

Approximation algorithms for the directed path partition problems

Yong Chen* Zhi-Zhong Chen† Curtis Kennedy‡ Guohui Lin§ Yao Xu¶
An Zhang*

July 13, 2021

Abstract

Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, the k -path partition problem is to find a minimum collection of vertex-disjoint directed paths each of order at most k to cover all the vertices of V . The problem has various applications in facility location, network monitoring, transportation and others. Its special case on undirected graphs has received much attention recently, but the general directed version is seemingly untouched in the literature. We present the first $k/2$ -approximation algorithm, for any $k \geq 3$, based on a novel concept of augmenting path to minimize the number of singletons in the partition. When $k \geq 7$, we present an improved $(k+2)/3$ -approximation algorithm based on the maximum path-cycle cover followed by a careful 2-cycle elimination process. When $k = 3$, we define the second novel kind of augmenting paths and propose an improved $13/9$ -approximation algorithm.

Keywords: Path partition; directed graph; augmenting path; matching; path-cycle cover; approximation algorithm

Acknowledgements.

This research is supported by the NSFC Grants 11771114 and 11971139 (YC and AZ), the Zhejiang Provincial NSFC Grant LY21A010014 (YC and AZ), the CSC Grants 201508330054 (YC) and 201908330090 (AZ), the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan Grant No. 18K11183 (ZZC), and the NSERC Canada (GL).

*chenyong, anzhang@hdu.edu.cn. Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University. Hangzhou, China.

†zzchen@mail.dendai.ac.jp. Division of Information System Design, Tokyo Denki University. Saitama, Japan.

‡ckennedy, guohui@ualberta.ca. Department of Computing Science, University of Alberta. Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E8, Canada.

§Correspondence author.

¶yxu@georgiasouthern.edu. Department of Computer Science, Georgia Southern University. Statesboro, USA.

1 Introduction

Given a directed graph G , we consider the simple directed paths in the graph. We denote the vertex set and the edge set of G by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively, and simplify them as V and E when G is clear from the context, that is, $G = (V, E)$. We assume without loss of generality that there are no self-loops or multiple edges in the graph. Let $n = |V|$ and $m = |E|$, which are referred to as the *order* and the *size* of the graph G , respectively. For a vertex v in G , the number of edges entering (leaving, respectively) v is denoted by $d_G^-(v)$ ($d_G^+(v)$, respectively), which is referred to as the *in-degree* (*out-degree*, respectively) of v . As well, $d_G^-(v)$ and $d_G^+(v)$ are simplified as $d^-(v)$ and $d^+(v)$, respectively, when the graph G is clear from the context. We note that an undirected edge is deemed bidirectional; this way, an undirected graph is a special directed graph.

A *simple directed path* in the graph is a sequence of distinct vertices so that there is an edge from every vertex to its succeeding one. For convenience, these edges are said to be the edges of the path. When there is an edge from the last vertex to the first vertex, then adding this edge to the path gives rise to a *simple directed cycle*. In the sequel, we leave out both “simple” and “directed”, and simply call them a path and a cycle, respectively.

The *order* (*length*, respectively) of a path is the number of vertices (edges, respectively) on the path and an order- k path is simply called a *k-path* (or sometimes, a length- $(k - 1)$ path). The *k-path partition* (abbreviated as *kPP*) problem is to find a minimum collection of vertex-disjoint paths each of order at most k such that every vertex is on some path in the collection.

When k is part of the input, the *kPP* problem includes the NP-complete HAMILTONIAN PATH problem [5] as a special case, and thus it is APX-hard and is not approximable within 2 unless $P = NP$. On the other hand, the 2PP problem is equivalent to the MAXIMUM MATCHING problem on undirected graphs (by ignoring the edge directions, if any), which is solvable in $O(m\sqrt{n} \log(n^2/m)/\log n)$ -time [6]. In the sequel, we assume $k \geq 3$ is a fixed constant. The *kPP* problem on undirected graphs has received a number of studies [12, 9, 2, 1, 3]. For example, the problem is solvable in polynomial time for trees [12], cographs [10] and bipartite permutation graphs [11]. When $k = 3$, that is, for 3PP, Monnot and Toulouse [9] presented a 3/2-approximation algorithm; the approximation ratio has been improved to 13/9 [2], 4/3 [1] and the current best 21/16 [3]. For any fixed $k \geq 3$, *kPP* on undirected graphs admits a $k/2$ -approximation algorithm [1]. The intractability of *kPP*, when k is part of the input or a fixed constant, on some special undirected graph classes (such as chordal, bipartite, comparability, and cographs) has been investigated and depicted [10, 11, 8].

It is noted that in various applications such as facility location, network monitoring, and transportation, the background network is modeled as a directed graph. However, the general *kPP* problem on directed graphs is seemingly untouched in the literature. One sees that the *kPP* problem can be regarded as a special case of the minimum EXACT k -SET COVER, by creating a subset of ℓ vertices, for all $\ell \leq k$, if and only if they are traceable in the input graph. The EXACT k -SET COVER problem is one of Karp’s 21 NP-complete problems [7], and its minimization variant does not admit any non-trivial approximation algorithms.

In this paper, we investigate the *kPP* problem on directed graphs from the approximation algorithm perspective. We present the first $k/2$ -approximation algorithm, for any $k \geq 3$, based

on a novel concept of augmenting path to minimize the number of singletons in the partition. When $k \geq 7$, we present an improved $(k + 2)/3$ -approximation algorithm based on the maximum path-cycle cover followed by a careful 2-cycle elimination process. Lastly, for 3PP, we define the second novel kind of augmenting paths and propose an improved $13/9$ -approximation algorithm. The state-of-the-art approximation results for the k PP problems are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: The best known approximation ratios for the k PP problems; those labeled with * are achieved in this paper.

directed	$k \geq 3$ fixed	$k/2$ -approx*
	$k \geq 7$ fixed	$(k + 2)/3$ -approx*
	$k = 3$	$13/9$ -approx*
undirected	$k \geq 3$ fixed	$k/2$ -approx [1] ($k = 3$ [9])
	$k \geq 7$ fixed	$(k + 2)/3$ -approx*
	$k = 3$	$21/16$ -approx [3]

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we present our three approximation algorithms, each in a separate subsection. For the last $13/9$ -approximation algorithm for 3PP, we also provide a series of instances to show the tightness of the approximation ratio. We conclude the paper in Section 3, with several future work.

2 Approximation algorithms

Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive integer b , a b -*matching* M is a subset of edges so that there are at most b of them entering every vertex of V and at most b of them leaving every vertex of V . One sees that a 1-matching in the directed graph $G = (V, E)$ consists of vertex-disjoint paths and cycles, and thus it is also called a *path-cycle cover*. A maximum path-cycle cover of the graph G can be computed in $O(mn \log n)$ time [4].

We want to remind the readers that a b -matching can be defined in the same way for an undirected graph, where the edges are deemed bidirectional. Therefore, a 2-matching in the undirected graph consists of vertex-disjoint paths and cycles, which is also called a path-cycle cover. A 1-matching in the undirected graph is simply called a matching. With respect to a matching M , an edge of M is called a *matched* edge, or otherwise a *free* edge; a vertex incident with a matched edge is said matched, or otherwise free. Furthermore, an *alternating* path is one with alternating free and matched edges. An *augmenting* path is an alternating path that begins and ends with free vertices. An augmenting path is used to increase the size of the matching M , through replacing the matched edges on the path by the free edges on the path.

With respect to a k -path partition in a directed graph, below we will define what the matched edges and the free edges are, and two novel kinds of alternating and augmenting paths. The augmenting paths are used to improve the k -path partition (that is, to increase the number of edges in the k -path partition).

In Section 2.1, we present a first $k/2$ -approximation for k PP for any $k \geq 3$, in which a key ingredient is the first novel kind of alternating and augmenting paths to minimize the number of *singletons* in a k -path partition. We realize that most argument in this subsection is a nontrivial generalization of the undirected counterpart. We therefore present only the design of the algorithm, while leaving the detailed analysis to Appendix A. Section 2.2 deals with the case where $k \geq 7$; we start with a maximum path-cycle cover, to design a $(k+2)/3$ -approximation algorithm by carefully dealing with 2-cycles in the cover. Lastly in Section 2.3, we design a $13/9$ -approximation algorithm for 3PP, in which a key ingredient is the second novel kind of alternating and augmenting paths to reduce the number of 2-paths in a k -path partition. We also show that the approximation ratio $13/9$ is tight for the algorithm. We point out that the structural properties of a 3-path partition differ much for directed graphs and for undirected graphs, for example, the maximum matching based $3/2$ -approximation algorithm by Monnot and Toulouse [9] and the local search based approximation algorithms [2, 1, 3] for 3PP on undirected graphs do not extend to the directed graphs.

2.1 A first $k/2$ -approximation for k PP

Suppose we are given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a k -path partition \mathcal{Q} of G .

For ease of presentation, the edges on the paths of \mathcal{Q} are simply called the edges of \mathcal{Q} , and the 1-paths of \mathcal{Q} are called *singletons* of \mathcal{Q} . For an ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ in \mathcal{Q} (where $\ell \leq k$), v_j is called the j -th vertex on the path, and in particular v_1 is the head vertex and v_ℓ is the tail vertex. The intention of a to-be-defined augmenting path is to reduce the number of singletons, through adding an edge, so as to improve \mathcal{Q} .

Let us first define the two types of edges that can be on alternating paths. Each alternating path starts with a singleton. If there is no singleton in the k -path partition \mathcal{Q} , then we do not bother to define the edge types and \mathcal{Q} is our *desired solution* achieving the minimum number of singletons. In the other case, for an ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ in \mathcal{Q} , the edges (v_1, v_2) and $(v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell)$ are *matched* edges; all the edges incident at v_1 (v_ℓ , respectively) in the graph $G = (V, E)$, both entering and leaving v_1 (v_ℓ , respectively), except (v_1, v_2) ($(v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell)$, respectively) are *free* edges with respect to \mathcal{Q} . We note that there are edges which are neither matched nor free, such as the edge (v_2, v_3) when $\ell \geq 4$; we may call them *irrelevant* edges.

Consider a singleton u and a free edge (u, v) . (The following argument applies to the symmetric case (v, u) by reversing the direction of the involved edges, if any.) If there is no matched edge entering v , that is, v is not the second vertex of any path of \mathcal{Q} (see Figure 1a for illustrations), then the alternating path ends. In Lemma 9, we will show that such an alternating path is an augmenting path, and it can be used to transfer \mathcal{Q} into another k -path partition (by taking the *symmetric difference* of \mathcal{Q} and the augmenting path) with at least one less singleton.

If there is a matched edge entering v , that is, v is the second vertex v_2 of an ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ of \mathcal{Q} , but the matched edge (v_1, v_2) has already been included in the alternating path, then the alternating path ends too and it is not an augmenting path.

In the other case where v is the second vertex v_2 of an ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ of \mathcal{Q} (see Figure 1b for an illustration), the matched edge (v_1, v_2) , which is shown as the dotted edge in Figure 1b,




Figure 1: (a) and (b), a free edge (u, v) for all possible configurations of the vertex v in the k -path partition \mathcal{Q} , where the dashed edges are free, the solid edges are in \mathcal{Q} , and the additionally dotted edge is matched. (c) and (d) show the symmetric case for a free edge (v, u) . An alternating path is one alternating free and matched edges, and it starts with a singleton.

extends the alternating to the vertex v_1 . Iteratively, when there is no free edge incident at v_1 and outside of the alternating path, the alternating path ends; or otherwise one such free edge extends the alternating path and we may repeat the above process on this newly added free edge. Therefore, either a free edge or a matched edge ends the alternating path, and an augmenting path is achieved if and only if a free edge ends the alternating path so that there is no matched edge incident at the last vertex. In the Appendix, we show in Lemma 8 that the entire process can be done via a breadth-first-search (BFS) traversal and takes $O(m)$ time; and show in Lemma 9 that an augmenting path can be used to transfer \mathcal{Q} into another k -path partition with at least one less singleton in $O(n)$ time.

Algorithm APPROX1:
 Input: a directed graph $G = (V, E)$;
 Output: a k -path partition \mathcal{Q} .

1. \mathcal{Q} is initialized to contain n singletons.
2. For each singleton u in \mathcal{Q} ,
 - 2.1 explore the alternating paths starting with u via a BFS traversal;
 - 2.2 if an augmenting path is found, update \mathcal{Q} and break to restart Step 2.
3. Return \mathcal{Q} .

Figure 2: A high level description of the algorithm APPROX1.

We now describe our algorithm APPROX1 for computing a k -path partition \mathcal{Q} in a directed graph $G = (V, E)$. The algorithm is iterative, and in each iteration it tries to find an augmenting path starting with a singleton in the current k -path partition, and uses it to transfer into another k -path partition with at least one less singleton. To this purpose, the initial k -path partition is

set to contain n singletons; in each iteration, the algorithm explores all the alternating paths each starting with a singleton. The iteration terminates at any time when an augmenting path is found, followed by updating the k -path partition; if no augmenting path is found for any singleton, then the algorithm terminates and returns the current k -path partition as the solution. From Lemmas 8 and 9, the overall running time is $O(nm)$. A high level description of the algorithm is depicted in Figure 2.

Theorem 1 *The algorithm APPROX1 is an $O(nm)$ -time $k/2$ -approximation for the k PP problem.*

PROOF. See Appendix. □

2.2 An improved $(k + 2)/3$ -approximation for k PP, when $k \geq 7$

We fix an integer $k \geq 7$. Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, our $(k + 2)/3$ -approximation algorithm APPROX2 for k PP starts by performing the first three steps as in Figure 3, in which a maximum path-cycle cover \mathcal{C} of G is computed and a subgraph G_1 of G is constructed.

Algorithm APPROX2:
 Input: a directed graph G ;
 Output: a k -path partition.

1. Compute a maximum path-cycle cover \mathcal{C} of G .
2. While $\exists(u, v) \in E(G) - E(\mathcal{C})$ such that $d_{\mathcal{C}}^+(u) = 0$ (respectively, $d_{\mathcal{C}}^-(v) = 0$) and v (respectively, u) is on some cycle C of \mathcal{C} ,
 - 2.1 replace the edge entering v (respectively, leaving u) in \mathcal{C} by (u, v) .
3. Construct a directed graph $G_1 = (V(G), E_1)$, where E_1 is the set of all edges $(u, v) \in E(G) - E(\mathcal{C})$ such that u and v appear in different connected components of \mathcal{C} , at least one of which is a 2-cycle.

Figure 3: The description of the algorithm APPROX2, the first three steps (to be continued).

Hereafter, \mathcal{C} always refers to the path-cycle cover obtained after the completion of Step 2. We give several definitions related to the graphs \mathcal{C} and G_1 . A *path* (respectively, *cycle*) *component* of \mathcal{C} is a connected component of \mathcal{C} that is a path (respectively, cycle). For convenience, we say that two connected components C_1 and C_2 of \mathcal{C} are *adjacent* in a subgraph G' of G if there is an edge $(u_1, u_2) \in E(G')$ such that $u_1 \in V(C_1)$ and $u_2 \in V(C_2)$. Let S be a subgraph of G_1 . S *saturates* a 2-cycle C of \mathcal{C} if at least one edge of S is incident at a vertex of C . The *weight* of S is defined as the number of 2-cycles of \mathcal{C} saturated by S .

Lemma 1 *A maximum-weighted path-cycle cover in G_1 can be computed in $O(nm \log n)$ time.*

PROOF. The proof is done by a reduction to the maximum-weight $[f, g]$ -factor problem, which is known to be solvable in $O(n'm' \log n')$ time [4] for a given edge-weighted undirected graph \mathcal{G} with n' vertices and m' edges.

Recall that for two functions f and g mapping each vertex v of the graph \mathcal{G} to an integer with $f(v) \leq g(v)$, an $[f, g]$ -factor of \mathcal{G} is a subgraph \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} such that $V(\mathcal{H}) = V(\mathcal{G})$ and $f(v) \leq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \leq g(v)$ for every $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$. The *weight* of an $[f, g]$ -factor \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} is the total weight of the edges in \mathcal{H} .

Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_r be the 2-cycles of \mathcal{C} . We construct an auxiliary edge-weighted undirected graph $\mathcal{G} = (V^+ \cup V^- \cup X, F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3)$ from $G_1 = (V(G), E_1)$ as follows (see Figure 4 for an illustration):

- $V^+ = \{v^+ \mid v \in V(G)\}$, $V^- = \{v^- \mid v \in V(G)\}$, and $X = \{x_i, y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.
- $F_1 = \{\{u^+, v^-\} \mid (u, v) \in E_1\}$, $F_2 = \{\{x_i, v^+\}, \{x_i, v^-\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, v \in V(C_i)\}$, and $F_3 = \{\{x_i, y_i\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.
- The weight of each edge in $F_1 \cup F_2$ is 0 while the weight of each edge in F_3 is 1.
- For each $v \in V(G) - \bigcup_{i=1}^r V(C_i)$, $f(v^+) = f(v^-) = 0$ and $g(v^+) = g(v^-) = 1$.
- For each $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^r V(C_i)$, $f(v^+) = f(v^-) = g(v^+) = g(v^-) = 1$.
- For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, $f(x_i) = f(y_i) = 0$, $g(x_i) = 4$, and $g(y_i) = 1$.




Figure 4: An illustration of the construction of \mathcal{G} from $G_1 = (V(G), E_1)$, where the edges in the 4-component path-cycle cover \mathcal{C} are shown dashed, the edges in a maximum weight path-cover M of G_1 are shown thin solid directed, and the edges in the corresponding maximum weight $[f, g]$ -factor N of \mathcal{G} are shown thick solid undirected.

For each path-cycle cover M of G_1 , we can obtain an $[f, g]$ -factor N of \mathcal{G} from M as follows:

1. Initially, $N = \{\{u^+, v^-\} \mid (u, v) \in E(M)\}$.
2. For each $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^r V(C_i)$ with $d_M^+(v) = 0$, add the edge $\{v^+, x_i\}$ to N .
3. For each $v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^r V(C_i)$ with $d_M^-(v) = 0$, add the edge $\{v^-, x_i\}$ to N .
4. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ with $d_N(x_i) < 4$, add the edge $\{x_i, y_i\}$ to N .¹

¹The degree of x_i is either 3 or 4, corresponding to 2 or 1 edge of M saturating the 2-cycle C_i , respectively.

From the edge weight settings, the weight of N is the same as that of M , which is equal to the number of 2-cycles saturated by M . Thus, the maximum weight of an $[f, g]$ -factor of \mathcal{G} is at least as large as the maximum weight of a path-cycle cover of G_1 .

Conversely, from each maximum weight $[f, g]$ -factor N of \mathcal{G} , we can obtain a path-cycle cover M of G_1 by letting $E(M) = \{(u, v) \mid \{u^+, v^-\} \in E(N) \cap F_1\}$. We claim that the weight of M is the same as that of N . To see this claim, observe that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that at least one edge of M is incident at a vertex v of C_i , N cannot contain both $\{v^+, x_i\}$ and $\{v^-, x_i\}$ due to the function g , and in turn $\{x_i, y_i\}$ must be contained in N because N is a maximum weight $[f, g]$ -factor of \mathcal{G} . By the claim, the maximum weight of a path-cycle cover of G_1 is at least as large as the maximum weight of an $[f, g]$ -factor of \mathcal{G} .

We conclude that the maximum weight of a path-cycle cover of G_1 is the same as the maximum weight of an $[f, g]$ -factor of \mathcal{G} . This proves the lemma. \square

An undirected graph \mathcal{G} is a *star* if \mathcal{G} is a connected graph with at least one edge and all but at most one vertex of \mathcal{G} have degree 1 in \mathcal{G} . If a star \mathcal{G} has a vertex of degree larger than 1, then this unique vertex is the *center* of \mathcal{G} ; otherwise, \mathcal{G} is an edge and we choose an arbitrary vertex of \mathcal{G} as the *center* of \mathcal{G} . Each vertex of a star \mathcal{G} other than its center is a *satellite* of \mathcal{G} . A vertex u is *isolated* in an undirected graph \mathcal{G} if the degree of u in \mathcal{G} is 0.

Our algorithm then proceeds to perform the following four steps described in Figure 5, in which another subgraph G_2 of G and an undirected graph G_3 are constructed.

4. Compute a maximum-weight path-cycle cover M in G_1 (via Lemma 1).
5. While $\exists e \in E(M)$ such that its removal does not change the weight of M ,
 - 5.1 $M \leftarrow M - e$ (that is, delete e from M).
6. Construct a directed graph $G_2 = (V(G), E(\mathcal{C}) \cup E(M))$.
(*Comment:* For each pair of connected components of \mathcal{C} , there is at most one edge between them in G_2 because of Step 5.)
7. Construct an undirected graph G_3 , where the vertices of G_3 one-to-one correspond to the connected components of \mathcal{C} and two vertices are adjacent in G_3 if and only if the corresponding connected components of \mathcal{C} are adjacent in G_2 .

Figure 5: The continued description of APPROX2, the next four steps (to be continued).

Fact 1 For each connected component H of G_3 ,

1. H is an isolated vertex or a star.
2. If H contains at least three vertices, then every satellite of H corresponds to a 2-cycle of \mathcal{C} .
3. If H contains two vertices, then at least one vertex of H corresponds to a 2-cycle of \mathcal{C} .
(*Comment:* In this case, we always choose a vertex corresponding to a 2-cycle of \mathcal{C} to be the satellite of H .)

PROOF. These facts are obvious because of the definition of G_1 and Step 5, so that every 2-cycle of \mathcal{C} is incident with at most one edge of M and every other connected component of \mathcal{C} can be adjacent to only 2-cycles. \square

An *isolated 2-cycle* of G_2 is a 2-cycle of \mathcal{C} whose corresponding vertex in G_3 is isolated in G_3 , otherwise a *leaf 2-cycle*. Let \mathcal{I} be the set of isolated 2-cycles in G_2 . Let \mathcal{Q}^* be an optimal k -path partition of G .

Lemma 2 $|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)| \leq \min\{|E(\mathcal{C})| - |\mathcal{I}|, \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot (n - 2|\mathcal{I}|) + |\mathcal{I}|\}$.

PROOF. Let C_1, \dots, C_h be those 2-cycles of \mathcal{C} such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$, no edge of $E(\mathcal{Q}^*)$ is incident at exactly one vertex of $V(C_i)$ (in other words, due to the optimality of \mathcal{Q}^* , exactly one edge of $E(C_i)$ is a 2-path in \mathcal{Q}^*).

Let $U_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^h V(C_i)$ and $U_2 = V(G) - U_1$. For convenience, let $C_0 = G[U_2]$. Note that for each $e \in E(\mathcal{Q}^*)$, one of the subgraphs C_0, C_1, \dots, C_h contains both endpoints of e . Therefore, \mathcal{Q}^* can be partitioned into $h + 1$ disjoint subgraphs $\mathcal{Q}_0^*, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_h^*$ such that \mathcal{Q}_i^* is a k -path partition (and hence a path-cycle cover) of $G[V(C_i)]$ for every $i \in \{0, \dots, h\}$. Since $\mathcal{C}[U_2]$ must be a maximum path-cycle cover of C_0 , $|E(\mathcal{C}[U_2])| \geq |E(\mathcal{Q}_0^*)|$. Combining with the fact that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$, $|E(\mathcal{Q}_i^*)| = 1$, we have

$$|E(\mathcal{C})| = |E(\mathcal{C}[U_2])| + \sum_{i=1}^h |E(C_i)| \geq |E(\mathcal{Q}_0^*)| + 2h = |E(\mathcal{Q}^*)| + h. \quad (1)$$

Note that $(V(G), E(G_1) \cap E(\mathcal{Q}^*))$ is a path-cycle cover in G_1 of weight $r - h$, where r is the total number of 2-cycles in \mathcal{C} . One sees that $r - h \leq r - |\mathcal{I}|$ because M is a maximum-weight path-cycle cover in G_1 of weight $r - |\mathcal{I}|$. That is,

$$|\mathcal{I}| \leq h. \quad (2)$$

By Eqs. (1) and (2) we have $|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)| \leq |E(\mathcal{C})| - h \leq |E(\mathcal{C})| - |\mathcal{I}|$. This establishes the first half of the lemma. On the other hand, since \mathcal{Q}_0^* is a k -path partition of C_0 , each path in \mathcal{Q}_0^* can have at most $k - 1$ edges and hence \mathcal{Q}_0^* can have at most $\frac{k-1}{k} \cdot |U_2| = \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot (n - 2h)$ edges. Therefore, $|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)| = |E(\mathcal{Q}_0^*)| + h \leq \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot (n - 2h) + h \leq \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot (n - 2|\mathcal{I}|) + |\mathcal{I}|$, where the last inequality holds by Eq. (2). This establishes the second half of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3 *Suppose the connected component C of \mathcal{C} corresponding to an isolated vertex of G_3 is not a 2-cycle. Then, C can be transformed into a k -path partition \mathcal{P} of $G[V(C)]$ such that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |E(C)|$.*

PROOF. We distinguish two cases. In the first case where C is a path, we can transform C into a k -path partition \mathcal{P} of $G[V(C)]$ by starting at one end of the path C and deleting every k -th edge. Clearly, $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot |E(C)| \geq \frac{6}{7} \cdot |E(C)|$ due to $k \geq 7$.

In the second case where C is a cycle, we can transform C into a k -path partition \mathcal{P} of $G[V(C)]$ by first deleting an arbitrary edge and then starting at one end of the resulting path and further deleting every k -th edge. When $|E(C)| \geq 6$, $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot (|E(C)| - 1) \geq \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot |E(C)| = \frac{5}{7} \cdot |E(C)|$ due to $k \geq 7$; otherwise, $|E(\mathcal{P})| = |E(C)| - 1 \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |E(C)|$ since $|E(C)| \geq 3$. \square

Lemma 4 *Suppose the connected component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the center of a star connected component of G_3 is a path. Let $U = \bigcup_C V(C)$ and $F = \bigcup_C E(C)$, where C ranges over all connected components of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the vertices of the star. Then, $G_2[U]$ can be transformed into a k -path partition \mathcal{P} of $G[U]$ such that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |F|$.*

PROOF. Let P be the path connected component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the center of the star, and let C_1, \dots, C_h be the 2-cycles of \mathcal{C} corresponding to all the satellites (see Fact 1). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$, let e_i denote the unique edge of $G_2[U]$ between a vertex u_i of C_i and a vertex v_i of P . Let s (t , respectively) be the starting (ending, respectively) vertex of P ; and assume without loss of generality that v_1, \dots, v_h lie sequentially on P (see Figure 6 for an illustration). We note that either $e_i = (u_i, v_i)$ or $e_i = (v_i, u_i)$, and it is possible that $v_i = v_j$ for $i < j$. Nevertheless, $v_i = v_j$ implies that one of e_i and e_j enters v_i and the other leaves v_i , because M is a path-cycle cover in G_1 . That is, at most two 2-cycles can be adjacent to the same v_i . For convenience, we say that a vertex v of P is *free* if $v \notin \{v_1, \dots, v_h\}$.

We prove the lemma by induction on $|V(P)|$. In the base case, $|V(P)| = 1$ and thus $h \leq 2$, we can transform $G_2[U]$ into an ℓ -path with $\ell \leq 5$ by simply removing one appropriate edge from each 2-cycle in $\{C_1, \dots, C_h\}$. This single ℓ -path gives rise to a k -path partition \mathcal{P} such that $|E(\mathcal{P})| = |F|$.

Next, suppose $|V(P)| \geq 2$. We distinguish four cases below (see Figure 6 for an illustration).




Figure 6: An illustration of the four distinct cases of how 2-cycles of \mathcal{C} are adjacent to a path component in G_2 , where the dashed edges are in $E(C)$ and the solid edges are in $E(M)$.

Case 1: There exists i such that $1 \leq i < h$ and $v_i = v_{i+1}$. In this case, we transform $G_2[U]$ into \mathcal{P} as follows: First, we remove one edge from C_i and remove one edge from C_{i+1} so that e_i and e_{i+1} together with the remaining edges of C_i and C_{i+1} form a 5-path denoted as Q . Next remove all the edges of P incident at v_i , and let Q , \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 (\mathcal{G}_2 could be empty) be the connected components of the resulting graph. Lastly, for \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 we recursively transform each of them into a k -path partition \mathcal{P}_j of $G[V(\mathcal{G}_j)]$. Obviously, $\mathcal{P} = \{Q\} \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ is a k -path partition of $G[U]$. By the

inductive hypothesis, $|E(\mathcal{P}_j)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |E(\mathcal{G}_j) \cap F|$ for $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, $|E(\mathcal{P})| = |E(Q)| + |E(\mathcal{P}_1)| + |E(\mathcal{P}_2)| \geq 4 + \frac{2}{3}(|E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F| + |E(\mathcal{G}_2) \cap F|)$. We also have $|F| \leq 6 + |E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F| + |E(\mathcal{G}_2) \cap F|$. It follows that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |F|$.

Case 2: The path P contains a sub-path x - y - z such that either x and y are free and $z = v_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_h\}$ with $e_i = (v_i, u_i)$ or y and z are free and $x = v_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_h\}$ with $e_i = (u_i, v_i)$. We transform $G_2[U]$ into \mathcal{P} as follows: First, we remove one edge from C_i so that x - y - z and e_i together with the remaining edge of C_i form a 5-path denoted as Q . Next remove the edge of P entering x and remove the edge of P leaving z , if any, followed by applying the same inductive argument (except that \mathcal{G}_1 could also be empty) as in Case 1.

Case 3: The path P contains a sub-path P' from v_i to v_{i+1} for some $1 \leq i < h$ such that $e_i = (u_i, v_i)$ and $e_{i+1} = (v_{i+1}, u_{i+1})$. We transform $G_2[U]$ into \mathcal{P} as follows: First, we remove one edge from C_i and remove one edge from C_{i+1} so that P' , e_i , and e_{i+1} together with the remaining edges of C_i and C_{i+1} form an ℓ -path denoted as Q (where $\ell = 6$ or 7^2). Next remove the edge of P entering v_i and remove the edge of P leaving v_{i+1} , if any, and let Q , \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 (\mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 could be empty) be the connected components of the resulting graph. Lastly, for \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 we recursively transform each of them into a k -path partition \mathcal{P}_j of $G[V(\mathcal{G}_j)]$. Obviously, $\mathcal{P} = \{Q\} \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ is a k -path partition of $G[U]$. By the inductive hypothesis, $|E(\mathcal{P}_j)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |E(\mathcal{G}_j) \cap F|$ for $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, $|E(\mathcal{P})| = |E(Q)| + |E(\mathcal{P}_1)| + |E(\mathcal{P}_2)| \geq (\ell - 1) + \frac{2}{3}(|E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F| + |E(\mathcal{G}_2) \cap F|)$. We also have $|F| \leq (\ell + 1) + |E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F| + |E(\mathcal{G}_2) \cap F|$. It follows from $\ell = 6$ or 7 that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |F|$.

Case 4: The edge e_1 leaves v_1 , or the edge e_h enters v_h . We assume the first scenario, that is, $e_1 = (v_1, u_1)$; the other scenario is symmetric. By Case 2, the sub-path P' of P from s to v_1 contains at most one edge. We transform $G_2[U]$ into \mathcal{P} as follows: First, we remove one edge from C_1 so that P' and e_1 together with the remaining edge of C_1 form an ℓ -path denoted as Q (where $\ell = 3$ or 4). Next remove the edge of P leaving v_1 , if any, and let Q and \mathcal{G}_1 (\mathcal{G}_1 could be empty) be the connected components of the resulting graph. Lastly, for \mathcal{G}_1 we recursively transform it into a k -path partition \mathcal{P}_1 of $G[V(\mathcal{G}_1)]$. Obviously, $\mathcal{P} = \{Q\} \cup \mathcal{P}_1$ is a k -path partition of $G[U]$. By the inductive hypothesis, $|E(\mathcal{P}_1)| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F|$. Therefore, $|E(\mathcal{P})| = |E(Q)| + |E(\mathcal{P}_1)| \geq (\ell - 1) + \frac{2}{3} \cdot |E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F|$. We also have $|F| \leq \ell + |E(\mathcal{G}_1) \cap F|$. It follows from $\ell = 3$ or 4 that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |F|$.

We argue that there is no other case. By Case 1, these h vertices v_1, \dots, v_h are distinct. Then by Case 4, e_1 enters v_1 . Next by Case 3, e_2 enters v_2 too; and iteratively every $e_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_h\}$ enters v_i , which implies that Case 4 occurs. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5 *Suppose the connected component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the center of a star connected component of G_3 is a cycle. Let $U = \bigcup_C V(C)$ and $F = \bigcup_C E(C)$, where C ranges over all connected components of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the vertices of the star. Then, $G_2[U]$ can be transformed into a k -path partition \mathcal{P} of $G[U]$ such that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |F|$.*

PROOF. We inherit the notations and definitions in the first paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4, to let P denote the cycle connected component of \mathcal{C} corresponding to the center of the star (see Fact 1). We prove the lemma by distinguishing the first three cases as in the inductive phase in

²This is why we need to assume $k \geq 7$ in this subsection.

the proof of Lemma 4, and replacing the inductive hypotheses by Lemma 4. Indeed, for each case, the argument remains the same, except that there are exactly two connected components in the resulting graph, one of which is Q and the other \mathcal{G}_1 is non-empty.

Case 4: None of Cases 1–3 occurs. By Case 1, these h vertices v_1, \dots, v_h are distinct. Then by Case 3, either every $e_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_h\}$ leaves v_i or every $e_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_h\}$ enters v_i . We assume the former scenario; the latter is symmetric. We transform $G_2[U]$ into \mathcal{P} as follows: First, we remove the edge of C_i entering u_i ; then remove the edge of P leaving v_i , for every $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_h be the connected components of the resulting graph, each is a path of order 3 or 4 by Case 2. Therefore, $\mathcal{P} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_\ell\}$ is a k -path partition of $G[U]$. Moreover, $|F| = |E(P)| + 2h$ and $|E(\mathcal{P})| = |F| - h = |E(P)| + h$. It follows from $|E(P)| \geq h$ that $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot |F|$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we are ready to state the final four steps of our algorithm APPROX2 in Figure 7, and summarize the result in the following theorem.

8. For each isolated vertex of G_3 corresponding to a 2-cycle C in \mathcal{C} , transform C into a k -path partition of $G[V(C)]$ by deleting an edge of C .
9. For each isolated vertex of G_3 not corresponding to a 2-cycle in \mathcal{C} , use the algorithm implied by Lemma 3 to transform $G_2[U]$ into a k -path partition of $G[U]$, where U is defined as in Lemma 3.
10. For each star connected component of G_3 whose center corresponds to a path/cycle of \mathcal{C} , use the algorithm implied by Lemma 4/5 to transform $G_2[U]$ into a k -path partition of $G[U]$, where U is defined as in Lemma 4/5.
11. Return the union \mathcal{Q} of the k -path partitions obtained in Steps 8–10.

Figure 7: The continued description of APPROX2.

Theorem 2 *The algorithm APPROX2 is an $O(nm \log n)$ -time $(k+2)/3$ -approximation for the kPP problem, where $k \geq 7$.*

PROOF. The time complexity of our algorithm APPROX2 is dominated by the computation of the maximum path-cycle cover \mathcal{C} in $O(nm \log n)$ time [4], and the maximum weight path-cycle cover M which is done in $O(nm \log n)$ time by Lemma 1.

To analyze the approximation ratio, let \mathcal{Q} be the k -path partition returned by our algorithm. We have

- $|E(\mathcal{C})| \geq |E(\mathcal{Q}^*)|$, obviously;
- $|E(\mathcal{Q})| \geq |\mathcal{I}| + \frac{2}{3}(|E(\mathcal{C})| - 2|\mathcal{I}|) = \frac{2}{3}|E(\mathcal{C})| - \frac{1}{3}|\mathcal{I}| \geq \frac{2}{3}|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)| - \frac{1}{3}|\mathcal{I}|$ by Lemmas 3–5;
- $|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)| \leq \frac{k-1}{k} \cdot (n - 2|\mathcal{I}|) + |\mathcal{I}| = \frac{k-1}{k}n - \frac{k-2}{k}|\mathcal{I}|$ by Lemma 2;
- $|\mathcal{Q}| = n - |E(\mathcal{Q})|$ and $|\mathcal{Q}^*| = n - |E(\mathcal{Q}^*)|$.

The approximation ratio is $\frac{|\mathcal{Q}|}{|\mathcal{Q}^*|} = \frac{n-|E(\mathcal{Q})|}{n-|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)|} \leq \frac{n-\frac{2}{3}|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)|+\frac{1}{3}|\mathcal{I}|}{n-|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)|}$. One can verify that the last fraction is an increasing function in $|E(\mathcal{Q}^*)|$. Therefore,

$$\frac{|\mathcal{Q}|}{|\mathcal{Q}^*|} \leq \frac{n - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{k-1}{k}n - \frac{k-2}{k}|\mathcal{I}| \right) + \frac{1}{3}|\mathcal{I}|}{n - \left(\frac{k-1}{k}n - \frac{k-2}{k}|\mathcal{I}| \right)} = \frac{(k+2)n + (3k-4)|\mathcal{I}|}{3n + (3k-6)|\mathcal{I}|} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{k+2}{3}, \frac{3k-4}{3k-6} \right\} = \frac{k+2}{3},$$

where the last equality is due to $k \geq 7$. That is, our algorithm APPROX2 is a $\frac{k+2}{3}$ -approximation for the k PP problem where $k \geq 7$. \square

2.3 A 13/9-approximation for 3PP

In this section, we present another kind of alternating and augmenting paths to reduce the number of 2-paths in a 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} . This time, the edges on the 2-paths of \mathcal{Q} are *matched* edges and the edges outside of \mathcal{Q} are *free edges*. (The edges on the 3-paths of \mathcal{Q} are *irrelevant*.) An augmenting path starts with a matched edge then a free edge, which form a 3-path, alternating matched and free edges, and lastly ends with a matched edge. The intention is to convert three 2-paths of \mathcal{Q} into two 3-paths, and thus the augmenting path should contain at least three distinct matched edges. Formally, the following constraints must be satisfied:

- 1) An augmenting path starts with a matched edge, alternating free and matched edges, ends with a matched edge, and contains at least three distinct matched edges;
- 2) only the first and the last matched edges can be included twice (Figure 8b), and if they are the same edge, then this edge is included exactly three times (Figure 8c);
- 3) the first matched edge and the first free edge form a 3-path in the graph G (Figure 8a);
- 4) if the first matched edge is included twice, then the first free edge and its adjacent free edge on the augmenting path form a 3-path (Figure 8b);
- 5) if the last matched edge is not included twice, then it and the last free edge form a 3-path (Figure 8a);
- 6) if the last matched edge is included twice, then the last free edge and its adjacent free edge on the augmenting path form a 3-path (Figure 8b).

Upon an augmenting path, an analogous symmetric difference adds its free edges to while removes its internal matched edges from \mathcal{Q} . This way, the collection of ℓ 2-paths of \mathcal{Q} is transferred into $(\ell - 3)$ 2-paths and two 3-paths on the same set of vertices, here ℓ denotes the number of distinct matched edges on the augmenting path. We point out that, if the first/last matched edge is included twice, then it is removed during the symmetric difference since one copy is internal on the augmenting path. One sees that the net effect is to transfer three 2-paths into two 3-paths, thus reducing the size of the path partition by 1. Also, during such processes, no singletons or existing 3-paths of \mathcal{Q} are touched. We initialize the 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} to be the solution produced by the algorithm APPROX1.

To find an augmenting path, we define below the alternating paths, each of which starts with a matched edge and then a free edge such that these two edges form a 3-path in the graph G




Figure 8: Local configurations of an augmenting path: (a) The first matched edge (v_0, v_1) and the first free edge (v_1, v_2) form a 3-path $v_0-v_1-v_2$ in the graph G ; symmetrically, if the last matched edge is not included twice, then it and the last free edge form a 3-path. (b) The first matched edge (v_0, v_1) is included twice; the first free edge (v_1, v_2) and its adjacent free edge (v_i, v_1) on the augmenting path form a 3-path $v_i-v_1-v_2$. (c) The first and the last matched edges are the same edge (v_0, v_1) ; the first free edge (v_1, v_2) and its adjacent free edge (v_i, v_1) on the augmenting path form a 3-path $v_i-v_1-v_2$, and the last free edge $(v_{2\ell+1}, v_0)$ and its adjacent free edge (v_0, v_{i+3}) on the augmenting path form a 3-path $v_{2\ell+1}-v_0-v_{i+3}$.

(i.e., satisfying Constraint #3). Consider w.l.o.g. a matched edge (v_0, v_1) followed by a free edge (v_1, v_2) , see Figure 8 for an illustration. If the vertex v_2 is not incident with a matched edge, then the alternating path is not extendable; or otherwise the unique matched edge incident at v_2 extends the alternating path. Note that there is no direction requirement on the second matched edge and we w.l.o.g. assume it is (v_2, v_3) . Next, similarly, if the vertex v_3 is not incident with any free edge, then the alternating path is not extendable; or otherwise a free edge incident at v_3 extends the alternating path and the extending process goes on. We remark that v_2 can collide into v_0 , and if so (i.e., (v_1, v_0) is a free edge), then the edge (v_0, v_1) is included the second time into the alternating path and the two free edges incident at the vertex v_1 (either added already or to be added next) must form a 3-path in the graph G , that is, Constraint #4 must be satisfied for the extending process to go on. Indeed, during the extending process, whenever a matched edge e is included, Constraint #2 is checked and there are three possible cases:

Case 1. e appears the first time on the alternating path. Then Constraints #1, #5 are checked:

- 1.1. If both satisfied, then an augmenting path is achieved;
- 1.2. otherwise the extending process goes on.

Case 2. e appears the second time on the alternating path.

- 2.1. If e is the same as the first matched edge and Constraint #4 is or can be satisfied, then the extending process goes on (and use a free edge to satisfy Constraint #4, if necessary);
- 2.2. if e is not the same as the first matched edge and Constraint #6 is satisfied, then an augmenting path is achieved;
- 2.3. otherwise the extending process terminates.

Case 3. e appears the third time on the alternating path. Then Constraints #4, #6 are checked:

- 3.1. If both satisfied, then an augmenting path is achieved;
- 3.2. otherwise the extending process terminates.

In the above, by “the extending process goes on” we mean to use a free edge incident at the last vertex to extend the alternating path, with an additional consideration in Case 2.1 where such an edge might have to satisfy Constraint #4. And then the matched edge incident at the last vertex extends the alternating path. At the non-existence of such a free edge or such a matched edge, the alternating path is not extendable. By “the extending process terminates”, we mean that the alternating path does not lead to an augmenting path and thus the process is early terminated.

Lemma 6 *Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} with the minimum number of singletons, determining whether or not there exists an augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} , and if so finding one such path, can be done in $O(nm)$ time.*

PROOF. A single BFS traversal is sufficient to explore all alternating paths starting with a specific matched edge. Note that there are at most $O(n)$ matched edges. \square

Lemma 7 *Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and a 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} with the minimum number of singletons, if there exists an augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} , then \mathcal{Q} can be transferred into another 3-path partition with the same number of singletons, three less 2-paths, and two more 3-paths in $O(n)$ time.*

PROOF. Let P denote the augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} , and e denote its starting matched edge and e' denote its ending matched edge. Assume P contains in total ℓ matched edges. If all these ℓ edges are distinct, then the symmetric difference adds the $\ell - 1$ free edges to while removes the $\ell - 2$ internal matched edges from \mathcal{Q} , resulting in two new 3-paths and $\ell - 3$ new 2-paths. That is, the achieved 3-path partition contains two more 3-paths and three less 2-paths.

If $e \neq e'$ and exactly one of them is included twice, then P contains $\ell - 1$ distinct matched edges and the symmetric difference adds the $\ell - 1$ free edges to while removes the $\ell - 2$ distinct matched edges from \mathcal{Q} , resulting in two new 3-paths and $\ell - 4$ new 2-paths. That is, the achieved 3-path partition contains two more 3-paths and three less 2-paths.

If $e \neq e'$ and both of them are included twice, or if $e = e'$, then P contains $\ell - 2$ distinct matched edges and the symmetric difference adds the $\ell - 1$ free edges to while removes all its matched edges from \mathcal{Q} , resulting in two new 3-paths and $\ell - 5$ new 2-paths. That is, the achieved 3-path partition contains two more 3-paths and three less 2-paths.

One clearly sees that the transferring process takes $O(n)$ time by walking through the augmenting path P once. \square

Our algorithm APPROX3 starts with the 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} returned by the algorithm APPROX1 for the input directed graph $G = (V, E)$. Recall that \mathcal{Q} contains the minimum number of singletons. The same as APPROX1, the algorithm APPROX3 is iterative too, and in each iteration it tries to find an augmenting path starting with a matched edge with respect to the current 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} , and uses it to update \mathcal{Q} to have the same number of singletons, three less 2-paths, and two more 3-paths. To this purpose, the algorithm explores all the alternating paths starting with a matched

edge, and the iteration terminates at any time when an augmenting path is found, followed by updating the 3-path partition. If in an iteration no augmenting path is found for any matched edge, then the algorithm APPROX3 terminates and returns the achieved 3-path partition as the solution. From Lemmas 6 and 7, the overall running time is $O(n^2m)$. A high level description of the algorithm is depicted in Figure 9.




Figure 9: A high level description of the algorithm APPROX3.

Theorem 3 *The algorithm APPROX3 is an $O(n^2m)$ -time 13/9-approximation for the 3PP problem on directed graphs.*

PROOF. The running time of the algorithm is obvious from Theorem 1 and Lemmas 6 and 7.

Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, note that the algorithm APPROX3 starts with the 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} of G computed by the algorithm APPROX1 and we have proved inside Theorem 1 that \mathcal{Q} contains the minimum number of singletons among all 3-path partitions. Later improvements via augmenting paths do not touch any existing singleton or generate any new singleton, and therefore the final 3-path partition, still denoted as \mathcal{Q} , contains the minimum number of singletons too.

Let \mathcal{Q}^* denote an optimal 3-path partition that minimizes the number of paths. Also, let \mathcal{Q}_i^* (\mathcal{Q}_i , respectively) denote the sub-collection of all the i -paths of \mathcal{Q}^* (\mathcal{Q} , respectively), for $i = 1, 2, 3$. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{Q}_1| + 2|\mathcal{Q}_2| + 3|\mathcal{Q}_3| = n = |\mathcal{Q}_1^*| + 2|\mathcal{Q}_2^*| + 3|\mathcal{Q}_3^*| \text{ and } |\mathcal{Q}_1| \leq |\mathcal{Q}_1^*|.$$

Below we do a counting to prove that $|\mathcal{Q}_2| \leq |\mathcal{Q}_1^*| + 2|\mathcal{Q}_2^*| + 4|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3$. Adding these three (in-)equalities together gives us

$$3|\mathcal{Q}_1| + 3|\mathcal{Q}_2| + 3|\mathcal{Q}_3| \leq 3|\mathcal{Q}_1^*| + 4|\mathcal{Q}_2^*| + 13|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3,$$

that is, $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq 13|\mathcal{Q}^*|/9$, and thus the theorem is proved.

Firstly, a singleton of \mathcal{Q}_1^* is incident with at most one edge of \mathcal{Q}_2 . Equivalently speaking, the number of edges of \mathcal{Q}_2 that are incident at the singletons of \mathcal{Q}_1^* is at most $|\mathcal{Q}_1^*|$. Similarly, the number of edges of \mathcal{Q}_2 that are incident at the 2-paths of \mathcal{Q}_2^* is at most $2|\mathcal{Q}_2^*|$. Each of the other

edges of \mathcal{Q}_2 has both its vertices on the 3-paths of \mathcal{Q}_3^* , and they are the matched edges with respect to the final 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} . Every edge of \mathcal{Q}_3^* is free unless it is a matched edge. We want to count the number of matched edges, using the fact that there is no augmenting path in the subgraph of G induced by the above defined matched and free edges, i.e., $E(\mathcal{Q}_2) \cup E(\mathcal{Q}_3^*)$.

In the following, for each matched edge incident at the mid-vertex of a 3-path of \mathcal{Q}_3^* , we define an alternating path starting with it.

Assume there is a 3-path $P_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_3^*$ of which the mid-vertex is incident with a matched edge denoted as e_1^2 (the other two matched edges incident at the vertices of P_1 , if any, are denoted as e_1^1 and e_1^3 , respectively; see Figure 10 for an illustration). The edge e_1^2 and exactly one of the two free edges on P_1 , denoted as f_1^1 , form a 3-path in the graph G , and consequently they can start an alternating path denoted as \mathcal{P} . Either \mathcal{P} is not extendable, or assume w.l.o.g. the matched edge e_1^1 extends \mathcal{P} and e_1^1 is incident at a vertex of another 3-path $P_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_3^*$ distinct from P_1 . One sees that if e_1^1 is incident at an end-vertex of P_2 , then exactly one free edge of P_2 , denoted as f_2^1 , extends \mathcal{P} (Figure 10a); if e_1^1 is incident at the mid-vertex of P_2 , then below we will choose exactly one of the two free edges of P_2 to extend \mathcal{P} (Figure 10b).




Figure 10: Defining alternating paths: \mathcal{P} starts with the matched edge incident at the mid-vertex of a 3-path $P_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_3^*$. (a) The second matched edge e_1^1 of \mathcal{P} is incident at an end-vertex of another 3-path $P_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_3^*$, then the free edge on P_2 adjacent to e_1^1 , which is f_2^1 , extends \mathcal{P} . (b) The second matched edge e_1^1 of \mathcal{P} is incident at the mid-vertex of another 3-path $P_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_3^*$, then the free edge on P_2 that does not form together with e_1^1 a 3-path in the graph G , which is f_2^2 , extends \mathcal{P} .

In the former case, either \mathcal{P} is not extendable, or there is a matched edge incident at the mid-vertex of P_2 , denoted as e_2^2 , which extends \mathcal{P} (Figure 10a). Denote the other free edge on P_2 as f_2^2 . We claim that there is no alternating path \mathcal{P}' which includes f_2^2 and then includes e_2^2 . The reason is that one of f_2^1 and f_2^2 forms together with e_2^2 into a 3-path in the graph G . If e_2^2 is included the first time in \mathcal{P} and the first time in \mathcal{P}' , then one of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' is an augmenting path, which is a contradiction. If e_2^2 is included the second time in at least one of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' , then the concatenation of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' is an augmenting path, which is a contradiction too.

In the latter case, e_1^1 and one of the two free edges on P_2 , denoted as f_2^1 , form a 3-path in the graph G (Figure 10b). Then the other free edge on P_2 , denoted as f_2^2 , extends \mathcal{P} .

Note that in both cases, the matched edge incident at the mid-vertex of P_2 and the other free edge on P_2 not included in \mathcal{P} start another alternating path. Nevertheless, in summary, we have ensured that no two alternating paths share any common free edge. Due to the non-existence of an augmenting path, every alternating path ends with a free edge on some 3-path of \mathcal{Q}_3^* , such that this free edge is adjacent to only one matched edge (otherwise the alternating path can be further

extended). That is, at most two vertices of this 3-path of \mathcal{Q}_3^* are incident with a matched edge each. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*$ denote the sub-collection of \mathcal{Q}_3^* , each vertex on a 3-path of which is incident with a matched edge. Since each 3-path of $\mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*$ starts an alternating path but ends no alternating path, and each 3-path of $\mathcal{Q}_3^* \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*$ ends at most two alternating paths, we have

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*| \leq 2(|\mathcal{Q}_3^*| - |\mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*|),$$

or equivalently

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*| \leq 2|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3.$$

Therefore, the total number of matched edges incident at the 3-paths of \mathcal{Q}_3^* is at most $(3 \times 2|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3 + 2 \times |\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3)/2 = 4|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3$.

Using the three estimates on the matched edges together, we have proved that the total number of matched edges is at most $|\mathcal{Q}_1^*| + 2|\mathcal{Q}_2^*| + 4|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

2.3.1 A tight instance for APPROX3

The tight instance for the 13/9-approximation algorithm for 3PP on undirected graphs in [2] can be modified to show the tightness of APPROX3.




Figure 11: A tight instance of 27 vertices, in which the 3-path partition \mathcal{Q} produced by the algorithm APPROX3 contains twelve 2-paths and one 3-path (solid edges) and an optimal 3-path partition \mathcal{Q}^* contains nine 3-paths (dashed edges). The six edges (u_0, u_1) , (u_7, u_8) , (v_0, v_1) , (v_7, v_8) , (w_0, w_1) , (w_7, w_8) are in \mathcal{Q}_2 and \mathcal{Q}_3^* , shown in both solid and dashed (they are drawn overlapping). Note that the two edges (u_4, v_4) , (v_4, w_4) of \mathcal{Q}_3 are irrelevant edges, and there is no augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} . One sees that $|\mathcal{Q}_{3,3}^*| = 6 = 2|\mathcal{Q}_3^*|/3$, suggesting the performance analysis for the algorithm APPROX3 is tight.

3 Conclusions

We studied the k PP problem on directed graphs, which seemingly escaped from the literature. We proposed a novel concept of augmenting path to design a first $k/2$ -approximation algorithm for the problem, which is iterative and in each iteration it seeks to reduce the number of singletons until impossible. When $k \geq 7$, we were able to design an improved $(k+2)/3$ -approximation algorithm, starting with the maximum path-cycle cover in the graph to carefully eliminate the

2-cycles. Certainly, this is also a $(k + 2)/3$ -approximation algorithm for the special case where the given graph is undirected, improving the previously best approximation ratio of $k/2$ [1].

When $k = 3$, we defined the second kind of alternating and augmenting paths to reduce the number of 2-paths and presented an improved $13/9$ -approximation algorithm.

See Table 1 for the summarized approximation results as of today. Designing better approximation algorithms for k PP, in any listed case, is certainly interesting, in particular, for 3PP on directed graphs. Above all, an $o(k)$ -approximation algorithm for k PP would be exciting.

On the other hand, when k is part of the input, the k -path partition problem is APX-hard and can not be approximated within ratio 2. It would be interesting to know whether the k PP problem is APX-hard, for any fixed $k \geq 3$, and to see some non-trivial lower bounds on the approximation ratios.

Declarations

Data availability. Not applicable

Interests. The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] Y. Chen, R. Goebel, G. Lin, L. Liu, B. Su, W. Tong, Y. Xu, and A. Zhang. A local search $4/3$ -approximation algorithm for the minimum 3-path partition problem. In *Proceedings of FAW 2019*, LNCS 11458, pages 14–25, 2019.
- [2] Y. Chen, R. Goebel, G. Lin, B. Su, Y. Xu, and A. Zhang. An improved approximation algorithm for the minimum 3-path partition problem. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 38:150–164, 2019.
- [3] Y. Chen, R. Goebel, B. Su, W. Tong, Y. Xu, and A. Zhang. A $21/16$ -approximation for the minimum 3-path partition problem. In *Proceedings of ISAAC 2019*, LIPIcs 149, pages 46:1–46:20, 2019.
- [4] H. N. Gabow. An efficient reduction technique for degree-constrained subgraph and bidirected network flow problems. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'83)*, pages 448–456, 1983.
- [5] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1979.
- [6] A. V. Goldberg and A. V. Karzanov. Maximum skew-symmetric flows and matchings. *Mathematical Programming*, 100:537–568, 2004.

- [7] R. M. Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In *Proceedings of a Symposium on the Complexity of Computer Computations*. Springer, Boston, 1972.
- [8] N. Korpelainen. A boundary class for the k -path partition problem. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 2018.
- [9] J. Monnot and S. Toulouse. The path partition problem and related problems in bipartite graphs. *Operations Research Letters*, 35:677–684, 2007.
- [10] G. Steiner. On the k -th path partition problem in cographs. *Congressus Numerantium*, pages 89–96, 2000.
- [11] G. Steiner. On the k -path partition of graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 290:2147–2155, 2003.
- [12] J.-H. Yan, G. J. Chang, S. M. Hedetniemi, and S. T. Hedetniemi. k -path partitions in trees. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 78:227–233, 1997.

A A detailed analysis for the first $k/2$ -approximation for k PP

The performance analysis of the algorithm APPROX1 for the k PP problem on directed graphs can be regarded as a generalization of the undirected counterpart, yet nontrivial. The design of the algorithm is presented in Subsection 2.1; Lemmas 8 and 9, and the proof of Theorem 1 are include here.

Lemma 8 *Given a k -path partition \mathcal{Q} in the directed graph $G = (V, E)$, determining whether or not there exists an augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} , and if so finding one such path, can be done in $O(m)$ time.*

PROOF. A single BFS traversal is sufficient since when two alternating paths starting with two distinct singletons meet, they share everything from that point on and no re-exploration is necessary. \square

Lemma 9 *Given a k -path partition \mathcal{Q} in the directed graph $G = (V, E)$, if there exists an augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} , then \mathcal{Q} can be transferred into another k -path partition with at least one less singleton in $O(n)$ time.*

PROOF. Let P denote the augmenting path with respect to \mathcal{Q} , and s denote its starting vertex which is a singleton. Let (u, v) denote the free edge which ends P .

The prefix of P from s to u , denoted as $P(s, u)$, is an even length alternating path. Replacing the matched edges of \mathcal{Q} on $P(s, u)$ by the free edges on $P(s, u)$ transfers \mathcal{Q} into another k -path partition \mathcal{Q}' with exactly the same number of i -paths, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and additionally, in \mathcal{Q}' the vertex u becomes a singleton and, without loss of generality, v is the j -th vertex v_j , where $j \neq 2$, on an ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ of \mathcal{Q}' , see Figure 1a for illustrations.

It follows that, when $\ell \leq 2$, $j = 1$ and adding the free edge (u, v_1) to \mathcal{Q}' merges u and the ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ into an $(\ell + 1)$ -path (see Figure 1a for an illustration). When $\ell \geq 3$ and $j = 1$, adding the free edge (u, v_1) to while removing the edge (v_1, v_2) from \mathcal{Q}' transfers the singleton u and the ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ into a 2-path $u-v_1$ and an $(\ell - 1)$ -path $v_2-\dots-v_\ell$. When $\ell \geq 3$ and $j \geq 3$, adding the free edge (u, v_j) to while removing the edge (v_{j-1}, v_j) from \mathcal{Q}' transfers the singleton u and the ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ into a $(j - 1)$ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_{j-1}$ and an $(\ell - j + 2)$ -path $u-v_j-\dots-v_\ell$. Either way, the resulting k -path partition contains at least one less singleton. One clearly sees that the transferring process takes $O(n)$ time by walking through the augmenting path P once. \square

PROOF. (of Theorem 1) We first show that the k -path partition \mathcal{Q} returned by the algorithm APPROX1 achieves the minimum number of singletons among all k -path partitions. The proof is done by constructing a mapping from the singletons in \mathcal{Q} to the singletons of any other k -path partition \mathcal{Q}' , using the alternating paths. First, if s is a singleton in both \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}' , then s is mapped to s . Below we consider s being a singleton in \mathcal{Q} but not in \mathcal{Q}' . We assume w.l.o.g. that the edge of \mathcal{Q}' incident at s leaves s , that is, (s, v) . So (s, v) is a free edge with respect to \mathcal{Q} .

Due to the non-existence of an augmenting path, we conclude that v is the second vertex v_2 of an ℓ -path $v_1-v_2-\dots-v_\ell$ of \mathcal{Q} (see Figure 1b for an illustration). Since the free edge (s, v_2) is in \mathcal{Q}' , the matched edge (v_1, v_2) cannot be in \mathcal{Q}' and it can be “discovered” only by the free edge (s, v_2) of \mathcal{Q}' .

We distinguish two cases: In Case 1, v_1 is a singleton in \mathcal{Q}' . Then, adding the free edge (s, v_2) to and removing the matched edge (v_1, v_2) from \mathcal{Q} will transfer \mathcal{Q} into another k -path partition in which s is no longer a singleton but v_1 becomes a singleton. In this sense, we say that the alternating path *maps* the singleton s of \mathcal{Q} to the singleton v_1 of \mathcal{Q}' .

In Case 2, v_1 is not a singleton in \mathcal{Q}' . The edge of \mathcal{Q}' incident at v_1 , either entering or leaving v_1 , is a free edge with respect to \mathcal{Q} . We assume w.l.o.g. that this edge leaves v_1 , that is, (v_1, w) . One sees that v_1 takes up the same role as the singleton s in the above argument, and again by the algorithm w has to be the second vertex w_2 of some ℓ' -path $w_1-w_2-\dots-w_{\ell'}$ of \mathcal{Q} . Note that similarly the matched edge (w_1, w_2) cannot be in \mathcal{Q}' and it can be “discovered” only by the free edge (v_1, w_2) of \mathcal{Q}' . We then repeat the above discussion on w_1 , either to have an alternating path mapping the singleton s of \mathcal{Q} to the singleton w_1 of \mathcal{Q}' , or to use the free edge in \mathcal{Q}' that is incident at w_1 to extend the alternating path. (*Symmetrically, if the free edge of \mathcal{Q}' enters v_1 , that is, (w, v_1) , then w has to be the second last vertex $w_{\ell'-1}$ of some ℓ' -path $w_1-w_2-\dots-w_{\ell'}$ of \mathcal{Q} . Similarly the matched edge $(w_{\ell'-1}, w_{\ell'})$ cannot be in \mathcal{Q}' and it can be “discovered” only by the free edge $(w_{\ell'-1}, v_1)$ of \mathcal{Q}' . Consequently, we either have an alternating path mapping the singleton s of \mathcal{Q} to the singleton $w_{\ell'}$ of \mathcal{Q}' , or use the free edge in \mathcal{Q}' that is incident at $w_{\ell'}$ to extend the alternating path. See Figure 1d for an illustration.*) Due to the finite order of the graph G , at the end we will have an alternating path mapping the singleton s of \mathcal{Q} to a singleton of \mathcal{Q}' .

Using the fact that there is at most one edge of \mathcal{Q} (\mathcal{Q}' , respectively) leaving each vertex and at most one edge of \mathcal{Q} (\mathcal{Q}' , respectively) entering each vertex, a singleton of \mathcal{Q}' is not mapped by multiple singletons of \mathcal{Q} . Since every singleton of \mathcal{Q} is mapped to a singleton of \mathcal{Q}' , we conclude that the number of singletons in \mathcal{Q} is no greater than the number of singletons in \mathcal{Q}' .

Let \mathcal{Q}^* denote an optimal k -path partition that minimizes the number of paths. Also, let \mathcal{Q}_i^* (\mathcal{Q}_i , respectively) denote the sub-collection of all the i -paths of \mathcal{Q}^* (\mathcal{Q} , respectively), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i|\mathcal{Q}_i| = n = \sum_{i=1}^k i|\mathcal{Q}_i^*| \text{ and } |\mathcal{Q}_1| \leq |\mathcal{Q}_1^*|.$$

Adding them together we have

$$2|\mathcal{Q}_1| + 2|\mathcal{Q}_2| + 3|\mathcal{Q}_3| + \dots + k|\mathcal{Q}_k| \leq 2|\mathcal{Q}_1^*| + 2|\mathcal{Q}_2^*| + 3|\mathcal{Q}_3^*| + \dots + k|\mathcal{Q}_k^*|,$$

which leads to $2|\mathcal{Q}| \leq k|\mathcal{Q}^*|$ and thus proves the theorem. \square