arXiv:2107.07221v2 [math.PR] 5 May 2023

LEMNISCATE ENSEMBLES WITH SPECTRAL SINGULARITY

SUNG-SOO BYUN, SEUNG-YEOP LEE, AND MENG YANG

ABSTRACT. We consider a family of random normal matrix models whose eigenvalues tend to occupy lemniscate type droplets as the size of the matrix increases. Under the insertion of a point charge, we derive the scaling limit at the singular boundary point, which is expressed in terms of the solution to the model Painlevé IV Riemann-Hilbert problem. For this, we introduce a version of the Christoffel-Darboux identity and combine it with the strong asymptotics of the associated orthogonal polynomials due to Bertola, Elias Rebelo and Grava.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In the theory of random normal matrices [31, 51], one usually starts with a suitable real-valued function \mathcal{W} called the *external potential* and consider a normal matrix of size N picked randomly with respect to the measure proportional to $e^{-N \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{W}(M)} dM$. Here dM is the induced surface measure on the space of normal matrices $\{M \in \mathbb{C}^{N^2} : MM^* = M^*M\}$. Then its eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_1^N$ behave like equally charged Coulomb particles [33, 45, 50] in the external field $N\mathcal{W}$ at specific inverse temperature $\beta = 2$, namely, the joint probability distribution of the system is proportional to

(1.1)
$$\prod_{j < k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k|^2 \prod_{j=1}^N e^{-N\mathcal{W}(\lambda_j)} \, dA(\lambda_j), \qquad (dA(\lambda) := d^2 \lambda / \pi).$$

We refer to [23, Section 5] for a recent review.

As the size of the matrix increases, the eigenvalue ensemble tends to minimise the weighted logarithmic energy functional [48], which can be recognised as the continuum limit of its discrete Hamiltonian, see e.g. [8, 26]. In particular the support of the limiting empirical distribution is given by a certain compact set called the *droplet*. Due to Sakai's regularity theory [49], it is well known that for a real analytic potential \mathcal{W} , all but finitely many boundary points of the droplet are "regular" in a proper sense. Furthermore in the case that there exists a local Schwarz function near the prescribed boundary point, the possible types of singularities are classified. On the other hand, the construction of a droplet containing singular boundary points requires a separate analysis, see [2, 13, 15, 20, 21, 29, 40] and references therein for recent works in this direction.

The detailed statistical information about the joint intensity functions of the eigenvalue system can be effectively analysed by the *correlation (reproducing) kernel* of the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weighted Lebesgue measure $e^{-NW} dA$. Recently, for a quite general class of the potentials, the asymptotic behaviours of the associated planar orthogonal polynomials were obtained by Hedenmalm and Wennman [35]. As a consequence, they derived the boundary scaling limit of the

Date: May 8, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60B20; Secondary 33C45.

Key words and phrases. Random normal matrix ensemble, lemniscate, spectral singularity, orthogonal polynomial, Christoffel-Darboux identity, Painlevé IV critical asymptotics.

Sung-Soo Byun was partially supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation (SSTF-BA1401-51), by a KIAS Individual Grant (SP083201) via the Center for Mathematical Challenges at Korea Institute for Advanced Study, by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1A5A1028324), and by the POSCO TJ Park Foundation (POSCO Science Fellowship). Meng Yang was supported by VILLUM FOUNDEN research grant no. 29369.

correlation kernel, which leads to the local universality at regular boundary points of the droplet. (We also refer to [10] for an earlier work on the local universality at regular bulk points.)

On the other hand, it is intuitively clear that different kinds of scaling limits should appear at singular boundary points. However the description of such scaling limits remains open in general and we aim to contribute to this problem. In particular we shall consider two types of singularities; one is the *lemniscate* type singularity arising from the local geometric structure of the droplet (see Figure 1) and the other is the *spectral* singularity arising from an insertion of a point charge.



FIGURE 1. An illustration of a lemniscate ensemble

1.1. Setup. Let us be more precise now in introducing our model that we call the *lemniscate ensemble* following [11]. First we consider the (shifted) Gaussian potential Q of the form

(1.2)
$$Q(\zeta) := |\zeta - a|^2, \quad a \ge 0.$$

This is a building block to define

(1.3)
$$V(\zeta) := \frac{1}{d}Q(\zeta^d) = \frac{1}{d}|\zeta^d - a|^2,$$

where d > 1 is a fixed integer. We remark that even though Q can be realised as a special case of V with d = 1, we intentionally distinguish this case for our purpose described below. For a given point charge c > -1, let

(1.4)
$$Q_c(\zeta) := Q(\zeta) - \frac{2c}{N} \log |\zeta|, \qquad V_c(\zeta) := V(\zeta) - \frac{2c}{N} \log |\zeta|.$$

Such an extra logarithmic factor is often referred to as a spectral singularity, see e.g. [1, Chapter 6]. Here the condition c > -1 is required to guarantee that the partition functions Z_N, \hat{Z}_N below are finite.

We shall study random normal matrix ensembles $\{\zeta_j\}_1^N$, $\{\widehat{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$ associated with the potentials V_c , Q_c respectively. By definition, their joint probability distributions $\mathbf{P}_N, \widehat{\mathbf{P}}_N$ are given by

(1.5)
$$d\mathbf{P}_{N}(\zeta_{1},\ldots,\zeta_{N}) = \frac{1}{Z_{N}} \prod_{j < k} |\zeta_{j} - \zeta_{k}|^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{N} |\zeta_{j}|^{2c} e^{-NV(\zeta_{j})} dA(\zeta_{j}).$$

(1.6)
$$d\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_N(\widehat{\zeta}_1,\dots,\widehat{\zeta}_N) = \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_N} \prod_{j < k} |\widehat{\zeta}_j - \widehat{\zeta}_k|^2 \prod_{j=1}^N |\widehat{\zeta}_j|^{2c} e^{-NQ(\widehat{\zeta}_j)} dA(\widehat{\zeta}_j),$$

3

where Z_N, \hat{Z}_N are normalisation constants which turn $\mathbf{P}_N, \hat{\mathbf{P}}_N$ into probability measures, see [30,53] for asymptotics of the partition function \hat{Z}_N . We also mention that for an integer-valued c, the system $\{\hat{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$ has an alternative realisation as eigenvalues of the *induced Ginibre ensemble* [32], an extension of the Ginibre ensemble to include zero eigenvalues.

The well-known circular law [34] asserts that as N increases, the eigenvalues $\{\hat{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$ tend to be uniformly distributed on the disc $\hat{S} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta - a|^2 \leq 1\}$. As a consequence, it is easy to observe that $\{\zeta_j\}_1^N$ tend to occupy the droplet

(1.7)
$$S := \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta^d - a|^2 \le 1 \}$$

and that the limiting density on S with respect to the area measure dA is given by

(1.8)
$$\Delta V(\zeta) = d |\zeta|^{2d-2}, \qquad (\Delta := \partial \bar{\partial}),$$

see [15, Lemma 1]. Note that the topology of S reveals a phase transition at the value a = 1, where the droplet S is of lemniscate type having d-fold symmetry, see Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. Plots of ∂S for a few values of d and a

We denote by $p_{j,N}^c$, $q_{j,N}^c$ the orthonormal polynomials of degree j with respect to the weighted measure $e^{-NQ_c} dA$, $e^{-NV_c} dA$, respectively, i.e.

(1.9)
$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} p_{j,N}^{c}(\zeta) \overline{p_{k,N}^{c}(\zeta)} |\zeta|^{2c} e^{-NQ(\zeta)} dA(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} q_{j,N}^{c}(\zeta) \overline{q_{k,N}^{c}(\zeta)} |\zeta|^{2c} e^{-NV(\zeta)} dA(\zeta) = \delta_{jk}$$

Here δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. The strong asymptotics of $p_{j,N}^c$ were extensively studied in [13, 14, 17, 42], see also recent works [16, 43, 44] on the case with multiple point charges. We also refer the reader to [20, 36–39, 46] for the strong asymptotics of planar orthogonal polynomials associated with some other classes of potentials.

Let us write \mathbf{K}_N^c , $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_N^c$ for the correlation kernels of the point processes $\{\zeta_j\}_1^N$, $\{\hat{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$, respectively. Due to Dyson's determinantal formula, we have the canonical expressions

(1.10)
$$\mathbf{K}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = (\zeta\bar{\eta})^{c} e^{-\frac{N}{2}(V(\zeta)+V(\eta))} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j,N}^{c}(\zeta) \overline{q_{j,N}^{c}(\eta)}$$

(1.11)
$$\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = (\zeta\bar{\eta})^{c} e^{-\frac{N}{2}(Q(\zeta)+Q(\eta))} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{j,N}^{c}(\zeta) \overline{p_{j,N}^{c}(\eta)}.$$

The joint intensity (correlation) functions are then given in terms of the determinant of such correlation kernels, see e.g. [23, 33].

To describe the local statistics of $\{\zeta_j\}_1^N$, $\{\widehat{\zeta}_j\}_1^N$ at the origin, it is convenient to define the rescaled point processes $\{z_j\}_1^N$, $\{\widehat{z}_j\}_1^N$ as

(1.12)
$$z_j := (N/d)^{\frac{1}{2d}} \cdot \zeta_j, \qquad \widehat{z}_j := N^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \widehat{\zeta}_j.$$

see Figure 1. Here the rescaling order $N^{\frac{1}{2d}}$ is chosen according to the mean eigenvalue density (1.8) at the origin. By definition, the correlation kernels K_N^c, \hat{K}_N^c associated with the point processes $\{z_j\}_1^N, \{\hat{z}_j\}_1^N$ are given by

(1.13)
$$K_N^c(z,w) := \frac{1}{(N/d)^{\frac{1}{d}}} \mathbf{K}_N^c \Big(\frac{z}{(N/d)^{\frac{1}{2d}}}, \frac{w}{(N/d)^{\frac{1}{2d}}} \Big), \qquad \widehat{K}_N^c(z,w) := \frac{1}{N} \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_N^c \Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{w}{\sqrt{N}} \Big).$$

We aim to derive the large-N limits

(1.14)
$$K^c := \lim_{N \to \infty} K_N^c, \qquad \widehat{K}^c := \lim_{N \to \infty} \widehat{K}_N^c$$

of the correlation kernels, where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . The existence of the large-N limits can be found in [12, Theorem 1.1] and [11, Lemma 3]. Let us also stress here that by [11, Lemma 1], the limiting point processes are indeed determined by their 1-point densities

(1.15)
$$R^{c}(z) := K^{c}(z, z), \qquad \widehat{R}^{c}(z) := \widehat{K}^{c}(z, z).$$

1.2. Finite-N analysis. The main ingredient to analyse the correlation kernel is a version of the *Christoffel-Darboux identity*. This can be applied to various situations for instance to the case studied in [13]; cf. see [25] for a recent implementation.

To describe the Christoffel-Darboux formula, let P_j be the *monic* orthogonal polynomial of degree j satisfying

(1.16)
$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} P_j(\zeta) \overline{P_k(\zeta)} |\zeta - a|^{2c} e^{-N|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta) = h_j \,\delta_{jk},$$

where h_j is the orthogonal norm. We denote

(1.17)
$$\psi_j(\zeta) := (\zeta - a)^c P_j(\zeta), \qquad \phi_j(\zeta) := (\zeta - a)^c \frac{P_j(\zeta)}{h_j}$$

and define

(1.18)
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) := e^{-N\zeta\bar{\eta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \overline{\phi_{j}(\eta)} \psi_{j}(\zeta) = |\zeta - a|^{2c} e^{-N\zeta\bar{\eta}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P_{j}(\zeta) \overline{P_{j}(\eta)}.$$

Note that it is related to $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}$ in (1.11) as

(1.19)
$$\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\zeta) = \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(a-\zeta,a-\zeta).$$

We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Christoffel-Darboux formula). Suppose that $a \neq 0$. Then we have

(1.20)
$$\bar{\partial}_{\eta} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = e^{-N\zeta\bar{\eta}} \frac{1}{\frac{n+c}{N}h_{n-1} - h_{n}} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \overline{\psi_{n}(\eta)} \Big(\psi_{n}(\zeta) - \zeta\psi_{n-1}(\zeta) \Big) \\ - e^{-N\zeta\bar{\eta}} \frac{P_{n+1}(a)}{P_{n}(a)} \frac{N h_{N}/h_{N-1}}{\frac{n+c+1}{N}h_{n} - h_{n+1}} \overline{\psi_{n-1}(\eta)} \Big(\psi_{n+1}(\zeta) - \zeta\psi_{n}(\zeta) \Big).$$

Contrary to the classical Christoffel-Darboux formula for the orthogonal polynomial kernel on the real axis, the identity (1.20) shows that the summation in (1.18) can be expressed in terms of the three last orthogonal polynomials.

Remark 1.2. For the radially symmetric case when a = 0, we have

(1.21)
$$P_j(\zeta) = \zeta^j, \qquad h_j = \frac{\Gamma(j+c+1)}{N^{j+c+1}}$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 cannot be directly applied to this case since

(1.22)
$$\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1} - h_N = \psi_N(\zeta) - \zeta\psi_{N-1}(\zeta) = 0.$$

On the one hand, for $a \neq 0$, it was shown in [13, Appendix D] that $\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1} - h_N$ does not vanish.

Remark 1.3 (Three-term recurrence relation). In Subsection 3.2, we also show that the orthogonal polynomial P_k satisfies the (non-standard) three-term recurrence relation of the form

(1.23)
$$z P_k(z) = P_{k+1}(z) + b_k P_k(z) + c_k z P_{k-1}(z),$$

where

(1.24)
$$b_k := -\frac{P_{k+1}(0)}{P_k(0)}, \qquad c_k := \frac{P_k(0) - P'_{k+1}(0) - b_k P'_k(0)}{P_{k-1}(0)}$$

This relation (1.23) plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We mention that it is shown in [6, Corollary 5.3] that P_k does not satisfy the standard three-term recurrence relation, (i.e. the relation of the form (1.23) with $c_k z$ replaced by c_k).

Example 1.4. (Exactly solvable case: c = 1) For an integer-valued point charge c, one can explicitly express the associated orthogonal polynomials using the well-known special functions. For instance, when c = 1, we have

(1.25)
$$P_k(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^k a^{k-j} \frac{k!}{j!} \frac{\Gamma(j+1, Na^2)}{\Gamma(k+1, Na^2)} \zeta^j = \frac{1}{\zeta - a} \left(\zeta^{k+1} - e^{aN(\zeta - a)} \frac{Q(k+1, Na\zeta)}{Q(k+1, Na^2)} a^{k+1} \right)$$

and

(1.26)
$$h_k = \frac{(k+1)!}{N^{k+2}} \frac{Q(k+2, Na^2)}{Q(k+1, Na^2)},$$

see [7, Section 3]. Here Q is the regularised incomplete Gamma function. Then by using some basic properties of the incomplete Gamma function, one can directly check the Christoffel-Darboux formula (1.20) as well as the three-term recurrence relation (1.23).

Due to the relation (1.19), one can notice that the use of Theorem 1.1 can be made to derive the asymptotic behaviours of \hat{K}_N^c in (1.13). Furthermore, the behaviours of K_N^c follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 1.5 (Multi-fold transform). For each c > -1 and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

(1.27)
$$\mathbf{K}_{dN}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = d(\zeta\bar{\eta})^{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(\zeta^{d},\eta^{d}),$$

(1.28)
$$K_{dN}^{c}(z,w) = d(z\bar{w})^{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{K}_{N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(z^{d},w^{d}).$$

We refer to [27, Proposition 2.1] and [5, Appendix B] for related statements on Hermitian matrix models. This proposition follows from a simple relation (2.17) between the orthogonal polynomials.

1.3. Scaling limits. We now focus on the critical regime when $a \to 1$ in a way that the scaled parameter

(1.29)
$$\mathcal{S} := 2\sqrt{N}(a-1)$$

remains bounded. An analogue of such regime in the Hermitian random matrix theory is called *multi-criticality* [19, 28], see also [2] for the chiral counterpart.

We first introduce the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\tilde{\Psi}^c$ that describes the special solution of the Painlevé IV appeared in [17]. See [17, Subsection 2.2] for more details. For a given real parameter s, the matrix $\tilde{\Psi}^c(\zeta; s)$ of size 2 is analytic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus(\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_\infty\cup\mathbb{R}_-)$ and admits non-tangential boundary values. Here $\Gamma_\infty = i\mathbb{R}$ and Γ_1 is a contour in the left-half plane crossing the origin as shown in Figure 3. One may simply assume that Γ_1 comes from the infinity straight to the origin in an angle between π and $3\pi/2$ and going straight back to the infinity in an angle between $\pi/2$ and π .



FIGURE 3. The jump contours of $\widetilde{\Psi}^c(\zeta; s)$.

The jump conditions and the asymptotic behaviours of $\widetilde{\Psi}^c$ are given as follows:

• The jump condition is given by

(1.30)
$$\widetilde{\Psi}^{c}_{+}(\zeta;s) = \widetilde{\Psi}^{c}_{-}(\zeta;s) \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \zeta \in \Gamma_{1}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \zeta \in \Gamma_{\infty}, \\ e^{-c\pi i \sigma_{3}} & \zeta \in \mathbb{R}_{-}, \end{cases}$$

where σ_3 is the third Pauli matrix. Here $\widetilde{\Psi}^c_{\pm}(\zeta, s)$ are continuous boundary values on the left and right of the jumping contours;

• As $\zeta \to \infty$,

$$\widetilde{\Psi}^c(\zeta;s) = \left(I + \frac{\Psi_1(s)}{\zeta} + \frac{\Psi_2(s)}{\zeta^2} + O(\frac{1}{\zeta^3})\right) \zeta^{-\frac{c}{2}\sigma_3} e^{-(\frac{\zeta^2}{4} + \frac{s}{2}\zeta)\sigma_3}.$$

Here

$$\Psi_{1}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} H(s) & \frac{Z(s)}{U(s)} \\ U(s) & -H(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_{2}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(H(s)^{2} + Z(z) - sH(s)) & \frac{Z(s)(Z(s) + c - Y(s)s - H(s)Y(s))}{U(s)Y(s)} \\ U(s)(H(s) + Y(s) - s) & \frac{1}{2}(H(s)^{2} + Z(z) + sH(s)) \end{pmatrix}$$

• As $\zeta \to 0$ in the region Ω_{∞} ,

(1.31)
$$\widetilde{\Psi}^c(\zeta;s) = \zeta^{-\frac{c}{2}\sigma_3} \cdot O(1).$$

By [17] and the references therein, the unique solution to the above Riemann-Hilbert problem is related to the Painlevé IV equation by the following lax pair,

(1.32)
$$\frac{d}{d\zeta}\widetilde{\Psi}^c = A\widetilde{\Psi}^c, \qquad \frac{d}{ds}\widetilde{\Psi}^c = B\widetilde{\Psi}^c,$$

where

(1.33)
$$A = A(\zeta; s) = -\frac{\zeta + s}{2}\sigma_3 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{Z}{U} \\ -U & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{c}{2} - Z & \frac{Z^2 + cZ}{YU} \\ -UY & Z + \frac{c}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

(1.34)
$$B = B(\zeta; s) = -\frac{\zeta}{2}\sigma_3 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{Z}{U} \\ -U & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The compatibility condition of the linear system (1.32) gives $A_s - B_{\zeta} + [A, B] = 0$. It follows that

(1.35)
$$U' = U(Y - s), \qquad Z' = ZY - \frac{Z^2 + cZ}{Y}, \qquad Y' = sY - Y^2 - 2Z - c$$

Using the above differential equations, one can observe that Y satisfies the Painlevé IV equation

(1.36)
$$Y'' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(Y')^2}{Y} + \frac{3}{2} Y^3 - 2sY^2 + \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{2} + c\right)Y - \frac{c^2}{2Y}.$$

The functions Y(s), Z(s), and H(s) are interrelated through

(1.37)
$$Z = \frac{1}{2}(sY - c - Y' - Y^2), \qquad H = \left(s - \frac{c}{Y} - Y\right)Z - \frac{Z^2}{Y}.$$

We also write

(1.38)

$$W := Z/U.$$

In terms of the function W, we define

(1.39)
$$\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{A}(s) := \left[\frac{W''(s)}{W(s)} - \left(\frac{W'(s)}{W(s)}\right)^2\right]^{-1}$$

(1.40)
$$\mathfrak{B} \equiv \mathfrak{B}(s) = 2\frac{W'(s)}{W(s)\mathfrak{A}(s)} + \frac{W'(s)}{W(s)} \Big(\frac{W''(s)}{W(s)} - \frac{W'''(s)}{W'(s)}\Big),$$

cf. (4.30) and (4.31).

We write \hat{R}_{edge}^c , R_{edge}^c for the associated limiting 1-point functions when *a* is given by (1.29). Let us also denote by \hat{K}_{edge}^c , K_{edge}^c the corresponding correlation kernels. Let us define an analytic continuation, $\Psi^c(\zeta; s)$, of the matrix function $\tilde{\Psi}^c(\zeta; s)$ by

(1.41)
$$\Psi^{c}(\zeta;s) := \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Psi}^{c}(\zeta;s), & \zeta \in \Omega_{\infty}, \\ \widetilde{\Psi}^{c}(\zeta;s) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \zeta \in \Omega_{2}, \\ \widetilde{\Psi}^{c}(\zeta;s) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \zeta \in \Omega_{0}, \end{cases}$$

where the regions Ω_0 , Ω_2 and Ω_∞ are specified in Figure 3.

Theorem 1.6. Let Ψ_{ik}^c be the (j,k) entry of Ψ^c defined in (1.41).

• (Induced Ginibre ensemble with a point charge at a boundary point) For each $c \in (-1,0)$, we have

(1.42)
$$\widehat{R}_{edge}^{c}(z) = \frac{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{S})(-1)^{c}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(z-\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} z^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{21}^{c}(-z;\mathcal{S}) W(\mathcal{S}) \times \int_{-\infty}^{\overline{z}} e^{-zw - \frac{(w-\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} w^{\frac{c}{2}} \Big[\Psi_{11}^{c}(-w;\mathcal{S})\mathcal{X} + \Psi_{21}^{c}(-w;\mathcal{S})\mathcal{Y} + Z(\mathcal{S}) \Big] dw,$$

where

(1.43)
$$\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{Z}{w} - z + \mathfrak{AB} - \frac{Z+c}{Y} + Y, \qquad \mathcal{Y} \equiv \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{S}) = -\frac{W}{w} \frac{Z+c}{Y}.$$

• (**Recursive formula**) For each c > -1, we have

(1.44)
$$\widehat{R}_{edge}^{c+1}(z) = \widehat{R}_{edge}^{c}(z) - \frac{|\widehat{K}_{edge}^{c}(0,z)|^{2}}{\widehat{R}_{edge}^{c}(0)}.$$

Remark 1.7. It is known [17, Theorem 2.5] that the solution $\widetilde{\Psi}^c(\zeta; S)$ exists for S < -0.7701449782. Also it is expected that there are discrete values of S where the solution does not exist. Hence the above theorem makes sense for all S except those discrete values. The asymptotic behaviours of the 1-point function in (1.42) will be discussed in Appendix C.

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.6, we obtain the scaling limit of the lemniscate ensembles.

Theorem 1.8 (Lemniscate ensemble with *d*-fold symmetry). Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.6, we have that for each d > 1 and c > -1,

(1.45)
$$R_{edge}^{c}(z) = d |z|^{2d-2} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \frac{\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{S}) (-1)^{\frac{c-l}{d}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(z^{d}-\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} z^{\frac{c-l}{2}} \Psi_{21}^{\frac{c-l}{d}} (-z^{d}; \mathcal{S}) W(\mathcal{S})$$
$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\overline{z}^{d}} e^{-z^{d}w - \frac{(w-\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} w^{\frac{c-l}{2d}} \Big[\Psi_{11}^{\frac{c-l}{d}} (-w; \mathcal{S}) \mathcal{X} + \Psi_{21}^{\frac{c-l}{d}} (-w; \mathcal{S}) \mathcal{Y} + Z(\mathcal{S}) \Big] dw.$$

Remark 1.9. For a fixed $a \in [0,1)$, thus when the origin is inside of the droplet S (see Figure 2 (A) and (D)), it was shown in [12] that the limiting 1-point functions $\widehat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c$, R_{bulk}^c are given by

(1.46)
$$\widehat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^{c}(z) = |z|^{2c} e^{-|z|^{2}} E_{1,1+c}(|z|^{2}), \qquad R_{\text{bulk}}^{c}(z) = d|z|^{2c} e^{-|z|^{2d}} E_{\frac{1}{d},\frac{1+c}{d}}(|z|^{2}),$$

where $E_{a,b}$ is the two-parametric Mittag-Leffler function

(1.47)
$$E_{a,b}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(ak+b)}.$$

We mention that the approach in [12] using Ward's equation relies on the fact that the limiting 1-point functions (1.46) are rotationally symmetric. Our approach can also be applied to the bulk case when $a \in (0,1)$, which provides an alternative derivation of the limiting one-point functions (1.46), see Theorem D.1.

An additional advantage of our approach using the Christoffel-Darboux identity lies in the fact that both in Theorems 1.6 and D.1, it indeed allows to compute not only the leading order asymptotic but also its fine asymptotic as long as the detailed strong asymptotics of the associated orthogonal polynomial are provided. We refer to [22, 41] for previous works in this direction on exactly solvable models.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows.

- In Section 2, we derive (1.44) and (1.45) by showing the recursive formula and the multi-fold transformation of correlation kernels in a general context.
- In Section 3, we present the Christoffel-Darboux identities for some class of planar orthogonal polynomials and show Theorem 1.1.
- In Section 4, we derive the large-N limit of the correlation kernel and complete the proof of Theorem 1.6.
- This article contains several appendices. In appendices A and B, we compile detailed computations used in the proofs of Theorem 1.6. In Appendix C, we discuss the asymptotic behaviours of the 1-point function in Theorem 1.6. In Appendix D, we re-derive the bulk scaling limits in Remark 1.9 based on our strategy of using the Christoffel-Darboux formula.

2. Recursive formula and multi-fold transformation

In this section, we present the *recursive formula* and *multi-fold transformation* of correlation kernels. First let us recall some well-known facts.

Note that $E_{1,c}(z) = z^{-c}e^z P(c, z)$, where $P(c, z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)}\gamma(c, z)$ is the regularised incomplete Gamma function. For $a \in [0, 1)$ fixed, we write \hat{K}_{bulk}^c , K_{bulk}^c for the corresponding correlation kernels. It then follows from (1.46) that

(2.1)
$$\widehat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c(z) = P(c, |z|^2), \qquad \widehat{K}_{\text{bulk}}^c(z, w) = G(z, w)P(c, z\bar{w}),$$

where

(2.2)
$$G(z,w) := e^{z\bar{w} - |z|^2/2 - |w|^2/2}$$

is the bulk Ginibre kernel. On the other hand when c = 0, we have the boundary Ginibre kernel

(2.3)
$$\widehat{R}^0_{\text{edge}}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z+\bar{z}-\mathcal{S}}{\sqrt{2}}), \qquad \widehat{K}^0_{\text{edge}}(z,w) = G(z,w)\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z+\bar{w}-\mathcal{S}}{\sqrt{2}}).$$

2.1. Recursive formula. Let us define the *Berezin kernel*

(2.4)
$$\widehat{B}_{N}^{c}(z,w) := \frac{|K_{N}^{c}(z,w)|^{2}}{\widehat{R}_{N}^{c}(z)}$$

We now derive the following recursive formula for \widehat{R}_N^c , see [10, Lemma 7.6.2] for a similar statement.

Lemma 2.1. For any $a \ge 0$ and c > -1, we have

(2.5)
$$\widehat{R}_N^{c+1}(z) = \widehat{R}_{N+1}^c(z) - \widehat{B}_{N+1}^c(0,z)$$

As an immediate consequence, by letting $N \to \infty$, we obtain (1.44). Before the proof, let us present some examples.

Example 2.2. (Bulk case) By (2.1) and [47, Eq. (8.7.1)], we have

(2.6)
$$\frac{|\hat{K}_{\text{bulk}}^c(0,z)|^2}{\hat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c(z)} = e^{-|z|^2} \frac{|z|^{2c}}{\Gamma(c+1)}.$$

Then it follows from the recurrence relation of the regularised Gamma function (see [47, Eq. (8.8.5)]) that

(2.7)
$$\widehat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^{c+1}(z) = \widehat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^{c}(z) - \frac{|\widehat{K}_{\text{bulk}}^{c}(0,z)|^{2}}{\widehat{R}_{\text{bulk}}^{c}(z)} = P(c+1,|z|^{2}).$$

Example 2.3. (Edge case) For a = 1, by (2.3), we have

(2.8)
$$\widehat{R}^{1}_{\text{edge}}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z+\bar{z}}{\sqrt{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-|z|^{2}} |\operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}})|^{2}.$$

Similarly, we have

(2.9)
$$\widehat{R}_{edge}^{2}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z+\bar{z}}{\sqrt{2}}) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-|z|^{2}} |\operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}})|^{2} - \frac{1}{\pi-2} e^{-|z|^{2}} \left| (\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}z - 1) \operatorname{erfc}(-\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}) + e^{-z^{2}/2} \right|^{2}.$$

See Figure 4 below for the graphs of \widehat{R}_{edge}^c .



FIGURE 4. The plots display the graphs of \widehat{R}_{edge}^c for a few values of c.

We now prove Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let us write

(2.10)
$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,k}^{c}(\widehat{\zeta}_{1},\ldots,\widehat{\zeta}_{k}) := \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{N}} \frac{N!}{(N-k)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N-k}} \prod_{j < k} |\widehat{\zeta}_{j} - \widehat{\zeta}_{k}|^{2} e^{-N\sum_{j} Q_{c}(\widehat{\zeta}_{j})} \prod_{j=k+1}^{N} dA(\widehat{\zeta}_{j})$$

for the k-point correlation (joint intensity) function. Recall that we have

(2.11)
$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,k}^{c}(\widehat{\zeta}_{1},\ldots,\widehat{\zeta}_{k}) = \det\left[\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}(\widehat{\zeta}_{j},\widehat{\zeta}_{l})\right]_{j,l=1}^{k},$$

see e.g. [33].

The main idea of the proof is the following simple observation

$$|\Delta(\widehat{\zeta}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\zeta}_N)|^2 \prod_{j=1}^N |\widehat{\zeta}_j|^2 = |\Delta(\widehat{\zeta}_1,\cdots,\widehat{\zeta}_N,0)|^2,$$

where Δ is the Vandermonde determinant. Using this, we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,1}^{c+1}(\widehat{\zeta}_{1}) &= \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{N}} \frac{N!}{(N-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N-1}} |\Delta(\widehat{\zeta}_{1}, \cdots, \widehat{\zeta}_{N})|^{2} e^{-N\sum_{j} Q_{c+1}(\widehat{\zeta}_{j})} \prod_{j=2}^{N} dA(\widehat{\zeta}_{j}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{N}} \frac{1}{N+1} \frac{(N+1)!}{(N-1)!} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{N-1}} |\Delta(\widehat{\zeta}_{1}, \cdots, \widehat{\zeta}_{N}, 0)|^{2} e^{-N\sum_{j} Q_{c}(\widehat{\zeta}_{j})} \prod_{j=2}^{N} dA(\widehat{\zeta}_{j}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N+1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N+1,2}^{c}(\widehat{\zeta}_{1}, 0), \end{split}$$

which leads to

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,1}^{c+1}(\zeta) = \frac{N}{N+1} \frac{\mathbf{R}_{N+1,2}^{c}(\zeta,0)}{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N+1,1}^{c}(0)}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\widehat{R}_{N,1}^{c+1}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N,1}^{c+1}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}) = \frac{1}{N+1} \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N+1,2}^{c}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}},0)}{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N+1,1}^{c}(0)}$$

Since

$$\widehat{R}_{N+1,1}^c(0) = \frac{1}{N+1} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N+1,1}^c(0), \qquad \widehat{R}_{N+1,2}^c(0,z) = \frac{1}{(N+1)^2} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{N+1,2}^c(\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}},0),$$

we conclude

$$\widehat{R}_{N,1}^{c+1}(z) = \frac{R_{N+1,2}^{c}(0,z)}{\widehat{R}_{N+1,1}^{c}(0)} = \widehat{R}_{N+1,1}^{c}(z) - \widehat{B}_{N+1}^{c}(0,z)$$

This completes the proof.

2.2. Multi-fold transformations. In this subsection, we show Proposition 1.5.

We first note that by taking $N \to \infty$ of (1.28), we have

(2.12)
$$K^{c}(z,w) = d(z\bar{w})^{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{K}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(z^{d},w^{d}).$$

Remark 2.4. In the opposite direction, one can also express \hat{K}^c in terms of K^c . For instance when d = 2, we have the relations

(2.13)
$$K^{c}(z,w) + K^{c}(z,-w) = 4z\bar{w}\,\widehat{K}^{\frac{c-1}{2}}(z^{2},w^{2}),$$

(2.14)
$$K^{c}(z,w) - K^{c}(z,-w) = 4z\bar{w}\,\hat{K}^{\frac{c}{2}}(z^{2},w^{2}).$$

Summing these two equations, we obtain (2.12) with d = 2:

(2.15)
$$K^{c}(z,w) = 2z\bar{w}\left(\hat{K}^{\frac{c-1}{2}}(z^{2},w^{2}) + \hat{K}^{\frac{c}{2}}(z^{2},w^{2})\right).$$

We remark that when $c \in \{0,1\}$, the term $2z\bar{w}\,\hat{K}^0(z^2,w^2)$ in the right-hand side of the above equation corresponds to the kernel appearing in the context of chiral Ginibre ensembles, see [2, Theorem 3].

Before the proof, we interpret K_{bulk}^c for general d > 1 from the viewpoint of Proposition 1.5.

Example 2.5. (Bulk case) It follows from the definition (1.47) that

$$\sum_{l=0}^{d-1} x^l \, E_{1,\frac{c+l+1}{d}}(x^d) = \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{dk+l}}{\Gamma(k+\frac{c+l+1}{d})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{\Gamma(\frac{j}{d}+\frac{1+c}{d})} = E_{\frac{1}{d},\frac{1+c}{d}}(x).$$

Then by (2.12), one can obtain $K^c_{\rm bulk}$ from $\widehat{K}^c_{\rm bulk}$ as

$$(2.16) K^{c}_{\text{bulk}}(z,w) = d(z\bar{w})^{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{K}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}_{\text{bulk}}(z^{d},w^{d}) = d(z\bar{w})^{c} e^{-|z|^{2d}/2 - |w|^{2d}/2} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} (z\bar{w})^{l} E_{1,\frac{c+l+1}{d}}((z\bar{w})^{d}) = d(z\bar{w})^{c} e^{-|z|^{2d}/2 - |w|^{2d}/2} E_{\frac{1}{d},\frac{1+c}{d}}(z\bar{w}).$$

Proof of Proposition 1.5. By the change of variable $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^d$, it is easy to observe that $p_{j,N}^c$ and $q_{j,N}^c$ enjoy the intimate relation

(2.17)
$$q_{dj+l,dN}^{c}(\zeta) = \sqrt{d}\,\zeta^{l} p_{j,N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(\zeta^{d}).$$

This property is also discussed in [17, Section 3] but it is easy enough to recall a proof. By definition, we have

$$\delta_{jk} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} q_{j,dN}^c(\zeta) \overline{q_{k,dN}^c(\zeta)} |\zeta|^{2c} e^{-dNV(\zeta)} \, dA(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} p_{j,N}^c(\zeta) \overline{p_{k,N}^c(\zeta)} |\zeta|^{2c} e^{-NQ(\zeta)} \, dA(\zeta).$$

Since $V_c(\zeta)$ is invariant under the discrete rotation $\zeta \mapsto e^{2\pi i/d} \cdot \zeta$, there exists a polynomial p_j such that $q_{dj+l,dN}^c(\zeta) = \zeta^l p_j(\zeta^d)$. By the change of variable $\eta = \zeta^d$, we have

$$\delta_{jk} = d \int_{0 < \arg\zeta < \frac{2\pi}{d}} p_j(\zeta^d) \overline{p_k(\zeta^d)} |\zeta|^{2c+2l} e^{-NQ(\zeta^d)} \, dA(\zeta) = \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p_j(\eta) \overline{p_k(\eta)} |\eta|^{\frac{2c+2l+2}{d} - 2} e^{-NQ(\eta)} \, dA(\eta).$$

Thus we obtain $p_j(\zeta) = \sqrt{d} p_{j,N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(\zeta)$, which leads to (2.17). By (1.10) and (1.11) we have

(2.18)
$$\mathbf{K}_{dN}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = (\zeta\bar{\eta})^{c} e^{-\frac{dN}{2}V(\zeta) - \frac{dN}{2}V(\eta)} \sum_{j=0}^{dN-1} q_{j,dN}^{c}(\zeta) \overline{q_{j,dN}^{c}(\eta)},$$

(2.19)
$$\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = (\zeta\bar{\eta})^{c} e^{-\frac{N}{2}Q(\zeta) - \frac{N}{2}Q(\eta)} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{j,N}^{c}(\zeta) \overline{p_{j,N}^{c}(\eta)}.$$

Observe here that by (2.17),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{dN-1} q_{j,dN}^c(\zeta) \overline{q_{j,dN}^c(\eta)} = \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{dj+l,dN}^c(\zeta) \overline{q_{dj+l,dN}^c(\eta)} = d \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} (\zeta \bar{\eta})^l \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{j,N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(\zeta^d) \overline{p_{j,N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(\eta^d)}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}_{dN}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) &= d \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (\zeta\bar{\eta})^{c+l} e^{-\frac{N}{2}Q(\zeta^{d}) - \frac{N}{2}Q(\eta^{d})} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{j,N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d} - 1}(\zeta^{d}) \overline{p_{j,N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d} - 1}(\eta^{d})} \\ &= d(\zeta\bar{\eta})^{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d} - 1}(\zeta^{d},\eta^{d}). \end{split}$$

Therefore we conclude

$$\begin{split} K_{dN}^{c}(z,w) &= \frac{1}{N^{1/d}} \mathbf{K}_{N}^{c} \left(\frac{z}{N^{\frac{1}{2d}}}, \frac{w}{N^{\frac{1}{2d}}}\right) \\ &= d(z\bar{w})^{d-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1} \left(\frac{z^{d}}{\sqrt{N}}, \frac{w^{d}}{\sqrt{N}}\right) = d(z\bar{w})^{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \widehat{K}_{N}^{\frac{c+l+1}{d}-1}(z^{d}, w^{d}), \end{split}$$
sompletes the proof.

which completes the proof.

3. Christoffel-Darboux identity for planar orthogonal polynomials

This section is devoted to proving the Christoffel-Darboux identity, Theorem 1.1, see [18] for a similar method of deriving such identity in the context of bi-orthogonal polynomials. We also refer to [3, Subsection 4.1] for a version of the Christoffel-Darboux which involves differential operators.

3.1. Elliptic potential revisited. To better introduce the general strategy of deriving the Christoffel-Darboux identity for planar orthogonal polynomials, let us first consider the elliptic potential

(3.1)
$$Q(\zeta) := \frac{1}{1-\tau^2} (|\zeta|^2 - \tau \operatorname{Re} \zeta^2), \qquad \tau \in [0,1).$$

The random normal matrix ensemble associated with such a potential is equivalent to the *elliptic* Ginibre ensemble. It is well known that the orthogonal polynomial with respect to the measure $e^{-NQ} dA$ can be expressed in terms of the Hermite polynomial $H_j(x) = (-1)^j e^{x^2} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} e^{-x^2}$. More precisely, the monic orthogonal polynomial

(3.2)
$$P_j(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\tau}{2N}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} H_j(\sqrt{\frac{N}{2\tau}}\zeta)$$

satisfies the orthogonality relation

(3.3)
$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} P_j(\zeta) \overline{P_k(\zeta)} e^{-NQ(\zeta)} dA(\zeta) = h_j \,\delta_{jk}, \qquad h_j = \sqrt{1 - \tau^2} \frac{j!}{N^{j+1}},$$

see e.g. [52] or [4, Lemma 7].

Let us write

(3.4)
$$W(\zeta) := e^{-\frac{\tau N}{2(1-\tau^2)}\zeta^2}, \quad \psi_j(\zeta) := W(\zeta)P_j(\zeta), \quad \phi_j(\zeta) := W(\zeta)\frac{P_j(\zeta)}{h_j}.$$

Then the associated correlation kernel $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_N$ is written as

(3.5)
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}(\zeta,\eta) = e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^{2}}\zeta\bar{\eta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\overline{\phi_{j}(\eta)}\psi_{j}(\zeta).$$

We have the following form of the Christoffel-Darboux identity.

Proposition 3.1. We have

(3.6)
$$\bar{\partial}_{\eta} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}(\zeta, \eta) = \frac{N}{1 - \tau^{2}} e^{-\frac{N}{1 - \tau^{2}} \zeta \bar{\eta}} \left(\tau \, \overline{\phi_{N}(\eta)} \psi_{N-1}(\zeta) - \overline{\phi_{N-1}(\eta)} \psi_{N}(\zeta) \right).$$

Remark 3.2. Note in particular that the 1-point function $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_N(\zeta) = \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_N(\zeta,\zeta)$ satisfies

(3.7)
$$\partial_x \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_N(x+iy) = -2 \frac{N^{N+1}}{(N-1)!} \frac{e^{-NQ(x+iy)}}{(1-\tau^2)(1+\tau)} \operatorname{Re}\Big[P_N(x-iy)P_{N-1}(x+iy)\Big].$$

We refer to [41, Proposition 2.3] for a direct proof of (3.6) using the three-term recurrence relation and differentiation rule of Hermite polynomials. (See also [9, Lemma 4.1] for a related statement.) Together with Plancherel-Rotach type strong asymptotics of Hermite polynomials, the identities (3.6), (3.7) were used in [9, 41] to derive the associated limiting local kernels in various situations. Beyond the study of determinantal point processes, the identity (3.7) was also utilized to analyse real eigenvalue distributions of real elliptic random matrices, see [24].

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let us define semi-infinite dimensional vectors

$$\Psi := [\psi_0, \psi_1, \cdots]^t, \qquad \Phi := [\phi_0, \phi_1, \cdots]^t,$$

where t is the transpose of a matrix and write

(3.8)
$$\Pi_N := \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_N, 0, \cdots)$$

for the projection (truncation) operator. Then the kernel $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_N$ can be rewritten as

(3.9)
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_N(\zeta,\eta) = e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^2}\zeta\bar{\eta}} \Phi^*(\eta) \Pi_N \Psi(\zeta),$$

where * denotes the Hermitian transpose of the matrix. We also define

$$\langle T|S\rangle := \int \overline{T} S e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^2}|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta) = \overline{\langle S|T\rangle}.$$

By definition, there exist semi-infinite dimensional matrices A, B such that

(3.10)
$$\partial \phi_j = \sum_k A_{jk} \phi_k, \qquad \partial \Phi = A \Phi,$$

(3.11)
$$\zeta \psi_j(\zeta) = \sum_k B_{jk} \psi_k(\zeta), \qquad \zeta \Psi(\zeta) = B \Psi.$$

Notice here that integration by parts gives rise to

$$B_{jk} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\phi_k(\zeta)} e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^2}\zeta\bar{\zeta}} \zeta\psi_j(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta) = -\frac{1-\tau^2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\phi_k(\zeta)} \bar{\partial} \left(e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^2}\zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right) \psi_j(\zeta) \, dA(\zeta)$$
$$= \frac{1-\tau^2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\partial} \overline{\phi_k(\zeta)} \psi_j(\zeta) \, e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^2}\zeta\bar{\zeta}} \, dA(\zeta) = \frac{1-\tau^2}{N} \overline{A_{kj}}.$$

In other words, we have

$$(3.12) B = \frac{1-\tau^2}{N} A^*$$

Using this, we obtain

(3.13)
$$(\zeta - \frac{1-\tau^2}{N}\bar{\partial}_\eta)\Phi^*(\eta)\Pi_N\Psi(\zeta) = \Phi^*(\eta)\Pi_N B\Psi(\zeta) - \frac{1-\tau^2}{N}\Phi^*(\eta)A^*\Pi_N\Psi(\zeta)$$
$$= \Phi^*(\eta)(\Pi_N B - B\Pi_N)\Psi(\zeta).$$

Thus we have

(3.14)
$$\bar{\partial}_{\eta} \mathbf{K}_{N}(\zeta, \eta) = \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \left[e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^{2}}\zeta\bar{\eta}} \Phi^{*}(\eta) \Pi_{N} \Psi(\zeta) \right]$$
$$= -\frac{N}{1-\tau^{2}} e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^{2}}\zeta\bar{\eta}} (\zeta - \frac{1-\tau^{2}}{N} \bar{\partial}_{\eta}) \Phi^{*}(\eta) \Pi_{N} \Psi(\zeta)$$
$$= -\frac{N}{1-\tau^{2}} e^{-\frac{N}{1-\tau^{2}}\zeta\bar{\eta}} \Phi^{*}(\eta) (\Pi_{N} B - B\Pi_{N}) \Psi(\zeta).$$

Now let us determine the matrix B. It follows from the three-term recurrence relation of Hermite polynomials that

(3.15)
$$\zeta P_j(\zeta) = P_{j+1}(\zeta) + \frac{j\tau}{N} P_{j-1}(\zeta).$$

Thus we obtain

(3.16)
$$B_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = j+1, \\ \frac{j\tau}{N} & \text{if } k = j-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using this, we conclude

$$\Phi^*(\eta)(\Pi_N B - B\Pi_N)\Psi(\zeta) = \overline{\phi_{N-1}(\eta)}B_{N-1,N}\psi_N(\zeta) - \overline{\phi_N(\eta)}B_{N,N-1}\psi_{N-1}(\zeta)$$
$$= \overline{\phi_{N-1}(\eta)}\psi_N(\zeta) - \tau \overline{\phi_N(\eta)}\psi_{N-1}(\zeta).$$

This completes the proof.

3.2. Gaussian potential with an insertion of a point charge. In this subsection, we derive the Chritoffel-Darboux identity (Theorem 1.1) for the orthogonal polynomials associated with the potential of the form (1.4). The overall strategy is similar to the one presented in the previous subsection. However, it requires some modifications due to the lack of standard three-term recurrence relation. We now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As in Subsection 3.1, we write $\Psi := [\psi_0, \psi_1, \cdots]^t$, $\Phi := [\phi_0, \phi_1, \cdots]^t$. Using the projection operator Π_N in (3.8), we write

(3.17)
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\eta) = e^{-N\zeta\bar{\eta}}\Phi^{*}(\eta)\Pi_{n}\Psi(\zeta).$$

Then we have

(3.18)

$$\bar{\partial}_{\eta} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta, \eta) = -N e^{-N\zeta \bar{\eta}} (\zeta - \frac{1}{N} \bar{\partial}_{\eta}) \Phi^{*}(\eta) \Pi_{n} \Psi(\zeta).$$

For each j, let

(3.19)
$$L_{j,j-1} = -\frac{\int \overline{\zeta \psi_j(\zeta)} \phi_0(\zeta) e^{-N|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta)}{\int \overline{\zeta \psi_{j-1}(\zeta)} \phi_0(\zeta) e^{-N|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta)}.$$

Notice that the denominator does not vanish. Note also that

$$\zeta(\psi_j(\zeta) + L_{j,j-1}\psi_{j-1}(\zeta)) \perp \phi_0$$

with respect to the inner product

(3.20)
$$\langle T|S \rangle := \int \overline{T} S e^{-N|\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta) = \overline{\langle S|T \rangle}$$

The numbers $L_{j,j-1}$ are building blocks to define the lower diagonal matrix

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ L_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & L_{3,2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & L_{4,3} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Write

(3.21)
$$\psi_j := \psi_j + L_{j,j-1}\psi_{j-1}.$$

Then if

$$\phi(\zeta) = (\text{polynomials of deg} \le j - 2) \cdot (\zeta - a) \cdot (\zeta - a)^c,$$

we have

(3.22)
$$\langle \phi \, | \, \zeta \widetilde{\psi}_j \rangle = \langle \partial \phi \, | \, \widetilde{\psi}_j \rangle = 0.$$

In other words, we have

$$\operatorname{span}\{\phi_0,\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{j-1}\} \perp \zeta \widetilde{\psi}_j,$$

which leads to

(3.23)
$$\zeta \overline{\psi}_j(\zeta) = \psi_{j+1}(\zeta) + B_{j,j} \, \psi_j(\zeta)$$

for some $B_{j,j}$. Thus we obtain

(3.24)
$$\zeta(I+L)\Psi = B\Psi, \qquad B := \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & B_{2,2} & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & B_{3,3} & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_{4,4} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us also write

(3.25)
$$U_{j,j+1} = -\frac{h_{j+1}}{h_j} \frac{P_j(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)},$$

and define the upper diagonal matrix

	$\sqrt{0}$	$U_{1,2}$	0	0)
U :=	0	0	$U_{2,3}$	0)
	0	0	0	$U_{3,4}$	
	0	0	0	0	·
	(:	÷	÷	÷	·)

Then the function

(3.26) $\widehat{\phi}_j(\zeta) := \phi_j(\zeta) + U_{j,j+1}\phi_{j+1}(\zeta) = (\text{polynomials of deg} \le j) \cdot (\zeta - a) \cdot (\zeta - a)^c$ satisfies

(3.27)
$$\langle \partial \widehat{\phi}_j | \psi_k \rangle = \langle \widehat{\phi}_j | \zeta \psi_k \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } k \le j-2.$$

Thus we have

(3.28)

$$\partial \phi_j = A_{j,j}\phi_j + A_{j,j-1}\phi_{j-1}$$

for some $A_{j,k}$, equivalently,

(3.29)
$$\partial (I+U)\Phi = A\Phi, \qquad A := \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & A_{4,3} & A_{4,4} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now determine $A_{j,j-1}$ and $A_{j,j}$. Note that integration by parts gives $\overline{D}(I + II)^{t} = \overline{D}(I + II)^{t} = (DII + \Phi^{t}(I + II)^{t})$

$$(3.30) \qquad \overline{B}(I+U)^t = \overline{B}\langle \Psi | \Phi^t \rangle (I+U)^t = \langle B\Psi | \Phi^t (I+U)^t \rangle$$
$$= \langle \zeta (I+L)\Psi | \Phi^t (I+U)^t \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \langle (I+L)\Psi | \partial \Phi^t (I+U)^t \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \langle (I+L)\Psi | \Phi^t A^t \rangle = \frac{1}{N} (I+\overline{L})A^t.$$

Thus we obtain the relation

(3.31)
$$\frac{1}{N}A(I+L^*) = (I+U)B^*, \qquad B = \frac{1}{N}(I+L)A^*(I+U^*)^{-1}.$$

Comparing the terms involving $A_{j,j-1}$, one can observe that

(3.32)
$$A_{i,i-1} = N.$$

To determine $A_{j,j}$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \widehat{\phi}_{j}(\zeta) &= \partial \Big(\phi_{j} + U_{j,j+1} \phi_{j+1} \Big) = \frac{1}{h_{j}} \partial \Big((\zeta - a)^{c} P_{j} \Big) + \frac{U_{j,j+1}}{h_{j+1}} \partial \Big((\zeta - a)^{c} P_{j+1} \Big) \\ &= (\zeta - a)^{c-1} \frac{1}{h_{j}} \Big[\Big(cP_{j} + (\zeta - a)P_{j}' \Big) - \frac{P_{j}(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)} \Big(cP_{j+1} + (\zeta - a)P_{j+1}' \Big) \Big] \\ &= (\zeta - a)^{c} \frac{1}{h_{j}} \Big[\frac{c}{\zeta - a} \Big(P_{j} - \frac{P_{j}(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)} P_{j+1} \Big) + P_{j}' - \frac{P_{j}(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)} P_{j+1}' \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$A_{j,j}P_j + N\frac{h_j}{h_{j-1}}P_{j-1} = \frac{c}{\zeta - a} \Big(P_j - \frac{P_j(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)}P_{j+1} \Big) + P'_j - \frac{P_j(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)}P'_{j+1}.$$

Comparing the coefficient of ζ^{j} term of this identity, we obtain

(3.33)
$$A_{j,j} = -\frac{P_j(a)}{P_{j+1}(a)}(c+j+1)$$

Notice in particular that $A_{j,k}$'s are real.

Now let us consider the decomposition

$$(3.34) A = N T_{-} + A_0$$

where

$$T_{-} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{0} := \operatorname{diag}(A_{1,1}, A_{2,2}, \dots)$$

are the translation and the diagonal part respectively. Write

 $A^* = NT_+ + A_0^*, \qquad T_+ := T_-^*.$ (3.35)

Note also that we have

$$(T_{+} - \zeta)\Psi = (T_{+} - (I + L)^{-1}B)\Psi = (T_{+} - (I + L)^{-1}\frac{1}{N}(I + L)A^{*}(I + U^{*})^{-1})\Psi = (T_{+} - \frac{1}{N}A^{*}(I + U^{*})^{-1})\Psi = (T_{+}(I + U^{*}) - \frac{1}{N}A^{*})(I + U^{*})^{-1}\Psi = (T_{+}U^{*} - \frac{1}{N}A^{*}_{0})(I + U^{*})^{-1}\Psi$$

where the second and the fourth identity follow from (3.31) and (3.34) respectively.

We pause here to observe that $(T_+U^* - \frac{1}{N}A_0^*)$ is invertible. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists some k such that $U_{k-1,k}^* - \frac{1}{N}A_{k-1,k-1} = 0$. Consequently, we have $\psi_k(\zeta) = \zeta \psi_{k-1}(\zeta)$. This is a contradiction due to the assumption $a \neq 0$, see Remark 1.2. Therefore we have shown that $(T_+U^* - \frac{1}{N}A_0^*)$ is invertible. By letting

$$\widehat{\Psi} := (T_+ U^* - \frac{1}{N} A_0^*)^{-1} (T_+ - \zeta) \Psi,$$

we have

(3.36)

$$(3.37) (I+U^*)\Psi = \Psi.$$

Note that

$$\widehat{\Psi} := [\widehat{\psi}_0, \widehat{\psi}_1, \cdots]^t = (T_+ U^* - \frac{1}{N} A_0^*)^{-1} (T_+ - \zeta) [\psi_0, \psi_1, \cdots]^t = \operatorname{diag}(U_{1,2}^* - \frac{1}{N} A_{1,1}, U_{2,3}^* - \frac{1}{N} A_{2,2}, \cdots)^{-1} [\psi_1 - \zeta \psi_0, \psi_2 - \zeta \psi_1, \cdots]^t.$$

Thus we have

(3.38)
$$\widehat{\psi}_{j} = \frac{\psi_{j+1} - \zeta \psi_{j}}{U_{j,j+1}^{*} - \frac{1}{N}A_{j,j}}.$$

Here the denominator again does not vanish due to (3.25) and (3.33). Then by (3.24) and (3.31), we have

$$(I+L)\zeta(I+U^*)\widehat{\Psi} = (I+L)\zeta\Psi = B\Psi = B(I+U^*)\widehat{\Psi} = \frac{1}{N}(I+L)A^*\widehat{\Psi},$$

which leads to

(3.39)
$$\zeta(I+U^*)\widehat{\Psi} = \frac{1}{N}A^*\widehat{\Psi}.$$

Combining (3.39), (3.29) and (3.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta - \frac{1}{N}\bar{\partial}_{\eta})\Phi^*(\eta)\Pi_n\Psi(\zeta) &= (\zeta - \frac{1}{N}\bar{\partial}_{\eta})\Phi^*(\eta)\Pi_n(I+U^*)\widehat{\Psi}(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\Phi^*(\eta)[\Pi_n, A^*]\widehat{\Psi}(\zeta) - \frac{1}{N}\bar{\partial}_{\eta}\Phi^*(\eta)[\Pi_n, I+U^*]\widehat{\Psi}(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover by (3.32) and (3.38), we have

$$\Phi^*(\eta)[\Pi_n, A^*]\widehat{\Psi}(\zeta) = \overline{\phi_{n-1}(\eta)}A_{n,n-1}\widehat{\psi}_n(\zeta) - \overline{\phi_n(\eta)}A_{n-1,n}\widehat{\psi}_{n-1}(\zeta)$$
$$= \frac{N}{U_{n,n+1}^* - \frac{1}{N}A_{n,n}}\overline{\phi_{n-1}(\eta)}(\psi_{n+1}(\zeta) - \zeta\psi_n(\zeta)).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \Phi^*(\eta) [\Pi_n, I + U^*] \widehat{\Psi}(\zeta) &= \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \overline{\phi_{n-1}(\eta)} U^*_{n,n-1} \widehat{\psi}_n(\zeta) - \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \overline{\phi_n(\eta)} U^*_{n-1,n} \widehat{\psi}_{n-1}(\zeta) \\ &= -\frac{U^*_{n-1,n}}{U^*_{n-1,n} - \frac{1}{N} A_{n-1,n-1}} \bar{\partial}_{\eta} \overline{\phi_n(\eta)} (\psi_n(\zeta) - \zeta \psi_{n-1}(\zeta)). \end{split}$$

Combining all of the above identities with (3.18), the proof is complete.

To our purpose, let us define

(3.40)
$$\widetilde{K}_{N}^{c}(z,w) := \frac{1}{N} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N}^{c}(\zeta,\eta), \qquad \begin{cases} \zeta = a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}, \\ \eta = a + \frac{w}{\sqrt{N}}, \end{cases}$$

and write $\widetilde{R}_N^c(z) := \widetilde{K}_N^c(z, z)$. Notice that by (1.19), the function \widetilde{R}_N^c is related to \widehat{R}_N^c as (3.41) $\widehat{R}_N^c(z) = \widetilde{R}_N^c(-z)$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. We have

(3.42)
$$\bar{\partial}_{z}\tilde{R}_{N}^{c}(z) = e^{-|z|^{2}}(\mathbf{I}_{N}^{c}(z) - \mathbf{II}_{N}^{c}(z)),$$

where

(3.43)
$$I_N^c(z) = \frac{e^{-a^2N - a\sqrt{N}(z+\bar{z})}}{N\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1} - h_N} \overline{\psi'_N(\zeta)} \Big(\psi_N(\zeta) - \zeta\psi_{N-1}(\zeta)\Big),$$

and

(3.44)
$$\Pi_{N}^{c}(z) = \frac{e^{-a^{2}N - a\sqrt{N(z+\bar{z})}}}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{P_{N+1}(a)}{P_{N}(a)} \frac{h_{N}/h_{N-1}}{\frac{N+c+1}{N}h_{N} - h_{N+1}} \overline{\psi_{N-1}(\zeta)} \Big(\psi_{N+1}(\zeta) - \zeta\psi_{N}(\zeta)\Big).$$

Here, $\zeta = a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}$.

4. Large-N limit of the one-point function

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.6. Let us write $\widetilde{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c$ for the large-N limit of \widetilde{R}_N^c when $a \in [0, 1)$ is fixed. Similarly, we write $\widetilde{R}_{\text{edge}}^c$ for the large-N limit of \widetilde{R}_N^c when a is given by (1.29).

We consider the case that a is given by (1.29) and prove Theorem 1.6. We need to compute the asymptotic behaviours of the right-hand side of (3.42). This consists of the terms involving orthogonal polynomials and norms which are presented in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 respectively. Combining these, we obtain Theorem 1.6.

To derive Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we shall use the Riemann-Hilbert analysis for P_j by Bertola, Elias Rebelo and Grava [14]. We postpone to Appendices A and B the proofs of most of the lemmas used during the proof of the main theorem.

Following [17, Proposition 4.5], let

(4.1)
$$\phi(z) \equiv \phi(z; z_0) = \frac{z - 1}{z_0} - \log z, \qquad z_0 = \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{k}{N}$$

and

(4.2)
$$A(z_0)^2 = 2\log z_0 - 2\frac{z_0 - 1}{z_0}, \qquad \zeta(z) \equiv \zeta(z; z_0) = -A(z_0) + \sqrt{2\phi(z; z_0) + A(z_0)^2}.$$

We also write

(4.3)
$$\mathcal{S}_r := 2\sqrt{N} \left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{N+r}} a - 1 \right) = \mathcal{S} - \frac{r}{\sqrt{N}} - \frac{r\mathcal{S}}{2N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

Recall that Ψ is a solution to the Riemann-Hilbert problem in Section 1 and that H and W = Z/U are given by (1.37). We shall use the fine asymptotic behaviour of the orthogonal polynomial P_k .

Proposition 4.1. Let $z \in D$ and k = N + r with r fixed. Then as $N \to \infty$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad P_k(z) = z^k \Big(\frac{z}{z-a}\Big)^c e^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(z/a)} \Big(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta(z/a)\Big)^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{11}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta(z/a);\sqrt{k}\,A(z_0)) \Big(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{p}_k(z)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{c}{2}}})\Big),$$
where

where

(4.5)
$$\mathfrak{p}_{k}(z) = H(\mathcal{S}_{r}) \left(\frac{a}{z-a} - \frac{1}{\zeta(z/a)} \right) \\ + W(\mathcal{S}_{r}) \left(\frac{a}{z-a} \left(\frac{z-a}{z} \right)^{c} \frac{1}{\zeta(z/a)^{c}} - \frac{1}{\zeta(z/a)} \right) \frac{\Psi_{21}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta(z/a);\sqrt{k}\,A(z_{0}))}{\Psi_{11}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta(z/a);\sqrt{k}\,A(z_{0}))}.$$

Here, ϕ , A and S_r are given by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3).

The leading order asymptotic of Proposition 4.1 is given in [17, Theorem 1.3]. Furthermore the authors presented a constructive way to derive the subleading correction terms albeit it requires long (but straightforward) computations. We defer the detailed computations to Appendix A.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 4.1, we obtain the following. To lighten notations, we sometimes omit the argument and write for instance

(4.6)
$$\Psi_{11} \equiv \Psi_{11}(z; \mathcal{S}), \qquad H = H(\mathcal{S}), \qquad \partial_s \Psi_{11} = \partial_s \Psi_{11}(z; s)|_{s=\mathcal{S}}.$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $z \in D$. Then as $N \to \infty$, the following holds.

• (Asymptotics of the difference) We have

$$\begin{split} \psi_{N+1}\Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\Big) - \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\Big)\psi_{N}\Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\Big) &= \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\Big)^{N+1+c}e^{\frac{z(z+2S)}{4}}z^{\frac{c}{2}}\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S}) \\ \times \Big[-\Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \Big[\mathfrak{F}(z) + \mathfrak{G}(z) + \mathfrak{H}(z) + \Big(\frac{z}{2}\frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z^{2}}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_{s}^{2}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big)\Big]\frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}})\Big] \\ and \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \psi_N \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} \Big) - \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} \Big) \psi_{N-1} \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} \Big) &= \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} \Big)^{N+c} e^{\frac{z(z+2S)}{4}} z^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{11}(z; \mathcal{S}) \\ \times \Big[- \Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \Big[\mathfrak{F}(z) + \mathfrak{G}(z) + \mathfrak{H}(z) - \Big(\frac{z}{2} \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z^2}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_s^2 \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big) \Big] \frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \Big], \end{split}$$
where

$$\mathfrak{F}(z) = c \frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{24} + \frac{z}{2} \Big[\frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{6} \frac{\partial_z \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{12} \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big] + \Big[\frac{z(4z^2+6\mathcal{S}z+3\mathcal{S}^2)}{24} + \frac{c}{2} \frac{2z+\mathcal{S}}{6} \Big] \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z^2(4z^2+6\mathcal{S}z+3\mathcal{S}^2)}{48} + \frac{z(z+\mathcal{S})}{4} + \frac{c}{12} + \frac{z}{12} \frac{\partial_z^2 \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial_s^2 \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{6} \frac{\partial_z \partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}},$$

(4.8)
$$\mathfrak{G}(z) = -\frac{\mathcal{S}-z}{3z} \Big(H' + W' \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big) - \frac{H}{3z} + W \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \frac{c-1}{3z} + W \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \frac{\mathcal{S}-z}{3z} \Big(\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{21}} \Big),$$

and

(4.9)
$$\mathfrak{H}(z) = -\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right) \frac{\mathcal{S} - z}{3z} \left(H + W \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}}\right).$$

• (Asymptotics of the derivative) We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N}\psi_N'\Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\Big) &= \Big(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\Big)^{N+c-1}e^{\frac{z(z+2S)}{4}}z^{\frac{c}{2}}\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S}) \\ &\times \Big[1 + \Big(\frac{z+S}{2} + \frac{c}{2}\frac{1}{z} + \frac{\partial_z\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + F_N^{(1)}(z) + G_N^{(1)}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{c}{2}}})\Big], \end{split}$$

where

$$(4.10) \quad F_k^{(1)}(z) = -\left(\frac{z(4z^2 + 6Sz + 3S^2)}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z\right) - \frac{c}{2}\frac{2z + S}{6} + \left[-\frac{z(2z + S)}{6}\frac{\partial_z\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \left(\frac{S^2}{12} + r\right)\frac{\partial_s\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right]$$

and

(4.11)
$$G_k^{(1)}(z) = \frac{S - z}{3z} \Big(H + W \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big).$$

Lemma 4.3 (Asymptotics of the terms involving orthogonal polynomials). Let $z \in D$. Then as $N \to \infty$, we have

(4.12)
$$\frac{1}{N}\overline{\psi_N'\left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)} \left[\psi_N\left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right) - \left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)\psi_{N-1}\left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)\right] \\ = e^{(\mathcal{S}+z+\bar{z})\sqrt{N}-\frac{z^2+\bar{z}^2}{4}-\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{4}}|z|^c|\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})|^2 \\ \times \left[-\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\partial_s\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{\Im(z)}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{c}{2}})\right] \left[1 + \mathfrak{C}(z)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N})\right]$$

and

(4.13)
$$\overline{\psi_{N-1}\left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)} \left[\psi_{N+1}\left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right) - \left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)\psi_{N}\left(a+\frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \right]$$
$$= e^{(\mathcal{S}+z+\bar{z})\sqrt{N}-\frac{z^{2}+\bar{z}^{2}}{4}-\frac{\mathcal{S}^{2}}{4}}|z|^{c}|\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})|^{2}$$
$$\times \left[-\left(\frac{z}{2}+\frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{\Im(z)}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{c}{2}}) \right] \left[1+\mathfrak{C}(z)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N})\right],$$

where

(4.14)

$$\begin{split} \Im(z) &= -\Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big)\Big(\overline{\frac{z+\mathcal{S}}{2} + \frac{c}{2}\frac{1}{z} + \frac{\partial_z \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + F_N^{(1)}(z) + G_N^{(1)}}\Big) \\ &+ \Im(z) + \mathfrak{G}(z) + \mathfrak{H}(z) - \Big(\frac{z}{2}\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Phi_s} + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_s^2 \Psi_{11}}{\Phi_s}\Big). \end{split}$$

(4.15)
$$\Im\Im(z) = -\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right) \left(\frac{2z + \mathcal{S}}{2} + \overline{F_{N-1}^{(1)}(z) + G_{N-1}^{(1)}(z)}\right) \\ + \Im(z) + \mathfrak{G}(z) + \mathfrak{H}(z) + \left(\frac{z}{2}\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z^2}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_s^2 \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right),$$

and

(4.16)
$$\mathfrak{C}(z) = \frac{1}{24} \Big((\mathcal{S} + 2z)(12c + (\mathcal{S} + 2z)^2) + (\mathcal{S} + 2\bar{z})(12(c-1) + (\mathcal{S} + 2\bar{z})^2) \Big).$$

Here $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}$ are given by (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and $F_k^{(1)}, G_k^{(1)}$ are given by (4.10), (4.11). Proof. This directly follows from Lemma 4.2. Note that the term (4.16) originates from

(4.17)
$$\overline{\left(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{N+c-1}} \left(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{N+c} = e^{(\mathcal{S}+z+\bar{z})\sqrt{N}-\frac{1}{8}(\mathcal{S}+2z)^2-\frac{1}{8}(\mathcal{S}+2\bar{z})^2} \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{24} \left((\mathcal{S}+2z)(12c+(\mathcal{S}+2z)^2) + (\mathcal{S}+2\bar{z})(12(c-1)+(\mathcal{S}+2\bar{z})^2)\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N})\right].$$

Lemma 4.4 (Asymptotics of the terms involving orthogonal norms). As $N \to \infty$, we have

(4.18)
$$\frac{e^{-a^2N+S\sqrt{N}}}{\sqrt{N}}\frac{1}{\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1}-h_N} = \frac{e^{-S^2/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathfrak{A}\left(N-\mathfrak{AB}_0\sqrt{N}+o(\sqrt{N})\right)$$

and

(4.19)
$$\frac{e^{-a^2N+S\sqrt{N}}}{\sqrt{N}}\frac{h_N/h_{N-1}}{\frac{N+c+1}{N}h_N-h_{N+1}} = \frac{e^{-S^2/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathfrak{A}\left(N-\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{B}_0+\mathfrak{B})\sqrt{N}+o(\sqrt{N})\right)$$

where

(4.20)
$$\mathfrak{B}_{0} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{W'(\mathcal{S})}{W(\mathcal{S})} - \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{W'(\mathcal{S})}{W(\mathcal{S})}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{W'(\mathcal{S})}{W(\mathcal{S})}\right)^{3} + \mathcal{S} \frac{W''(\mathcal{S})}{W(\mathcal{S})}$$

Here \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are given by (1.39) and (1.40).

Proposition 4.5. As $N \to \infty$, we have

(4.21)
$$\overline{\partial}_{z}\widetilde{R}_{N}^{c}(z) = \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-|z|^{2} - \frac{(z+\mathcal{S})^{2} + (\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} |z|^{c} \Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S}) W \\ \times \Big[\overline{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})}\Big(\frac{Z}{\bar{z}} - z - \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B} + \frac{Z+c}{Y} - Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\Big) - \frac{W}{\bar{z}}\frac{Z+c}{Y}\overline{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})} + Z + o(1)\Big].$$

Proof. Recall that I_N^c and II_N^c are given by (3.43) and (3.44). Note that by (A.21), we have

$$(4.22) \qquad \frac{P_{N+1}(a)}{P_N(a)} = a\left(1 - \left(\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{c}{2}}}\right)\right) = 1 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{S}}{2} - \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{c}{2}}}\right).$$

Combining this with Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, after simplifications, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{N}^{c}(z) &= -\sqrt{N} \, \frac{e^{-\frac{(z+\mathcal{S})^{2} + (\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, |z|^{c} |\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})|^{2} \Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big) \mathfrak{A} \\ &\times \Big[1 + \Big(-\mathfrak{AB} - \Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big)^{-1} \mathfrak{I}(z) + \mathfrak{C}(z) \Big) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N}) \Big] \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{N}^{c}(z) &= -\sqrt{N} \, \frac{e^{-\frac{(z+\mathcal{S})^{2} + (\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, |z|^{c} |\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})|^{2} \Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big) \mathfrak{A} \\ &\times \Big[1 + \Big(-\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{B}_{0} + \mathfrak{B}) - \Big(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\Big)^{-1} \mathfrak{II}(z) + \mathfrak{C}(z) + \frac{\mathcal{S}}{2} - \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})}\Big) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N})\Big]. \end{split}$$

This gives

(4.23)
$$I_{N}^{c}(z) - II_{N}^{c}(z) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(z+S)^{2}+(\bar{z}+S)^{2}}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |z|^{c} |\Psi_{11}(z;S)|^{2} \mathfrak{A} \\ \times \left[\mathfrak{I}(z) - \mathfrak{II}(z) + \left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right) \left(-\mathfrak{AB} + \frac{S}{2} - \frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}(0,s)|_{s=S}}{\Psi_{11}(0,S)}\right) + o(1)\right].$$

We now compute $\Im(z) - \Im\Im(z)$. By (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$(4.24) \quad \Im(z) - \Im\Im(z) = -\left(\frac{z}{2} + \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right) \left(-z + \frac{\overline{c}}{2} \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\partial_z \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right) - \left(z \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z^2}{4} + \frac{\partial_s^2 \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right).$$

By the Lax pair given in [17, Eq.(2.1)], we have

(4.25)
$$\frac{\partial_z \Psi_{11}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)} = -\frac{z+s}{2} - \frac{\frac{c}{2}+Z}{z} + W\left(1 + \frac{1}{z}\frac{c+Z}{Y}\right)\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)}.$$

Also we have

(4.26)
$$\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)} = -\frac{z}{2} + W \frac{\Psi_{21}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)},$$

(4.27)
$$\frac{\partial_s^2 \Psi_{11}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)} = \frac{z^2}{4} - Z(s) + W'(s) \frac{\Psi_{21}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)}$$

Combining (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27), after some computations, we obtain

(4.28)
$$\Im(z) - \Im\Im(z) = W \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{S}}{2} + \frac{Z}{\overline{z}} - z - \frac{W'}{W} \right) - \frac{W^2}{\overline{z}} \frac{c+Z}{Y} \left| \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \right|^2 + Z,$$

which leads to

(4.29)

$$I_{N}^{c}(z) - \Pi_{N}^{c}(z) = \frac{e^{-\frac{(z+S)^{2}+(\bar{z}+S)^{2}}{4}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |z|^{c} |\Psi_{11}(z;S)|^{2} \mathfrak{A}$$

$$\times \left[W \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big(S + \frac{Z}{\bar{z}} - z - \frac{W'}{W} - \mathfrak{AB} - \frac{\partial_{s} \Psi_{11}(0,s)|_{s=S}}{\Psi_{11}(0,S)} \Big) - \frac{W^{2}}{\bar{z}} \frac{c+Z}{Y} \Big| \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big|^{2} + Z + o(1) \Big].$$

Recall that W is given by (1.38). Using (1.35) and (1.37), it is straightforward to check

(4.30)
$$\frac{W'}{W} = s - \frac{Z+c}{Y}, \qquad \frac{W''}{W} = 1 - c + s^2 - 2Z - s\frac{Z+c}{Y},$$

(4.31)
$$\frac{W'''}{W} = s(3 - 2c - 4Z + s^2) - 2YZ + \frac{c^2 - c(2 + s^2 - 5Z) + Z(4Z - s^2 - 2)}{Y}.$$

Now it follows from (3.42), (4.30) and

(4.32)
$$\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}(0,s)|_{s=\mathcal{S}}}{\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})} = W(\mathcal{S})\frac{\Psi_{21}(0,\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})} = Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}$$

that

(4.33)

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}_{z}\widetilde{R}_{N}^{c}(z) &= \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-|z|^{2} - \frac{(z+\mathcal{S})^{2} + (\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} |z|^{c} |\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})|^{2} \\
\times \left[W \frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} \left(\frac{Z}{\bar{z}} - z - \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B} + \frac{Z+c}{Y} - Y \frac{Z}{Z+c} \right) - \frac{W^{2}}{\bar{z}} \frac{Z+c}{Y} \left| \frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} \right|^{2} + Z + o(1) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By [11, Theorem 5], for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

(4.34)
$$\widetilde{R}^{c}_{\text{edge}}(x+iy) \to \begin{cases} 0 & \text{as } x \to +\infty,, \\ 1 & \text{as } x \to -\infty, \end{cases} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Using Proposition 4.5, the first limit gives (4.35)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{R}_{edge}^{c}(z) &= \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(z+\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} z^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S}) W \\ &\times \int_{+\infty}^{\overline{z}} e^{-zw - \frac{(w+\mathcal{S})^{2}}{4}} w^{\frac{c}{2}} \Big[\Psi_{11}(w;\mathcal{S}) \Big(\frac{Z}{w} - z - \mathfrak{AB} + \frac{Z+c}{Y} - Y \frac{Z}{Z+c} \Big) - \frac{W}{w} \frac{Z+c}{Y} \Psi_{21}(w;\mathcal{S}) + Z \Big] dw. \end{split}$$
Now the relation (3.41) completes the proof.
$$\Box$$

Now the relation (3.41) completes the proof.

Appendix A. Fine asymptotic behaviours of the orthogonal polynomials

In this section, we show Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $z \in \Omega_{\infty} \cap D$. We also write $\Psi \equiv \Psi^c$ and

(A.1)
$$\widehat{\Psi}(z) \equiv \widehat{\Psi}(z;s) := \Psi(z;s) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\chi_L}, \qquad \theta = \frac{z^2}{4} + \frac{s}{2}z,$$

where χ_L is the characteristic function whose support is the left of the contour $\hat{\Gamma}_{r=1}$, see [17, Eqs.(2.6),(3.2), p.27]. We also denote

$$\widetilde{P}(z) := \begin{pmatrix} (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{\frac{c}{2}} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} & 0\\ (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{\frac{c}{2}} \frac{Uk^{-\frac{c+1}{2}}}{z-1} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} - (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \frac{U}{\sqrt{k}\zeta} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} & (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \widehat{\Psi}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta;\sqrt{k}\,A),$$

which gives

$$\widetilde{P}(z)_{11} = (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{\frac{c}{2}} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \widehat{\Psi}_{11},$$

$$\widetilde{P}(z)_{21} = \left[(\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{\frac{c}{2}} \frac{Uk^{-\frac{c+1}{2}}}{z-1} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} - (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \frac{U}{\sqrt{k}\zeta} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}}\right] \widehat{\Psi}_{11} + (\sqrt{k}\zeta)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} \widehat{\Psi}_{21}.$$

Let E be the error matrix given in [17, Subsection 4.3.6]. It satisfies the asymptotic expansion

(A.2)
$$E(z) = I + \frac{E^{(1)}}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{E^{(2)}}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + \frac{E^{(3)}}{k^{1+\frac{c}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k}),$$

where

$$E^{(1)} = \left(-\frac{H}{\zeta} + \frac{H}{z-1}\right)\sigma_3, \qquad E^{(2)} = \left[-\left(\frac{z-1}{z\zeta}\right)^{-c}\left(\frac{Z}{U\zeta}\right) + \frac{Z/U}{z-1}\right]\sigma_+,$$
$$E^{(3)} = \left[\left(\frac{z-1}{z\zeta}\right)^c \frac{(s-Y)U}{\zeta^2} - 2\frac{HU}{(z-1)\zeta} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{c^2(2H-c-s)+H-c-s}{z-1} + \frac{U(2H+Y-s)}{z-1}\right)\right]\sigma_-.$$

Here

(A.3)
$$\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

see [17, Eqs.(4.18), (4.22)]. Therefore we have

(A.4)
$$E(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \left(-\frac{H}{\zeta} + \frac{H}{z-1} \right) \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} & E_{12}^{(2)} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \\ E_{21}^{(3)} \frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{c}{2}}} & 1 - \left(-\frac{H}{\zeta} + \frac{H}{z-1} \right) \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{bmatrix} + O(\frac{1}{k}).$$

The function π_k in [17] is related to P_k as

(A.5)
$$P_k(z) = a^k \,\pi_k(z/a).$$

By the asymptotic result in [17, Subsection 4.4], we have

(A.6)
$$\pi_k(z) = z^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} [E(z)\widetilde{P}(z)]_{11}$$

Combining the above asymptotic behaviours of E and \widetilde{P} , after long but straightforward computations, we obtain

(A.7)

$$\pi_{k}(z) = z^{k} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-c} k^{\frac{c}{4}} \zeta(z)^{\frac{c}{2}} \times \left[\widehat{\Psi}_{11} + \left[H\left(\frac{1}{z-1} - \frac{1}{\zeta(z)}\right)\widehat{\Psi}_{11} + W\left(\frac{1}{z-1}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{c} \zeta(z)^{-c} - \frac{1}{\zeta(z)}\right)\widehat{\Psi}_{21}\right] \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{c}{2}}})\right].$$

Note that by (4.2), we have

(A.8)
$$\sqrt{k}\zeta(z) = \left(z - 1 - \frac{(z-1)^2}{3}\right)\sqrt{k} + O(1).$$

Recall that

(A.9)
$$\phi(z;z_0) = \frac{z-1}{z_0} - \log z, \quad \left(z_0 = \frac{t_c^2}{t^2}\right) \qquad \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) = \log(t_c - \lambda^d) + \frac{\lambda^d}{t_c} - \log t_c$$

and

(A.10)
$$\phi(z;z_0) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2(z;z_0) + A(z_0)\zeta(z;z_0), \qquad \zeta(1;z_0) \equiv 0, \qquad A(1) = 0,$$

see [17, Proposition 4.5].

By (4.2) and (A.1), we have

(A.11)
$$e^{\theta} = \exp\left(\frac{k}{4}\zeta^2 + \frac{\sqrt{k}A}{2}\sqrt{k}\zeta\right) = e^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(z;z_0)}, \qquad \widehat{\Psi}_{11}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta;\sqrt{k}\,A) = e^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(z;z_0)}\Psi_{11}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta;\sqrt{k}\,A).$$

Therefore by (A.5) we obtain

$$\psi_k(z) = z^{k+c} e^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(z/a;z_0)} \left(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta(z/a)\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{11}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta(z/a;z_0);\sqrt{k}\,A(z_0))$$
(A.12)

$$\times \left[1 + \left[H\left(\frac{a}{z-a} - \frac{1}{\zeta(z/a)}\right) + W\left(\frac{a}{z-a}\left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^c \frac{1}{\zeta(z/a)^c} - \frac{1}{\zeta(z/a)}\right)\frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}}\right]\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{c}{2}}})\right].$$

A similar computation can be done for z in other regions of D. Note that

(A.13)
$$P_{n,N}(z;a) = \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} P_{n,n}\left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{n}}z,\sqrt{\frac{N}{n}}a\right),$$

see e.g. [42, p.304]. Now Proposition 4.1 follows from (4.3).

Lemma A.1. Let

(A.14)
$$F_k(z) := e^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}};z_0)} \left(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta\left(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}}\right)\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{11}\left(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta\left(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}};z_0\right);\sqrt{k}\,A(z_0)\right).$$

Then we have

(A.15)
$$F_k(z) = e^{\frac{z(z+2\mathcal{S})}{4}} z^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S}) \left(1 + \frac{F_k^{(1)}(z)}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{F_k^{(2)}(z)}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}) \right),$$

where $F_k^{(1)}$ is given by (4.10) and $cr(4x^2 + 6Sx + 2S^2)$

$$F_{k}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{z(4z^{2} + 6Sz + 3S^{2})}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z\right)\frac{c}{2}\frac{2z + S}{6} + \left[\frac{z(4z^{2} + 6Sz + 3S^{2})}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z + \frac{c}{2}\frac{2z + S}{6}\right]\left[\frac{z(2z + S)}{6}\frac{\partial_{z}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \left(\frac{S^{2}}{12} + r\right)\frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z(4z^{2} + 6Sz + 3S^{2})}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{z(z + S)(2z^{2} + 2Sz + S^{2})}{16} + r\frac{z(z + S)}{4}\right) + \frac{c(c - 2)}{8}\left(\frac{2z + S}{6}\right)^{2} + \frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{7z^{2} + 9Sz + 3S^{2}}{36} + \frac{r}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{z(7z^{2} + 9Sz + 3S^{2})}{36} + \frac{r}{6}z\right)\frac{\partial_{z}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \left(\frac{S^{3}}{36} + \frac{r}{6}S\right)\frac{\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z(2z + S)}{6}\right)^{2}\frac{\partial_{z}^{2}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S^{2}}{12} + r\right)^{2}\frac{\partial_{s}^{2}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} + \frac{z(2z + S)}{6}\left(\frac{S^{2}}{12} + r\right)\frac{\partial_{z}\partial_{s}\Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}}.$$

Proof. Let k = N + r. By (4.2), we have

(A.17)
$$\sqrt{N+r}\zeta\left(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}};z_0\right) = z\left[1-\frac{2z+\mathcal{S}}{6}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}+\left(\frac{7z^2+9\mathcal{S}z+3\mathcal{S}^2}{36}+\frac{r}{6}\right)\frac{1}{N}+O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right]$$

and

(A.

(A.18)
$$\sqrt{N+r} A(z_0) = S - \left(\frac{S^2}{12} + r\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \left(\frac{S^3}{36} + \frac{r}{6}S\right) \frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Note also that

(A.19)
$$\frac{N+r}{2}\phi\left(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}};z_0\right) = \frac{z(z+2\mathcal{S})}{4} - \left(\frac{z(4z^2+6\mathcal{S}z+3\mathcal{S}^2)}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \left(\frac{z(z+\mathcal{S})(2z^2+2\mathcal{S}z+\mathcal{S}^2)}{16} + r\frac{z(z+\mathcal{S})}{4}\right)\frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$

This gives

$$e^{\frac{k}{2}\phi(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}};z_0)}e^{-\frac{z(z+2S)}{4}} = 1 - \left(\frac{z(4z^2+6Sz+3S^2)}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z(4z^2+6Sz+3S^2)}{24} + \frac{r}{2}z\right)^2 + \left(\frac{z(z+S)(2z^2+2Sz+S^2)}{16} + r\frac{z(z+S)}{4}\right)\right]\frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \left(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta \left(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}}\right)\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} z^{-\frac{c}{2}} &= 1-\frac{c}{2}\frac{2z+\mathcal{S}}{6}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \\ &+ \left[\frac{c(c-2)}{8}\left(\frac{2z+\mathcal{S}}{6}\right)^2 + \frac{c}{2}\left(\frac{7z^2+9\mathcal{S}z+3\mathcal{S}^2}{36} + \frac{r}{6}\right)\right]\frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \end{split}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{11}\Big(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta\Big(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}};z_0\Big);\sqrt{k}\,A(z_0)\Big) &= \Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S}) - \Big[\frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{6}\partial_z\Psi_{11} + \Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{12}+r\Big)\partial_s\Psi_{11}\Big]\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \\ &+ \Big[\Big(\frac{z(7z^2+9\mathcal{S}z+3\mathcal{S}^2)}{36} + \frac{r}{6}z\Big)\partial_z\Psi_{11} + \Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^3}{36} + \frac{r}{6}\mathcal{S}\Big)\partial_s\Psi_{11}\Big]\frac{1}{N} \\ &+ \Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{6}\Big)^2\partial_z^2\Psi_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{12}+r\Big)^2\partial_s^2\Psi_{11} + \frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{6}\Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{12}+r\Big)\partial_z\partial_s\Psi_{11}\Big]\frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \end{split}$$
 which above, lemma follows.
$$\Box$$

Combining all of the above, lemma follows.

Lemma A.2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(z) &:= 1 + \left[H(\mathcal{S}_r) \left(\frac{a}{z} \sqrt{N} - \frac{1}{\zeta(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})} \right) \\ &+ W(\mathcal{S}_r) \left(\frac{a}{z} \sqrt{N} \left(\frac{z}{a\sqrt{N} + z} \right)^c \frac{1}{\zeta(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})^c} - \frac{1}{\zeta(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})} \right) \frac{\Psi_{21}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta\left(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}}; z_0\right); \sqrt{k}\,A(z_0))}{\Psi_{11}(\sqrt{k}\,\zeta\left(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}}; z_0\right); \sqrt{k}\,A(z_0))} \right] \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$G_k(z) = 1 + \frac{G_k^{(1)}(z)}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{G_k^{(2)}(z)}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}),$$

where $G_k^{\left(1
ight)}$ is given by (4.11) and (A.20)

$$\begin{split} G_k^{(2)}(z) &= -\frac{\mathcal{S}-z}{3z} \, r \left(H'(\mathcal{S}) + W'(\mathcal{S}) \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \right) + H(\mathcal{S}) \Big(\frac{3z^2 + 5\mathcal{S}z + 2\mathcal{S}^2}{36z} - \frac{r}{3z} \Big) \\ &+ W(\mathcal{S}) \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big[\frac{c}{z} \Big(\frac{21z^2 + 7\mathcal{S}z - 2\mathcal{S}^2}{36} + \frac{r}{3} \Big) + \frac{c(c-1)}{2z} \Big(\frac{2z + \mathcal{S}}{3} \Big)^2 + \frac{3z^2 + 5\mathcal{S}z + 2\mathcal{S}^2}{36z} - \frac{r}{3z} \Big] \\ &+ W(\mathcal{S}) \frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \frac{\mathcal{S}-z}{3z} \Big[\frac{z(2z + \mathcal{S})}{6} \Big(\frac{\partial_z \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \frac{\partial_z \Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{21}} \Big) + \Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{12} + r \Big) \Big(\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{21}} \Big) \Big]. \end{split}$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})} = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{z} + \frac{2z+\mathcal{S}}{6z} + \left(-\frac{3z^2+5\mathcal{S}z+2\mathcal{S}^2}{36z} + \frac{r}{3z}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(N^{-1}),$$

we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{z}\sqrt{N} - \frac{1}{\zeta(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})}\right)\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\mathcal{S} - z}{3z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \left(\frac{3z^2 + 5\mathcal{S}z + 2\mathcal{S}^2}{36z} - \frac{r}{3z}\right)\frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

and

$$\frac{a}{z}\sqrt{N}\left(\frac{z}{a\sqrt{N}+z}\right)^{c}\frac{1}{\zeta(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})^{c}} - \frac{1}{\zeta(1+\frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}})} = \frac{\mathcal{S}-z}{3z} + \left[\frac{c}{z}\left(\frac{21z^{2}+7\mathcal{S}z-2\mathcal{S}^{2}}{36} + \frac{r}{3}\right) + \frac{c(c-1)}{2z}\left(\frac{2z+\mathcal{S}}{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{3z^{2}+5\mathcal{S}z+2\mathcal{S}^{2}}{36z} - \frac{r}{3z}\right]\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(N^{-1}).$$

We also have

$$\frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} \Big(\sqrt{k} \zeta \Big(1 + \frac{z/a}{\sqrt{N}}; z_0 \Big); \sqrt{k} A(z_0) \Big) = \frac{\Psi_{21}(z,;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} \\ + \frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} \Big[\frac{z(2z+\mathcal{S})}{6} \Big(\frac{\partial_z \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \frac{\partial_z \Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{21}} \Big) + \Big(\frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{12} + r \Big) \Big(\frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}}{\Psi_{11}} - \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{21}} \Big) \Big] \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(N^{-1}).$$

Combining above asymptotic behaviours, we conclude the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Combining Proposition 4.1 with Lemmas A.1 and A.2, we have

(A.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_k \left(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} \right) &= \left(a + \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^{k+c} e^{\frac{z(z+2S)}{4}} z^{\frac{c}{2}} \Psi_{11}(z;S) \\
\times \left(1 + \frac{F_k^{(1)}(z) + G_k^{(1)}(z)}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{F_k^{(1)}(z)G_k^{(1)}(z) + F_k^{(2)}(z) + G_k^{(2)}(z)}{N} + O(\frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{c}{2}}} + \frac{1}{N}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the $O(\frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{c}{2}}} + \frac{1}{N})$ term does not depend on r. Here, $F_k^{(2)}$ and $G_k^{(2)}$ (j = 1, 2) are defined by (A.16) and (A.20). Then lemma follows from straightforward computations.

Appendix B. Fine asymptotic behaviours of the orthogonal norms

By [13, Proposition 7.1], we have

(B.1)
$$h_k = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(c+k+1)}{N^{c+k+1}} \frac{\widetilde{h}_k}{P_{k+1}(0)}, \qquad \widetilde{h}_k := \int_{\Gamma} P_k(z)^2 \widetilde{w}_k(z) \, dz, \quad \widetilde{w}_k(z) := \left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^c \frac{e^{-Naz}}{z^k}.$$

Lemma B.1. As $N \to \infty$, we have

(B.2)
$$P_{N+r,N}(0) = a^{N+r} e^{-Na^2} \left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} W(\mathcal{S}_r) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \right).$$

Proof of Lemma B.1. For $z \in \Omega_0 \setminus \mathbb{D}$,

(B.3)
$$\pi_k(z) = e^{kg(z)} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} [E(z)\widetilde{N}(z)]_{11}, \qquad \widetilde{N}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \\ -\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} & \frac{Uk^{-\frac{1+c}{2}}}{z-1} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

see [17, p.30]. By (A.4), we have

(B.4)
$$[E(z)\widetilde{N}(z)]_{11} = -\left(W\frac{1}{z-1}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k})\right)\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} = -\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}}W\frac{1}{z-1}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k}).$$

Therefore we have

(B.5)
$$\pi_N(z) = -e^{kg(z)} \left(\frac{W(\mathcal{S})}{z-1} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k}) \right).$$

In particular,

(B.6)

$$\pi_k(0) = e^{-k/z_0} \left(\frac{W(\mathcal{S})}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k}) \right) = e^{-Na^2} \left(\frac{W(\mathcal{S})}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{k}) \right), \qquad P_N(0) = a^N e^{-Na^2} \left(\frac{W(\mathcal{S})}{N^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{N}) \right).$$

Using (A.13), this gives

(B.7)
$$P_{N+r,N}(0) = \left(\frac{N+r}{N}\right)^{\frac{N+r}{2}} P_{N+r,N+r}\left(0,\sqrt{\frac{N}{N+r}}a\right) = a^{N+r}e^{-Na^2}\left(\frac{W(\mathcal{S}_r)}{N^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{N})\right).$$

Lemma B.2. As $N \to \infty$, we have

(B.8)
$$\widetilde{h}_{N+r,N} = -2\pi i \, e^{-Na^2} a^{N+r+1} \left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} W(\mathcal{S}_r) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \right).$$

Proof of Lemma B.2. Let \widetilde{Y} be the matrix Y in [13]. Then we have

(B.9)
$$\widetilde{h}_k = -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} z^{k+1} [\widetilde{Y}(z)]_{12},$$

see [13, Eq.(7.2)]. Let

(B.10)
$$\omega_k(z) := \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^c \frac{e^{-Na^2z}}{z^k} = a^k \,\widetilde{\omega}_k(az),$$

see [17, p.18]. Therefore by the change of variables, we have

(B.11)
$$[Y(z)]_{12} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{\pi_k(z')}{z'-z} \omega_k(z') \, dz' = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{P_k(z')}{z'-az} \, \widetilde{\omega}_k(az) \, dz' = [\widetilde{Y}(az)]_{12},$$

where Y is a matrix given in [17, p.19].

Note that by the transforms in [17], we have

(B.12)
$$Y(z) = e^{\frac{kl}{2}\sigma_3} E(z) \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{kl}{2}\sigma_3} e^{kg(z)\sigma_3} \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}\sigma_3}.$$

Thus by (A.4) we have

(B.13)
$$[Y(z)]_{12} = \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}}\frac{W}{z-1} + O(k^{-1})\right) \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right)^c e^{kl-kg(z)},$$

which gives

(B.14)
$$[\widetilde{Y}(z)]_{12} = [Y(z/a)]_{12} = \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}}\frac{W}{z/a-1} + O(k^{-1})\right) \left(\frac{z-a}{z}\right)^c e^{kl-kg(z/a)}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{h}_k &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} z^{k+1} [\widetilde{Y}(z)]_{12} = -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} z^{k+1} \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{z/a-1} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big(\frac{z-a}{z} \Big)^c e^{kl} \Big(\frac{a}{z} \Big)^k \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big(\frac{z-a}{z} \Big)^c e^{kl} a^k = -2\pi i \Big(\frac{W}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) e^{kl} a^{k+1} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \right) \Big(\frac{z-a}{z} \Big)^c e^{kl} a^k = -2\pi i \Big(\frac{W}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) e^{kl} a^{k+1} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \right) \Big(\frac{z-a}{z} \Big)^c e^{kl} a^{k+1} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big(\frac{z-a}{z} \Big)^c e^{kl} a^{k+1} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big(\frac{z-a}{z} \Big)^c e^{kl} a^{k+1} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \Big)^c e^{kl} a^{k+1} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} + O(k^{-1}) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi i \lim_{z \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \frac{W}{1/a-1/z} \Big) \\ &= -2\pi$$

We have shown that

(B.15)
$$\widetilde{h}_{N,N} = -2\pi i \, e^{-Na^2} a^{N+1} \left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} W(\mathcal{S}) + O(k^{-1}) \right).$$

Note also that by (A.13),

(B.16)
$$\widetilde{h}_{n,N} = \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \widetilde{h}_{n,n}\left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{n}}a\right).$$

Thus

(B.17)
$$\widetilde{h}_{N+r,N} = \left(\frac{N+r}{N}\right)^{\frac{N+r+1}{2}} \widetilde{h}_{N+r,N+r} \left(\sqrt{\frac{N}{N+r}}a\right)$$
$$= -2\pi i e^{-Na^2} a^{N+r+1} \left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} W(\mathcal{S}_r) + O(N^{-1})\right).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. By combining (B.1) with Lemmas B.1 and B.2, we have

(B.18)
$$h_{N+r} = \frac{\Gamma(c+N+r+1)}{N^{c+N+r+1}} \frac{W(\mathcal{S}_r) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}{W(\mathcal{S}_{r+1}) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}, \qquad h_N/h_{N-1} = 1 + O(1/N).$$

We also have

(B.19)
$$\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1} - h_N = \frac{\Gamma(N+c)}{N^{N+c}} \Big(\frac{W(\mathcal{S}_{-1}) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}{W(\mathcal{S}_0) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})} - \frac{W(\mathcal{S}_0) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}{W(\mathcal{S}_1) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}\Big),$$

(B.20)
$$\frac{N+c+1}{N}h_N - h_{N+1} = \frac{\Gamma(N+c+1)}{N^{N+c+1}} \Big(\frac{W(\mathcal{S}_0) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}{W(\mathcal{S}_1) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})} - \frac{W(\mathcal{S}_1) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}{W(\mathcal{S}_2) + O(N^{-\frac{1+c}{2}})}\Big).$$

Note here that

$$(B.21) \quad \frac{W(\mathcal{S}_{r-1})}{W(\mathcal{S}_r)} - \frac{W(\mathcal{S}_r)}{W(\mathcal{S}_{r+1})} = \left[\frac{W''(\mathcal{S})}{W(\mathcal{S})} - \left(\frac{W'(\mathcal{S})}{W(\mathcal{S})}\right)^2\right] \frac{1}{N} \\ + \left[\frac{3}{2}\frac{W'}{W} - \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{W'}{W}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{W'}{W}\right)^3 + \mathcal{S}\frac{W''}{W} + r\left\{3\frac{W''W'}{W^2} - 2\left(\frac{W'}{W}\right)^3 - \frac{W'''}{W}\right\}\right] \frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}} + O(N^{-2}).$$
Then lemma follows from the Stirling's formula.

Then lemma follows from the Stirling's formula.

APPENDIX C. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOURS OF THE 1-POINT FUNCTION

Let us recall that

(C.1)
$$\bar{\partial}_z \tilde{R}^0(z) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z})^2},$$

(C.2)
$$\bar{\partial}_{z}\widetilde{R}^{1}(z) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z})^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\operatorname{erfc}(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}})e^{-|z|^{2}}\left(e^{-\frac{\bar{z}^{2}}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}z\operatorname{erfc}(\frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{2}})\right).$$

Note that as $z \to \infty$,

(C.3)
$$\Psi_{11}(z;s) = z^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4} - \frac{sz}{2}} \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{z}) \right), \qquad \Psi_{21}(z;s) = z^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4} - \frac{sz}{2}} O(\frac{1}{z}).$$

see [17, p.9]. Using these, we obtain that as $z \to \infty$,

$$e^{-|z|^2 - \frac{(z+\mathcal{S})^2 + (\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^2}{4}} |z|^c |\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})|^2 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^2} \left(1 + O(\frac{1}{z})\right)$$

and

$$W\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)}\Big(\mathcal{S} + \frac{Z}{\overline{z}} - z - \frac{W'}{W} - \mathfrak{AB}_1 - \frac{\partial_s \Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})}\Big) - \frac{W^2}{\overline{z}}\frac{c+Z}{Y}\Big|\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;s)}{\Psi_{11}(z;s)}\Big|^2 + Z = O(1).$$

Therefore by Proposition 4.5 we obtain

(C.4)
$$\bar{\partial}\widetilde{R}^c(z) = O(1)e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^2}, \qquad z \to \infty.$$

We mention that this asymptotic behaviour can be directly checked for c = 0, 1.

We now consider the asymptotic behaviour near the origin. Using the Lax pair given in [17, Eq.(2.1)], we have

$$\frac{d}{dz}\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A_0\frac{1}{z} + \dots \end{pmatrix}\Psi, \qquad A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} Z+c & Z\\ UY & UY \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c}{2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{c}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z+c & Z\\ UY & UY \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Then it follows from

(C.5)
$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} Z+c & Z\\ UY & UY \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I+O(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z^{-\frac{c}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & z^{\frac{c}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\text{Regular}), \quad (z \to 0)$$

that

(C.6)
$$\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S}) = \frac{Z+c}{z^{c/2}}(1+O(z)), \quad \Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S}) = \frac{UY}{z^{c/2}}(1+O(z)), \quad (z \to 0).$$

Therefore

(C.7)
$$\frac{\Psi_{21}(0;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})} = \frac{UY}{Z+c} = \frac{Y}{W}\frac{Z}{Z+c}$$

By [17, Eq.(2.1)], we have

(C.8)
$$\Psi'_{11} = -\left(\frac{z+s}{2} + \frac{Z+c/2}{z}\right)\Psi_{11} + \left(\frac{Z}{U} + \frac{1}{z}\frac{Z(Z+c)}{YU}\right)\Psi_{21},$$

(C.9)
$$\Psi'_{21} = -(U + \frac{UY}{z})\Psi_{11} + (\frac{z+s}{2} + \frac{Z+c/2}{z})\Psi_{21}.$$

This gives

(C.10)
$$\frac{\Psi_{21}\Psi_{11}' - \Psi_{11}\Psi_{21}'}{\Psi_{11}^2} = \left(\frac{Z}{U} + \frac{1}{z}\frac{Z(Z+c)}{YU}\right)\frac{\Psi_{21}^2}{\Psi_{11}^2} - 2\left(\frac{z+s}{2} + \frac{Z+c/2}{z}\right)\frac{\Psi_{21}}{\Psi_{11}} + \left(U + \frac{UY}{z}\right).$$

Combining the above, after simplifications, we obtain that as $z \to 0,$

(C.11)
$$\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} = \frac{\Psi_{21}(0;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})} + \frac{\Psi_{21}(0;\mathcal{S})\Psi_{11}'(0;\mathcal{S}) - \Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})\Psi_{21}'(0;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})^2} z + O(z^2)$$

(C.12)
$$= \frac{UY}{Z+c} + z \left[\frac{Z}{U} \left(\frac{UY}{Z+c} \right)^2 - s \frac{UY}{Z+c} + U \right] + O(z^2).$$

On the other hand, by (4.32), we have

$$W\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} \left(\frac{Z}{\overline{z}} - \frac{W}{\overline{z}}\frac{c+Z}{Y}\frac{\overline{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}}{\overline{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})}} - z + \frac{Z+c}{Y} - \mathfrak{AB}_1 - \frac{\partial_s\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0,\mathcal{S})}\right) + Z$$

$$= \frac{1}{\overline{z}}W\left(Z\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} - W\frac{Z+c}{Y}\Big|\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})}\Big|^2\right) + W\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})}\left(-z + \frac{Z+c}{Y} - \mathfrak{AB}_1 - Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\right) + Z$$

Note that

$$W\left(Z\frac{\Psi_{21}(0;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})} - W\frac{Z+c}{Y}\Big|\frac{\Psi_{21}(0;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})}\Big|^2\right) = WZ\frac{Y}{W}\frac{Z}{Z+c} - W^2\frac{Z+c}{Y}\left(\frac{Y}{W}\frac{Z}{Z+c}\right)^2 = 0.$$

Thus we have

(C.13)
$$Z\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} - W\frac{Z+c}{Y} \Big| \frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} \Big|^2 = -Z \Big[\frac{Z}{U} \Big(\frac{UY}{Z+c} \Big)^2 - s \frac{UY}{Z+c} + U \Big] \bar{z} + O(z^2).$$

Therefore we obtain

(C.14)
$$\frac{1}{\bar{z}}W\left(Z\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})} - W\frac{Z+c}{Y}\Big|\frac{\Psi_{21}(z;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(z;\mathcal{S})}\Big|^2\right) = -ZW\left(W(\frac{UY}{Z+c})^2 - s\frac{UY}{Z+c} + U\right) + O(z).$$

On the other hand,

$$W\frac{\Psi_{21}(0;\mathcal{S})}{\Psi_{11}(0;\mathcal{S})}\Big(\frac{Z+c}{Y}-\mathfrak{AB}-Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\Big)+Z=2Z-Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\Big(\mathfrak{AB}+Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\Big).$$

By Proposition 4.5, this gives that as $z \to 0$,

(C.15)
$$\bar{\partial}_z \widetilde{R}^c(z) = \frac{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{D}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z+\bar{z}+\mathcal{S})^2} + o(1)$$

where

(C.16)
$$\mathfrak{D} = -ZW\Big(W(\frac{UY}{Z+c})^2 - \mathcal{S}\frac{UY}{Z+c} + U\Big) + 2Z - Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\Big(\mathfrak{AB} + Y\frac{Z}{Z+c}\Big).$$

APPENDIX D. SCALING LIMITS FOR THE BULK CASE

Here, we consider the case that $a \in (0, 1)$. Let us first briefly recall the strong asymptotics of P_k from [42]. Let

(D.1)
$$\phi_A(\zeta) := a(\zeta - a) - \log \frac{\zeta}{a} = \frac{az}{\sqrt{N}} - \log \left(1 + \frac{z}{a\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

Note that as $N \to \infty$,

(D.2)
$$\phi_A(\zeta) = \frac{a^2 - 1}{a} \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{z^2}{2a^2} \frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Let us also write

(D.3)
$$\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta) := \frac{N}{N-1}a(\zeta - a) - \log\frac{\zeta}{a} = \phi_A(\zeta) + \frac{1}{N-1}a(\zeta - a).$$

By [42, Theorem 3], for ζ in a neighbourhood of a, we have

(D.4)
$$P_N(\zeta) = \zeta^N \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta - a}\right)^c \left[1 - (-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} \left(\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N})\right) + O(\frac{1}{N^\infty})\right]$$

and

(D.5)
$$P_{N-1}(\zeta) = \zeta^{N-1} \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta - a}\right)^c \times \left[1 - (-(N-1)\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta))^c e^{(N-1)\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta)} \left(\hat{f}(-(N-1)\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N})\right) + O(\frac{1}{N^{\infty}})\right],$$

where the error bound $O(\frac{1}{N^{\infty}})$ means that $O(\frac{1}{N^k})$ for all k > 0. Here

(D.6)
$$\hat{f}(z) := -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{e^s}{s^c(s-z)} \, ds,$$

where the integration contour \mathcal{L} begins at $-\infty$, encircles the origin once in the counter-clockwise direction and returns to $-\infty$. Note that as $z \to \infty$,

(D.7)
$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} s^{-c} e^s \, ds \cdot \frac{1}{z} + O(|z|^{-2}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \frac{1}{z} + O(|z|^{-2}).$$

For general c > -1, we present an alternative derivation of (1.46) and (2.1) by virtue of the Christoffel-Darboux identity. Recall that P denotes the regularised incomplete gamma function.

Theorem D.1. (Large-N limit for the bulk case) For each c > -1, we have

(D.8)
$$\widetilde{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c(z) = P(c, |z|^2).$$

Proof. By (D.4), we have

(D.9)
$$\psi_N(\zeta) = \zeta^{N+c} \Big[1 - (-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} \Big(\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \Big) + O(\frac{1}{N^{\infty}}) \Big].$$

Differentiating (D.9), we have

$$\psi_N'(\zeta) = (N+c)\zeta^{N+c-1}(1+O(\frac{1}{N^{\infty}})) - (N+c)\zeta^{N+c-1}(-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} \Big(\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \Big) \\ + N\zeta^{N+c-1}(a\zeta-1)(-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} \Big(\Big(\frac{-c}{N\phi_A(\zeta)} - 1\Big) \big(\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \big) + \hat{f}'(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) \Big),$$

where we have used $\phi'_A(\zeta) = (a\zeta - 1)/\zeta$. Rearranging the terms using (D.2) and (D.7), we have

$$\psi_N'(\zeta) = (N+c)\zeta^{N+c-1}(1+O(\frac{1}{N^{\infty}})) - Na\zeta^{N+c}(-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} (\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N})).$$

Then it follows from

(D.10)
$$\zeta^{N+c} = a^{N+c} e^{\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{N}z - \frac{z^2}{2a^2}} \cdot (1+o(1))$$

and (D.2) that

$$\psi_N'(\zeta) = a^{N+c-1} N \Big[e^{\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{N}z - \frac{z^2}{2a^2}} \cdot (1+o(1)) - \Big(\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N})\Big) a^2 (\frac{1-a^2}{a}\sqrt{N}z)^c e^{a\sqrt{N}z} \cdot (1+o(1)) \Big].$$

Now let us compute the asymptotic of $\psi_N(\zeta) - \zeta \psi_{N-1}(\zeta)$. By (D.9) and (D.5),

$$\psi_N(\zeta) - \zeta \psi_{N-1}(\zeta) = \zeta^{N+c} (-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} \left(\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \right) - \zeta^{N+c} (-(N-1)\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta))^c e^{(N-1)\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta)} \left(\hat{f}(-(N-1)\hat{\phi}_A(\zeta)) + O(\frac{1}{N}) \right).$$

Note that by (D.3),

$$(N-1)\widehat{\phi}_A(\zeta) - N\phi_A(\zeta) = a(\zeta-a) - \phi_A(\zeta) = \frac{z}{a}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N}).$$

Using this, we have

$$\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\frac{\hat{\phi}_{A}(\zeta)}{\phi_{A}(\zeta)}\right)^{c}e^{(N-1)\hat{\phi}_{A}(\zeta)-N\phi_{A}(\zeta)}\frac{\hat{f}(-(N-1)\hat{\phi}_{A}(\zeta))}{\hat{f}(-N\phi_{A}(\zeta))} = 1 + \frac{z}{a}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + O(\frac{1}{N}).$$

This gives that

$$\psi_N(\zeta) - \zeta \psi_{N-1}(\zeta) = \zeta^{N+c} (-N\phi_A(\zeta))^c e^{N\phi_A(\zeta)} \hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot (z + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})).$$

Then it again follows from (D.10) and (D.2) that

(D.11)
$$\psi_N(\zeta) - \zeta \psi_{N-1}(\zeta) = a^{N+c-1} e^{a\sqrt{N}z} (\frac{1-a^2}{a}\sqrt{N}z)^c \hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot (z+o(1)).$$

Note that by (D.4), we have

(D.12)
$$\frac{P_{N+1}(a)}{P_N(a)} = a + o(1).$$

Using the above asymptotic behaviours, we obtain

(D.13)
$$I_N^c(z) = \frac{e^{-Na^2}}{N} \frac{a^{2N+2c-2} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{a}\sqrt{N}\right)^{c-1}}{\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1} - h_N} \\ \times \left(e^{\left(\frac{1}{a}-a\right)\sqrt{N}\bar{z} - \frac{\bar{z}^2}{2a^2}} - \hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\bar{\zeta}))a^2\left(\frac{1-a^2}{a}\sqrt{N}\bar{z}\right)^c\right) \cdot (z^c + o(1))$$

and

(D.14)
$$II_{N}^{c}(z) = \frac{e^{-Na^{2}}}{N} \frac{h_{N}/h_{N-1} a^{2N+2c} (\frac{1-a^{2}}{a} \sqrt{N})^{c-1}}{\frac{N+c+1}{N} h_{N} - h_{N+1}} \times \left(e^{(\frac{1}{a}-a)\sqrt{N}\overline{z} - \frac{\overline{z}^{2}}{2a^{2}}} - \hat{f}(-N\phi_{A}(\overline{\zeta}))(\frac{1-a^{2}}{a}\sqrt{N}\overline{z})^{c}\right) \cdot (z^{c} + o(1)).$$

Combining above equations with

(D.15)
$$\hat{f}(-N\phi_A(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \Big(\frac{1}{\frac{1-a^2}{a}\sqrt{N}z} + o(1) \Big),$$

we obtain that for $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$,

(D.16)
$$\bar{\partial}_z \widetilde{R}_N(z) = C_N(a) \left(\frac{z |z|^{2c-2} e^{-|z|^2}}{\Gamma(c)} + o(1) \right),$$

where

$$C_N(a) := \frac{e^{-Na^2}}{N} a^{2N+2c} \left(\frac{1-a^2}{a}\sqrt{N}\right)^{2c-2} \left(\frac{h_N/h_{N-1}}{\frac{N+c+1}{N}h_N - h_{N+1}} - \frac{1}{\frac{N+c}{N}h_{N-1} - h_N}\right).$$

We remark here that the case $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ follows from the case $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$ since in the end, the limiting point process has the rotation invariance, see [12, Section 5].

Since \widetilde{R}_N has a non-trivial limit \widetilde{R} , the existence of the limit

(D.17)
$$C(a) := \lim_{N \to \infty} C_N(a), \qquad (C(a) \neq 0)$$

follows. Therefore we obtain that

(D.18)
$$\widetilde{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c(z) = C(a) P(c, |z|^2) + \widehat{C}(a)$$

where $\widehat{C}(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ is some constant.

We now recall from the general theory on determinantal point process that

(D.19)
$$\widetilde{R}^c_{\text{bulk}}(z) \to 1, \qquad (z \to \infty),$$

(D.20)
$$\widetilde{R}_{\text{bulk}}^c(z) = O(|z|^{2c}), \qquad (z \to 0),$$

see [12, Theorem 1.4]. This behaviour implies that C(a) = 1 and $\widehat{C}(a) = 0$, which completes the proof.

References

- G. Akemann, J. Baik, and P. Di Francesco (Editors). The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.
- G. Akemann, S.-S. Byun, and N.-G. Kang. A non-Hermitian generalisation of the Marchenko-Pastur distribution: From the circular law to multi-criticality. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 22(4):1035–1068, 2021.
- [3] G. Akemann, S.-S. Byun, and N.-G. Kang. Scaling limits of planar symplectic ensembles. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 18:Paper No. 007, 40, 2022.
- [4] G. Akemann, M. Cikovic, and M. Venker. Universality at weak and strong non-Hermiticity beyond the elliptic Ginibre ensemble. Comm. Math. Phys., 362(3):1111–1141, 2018.
- [5] G. Akemann, D. Dalmazi, P. Damgaard, and J. Verbaarschot. QCD3 and the replica method. Nucl. Phys. B, 601(1-2):77-124, 2001.
- [6] G. Akemann, M. Ebke, and I. Parra. Skew-orthogonal polynomials in the complex plane and their Bergman-like kernels. Comm. Math. Phys., 389:621–659, 2022.
- [7] G. Akemann and G. Vernizzi. Characteristic polynomials of complex random matrix models. Nuclear Phys. B, 660(3):532–556, 2003.
- [8] Y. Ameur. A localization theorem for the planar Coulomb gas in an external field. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 26:Paper No. 46–21, 2021.

- Y. Ameur and S.-S. Byun. Almost-Hermitian random matrices and bandlimited point processes. Anal. Math. Phys. (to appear), arXiv:2101.03832, 2021.
- [10] Y. Ameur, H. Hedenmalm, and N. Makarov. Fluctuations of eigenvalues of random normal matrices. Duke Math. J., 159(1):31–81, 2011.
- [11] Y. Ameur, N.-G. Kang, N. Makarov, and A. Wennman. Scaling limits of random normal matrix processes at singular boundary points. J. Funct. Anal., 278(3):108340, 2020.
- [12] Y. Ameur, N.-G. Kang, and S.-M. Seo. The random normal matrix model: insertion of a point charge. *Potential Anal.*, 58(2):331–372, 2023.
- [13] F. Balogh, M. Bertola, S.-Y. Lee, and K. D. T.-R. McLaughlin. Strong asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials with respect to a measure supported on the plane. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(1):112–172, 2015.
- [14] F. Balogh, T. Grava, and D. Merzi. Orthogonal polynomials for a class of measures with discrete rotational symmetries in the complex plane. Constr. Approx., 46(1):109–169, 2017.
- [15] F. Balogh and D. Merzi. Equilibrium measures for a class of potentials with discrete rotational symmetries. Constr. Approx., 42(3):399–424, 2015.
- [16] S. Berezin, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, and I. Parra. Planar orthogonal polynomials as type I multiple orthogonal polynomials. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 19:Paper No. 020, 2023.
- [17] M. Bertola, J. G. Elias Rebelo, and T. Grava. Painlevé IV critical asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials in the complex plane. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 14:Paper No. 091, 34, 2018.
- [18] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, and J. Harnad. Duality, biorthogonal polynomials and multi-matrix models. Comm. Math. Phys., 229(1):73–120, 2002.
- [19] P. Bleher and A. Its. Double scaling limit in the random matrix model: the Riemann-Hilbert approach. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 56(4):433–516, 2003.
- [20] P. M. Bleher and A. B. J. Kuijlaars. Orthogonal polynomials in the normal matrix model with a cubic potential. Adv. Math., 230(3):1272–1321, 2012.
- [21] S.-S. Byun. Planar equilibrium measure problem in the quadratic fields with a point charge. *preprint* arXiv:2301.00324, 2023.
- [22] S.-S. Byun and M. Ebke. Universal scaling limits of the symplectic elliptic Ginibre ensembles. Random Matrices Theory Appl. (Online) arXiv:2108.05541, 2021.
- [23] S.-S. Byun and P. J. Forrester. Progress on the study of the Ginibre ensembles I: GinUE. preprint arXiv:2211.16223, 2022.
- [24] S.-S. Byun, N.-G. Kang, J. O. Lee, and J. Lee. Real eigenvalues of elliptic random matrices. Int. Math. Res. Not., (3):2243–2280, 2023.
- [25] S.-S. Byun and M. Yang. Determinantal Coulomb gas ensembles with a class of discrete rotational symmetric potentials. preprint arXiv:2210.04019, 2022.
- [26] D. Chafaï, A. Hardy, and M. Maïda. Concentration for Coulomb gases and Coulomb transport inequalities. J. Funct. Anal., 275(6):1447–1483, 2018.
- [27] T. Claeys and A. B. J. Kuijlaars. Universality in unitary random matrix ensembles when the soft edge meets the hard edge. In *Integrable systems and random matrices*, volume 458 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 265–279. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [28] T. Claeys, A. B. J. Kuijlaars, and M. Vanlessen. Multi-critical unitary random matrix ensembles and the general Painlevé II equation. Ann. of Math. (2), 168(2):601–641, 2008.
- [29] J. G. Criado del Rey and A. B. J. Kuijlaars. A vector equilibrium problem for symmetrically located point charges on a sphere. Constr. Approx., 55(3):775–827, 2022.
- [30] A. Deaño and N. Simm. Characteristic polynomials of complex random matrices and Painlevé transcendents. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (1):210–264, 2022.
- [31] P. Elbau and G. Felder. Density of eigenvalues of random normal matrices. Comm. Math. Phys., 259(2):433–450, 2005.
- [32] J. Fischmann, W. Bruzda, B. A. Khoruzhenko, H.-J. Sommers, and K. Życzkowski. Induced Ginibre ensemble of random matrices and quantum operations. J. Phys. A, 45(7):075203, 31, 2012.
- [33] P. J. Forrester. Log-gases and Random Matrices (LMS-34). Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2010.
- [34] J. Ginibre. Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices. J. Math. Phys., 6(3):440-449, 1965.
- [35] H. Hedenmalm and A. Wennman. Planar orthogogonal polynomials and boundary universality in the random normal matrix model. Acta Math., 227(2):309–406, 2021.
- [36] A. B. J. Kuijlaars and A. López-García. The normal matrix model with a monomial potential, a vector equilibrium problem, and multiple orthogonal polynomials on a star. *Nonlinearity*, 28(2):347–406, 2015.
- [37] A. B. J. Kuijlaars and K. T.-R. McLaughlin. Asymptotic zero behavior of Laguerre polynomials with negative parameter. Constr. Approx., 20(4):497–523, 2004.

- [38] A. B. J. Kuijlaars and G. L. F. Silva. S-curves in polynomial external fields. J. Approx. Theory, 191:1–37, 2015.
- [39] A. B. J. Kuijlaars and A. Tovbis. The supercritical regime in the normal matrix model with cubic potential. Adv. Math., 283:530–587, 2015.
- [40] S.-Y. Lee and N. G. Makarov. Topology of quadrature domains. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 29(2):333–369, 2016.
- [41] S.-Y. Lee and R. Riser. Fine asymptotic behavior for eigenvalues of random normal matrices: Ellipse case. J. Math. Phys., 57(2):023302, 2016.
- [42] S.-Y. Lee and M. Yang. Discontinuity in the asymptotic behavior of planar orthogonal polynomials under a perturbation of the Gaussian weight. Comm. Math. Phys., 355(1):303–338, 2017.
- [43] S.-Y. Lee and M. Yang. Planar orthogonal polynomials as Type II multiple orthogonal polynomials. J. Phys. A, 52(27):275202, 14, 2019.
- [44] S.-Y. Lee and M. Yang. Strong asymptotics of planar orthogonal polynomials: Gaussian weight perturbed by finite number of point charges. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. (to appear), arXiv:2003.04401, 2020.
- [45] M. Lewin. Coulomb and Riesz gases: the known and the unknown. J. Math. Phys., 63(6):Paper No. 061101, 77, 2022.
- [46] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein and G. L. F. Silva. Critical measures for vector energy: asymptotics of non-diagonal multiple orthogonal polynomials for a cubic weight. Adv. Math., 349:246–315, 2019.
- [47] F. W. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark (Editors). NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [48] E. B. Saff and V. Totik. Logarithmic potentials with external fields, volume 316 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Appendix B by Thomas Bloom.
- [49] M. Sakai. Regularity of a boundary having a Schwarz function. Acta Math., 166(3-4):263–297, 1991.
- [50] S. Serfaty. Microscopic description of Log and Coulomb gases. In Random matrices, volume 26 of IAS/Park City Math. Ser., pages 341–387. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019.
- [51] R. Teodorescu, E. Bettelheim, O. Agam, A. Zabrodin, and P. Wiegmann. Normal random matrix ensemble as a growth problem. Nuclear Phys. B, 704(3):407–444, 2005.
- [52] S. Van Eijndhoven and J. Meyers. New orthogonality relations for the Hermite polynomials and related Hilbert spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 146(1):89–98, 1990.
- [53] C. Webb and M. D. Wong. On the moments of the characteristic polynomial of a Ginibre random matrix. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 118(5):1017–1056, 2019.

CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL CHALLENGES, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: sungsoobyun@kias.re.kr

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA $\mathit{Email}\ address: \texttt{lees3@usf.edu}$

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 København \emptyset , Denmark

Email address: my@math.ku.dk