

# The Duality of the Volumes and the Numbers of Vertices of Random Polytopes

Christian Buchta

## Abstract

An identity due to Efron dating from 1965 relates the expected volume of the convex hull of  $n$  random points to the expected number of vertices of the convex hull of  $n + 1$  random points. Forty years later this identity was extended from expected values to higher moments. The generalized identity has attracted considerable interest. Whereas the left-hand side of the generalized identity—concerning the volume—has an immediate geometric interpretation, this is not the case for the right-hand side—concerning the number of vertices. A transformation of the right-hand side applying an identity for elementary symmetric polynomials overcomes the blemish. The arising formula reveals a duality between the volumes and the numbers of vertices of random polytopes.

**Keywords.** Random polytope, convex hull, moment, duality, elementary symmetric polynomial.

**MSC 2010.** 60D05, 52A22.

## 1 Introduction

Write  $\mathcal{K}^d$  for the set of all convex bodies (convex compact sets with non-empty interiors) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Fix  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ , and choose points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$  randomly, independently, and according to the uniform distribution on  $K$ . The set  $K_n = \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a random polytope. Denote the volume of  $K_n$  by the random variable  $V_n$  and the number of vertices of  $K_n$  by the random variable  $N_n$ .

Efron [10], p. 335, Formulae (3.5) and (3.7), proved the identity

$$\frac{EV_n}{\text{vol } K} = 1 - \frac{EN_{n+1}}{n+1}. \quad (1)$$

The left-hand side has an immediate geometric interpretation: Assume that  $n$  points have been chosen at random. Then  $EV_n/\text{vol } K$  is the probability that a further point chosen at random falls into the convex hull of the  $n$  points, which means that it is not a vertex of the convex hull of the  $n + 1$  points.

Likewise, the right-hand side can be interpreted geometrically: The ratio  $EN_{n+1}/(n+1)$  is the probability that any of  $n + 1$  points chosen at random is a vertex of their convex hull and hence not contained in the convex hull of the remaining  $n$  points.

In [7], p. 127, Formula (1.8), Efron's identity (1) was extended to higher moments:

$$\frac{EV_n^k}{(\text{vol } K)^k} = E \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{N_{n+k}}{n+i}\right). \quad (2)$$

This result has attracted considerable interest; see in particular Subsection 8.2.3 in the book by Schneider and Weil [20], the survey articles (chapters of books) by Reitzner [17], Hug [12], and Schneider [19], as well as the research articles by Cowan [9], Groeneboom [11], Beermann and Reitzner [5], and Kabluchko, Last, and Zaporozhets [13]. The identity (2) is also mentioned in a survey paper (book chapter) by Calka [8] and in research papers by Reitzner [15], Kabluchko, Marynych, Temesvari, and Thäle [14], as well as Brunel [6]. A consequence of the identity is stated in a survey article (book chapter) by Bárány [1], in a further survey article by Bárány [2], and in a survey article—different from the one mentioned above—by Schneider [18], as well as in research articles by Reitzner [16] and Bárány and Reitzner [3, 4].

The extension of the geometric interpretation of the left-hand side of (1) to the left-hand side of (2) is straightforward: Assume again that  $n$  points have been chosen at random. Then  $EV_n^k/(\text{vol } K)^k$  is the probability that each of  $k$  further points chosen at random falls into the convex hull of the  $n$  points, which means that none of the specified  $k$  points among the  $n+k$  points chosen at random is a vertex of the convex hull of the  $n+k$  points.

The right-hand side of (2) has no obvious geometric meaning which explains that the right-hand side is equivalent to the left-hand side. Writing it in the form

$$\frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n+k)!} E \prod_{i=1}^k (N_{n+k} - (n+i))$$

we identify  $\prod_{i=1}^k (N_{n+k} - (n+i))$  as the generating function of the elementary symmetric polynomials  $\sigma_j(n+1, \dots, n+k)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , in the variables  $n+1, \dots, n+k$ . It will turn out in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that decomposing these polynomials in a suitable way gives rise to a transformation of (2) into

$$\frac{EV_n^k}{(\text{vol } K)^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(j)}}{(n+k)_{(j)}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $E(N_{n+k})_{(j)} = EN_{n+k}(N_{n+k}-1) \cdots (N_{n+k}-j+1)$  is the  $j$ -th factorial moment of  $N_{n+k}$  and  $(n+k)_{(j)} = (n+k)(n+k-1) \cdots (n+k-j+1) = \frac{(n+k)!}{(n+k-j)!}$ .

The ratio  $E(N_{n+k})_{(j)}/(n+k)_{(j)}$  has a simple geometric interpretation: It is just the probability that  $j$  specified points in a set of  $n+k$  random points are vertices of the convex hull of the  $n+k$  points. Consequently, by the inclusion–exclusion principle,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \binom{k}{j} \frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(j)}}{(n+k)_{(j)}}$$

is the probability that at least one of  $k$  specified points among  $n + k$  points chosen at random is a vertex of the convex hull of the  $n + k$  points. The complementary probability, i.e. the probability that none of the  $k$  specified points is a vertex of the convex hull of the  $n + k$  points, is just given by the right-hand side of (3).

Trivially, (1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{EN_{n+1}}{n+1} = 1 - \frac{EV_n}{\text{vol } K}.$$

We prove that, more generally, the identity

$$\frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(k)}}{(n+k)_{(k)}} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{EV_{n+k-j}^j}{(\text{vol } K)^j} \quad (4)$$

is dual to the identity (3) in the sense that (3) implies (4), and (4) implies (3).

## 2 Transformation Based on the Decomposition of Certain Elementary Symmetric Polynomials

Write  $\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  for the  $j$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ , i.e.

$$\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_j}.$$

The elementary symmetric polynomials generalize the binomial coefficients:  $\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \binom{k}{j}$  if  $x_1 = \dots = x_k = 1$ . Correspondingly, the generating function of the binomial coefficients

$$(t-1)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} t^{k-j}$$

extends to

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (t - x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) t^{k-j},$$

and the recurrence relation  $\binom{k}{j} = \binom{k-1}{j-1} + \binom{k-1}{j}$  extends to

$$\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k \sigma_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) + \sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}). \quad (5)$$

The generating function and the recurrence relation for the binomial coefficients suggest to define  $\binom{k}{j} = 1$  if  $j = 0$  and  $\binom{k}{j} = 0$  if  $j \neq 0$  and  $k < j$ . Likewise, the generating function and the recurrence relation for the elementary symmetric polynomials suggest to define  $\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 1$  if  $j = 0$  and  $\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$  if  $j \neq 0$  and  $k < j$ . Here  $j$  and  $k$  are also allowed to be negative.

To transform the product on the right-hand side of (2), each of the elementary symmetric polynomials  $\sigma_j(n+1, \dots, n+k)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , in the variables  $n+1, \dots, n+k$ , which occur there implicitly, is now decomposed into a sum of products of elementary symmetric polynomials such that the first factor is an elementary symmetric polynomial in just the integers  $1, 2, \dots$ , whereas the second factor is the elementary symmetric polynomial of maximal degree, i.e. just the product of all variables.

**Proposition.** *Denote by  $\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  the  $j$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in the  $k$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . Then*

$$\sigma_j(x+1, \dots, x+k) = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{k}{i} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-1) \sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i).$$

*Proof.* The formula is correct if  $k = 1$ . Assume that it has been verified for elementary symmetric polynomials in less than  $k$  variables. Applying the recurrence relation (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_j(x+1, \dots, x+k) \\ &= (x+k) \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \sigma_{j-i-1}(1, \dots, k-i-2) \sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{k-1}{i} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-2) \sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i). \end{aligned}$$

Splitting the factor  $x+k$  by which the first sum is multiplied into  $x+i+1$  and  $k-i-1$ , observing that  $(x+i+1)\sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i) = \sigma_{i+1}(x+1, \dots, x+i+1)$ , and adapting the summation index, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_j(x+1, \dots, x+k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j \binom{k-1}{i-1} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-1) \sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (k-i-1) \sigma_{j-i-1}(1, \dots, k-i-2) \sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{k-1}{i} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-2) \sigma_i(x+1, \dots, x+i). \end{aligned}$$

If the index is taken from 0 to  $j$  in all three sums, only terms are added which have the value zero. According to (5),

$$(k-i-1)\sigma_{j-i-1}(1, \dots, k-i-2) + \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-2) = \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-1),$$

and as  $\binom{k-1}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{i} = \binom{k}{i}$ , the claimed formula arises.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\frac{EV_n^k}{(\text{vol } K)^k} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(j)}}{(n+k)_{(j)}},$$

where  $E(N_{n+k})_{(j)} = EN_{n+k}(N_{n+k} - 1) \cdots (N_{n+k} - j + 1)$  is the  $j$ -th factorial moment of  $N_{n+k}$  and  $(n+k)_{(j)} = (n+k)(n+k-1) \cdots (n+k-j+1)$ .

*Proof.* We start from Theorem 1 in [7], which states that

$$\frac{EV_n^k}{(\text{vol } K)^k} = E \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{N_{n+k}}{n+i}\right).$$

The right-hand side can equivalently be written in the form

$$\frac{n!}{(n+k)!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \sigma_j(n+1, \dots, n+k) EN_{n+k}^{k-j}.$$

The Proposition transforms this into

$$\frac{n!}{(n+k)!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{k}{i} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-1) \sigma_i(n+1, \dots, n+i) EN_{n+k}^{k-j}.$$

Interchanging the order of summation yields

$$\frac{n!}{(n+k)!} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \sigma_i(n+1, \dots, n+i) \sum_{j=i}^k (-1)^{k-j} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-1) EN_{n+k}^{k-j}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=i}^k (-1)^{k-j} \sigma_{j-i}(1, \dots, k-i-1) EN_{n+k}^{k-j} = (-1)^{k-i} E(N_{n+k})_{(k-i)}$$

and

$$\frac{n!}{(n+k)!} \sigma_i(n+1, \dots, n+i) = \frac{1}{(n+k)_{(k-i)}},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(k-i)}}{(n+k)_{(k-i)}},$$

which is identical with the claimed expression.  $\square$

The subsequent theorem provides a geometric interpretation of the  $j$ -th factorial moment of  $N_{n+k}$  ( $j = 0, \dots, k$ ) occurring in Theorem 2.1. We write  $m$  instead of  $n+k-j$  hoping to facilitate understanding.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the probability that  $j$  points distributed independently and uniformly in  $K$  are vertices of the convex hull of these  $j$  and  $m$  further points distributed independently and uniformly in  $K$  is given by*

$$\frac{E(N_{m+j})_{(j)}}{(m+j)_{(j)}},$$

where  $E(N_{m+j})_{(j)}$  denotes the  $j$ -th factorial moment of  $N_{m+j}$  and  $(m+j)_{(j)} = (m+j)(m+j-1)\cdots(m+1)$ .

*Proof.* On the one hand, for any  $j$  out of  $m+j$  points distributed independently and uniformly in  $K$ , the probability of being vertices of the convex hull of the  $m+j$  points is the same. Hence, as there are  $\binom{m+j}{j}$  possibilities to choose  $j$  points out of  $m+j$  points,  $\binom{m+j}{j}$  times this probability gives the expected number of possibilities to choose  $j$  points out of the  $m+j$  points such that the chosen  $j$  points are vertices of the convex hull of the  $m+j$  points.

On the other hand, the number of possibilities to choose  $j$  points out of  $m+j$  points such that the chosen  $j$  points are vertices of the convex hull of the  $m+j$  points is just the number of possibilities to choose  $j$  points out of the vertices of the convex hull, i.e.  $\binom{N_{m+j}}{j}$ . Thus the expected number of possibilities is  $E\binom{N_{m+j}}{j}$ .

Combining these two observations, we find that

$$\frac{E\binom{N_{m+j}}{j}}{\binom{m+j}{j}} = \frac{E(N_{m+j})_{(j)}}{(m+j)_{(j)}}$$

is just the probability in question.  $\square$

### 3 Duality

**Theorem 3.1** (dual version of Theorem 2.1). *Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(k)}}{(n+k)_{(k)}} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{EV_{n+k-j}^j}{(\text{vol } K)^j},$$

where  $E(N_{n+k})_{(k)} = EN_{n+k}(N_{n+k}-1)\cdots(N_{n+k}-k+1)$  is the  $k$ -th factorial moment of  $N_{n+k}$  and  $(n+k)_{(k)} = (n+k)(n+k-1)\cdots(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 2.1, with  $n$  and  $k$  replaced by  $n+k-i$  and  $i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, k$ ), can be written in the form  $\mathbf{v}_n^{(k)} = A_k \mathbf{n}_n^{(k)}$ , where

$$\mathbf{v}_n^{(k)} = \left( 1, \frac{EV_{n+k-1}}{\text{vol } K}, \frac{EV_{n+k-2}^2}{(\text{vol } K)^2}, \dots, \frac{EV_n^k}{(\text{vol } K)^k} \right)^T$$

and

$$\mathbf{n}_n^{(k)} = \left( 1, \frac{EN_{n+k}}{n+k}, \frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(2)}}{(n+k)_{(2)}}, \dots, \frac{E(N_{n+k})_{(k)}}{(n+k)_{(k)}} \right)^T$$

are  $(k + 1)$ -vectors and

$$A_k = \left( (-1)^j \binom{i}{j} \right)_{i=0, \dots, k; j=0, \dots, k}$$

is a  $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$ -matrix.

The inverse of  $A_k$  is  $A_k$  itself. To see this, consider

$$A_k^2 = \left( (-1)^j \binom{i}{j} \right)_{i=0, \dots, k; j=0, \dots, k} \left( (-1)^l \binom{j}{l} \right)_{j=0, \dots, k; l=0, \dots, k}.$$

The entries in the  $i$ -th row of the first matrix are zero if  $j > i$ , and the entries in the  $l$ -th column of the second matrix are zero if  $j < l$ . Consequently, if  $i < l$ , the inner product of the  $i$ -th row of the first matrix with the  $l$ -th column of the second matrix is zero. If  $i \geq l$ , the inner product of the  $i$ -th row of the first matrix with the  $l$ -th column of the second matrix is given by

$$\sum_{j=l}^i (-1)^{j+l} \binom{i}{j} \binom{j}{l} = \binom{i}{l} \sum_{j=l}^i (-1)^{j+l} \binom{i-l}{j-l} = \binom{i}{l} \sum_{j=0}^{i-l} (-1)^j \binom{i-l}{j},$$

which is one if  $i = l$  and zero if  $i > l$ .

Hence  $\mathbf{v}_n^{(k)} = A_k \mathbf{n}_n^{(k)}$  implies  $\mathbf{n}_n^{(k)} = A_k \mathbf{v}_n^{(k)}$ , proving Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Finally we point out that, likewise,  $\mathbf{n}_n^{(k)} = A_k \mathbf{v}_n^{(k)}$  implies  $\mathbf{v}_n^{(k)} = A_k \mathbf{n}_n^{(k)}$ , proving the duality of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

## 4 Concluding Remark

Alternatively, one could start with Theorem 2.2, the proof of which is based on the same approach as the proof of Theorem 1 in [7]. As described in the Introduction, the inclusion–exclusion principle then implies the right-hand side of (3), and the Proposition verifies the equivalence of the right-hand side of (2) and the right-hand side of (3).

## References

- [1] Bárány, I.: Random polytopes, convex bodies, and approximation. In: Weil, W. (ed.) *Stochastic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1892, pp. 77–118. Springer, Berlin (2007)
- [2] Bárány, I.: Random points and lattice points in convex bodies. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **45**(3), 339–365 (2008)
- [3] Bárány, I., Reitzner, M.: Poisson polytopes. *Ann. Probab.* **38**(4), 1507–1531 (2010)
- [4] Bárány, I., Reitzner, M.: On the variance of random polytopes. *Adv. Math.* **225**(4), 1986–2001 (2010)

- [5] Beermann, M., Reitzner, M.: Beyond the Efron–Buchta identities: distributional results for Poisson polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **53**(1), 226–244 (2015)
- [6] Brunel, V.-E.: Deviation inequalities for random polytopes in arbitrary convex bodies. *Bernoulli* **26**(4), 2488–2502 (2020)
- [7] Buchta, C.: An identity relating moments of functionals of convex hulls. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **33**(1), 125–142 (2005)
- [8] Calka, P.: Some classical problems in random geometry. In: Coupier, D. (ed.) *Stochastic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 2237, pp. 1–43. Springer, Cham (2019)
- [9] Cowan, R.: Recurrence relationships for the mean number of faces and vertices for random convex hulls. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **43**(2), 209–220 (2010)
- [10] Efron, B.: The convex hull of a random set of points. *Biometrika* **52**(3–4), 331–343 (1965)
- [11] Groeneboom, P.: Convex hulls of uniform samples from a convex polygon. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **44**(2), 330–342 (2012)
- [12] Hug, D.: Random polytopes. In: Spodarev, E. (ed.) *Stochastic Geometry, Spatial Statistics and Random Fields, Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 2068, pp. 205–238. Springer, Heidelberg (2013)
- [13] Kabluchko, Z., Last, G., Zaporozhets, D.: Inclusion–exclusion principles for convex hulls and the Euler relation. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **58**(2), 417–434 (2017)
- [14] Kabluchko, Z., Marynych, A., Temesvari, D., Thäle, C.: Cones generated by random points on half-spheres and convex hulls of Poisson point processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **175**(3–4), 1021–1061 (2019)
- [15] Reitzner, M.: The combinatorial structure of random polytopes. *Adv. Math.* **191**(1), 178–208 (2005)
- [16] Reitzner, M.: Central limit theorems for random polytopes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **133**(4), 483–507 (2005)
- [17] Reitzner, M.: Random polytopes. In: Kendall, W.S., Molchanov, I. (eds.) *New Perspectives in Stochastic Geometry*, pp. 45–76. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010)
- [18] Schneider, R.: Recent results on random polytopes. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* (9) **1**(1), 17–39 (2008)
- [19] Schneider, R.: Discrete aspects of stochastic geometry. In: Goodman, J.E., O’Rourke, J., Tóth, C.D. (eds.) *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 3rd edn., pp. 299–329. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2018)

- [20] Schneider, R., Weil, W.: Stochastic and Integral Geometry. Springer, Berlin (2008)

Department of Mathematics, Salzburg University, Austria  
`christian.buchta@plus.ac.at`