y_i^2)}\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{A_1 R_x}{x^2} \exp\left\{(2r^2 - \omega r)x^2 - 2\omega r^2 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + \frac{4}{3}r^3 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + A_2 R_x\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by assembling (A.27)–(A.32) and (A.12)–(A.14), it holds that

$$(A.34) \quad P_2 \leq A \exp\{AR_x\} \exp\{m(\lambda_1) - \lambda_1 m_n\} (L_{3,n} + x^{-1} R_x).$$

Moreover, by observing that $m_n = m'(\lambda_1) = x^2 + 2xc$ and $1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1 x) \geq Cx^{-1}e^{-2\lambda_1^2 x^2}$ for $x > 2$ and $1/4 < \lambda_1 < 3/4$, we obtain

$$(A.35) \quad \begin{aligned} P_2 &\leq A \exp\{AR_x\} \exp\{m(\lambda_1) + (2\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1)x^2 - 2\lambda_1 xc\} \\ &\quad \times [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1 x)] \{A_4 x L_{3,n} + A_5 R_x\}, \end{aligned}$$

which combined with (A.26) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(2xS_n - x^2V_n^2 \geq x^2 + 2xc - x\Delta_n) \\
& \leq \exp\{m(\lambda_1) + (2\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1)x^2 - 2\lambda_1xc\} [1 - \Phi(2\lambda_1x)] e^{AR_x} (1 + AxL_{3,n}) \\
& = \exp\left\{m(\lambda_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x+c)^2 - \lambda_1(x^2 + 2xc)\right\} \\
& \quad \times [1 - \Phi(x+c)] e^{A_3R_x} (1 + A_4xL_{3,n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is derived by a similar procedure to (A.23). Finally, we arrive at the desired result (5.7) by using (A.24). The proof is completed.

A.4. Proof of Proposition 5.4. We use the truncation technique to estimate the error term. Let $B = 2500 \vee 200c_0$. Here the constants 2500 and 200 are set large enough for simplicity of proof, because we are not pursuing the best possible constants. The integrating region can be partitioned into three parts as follows,

$$(A.36) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(S_n > xV_n + c, |V_n^2 - 1| > x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{P}\left((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_i\right),$$

where $\{\Omega_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1 &= \{(u, v) \in (R, R^+) : u > x\sqrt{v} + c, 1 + x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2}) < v \leq B\}, \\
\Omega_2 &= \{(u, v) \in (R, R^+) : u > x\sqrt{v} + c, v < 1 - x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})\}, \\
\Omega_3 &= \{(u, v) \in (R, R^+) : u > x\sqrt{v} + c, v > B\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first part, we choose

$$r_1 = x + c, \quad t_1 = \frac{2}{5}x(x + c).$$

By Chebyshev's inequality we obtain

$$(A.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_1\right) \\ & \leq x^2 \exp\left\{-\inf_{(u,v) \in \Omega_1} (r_1u - t_1v)\right\} \mathbb{E}\left\{(V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{r_1S_n - t_1V_n^2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$(A.38) \quad \begin{aligned} & \inf_{(u,v) \in \Omega_1} (r_1u - t_1v) \\ & = r_1\left(c + x\sqrt{1 + x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})}\right) - t_1(1 + x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from applying (A.33) in Lemma A.4 with $r = r_1/(2x)$ and $t = t_1/x^2$ (It is easy to verify the conditions for (A.33) are satisfied when $|c| \leq x/5$) that

$$(A.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left\{(V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{r_1S_n - t_1V_n^2}\right\} \\ & \leq \frac{A_1R_x}{x^2} \exp\left\{\frac{r_1^2}{2} - t_1 + \frac{r_1^3}{6} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - r_1t_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_iY_i^2] + A_2R_x\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By plugging (A.38), (A.39) and the value of r_1, t_1 into (A.37), we arrive at

$$(A.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_1) \\ & \leq A_1 R_x \Psi_x^* \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x+c)^2}{2} + \frac{2}{5} \gamma^2 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i Y_i^2] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2x\gamma(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2}) \left[\left(\sqrt{1+x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2})} + 1 \right)^{-1} - \frac{2}{5} \right] + A_2 R_x \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = (x+c)/(2x)$. For $x > 3$ satisfying (2.6) with a small constant $c_1 \leq \frac{1}{324}$, it holds that $x^{-1}(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2}) \leq 1/3$. Note also that $x^3 |\mathbb{E}[X_i Y_i^2]| \leq \frac{2}{3} x^3 L_{3,n} \leq x R_x^{1/2}$ by Lemma A.2. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_1) \\ & \leq A_3 x R_x \Psi_x^* [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \exp \left\{ 0.4 \gamma^2 x R_x^{1/2} - 2x\gamma(1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2}) \cdot 0.06 + A_2 R_x \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, observe that $1 \vee 6R_x^{1/2} \geq 1/2 + 3R_x^{1/2}$ and $2/5 \leq \gamma \leq 3/5$, therefore

$$(A.41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_1) \\ & \leq A_3 x R_x \Psi_x^* [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \exp \{-0.024x - 0.048x R_x^{1/2} + A_2 R_x\} \\ & \leq A_4 R_x \Psi_x^* [1 - \Phi(x+c)] e^{A_2 R_x}. \end{aligned}$$

As for the second error term, by choosing $r_2 = x+c$ and $t_2 = 2x(x+c)$, we have under (2.6) that

$$(A.42) \quad \inf_{(u,v) \in \Omega_2} (r_2 u - t_2 v) = r_2 \left(c + x \sqrt{1 - x^{-1}(1 \vee R_x^{1/2})} \right) - t_2 \left(1 - x^{-1}(1 \vee R_x^{1/2}) \right).$$

In the same manner as the proof above, combining (A.37), (A.41) and (A.42) with r_1, t_1 replaced by r_2, t_2 , we obtain

$$(A.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_2) \\ & \leq A_1 x R_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* \\ & \quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{x}{2} \vee 3x R_x^{1/2} - 6\gamma^2 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_i Y_i^2 + A_2 R_x \right\} \\ & \leq A_1 R_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_2 R_x}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we deal with $\mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_3)$. Recall the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_i &= X_i \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1), & \hat{S}_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{X}_i, \\ \hat{Y}_i &= Y_i \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)Y_i| \leq 1), & \hat{V}_n^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

We also denote

$$\bar{X}_i = X_i \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| > 1), \quad \bar{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i,$$

$$\bar{Y}_i = Y_i \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)Y_i| > 1), \quad \bar{V}_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Y}_i^2.$$

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_3) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n > \frac{xV_n + c}{10}, V_n > \sqrt{B}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{S}_n > \frac{9(xV_n + c)}{10}, V_n > \sqrt{B}\right) \\ & \leq K_1 + K_2 + K_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n > \frac{\sqrt{B}}{10}x + \frac{c}{10}, \hat{V}_n^2 > \frac{B}{2}\right), \\ K_2 &= \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n > \frac{\sqrt{B}}{10}x + \frac{c}{10}, \bar{V}_n^2 > \frac{B}{2}\right), \\ K_3 &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{S}_n > \frac{9(xV_n + c)}{10}, V_n > \sqrt{B}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and recalling that $B = \max\{2500, 200c_0\}$ we have

$$(A.44) \quad K_1 \leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{B}{2} - 1\right)^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{20}xc\right\} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{V}_n^2 - 1\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right].$$

Let $\hat{Z}_i = \hat{Y}_i^2 - \mathbb{E}\hat{Y}_i^2$, then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (A.45) \quad & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{V}_n^2 - 1\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Z}_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\bar{Y}_i^2\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Z}_i\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\bar{Y}_i^2\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Z}_i\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[x^{-4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}x^3 \bar{Y}_i^3\right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}}\right] \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}\hat{Z}_i^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_j}{2}} + 2x^{-4} R_x^2 \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}} \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\mathbb{E}\hat{Z}_i e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\hat{Z}_j e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_j}{2}}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_j}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_j}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Taylor expansion and the same argument used in Lemma A.1, we can obtain

$$(A.46) \quad \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}} = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{8}x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 + \frac{1}{48}x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O_1 \delta_{x,i}\right\},$$

$$(A.47) \quad \mathbb{E} \hat{Z}_i e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}} = O\left(x\left(\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3\right)\right) + O\left(x^{-2}\delta_{x,i}\right),$$

$$(A.48) \quad \mathbb{E} \hat{Z}_i^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}} = O\left(x^{-4}\delta_{x,i}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \bar{Y}_i^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}} = O\left(x^{-2}\delta_{x,i}\right).$$

By plugging (A.46)–(A.48) into (A.45), and the fact that $x^4 L_{3,n}^2 \leq 4\delta_x$, it is readily seen that

$$(A.49) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\hat{V}_n^2 - 1 \right)^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}} \right] \leq \frac{AR_x}{x^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{48}x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + A_1R_x \right\}.$$

Substituting (A.49) into (A.44) yields for $|c| \leq x/5$,

$$(A.50) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &\leq C_1 x^{-2} R_x \exp \left\{ -\frac{11}{8}x^2 - \frac{xc}{20} + \frac{1}{48}x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + A_1R_x \right\} \\ &\leq C_2 x^{-2} R_x \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x+c)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + A_1R_x \right\} \\ &\leq C_3 [1 - \Phi(x+c)] R_x \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8}x^2 + A_1R_x \right\} \\ &\leq C_4 R_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_1R_x}, \end{aligned}$$

and the last inequality holds because x satisfies (2.6) and

$$\left| x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 \right| \leq x^3 L_{3,n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 \right| \leq x^3 L_{3,n}.$$

Similarly, exploiting the upper bounds (A.46) and (A.48), we have

$$(A.51) \quad K_2 < \frac{2}{B} \exp \left\{ -\frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{xc}{20} \right\} \mathbb{E} \left(\bar{V}_n^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\bar{V}_n^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{S}_n}{2}} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E} \left(\bar{Y}_i^2 e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}} \right)}{\mathbb{E} e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_i}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} e^{\frac{x\hat{X}_j}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{AR_x}{x^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{48}x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + A_1R_x \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in the same manner as the proof of (A.50), it follows that

$$(A.52) \quad K_2 \leq AR_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_1R_x}.$$

Finally, as for the bound for K_3 , denote

$$\bar{X}_i^{(1)} = \bar{X}_i \mathbb{1} \left(2xX_i \leq \frac{X_i^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2} \right) := \bar{X}_i \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{M}), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{X}_i^{(2)} = \bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_i^{(1)}.$$

Cauchy inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &= \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i > \frac{9(xV_n + c)}{10}, V_n > \sqrt{B} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i^{(1)} > \frac{(xV_n + c)}{100}, V_n > \sqrt{B} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i^{(2)} > \frac{89(xV_n + c)}{100}, V_n > \sqrt{B}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n 2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)} > \frac{(x^2V_n + cx)}{50}, V_n > \sqrt{B}\right), \\
& + \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\bar{X}_i^{(2)})^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_i^2}} > \frac{89}{100} \frac{xV_n + c}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0}}, V_n > \sqrt{B}\right) \\
& := K_4 + K_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $B = \max\{2500, 200c_0\}$, we further have for $|c| \leq x/5$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(A.53)} \quad K_4 & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n 2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)} > 0.996x^2\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C_1x^{-2}}{\exp\{0.986x^2\}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n 2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)} e^{0.99\sum_{i=1}^n 2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)}}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{C_2x^{-2}}{\exp\{0.986x^2\}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)}} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| > 1, \mathcal{M})\right] \prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}e^{2x\bar{X}_j^{(1)}} \\
& \leq AR_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* \exp\{R_x\}, \\
K_5 & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\bar{X}_i^{(2)})^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_i^2} > (0.884x)^2\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C_1x^{-2}}{\exp\{0.773x^2\}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\bar{X}_i^{(2)})^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_i^2} e^{0.99\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\bar{X}_i^{(2)})^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_i^2}}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{C_2x^{-2}}{\exp\{0.773x^2\}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{(\bar{X}_i^{(2)})^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_i^2}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_i| > 1, \mathcal{M}^c)\right] \prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{(\bar{X}_j^{(2)})^2}{Y_j^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_j^2}} \\
& \leq AR_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* \exp\{R_x\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the condition $xL_{3,n} \leq c_1$, for c_1 being some small enough constant, and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}e^{2x\bar{X}_j^{(1)}} & \leq \prod_{j \neq i} \left\{1 + \mathbb{E}e^{2x\bar{X}_j^{(1)}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_j| > 1, \mathcal{M})\right\} \\
& \leq \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_i| > 1, \mathcal{M})\right\} \\
& \leq \exp\{rx\} \leq \exp\{R_x\}, \\
\prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{(\bar{X}_j^{(2)})^2}{Y_j^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_j^2}} & \leq \prod_{j \neq i} \left\{1 + \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x_j^2}{Y_j^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_j^2}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_j| > 1, \mathcal{M}^c)\right\} \\
& \leq \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{x_i^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0\mathbb{E}Y_i^2}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_i| > 1, \mathcal{M}^c)\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \exp\{r_x\} \leq \exp\{R_x\}, \\ R_x \geq r_x &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[e^{2x\bar{X}_i^{(1)}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_i| > 1, \mathcal{M}) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{(\bar{X}_i^{(2)})^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2}} \mathbb{1}(|x'X_i| > 1, \mathcal{M}^c) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (A.50), (A.52) and (A.53), we conclude

$$(A.54) \quad \mathbb{P}((S_n, V_n^2) \in \Omega_3) \leq AR_x [1 - \Phi(x+c)] \Psi_x^* e^{A_1 R_x}.$$

Consequently, the desired bound (5.8) follows from (A.40), (A.43) and (A.54). The proof is completed.

A.5. Proof of Proposition 5.5. The main idea is to apply the general Theorem 2.1. Recall the notation in (5.11), based on the one-dependent structure, $\{(X_j, Y_j)\}_{j=1}^k$ is a sequence of independent random vectors. Further, the moment condition in Theorem 3.1 indicates

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}S_{n1}^2}{\mathbb{E}V_{n1}^2} - 1 \right) = \rho_n + O\left(\frac{a^2}{n^\alpha}\right).$$

Hence

$$(A.55) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1} + d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_{n1}}{(\mathbb{E}S_{n1}^2)^{1/2}} > \frac{x(1 + O(a^2 n^{-\alpha}))}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}} \frac{V_{n1}}{(\mathbb{E}V_{n1}^2)^{1/2}} + O(d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now focus on bounding the error terms involved in Theorem 2.1. Note that $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are one-dependent sequence of random variables, and Rosenthal's inequality yields for $2 < p \leq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{j \in H_i, \text{odd}} \xi_j + \sum_{j \in H_i, \text{even}} \xi_j \right|^p \right] \\ &\leq 2^p \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{j \in H_i, \text{odd}} \xi_j \right|^p \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{j \in H_i, \text{even}} \xi_j \right|^p \right] \right\} \\ &\leq A \left[\left(\sum_{j \in H_i, \text{odd}} \mathbb{E}\xi_j^2 \right)^{p/2} + \left(\sum_{j \in H_i, \text{even}} \mathbb{E}\xi_j^2 \right)^{p/2} + \sum_{j \in H_i} \mathbb{E}|\xi_j|^p \right] \\ &\leq Aa_1^p n^{p\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^p &\leq (\mathbb{E}|Y_i|^4)^{\frac{p}{4}} \leq \left[k \sum_{j \in H_i} \mathbb{E}\xi_j^4 \right]^{\frac{p}{4}} \leq Aa_1^p n^{\frac{p\alpha}{2}}, \quad B_{n1}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}Y_j^2 \geq Aa_2^2 n, \\ &\left| \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}X_j Y_j^2 \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}X_i^3 \right| \leq 7 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \in H_i} |\mathbb{E}\xi_j^3| \leq Aa_1^3 n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$L_{3,n} \leq Aa^3 n^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}, \quad \delta_x \leq Aa^4 \frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \Psi_x^* \leq \exp(Aa^3 x^3 n^{-1/2}).$$

Thefore, applying Theorem 2.1 to (A.55) yields

$$(A.56) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_{n1} \geq xV_{n1} + d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n) \\ = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right) \right] e^{O_1 \sum r_{x,j}} \left(1 + O_2\left(\frac{a^4 x^4}{n^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{a^2 x^2}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + \frac{a^3 x}{n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}}\right) \right)$$

for $x \in (2, a^{-1} \min\{n^{\alpha/4}, n^{(1-\alpha)/4}\})$, where

$$r_{x,j} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{(\sum_{i \in H_j} \xi_i)^2}{\sum_{i \in H_j} (\xi_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E} \xi_i^2)} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left(x \left| \sum_{i \in H_j} \xi_i \right| > c(\rho) a_2 n^{1/2} \right) \right],$$

for some pre-assigned constant $c_0 > 0$ and constant $c(\rho)$. The derivation of the upper bound of $r_{x,j}$ is rather complicated. We exploit a technical lemma A.5 whose proof will be provided in Section B.4 to bound $r_{x,j}$.

LEMMA A.5. *Let $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}|X_i|^p < \infty$ for $p > 2$. Denote $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ and $B_n^2 = \mathbb{E}V_n^2$. Assume $b > 0$, $A > 0$. Then there exist positive constants c_0 and K depending on p and A , such that*

$$(A.57) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{AS_n^2}{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} \mathbb{1}(b|S_n| > 1) \right] \leq K b^p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + (\mathbb{E}S_n^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right).$$

Since $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are one-dependent and Lemma A.5 is built for independent random variables, we separate the odd-indexed terms and the even-indexed terms to apply Lemma A.5. Specifically,

$$(A.58) \quad r_{x,j} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{4 \left| \sum_{i \in H_j, \text{odd}} \xi_i \right|^2}{\sum_{i \in H_j, \text{odd}} (\xi_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E} \xi_i^2)} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left(\left| \sum_{i \in H_j, \text{odd}} \xi_i \right| > \frac{c(\rho) a_2 n^{1/2}}{2x} \right) \right] \\ + \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{4 \left| \sum_{i \in H_j, \text{even}} \xi_i \right|^2}{\sum_{i \in H_j, \text{even}} (\xi_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E} \xi_i^2)} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left(\left| \sum_{i \in H_j, \text{even}} \xi_i \right| > \frac{c(\rho) a_2 n^{1/2}}{2x} \right) \right] \\ \leq C(\rho) \frac{x^4}{a_2^4 n^2} \left[\sum_{i \in H_j} \mathbb{E}|\xi_i|^4 + \left(\sum_{i \in H_j, \text{odd}} \mathbb{E} \xi_i^2 \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i \in H_j, \text{even}} \mathbb{E} \xi_i^2 \right)^2 \right] \\ \leq C(\rho) \frac{a^4 x^4}{n^{2-2\alpha}},$$

where the second inequality is derived by applying Lemma A.5. Therefore, $r_x = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{x,j} \leq C(\rho) a^4 x^4 / n^{1-\alpha}$, which together with (A.56) yields the desired result (5.17).

In the same manner as the proof of (A.40), using Chebyshev's inequality and Taylor expansion, it is easy to obtain (5.18). To avoid redundancy, here we omit the proof.

A.6. Proof of Proposition 5.6. We start with the error bound (5.19). Denote $\omega_j = \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)} - \mathbb{E} \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore, $\{\omega_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ are independent random variables with $\omega_j \leq 2\tau$ and $\mathbb{E}\omega_j = 0$ for each j . Recalling the definitions in (5.12) and $\tau = B_{n2}/x$. For $d_1 = \kappa_\rho a^2$ with $\kappa_\rho \geq 10$, routine calculation shows

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\omega_j^2 \leq B_{n2}^2 \leq a_1^2 n^{1-\alpha}, \quad d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n - \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)} \geq (\kappa_\rho - 1) a_1 x n^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}.$$

Hence, it follows from Bernstein inequality (see for example, Theorem A in [Fan, Grama and Liu \(2015\)](#)) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\hat{S}_{n2} > d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j \geq (\kappa_\rho - 1) a_1 x n^{(1-\alpha)/2}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa_\rho^2 a_1^2 x^2 n^{1-\alpha}}{3B_{n2}^2 + 3\tau\kappa_\rho a_1 x n^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}}}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa_\rho x^2}{6}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As for inequality (5.20), similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_{n2} < -d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n + x(\hat{V}_n - V_{n1}), V_{n1}^2 > \frac{1}{4} B_n^2\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)} < -d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n + x \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}^2}{\hat{V}_n + V_{n1}}, V_{n1}^2 > \frac{1}{4} B_n^2\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k (\gamma_j - \mathbb{E}\gamma_j) > d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n - \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\gamma_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_j = \frac{x}{B_n} \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}^2 - \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, are independent random variables with $\gamma_j - \mathbb{E}\gamma_j \leq 4\tau$ for each j . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\gamma_j - \mathbb{E}\gamma_j)^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\gamma_j^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{x^2 \tau^2}{B_n^2} + 1\right) \mathbb{E}\hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}^2 \leq 4a_1^2 n^{1-\alpha}, \\ \left|\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\gamma_j\right| &= \left|\frac{x}{B_n} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\xi_{j(l+1)} \mathbb{1}(|\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}\xi_{j(l+1)}^2 = x B_{n2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, Bernstein inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_{n2} < -d_1 n^{-\alpha/2} x B_n + x(\hat{V}_n - V_{n1}), V_{n1}^2 > \frac{1}{4} B_n^2\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa_\rho x^2}{14}\right).$$

The proof is completed.

A.7. Proof of Proposition 5.7. Observe that for any $a, b, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $3b \geq a^2$ and $\delta_2 \geq 0$, we have for arbitrary $x^2 \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} x\sqrt{b + \delta_2} &\geq \sqrt{x^2\left(\frac{a^2}{3} + \delta_2\right)} = \sqrt{(x^2 - 3)\frac{a^2}{3} + (x^2 - 3)\delta_2 + a^2 + 3\delta_2} \\ &\geq \sqrt{(x^2 - 3)\delta_2 + a^2 + 2a\sqrt{\delta_2(x^2 - 3)}} \geq a + \sqrt{(x^2 - 3)\delta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $H = \{j(l+1) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, the index set of small block terms. We set

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)} + \xi_{j(l+1)-1} + \xi_{j(l+1)+1}, \quad \hat{S}_n^{(j)} := \hat{S}_n - a, \\ b &:= \hat{\xi}_{j(l+1)}^2 + \xi_{j(l+1)-1}^2 + \xi_{j(l+1)+1}^2, \quad \delta_2 := (\hat{V}_n^{(j)})^2 = \hat{V}_n^2 - b, \end{aligned}$$

then $\{\hat{S}_n > x\hat{V}_n\} \subset \{\hat{S}_n^{(j)} > (\sqrt{x^2-3})\hat{V}_n^{(j)}\}$ by the above analysis. Moreover, $\hat{S}_n^{(j)}$ and $\hat{V}_n^{(j)}$ are independent of $\xi_{j(l+1)}$ since $\{\xi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ are one-dependent random variables. Thus it follows from (5.22) that for $x \in (c_\rho\sqrt{\log n}, d_0a^{-1}n^{1/8})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(A.59) \quad & \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n \geq x\hat{V}_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i \in H} \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n^{(i)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}\hat{V}_n^{(i)}\right) \mathbb{P}(|\xi_i| > \tau) \\
& \leq A \frac{\sum_{i \in H} \mathbb{E}|\xi_i|^4}{\tau^4} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2-3}}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n^{(i)}}}\right)\right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^4x^2}{n^{1/4}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq Aa^4 \frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2-3}}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^4x^2}{n^{1/4}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq Ae^{3/2(1-2\rho)} a^4 \frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^4x^2}{n^{1/4}}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_n^{(i)}$ is defined parallel to ρ_n and

$$\rho_n^{(i)} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{S}_n^{(i)})^2]}{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{V}_n^{(i)})^2]} - 1 \right) = \rho_n \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^2}{n}\right)\right)$$

because $\mathbb{E}\xi_i^4 \leq a_1^4$ and $\mathbb{E}\xi_i^2 \geq a_2^2$ for $i \geq 1$. Then (5.23) is derived.

Regarding the proof of (5.24), a more technical iterative argument will be exploited. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xV_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i_1 \in H} \mathbb{P}\left(S_n^{(i_1)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}V_n^{(i_1)}\right) \mathbb{P}(|\xi_{i_1}| > \tau) \\
& \leq \sum_{i_1 \in H} A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n} \mathbb{P}\left(S_n^{(i_1)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}V_n^{(i_1)}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i_1 \in H} A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n} \left[\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n^{(i_1)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}\hat{V}_n^{(i_1)}\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbb{P}\left(S_n^{(i_1)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}V_n^{(i_1)}, \max_{j \in H \setminus \{i_1\}} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{i_1 \in H} A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n} \left[\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n^{(i_1)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}\hat{V}_n^{(i_1)}\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i_2 \in H \setminus \{i_1\}} \mathbb{P}\left(S_n^{(i_1, i_2)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-6}V_n^{(i_1, i_2)}\right) \mathbb{P}(|\xi_{i_2}| > \tau) \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{i_1 \in H} A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n^{(i_1)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-3}\hat{V}_n^{(i_1)}\right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i_1 \in H} \sum_{i_2 \in H \setminus \{i_1\}} \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n} \right)^2 \mathbb{P}\left(S_n^{(i_1, i_2)} \geq \sqrt{x^2-6}V_n^{(i_1, i_2)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(A.60)

Put $u = \lfloor \frac{x^2}{6} \rfloor$ so that $\sqrt{x^2 - 3u} \approx x/\sqrt{2}$ and we repeat the above procedure iteratively up to u times,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xV_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} \sum_{i_1 \in H} \cdots \sum_{i_j \in H} \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n}\right)^j \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)} \geq \sqrt{x^2 - 3j} \hat{V}_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)}\right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i_1 \in H} \cdots \sum_{i_u \in H} \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n}\right)^u \mathbb{P}\left(S_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_u)} \geq \sqrt{x^2 - 3u} V_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_u)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As we have chosen $\alpha = 1/2$ and $|H| = k \asymp n^{1-\alpha} = \sqrt{n}$, it follows by (5.22) that for arbitrary $i_1, \dots, i_j \in H$, and $1 \leq j \leq x^2/6$, we have for $x \in (c_\rho \sqrt{\log n}, d_0 a^{-2} n^{1/8})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1 \in H} \cdots \sum_{i_j \in H} \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n}\right)^j \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{S}_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)} \geq \sqrt{x^2 - 3j} \hat{V}_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)}\right) \\ & \leq \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}}\right)^j \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 3j}}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)}}}\right)\right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^4 x^2}{n^{1/4}}\right)\right) \\ & \leq A \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}}\right)^j e^{3j/(2+4\rho_n)} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^4 x^2}{n^{1/4}}\right)\right) \\ & \leq A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} e^{3/(2+4\rho_n)}\right)^{j-1} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \\ & \leq A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n and some constant A'' depending on a, ρ , where $\rho_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)}$ is defined as

$$\rho_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{S}_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)})^2]}{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{V}_n^{(i_1, \dots, i_j)})^2]} - 1 \right) = \rho_n \left(1 + O\left(\frac{a^2 x^2}{n}\right)\right)$$

Therefore, by combining the upper bounds above we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \geq xV_n, \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\xi_{j(l+1)}| > \tau\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{u-1} A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}}\right)\right] + \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}}\right)^u \\ & \leq A_1 \frac{a^4 x^4}{n^{1/2}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \left(1 + \left(A \frac{a^4(1+x)^4}{n^{1/2}} e^{3/(1+2\rho_n)}\right)^{x^2/3}\right) \\ & \leq A_2 \frac{a^4 x^4}{n^{1/2}} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + 2\rho_n}}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in (c_\rho \sqrt{\log n}, d_0 a^{-2} n^{1/8})$. The proof is completed.

A.8. Proof of Proposition 5.8. We apply Theorem 2.1 to estimate $\mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} - \varepsilon x B_n)$. As a preparation, we first calculate the relevant moments. By Lemma 5.1, there exist

some positive constants A_1, A_2 depending on a_1, a_2 and τ such that for $1 \leq u \leq k_1$,

$$(A.61) \quad \mathbb{E}\xi_u^4 + \mathbb{E}\eta_u^4 \leq A_1 n^{2(\alpha+\alpha_1)} \mu_1^4, \quad \mathbb{E}|\xi_u|^3 + \mathbb{E}|\eta_u|^3 \leq A_2 n^{\frac{3}{2}(\alpha+\alpha_1)} \mu_1^3.$$

In addition, by Lemma 5.2 and some routine calculations we can obtain

$$(A.62) \quad |\mathbb{E}\xi_u^3| \leq A_3 n^{(\alpha+\alpha_1)} c_1^3, \quad |\mathbb{E}\xi_u \eta_u^2| \leq A_3 n^{(\alpha+\alpha_1)} c_1^3,$$

for a positive constant A_3 depending on a_1, a_2 and τ . To apply the moderate deviation theorem for general self-normalized sums, it remains to estimate the error term

$$r_{x,u} := \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{\xi_u^2}{\eta_u^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}\eta_u^2} \right) \mathbb{1} \left(\frac{1+x}{B_{n,1}} |\xi_u| > 1 \right) \right].$$

We split the block I_u into odd-indexed terms and even-indexed terms to construct a weakly dependent structure so that Lemma 5.3 can be applied. To be specific, let $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{j : j \text{ is odd}\}$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 = \{j : j \text{ is even}\}$. Correspondingly, we define for $t = 1, 2$

$$\mathcal{G}_t := \sum_{j \in I_u \cap \mathcal{J}_t} Y_j, \quad \mathcal{K}_t^2 := \sum_{j \in I_u \cap \mathcal{J}_t} (Y_j^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_j^2).$$

In the same manner as (A.58), we have

$$(A.63) \quad r_{x,u} \leq \sum_{t=1}^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{4\mathcal{G}_t^2}{\mathcal{K}_t^2} \right) \mathbb{1} \left(\frac{(1+x)|\mathcal{G}_t|}{B_{n,1}} > \frac{1}{v} \right) \right] := \sum_{t=1}^2 r_{x,u,t}.$$

As $\{r_{x,u,t}, t = 1, 2\}$ share the same bound, we only present the analysis of $r_{x,u,1}$. Suppose the cardinality of $I_u \cap \mathcal{J}_1$ is $[n^{\alpha_1}/2]$ for simplicity. By Lemma 5.3, $\{Y_j, j \in I_u \cap \mathcal{J}_1\}$ can be approximated by a sequence of independent random variables $\{Y_j^*, 1 \leq j \leq [n^{\alpha_1}/2]\}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{G}_1^* = \sum_{j=1}^{[n^{\alpha_1}/2]} Y_j^*, \quad (\mathcal{K}_1^*)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{[n^{\alpha_1}/2]} ((Y_j^*)^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}(Y_j^*)^2).$$

It follows from Lemmas A.5 and 5.3 that

$$(A.64) \quad \begin{aligned} r_{x,u,1} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{4(\mathcal{G}_1^*)^2}{(\mathcal{K}_1^*)^2} \right) \mathbb{1} \left(\frac{(1+x)|\mathcal{G}_1^*|}{B_{n,1}} > \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{4\mathcal{G}_1^2}{\mathcal{K}_1^2} \right) \mathbb{1} \left(\frac{(1+x)|\mathcal{G}_1|}{B_{n,1}} > \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathbb{1}(\exists j : Y_j \neq Y_j^*) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{4(\mathcal{G}_1^*)^2}{(\mathcal{K}_1^*)^2} \right) \mathbb{1} \left(\frac{(1+x)|\mathcal{G}_1^*|}{B_{n,1}} > \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{a_1 n^{\alpha_1}}{2} e^{4n^{\alpha_1} - a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(1+x)^4}{n^{2(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)}} + \frac{a_1 n^{\alpha_1}}{2} e^{4n^{\alpha_1} - a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

where α_1 should be chosen such that $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha\tau$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 and plugging in the bounds given in (5.27), (A.61), (A.62) and (A.64), we can obtain there exist a constant c_0 depending on $d_1, \mu_1/\mu_2, a_1, a_2, \alpha$ and τ such that when $\epsilon = d_1 n^{-\alpha_1/2} \log n$,

$$(A.65) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(S_{k,1} \geq xV_{k,1} \pm \epsilon x B_n) / [1 - \Phi(x)] \\ &= 1 + O \left(\frac{(1+x)^4}{n^{1-\alpha-\alpha_1}} + \frac{1+x}{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/2}} + \frac{(1+x)^2}{n^\alpha} + \frac{(1+x)^2 \log n}{n^{\alpha_1/2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, c_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha-\alpha_1)/4}, n^{\alpha\tau/2}, n^{\alpha/2}, (\log n)^{-1/2} n^{\alpha_1/4}\})$. The proof of Proposition 5.8 is completed.

A.9. Proof of Proposition 5.9. Note that $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_\ell)$ belongs to the tail σ -field, hence $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_\ell) = \mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ by the zero-one law. Therefore, we may write X_i as

$$X_i = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{i-u} X_i, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{P}_{i-u}(\cdot) = \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{i-u}) - \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{i-u-1}).$$

Observe that,

$$\|Y_j\|_4 = \left\| \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in H_j} \mathcal{P}_{i-u} X_i \right\|_4 \leq \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{i \in H_j} \mathcal{P}_{i-u} X_i \right\|_4.$$

For fixed $u \geq 0$, denote $Z_i = \mathcal{P}_{i-u} X_i$ and $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{F}_{i-u}$. It is obvious that $Z_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{A}_i$ for any $i \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_i | \mathcal{A}_{i-1}) = \mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{A}_{i-1}) - \mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{A}_{i-1}) = 0,$$

thus for any fixed $u \geq 0$, $\{(Z_i, \mathcal{A}_i), i \geq 1\}$ is a martingale difference sequence. It follows from Burkholder's martingale inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{i \in H_j} Z_i \right|^4 \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{i \in H_j} Z_i^2 \right|^2 \right] \leq C m \sum_{i \in H_j} \mathbb{E}(Z_i^4).$$

In addition, by conditional Jensen's inequality and recalling the definition in (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Z_i^4) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-u}) - \mathbb{E}(X_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-u-1}) \right|^4 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \mathbb{E} \left[X_i - G_i(\dots, \varepsilon_{i-u-1}, \varepsilon_{i-u}^*, \varepsilon_{i-u+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_i) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-u} \right] \right|^4 \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(X_i - G_i(\dots, \varepsilon_{i-u-1}, \varepsilon_{i-u}^*, \varepsilon_{i-u+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_i) \right)^4 \right] = [\theta_4(u)]^4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain by condition (3.16) and Remark 3.2 that

$$\|Y_j\|_4 \leq \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{i \in H_j} Z_i \right\|_4 \leq C \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} m^{1/2} a_1' e^{-a_2' u \tau} \leq C_1 m^{1/2}$$

for C_1 being a constant depending on a_1, a_2 and τ . Further, it follows from Minkowski's inequality and (5.38) that for sufficiently large n ,

$$(A.66) \quad \|\tilde{Y}_j\|_4 \leq \|Y_j\|_4 + \|\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j\|_4 \leq C_1 m^{1/2} + m a_1 e^{-a_2 m \tau} \leq \tilde{C}_1 m^{1/2},$$

$$(A.67) \quad \|\tilde{Y}_j\|_2 \geq \|Y_j\|_2 - \|\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j\|_2 \geq c_1 m^{1/2} - m a_1 e^{-a_2 m \tau} \geq \tilde{C}_2 m^{1/2},$$

where \tilde{C}_1 and $\tilde{C}_2 = \omega_1 - n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} a_1 e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha \tau}} > \omega_1/2$ are constants depending on $\tau, \alpha, \omega_1, a_1$ and a_2 .

Denote $\rho_k = (\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_j \tilde{Y}_{j+1})) / (\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_j^2))$. Note that

$$\tilde{C}_2^2 \cdot n = \tilde{C}_2^2 \cdot k m \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i^2) \leq \tilde{C}_1^2 \cdot k m = \tilde{C}_1^2 \cdot n,$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_j \tilde{Y}_{j+1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_j Y_{j+1}) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[Y_j (\tilde{Y}_{j+1} - Y_{j+1}) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[Y_{j+1} (\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[(\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j) (\tilde{Y}_{j+1} - Y_{j+1}) \right] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\|Y_j\|_2 \cdot \|\tilde{Y}_{j+1} - Y_{j+1}\|_2 + \|Y_{j+1}\|_2 \cdot \|\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j\|_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|\tilde{Y}_j - Y_j\|_2 \cdot \|\tilde{Y}_{j+1} - Y_{j+1}\|_2 \right) \\
&\leq k \left(2\tilde{C}_1 m^{1/2} \cdot m a_1 e^{-a_2 m^\tau} + m^2 a_1^2 e^{-2a_2 m^\tau} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Immediately we have

$$(A.68) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{Y}_{i+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_{i+1}) \right| \left/ \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i^2) \right) \right. \leq C_3 e^{-C_4 a_2 m^\tau},$$

with constants C_3 and C_4 depending on $\tau, \alpha, \omega_1, a_1$ and a_2 . Now, for further investigation into the ratio of $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_j Y_{j+1})$ against $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_j^2)$, we make use of the martingale structure again by representing Y_j and Y_{j+1} as

$$Y_j = \sum_{i \in H_j} \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{i-u} X_i, \quad Y_{j+1} = \sum_{i \in H_{j+1}} \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{i-u} X_i.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_j Y_{j+1}) = \sum_{i_1 \in H_j} \sum_{i_2 \in H_{j+1}} \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u_2=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_1} \right) \cdot \left(\mathcal{P}_{i_2-u_2} X_{i_2} \right) \right].$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{i_2-u_2} X_{i_2} \in \mathcal{F}_{i_2-u_2}$ and for any $\ell_1 < i_1 - u_1, \ell_2 < i_2 - u_2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\ell_1} \right] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{P}_{i_2-u_2} X_{i_2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\ell_2} \right] = 0.$$

Therefore, for $i_1 - u_1 \neq i_2 - u_2$, we have $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_1} \right) \cdot \left(\mathcal{P}_{i_2-u_2} X_{i_2} \right) \right] = 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}(Y_j Y_{j+1})| &= \left| \sum_{i_1 \in H_j} \sum_{i_2 \in H_{j+1}} \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_1} \right) \cdot \left(\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_2} \right) \right] \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i_1 \in H_j} \sum_{i_2 \in H_{j+1}} \sum_{u_1=0}^{+\infty} 4a_1'^2 \cdot \exp \left[-a_2' \left(u_1^\tau + (i_2 - i_1 + u_1)^\tau \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\|\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_1}\|_2 \leq \theta_4(u_1)$ and $\|\mathcal{P}_{i_1-u_1} X_{i_2}\|_2 \leq \theta_4(i_2 - i_1 + u_1)$.

It is trivial to see from the integrability of the function e^{-ax^τ} that

$$(A.69) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{i_1 \in H_j} \sum_{i_2 \in H_{j+1}} \sum_{u_1=0}^{\infty} 4a_1'^2 \cdot \exp \left[-a_2' \left(u_1^\tau + (i_2 - i_1 + u_1)^\tau \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2m} \ell \cdot \exp \left[-a_2' \left(u^\tau + (\ell + u)^\tau \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{2m} \ell e^{-a'_2 \ell^\tau} \right) \left(\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} e^{-a'_2 u^\tau} \right) \leq C_5,$$

for some constant C_5 depending on α, a_1, a_2 and τ . Finally, as a result of (A.67), (A.68) and (A.69), we obtain

$$(A.70) \quad |\rho_k| := \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{Y}_{i+1}) \right| / \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_i^2) \right) \leq C_6 m^{-1}$$

for large enough n , where C_6 is a constant depending on $\tau, \alpha, \omega_1, a_1$ and a_2 .

Now we are ready to apply Theorem 3.1 to the self-normalized sum

$$\tilde{T}_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j^2 \right)^{1/2}}.$$

Notice that $\{\tilde{Y}_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ are one-dependent random variables and the bounds in (A.66), (A.67) and (A.70), i.e., the conditions in Theorem 3.1, are satisfied. Recall that $m = \lfloor n^\alpha \rfloor$ and $k = \lfloor n^{1-\alpha} \rfloor$. We obtain by (3.2) that there is a constant d_0 depending on $\tau, \alpha, \omega_1, a_1$ and a_2 , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{T}_k \geq x) &= \left[1 - \Phi \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + O(m^{-1})}} \right) \right] \left(1 + O \left(\frac{1 + x^2}{n^{(1-\alpha)/4}} \right) \right) \\ &= \left[1 - \Phi(x) \right] \left(1 + O \left(\frac{1 + x^2}{n^{(1-\alpha)/4}} + \frac{1 + x^2}{n^\alpha} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $x \in (0, d_0 \min\{n^{(1-\alpha)/8}, n^{\alpha/2}\})$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.9.

A.10. Proof of Proposition 5.10. For simplicity, we denote $V_k^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j^2$ and $\tilde{V}_k^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j^2$. Recall the constant \tilde{C}_2 in the lower bound shown in (A.67). Set

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |Y_j - \tilde{Y}_j| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}, \tilde{V}_k^2 \geq \frac{\tilde{C}_2^2}{2} \cdot n \right\}.$$

Within the set \mathcal{B} , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |V_k - \tilde{V}_k| &\leq \tilde{V}_k^{-1} |V_k^2 - \tilde{V}_k^2| \leq n^{-2} \tilde{V}_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (2|\tilde{Y}_j| + n^{-2}) \\ &\leq 2n^{-2} k^{1/2} + \sqrt{2} \tilde{C}_2^{-1} n^{-7/2-\alpha} \leq (2\tilde{C}_2^{-1} + 2)n^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence when n is sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_k - \tilde{T}_k| &= \frac{\left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j \right) \tilde{V}_k - \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{Y}_j \right) V_k \right|}{V_k \tilde{V}_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k |Y_j - \tilde{Y}_j|}{V_k} + \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^k |\tilde{Y}_j| \right)}{\tilde{V}_k} \cdot \frac{|V_k - \tilde{V}_k|}{V_k} \\ &\leq C \left(n^{-1/2} k n^{-2} + k^{1/2} n^{-1/2} n^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq 2C n^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \leq 2C n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(A.71) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(T_k \geq x, |T_k - \tilde{T}_k| \leq 2Cn^{-1}\right) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{T}_k \geq x - 2Cn^{-1}\right) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(A.72) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x) &\geq \mathbb{P}(T_k \geq x, \mathcal{B}) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{T}_k \geq x + 2Cn^{-1}, \mathcal{B}\right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{T}_k \geq x + 2Cn^{-1}\right) - \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c). \end{aligned}$$

As for the bound of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c)$, notice that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{P}(|Y_j - \tilde{Y}_j| > n^{-2}) + \mathbb{P}(\tilde{V}_k^2 \leq \tilde{C}_2^2 n/2).$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and (5.38) with $r = 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{P}(|Y_j - \tilde{Y}_j| > n^{-2}) \leq n^{1-\alpha} n^{4+2\alpha} a_1^2 e^{-2a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} \leq C e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}}.$$

In addition,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{V}_k^2 \leq \tilde{C}_2^2 n/2) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} \tilde{Y}_{2\ell-1}^2 < \tilde{C}_2^2 n/4\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} \tilde{Y}_{2\ell}^2 < \tilde{C}_2^2 n/4\right),$$

where, without loss of generality, we assumed that $k/2$ is an integer. Denoting $W_\ell = -\tilde{Y}_{2\ell-1}^2 + \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_{2\ell-1}^2)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k/2$, (A.66) suggests that

$$W_\ell \leq \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_{2\ell-1}^2) \leq \tilde{C}_1^2 m, \quad \mathbb{E}(W_\ell) = 0,$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} \mathbb{E}(W_\ell^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{k/2} \text{Var}(\tilde{Y}_{2i-1}^2) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_{2\ell-1}^4) \leq \tilde{C}_1^4 k m^2 / 2.$$

Observe that $\{Y_{2\ell-1}, 1 \leq \ell \leq k/2\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables. It follows from Bernstein's inequality and (A.67) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} Y_{2\ell-1}^2 \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_2^2}{4} n\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} W_\ell \geq -\frac{\tilde{C}_2^2}{4} n + \sum_{i=1}^{k/2} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{Y}_{2i-1}^2)\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} W_\ell \geq \frac{\tilde{C}_2^2}{4} n\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{((\tilde{C}_2^2 n)/4)^2}{2(\tilde{C}_1^2 m \cdot \tilde{C}_2^2 n/4 + \tilde{C}_1^4 k m^2 / 2)}\right\} \leq \exp\{-C n^{1-\alpha}\}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C depends on $\tau, \alpha, \omega_1, a_1$ and a_2 . By the same token,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k/2} Y_{2\ell}^2 \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_2^2}{4} n\right) \leq \exp\{-C n^{1-\alpha}\}.$$

Overall, we obtain

$$(A.73) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}^c) \leq C e^{-a_2 n^{\alpha\tau}} + 2e^{-Cn^{1-\alpha}}.$$

The proof of Proposition 5.10 is completed.

A.11. Proof of Theorem 4.1. Define $f(x) = x\mathbb{1}\{|x| \leq \tau\} + \tau\mathbb{1}\{x > \tau\} - \tau\mathbb{1}\{x < -\tau\}$. In view of the expression shown in (4.10) and the notations presented above Theorem 4.1, the main idea is to apply Theorem 2.1 and estimate the corresponding bias-corrected term Ψ_x^* and error terms $L_{3,n}$ and R_x under fourth moment, which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{3,n} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1^3} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \mu|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_2^3} \\ \Psi_x^* &= \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \right)^3 x^3 n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{4}{3} \gamma^3 \frac{\mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^3}{\sigma_1^3} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2\gamma^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})(f(Y) - \mu)^2]}{\sigma_1\sigma_2^2} \right) \right\} \\ R_x &= \frac{(1+x)^4}{n} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|^4}{\sigma_1^4} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \mu|^4}{\sigma_2^4} \right) \\ &\quad + n\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \mu)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left((1+x) \frac{|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1} > 1 \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{c}{x})$.

Throughout the rest of the proof, A is an absolute positive constant which may vary at each appearance. When the higher moment $\mathbb{E}[Y^4] < \infty$ is assumed, we can figure out more accurate estimate for the bias-corrected term Ψ_x^* . Let us collect the bounds for some crucial quantities in the proposition below. The proof of Proposition A.1 will be given in Section A.16.

PROPOSITION A.1. *If $\mathbb{E}|Y|^4 < \infty$, then we have there exists an absolute positive constant A such that*

$$(A.74) \quad |\sigma_1^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}, \quad |\sigma_2^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}, \quad |\mu - \tilde{\mu}| \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3}.$$

Moreover, when τ satisfies (4.11), we have

$$(A.75) \quad \left| \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^6},$$

and there exist absolute positive constants A and a_0 such that

$$(A.76) \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2 x + c}{\sigma_1}\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3}$$

for $0 < x \leq a_0 \frac{\tau^3\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}$, and

$$(A.77) \quad R_x \leq \frac{A(1+x)^4\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{n\sigma^4}.$$

In addition, there exist positive constants a_1 and C depending on σ , $\mathbb{E}|Y|^3$ and $\mathbb{E}|Y|^4$ such that

$$(A.78) \quad \Psi_x^* = \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^3\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3} \right\} \left[1 + O_1 \left(\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}\tau} + \frac{x^2}{\tau^3} \right) \right]$$

for $2 < x \leq a_1 \min\{\frac{\tau^3}{\sqrt{n}}, (\sqrt{n}\tau)^{1/3}, \tau^{3/2}\}$, where O_1 is a bounded quantity such that $|O_1| \leq C$.

We next consider two cases of $0 < x \leq 2$ and $x > 2$, separately. First for $0 < x \leq 2$, it is immediate that $1 - \Phi(x) \geq 1 - \Phi(2)$. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 5.1 and (A.76) that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) = [1 - \Phi(x)] \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3}\right) \right].$$

Since the bias term $\exp\{-\frac{x^3\mathbb{E}(Y-\mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\} = 1 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ for $0 < x \leq 2$, we obtain

$$(A.79) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) = [1 - \Phi(x)] \exp\left\{-\frac{x^3\mathbb{E}(Y-\mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\right\} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3}\right) \right]$$

for $0 < x \leq 2$, which completes the proof of (4.12) for $0 < x \leq 2$. Then we deal with the case of $x > 2$. Applying Theorem 2.1 to (4.10) yields

$$(A.80) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right) \right] \Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} \left(1 + O_2\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x\right) L_{3,n} \right)$$

uniformly for $|c| \leq x/5$ and $x > 2$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7). Note that (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied when $2 < x \leq a_2 \frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma^4}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}$ for some constant $a_2 > 0$. By plugging in the results in Proposition A.1, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) &= [1 - \Phi(x)] \exp\left\{-\frac{x^3\mathbb{E}(Y-\mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\right\} \\ &\quad \times \left[1 + O_1\left(\frac{x\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3} + \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}\tau} + \frac{x^4}{n} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{x^2}{\tau^3}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $2 < x \leq a_3 \min\{\tau^3 n^{-1/2}, (\sqrt{n}\tau)^{1/3}, n^{1/4}, \tau^{3/2}\}$. Observe that when τ satisfies (4.11), $\tau^{3/2} \geq O(n^{1/4})$ and $\frac{1+x^2}{\tau^3} \leq A \frac{(1+x)\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3}$ for $x = O(\sqrt{n})$. Moreover, by the basic inequality that $a + b > (a^2 b)^{1/3}$ for $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, we have $\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}\tau} \leq \frac{x^4}{n} + \frac{x\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3}$ and $(\sqrt{n}\tau)^{1/3} \geq \min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\}$. Hence the desired result (4.12) holds for $x \in (2, c_2 \min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\})$, which together with the result (A.79) for $0 < x \leq 2$ completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

A.12. Proof of Theorem 4.2. Define $g(Y) = (Y - \mu)\mathbb{1}\{|Y| \leq \tau\}$. Recall that

$$(A.81) \quad \mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n^\circ - \delta}{V_n^\circ} > \frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x\right),$$

where

$$S_n^\circ = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{g(Y_i) - \mu_0}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3}, \quad (V_n^\circ)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[g(Y_i)]^2}{n\sigma_4^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu_0}{\sigma_3}.$$

The main idea is to apply Theorem 2.1 and estimate the corresponding bias-corrected term Ψ_x^* and error terms $L_{3,n}$ and R_x under fourth moment, which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{3,n} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y) - \mu_0|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3^3} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y)|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_4^3} \\ \Psi_x^* &= \exp\left\{\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}\right)^3 x^3 n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^3 \frac{\mathbb{E}(g(Y) - \mu_0)^3}{\sigma_3^3}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2\gamma^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[(g(Y) - \mu_0)(g(Y))^2]}{\sigma_3 \sigma_4^2} \Big) \Big\} \\
R_x &= \frac{(1+x)^4}{n} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y) - \mu_0|^4}{\sigma_3^4} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y)|^4}{\sigma_4^4} \right) \\
& + n \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y))^2 + \sigma_4^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left((1+x) \frac{|g(Y) - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3} > 1 \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\delta}{x})$.

When the higher moment $\mathbb{E}[Y^4] < \infty$ is assumed, we can figure out more accurate estimate for the bias-corrected term Ψ_x^* . Let us collect the bounds for some crucial quantities in the proposition below. The proof of Proposition A.2 will be given in Section A.17.

PROPOSITION A.2. *If $\mathbb{E}|Y|^4 < \infty$, then we have there exists an absolute positive constant A such that*

$$(A.82) \quad |\sigma_3^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}, \quad |\sigma_4^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}, \quad |\mu_0| \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3}.$$

Moreover, when τ satisfies (4.11), we have

$$(A.83) \quad \left| \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2 \tau^6},$$

and there exist absolute positive constants A and a_0 such that

$$(A.84) \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4 x + \delta}{\sigma_3}\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma \tau^3}$$

for $0 < x \leq a_0 \frac{\tau^3 \sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}$, and

$$(A.85) \quad R_x \leq \frac{A(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{n\sigma^4}.$$

In addition, there exist positive constants a_1 and C depending on σ , $\mathbb{E}|Y|^3$ and $\mathbb{E}|Y|^4$ such that

$$(A.86) \quad \Psi_x^* = \exp \left\{ - \frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3} \right\} \left[1 + O_1 \left(\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}\tau} + \frac{x^2}{\tau^3} \right) \right]$$

for $2 < x \leq a_1 \min\{\frac{\tau^3}{\sqrt{n}}, (\sqrt{n}\tau)^{1/3}, \tau^{3/2}\}$, where O_1 is a bounded quantity such that $|O_1| \leq C$.

We next consider two cases of $0 < x \leq 2$ and $x > 2$, separately. First for $0 < x \leq 2$, it is immediate that $1 - \Phi(x) \geq 1 - \Phi(2)$. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 5.1 and (A.84) that

$$\mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = [1 - \Phi(x)] \left[1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3} \right) \right].$$

Since the bias term $\exp\{-\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\} = 1 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ for $0 < x \leq 2$, we obtain

$$(A.87) \quad \mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = [1 - \Phi(x)] \exp \left\{ - \frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3} \right\} \left[1 + O \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3} \right) \right]$$

for $0 < x \leq 2$. Next we deal with the case of $x > 2$. Applying Theorem 2.1 to (A.81) yields

$$(A.88) \quad \mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x + \delta\right)\right] \Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} \left(1 + O_2\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x\right)L_{3,n}\right)$$

uniformly for $|\delta| \leq x/5$ and $x > 2$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7). Note that (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied when $2 < x \leq a_2 \frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma^4}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}$ for some constant $a_2 > 0$. By plugging in the results in Proposition A.2, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) &= [1 - \Phi(x)] \exp\left\{-\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{3\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}\right\} \\ &\quad \times \left[1 + O_1\left(\frac{x\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3} + \frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}\tau} + \frac{x^4}{n} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{x^2}{\tau^3}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for $2 < x \leq a_3 \min\{\tau^3 n^{-1/2}, (\sqrt{n}\tau)^{1/3}, n^{1/4}, \tau^{3/2}\}$. Observe that when τ satisfies (4.11), $\tau^{3/2} \geq O(n^{1/4})$ and $\frac{1+x^2}{\tau^3} \leq A \frac{(1+x)\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3}$ for $x = O(\sqrt{n})$. Moreover, by the basic inequality that $a + b > (a^2 b)^{1/3}$ for $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, we have $\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}\tau} \leq \frac{x^4}{n} + \frac{x\sqrt{n}}{\tau^3}$ and $(\sqrt{n}\tau)^{1/3} \geq \min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\}$. Hence the desired result holds for $x \in (2, c_2 \min\{n^{1/4}, \tau^3 n^{-1/2}\})$, which together with the result (A.87) for $0 < x \leq 2$ completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

A.13. Proof of Theorem 4.3. Recall that $f(x) = x\mathbb{1}\{|x| \leq \tau\} + \tau\mathbb{1}\{x > \tau\} - \tau\mathbb{1}\{x < -\tau\}$. In view of the expression shown in (4.10) and the notations presented above Theorem 4.1, the main idea is to apply Theorem 2.1 and estimate the corresponding error terms $L_{3,n}$, Ψ_x^* and R_x , which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{3,n} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1^3} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \mu|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_2^3} \\ \Psi_x^* &= \exp\left\{\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)^3 x^3 n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\gamma^3 \frac{\mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^3}{\sigma_1^3} - 2\gamma^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})(f(Y) - \mu)^2]}{\sigma_1\sigma_2^2}\right)\right\} \\ R_x &= \frac{(1+x)^3}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|^3}{\sigma_1^3} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \mu|^3}{\sigma_2^3}\right) \\ &\quad + n\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \mu)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left((1+x)\frac{|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1} > 1\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{c}{x})$.

We collect the bounds for some crucial quantities in the proposition below. The proof of Proposition A.3 will be omitted since it is similar to the proof of Proposition A.1.

PROPOSITION A.3. *If $\mathbb{E}[|Y|^3] < \infty$, then we have there exists an absolute positive constant A such that*

$$(A.89) \quad \mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \mu|^3 \leq A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3, \quad \mathbb{E}|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|^3 \leq A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3$$

$$(A.90) \quad |\sigma_1^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\tau}, \quad |\sigma_2^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\tau}, \quad |\mu - \tilde{\mu}| \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\tau^2}.$$

Moreover, when τ satisfies (4.14), we have

$$(A.91) \quad \left|\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 1\right| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^3)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^4},$$

and there exist absolute constants A and a_0 such that

$$(A.92) \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}$$

for $0 < x \leq a_0 \frac{\tau^2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}$, and

$$(A.93) \quad R_x \leq \frac{A(1+x)^3\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}.$$

We next consider two cases of $0 < x \leq 2$ and $x > 2$, separately. First for $0 < x \leq 2$, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that

$$(A.94) \quad \left| \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) - \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)\right] \right| \leq AL_{3,n}.$$

From Proposition A.3 that when τ satisfies (4.14) with some large a_1 , we can see that

$$(A.95) \quad L_{3,n} \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}},$$

$$(A.96) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}.$$

Therefore, it holds for $0 < x \leq 2$ that

$$(A.97) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = 1 + O_1\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}\right),$$

with $|O_1| \leq A$. The desired result (4.15) has been proved for $0 < x \leq 2$.

Now we proceed to prove for $x > 2$. Applying Theorem 2.1 to (4.10) yields

$$(A.98) \quad \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)\right] \Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} \left(1 + O_2\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x\right)L_{3,n}\right)$$

uniformly for $|c| \leq x/5$ and $x > 2$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7). When τ satisfies (4.14), we can obtain from Proposition A.3 that $|\sigma_1/\sigma_2 - 1| \leq A$ and

$$(A.99) \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}$$

for $x \leq a_2 \frac{\tau^2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}$, and

$$(A.100) \quad R_x \leq \frac{A(1+x)^3\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}.$$

Moreover, since $|\frac{c}{x}| \leq 1/5$ as τ satisfies (4.14) and $x > 2$, we have

$$(A.101) \quad \Psi_x^* \leq \exp\left\{\frac{Ax^3\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}\right\}.$$

In addition, note that the conditions of x shown in (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied when $0 < x \leq a_2 \min\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}, \frac{\tau^2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}\right\}$. Consequently, the desired result (4.15) for $x > 2$ are derived by substituting (A.95) and (A.99)–(A.101) into (A.98). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

A.14. Proof of Theorem 4.4. Define $g(Y) = (Y - \mu)\mathbb{1}\{|Y| \leq \tau\}$. Recall that

$$(A.102) \quad \mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n^\circ - \delta}{V_n^\circ} > \frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x\right),$$

where

$$S_n^\circ = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{g(Y_i) - \mu_0}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3}, \quad (V_n^\circ)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[g(Y_i)]^2}{n\sigma_4^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu_0}{\sigma_3}.$$

The main idea is to apply Theorem 2.1 and estimate the corresponding bias-corrected term Ψ_x^* and error terms $L_{3,n}$ and R_x under fourth moment, which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{3,n} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y) - \mu_0|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3^3} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y)|^3}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_4^3} \\ \Psi_x^* &= \exp\left\{\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}\right)^3 x^3 n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\gamma^3 \frac{\mathbb{E}(g(Y) - \mu_0)^3}{\sigma_3^3} - 2\gamma^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}[(g(Y) - \mu_0)(g(Y))^2]}{\sigma_3\sigma_4^2}\right)\right\} \\ R_x &= \frac{(1+x)^4}{n} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y) - \mu_0|^4}{\sigma_3^4} + \frac{\mathbb{E}|g(Y)|^4}{\sigma_4^4}\right) \\ &\quad + n\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y))^2 + \sigma_4^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left((1+x)\frac{|g(Y) - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3} > 1\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\delta}{x})$.

We collect the bounds for some crucial quantities in the proposition below. The proof of Proposition A.4 will be omitted since it is similar to the proof of Proposition A.2.

PROPOSITION A.4. *If $\mathbb{E}[|Y|^3] < \infty$, then we have there exists an absolute positive constant A such that*

$$(A.103) \quad \mathbb{E}|g(Y) - \mu_0|^3 \leq A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3, \quad \mathbb{E}|g(Y)|^3 \leq A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3,$$

$$(A.104) \quad |\sigma_3^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\tau}, \quad |\sigma_4^2 - \sigma^2| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\tau}, \quad |\mu_0| \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\tau^2}.$$

Moreover, when τ satisfies (4.14), we have

$$(A.105) \quad \left|\frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} - 1\right| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^3)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^4},$$

and there exist absolute constants A and a_0 such that

$$(A.106) \quad \left|\frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x + \delta\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1\right| \leq \frac{A(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}$$

for $0 < x \leq a_0 \frac{\tau^2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}$, and

$$(A.107) \quad R_x \leq \frac{A(1+x)^3\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}.$$

We next consider two cases of $0 < x \leq 2$ and $x > 2$, separately. First for $0 < x \leq 2$, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that

$$(A.108) \quad \left|\mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) - \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x + \delta\right)\right]\right| \leq AL_{3,n}$$

From Proposition A.4 that when τ satisfies (4.14) with some large a_1 , we can see that

$$(A.109) \quad L_{3,n} \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}},$$

$$(A.110) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x + \delta\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}.$$

Therefore, it holds for $0 < x \leq 2$ that

$$(A.111) \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = 1 + O_1\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}\right),$$

with $|O_1| \leq A$. The desired result has been proved for $0 < x \leq 2$.

Now we proceed to prove for $x > 2$. Applying Theorem 2.1 to (A.102) yields

$$(A.112) \quad \mathbb{P}(U_{\tau,n}^* > x) = \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x + \delta\right)\right] \Psi_x^* e^{O_1 R_x} \left(1 + O_2\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x\right)L_{3,n}\right)$$

uniformly for $|c| \leq x/5$ and $x > 2$ satisfying (2.6) and (2.7). When τ satisfies (4.14), we can obtain from Proposition A.4 that $|\sigma_3/\sigma_4 - 1| \leq A$ and

$$(A.113) \quad \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3}x + \delta\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(1+x)\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma\tau^2}$$

for $x \leq a_2 \frac{\tau^2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}$, and

$$(A.114) \quad R_x \leq \frac{A(1+x)^3\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}.$$

Moreover, since $|\frac{\delta}{x}| \leq 1/5$ as τ satisfies (4.14) and $x > 2$, we have

$$(A.115) \quad \Psi_x^* \leq \exp\left\{\frac{Ax^3\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}{\sigma^3\sqrt{n}}\right\}.$$

In addition, note that the conditions of x shown in (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied when $0 < x \leq a_2 \min\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}\sigma^3}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}, \frac{\tau^2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^3}\right\}$. Consequently, the desired result (4.15) for $x > 2$ are derived by substituting (A.109) and (A.113)–(A.115) into (A.112). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

A.15. Proof of Theorem 4.5. Notice that $\Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2p) = 2(1 + o(1))\sqrt{\log 2p/\alpha} = o(n^{1/6})$ lays in the range of Theorem 4.3, hence by Theorem 4.3,

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left[\mathbb{P}(\mu_j < L_j) + \mathbb{P}(\mu_j > U_j) \right] \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau,n}^* > \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2p)) = \alpha + o(1),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.5.

A.16. Proof of Proposition A.1. From the definition of $f(\cdot)$, it is obvious that $|f(Y)| \leq |Y|$ and $|f(Y) - Y| \leq |Y|\mathbb{1}(|Y| > \tau)$. First for the bound for $|\sigma_1^2 - \sigma^2|$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_1^2 - \sigma^2| &= |\mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2 - \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^2| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{(2|Y| + 2\mathbb{E}|Y|)(|Y|\mathbb{1}(|Y| > \tau) + \mathbb{E}[|Y|\mathbb{1}(|Y| > \tau)])\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the same bound for $|\sigma_2^2 - \sigma^2|$. Since $|f(Y) - Y| \leq |Y|\mathbb{1}(|Y| > \tau)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu - \tilde{\mu}| &= |\mathbb{E}f(Y) - \mathbb{E}Y| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}|f(Y) - Y| \leq \mathbb{E}[|Y|\mathbb{1}(|Y| > \tau)] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3}. \end{aligned}$$

As for (A.75), it follows by (A.74) that when τ satisfies (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 1 \right| &= \frac{|\mathbb{E}[(f(Y) - \mu)^2] - \mathbb{E}[(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2]|}{\sigma_1^2} \\ &= \frac{(\mu - \tilde{\mu})^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq \frac{(\mu - \tilde{\mu})^2}{\sigma^2 - \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{A(\mu - \tilde{\mu})^2}{\sigma^2} \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^6}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.116}$$

Now we proceed to show (A.76). First for $0 < x \leq 2$, it holds that $1 - \Phi(2) \leq 1 - \Phi(x) \leq 1$. Then we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| &\leq A \left| \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \\ &\leq A \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} - 1\right)x + c \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.117}$$

Recalling that $|c| = \frac{\sqrt{n}|\mu - \tilde{\mu}|}{\sigma_1} \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3}$, and $|\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} - 1| \leq |\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - 1| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^6}$. Therefore, it follows that for $0 < x \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| &\leq A \left(\frac{(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^6} + \frac{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.118}$$

where the last inequality is derived by using the fact that $\frac{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3} \geq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^6}$ for τ satisfying (4.11). For $x > 2$, it holds that when $x \leq a_0 \frac{\tau^3\sigma}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}x + c\right)}{1 - \Phi(x)} - 1 \right| &\leq A \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} - 1\right)x^2 + cx \right] \exp \left\{ A \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} - 1\right)x^2 + cx \right] \right\} \\ &\leq A \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} - 1\right)x^2 + cx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{Ax\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

The above results for the two cases of $0 < x \leq 2$ and $2 < x \leq a_0 \frac{\tau^3\sigma}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}$ yield the desired result (A.76).

Next we proceed to prove (A.77). Recall that

$$R_x \leq A \frac{(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{n\sigma^4} + r_x, \tag{A.119}$$

where

$$r_x = n\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \mu)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left((1+x) \frac{|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_1} > 1 \right) \right].$$

The goal is to upper bound the exponential part. By (A.75) and the range (4.11) for τ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \mu)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq A \frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \mu)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \\
& = A \frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2 + \kappa_1(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}) + \kappa_2} \\
& = \frac{A}{1 + \kappa_1(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^{-1} + \kappa_2(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^{-2}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.120}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1 &= 2(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3}, \\
\kappa_2 &= \sigma_2^2 + (\tilde{\mu} - \mu)^2 \geq A\sigma^2 - \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\tau^6} \geq A\sigma^2
\end{aligned}$$

for τ satisfying (4.11). Observing that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$1 + \kappa_1 x + \kappa_2 x^2 \geq 1 - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{4\kappa_2},$$

hence when (4.11) hold with large number c_1 such that $\kappa_1^2 \leq \kappa_2$, we obtain

$$\frac{(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^2}{(f(Y) - \mu)^2 + \sigma_2^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq A.
\tag{A.121}$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$r_x \leq A \frac{(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}(|f(Y) - \tilde{\mu}|^4)}{n\sigma_1^4} \leq \frac{A(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{n\sigma^4},$$

which combining with (A.119) yields the desired result (A.77).

Finally, we deal with the proof of (A.78) when τ satisfies (4.11). It follows from (A.75) that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \right)^3 - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2 \tau^6}.
\tag{A.122}$$

Since $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{c}{x})$ and $|c| \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}|\mu - \tilde{\mu}|}{\sigma} \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3} \leq A_0$ for some absolute constant A_0 when τ satisfies (4.11), we have $|c|/x \leq A_0/2$ for $x > 2$ and τ satisfying (4.11). In addition,

$$\left| \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{x\sigma\tau^3},
\tag{A.123}$$

and we can obtain from (A.75) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^3}{\sigma_1^3} - \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\mathbb{E}(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})^3 - \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3|}{\sigma_1^3} + |\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3| \left| \frac{1}{\sigma_1^3} - \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \right| \\
& \leq A \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma^3 \tau} + \frac{|\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3|(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^5 \tau^6} \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.124}$$

Similarly,

$$(A.125) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[(f(Y) - \tilde{\mu})(f(Y) - \mu)^2]}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2^2} - \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right).$$

Then the desired result (A.78) is derived by plugging in the above bounds in (A.122)–(A.125). This completes the proof of Proposition A.1.

A.17. Proof of Proposition A.2. Recall the definition that $g(Y) = (Y - \mu)\mathbb{1}\{|Y| \leq \tau\}$. First for the bound for $|\sigma_1^2 - \sigma^2|$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_1^2 - \sigma^2| &= |\mathbb{E}\{[g(Y) - \mu_0 - (Y - \mu)][g(Y) - \mu_0 + (Y - \mu)]\}| \\ &\leq A\tau^{-2}\mathbb{E}\{(|Y|^3 + |\mu|Y^2 + \mathbb{E}|Y|^3 + |\mu|\mathbb{E}Y^2)(|Y| + |\mu| + \mathbb{E}|Y|)\} \\ &\leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the same bound for $|\sigma_2^2 - \sigma^2|$. Moreover, we have

$$|\mu_0| = |\mathbb{E}g(Y)| \leq \mathbb{E}[|Y - \mu|\mathbb{1}\{|Y| > \tau\}] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3}.$$

As for (A.83), it follows by (A.82) that when τ satisfies (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} - 1 \right| &= \frac{|\mathbb{E}[(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2] - \mathbb{E}[(g(Y))^2]|}{\sigma_3^2} \\ &= \frac{\mu_0^2}{\sigma_3^2} \leq \frac{\mu_0^2}{\sigma^2 - \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^2}} \\ (A.126) \quad &\leq \frac{A\mu_0^2}{\sigma^2} \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2\tau^6}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (A.84) can be proved by the same procedure of proving (A.76).

Next we proceed to prove (A.85). Recall that

$$(A.127) \quad R_x \leq A \frac{(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{n\sigma^4} + r_x,$$

where

$$r_x = n\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y))^2 + \sigma_4^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} \right\} \mathbb{1} \left((1+x) \frac{|g(Y) - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{n}\sigma_3} > 1 \right) \right].$$

The key point is to upper bound the exponential part. By (A.83) and the range (4.11) for τ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y))^2 + \sigma_4^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} &\leq A \frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y))^2 + \sigma_4^2} \\ &= A \frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2 + \kappa_1(g(Y) - \mu_0) + \kappa_2} \\ (A.128) \quad &= \frac{A}{1 + \kappa_1(g(Y) - \mu_0)^{-1} + \kappa_2(g(Y) - \mu_0)^{-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 &= 2\mu_0 \quad \text{satisfying } |\kappa_1| \leq \frac{A\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\tau^3}, \\ \kappa_2 &= \sigma_4^2 + (\mu_0)^2 \geq A\sigma^2 - \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\tau^6} \geq A\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

for τ satisfying (4.11). Observing that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$1 + \kappa_1 x + \kappa_2 x^2 \geq 1 - \frac{\kappa_1^2}{4\kappa_2},$$

hence when (4.11) hold with large number c_1 such that $\kappa_1^2 \leq \kappa_2$, we obtain

$$(A.129) \quad \frac{(g(Y) - \mu_0)^2}{(g(Y))^2 + \sigma_4^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_4^2}{\sigma_3^2} \leq A.$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$r_x \leq A \frac{(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}(|g(Y) - \mu_0|^4)}{n\sigma_3^4} \leq \frac{A(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{n\sigma^4},$$

which combining with (A.119) yields the desired result (A.85).

Finally, we deal with the proof of (A.86) when τ satisfies (4.11). It follows from (A.83) that

$$(A.130) \quad \left| \left(\frac{\sigma_4}{\sigma_3} \right)^3 - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^2 \tau^6}.$$

Since $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\delta}{x})$ and $|\delta| \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}|\mu_0|}{\sigma} \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma\tau^3} \leq A_0$ for some absolute constant A_0 when τ satisfies (4.11), we have $|\delta|/x \leq A_0/2$ for $x > 2$ and τ satisfying (4.11). In addition,

$$(A.131) \quad \left| \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{A\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{x\sigma\tau^3},$$

and we can obtain from (A.83) that

$$(A.132) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}(g(Y) - \mu_0)^3}{\sigma_3^3} - \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} \right| \\ & \leq A \frac{|\mathbb{E}(g(Y) - \mu_0)^3 - \mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3|}{\sigma_3^3} + A|\mathbb{E}|Y - \mu|^3| \left| \frac{1}{\sigma_3^3} - \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \right| \\ & \leq A \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^4}{\sigma^3 \tau} + \frac{|\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3|(\mathbb{E}|Y|^4)^2}{\sigma^5 \tau^6} \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(A.133) \quad \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[(g(Y) - \mu_0)(g(Y))^2]}{\sigma_3 \sigma_4^2} - \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right).$$

Then the desired result (A.86) is derived by plugging in the above bounds in (A.130)–(A.133). This completes the proof of Proposition A.2.

A.18. Proof of Corollary 2.1. We apply Theorem 2.1 with $Y_i^2 = \mathbb{E}X_i^2$, $c_0 = 0$ and $c = 0$. In this case,

$$\Psi_x^* = \exp \left\{ \frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}X_1^3}{6\sigma^3 \sqrt{n}} \right\}, \quad L_{3,n} \leq \frac{2\mathbb{E}|X_1|^3}{\sigma^3 \sqrt{n}}, \quad \delta_{x,1} \leq \frac{4(1+x)^4 \mathbb{E}X_1^4}{n^2 \sigma^4}.$$

For $x \leq t_0 \sigma \sqrt{n}/4$, we have $2x/(\sigma \sqrt{n}) \leq t_0/2$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} r_{x,1} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \min \left(\frac{X_1^2}{\sigma^2}, \frac{2xX_1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \right) \right\} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_1| > \sigma \sqrt{n}) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{2xX_1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \right\} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_1| > \sigma \sqrt{n}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E}[e^{t_0 X_1/2} \mathbb{1}\{(1+x)|X_1| > \sigma\sqrt{n}\}] \\
&\leq (1+x)^4 n^{-2} \mathbb{E}[|X_1|^4 e^{t_0 X_1/2}] \\
&\leq C t_0^{-4} \mathbb{E}[e^{3t_0 X_1/4}] (1+x)^4 n^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_n > x\sigma\sqrt{n})}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp\left\{\frac{x^3 \mathbb{E}X_1^3}{6\sigma^3\sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{(1+x)^4}{n}\right)\right\} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1+x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]$$

uniformly for $0 < x \leq O(n^{1/2})$. Hence (2.8) holds for $0 < x \leq O(n^{1/4})$. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.1.

APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL LEMMAS AND PROOFS

B.1. Proof of Lemma A.1. Recall the notation $W_i = 2xX_i - x^2Y_i^2$. Define

$$\nu_i = \min\left\{\frac{X_i^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2} + c_0 x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2, 2xX_i\right\}.$$

Observe that $W_i \leq \nu_i$. For $1/4 \leq \lambda \leq 3/4$ and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, by the elementary inequalities $|s|^k e^{-s} \leq c_1(k)$ and $s^k e^{\lambda s} \leq c_2(k)e^s$ for $s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.1)} \quad &\mathbb{E}\{|W_i|^k e^{\lambda W_i} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \geq 1)\} \\
&\leq A \mathbb{E}\{e^{(0 \vee W_i)} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \geq 1)\} \\
&\leq A(\mathbb{E}\{e^{\nu_i} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \geq 1)\} + \delta_{x,i}) \\
&\leq AR_{x,i},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from the fact that $c_0 x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 \leq 1/4$ for x satisfying (2.7). Additionally, there is a constant $A_1(k, s_0)$ such that $|s|^k e^s \leq A_1(k, s_0)$ if $s \leq s_0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\{|W_i|^k e^{\lambda W_i} \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \geq 1)\} \\
&\leq A \mathbb{P}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \geq 1) \\
&\leq A \delta_{x,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, denote $\mathcal{U}_i = \{|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \leq 1\}$. We have

$$\text{(B.2)} \quad \mathbb{E}\{W_i^k e^{\lambda W_i}\} = \mathbb{E}\{W_i^k e^{\lambda W_i} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + O(1)R_{x,i},$$

where and hereafter $O(1)$ is a bounded quantity.

By Taylor expansion,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.3)} \quad &\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda W_i} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} = 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\{W_i \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \mathbb{E}\{W_i^2 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda^3}{6} \mathbb{E}\{W_i^3 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + O(1)\lambda^4 \mathbb{E}\{W_i^4 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The analysis for the terms on the right hand side of the above equality is similar. We calculate the third term for example. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\{W_i^2 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} &= 4x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 4x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + x^4 \mathbb{E}\{Y_i^4 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} \\
&\quad - 4x^2 \mathbb{E}\{X_i^2 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \geq 1)\} \\
&\quad - 4x^2 \mathbb{E}\{X_i^2 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \geq 1)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4x^3 \mathbb{E}\{X_i Y_i^2 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \geq 1)\} \\
& + 4x^3 \mathbb{E}\{X_i Y_i^2 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \geq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \leq 1)\} \\
& + 4x^3 \mathbb{E}\{X_i Y_i^2 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \geq 1, |(1+x)Y_i| \geq 1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the basic inequality $ab^2 \leq a^3 + b^3$ for $a > 0, b > 0$ and Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\{W_i^2 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} = 4x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 4x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O(1)\delta_{x,i}.$$

In the same manner,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\{W_i \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} &= -x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + O(1)\delta_{x,i}, \quad \mathbb{E}\{W_i^3 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} = 8x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O(1)\delta_{x,i}, \\
&\text{and} \quad |\mathbb{E}\{W_i^4 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\}| \leq O(1)\delta_{x,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (B.1)–(B.3) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.4)} \quad \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i} &= 1 - \lambda x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + 2\lambda^2 x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \\
&\quad - 2\lambda^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O(1)R_{x,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because x satisfies (2.7),

$$|\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i} - 1| \leq 1/2.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma A.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i} - 1|^2 &\leq A [x^4 \mathbb{E}\{Y_i^4 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)Y_i| \leq 1)\} + x^4 \mathbb{E}\{X_i^4 \mathbb{1}(|(1+x)X_i| \leq 1)\} \\
&\quad + x^6 \mathbb{E}\{(X_i^6 + Y_i^6) \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + R_{x,i}] \\
&\leq AR_{x,i},
\end{aligned}$$

Because $|\log(1+a) - a| \leq a^2$ whenever $|a| \leq 1/2$, it follows from (B.4) that

$$\log \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i} = -\lambda x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + 2\lambda^2 x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 2\lambda^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O(1)R_{x,i},$$

which completes the proof of (A.8). By the same token,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}W_i e^{\lambda W_i} &= \mathbb{E}\{W_i(1 + \lambda W_i + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}W_i^2) \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + O(1)R_{x,i} \\
&= -x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 + 4\lambda x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 4\lambda x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + 4\lambda^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O(1)R_{x,i}, \\
\mathbb{E}W_i^2 e^{\lambda W_i} &= \mathbb{E}\{W_i^2(1 + \lambda W_i) \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + O(1)R_{x,i} \\
&= 4x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 4x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + 8\lambda x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O(1)R_{x,i}, \\
\mathbb{E}|W_i|^3 e^{\lambda W_i} &= \mathbb{E}\{|W_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{U}_i)\} + O(1)R_{x,i} \\
&= O(1)x^3(\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3) + O(1)R_{x,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar procedure to the proof of (A.8), we arrive at (A.9)–(A.11). The proof of Lemma A.1 is completed.

B.2. Proof of Lemma A.3. Recall the definition $m(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i}$. Note that $m(\lambda_\delta)$ is well-defined under condition (2.3) and $m''(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}W_i > 0$ for $\lambda > 0$, $x \neq 0$ and nondegenerate X_i and Y_i . It follows from Lemmas A.1 and A.2 that for $1/4 \leq \lambda \leq 3/4$ and x satisfying (2.6)–(2.7),

$$(B.5) \quad \begin{aligned} m'(\lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}W_i e^{\lambda W_i} / \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda W_i} \\ &= (4\lambda - 1)x^2 + 4\lambda^2 x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 - 4\lambda x^3 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O(1)R_x, \end{aligned}$$

where $|O(1)| \leq A$ for some absolute constant A . Therefore, under (2.6) with sufficiently small constant c_1 , we have for $|\delta(x)| \leq x^2/2$ that

$$m'(1/4) < x^2 + \delta(x) < m'(3/4),$$

which combined with the fact $m''(\lambda) > 0$ implies that the equation $m'(\lambda) = x^2 + \delta(x)$ has a unique solution λ_δ such that $1/4 < \lambda_\delta < 3/4$. Furthermore, by virtue of (B.5), it holds that

$$\lambda_\delta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta(x)}{4x^2} - \lambda_\delta^2 x \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + \lambda_\delta x \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i Y_i^2 + O(1)x^{-2}R_x,$$

and hence (A.15) follows. Again by (B.5) and $1/4 < \lambda_\delta < 3/4$, $1/4 < \lambda_{\delta_0} < 3/4$, we obtain

$$|\lambda_\delta - \lambda_{\delta_0}| \leq A(x^{-2}|\delta(x) - \delta_0(x)| + xL_{3,n} + x^{-2}R_x).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda_\delta - \lambda_{\delta_0} - \frac{\delta(x) - \delta_0(x)}{4x^2} \right| &\leq A(|\lambda_\delta - \lambda_{\delta_0}|xL_{3,n} + x^{-2}R_x) \\ &\leq A(x^{-2}R_x + |\delta(x) - \delta_0(x)|x^{-1}L_{2,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of (A.16). The result (A.17) directly follows from (A.8), and (A.18) follows from (A.16) and (A.17). This completes the proof of Lemma A.3.

B.3. Proof of Lemma A.4. In the sequel, C, C_1, C_2, \dots are positive constants that may depend on ω and r_0 and may take different values at each appearance. Note that (A.31) is a special case of (A.33). Denote $Z_i = Y_i^2 - \mathbb{E}Y_i^2$ and $G_i = 2rxX_i - wx^2Y_i^2$. Regarding (A.33), we have

$$(B.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left\{ (V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{\sum_{i=1}^n G_i} \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \right)^2 e^{\sum_{i=1}^n G_i} \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n G_i} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}Z_i^2 e^{G_i}}{\mathbb{E}e^{G_i}} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\mathbb{E}Z_i e^{G_i} \mathbb{E}Z_j e^{G_j}}{\mathbb{E}e^{G_i} \mathbb{E}e^{G_j}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n G_i} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}Z_i^2 e^{G_i}}{\mathbb{E}e^{G_i}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}Z_i e^{G_i}}{\mathbb{E}e^{G_i}} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We first treat $\mathbb{E}[Z_i e^{G_i}]$. Recall that $0 < r < r_0$ for some number r_0 . For x satisfying (2.7), $x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 \leq 1/16$ and $c_0 x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 \leq 1/4$. It follows from the basic inequality $|e^s - 1| \leq |s|e^{s \vee 0}$

that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.7)} \quad & x^2 |\mathbb{E}[Z_i e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1)]| \\
& = x^2 |\mathbb{E}[Z_i (1 + O(x|X_i| + x^2 Y_i^2)) \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1)]| \\
& \leq C \{x^2 \mathbb{E}[(Y_i^2 + \mathbb{E}Y_i^2) \mathbb{1}\{x|Y_i| > 1\}] \\
& \quad + x^2 \mathbb{E}[(Y_i^2 + \mathbb{E}Y_i^2) \mathbb{1}\{x|X_i| > 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1\}] \\
& \quad + x^2 \mathbb{E}[(Y_i^2 + \mathbb{E}Y_i^2)(x|X_i| + x^2 Y_i^2) \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1)]\} \\
& \leq Cx^3 (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}[|X_i Y_i^2|]) + Cx^4 (\mathbb{E}Y_i^2)^2 \\
& \leq Cx^3 (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality results from Lemma A.2 and the basic inequality $ab^2 \leq a^3 + b^3$ for $a, b > 0$. In addition, as $G_i \leq 2r < 2$ when $x|X_i| \leq 1$, we obtain for $x > 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.8)} \quad & x^2 |\mathbb{E}[Z_i e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| > 1)]| \\
& \leq Cx^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2 \mathbb{1}(x|Y_i| > 1)] + Cx^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2] \mathbb{P}(x|Y_i| > 1) \\
& \leq Cx^3 \mathbb{E}[|Y_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(x|Y_i| > 1)] \leq C\delta_{x,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as $x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 \leq 1/16$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.9)} \quad & x^2 |\mathbb{E}[Z_i e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)]| \\
& \leq x^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2 e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] + \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

As for the second error term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] \\
& = \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1, X_i \leq 0)] + \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1, X_i > 0)] \\
& \leq x^3 \mathbb{E}[|X_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] + \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(xX_i > 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that when $xX_i > 1$,

$$e^{G_i} = e^{2rxX_i - \omega r x^2 Y_i^2} \leq e^{2rxX_i} \leq e^{2xX_i}$$

and by Cauchy inequality for $0 < r < r_0 < \omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.10)} \quad & 2rxX_i - \omega r x^2 Y_i^2 \leq 2(\omega r)^{1/2} xX_i - \omega r x^2 Y_i^2 \\
& \leq \frac{x^2 X_i^2}{x^2 Y_i^2 + c_0 x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2} + \omega r c_0 x^2 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.11)} \quad & \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] \\
& \leq x^3 \mathbb{E}[|X_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] + C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\min\left\{\frac{x^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E}Y_i^2}, 2xX_i\right\}} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)\right] \\
& \leq C_2 R_{x,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the first error term in (B.9), it follows from the basic inequality $|x|^k e^{-\delta x} \leq C(k, \delta)$ for some constant $C(k, \delta)$ depending on k and δ that

$$x^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2 e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_1 \mathbb{E}[e^{2rxX_i - (\omega/2 + r_0/2)rx^2Y_i^2} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] \\
&\leq C_1 x^3 \mathbb{E}[x|X_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] \\
&\quad + C_1 \mathbb{E}[e^{2rxX_i - (\omega/2 + r_0/2)rx^2Y_i^2} \mathbb{1}(xX_i > 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

As $0 < r < r_0 < \omega/2 + r_0/2$, by a similar procedure to (B.10) we obtain

$$(B.12) \quad x^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2 e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] \leq C_1 R_{x,i}.$$

Consequently, it follows from the bounds (B.7)–(B.9) and (B.11)–(B.12) that

$$(B.13) \quad |\mathbb{E}[Z_i e^{G_i}]| \leq C [x(\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + |Y_i|^3) + x^{-2} R_{x,i}].$$

By similar arguments, we obtain

$$(B.14) \quad \mathbb{E}[Z_i^2 e^{G_i}] \leq C x^{-4} R_{x,i}.$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
(B.15) \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i}] &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] + \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| > 1)] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| > 1)] \leq e^{2r_0} x^3 \mathbb{E}[|Y_i|^3 \mathbb{1}(x|Y_i| \geq 1)] \leq C \delta_{x,i},$$

and (B.11) has shown

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| > 1)] \leq C R_{x,i},$$

moreover, by inequality $|e^s - (1 + s + s^2/2 + s^3/6)| \leq s^4 e^{s \vee 0}$ and $G_i \leq 2r_0$ when $x|X_i| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(B.16) \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i} \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1)] &= \mathbb{E}[(1 + G_i + G_i^2/2 + G_i^3/6 + O(G_i^4)) \mathbb{1}(x|X_i| \leq 1, x|Y_i| \leq 1)] \\
&= 1 + (2r^2 - \omega r^2)x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 2\omega r^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}[X_i Y_i^2] + \frac{4}{3} r^3 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + O(\delta_{x,i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Under condition (2.7), we have

$$\delta_{x,i} \leq R_{x,i} \leq x^3 (\mathbb{E}|X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E}|Y_i|^3) + r_{x,i} \leq c_1 + 1/64.$$

As a result for small constant c_1 ,

$$1/2 \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i}] \leq 3/2.$$

Thus it follows by substituting (B.13) and (B.14) into (B.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(B.17) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\{ (V_n^2 - 1)^2 e^{\sum_{i=1}^n G_i} \right\} &\leq 2 \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{G_i}] \left(x^{-4} R_x + (xL_{3,n} + x^{-2} R_x)^3 \right) \\
&\leq A x^{-2} R_x \exp \left\{ (2r^2 - \omega r^2)x^2 \mathbb{E}X_i^2 - 2\omega r^2 x^3 \mathbb{E}[X_i Y_i^2] + \frac{4}{3} r^3 x^3 \mathbb{E}X_i^3 + A \delta_{x,i} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

hence the desired result (A.33) is derived. (A.31) is a special case of (A.33), with $r = \lambda_1$ and $\omega = 1$.

Next we prove (A.32). We have by conditional Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.18)} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| W_i \left(Z_i^2 + 2Z_i \sum_{j \neq i} Z_j \right) \right| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i} \right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left| W_i Z_i^2 e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_i} \right| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\left| Z_i W_i \sum_{j \neq i} Z_j \right| e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n W_j} \right] \\
& \leq Q_1 + Q_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda_1 W_i}] \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E} |W_i Z_i^2 e^{\lambda_1 W_i}|}{\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda_1 W_i}}, \\
Q_2 &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (|Z_i W_i| e^{\lambda_1 W_i}) \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{j \neq i} Z_j \right)^2 e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{j \neq i} W_j} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda_1 \sum_{j \neq i} W_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling (A.8) and (A.17), we have

$$\text{(B.19)} \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda_1 W_i}] \leq \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} e^{AR_x}$$

and when x satisfies (2.7) with small constant c_1 ,

$$\text{(B.20)} \quad 1/2 \leq \mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda_1 W_i}] \leq 3/2.$$

Further, through an analogous proof to (B.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& x^2 \mathbb{E} [|W_i Z_i| e^{\lambda_1 W_i}] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} [(x^3 |X_i Y_i^2| + x^4 Y_i^4 + x^3 |X_i| \mathbb{E} Y_i^2 + x^4 Y_i^2 \mathbb{E} Y_i^2) e^{\lambda_1 W_i}] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} [(x^3 |X_i Y_i^2| + x^4 Y_i^4 + x |X_i| + x^2 Y_i^2) e^{\lambda_1 W_i} \mathbb{1}(x X_i > 1)] \\
& \quad + C \mathbb{E} [(x^3 |X_i Y_i^2| + x^3 |Y_i|^3 + x^3 |X_i| \mathbb{E} Y_i^2 + x^4 Y_i^2 \mathbb{E} Y_i^2) e^{\lambda_1 W_i} \mathbb{1}(x X_i \leq 1)] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E} [e^{\frac{10\lambda_1}{9} x X_i - \frac{9\lambda_1}{10} x^2 Y_i^2} \mathbb{1}(x X_i > 1)] + C x^3 (\mathbb{E} |X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E} |Y_i|^3),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that $ab^2 \leq a^3 + b^3$ for $a > 0, b > 0$ and $x^4 (\mathbb{E} [Y_i^2])^2 \leq 2\delta_{x,i}$ by Lemma A.2. By (B.10), we obtain for $\lambda_1 \leq 3/4$,

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{\frac{10\lambda_1}{9} x X_i - \frac{9\lambda_1}{10} x^2 Y_i^2} \mathbb{1}(x |X_i| > 1)] \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\min \left\{ \frac{x_i^2}{Y_i^2 + c_0 \mathbb{E} Y_i^2}, 2x X_i \right\}} \mathbb{1}(x X_i > 1) \right].$$

Hence

$$\text{(B.21)} \quad \mathbb{E} [|W_i Z_i| e^{\lambda_1 W_i}] \leq x^{-2} R_{x,i} + x (\mathbb{E} |X_i|^3 + \mathbb{E} |Y_i|^3).$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\text{(B.22)} \quad \mathbb{E} (|W_i Z_i^2| e^{\lambda_1 W_i}) \leq C x^{-4} R_{x,i},$$

which together with (B.19) and (B.20) gives

$$Q_1 \leq C_1 \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} x^{-4} R_x e^{C_2 R_x}.$$

As for Q_2 , noting the bound (B.19)–(B.21) and (A.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &\leq C(x^{-2}R_x + xL_{3,n}) \left(\exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} x^{-2} R_x e^{AR_x} \right)^{1/2} \left(\exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} e^{AR_x} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(x^{-2}R_x + xL_{3,n}) \exp\{m(\lambda_1)\} x^{-1} R_x^{1/2} e^{AR_x} \\ &\leq C \exp m(\lambda_1) x^{-2} R_x e^{AR_x}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we apply the fact $x^4 L_{3,n} \leq 2\delta_x \leq 2R_x$ in Lemma A.2 to derive the last inequality. Thus (A.32) is proved. The proof of Lemma A.4 is completed.

B.4. Proof of Lemma A.5. Assume $A = 1$ without loss of generality, as the proof for general $A > 0$ is similar. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is obvious that $S_n^2 \leq nV_n^2$ and

hence $\mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{S_n^2}{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}}] < \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(B.23)} \quad &\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{S_n^2}{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} \mathbb{1}(b|S_n| > 1) \right] \\ &= \int_0^n e^t \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} > \sqrt{t}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^n e^t \left[\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} > \sqrt{t}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{-S_n}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} > \sqrt{t}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

The integral in $0 < t < 1$ is bounded by $eb^p \mathbb{E}|S_n|^p$ and hence is dominated by the right hand side of (A.57) by Rothenthal's inequality. Thus it remains to consider the integral for $t > 1$. Let $\nu = \frac{cB_n}{\sqrt{t}}$, where c is a large positive number. Denote $\hat{X}_i = X_i \mathbb{1}(|X_i| \leq \nu)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(B.24)} \quad &\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} > \sqrt{t}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\hat{S}_n}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} > \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)}{\sqrt{V_n^2 + c_0 B_n^2}} > \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\hat{S}_n}{\sqrt{c_0 B_n}} > \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) + \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) > \frac{t}{4}, b|S_n| > 1 \right) \\ &\leq b^p e^{-\frac{c_0^{1/4} t}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[|S_n|^p \exp \left\{ c_0^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{S}_n}{B_n} \right\} \right] + b^p e^{-2t} \mathbb{E} \left[|S_n|^p e^{8 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} \right] \\ &:= b^p e^{-\frac{c_0^{1/4} t}{2}} E_1 + b^p e^{-2t} E_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is obtained by Cauchy inequality. Now we turn to estimating E_1 and E_2 . Let $A_{n,p} = \frac{1}{2(4e^8)^{1/p}} \max\{B_n, (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p)^{1/p}\}$. Denote $\bar{X}_i = X_i \mathbb{1}(|X_i| \leq A_{n,p})$, $\bar{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i$ and $S_n^{(i)} = S_n - X_i$. Observing that

$$\text{(B.25)} \quad \mathbb{1}(|S_n| > x) \leq \mathbb{1}(|\bar{S}_n| > x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|S_n| > x, |X_i| > A_{n,p})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathbb{1}(|\bar{S}_n| > x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \frac{1}{2}x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|S_n^{(i)}| > \frac{1}{2}x, |X_i| > A_{n,p}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(B.26)} \quad E_2 &= \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E}[e^{8\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} \mathbb{1}(|S_n| > x)] dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E}[e^{8\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} \mathbb{1}(|\bar{S}_n| > x)] dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E}[e^{8\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \frac{1}{2}x)] dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E}[e^{8\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} \mathbb{1}(S_n^{(i)} > \frac{1}{2}x, |X_i| > A_{n,p})] dx \\ &\leq Q_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n e^8 \mathbb{E}(e^{8\sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{1}(|X_j| > \nu)}) \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > \frac{1}{2}x) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n e^8 (A_{n,p})^{-p} \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E}[e^{8\sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{1}(|X_j| > \nu)} \mathbb{1}(S_n^{(i)} > \frac{1}{2}x)] dx \\ &\leq Q_1 + e^8 2^p \exp\{\frac{t}{4}\} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + e^8 2^p A_{n,p}^{-p} (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p) E_2 \\ &\leq Q_1 + e^8 2^p \exp\{\frac{t}{4}\} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + \frac{1}{4} E_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_1 = \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E}[e^{8\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} \mathbb{1}(|\bar{S}_n| > x)] dx.$$

The second to last inequality is based on the fact that $|x + u|^p$ is a convex function with respect to x and that there exists some large constant c such that for $\nu = \frac{cB_n}{\sqrt{t}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}e^{8\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu)} &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n [1 + e^8 \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > \nu)] \\ &\leq \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^n e^8 \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > \nu)\} \\ &\leq \exp\{\frac{e^8}{\nu^2} B_n^2\} \leq \exp\{\frac{t}{4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

As for Q_1 , it holds that

$$\text{(B.27)} \quad Q_1 \leq \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} e^{-\frac{x}{A_{n,p}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{8\mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) + \frac{1}{A_{n,p}} \bar{X}_i} dx.$$

Furthermore, as $|\bar{X}_i|/A_{n,p} \leq 1$, we obtain from Taylor expansion that

$$\text{(B.28)} \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{8\mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) + \frac{1}{A_{n,p}} \bar{X}_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left(1 + e^8 \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) \right) \left(1 + \frac{\bar{X}_i}{A_{n,p}} + \frac{e^2 \bar{X}_i^2}{2A_{n,p}^2} \right) \\
&\leq C \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > \nu) + \frac{1}{A_{n,p}} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{A_{n,p}^2} \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) \right\} \\
&\leq C \exp \left\{ \frac{B_n^2}{\nu^2} + \frac{B_n^2}{A_{n,p}\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + \frac{1}{2A_{n,p}^2} B_n^2 \right\} \leq A \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{4} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.29)} \quad Q_1 &\leq A (A_{n,p})^p \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{4} \right\} \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} e^{-x} dx \\
&\leq A_1 \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{4} \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + (\mathbb{E}S_n^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows from (B.26) and (B.29) that

$$\text{(B.30)} \quad E_2 \leq A_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{4} \right\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + (\mathbb{E}S_n^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right].$$

Next we deal with E_1 . Recalling that $|\hat{X}_i| \leq \nu = CB_n/\nu$, we have for large constant c_0

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(B.31)} \quad \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ c_0^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{S}_n}{B_n} \right\} &\leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\sqrt{t}}{c_0^{1/4} B_n} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbb{1}(|X_i| > \nu) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \exp \left\{ \frac{C}{c_0^{1/4}} \right\} \frac{t}{2c_0^{1/2} B_n^2} \mathbb{E}X_i^2 \right] \right\} \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{t} B_n^2}{c_0^{1/4} B_n \nu} + \exp \left\{ \frac{C}{c_0^{1/4}} \right\} \frac{t}{2c_0^{1/2}} \right\} \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{4} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $\hat{S}_n^{(i)} = \hat{S}_n - \hat{X}_i$ and

$$Q_2 = \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} e^{-x/a} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ c_0^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{S}_n}{B_n} + \frac{\bar{S}_n}{A_{n,p}} \right\} \right] dx.$$

By a similar procedure to (B.26)–(B.30), we have for large c_0

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \int_0^\infty px^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ c_0^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{S}_n}{B_n} \right\} \mathbb{1}(|S_n| > x) \right] dx \\
&\leq Q_2 + C_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(c_0^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{S}_n}{B_n} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p \\
&\quad + 2^p e^{c/c_0^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}|X_i|^p}{A_{n,p}^p} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[|S_n^{(i)}|^p \exp \left(c_0^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{t} \frac{\hat{S}_n^{(i)}}{B_n} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Q_2 + C_2 \exp\left\{\frac{t}{4}\right\} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + \frac{1}{4}E_1.$$

Moreover, it follows from Taylor expansion that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &\leq C(A_{n,p})^p \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{c_0^{1/4} B_n} \hat{X}_i + \frac{te^{c/c_0^{1/4}}}{2c_0^{1/2} B_n^2} \hat{X}_i^2 \right) \left(1 + \frac{e\bar{X}_i}{A_{n,p}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq Ce^{t/4} (A_{n,p})^p \leq Ce^{t/4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + (\mathbb{E}S_n^2)^{p/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$E_1 \leq C \exp\left\{\frac{t}{4}\right\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}|X_i|^p + (\mathbb{E}S_n^2)^{p/2} \right],$$

which together with (B.30), (B.24) and (B.23) gives the result (A.57). The proof is completed.