

Highly accurate *ab initio* thermochemistry via real-space quantum Monte Carlo: Benzene

Iliya Sabzevari* and Sandeep Sharma†

Department of Chemistry, The University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO 80302, USA

Real-space quantum Monte Carlo is used to calculate the total atomization energy of benzene. In contrast to orbital-space methods common in quantum chemistry, real-space methods allow results at near the complete-basis-set limit to be immediately obtained, all at a computational cost that scales with the fourth power of system size. We demonstrate this utility using the moderately sized benzene molecule, obtaining energies that agree with experiment and previous results from highly accurate composite methods including HEAT and W2. Due to the low scaling of these algorithms, it opens up the possibility of addressing systems out of reach of quantum chemical methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum chemistry has long promised a first-principles approach to the computation of thermochemical properties. In this endeavor, the high-accuracy extrapolated *ab initio* thermochemistry (HEAT) protocol has proven itself remarkably successful[1–4]. Recently, an improved version of this protocol has been introduced allowing the computation of heats of formation with nearly sub-chemical accuracy for many important molecules in chemistry[4]. Roughly, the HEAT protocol assumes the following partition for the total energy of a molecule:

$$E_{\text{HEAT}} = E_{\text{NBO}} \quad (1)$$

$$+ \Delta E_{\text{REL}} + \Delta E_{\text{SO}} \quad (2)$$

$$+ \Delta E_{\text{DBO}} + \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}}. \quad (3)$$

The first term in the summation is the ground state energy of the molecule according to the *non-relativistic Born-Oppenheimer*[5] (NBO) Hamiltonian at near the complete-basis-set (CBS) limit. The following terms are corrections due to effects neglected in the above Hamiltonian. ΔE_{REL} includes scalar-relativistic effects from the mass-velocity and the one- and two- electron Darwin terms[6, 7]. ΔE_{SO} corrects for spin-orbit coupling while ΔE_{DBO} is the diagonal Born-Oppenheimer (DBO) correction and corrects for deficiencies in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation[8]. Finally, ΔE_{ZPE} is a correction that takes into account the zero-point energy.

In the usual HEAT protocol E_{NBO} is obtained from successively more correlated coupled cluster[9] (CC) calculations:

$$E_{\text{NBO}} = E_{\text{HF}}^{\infty} + \Delta E_{\text{CCSD(T)}}^{\infty} \quad (4)$$

$$+ \Delta E_{\text{CCSDT}} + \Delta E_{\text{CCSDT(Q)}} + \Delta E_{\text{core}}. \quad (5)$$

Terms with infinity in the superscript are values extrapolated to the CBS limit, while those without superscripts

are performed with a reasonably chosen basis set to capture the bulk of the correlation effects. The series of corrections after the CBS Hartree-Fock (HF) energy take into account electron correlations up to the level of the theory written in the subscripts. Finally, ΔE_{core} takes into account core electron correlations if the preceding calculations are performed with a frozen core of electrons.

Usually in quantum chemistry, theories are formed in the space of orbitals[10]. This includes the coupled cluster and Hartree-Fock models mentioned above. In this study, we demonstrate that the NBO Hamiltonian energy of moderately sized molecules can be accurately obtained using real-space quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) with compact trial wave-functions that contain only $O(N^2)$ number of parameters (N being a measure of system size). These algorithms directly calculate energies at the CBS limit with a modest $O(N^4)$ computational cost[11–13]. Both the high accuracy and low scaling of the method presented here suggest that it can be used to calculate accurate thermochemistry for larger molecules.

The rest of this study is organized as follows. In section II, the theory outlining real-space QMC is discussed. In section III, computational methods utilized in the study are noted. In section IV we present results for the atomization energy of benzene. We end with our final remarks in section V, the conclusion and VI, acknowledgments.

II. THEORY

In this section we will discuss the principal theories involved in quantum Monte Carlo. We will begin with the wave-functions used, then a brief discussion on variational Monte Carlo (VMC) and diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC)[11–13].

A. wave-functions

The wave-functions discussed will be Jastrow mean-field wave-functions of the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = \hat{J} |\Phi\rangle. \quad (6)$$

* iliya.sabzevari@gmail.com

† sanshar@gmail.com

The operator \hat{J} is the Jastrow factor that explicitly correlates electrons and $|\Phi\rangle$ is the mean-field reference wave-function that satisfies fermionic parity. We will use a factor that includes one- and two-body terms as well as geminal style factors,

$$\hat{J} = \hat{J}_1 \hat{J}_2 \hat{J}_g. \quad (7)$$

The one- and two- body factors are functions of inter-particle distance:

$$\hat{J}_1 = \exp\left(\sum_{i,I} f^{Z_I}(\hat{r}_{iI})\right), \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{J}_2 = \exp\left(\sum_{i<j} g_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}(\hat{r}_{ij})\right). \quad (9)$$

$$(10)$$

Where \hat{r}_{iI} and \hat{r}_{ij} are distance operators between electron i and nucleus I and between electrons i and j . The functions f and g are rational functions of the form introduced by Boys and Handy[14–16]. The last part of the Jastrow factor will be the geminal style factors[17–20]:

$$\hat{J}_g = \exp\left(\sum_{i,j} \sum_{a,b}^{n_{\text{elec}} n_{\text{bas}}} J_{ab}^{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \chi_a(\hat{r}_i) \chi_b(\hat{r}_j) + \sum_i \sum_a^{n_{\text{elec}} n_{\text{bas}}} J_a^{\sigma_i} \chi_a(\hat{r}_i)\right). \quad (11)$$

Where $\{\chi_a(r)\}$ are a set of basis functions from which one- and two- electron expansions are constructed with the variational parameters $\{J_{ab}^{\sigma \sigma'}, J_a^{\sigma}\}$.

For the reference wave-function $|\Phi\rangle$, we will discuss three forms. The first will be the restricted Hartree-Fock (RHF) wave-function[21], the second will be the \hat{S}_z projected generalized Hartree-Fock (\hat{S}_z GHF) wave-function[22–25], and the last will be the anti-symmetrized geminal power (AGP) wave-function[25–28]. All parameters in the wave-functions, including those in the Jastrow factors and reference, are optimized within VMC.

B. variational Monte Carlo

To evaluate the expectation value of operators in the real-space Monte Carlo framework, we will use variational Monte Carlo (VMC). To this end, one first notes that the expectation value of the operator \hat{O} , with respect to any wave function Ψ , can be evaluated as the integral,

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\Psi} = \int dR \rho(R) O_l(R), \quad (12)$$

$$\rho(R) = \frac{\|\langle R | \Psi \rangle\|^2}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} \quad (13)$$

$$O_l(R) = \frac{\langle R | \hat{O} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle R | \Psi \rangle}. \quad (14)$$

One can then evaluate the above integral via Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling of the distribution $\rho(R)$. We use the accelerated Metropolis-Hastings algorithm introduced in reference[29] to do this.

The wave-function parameters are optimized using a combination of the linear method[30, 31] (LM) and the stochastic reconfiguration[19, 32, 33] (SR) algorithm. In these calculations we use three steps to optimize the wave-function. In step one, we obtain the reference state using a deterministic algorithm e.g. the RHF state is simply obtained by running a self-consistent field calculation. In the second step, we fix the reference and optimize the Jastrow parameters using the LM, which typically converges in less than 10 iterations. In the final step, all parameters in the wave-function, including those in the Jastrow and the reference, are optimized using the SR algorithm.

C. diffusion Monte Carlo

In this study we will also employ diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC). This algorithm is part of a class of projector Monte Carlo algorithms that, as the name suggests, involves projecting out the ground state of a system. This is done by noting the formal solution to the imaginary time Schrödinger equation in real-space is,

$$\Psi(R', \tau + t) = \int G(R', R, \tau) \Psi(R, t) dR, \quad (15)$$

$$G(R', R, \tau) = \langle R' | \exp(-\tau \hat{H}) | R \rangle. \quad (16)$$

The function $G(R', R, \tau)$ is the so-called propagator or Green's function and it is used to drive the dynamics of a stochastic simulation. Naive use of this form of the propagator results in a simulation with an exponentially growing signal-to-noise ratio[34]. To remedy this, one employs the *fixed-node* approximation, in which one performs importance sampling using a guiding wave function[35, 36]. The resulting importance sampled Green's function is,

$$\bar{G}(R', R, \tau) = \frac{\Psi_G(R')}{\Psi_G(R)} \langle R' | \exp(-\tau \hat{H}) | R \rangle. \quad (17)$$

This allows the simulation of fermionic systems to be performed for a given error at a low polynomial cost. In this work, we use the form of propagator recently introduced in reference[37], including the fully summed T-move[38, 39] terms. With this form of the propagator, we have found an imaginary time step of 0.01 Ha^{-1} to be sufficient to obtain negligible time step errors for all calculations in this study.

In DMC, it is customary to employ an effective core potential (ECP) approximation to the core electrons of the system under study, analogous to the frozen core (FC) approximation common in quantum chemistry[40]. This greatly reduces the cost of DMC, as Monte Carlo sampling the core electrons quickly becomes the dominant

cost in the algorithm. The ECP approximation then makes large molecule calculations easier to perform, particularly with atoms of large atomic number. Recently, to obtain results beyond the ECP approximation, *lattice regularized* (LR) DMC has been introduced[41, 42]. LR-DMC allows a partitioning of the length scales between core and valence electrons and makes all-electron (AE) calculations of large molecules significantly more tractable[43]. In Section IV, we will compare state-of-the-art AE LR-DMC calculations in the literature to core corrected ECP calculations performed for this study.

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

In this section, details of the computation will be discussed, which includes software used to perform the calculations. For the geminal-style Jastrow factors, we will only use the valence sub-set of the atomic orbitals. Since our principal example is benzene, this includes the Carbon $2s$ and $2p$ orbitals and the hydrogen $1s$ orbitals. To obtain input parameters for the QMC calculations, we use Pyscf[44, 45] to perform an initial RHF or GHF calculation. All variational Jastrow parameters will be set to 0 at the start of the optimization. QMC calculations will be performed using custom open-source software developed in our group[46].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we will discuss numerical results for the total atomization energy of benzene. The first part of the discussion will be on obtaining the energy of the non-relativistic Born-Oppenheimer (NBO) Hamiltonian in the Monte Carlo framework. The second part will be focused on a comparison to other values in the literature from theory and experiment.

A. E_{NBO}

We first present values for E_{NBO} from calculations performed for this study. The core electrons will be approximated using correlation-consistent ECPs (ccECPs) for the reasons mentioned in Section II, in conjunction with the respective cc-pVDZ basis sets[47, 48]. These values will be corrected for core correlation effects outside of the ECP approximation in a manner analogous to the HEAT protocol:

$$E_{NBO} = E_{\text{DMC}}(ecp) + \Delta E_{\text{core}}, \quad (18)$$

where the correction in this case is

$$\Delta E_{\text{core}} = (E(ae) - E(ecp))_{\text{UCCSD(T)}}. \quad (19)$$

Total energies and their corrections are shown in Table I.

wave	$E_{\text{DMC}}(ecp)$	basis	ΔE_{core}	E_{NBO}
DMC-JRHF	2.180(2)	dz	0.0149	2.195(2)
		tz	0.0290	2.209(2)
DMC- JS_z GHF	2.153(1)	dz	0.0149	2.168(1)
		tz	0.0290	2.182(1)

TABLE I. Total E_{NBO} in the right-most column. First column is the guiding wave-function used in DMC with the corresponding computed energy. The middle column is the computed corrections using a cc-pVDZ or cc-pVTZ basis set. Energies are in units of Hartrees.

Literature values using LR-DMC are also presented. For reasons already discussed, these are particularly noteworthy as all-electron (AE) energies at the complete-basis-set (CBS) limit can be immediately obtained:

$$E_{\text{NBO}} = E_{\text{LRDMC}}(ae). \quad (20)$$

We present these energies in Table II. Comparing the two

wave	E_{NBO}
LRDMC-JRHF[28]	2.2083(7)
LRDMC-JAGP[28]	2.1741(7)

TABLE II. E_{NBO} from all-electron LR-DMC calculations using the guiding wave-functions on the left. A basis set with a mixture of cc-pVDZ and cc-pVTZ basis functions was used for the calculations. Energies are in units of Hartrees.

sets of energies, we obtain remarkable agreement of the NBO energy using the UCCSD(T) core correction with the cc-pVTZ basis set. This is no doubt due to a fortuitous cancellation of error in the computed quantities. Our DMC energies obtained using the RHF reference (see Table I) as the guiding wave-function agree to within a mHa of the LRDMC energies of Sorella et al. (see Table II) with the same reference, which is within the stochastic error of the calculations. The JAGP reference wave-function delivers an atomization energy slightly smaller than the one obtained with our JS_z GHF wave function, but there is reasonable agreement nonetheless.

Calculations that have been presented are summarized in Table III along with other values for the NBO energy from other theories. The HEAT'345-(Q) result is highly accurate using large-scale coupled cluster calculations performed with all 42 electrons correlated and more than 1500 basis functions, as well as including contributions from quadruple excitations[49]. The Weizmann-2 (W2) calculation agrees within chemical accuracy (~ 1 mHa) to the HEAT'345-(Q) result, however the calculations are somewhat smaller in comparison, with the largest calculations involving CCSD/cc-pV5Z and CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ[50]. The real-space QMC results using the high quality reference wave-functions give good agreement with both W2 and HEAT'1345-(Q) energies. To make a comparison with experiment one must include the other

theory	E_{NBO}
DMC-JRHF/UCCSD(T)	2.209(2)
DMC-JS _z GHF/UCCSD(T)	2.182(1)
LRDMC-JRHF[28]	2.2083(7)
LRDMC-JAGP[28]	2.1748(7)
HEAT'345-(Q)[49]	2.1820
W2[50]	2.1835

TABLE III. E_{NBO} for various orbital-space and real-space electronic structure methods. Energies are in units of Hartrees.

ΔE_{ZPE}	-0.0990
ΔE_{REL}	-0.0016
ΔE_{SO}	-0.0009
ΔE_{DBO}	0.0002

TABLE IV. Various energy corrections to the non-relativistic Born-Oppenheimer energy of benzene[49]. Energies are in units of Hartrees.

effects included in the HEAT protocol; this will be the subject of the next subsection.

1. total atomization energy

As mentioned in the introduction, to obtain the total atomization energy (TAE), one needs to include corrections to the NBO energy for scalar-relativistic, spin-orbit, zero-point energy, and diagonal Born-Oppenheimer effects. Obtaining these values in real-space QMC is beyond the scope of this paper, as they are active areas of research[51, 52]. We will borrow the values obtained from previous thermochemical studies, principally the HEAT'345-(Q) results we discussed partially above[49]. The energy corrections are summarized in Table IV. Note that the energy corrections computed for the W2 calculation are practically the same numerical values, except E_{DBO} is taken to be zero. The zero-point vibrational contribution was obtained with vibrational second-order perturbation theory[53] (VPT2) using force-fields calculated via CCSD(T) with a quadruple-zeta quality basis set. The scalar-relativistic contribution was obtained by computing the corresponding perturbative corrections due to the mass-velocity and the one- and two-electron Darwin terms[6, 7] with CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pCVTZ. The spin-orbit contribution is also taken into account to first-order and is evaluated with SO-MRCISD (spin-orbit multi-reference configuration interaction singles and doubles[54]) employing a double-zeta basis[1] and relativistic ECPs[55]. The DBO correction is the first-order correction to the electronic energy of the nuclear kinetic energy operator, it was calculated with CCSD/aug-ccpCVTZ as described in reference [56]. We summarize TAE results for the high quality calculations

theory	Ha	kJ/mol
DMC-JS _z GHF/UCCSD(T)	2.081(1)	5463(3).
LRDMC-JAGP[28]	2.0735(7)	5444(2).
HEAT'345-(Q)[49]	2.0807	5463.0
W2[50]	2.0822	5466.7
ATcT[57]	2.0811	5463.8

TABLE V. Total atomization energy labeled by the principal theory involved. Experimental results from the active thermochemical tables (ATcT) are also presented.

in Table V. There is exceptional agreement between all theoretical values and experimental results from the active thermochemical tables (ATcT).

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have briefly demonstrated that real-space QMC can be used to calculate high accuracy thermochemistry of moderately sized molecules. Quite notably, we obtain results that rival highly correlated coupled cluster theories using basis sets with almost on order of magnitude fewer functions. This highlights the effectiveness real-space QMC produces without the need for costly basis set extrapolations. Further, due to the modest $O(N^4)$ scaling of the calculations with system size, one can do similar calculations on significantly larger systems.

In the future, we will focus on larger systems that are beyond the reach of high accuracy methods such as HEAT. Future work will also focus on developing the lattice-regularized approach to DMC, which will allow one to perform all-electron calculations at a reasonable cost. Work will also be done to obtain other energy contributions, such as zero-point energy and spin-orbit coupling, in the real-space QMC framework.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The majority of funding for this project was provided by NSF, through the grant CHE-1800584. SS was partly supported through the Sloan research fellowship. IS was partly supported through the Molssi fellowship. All calculations were performed on the Summit cluster at CU Boulder. IS would like to give a special thank-you to John F. Stanton for introducing him to the wonderful world of quantum chemistry.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Tajti, P. G. Szalay, A. G. Császár, M. Kállay, J. Gauss, E. F. Valeev, B. A. Flowers, J. Vázquez, and J. F. Stanton, Heat: High accuracy extrapolated ab initio thermo-

- chemistry, *The Journal of chemical physics* **121**, 11599 (2004).
- [2] Y. J. Bomble, J. Vázquez, M. Kállay, C. Michauk, P. G. Szalay, A. G. Császár, J. Gauss, and J. F. Stanton, High-accuracy extrapolated ab initio thermochemistry. ii. minor improvements to the protocol and a vital simplification, *The Journal of chemical physics* **125**, 064108 (2006).
- [3] M. E. Harding, J. Vázquez, B. Ruscic, A. K. Wilson, J. Gauss, and J. F. Stanton, High-accuracy extrapolated ab initio thermochemistry. iii. additional improvements and overview, *The Journal of chemical physics* **128**, 114111 (2008).
- [4] J. H. Thorpe, C. A. Lopez, T. L. Nguyen, J. H. Baraban, D. H. Bross, B. Ruscic, and J. F. Stanton, High-accuracy extrapolated ab initio thermochemistry. iv. a modified recipe for computational efficiency, *The Journal of chemical physics* **150**, 224102 (2019).
- [5] M. Born and R. Oppenheimer, Zur quantentheorie der molekeln, *Annalen der physik* **389**, 457 (1927).
- [6] R. D. Cowan and D. C. Griffin, Approximate relativistic corrections to atomic radial wave functions, *JOSA* **66**, 1010 (1976).
- [7] R. L. Martin, All-electron relativistic calculations on silver hydride. an investigation of the cowan-griffin operator in a molecular species, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **87**, 750 (1983).
- [8] M. Born and K. Huang, *Dynamical theory of crystal lattices* (Clarendon press, 1954).
- [9] R. J. Bartlett and M. Musiał, Coupled-cluster theory in quantum chemistry, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **79**, 291 (2007).
- [10] J. A. Pople and D. L. Beveridge, *Molecular orbital theory*, CO., NY (1970).
- [11] F. Becca and S. Sorella, *Quantum Monte Carlo Approaches for Correlated Systems*, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- [12] M. Nightingale and C. J. Umrigar, *Quantum Monte Carlo Methods in Physics and Chemistry* (Springer, 1999).
- [13] W. Foulkes, L. Mitas, R. Needs, and G. Rajagopal, Quantum monte carlo simulations of solids, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **73**, 33 (2001).
- [14] S. F. Boys and N. C. Handy, The determination of energies and wavefunctions with full electronic correlation, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **310**, 43 (1969).
- [15] S. F. Boys and N. C. Handy, A calculation for the energies and wavefunctions for states of neon with full electronic correlation accuracy, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **310**, 63 (1969).
- [16] K. Schmidt and J. Moskowitz, Correlated monte carlo wave functions for the atoms he through ne, *The Journal of chemical physics* **93**, 4172 (1990).
- [17] M. Casula and S. Sorella, Geminal wave functions with jastrow correlation: A first application to atoms, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **119**, 6500 (2003).
- [18] M. Casula, C. Attaccalite, and S. Sorella, Correlated geminal wave function for molecules: An efficient resonating valence bond approach, *The Journal of chemical physics* **121**, 7110 (2004).
- [19] S. Sorella, M. Casula, and D. Rocca, Weak binding between two aromatic rings: Feeling the van der waals attraction by quantum monte carlo methods, *The Journal of chemical physics* **127**, 014105 (2007).
- [20] B. W. Van Der Goetz and E. Neuscammann, Suppressing ionic terms with number-counting jastrow factors in real space, *Journal of chemical theory and computation* **13**, 2035 (2017).
- [21] A. Szabo and N. S. Ostlund, *Modern quantum chemistry: introduction to advanced electronic structure theory* (Courier Corporation, 2012).
- [22] C. A. Jiménez-Hoyos, T. M. Henderson, T. Tsuchimochi, and G. E. Scuseria, Projected hartree-fock theory, *The Journal of chemical physics* **136**, 164109 (2012).
- [23] J. Valatin, Generalized hartree-fock method, *Physical Review* **122**, 1012 (1961).
- [24] V. Bach, E. H. Lieb, and J. P. Solovej, Generalized hartree-fock theory and the hubbard model, *Journal of statistical physics* **76**, 3 (1994).
- [25] A. Mahajan and S. Sharma, Symmetry-projected jastrow mean-field wave function in variational monte carlo, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **123**, 3911 (2019).
- [26] A. Coleman, Structure of fermion density matrices. ii. antisymmetrized geminal powers, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **6**, 1425 (1965).
- [27] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity, *Physical review* **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [28] C. Genovese, T. Shirakawa, K. Nakano, and S. Sorella, General correlated geminal ansatz for electronic structure calculations: exploiting pfaffians in place of determinants, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **16**, 6114 (2020).
- [29] C. Umrigar, Accelerated metropolis method, *Physical review letters* **71**, 408 (1993).
- [30] J. Toulouse and C. Umrigar, Full optimization of jastrow-slater wave functions with application to the first-row atoms and homonuclear diatomic molecules, *The Journal of chemical physics* **128**, 174101 (2008).
- [31] I. Sabzevari, A. Mahajan, and S. Sharma, An accelerated linear method for optimizing non-linear wavefunctions in variational monte carlo, *The Journal of chemical physics* **152**, 024111 (2020).
- [32] S. Sorella, Generalized lanczos algorithm for variational quantum monte carlo, *Physical Review B* **64**, 024512 (2001).
- [33] E. Neuscammann, C. Umrigar, and G. K.-L. Chan, Optimizing large parameter sets in variational quantum monte carlo, *Physical Review B* **85**, 045103 (2012).
- [34] M. H. Kalos, D. Levesque, and L. Verlet, Helium at zero temperature with hard-sphere and other forces, *Physical Review A* **9**, 2178 (1974).
- [35] Quantum chemistry by random walk. H ²P, H₃⁺ D_{3h} ¹A₁, H₂ 3Σ_u⁺, H₄ 1Σ_g⁺, Be ¹S, author=Anderson, James B, journal=The Journal of Chemical Physics, volume=65, number=10, pages=4121–4127, year=1976, publisher=American Institute of Physics, .
- [36] P. J. Reynolds, D. M. Ceperley, B. J. Alder, and W. A. Lester Jr, Fixed-node quantum monte carlo for molecules a) b), *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **77**, 5593 (1982).
- [37] T. A. Anderson and C. Umrigar, Nonlocal pseudopotentials and time-step errors in diffusion monte carlo, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **154**, 214110 (2021).
- [38] M. Casula, Beyond the locality approximation in the standard diffusion monte carlo method, *Physical Review B* **74**, 161102 (2006).
- [39] M. Casula, S. Moroni, S. Sorella, and C. Filippi, Size-consistent variational approaches to nonlocal pseudopo-

- tentials: Standard and lattice regularized diffusion monte carlo methods revisited, *The Journal of chemical physics* **132**, 154113 (2010).
- [40] B. L. Hammond, P. J. Reynolds, and W. A. Lester Jr, Valence quantum monte carlo with abinitio effective core potentials, *The Journal of chemical physics* **87**, 1130 (1987).
- [41] M. Casula, S. Sorella, and G. Senatore, Ground state properties of the one-dimensional coulomb gas using the lattice regularized diffusion monte carlo method, *Physical Review B* **74**, 245427 (2006).
- [42] M. Casula, C. Filippi, and S. Sorella, Diffusion monte carlo method with lattice regularization, *Physical review letters* **95**, 100201 (2005).
- [43] K. Nakano, R. Maezono, and S. Sorella, Speeding up ab initio diffusion monte carlo simulations by a smart lattice regularization, *Physical Review B* **101**, 155106 (2020).
- [44] Q. Sun, T. C. Berkelbach, N. S. Blunt, G. H. Booth, S. Guo, Z. Li, J. Liu, J. D. McClain, E. R. Sayfutyarova, S. Sharma, *et al.*, Pyscf: the python-based simulations of chemistry framework, *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science* **8**, e1340 (2018).
- [45] Q. Sun, X. Zhang, S. Banerjee, P. Bao, M. Barbry, N. S. Blunt, N. A. Bogdanov, G. H. Booth, J. Chen, Z.-H. Cui, *et al.*, Recent developments in the pyscf program package, *The Journal of chemical physics* **153**, 024109 (2020).
- [46] <https://github.com/sanshar/VMC/tree/merged>.
- [47] M. C. Bennett, C. A. Melton, A. Annaberdiyev, G. Wang, L. Shulenburger, and L. Mitas, A new generation of effective core potentials for correlated calculations, *The Journal of chemical physics* **147**, 224106 (2017).
- [48] A. Annaberdiyev, G. Wang, C. A. Melton, M. C. Bennett, L. Shulenburger, and L. Mitas, A new generation of effective core potentials from correlated calculations: 3d transition metal series, *The Journal of chemical physics* **149**, 134108 (2018).
- [49] M. E. Harding, J. Vázquez, J. Gauss, J. F. Stanton, and M. Kállay, Towards highly accurate ab initio thermochemistry of larger systems: Benzene, *The Journal of chemical physics* **135**, 044513 (2011).
- [50] S. Parthiban and J. M. Martin, Fully ab initio atomization energy of benzene via weizmann-2 theory, *The Journal of chemical physics* **115**, 2051 (2001).
- [51] C. A. Melton, M. Zhu, S. Guo, A. Ambrosetti, F. Pederiva, and L. Mitas, Spin-orbit interactions in electronic structure quantum monte carlo methods, *Physical Review A* **93**, 042502 (2016).
- [52] A. B. McCoy, Diffusion monte carlo approaches for investigating the structure and vibrational spectra of fluxional systems, *International Reviews in Physical Chemistry* **25**, 77 (2006).
- [53] I. M. Mills, 3.2 vibration-rotation structure in asymmetric-and symmetric-top molecules, *Molecular spectroscopy: modern research* **1**, 115 (1972).
- [54] J. Tilson, W. Ermler, and R. Pitzer, Parallel spin-orbit coupled configuration interaction, *Computer physics communications* **128**, 128 (2000).
- [55] L. Fernandez Pacios and P. Christiansen, Ab initio relativistic effective potentials with spin-orbit operators. i. li through ar, *The Journal of chemical physics* **82**, 2664 (1985).
- [56] J. Gauss, A. Tajti, M. Kállay, J. F. Stanton, and P. G. Szalay, Analytic calculation of the diagonal born-oppenheimer correction within configuration-interaction and coupled-cluster theory, *The Journal of chemical physics* **125**, 144111 (2006).
- [57] W. R. Stevens, B. Ruscic, and T. Baer, Heats of formation of c6h5•, c6h5+, and c6h5no by threshold photoelectron photoion coincidence and active thermochemical tables analysis, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **114**, 13134 (2010).