

A Survey on Low-Resource Neural Machine Translation

Rui Wang, Xu Tan, Renqian Luo, Tao Qin and Tie-Yan Liu

Microsoft Research Asia

{ruiwa, xuta, t-reluo, taoqin, tyliu}@microsoft.com

Abstract

Neural approaches have achieved state-of-the-art accuracy on machine translation but suffer from the high cost of collecting large scale parallel data. Thus, a lot of research has been conducted for neural machine translation (NMT) with very limited parallel data, i.e., the low-resource setting. In this paper, we provide a survey for low-resource NMT and classify related works into three categories according to the auxiliary data they used: (1) exploiting monolingual data of source and/or target languages, (2) exploiting data from auxiliary languages, and (3) exploiting multi-modal data. We hope that our survey can help researchers to better understand this field and inspire them to design better algorithms, and help industry practitioners to choose appropriate algorithms for their applications.

1 Introduction

Machine translation (MT) automatically translates from one language to another without human labor, which brings convenience and significantly reduces the labor cost in international exchange and cooperation. Powered by deep learning, neural machine translation (NMT) [Bahdanau *et al.*, 2015; Vaswani *et al.*, 2017] has become the dominant approach for machine translation. Compared to conventional rule-based approaches and statistical machine translation (SMT), NMT enjoys two main advantages. First, it does not require professional human knowledge and design on translation perspective (e.g., grammatical rules). Second, neural network can better capture the contextual information in the entire sentence, and thus conduct high quality and fluent translations.

One limitation of NMT is that it needs large scale of parallel data for model training. While there are thousands of languages in the world¹, major popular commercial translators (e.g., Google translator, Microsoft translator, Amazon translator) only support tens or a hundred languages because of the lack of large-scale parallel training data for most languages. To handle those languages with limited parallel data, many algorithms have been designed for low-resource NMT

¹<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Language>




Figure 1: NMT model

in recent years. Therefore, a review on low-resource NMT is very helpful for fresh researchers entering this area and industry practitioners. Although there already exists surveys on many aspects of NMT (e.g., domain adaptation [Chu and Wang, 2018], multilingual translation [Dabre *et al.*, 2020]), a comprehensive survey for low-resource NMT is still missing. Therefore, in this paper, we conduct a comprehensive and well-structured survey on low-resource NMT to fill in this blank.

NMT basics. An NMT model θ translates a sentence \mathbf{x} in the source language to a sentence \mathbf{y} in the target language. With a parallel training corpus \mathcal{C} , the model θ is trained by minimizing the negative log-likelihood loss:

$$L_{\theta} = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}} -\log p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}; \theta). \quad (1)$$

As shown in Fig. 1, NMT models are commonly autoregressive and generate the target sentence from left to right. Considering that \mathbf{y} contains m words, the conditional probability $p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}; \theta)$ can be written as:

$$p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}; \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^m p(y_t | \mathbf{y}_{<t}, \mathbf{x}; \theta). \quad (2)$$

The encoder-decoder framework is widely used in NMT, where the encoder converts the source sentence into a sequence of hidden representations and the decoder generates target words conditioned on the source hidden representations

and previously generated target words. The encoder and decoder can be recurrent neural networks [Dong *et al.*, 2015], convolutional neural networks [Gehring *et al.*, 2017], and Transformer [Vaswani *et al.*, 2017]. In the inference stage, beam search is usually used to generate the target sentence based on the decoder output.

Organization of this survey. Due to the lack of parallel sentence pairs, leveraging data other than parallel sentences is essential in low-resource NMT. In this paper, as shown in Fig. 2, we categorize existing algorithms on low-resource NMT into three categories according to the data they use to help a low-resource language pair:

- *Monolingual data.* Leveraging unlabeled data to boost machine learning models is a popular and effective approach in various areas. Similarly, in NMT, leveraging unlabeled monolingual data attracts lots of attentions (see Section 2) since collecting monolingual data is much easier and of lower cost than parallel data.
- *Data from auxiliary languages.* Languages with similar syntax and/or semantics are helpful to each other when training NMT models. Leveraging data from related and/or rich-resource languages has shown great success in low-resource NMT (see Section 3).
- *Multi-modal data.* Multi-modal data (e.g., parallel data between text and image) has also been used in low-resource NMT, as reviewed in Section 4.

In addition to reviewing algorithms, we also summarize widely used data corpora for low-resource NMT in Section 5. We conclude this survey and discuss future research directions in the last section.

2 Exploiting Monolingual Data

Monolingual data contains a wealth of linguistic information (e.g., grammar and contextual information) and is more abundant and easier to obtain than bilingual parallel data, which is useful to improve the translation quality especially in low-resource scenario. Plenty of works have exploited monolingual data in NMT systems, which we categorize into several aspects: (1) back translation, which is a simple and promising approach to take advantage of the target-side monolingual data [Sennrich *et al.*, 2016], (2) forward translation also called knowledge distillation, which utilizes the source-side monolingual data [Jiajun and Chengqing, 2016], (3) joint training on both translation directions, which can take advantage of the monolingual data on both the source and target sides [He *et al.*, 2016; Hoang *et al.*, 2018; Niu *et al.*, 2018; Zheng *et al.*, 2020], (4) unsupervised NMT, which builds NMT models with only monolingual data, and can be applied to the language pairs without any parallel data [Lample *et al.*, 2018a; Artetxe *et al.*, 2018b], (5) pre-training, which leverages monolingual data with self-supervised training for language understanding and generation, and thus improves the quality of NMT models [Conneau and Lample, 2019; Song *et al.*, 2019; Lewis *et al.*, 2019], (6) comparable monolingual corpus, which contains implicit parallel information and can improve the translation quality [Wu *et al.*, 2019a],

and (7) enhancing with bilingual dictionary, where the bilingual dictionary is used together with monolingual data to enhance the translation on low-resource languages. In this section, we provide an overview of these methods on exploiting monolingual data in NMT.

2.1 Back & Forward Translation

In back translation, pseudo parallel sentence pairs are generated by translating the target-side monolingual sentences to the source language via a translation system in the reverse direction [Sennrich *et al.*, 2016], while in forward translation, pseudo parallel sentence pairs are generated by translating the source-side monolingual sentences to the target language via a translation system in the same direction [Jiajun and Chengqing, 2016]. Then, the pseudo parallel data is mixed with the original parallel data to train an NMT model. It has been shown that back and forward translation provides promising performance gain on NMT systems [Sennrich *et al.*, 2016; Jiajun and Chengqing, 2016; Poncelas *et al.*,].

Besides the typically used beam search [Sennrich *et al.*, 2016; Jiajun and Chengqing, 2016], there are also some other methods to generate the pseudo parallel data: (1) random sampling according to the output probability distribution [Imamura *et al.*, 2018], (2) adding noise to source sentences generated by beam search [Edunov *et al.*, 2018], and (3) prepending a tag to the source sentences generated by beam search [Caswell *et al.*, 2019]. It is observed that random sampling and adding noise only works well on high resource setting compared to standard beam search [Edunov *et al.*, 2018], while prepending a tag performs the best on both high and low resource settings [Caswell *et al.*, 2019]. In addition, a mixed pseudo parallel data generated by BT and copying the target monolingual sentences as the source sentences can further improve the translation quality on low-resource languages [Currey *et al.*, 2017].

2.2 Joint Training on Both Translation Directions

Considering that both the source and target sides monolingual data has valuable information, some works leverage both of them via joint training on the two translation directions. Dual learning [He *et al.*, 2016; Qin, 2020] simultaneously improves the two models on both translation directions by aligning the original monolingual sentences x and the sentences x' translated forward and then backward ($x \rightarrow y' \rightarrow x'$) by the two models. Wang *et al.* [2019b] further improve dual learning by introducing multi-agent for both translation directions. Intuitively, a better reverse translation model leads to better back-translation sentences, and thus leading to a better NMT system. Iterative back translation [Hoang *et al.*, 2018] simultaneously trains the NMT models on both translation directions and iteratively updates the back-translated corpus via the updated better NMT models. Bi-directional NMT [Niu *et al.*, 2018] trains both the translation directions in the same model with a tag indicating the direction at the beginning of source sentences, and then leverages both source-side and target-side monolingual data by back and forward translation. Mirror-generative NMT [Zheng *et al.*, 2020] jointly trains the




Figure 2: Overview

translation models on both directions and the language models for both source and target languages with a shared latent variable.

2.3 Unsupervised NMT

To deal with the zero-resource translation scenario without any parallel sentences, a common approach is unsupervised learning for NMT [Lample *et al.*, 2018a; Artetxe *et al.*, 2018b], which typically relies on two components to ensure the learning efficiency and quality: (1) bilingual alignment, which enables the model with good alignments between the two languages, and (2) translation improvement, which gradually improves the translation quality by iterative learning, typically through back translation [Sennrich *et al.*, 2016; Hoang *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2018].

Bilingual alignment. How to initially align between the two languages is an open problem. There are mainly four kinds of approaches: (1) bilingual word embedding [Mikolov *et al.*, 2013; Artetxe *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017a; Bojanowski *et al.*, 2017; Lample *et al.*, 2018b], where the NMT system can either start from a word-by-word translation derived from the bilingual word embedding [Lample *et al.*, 2018a] or initialize the embedding parameters according to bilingual word embedding [Artetxe *et al.*, 2018b; Yang *et al.*, 2018], (2) denoising auto-encoder (DAE) [Vincent *et al.*, 2008], which can build a shared latent space of two languages by learning to reconstruct sentences in the two languages from a noised version [Lample *et al.*, 2018a; Artetxe *et al.*, 2018b; Yang *et al.*, 2018], (3) unsupervised statistical machine translation (SMT), where an initial alignment can be obtained through the back-translated corpora generated by an unsupervised SMT system [Marie and Fujita, 2018; Artetxe *et al.*, 2019], and (4) language model pre-training [Lample *et al.*, 2018c; Song *et al.*, 2019; Conneau and Lample, 2019; Ren *et al.*, 2019a], which is discussed in detail in Section 2.4.

Translation improvement. The translation quality need to be further improved based on the initial alignment, where iterative back translation is commonly used [Lample *et al.*,

2018a; Lample *et al.*, 2018c; Song *et al.*, 2019]. Some works study on improving the iterative back translation process in unsupervised NMT. Filtering out low-quality pseudo parallel sentence pairs is one strait-forward and effective method [Khatri and Bhattacharyya, 2020]. Sun *et al.*; Sun *et al.* [2019; 2020b] propose to add a term in the training objective to avoid forgetting the alignment from bilingual word embedding during the interactively training. Moreover, unsupervised SMT can also be utilized to boost the iterative back translation. One approach is to first construct pseudo parallel data by leveraging both the unsupervised SMT and NMT systems for back translation and then train the NMT models with the pseudo parallel data [Lample *et al.*, 2018c; Marie *et al.*, 2019; Ren *et al.*, 2020]. In addition, SMT can also act as a posterior regularization to denoise the pseudo parallel data generated by NMT systems [Ren *et al.*, 2019b].

2.4 Language model pre-training

Leveraging monolingual data to pre-train language models is effective for many language understanding and generation tasks [Devlin *et al.*, 2018]. Since NMT requires the capability of both language understanding (e.g., NMT encoder) and generation (e.g., NMT decoder), pre-training language model can be very helpful for NMT, especially low-resource NMT. Previous works on language model pre-training for NMT can be divided into two categories depending on the encoder and decoder in NMT are pre-trained separately or jointly. We then review the works according to the two categories.

Separate pre-training. Some works pre-train the encoder or/and the decoder separately. Ramachandran *et al.* [2016] first separately initialize the encoder and decoder with language models proposed by Jozefowicz *et al.* [2016], and then fine-tune with supervised parallel data. XLM [Conneau and Lample, 2019] initialize the encoder and decoder with separate language models training by a combination of masked language modeling (MLM) [Devlin *et al.*, 2018], where some tokens in the text are masked and the model learns to predict the masked tokens, and translation language modeling




Figure 3: Overview of works exploiting monolingual data

(TLM), which extends MLM by concatenating parallel sentence pairs as the input sentences. Rothe *et al.* [2020] investigate to initialize the encoder and decoder with variant models, including BERT [Devlin *et al.*, 2018], GPT-2 [Radford *et al.*, 2018; Radford *et al.*, 2019], RoBERTa [Liu *et al.*, 2019] and random initialization. It is observed the best performance on English-Germany by a model with BERT-initialized encoder and randomly initialized decoder, or a model with shared encoder and decoder initialized with BERT. After initialing with separately pre-trained encoder and decoder, Varis and Bojar [2019] introduce Elastic Weight Consolidation [Kirkpatrick *et al.*, 2017] into fine-tuning in order to avoid forgetting the language models. Zhu *et al.* [2020] fuse the representations extracted by BERT to the encoder and decoder via attention mechanisms. A drawback of separately pre-training encoder and decoder is that it cannot well train the encoder-decoder-attention, which is very important in NMT to connect the source and target representations for translation. Therefore, some works propose to jointly pre-train the encoder, decoder and attention for better translation accuracy.

Joint pre-training. In order to simultaneously learn to understand the input sentences and improve the language generation capability, as well as jointly pre-train each component in NMT models (encoder, decoder and encoder-decoder-attention), MASS [Song *et al.*, 2019] proposes masked sequence to sequence learning that randomly masks a fragment (several consecutive tokens) in the input sentence of the

encoder, and predicts the masked fragment in the decoder. Later, BART [Lewis *et al.*, 2019] proposes to add noises and randomly mask some tokens in the input sentences in the encoder, and learn to reconstruct the original text in the decoder. T5 [Raffel *et al.*, 2020] randomly masks some tokens and replace the consecutive tokens with a single sentinel token.

2.5 Exploiting Comparable Corpus

Monolingual data of different languages that refer to the same entity (e.g., English and Chinese Wikipedia pages that describe the same object) can be regarded as comparable corpus, which is easier to be obtained compared to parallel data and contains implicit parallel information for NMT systems. The challenge is how to mine the parallel sentences from the comparable corpus and some approaches are proposed to solve this problem. LASER [Artetxe and Schwenk, 2019] is a toolkit based on cross-lingual sentence embeddings, which is a good choice to mine parallel data [Schwenk *et al.*, 2020; Schwenk *et al.*, 2019]. Wu *et al.* [2019a] propose to first extract potential aligned target sentences given a source sentence, and then make the target sentences better aligned with the source sentence by revising them via an editing mechanism. A self-supervised learning method is proposed in [Ruiter *et al.*, 2019], where finding semantically aligned sentences is considered as an auxiliary task for translation. Besides mining parallel sentence pairs, Wu *et al.* [2019b] take advantage of the aligned topic distribution for weakly paired documents, which is suitable for documents related to the

same event or entity but not implicitly aligned in sentences.

2.6 Enhancing With Bilingual Dictionary

The bilingual dictionary of a low-resource language pair can be collected either by human annotation or word embedding based alignment [Sennrich *et al.*, 2015; Conneau *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017b; Artetxe *et al.*, 2018a; Zhang *et al.*, 2017a], which is much easier to obtain than the bilingual parallel sentences. Since the bilingual dictionary contains only word-level information, it is usually used with monolingual data to improve the translation. Existing works utilizing the bilingual dictionary can be categorized into three ways. First, bilingual dictionary is used to improve the rare words translation. Zhang and Zong [2016] build pseudo parallel sentences by translating source-side monolingual sentences (that contain rare words) to target language via SMT (that is built based on the bilingual dictionary). Fadaee *et al.* [2017] augment the parallel data by replacing some words in parallel sentences with rare words. Second, bilingual dictionary can also be used to perform word-by-word translation on monolingual data, and accordingly help to improve the low-resource NMT. Pourdamghani *et al.* [2019] propose a two-step approach, which first translates the source monolingual sentence to translationese sentence word-by-word using bilingual dictionary, and then trains a translation model on translationese-to-target. Zhou *et al.* [2019] augment the parallel training data by first re-ordering monolingual sentences in the target language to match the source language and then obtaining pseudo source sentences via word-by-word translation. Third, a recent study [Duan *et al.*, 2020] propose to close the gap of the embedding spaces between the source and target languages by establishing anchoring points based on dictionary, which can help to build NMT models based on only dictionary and monolingual data.

2.7 Summary and Discussions

Back/forward translation and the joint training on both translation directions utilize monolingual data to improve translation models. Unsupervised NMT uses only monolingual data to get an initial alignment and improve the translation via iterative back translation. Language model pre-training initializes the NMT models with language understanding and generation capability using only monolingual data. Comparable corpora are strong supplements to parallel corpus, from which parallel sentences can be extracted based on language models or translation models. Bilingual dictionary contains word-level parallel information, which is helpful on the alignment between two languages. The above techniques can be combined with each other to gain more in low-resource NMT. For example, back/forward translation and the joint training methods on both translation directions can be applied to any existing translation models, and thus can be easily combined with other techniques in low-resource NMT. Moreover, the pre-trained model can either be fine-tuned to translation task via parallel data that may be extracted from comparable corpora, or used as an initial model for unsupervised NMT.

3 Exploiting Data From Auxiliary Languages

Human languages share similarities with each other in several aspects: (1) languages in the same/similar language family or typology may share similar writing script, word vocabulary, word order and grammar, (2) languages can influence each other, and a foreign word from another language can be incorporated into a language as it is (referred as loanword). Accordingly, corpora of related languages can be exploited to assist the translation between a low-resource language pair [Dabre *et al.*, 2020]. The methods to leverage multilingual data into low-resource NMT can be categorized into several types: (1) multilingual training, where the low-resource language pair is jointly trained with other language pairs in one model [Johnson *et al.*, 2017], (2) transfer learning [Zoph *et al.*, 2016], where a parent NMT model usually containing rich-resource language pairs is first trained and then fine-tuned on low-resource language pair, and (3) pivot translation, where one or more pivot languages are selected as a bridge between the source and target languages and in this way the source-pivot and pivot-target data can be exploited to help the source-target translation. In the following subsections, we introduce the works in each category, respectively.

3.1 Multilingual training

Multilingual training enjoys three main advantages. First, training multiple language pairs in a single model through parameter sharing can significantly reduce the cost of model training and maintenance compared with training multiple separate models, and can collectively learn the knowledge from multiple languages to help low-resource languages. Second, low-resource language pairs benefit from related rich-resource languages pairs through joint training. Moreover, multilingual NMT offers the possibility to translate on language pairs that are unseen during training, which is called zero-shot translation. In the following paragraphs, we summarize the works on multilingual training from three perspectives (i.e., parameter sharing, designs for low-resource languages and zero-shot translation).

Parameter sharing. There are different ways to share model parameters in multilingual training. First, all the encoder, decoder and attention components are independent among different languages [Luong *et al.*, 2015; Dong *et al.*, 2015; Zoph and Knight, 2016]. Second, fully shared encoder, decoder and attention components are considered across languages, where a language-specific token is added in the source sentence to specify the target language [Ha *et al.*, 2016; Artetxe and Schwenk, 2019; Johnson *et al.*, 2017; Tan *et al.*, 2019c]. Third, in order to simultaneously exploit the characteristic and commonality of different languages, as well as keeping the model compact, some works consider to partially share the model parameters. Firat *et al.* [2016a] adopt a shared attention mechanism and language-specific encoders and decoders. Blackwood *et al.* [2018] propose to use a specific attention mechanism in the decoder for each target language and share all the remaining model parameters, which is shown to improve the word alignments. Sachan and Neubig [2018] propose to partially share the attention mechanism. Wang *et al.* [2018] focus on one-to-many scenario and




Figure 4: Overview of works exploiting data from auxiliary languages

consider to use language-dependent positional embeddings and partially share the hidden layers in the decoder. Platanios et al. [2018] introduces a contextual parameter generator for each language pair, which generates the parameters of the encoder and decoder based on the source and target language embeddings. Wang et al. [2019a] improves the translation quality by using language-sensitive embeddings and attentions, as well as incorporating language-sensitive discriminators in the decoder. Zhang et al. [2020a] introduce a linear transformation between the shared encoder and decoder for each target language, which requires only one more weight matrix for an additional target language.

Designs for low-resource languages. To better exploit the knowledge from multiple languages to help low-resource languages, a lot of works design to improve the multilingual training from different aspects:

- *Auxiliary language selection.* How to effectively select and utilize auxiliary languages is critical to improve the performance of low-resource language pairs in multilingual NMT. Most works consider to select rich-resource languages in the same language family as auxiliary languages, and achieve significant improvement [Gu et al., 2018a; Guzmán et al., 2019; Neubig and Hu, 2018]. Tan et al. [2019a] propose to cluster the languages based on language embedding, which shows better performance than clustering by language family. Wang and Neubig [2019] focus on translating low-resource languages to English with the help of a target conditioned sampling algorithm, where a target sentence is sampled and the source sentences from all the corresponding parallel sentences in multiple languages are chosen based on language-level and sentence-level similarity.

- *Training sample balance.* Considering the limited model capacity and the various training data sizes among different languages, the model may have a bias to rich-resource languages. Accordingly, balancing the data sizes is important for low-resource languages in multilingual NMT. Temperature based sampling is one promising approach, where the temperature term needs to be manually chosen [Arivazhagan et al., 2019b]. Wang et al. [2020] propose a method to automatically weight the training data sizes.
- *Word reordering in auxiliary language.* Pre-ordering the words in auxiliary language sentences to align with the desired low-resource language also brings benefits to low-resource NMT [Murthy V et al., 2019].
- *Monolingual data from auxiliary languages* can also be utilized to improve the low-resource languages by introducing back translation [Sennrich et al., 2016], cross-lingual pre-training [Siddhant et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020] and meta-learning [Gu et al., 2018b] in multilingual model. Furthermore, multilingual NMT can also be trained with monolingual data only by extending the unsupervised NMT to multilingual model [Sen et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020a], or aligning the translations to the same language via different paths in a multilingual model [Xu et al., 2019].

Zero-shot translation. Multilingual training brings the possibility of zero-shot translation [Firat et al., 2016b; Johnson et al., 2017; Lakew et al., 2018]. For example, a multilingual NMT model trained on $X \leftrightarrow \text{English}$ and $Y \leftrightarrow \text{English}$ parallel data is possible to translate between X and Y even if it has never seen the parallel data between X and Y . Firat et al. [2016b] achieve zero-resource translation by

first training a multilingual model and generating a pseudo-parallel corpus for the target language pair via back translation, then fine-tuning on the pseudo-parallel corpus. Based on a fully shared multilingual NMT model, zero-shot translation shows reasonable quality without any additional steps [Johnson *et al.*, 2017]. Some designs can further improve the zero-shot translation in a fully shared multilingual NMT model: (1) introducing an attentional neural interlingua component between the encoder and decoder [Lu *et al.*, 2018], (2) introducing additional terms to the training objective [Arivazhagan *et al.*, 2019a; Al-Shedivat and Parikh, 2019; Pham *et al.*, 2019], and (3) correcting the off-target zero-shot translation issue via a random online back translation algorithm [Zhang *et al.*, 2020a]. There are two important observations: (1) incorporating more languages may provide benefits on zero-shot translation [Aharoni *et al.*, 2019], and (2) the quality of zero-shot between similar languages is quite good [Arivazhagan *et al.*, 2019b].

3.2 Transfer learning

A typical method of transfer learning for low-resource NMT is to first train an NMT model on some auxiliary (usually rich-resource) language pairs, which is called parent model, and then fine-tune all or some of the model parameters on a low-resource language pair, which is called child model [Zoph *et al.*, 2016]. There are three main design aspects in transfer learning: (1) how to select the auxiliary language, (2) how to design the joint vocabulary between the auxiliary and low-resource languages, and (3) how to fine-tune the model for low-resource languages.

Auxiliary language selection. A common approach is to select rich-resource languages as auxiliary languages [Zoph *et al.*, 2016], where the languages share the same/similar language family or typology with the given low-resource language performs better [Nguyen and Chiang, 2017; Dabre *et al.*, 2017]. LANGRANK is a framework to automatically detect the optimal auxiliary language based on typological and corpus statistical information [Lin *et al.*, 2019].

Joint vocabulary design. A shared vocabulary including learned sub-words of the auxiliary and the desired low-resource language pairs is commonly used [Nguyen and Chiang, 2017; Kočmi and Bojar, 2018; Gheini and May, 2019]. The shared vocabulary is often built by Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) [Sennrich *et al.*, 2015] and Sentencepiece [Kudo and Richardson, 2018]. However, the shared vocabulary is not suitable for transferring a pre-trained parent model to languages with unseen scripts in the vocabulary. To address this problem, Kim *et al.* [2019a] propose to learn a cross-lingual linear mapping between the embeddings of the unseen language and the bilingual parent model.

Fine-tuning. One simple method of fine-tuning is to use a parent model on one rich-resource language to initialize the child model and then fine-tune all the parameters on the low-resource language pair [Zoph *et al.*, 2016]. Compared to fine-tune only on the desired low-resource language pair, a multistage fine-tuning procedure performs better, where the pre-trained parent model is first fine-tuned on a mixed corpora of

the rich-resource and low-resource languages, and then fine-tuned on the desired low-resource language pair [Dabre *et al.*, 2019]. Some parameters can be fixed while fine-tuning, where Bapna *et al.* [2019] fix the parameters of the parent model and add light-weight residual adapters when fine-tuning. Moreover, besides using a bilingual parent model, a multilingual parent model can also be used, which enjoys two main advantages. First, a low-resource language can benefit from multiple auxiliary languages. Second, considering the limited model capacity of a multilingual model, fine-tuning may force the model to focus on the desired low-resource language, and thus improve the performance. Neubig and Hu [2018] compare different settings when fine-tuning a low-resource NMT model from a multilingual model on many-to-English direction, and come up with the conclusions: (1) Warm start, where the parent model is trained with both auxiliary languages and low-resource language, is better than cold start, where the parent model is trained only on auxiliary languages; (2) Fine-tuning from a universal model containing dozens of languages outperforms fine-tuning from a model with one similar auxiliary language; (3) Fine-tuning with the data of the low-resource language and one similar rich-resource language outperforms fine-tuning with only low-resource language data. In addition, Tan *et al.* [2019b] suggest warm start for many-to-one setting and cold start for one-to-many setting.

3.3 Pivot translation

In pivot-based approaches, a pivot language, which is usually a rich-resource language, is selected as a bridge. Then, the source-pivot and pivot-target corpora and model can be exploited to build the source-target translation.

There are mainly three ways to take advantage of pivot language. The first approach is to train the source-pivot and pivot-target models and directly combine them as a source-pivot-target model [Cheng *et al.*, 2017; Ren *et al.*, 2018]. Second, another widely used method is to train the source-target model by pseudo-parallel data, which is generated with the help of the pivot language. Zheng *et al.* [2017] translate the pivot language in a pivot-target parallel corpus to source language by a pivot-source NMT model, while Chen *et al.* [2017] build the pseudo-parallel corpora by the source-pivot corpus and pivot-target model. Besides the parallel corpus, the monolingual data of source and target languages can also be used to generate pseudo-parallel corpora [Karakanta *et al.*, 2018; He *et al.*, 2019]. Moreover, the abundant monolingual data on pivot language can also be utilized to get the source-target pseudo-parallel corpora [Curry and Heafield, 2019]. Third, leveraging the parameters of source-pivot and pivot-target models is also one way to utilize the pivot language. Kim *et al.* [2019b] transfer the encoder of source-pivot model and the decoder of the pivot-target model to the source-target model. Ji *et al.* [2020] pre-train a universal encoder for source and pivot languages based on cross-lingual pre-training [Conneau and Lample, 2019], and then train on pivot-target parallel data with part of the encoder frozen. Pivot languages selection is critical in pivot-translation, which greatly influences the translation quality. In most cases, one pivot language is selected based on

prior knowledge. There also exists a learning to route (LTR) method to automatically select one or several pivot languages to translate via multiple hops [Leng *et al.*, 2019].

3.4 Summary and Discussions

Both multilingual training and transfer learning are good ways to learn from auxiliary languages. In multilingual training, a low-resource language is trained with auxiliary languages from scratch, while in transfer learning, an existing translation model is fine-tuned on a low-resource language. Multilingual training and transfer learning can be combined by fine-tuning from a multilingual model. Pivot translation can be used when the translation path from a source language to a target language can be linked with one or several pivot languages, where each language pair on the path has sufficient training data to ensure high-quality translation. In practice, the methods in Section 2 and 3 can be combined to further improve the translation accuracy on low-resource languages. For example, one can first train a multilingual NMT model, and then fine-tune it to a low-resource language with iterative back and forward translation.

4 Exploiting Multi-Modal Data

The parallel data in other modality is also useful for NMT, such as image, video, speech, etc. Chen *et al.* [2019] and Huang *et al.* [2020] built a pseudo parallel corpus by generating captions of the same image in both source and target languages via pre-trained image captioning models. In addition, the image caption/description and translation tasks can be jointly learned to incorporate image information [Luong *et al.*, 2015; Specia *et al.*, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2018]. Moreover, the image data can be utilized by introducing an additional image component (e.g., encoder, decoder or attention) into the NMT model and aligning the two languages with the corresponding image in the latent space [Huang *et al.*, 2016; Libovický *et al.*, 2016; Su *et al.*, 2019; Nakayama and Nishida, 2017; Calixto *et al.*, 2017; Elliott and Kádár, 2017; Zhou *et al.*, 2018; Lee *et al.*, 2017]. Currently, the application of using image-text parallel data on NMT is limited, since such image-text data is always hard to collect for low-resource languages. One potential data source to build new image-text dataset is the images and corresponding captions on websites (e.g., Wikipedia and news pages). For the languages with only speech but no text scripts, speech data can be leveraged to develop the translation capability [Zhang *et al.*, 2020b].

5 Datasets

Data is critical for low-resource NMT. In this section, we introduce some corpora that are widely used in low-resource NMT, as shown in Tab. 1. Wikipedia² and Common Crawl³ contain abundant monolingual data, where Wikipedia covers more than 300 languages and Common Crawl contains billions of web pages crawled from the Internet. CC-100 [Conneau *et al.*, 2020; Wenzek *et al.*, 2020] is a monolingual

Dateset	Type	#Language	Size
Wikipedia	mo	300+	~ 55M documents
CommonCrawl	mo	150+	Billions of URLs
CC-100	mo	100+	~ 0.5B sents/lang
JW300	bi	300+	~ 0.1M sents/pair
CCAligned	bi	137	~ 0.3M sents/pair
CCMatrix	bi	80	~ 1+M sents/pair
WikiMatrix	bi	85	~ 0.1M sents/pair

Table 1: List of datasets, where mo and bi stand for monolingual and bilingual data, sents/lang and sents/pair stand for the number of sentences in a language and language pair, respectively.

corpus covering 100+ languages processed from Common Crawl. JW300 [Agić and Vulić, 2019], CCAligned [El-Kishky *et al.*, 2020], CCMatrix [Schwenk *et al.*, 2019] and WikiMatrix [Schwenk *et al.*, 2020] extract parallel sentences from monolingual data, where JW300 is from the website jw.org, CCAligned and CCMatrix are aligned from Common Crawl, and WikiMatrix is based on Wikipedia. Moreover, OPUS [Tiedemann, 2012] and HuggingFace⁴ provide a collection of open source corpora, which makes it much convenient to collect data from multiple data sources.

6 Conclusion and Future Directions

In this paper, we provided a literature review for low-resource NMT. Different techniques are classified based on the type of auxiliary data: monolingual data from the source/target languages, data from other languages, and multi-modal data. We hope this survey can help readers to better understand the field and choose appropriate techniques for their applications.

Though lots of efforts have been made on low-resource NMT as surveyed, there still remain some open problems:

- In multilingual and transfer learning, how many and which auxiliary languages to use is unclear. LAN-GRANK [Lin *et al.*, 2019] trains a model to select one auxiliary language. Intuitively, using multiple auxiliary languages may outperform only one, which is worth exploration.
- Training a multilingual model including multiple rich-resource languages is costly. Transferring a multilingual model to an unseen low-resource language is an efficient approach, where the challenge is how to handle the new vocabulary of the unseen language.
- How to efficiently select pivot language(s) is important but has not been well investigated.
- Bilingual dictionary is useful and easy-to-get. Current works focus on taking advantage of bilingual dictionary on the source and target language. It is also possible to use bilingual dictionary between a low-resource language and auxiliary languages in multilingual and transfer training.
- In terms of multi-modality, speech data has potential to boost NMT, but such studies are limited. For example,

²<https://www.wikipedia.org/>

³<http://commoncrawl.org/>

⁴<https://huggingface.co/>

some languages are close in speech but different in script (e.g., Tajik and Persian).

- Current approaches have made significant improvements for low-resource languages that either have sufficient monolingual data or are related to some rich-resource languages. Unfortunately, some low-resource languages (e.g., Adyghe and Xibe) have very limited monolingual data and are distant from rich-resource languages. How to handle such languages is challenging and worth further studies.

References

- [Agić and Vulić, 2019] Željko Agić and Ivan Vulić. Jw300: A wide-coverage parallel corpus for low-resource languages. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3204–3210, 2019.
- [Aharoni et al., 2019] Roei Aharoni, Melvin Johnson, and Orhan Firat. Massively multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.00089*, 2019.
- [Al-Shedivat and Parikh, 2019] Maruan Al-Shedivat and Ankur P Parikh. Consistency by agreement in zero-shot neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.02338*, 2019.
- [Arivazhagan et al., 2019a] Naveen Arivazhagan, Ankur Bapna, Orhan Firat, Roei Aharoni, Melvin Johnson, and Wolfgang Macherey. The missing ingredient in zero-shot neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07091*, 2019.
- [Arivazhagan et al., 2019b] Naveen Arivazhagan, Ankur Bapna, Orhan Firat, Dmitry Lepikhin, Melvin Johnson, Maxim Krikun, Mia Xu Chen, Yuan Cao, George Foster, Colin Cherry, et al. Massively multilingual neural machine translation in the wild: Findings and challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.05019*, 2019.
- [Artetxe and Schwenk, 2019] Mikel Artetxe and Holger Schwenk. Massively multilingual sentence embeddings for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer and beyond. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:597–610, 2019.
- [Artetxe et al., 2017] Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, and Eneko Agirre. Learning bilingual word embeddings with (almost) no bilingual data. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 451–462, 2017.
- [Artetxe et al., 2018a] Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, and Eneko Agirre. A robust self-learning method for fully unsupervised cross-lingual mappings of word embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.06297*, 2018.
- [Artetxe et al., 2018b] Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, Eneko Agirre, and Kyunghyun Cho. Unsupervised neural machine translation. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018*, 2018.
- [Artetxe et al., 2019] Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, and Eneko Agirre. An effective approach to unsupervised machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 194–203, 2019.
- [Bahdanau et al., 2015] Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyung Hyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. In *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015*, 2015.
- [Bapna et al., 2019] Ankur Bapna, Naveen Arivazhagan, and Orhan Firat. Simple, scalable adaptation for neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08478*, 2019.
- [Blackwood et al., 2018] Graeme Blackwood, Miguel Ballesteros, and Todd Ward. Multilingual neural machine translation with task-specific attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.03280*, 2018.
- [Bojanowski et al., 2017] Piotr Bojanowski, Edouard Grave, Armand Joulin, and Tomas Mikolov. Enriching word vectors with subword information. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5:135–146, 2017.
- [Calixto et al., 2017] Iacer Calixto, Qun Liu, and Nick Campbell. Doubly-attentive decoder for multi-modal neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.01287*, 2017.
- [Caswell et al., 2019] Isaac Caswell, Ciprian Chelba, and David Grangier. Tagged back-translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.06442*, 2019.
- [Chen et al., 2017] Yun Chen, Yang Liu, Yong Cheng, and Victor OK Li. A teacher-student framework for zero-resource neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.00753*, 2017.
- [Chen et al., 2018] Yun Chen, Yang Liu, and Victor OK Li. Zero-resource neural machine translation with multi-agent communication game. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03116*, 2018.
- [Chen et al., 2019] Shizhe Chen, Qin Jin, and Jianlong Fu. From words to sentences: A progressive learning approach for zero-resource machine translation with visual pivots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00872*, 2019.
- [Cheng et al., 2017] Yong Cheng, Qian Yang, Yang Liu, Maosong Sun, and Wei Xu. Joint training for pivot-based neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3974–3980, 2017.
- [Chu and Wang, 2018] Chenhui Chu and Rui Wang. A survey of domain adaptation for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 1304–1319, 2018.
- [Conneau and Lample, 2019] Alexis Conneau and Guillaume Lample. Cross-lingual language model pretraining. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 7059–7069, 2019.
- [Conneau et al., 2017] Alexis Conneau, Guillaume Lample, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, Ludovic Denoyer, and Hervé Jégou. Word translation without parallel data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.04087*, 2017.

- [Conneau *et al.*, 2020] Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Édouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8440–8451, 2020.
- [Currey and Heafield, 2019] Anna Currey and Kenneth Heafield. Zero-resource neural machine translation with monolingual pivot data. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Neural Generation and Translation*, pages 99–107, 2019.
- [Currey *et al.*, 2017] Anna Currey, Antonio Valerio Miceli-Barone, and Kenneth Heafield. Copied monolingual data improves low-resource neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Machine Translation*, pages 148–156, 2017.
- [Dabre *et al.*, 2017] Raj Dabre, Tetsuji Nakagawa, and Hideto Kazawa. An empirical study of language relatedness for transfer learning in neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 31st Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*, pages 282–286, 2017.
- [Dabre *et al.*, 2019] Raj Dabre, Atsushi Fujita, and Chenhui Chu. Exploiting multilingualism through multistage fine-tuning for low-resource neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 1410–1416, 2019.
- [Dabre *et al.*, 2020] Raj Dabre, Chenhui Chu, and Anoop Kunchukuttan. A survey of multilingual neural machine translation. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 53(5):1–38, 2020.
- [Devlin *et al.*, 2018] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018.
- [Dong *et al.*, 2015] Daxiang Dong, Hua Wu, Wei He, Dianhai Yu, and Haifeng Wang. Multi-task learning for multiple language translation. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1723–1732, 2015.
- [Duan *et al.*, 2020] Xiangyu Duan, Baijun Ji, Hao Jia, Min Tan, Min Zhang, Boxing Chen, Weihua Luo, and Yue Zhang. Bilingual dictionary based neural machine translation without using parallel sentences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.02671*, 2020.
- [Edunov *et al.*, 2018] Sergey Edunov, Myle Ott, Michael Auli, and David Grangier. Understanding back-translation at scale. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 489–500, 2018.
- [El-Kishky *et al.*, 2020] Ahmed El-Kishky, Vishrav Chaudhary, Francisco Guzmán, and Philipp Koehn. A massive collection of cross-lingual web-document pairs. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 5960–5969, 2020.
- [Elliott and Kádár, 2017] Desmond Elliott and Akos Kádár. Imagination improves multimodal translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.04350*, 2017.
- [Fadaee *et al.*, 2017] Marzieh Fadaee, Arianna Bisazza, and Christof Monz. Data augmentation for low-resource neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.00440*, 2017.
- [Firat *et al.*, 2016a] Orhan Firat, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Multi-way, multilingual neural machine translation with a shared attention mechanism. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.01073*, 2016.
- [Firat *et al.*, 2016b] Orhan Firat, Baskaran Sankaran, Yaser Al-Onaizan, Fatos T Yarman Vural, and Kyunghyun Cho. Zero-resource translation with multi-lingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.04164*, 2016.
- [Gehring *et al.*, 2017] J. Gehring, M. Auli, et al. A convolutional encoder model for neural machine translation. In *ACL*, 2017.
- [Gheini and May, 2019] Mozhdeh Gheini and Jonathan May. A universal parent model for low-resource neural machine translation transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06516*, 2019.
- [Gu *et al.*, 2018a] Jiatao Gu, Hany Hassan, Jacob Devlin, and Victor OK Li. Universal neural machine translation for extremely low resource languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.05368*, 2018.
- [Gu *et al.*, 2018b] Jiatao Gu, Yong Wang, Yun Chen, Kyunghyun Cho, and Victor OK Li. Meta-learning for low-resource neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.08437*, 2018.
- [Guzmán *et al.*, 2019] Francisco Guzmán, Peng-Jen Chen, Myle Ott, Juan Pino, Guillaume Lample, Philipp Koehn, Vishrav Chaudhary, and Marc’Aurelio Ranzato. The flores evaluation datasets for low-resource machine translation: Nepali-english and sinhala-english. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.01382*, 2019.
- [Ha *et al.*, 2016] Thanh-Le Ha, Jan Niehues, and Alexander Waibel. Toward multilingual neural machine translation with universal encoder and decoder. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.04798*, 2016.
- [He *et al.*, 2016] Di He, Yingce Xia, Tao Qin, Liwei Wang, Nenghai Yu, Tie-Yan Liu, and Wei-Ying Ma. Dual learning for machine translation. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 820–828, 2016.
- [He *et al.*, 2019] Tianyu He, Jiale Chen, Xu Tan, and Tao Qin. Language graph distillation for low-resource machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.06258*, 2019.

- [Hoang *et al.*, 2018] Vu Cong Duy Hoang, Philipp Koehn, Gholamreza Haffari, and Trevor Cohn. Iterative back-translation for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Neural Machine Translation and Generation*, pages 18–24, 2018.
- [Huang *et al.*, 2016] Po-Yao Huang, Frederick Liu, Sz-Rung Shiang, Jean Oh, and Chris Dyer. Attention-based multimodal neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the First Conference on Machine Translation: Volume 2, Shared Task Papers*, pages 639–645, 2016.
- [Huang *et al.*, 2020] Po-Yao Huang, Junjie Hu, Xiaojun Chang, and Alexander Hauptmann. Unsupervised multimodal neural machine translation with pseudo visual pivoting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.03119*, 2020.
- [Imamura *et al.*, 2018] Kenji Imamura, Atsushi Fujita, and Eiichiro Sumita. Enhancement of encoder and attention using target monolingual corpora in neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Neural Machine Translation and Generation*, pages 55–63, 2018.
- [Ji *et al.*, 2020] Baijun Ji, Zhirui Zhang, Xiangyu Duan, Min Zhang, Boxing Chen, and Weihua Luo. Cross-lingual pre-training based transfer for zero-shot neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 115–122, 2020.
- [Jiajun and Chengqing, 2016] Zhang Jiajun and Zong Chengqing. Exploiting source-side monolingual data in neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, 2016.
- [Johnson *et al.*, 2017] Melvin Johnson, Mike Schuster, Quoc V Le, Maxim Krikun, Yonghui Wu, Zhifeng Chen, Nikhil Thorat, Fernanda Viégas, Martin Wattenberg, Greg Corrado, et al. Google’s multilingual neural machine translation system: Enabling zero-shot translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5:339–351, 2017.
- [Jozefowicz *et al.*, 2016] Rafal Jozefowicz, Oriol Vinyals, Mike Schuster, Noam Shazeer, and Yonghui Wu. Exploring the limits of language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.02410*, 2016.
- [Karakanta *et al.*, 2018] Alina Karakanta, Jon Dehdari, and Josef van Genabith. Neural machine translation for low-resource languages without parallel corpora. *Machine Translation*, 32(1-2):167–189, 2018.
- [Khatri and Bhattacharyya, 2020] Jyotsana Khatri and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. Filtering back-translated data in unsupervised neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 4334–4339, 2020.
- [Kim *et al.*, 2019a] Yunsu Kim, Yingbo Gao, and Hermann Ney. Effective cross-lingual transfer of neural machine translation models without shared vocabularies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05475*, 2019.
- [Kim *et al.*, 2019b] Yunsu Kim, Petre Petrov, Pavel Petrushkov, Shahram Khadivi, and Hermann Ney. Pivot-based transfer learning for neural machine translation between non-english languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.09524*, 2019.
- [Kirkpatrick *et al.*, 2017] James Kirkpatrick, Razvan Pascanu, Neil Rabinowitz, Joel Veness, Guillaume Desjardins, Andrei A Rusu, Kieran Milan, John Quan, Tiago Ramalho, Agnieszka Grabska-Barwinska, et al. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 114(13):3521–3526, 2017.
- [Kocmi and Bojar, 2018] Tom Kocmi and Ondřej Bojar. Trivial transfer learning for low-resource neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00357*, 2018.
- [Kudo and Richardson, 2018] Taku Kudo and John Richardson. Sentencepiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.06226*, 2018.
- [Lakew *et al.*, 2018] Surafel M Lakew, Mauro Cettolo, and Marcello Federico. A comparison of transformer and recurrent neural networks on multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.06957*, 2018.
- [Lample *et al.*, 2018a] Guillaume Lample, Alexis Conneau, Ludovic Denoyer, and Marc’Aurelio Ranzato. Unsupervised machine translation using monolingual corpora only. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.
- [Lample *et al.*, 2018b] Guillaume Lample, Alexis Conneau, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, Ludovic Denoyer, and Hervé Jégou. Word translation without parallel data. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.
- [Lample *et al.*, 2018c] Guillaume Lample, Myle Ott, Alexis Conneau, Ludovic Denoyer, and Marc’Aurelio Ranzato. Phrase-based & neural unsupervised machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 5039–5049, 2018.
- [Lee *et al.*, 2017] Jason Lee, Kyunghyun Cho, Jason Weston, and Douwe Kiela. Emergent translation in multi-agent communication. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.06922*, 2017.
- [Leng *et al.*, 2019] Yichong Leng, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Xiangyang Li, and Tie-Yan Liu. Unsupervised pivot translation for distant languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.02461*, 2019.
- [Lewis *et al.*, 2019] Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Ves Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461*, 2019.
- [Libovický *et al.*, 2016] Jindřich Libovický, Jindřich Helcl, Marek Tlustý, Pavel Pecina, and Ondřej Bojar. Cuni system for wmt16 automatic post-editing and multimodal translation tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.07481*, 2016.

- [Lin *et al.*, 2019] Yu-Hsiang Lin, Chian-Yu Chen, Jean Lee, Zirui Li, Yuyan Zhang, Mengzhou Xia, Shruti Rijhwani, Junxian He, Zhisong Zhang, Xuezhe Ma, et al. Choosing transfer languages for cross-lingual learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.12688*, 2019.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2019] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*, 2019.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2020] Yinhan Liu, Jiatao Gu, Naman Goyal, Xian Li, Sergey Edunov, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Mike Lewis, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Multilingual denoising pre-training for neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08210*, 2020.
- [Lu *et al.*, 2018] Yichao Lu, Phillip Keung, Faisal Ladhak, Vikas Bhardwaj, Shaonan Zhang, and Jason Sun. A neural interlingua for multilingual machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.08198*, 2018.
- [Luong *et al.*, 2015] Minh-Thang Luong, Quoc V Le, Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Lukasz Kaiser. Multi-task sequence to sequence learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06114*, 2015.
- [Marie and Fujita, 2018] Benjamin Marie and Atsushi Fujita. Unsupervised neural machine translation initialized by unsupervised statistical machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.12703*, 2018.
- [Marie *et al.*, 2019] Benjamin Marie, Haipeng Sun, Rui Wang, Kehai Chen, Atsushi Fujita, Masao Utiyama, and Eiichiro Sumita. Nict’s unsupervised neural and statistical machine translation systems for the wmt19 news translation task. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume 2: Shared Task Papers, Day 1)*, pages 294–301, 2019.
- [Mikolov *et al.*, 2013] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1310.4546*, 2013.
- [Murthy V *et al.*, 2019] Rudra Murthy V, Anoop Kunchukuttan, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. Addressing word-order divergence in multilingual neural machine translation for extremely low resource languages. In *NAACL*, 2019.
- [Nakayama and Nishida, 2017] Hideki Nakayama and Noriki Nishida. Zero-resource machine translation by multimodal encoder–decoder network with multimedia pivot. *Machine Translation*, 31(1-2):49–64, 2017.
- [Neubig and Hu, 2018] Graham Neubig and Junjie Hu. Rapid adaptation of neural machine translation to new languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.04189*, 2018.
- [Nguyen and Chiang, 2017] Toan Q Nguyen and David Chiang. Transfer learning across low-resource, related languages for neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.09803*, 2017.
- [Niu *et al.*, 2018] Xing Niu, Michael Denkowski, and Marine Carpuat. Bi-directional neural machine translation with synthetic parallel data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.11213*, 2018.
- [Pham *et al.*, 2019] Ngoc-Quan Pham, Jan Niehues, Thanh-Le Ha, and Alex Waibel. Improving zero-shot translation with language-independent constraints. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.08584*, 2019.
- [Platanios *et al.*, 2018] Emmanouil Antonios Platanios, Mrinmaya Sachan, Graham Neubig, and Tom Mitchell. Contextual parameter generation for universal neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.08493*, 2018.
- [Poncelas *et al.*,] A Poncelas, D Shterionov, A Way, GM de Buy Wenniger, and P Passban. Investigating backtranslation in neural machine translation. *arXiv:1804.06189*.
- [Pourdamghani *et al.*, 2019] Nima Pourdamghani, Nada Aldarrab, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Kevin Knight, and Jonathan May. Translating translationese: A two-step approach to unsupervised machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05683*, 2019.
- [Qin, 2020] T. Qin. *Dual Learning*. Springer, 2020.
- [Radford *et al.*, 2018] Alec Radford, Karthik Narasimhan, Tim Salimans, and Ilya Sutskever. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training, 2018.
- [Radford *et al.*, 2019] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog*, 1(8):9, 2019.
- [Raffel *et al.*, 2020] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21:1–67, 2020.
- [Ramachandran *et al.*, 2016] Prajit Ramachandran, Peter J Liu, and Quoc V Le. Unsupervised pretraining for sequence to sequence learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.02683*, 2016.
- [Ren *et al.*, 2018] Shuo Ren, Wenhui Chen, Shujie Liu, Mu Li, Ming Zhou, and Shuai Ma. Triangular architecture for rare language translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.04813*, 2018.
- [Ren *et al.*, 2019a] Shuo Ren, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Ming Zhou, and Shuai Ma. Explicit cross-lingual pre-training for unsupervised machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 770–779, 2019.
- [Ren *et al.*, 2019b] Shuo Ren, Zhirui Zhang, Shujie Liu, Ming Zhou, and Shuai Ma. Unsupervised neural machine translation with smt as posterior regularization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 241–248, 2019.

- [Ren *et al.*, 2020] Shuo Ren, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Ming Zhou, and Shuai Ma. A retrieve-and-rewrite initialization method for unsupervised machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3498–3504, 2020.
- [Rothe *et al.*, 2020] Sascha Rothe, Shashi Narayan, and Aliaksei Severyn. Leveraging pre-trained checkpoints for sequence generation tasks. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:264–280, 2020.
- [Ruiter *et al.*, 2019] Dana Ruiter, Cristina Espana-Bonet, and Josef van Genabith. Self-supervised neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1828–1834, 2019.
- [Sachan and Neubig, 2018] Devendra Singh Sachan and Graham Neubig. Parameter sharing methods for multilingual self-attentional translation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00252*, 2018.
- [Schwenk *et al.*, 2019] Holger Schwenk, Guillaume Wenzek, Sergey Edunov, Edouard Grave, and Armand Joulin. Ccmatrix: Mining billions of high-quality parallel sentences on the web. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04944*, 2019.
- [Schwenk *et al.*, 2020] Holger Schwenk, Vishrav Chaudhary, Shuo Sun, Hongyu Gong, and Francisco Guzmán. Wikimatrix: Mining 135m parallel sentences in 1620 language pairs from wikipedia. In *ICLR*, 2020.
- [Sen *et al.*, 2019] Sukanta Sen, Kamal Kumar Gupta, Asif Ekbal, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. Multilingual unsupervised nmt using shared encoder and language-specific decoders. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3083–3089, 2019.
- [Sennrich *et al.*, 2015] Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909*, 2015.
- [Sennrich *et al.*, 2016] Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. Improving neural machine translation models with monolingual data. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 86–96, 2016.
- [Siddhant *et al.*, 2020] Aditya Siddhant, Ankur Bapna, Yuan Cao, Orhan Firat, Mia Chen, Sneha Kudugunta, Naveen Arivazhagan, and Yonghui Wu. Leveraging monolingual data with self-supervision for multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04816*, 2020.
- [Song *et al.*, 2019] Kaitao Song, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Jianfeng Lu, and Tie-Yan Liu. Mass: Masked sequence to sequence pre-training for language generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.02450*, 2019.
- [Specia *et al.*, 2016] Lucia Specia, Stella Frank, Khalil Sima'an, and Desmond Elliott. A shared task on multimodal machine translation and crosslingual image description. In *Proceedings of the First Conference on Machine Translation: Volume 2, Shared Task Papers*, pages 543–553, 2016.
- [Su *et al.*, 2019] Yuanhang Su, Kai Fan, Nguyen Bach, C-C Jay Kuo, and Fei Huang. Unsupervised multi-modal neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10482–10491, 2019.
- [Sun *et al.*, 2019] Haipeng Sun, Rui Wang, Kehai Chen, Masao Utiyama, Eiichiro Sumita, and Tiejun Zhao. Unsupervised bilingual word embedding agreement for unsupervised neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1235–1245, 2019.
- [Sun *et al.*, 2020a] Haipeng Sun, Rui Wang, Kehai Chen, Masao Utiyama, Eiichiro Sumita, and Tiejun Zhao. Knowledge distillation for multilingual unsupervised neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.10171*, 2020.
- [Sun *et al.*, 2020b] Haipeng Sun, Rui Wang, Kehai Chen, Masao Utiyama, Eiichiro Sumita, and Tiejun Zhao. Unsupervised neural machine translation with cross-lingual language representation agreement. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 28:1170–1182, 2020.
- [Tan *et al.*, 2019a] Xu Tan, Jiale Chen, Di He, Yingce Xia, Tao Qin, and Tie-Yan Liu. Multilingual neural machine translation with language clustering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.09324*, 2019.
- [Tan *et al.*, 2019b] Xu Tan, Yichong Leng, Jiale Chen, Yi Ren, Tao Qin, and Tie-Yan Liu. A study of multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.11625*, 2019.
- [Tan *et al.*, 2019c] Xu Tan, Yi Ren, Di He, Tao Qin, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. Multilingual neural machine translation with knowledge distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.10461*, 2019.
- [Tiedemann, 2012] Jörg Tiedemann. Parallel data, tools and interfaces in opus. In *Eight International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, MAY 21-27, 2012, Istanbul, Turkey*, pages 2214–2218, 2012.
- [Varis and Bojar, 2019] Dusan Varis and Ondřej Bojar. Unsupervised pretraining for neural machine translation using elastic weight consolidation. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 130–135, 2019.
- [Vaswani *et al.*, 2017] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *NIPS*, 2017.
- [Vincent *et al.*, 2008] Pascal Vincent, Hugo Larochelle, Yoshua Bengio, and Pierre-Antoine Manzagol. Extracting and composing robust features with denoising autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning*, pages 1096–1103, 2008.

- [Wang and Neubig, 2019] Xinyi Wang and Graham Neubig. Target conditioned sampling: Optimizing data selection for multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.08212*, 2019.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2018] Yining Wang, Jiajun Zhang, Feifei Zhai, Jingfang Xu, and Chengqing Zong. Three strategies to improve one-to-many multilingual translation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2955–2960, 2018.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2019a] Yining Wang, Long Zhou, Jiajun Zhang, Feifei Zhai, Jingfang Xu, and Chengqing Zong. A compact and language-sensitive multilingual translation method. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1213–1223, 2019.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2019b] Yiren Wang, Yingce Xia, Tianyu He, Fei Tian, Tao Qin, ChengXiang Zhai, and Tie-Yan Liu. Multi-agent dual learning. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR) 2019*, 2019.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2020] Xinyi Wang, Yulia Tsvetkov, and Graham Neubig. Balancing training for multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.06748*, 2020.
- [Wenzek *et al.*, 2020] Guillaume Wenzek, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Alexis Conneau, Vishrav Chaudhary, Francisco Guzmán, Armand Joulin, and Edouard Grave. Ccnnet: Extracting high quality monolingual datasets from web crawl data. In *COLING*, 2020.
- [Wu *et al.*, 2019a] Jiawei Wu, Xin Wang, and William Yang Wang. Extract and edit: An alternative to back-translation for unsupervised neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 1173–1183, 2019.
- [Wu *et al.*, 2019b] Lijun Wu, Jinhua Zhu, Di He, Fei Gao, QIN Tao, Jianhuang Lai, and Tie-Yan Liu. Machine translation with weakly paired documents. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 4366–4375, 2019.
- [Xu *et al.*, 2019] Chang Xu, Tao Qin, Gang Wang, and Tie-Yan Liu. Polygon-net: A general framework for jointly boosting multiple unsupervised neural machine translation models. In *IJCAI*, pages 5320–5326, 2019.
- [Yang *et al.*, 2018] Zhen Yang, Wei Chen, Feng Wang, and Bo Xu. Unsupervised neural machine translation with weight sharing. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 46–55, 2018.
- [Zhang and Zong, 2016] Jiajun Zhang and Chengqing Zong. Bridging neural machine translation and bilingual dictionaries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.07272*, 2016.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2017a] Meng Zhang, Yang Liu, Huanbo Luan, and Maosong Sun. Adversarial training for unsupervised bilingual lexicon induction. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1959–1970, 2017.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2017b] Meng Zhang, Yang Liu, Huanbo Luan, and Maosong Sun. Earth mover’s distance minimization for unsupervised bilingual lexicon induction. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1934–1945, 2017.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2018] Zhirui Zhang, Shujie Liu, Mu Li, Ming Zhou, and Enhong Chen. Joint training for neural machine translation models with monolingual data. In *AAAI*, 2018.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2020a] Biao Zhang, Philip Williams, Ivan Titov, and Rico Sennrich. Improving massively multilingual neural machine translation and zero-shot translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.11867*, 2020.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2020b] Chen Zhang, Xu Tan, Yi Ren, Tao Qin, Kejun Zhang, and Tie-Yan Liu. Uwspeech: Speech to speech translation for unwritten languages. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2006, 2020.
- [Zheng *et al.*, 2017] Hao Zheng, Yong Cheng, and Yang Liu. Maximum expected likelihood estimation for zero-resource neural machine translation. In *IJCAI*, pages 4251–4257, 2017.
- [Zheng *et al.*, 2020] Zaixiang Zheng, Hao Zhou, Shujian Huang, Lei Li, Xin-Yu Dai, and Jiajun Chen. Mirror-generative neural machine translation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- [Zhou *et al.*, 2018] Mingyang Zhou, Runxiang Cheng, Yong Jae Lee, and Zhou Yu. A visual attention grounding neural model for multimodal machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.08266*, 2018.
- [Zhou *et al.*, 2019] Chunting Zhou, Xuezhe Ma, Junjie Hu, and Graham Neubig. Handling syntactic divergence in low-resource machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.00040*, 2019.
- [Zhu *et al.*, 2020] Jinhua Zhu, Yingce Xia, et al. Incorporating bert into neural machine translation. *arXiv:2002.06823*, 2020.
- [Zoph and Knight, 2016] Barret Zoph and Kevin Knight. Multi-source neural translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.00710*, 2016.
- [Zoph *et al.*, 2016] Barret Zoph, Deniz Yuret, Jonathan May, and Kevin Knight. Transfer learning for low-resource neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.02201*, 2016.