POSITIVE MASS THEOREM AND THE CR YAMABE EQUATION ON 5-DIMENSIONAL CONTACT SPIN MANIFOLDS

JIH-HSIN CHENG AND HUNG-LIN CHIU

ABSTRACT. We consider the CR Yamabe equation with critical Sobolev exponent on a closed contact manifold M of dimension 2n + 1. The problem of finding solutions with minimum energy has been resolved for all dimensions except dimension 5 (n = 2). In this paper we prove the existence of minimum energy solutions in the 5-dimensional case when M is spin. The proof is based on a positive mass theorem built up through a spinorial approach.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

On an odd dimensional manifold M, contact structure ξ is a natural geometric structure to consider. Moreover, a contact manifold (M, ξ) arising as the boundary of a domain in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (or a complex manifold) inherits a complex structure, called CR(Cauchy-Riemann) structure. The CR structure essentially reflects or controls the complex structure of the inside domain. We can talk about abstract CR structures on a contact manifold M (see the Appendix). We consider the following CR Yamabe equation with critical Sobolev exponent (see (7.14) and notations in the Appendix):

(1.1)
$$(2 + \frac{2}{n})\Delta_b u + W u = u^{1 + \frac{2}{n}} \text{ on } M.$$

Here Δ_b and W denote the (negative) sublaplacian and the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature, respectively (see (7.9) and (7.5) in the Appendix). There is a variational formulation for equation (1.1). Namely the energy is provided by the following CR-Sobolev quotient (see (7.13) in the Appendix):

(1.2)
$$Q(v) := \frac{\int_M ((2+\frac{2}{n})|\nabla_b v|^2 + Wv^2) dV_\theta}{(\int_M v^{2+2/n} dV_\theta)^{n/(n+1)}} \text{ for } v > 0 \text{ smooth.}$$

The main goal of this paper is to find a solution u to (1.1) with minimum energy Q(u) equal to

(1.3)
$$\inf_{v>0, \text{ smooth}} Q(v) =: \mathcal{Y}(M, J)$$

on a closed (compact with no boundary) 5-dimensional contact and spin manifold provided the underlying CR structure J is spherical with the CR Yamabe constant $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) > 0$ (see Theorem 1.3). For this CR Yamabe minimizer problem, i.e. finding a solution to (1.1) (assuming $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) > 0$; the cases $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) \leq 0$ are easier) with minimum energy, we have been able to resolve for all dimensions except dimension 5. Let us give a brief history about this problem below.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 32V05, 32V20.

Key words and phrases. Contact manifold, spin structure, spherical CR structure, CR Yamabe equation, CR-Sobolev quotient, CR Yamabe constant, p-mass, Heisenberg group.

There has been a far-reaching analogy between conformal and CR geometries. Along the approaches used in conforml geometry by H. Yamabe, N. Trudinger and T. Aubin, in 1987 D. Jerison and J. Lee [17] showed the analogous results in CR geometry. That is, the CR Yamabe constant $\mathcal{Y}(M,J)$ depends only on the CR structure J of M and $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) \leq \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1},\hat{J})$, where (S^{2n+1},\hat{J}) is the standard CR sphere with the induced CR structure \hat{J} from \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . In addition, if $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) < \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1},\hat{J})$, then $\mathcal{Y}(M,J)$ is attained for some positive C^{∞} function u (by the compactness of solutions to a family of approximate equations), hence the CR Yamabe minimizer problem for (M,J) is solvable.

Recall that a CR manifold is called spherical if it is locally CR equivalent to the standard CR sphere (S^{2n+1}, \hat{J}) . In the case that dimension $2n+1 \geq 5$ and (M, J) is not spherical, Jerison and Lee ([18]) showed that $\mathcal{Y}(M, J) < \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J})$ by a test function estimate. For the remaining cases: either (i) $\dim M = 3$ or (ii) $\dim M > 5$ and M is spherical, we need a positive mass theorem to show that $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) < \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1},\hat{J})$ unless M is CR equivalent to the standard CR sphere. When dim M = 3, this was shown by Malchiodi, Yang and one of the authors ([9]) (the condition that the CR Paneitz operator of M is nonnegative turns out to be equivalent to the embeddability of J [27]) Finally when dim $M \geq 5$ and M is spherical, this was finished by Yang and the authors ([6]) through showing that the developing map is injective. However in the case dim M = 5, we need an extra condition on the growth rate of the Green's function on M, the universal cover of M. So in the case dim M = 5, the CR positive mass theorem is not really completed. In this paper, we showed that for dim M = 5, M being spherical, if in addition M has a spin structure, then we have a CR positive mass theorem, and hence the CR Yamabe minimizer problem is solvable (see Theorem 1.3 below). For an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold (N, J, θ) (see (3.1)), we can talk about the p-mass $m(J,\theta)$ (see (3.10)).

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that (N, J, θ) is an asymptotically flat, pseudohermitian and spin manifold of dimension 5. Assume that J is spherical and (N, J, θ) has the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature $W \ge 0$. Then the p-mass $m(J, \theta) \ge 0$. Moreover, $m(J, \theta) = 0$ if and only if (N, J, θ) is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group $(H_2, \mathring{J}, \mathring{\theta})$.

Corollary 1.2. Suppose that (M, ξ) is a closed (compact with no boundary), contact and spin manifold of dimension 5. Assume that J is a spherical CR structure on (M, ξ) with $\mathcal{Y}(M, J) > 0$. Then the associated p-mass $m(J, \theta) \ge 0$. Moreover, $m(J, \theta) = 0$ if and only if (M, J) is CR equivalent to the standard CR 5-sphere.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on a Weitzenbock-type formula:

(1.4)
$$D_{\xi}^{2} = \nabla^{*}\nabla + W - 2\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}\nabla_{T}$$

where D_{ξ} and ∇ denote the contact Dirac operator and spin connection respectively (see (2.14) in Section 2). The term involving ∇_T (*T* is the Reeb vector field associated to the contact form θ ; see the Appendix for its definition) causes difficulty to solve the Dirac equation $D_{\xi}^2 \psi = 0$ in general. However, in the case of dimension 5 (*n* = 2) we observe the following algebraic fact for Clifford multiplication:

(1.5)
$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{2} e_{\beta} e_{2+\beta} = 0 \text{ on } S^{+}(2n) \stackrel{n=2}{=} S^{+}(4)$$

where $S^+(2n)$ denotes the space of positive spinors (see (2.3)). So for the dimension equal to 5, the last term in (1.4) disappears when acting on (sections of) \mathbb{S}^+ , the bundle of positive spinors (we often do not distinguish between the bundle \mathbb{S}^+ and the space of its sections $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}^+)$ if no confusion can occur). It follows that D_{ξ}^2 is subelliptic on \mathbb{S}^+ and hence we can find a spinor field $\psi \in \mathbb{S}^+$ such that $D_{\xi}^2 \psi = 0$ and ψ tends to a constant spinor at the infinity (see Corollary 4.2). Applying (1.4) to this spinor field ψ and integrating after taking the inner product with ψ , we then pick up (a positive multiple of) the p-mass $m(J,\theta)$ from the boundary integral and obtain a Witten-type formula for $m(J,\theta)$ (see (4.23) and (3.13). So nonnegativity of $m(J,\theta)$ follows. To characterize $m(J,\theta) = 0$ we need a trick, among others, inspired by the idea of Schoen and Yau [26] to show the torsion vanishes (see Lemma 4.7). To prove Corollary 1.2 we first blow up the closed M at a point p by the Green's function of the CR invariant sublaplacian $(2 + \frac{2}{n})\Delta_b + W$ to get an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold N. Then we can apply Theorem 1.1 to make the conclusion.

To solve the CR Yamabe minimizer problem, we need a test function estimate (see Theorem 5.1 in Section 5). The idea was rooted in an argument used by Schoen in [25] for the Riemannian case. For the CR case, it was first treated by Z. Li ([22]) in an unpublished draft. We reorganize his construction of a family of test functions ϕ_{β} and clarify the arguments at some points so that the CR-Sobolev quotient $Q(\phi_{\beta})$ is less than $\mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J})$ minus a positive multiple of the p-mass modulo the terms of higher decay rate (see (5.2)). From Theorem 5.1 the result below follows easily.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that (M, ξ) is a closed (compact with no boundary), contact and spin manifold of dimension 5. Assume that J is a spherical CR structure on (M, ξ) with $\mathcal{Y}(M, J) > 0$. Then the CR Yamabe minimizer problem is solvable, i.e. we can find a solution to (1.1) with minimum energy.

In Section 6 we show that the connected sum of finitely many (duplication allowed) 5-manifolds chosen arbitrarily from the set consisting of S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p , p: odd integer, $S^4 \times S^1_{(a)}$, a > 1 and $\mathbb{RP}^5 \ \sharp \mathbb{RP}^5$ is still a closed, contact spin 5-manifold which admit a spherical CR structure with positive CR Yamabe constant (see Proposition 6.3). For instance, the following is such an example:

$$m_1(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}) # l_1(S^4 \times S^1_{(a)}) # m_2(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_{p_2}) # l_2(\mathbb{RP}^5 \sharp \mathbb{RP}^5)$$

where m_j , l_j , j = 1, 2 are some positive integers and p_j , j = 1, 2 are odd integers. So on such a 5-dimensional closed, contact and spin manifold, one can find a solution to the CR Yamabe equation (1.1) with minimal energy for any spherical CR structure (by Theorem 1.3 for $\mathcal{Y}(M, J) > 0$ and Jerison-Lee [17] for $\mathcal{Y}(M, J) \leq 0$ $(\langle \mathcal{Y}(S^5, \hat{J})))$. It should be mentioned that by a different approach equation (1.1) always has a solution ([14], [15]), but the solution may not be a minimizer for the CR-Sobolev quotient Q(v) (1.2). In fact, in the case of dimension 3 [10] we have exotic 3-spheres (called Rossi spheres) with negative p-mass, on which the infimum of Q(v) (with n = 1) is not attained while the solution to (1.1) exists according to the above-mentioned result.

Acknowledgement 1.4. The authors would like to thank the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan for the support: grant no. MOST 108-2115-M-001-010 and grant no. MOST 109-2115-M-007-004-MY3 respectively. We would also like to

thank Paul Yang and Andrea Malchiodi for many discussions and constant interest in our work during the preparation.

2. Spin structure on a contact bundle

Let (M^{2n+1},ξ) be a contact manifold of dimension 2n + 1 with a coorientable (i.e. TM/ξ is trivial) contact structure ξ . Take a (global) contact form θ (exists by coorientation of ξ) such that $\xi = \ker \theta$ (and $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \neq 0$). Let (M^{2n+1}, J, θ) be a pseudohermitian manifold (see the Appendix for an introduction). The Levi metric L_{θ} on ξ is a Riemannian structure on ξ defined by $L_{\theta}(X, Y) = d\theta(X, JY) = \frac{1}{2}d\theta(X \wedge JY)$ for all $X, Y \in \xi$. Then $L_{\theta}(JX, JY) = L_{\theta}(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \xi$. We equip the vector bundle ξ with this Riemannian structure L_{θ} .

2.1. Spin structure on ξ : Let $SO(\xi)$ be the oriented orthonormal frame bundle. A spin structure $Spin(\xi)$ on ξ is a principal Spin(2n)-bundle such that $Spin(\xi) \times_{\rho} SO(2n) = SO(\xi)$ where $\rho : Spin(2n) \to SO(2n)$ is the standard 2-sheeted covering.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose (M,ξ) is a coorientable contact manifold. Then the contact bundle ξ is spin if and only if the tangent bundle TM is spin.

Proof. It is equivalent to showing that the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(\xi) = 0$ if and only if the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(TM) = 0$. Let T be the Reeb vector field relative to θ . Then T defines a trivial line bundle $\mathbb{R}T$ over M. We have $TM = \xi \oplus \mathbb{R}T$. By the Whitney product formula, we have

$$w_2(TM) = w_2(\xi) + w_1(\xi)w_1(\mathbb{R}T) + w_2(\mathbb{R}T) = w_2(\xi).$$

The proof follows.

2.2. Spinor bundle. Let $\Lambda^k_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the real and complex vector spaces respectively, spanned by $\{\omega^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{j_k} | 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n\}$ (view symbols ω^1 , ..., ω^n as independent vectors). Let

$$\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}} := \sum_k \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{R}}, \ \ \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}} := \sum_k \Lambda^k_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Define the linear maps ϵ_j and ι_j by

$$\epsilon_j : \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}} \to \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \omega^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{j_k} \mapsto \omega^j \wedge \omega^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{j_k};$$
$$\iota_j : \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}} \to \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \omega^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{j_k} \mapsto \sum_{s=1}^k (-1)^{s-1} \delta_{jj_s} \omega^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\omega}^{j_s} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^{j_k}$$

and E_a , $1 \le a \le 2n$, by

$$E_{2j-1} = \epsilon_j - \iota_j, \quad E_{2j} = i(\epsilon_j + \iota_j), \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$

It follows that

 $E_a E_b + E_b E_a = -2\delta_{ab}, \quad 1 \le a, b \le 2n.$

Hence $\{E_a|1 \leq a \leq 2n\}$ spans the Clifford algebra $C_{2n}(-1)$. This defines the algebra isomorphism of $C_{2n}(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $End_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}}(n))$ through the action of $C_{2n}(-1)$ on $\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$, which is denoted by \mathcal{N} . Let $S(2n) := \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$, $S^+(2n) := \Lambda^{even}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ and $S^-(2n) := \Lambda^{odd}_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$. Then S(2n) is an irreducible $C_{2n}(-1)$ -module and $S^{\pm}(2n)$ are two irreducible Spin(2n)-modules with dimension 2^{n-1} . Confining the action of \mathcal{N} to $Spin(2n) \subset C_{2n}(-1)$, we define the vector bundles

(2.1)
$$\mathbb{S} := Spin(\xi) \times_{\mathcal{N}} S(2n), \ \mathbb{S}^{\pm} := Spin(\xi) \times_{\mathcal{N}} S^{\pm}(2n)$$

called spinor bundles. For n = 2, $\Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}}(2) = \text{span}\{1, \omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2\}$, we have $E_1E_3 + E_2E_4 = -2(\iota_1\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1\iota_2)$ and hence, by a straightforward computation

(2.2)
$$(E_1E_3 + E_2E_4)1 = 0$$
$$(E_1E_3 + E_2E_4)\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = 0$$
$$(E_1E_3 + E_2E_4)\omega^1 = 2\omega^2$$
$$(E_1E_3 + E_2E_4)\omega^2 = -2\omega^1.$$

Let σ be a local section of $Spin(\xi)$ such that $\rho_*(\sigma) = \{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ be an orthonormal frame filed of ξ and $e_{n+\beta} = Je_\beta$, $1 \le \beta \le n$. By the first two formulas in (2.2), we immediately obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that n = 2. Then it holds that

(2.3)
$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{2} e_{\beta} e_{2+\beta} = 0 \quad on \ S^{+}(4).$$

Remark 2.3. We observe that $\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} e_{\beta} e_{n+\beta} \neq 0$ on $S^+(2n)$ unless n = 2.

2.3. Spin connection. Let σ be a local section of $Spin(\xi)$ such that $\rho_*(\sigma) = \{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is an orthonormal frame field of ξ with $e_{n+\beta} = Je_{\beta}, 1 \leq \beta \leq n$. Define

(2.4)
$$\omega_{\sigma} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} \omega_b{}^a e_a e_b,$$

where $\omega_b{}^a$ is the pseudohermitian connection forms with respect to $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ (for the complex version, see the Appendix for an explanation). Then (cf. [31] for such an expression)

(2.5)
$$\varpi = \{\omega_{\sigma}\}$$

is a connection of $Spin(\xi)$ with associated spin connection ∇ acting on spinors (or spinor fields), sections of $\mathbb{S} := Spin(\xi) \times_{\mathcal{N}} S(2n)$, denoted as $\Gamma(\mathbb{S})$ (see (2.1)). The curvature form Ω_{σ} is defined by

(2.6)
$$\Omega_{\sigma} = d\omega_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}[\omega_{\sigma}, \omega_{\sigma}]$$

We have

(2.7)
$$\Omega_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} \Omega_b{}^a e_a e_b,$$

where

(2.8)
$$\Omega_b{}^a = d\omega_b{}^a + \omega_b{}^c \wedge \omega_c{}^a.$$

For $X, Y \in \xi$ let R_{XY} denote the curvature operator of the spin connection ∇ acting on spinors while $R_{XY}^{p.h.}$ denotes the curvature operator of the pseudohermitian connection $\nabla^{p.h.}$.

Lemma 2.4.

(2.9)
$$R_{XY} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} \langle R_{XY}^{p,h}(e_a), e_b \rangle e_a e_b.$$

Proof. For $\varphi = (\sigma, f) \in Spin(\xi) \times_{\mathcal{N}} S(2n)$, we have $P = (\sigma, G) (X \land Y) = f$

(2.10)

$$R_{XY}\varphi = (\sigma, \Omega_{\sigma}(X \land Y) \cdot f)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} \Omega_{b}{}^{a}(X \land Y)(\sigma, e_{a}e_{b} \cdot f)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} \langle R_{XY}^{p.h.}(e_{a}), e_{b} \rangle e_{a}e_{b} \cdot (\sigma, f).$$

Lemma 2.5. The spin connection ∇ defined by (2.4) and (2.5) has the following property: (recall that $\nabla^{p.h.}$ denotes the pseudohermitian connection) for $X, Y \in \xi$, $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$

(2.11)
$$\nabla_X (Y \cdot \varphi) = (\nabla_X^{p.h.} Y) \cdot \varphi + Y \cdot \nabla_X \varphi.$$

Proof. Let $\omega = \{\omega_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \text{ is a local section of } Spin(\xi)\}$. Then locally for $Y = (\sigma, v)$, $\varphi = (\sigma, f), v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, f \in \Lambda^*_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ we compute

$$\nabla_X (Y \cdot \varphi) = \nabla_X ((\sigma, v) \cdot (\sigma, f))$$

= $\nabla_X (\sigma, v \cdot f)$
= $(\sigma, X(v \cdot f) + \omega_\sigma(X)(v \cdot f))$
= $(\sigma, (Xv) \cdot f + v \cdot (Xf) + \omega_\sigma(X)(v \cdot f))$

where

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\sigma}(X)(v \cdot f) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Big(g(t)(v \cdot f)\Big), \text{ here } \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} g(t) = \omega_{\sigma}(X), \ g(0) = id \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Big((g(t)v) \cdot f\Big) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Big((g(t)vg(t)^{-1}g(t)) \cdot f\Big) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Big((g(t)vg(t)^{-1}\Big) \cdot f + v \cdot \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Big(g(t)) \cdot f\Big) \\ &= (\omega_{\sigma}(X)v - v\omega_{\sigma}(X)) \cdot f + v \cdot (\omega_{\sigma}(X) \cdot f) \\ &= (\omega^{p.h.}(X)v) \cdot f + v \cdot (\omega_{\sigma}(X) \cdot f. \end{split}$$

(2.11) follows.

The invariant second derivative $\nabla_{V,W}^2 : \Gamma(\mathbb{S}) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$ is defined by $\nabla_{V,W}^2 \varphi := \nabla_V \nabla_W \varphi - \nabla_{\nabla_V^{p,h.}W} \varphi$, $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$. Here $\nabla_V^{p.h.}W$ denotes the covariant derivative of W along V with respect to the pseudohermitian connection $\nabla^{p.h.}$. Recall that $R_{e_a e_b}$ denotes the curvature operator with respect to the spin connection ∇ .

Lemma 2.6. With the notations above, it holds that on $\Gamma(\mathbb{S})$

(2.12)
$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_{\alpha},e_{n+\alpha}}^{2} - \nabla_{e_{n+\alpha},e_{\alpha}}^{2} &= R_{e_{\alpha}e_{n+\alpha}} - 2\nabla_{T}, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \\ \nabla_{e_{n+\alpha},e_{\alpha}}^{2} - \nabla_{e_{\alpha},e_{n+\alpha}}^{2} &= R_{e_{n+\alpha}e_{\alpha}} + 2\nabla_{T}, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \\ \nabla_{e_{a},e_{b}}^{2} - \nabla_{e_{b},e_{a}}^{2} &= R_{e_{a}e_{b}}, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition, we have $\nabla_{e_a,e_b}^2 - \nabla_{e_b,e_a}^2 = \nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b} - \nabla_{e_b} \nabla_{e_a} - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_a}^{p.h.}e_b} - \nabla_{e_b}^{p.h.}e_a$. This, together with (7.21), yields (2.12).

2.4. Weitzenbock-type formula. We define the contact Dirac operator D_{ξ} : $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}^{\pm}) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^{\mp})$ by

(2.13)
$$D_{\xi}\phi := \sum_{a=1}^{2n} e_a \cdot \nabla_{e_a}\phi$$

Theorem 2.7 (Weitzenbock-type Formula). In the preceding notations, it holds that

(2.14)
$$D_{\xi}^{2} = \nabla^{*}\nabla + W - 2\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}\nabla_{T}$$

where W is the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature (see the Appendix for an explanation).

Proof. Fix $x \in M$ and choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ such that $e_{n+\alpha} = Je_{\alpha}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ and $(\nabla e_a)_x = 0$ for all $1 \leq a \leq 2n$. Then from (2.13) we have at x

$$D_{\xi}^{2} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} e_{a} \cdot \nabla_{e_{a}} (e_{b} \cdot \nabla_{e_{b}})$$

$$= \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} e_{a} \cdot \left((\nabla_{e_{a}} e_{b}) \cdot \nabla_{e_{b}} + e_{b} \cdot \nabla_{e_{a}} \nabla_{e_{b}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} e_{a} e_{b} \cdot \nabla_{e_{a}} \nabla_{e_{b}}$$

$$= \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} e_{a} e_{b} \cdot \nabla_{e_{a},e_{b}}$$

$$= -\sum_{a=1}^{2n} \nabla_{e_{a},e_{a}}^{2} + \sum_{a < b} e_{a} e_{b} \cdot (\nabla_{e_{a},e_{b}}^{2} - \nabla_{e_{b},e_{a}}^{2})$$

$$= \nabla^{*} \nabla + \mathcal{R} - 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} e_{\alpha} e_{n+\alpha} \nabla_{T}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} e_a e_b \cdot R_{e_a e_b}.$$

To complete the proof, we need to show that $\mathcal{R} = W$, the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &(2.16)\\ \mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} e_a e_b \cdot R_{e_a e_b}\\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^{2n} < R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_c), e_d > e_a e_b e_c e_d, \text{ by (2.9)},\\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{d=1}^{2n} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{a,b,c \text{ distinct}} < R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_c) + R_{e_b,e_c}^{p.h.}(e_a) + R_{e_c,e_a}^{p.h.}(e_b), e_d > e_a e_b e_c \right) \right.\\ &+ \left. \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} < R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_a), e_d > e_a e_b e_a + \left. \sum_{a,b=1}^{2n} < R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_b), e_d > e_a e_b e_b \right\} e_d. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{d=1}^{2n} \Big(\sum_{a,b,c \text{ distinct}} < R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_c) + R_{e_b,e_c}^{p.h.}(e_a) + R_{e_c,e_a}^{p.h.}(e_b), e_d > e_a e_b e_c \Big) e_d = 0.$$

Substituting (2.17) into (2.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(2.18)\\ \mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a,b,d=1}^{2n} < R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_a), e_d > e_b e_d\\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{b,d=1}^{2n} Ric^{p.h.}(e_b,e_d) e_b e_d\\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{b=1}^{2n} Ric^{p.h.}(e_b,e_b) e_b e_b - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{bd} Ric^{p.h.}(e_b,e_d) e_b e_d\\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{b=1}^{2n} Ric^{p.h.}(e_b,e_b) = \frac{1}{4} R \text{ (scalar curvature of } \nabla^{p.h.})\\ &= W \text{ (Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature) (by (7.8) in the Appendix).} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we come back to prove the claim (2.17). From (7.24) in the Appendix, it follows that

(2.19)
$$< R_{e_a,e_b}^{p.h.}(e_c) + R_{e_b,e_c}^{p.h.}(e_a) + R_{e_c,e_a}^{p.h.}(e_b), e_d >$$
$$= < \mathbb{T}(e_a, [e_b, e_c]) + \mathbb{T}(e_b, [e_c, e_a]) + \mathbb{T}(e_c, [e_a, e_b]), e_d > .$$

By formulae (7.15) and (7.21) and noting that a, b, c are distinct, we observe that the right hand side of (2.19) does not vanish if and only if $\{a, b, c\}$ contains a pair $\{\beta, n + \beta\}$ for some β . That is, $\{a, b, c\} = \{\beta, n + \beta, \gamma\}$ or $\{\beta, n + \beta, n + \gamma\}$ for some β, γ with $1 \leq \beta, \gamma \leq n$ and $\beta \neq \gamma$. For example, $\mathbb{T}(e_{\beta}, [e_{n+\beta}, e_{\gamma}]) + \mathbb{T}(e_{n+\beta}, [e_{\gamma}, e_{\beta}]) + \mathbb{T}(e_{\gamma}, [e_{\beta}, e_{n+\beta}]) = -2\mathbb{T}(e_{\gamma}, T) \mod T$. Therefore, substituting (2.19), together with (7.21), into the left hand side of (2.17), we have

(a, a, a)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{d=1}^{2n} \Big(\sum_{a,b,c \text{ distinct}} < R_{e_{a},e_{b}}^{p.h.}(e_{c}) + R_{e_{b},e_{c}}^{p.h.}(e_{a}) + R_{e_{c},e_{a}}^{p.h.}(e_{b}), e_{d} > e_{a}e_{b}e_{c} \Big) e_{d} \\ &= 6 \sum_{d=1}^{2n} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1;\beta\neq\gamma}^{n} \Big(< \mathbb{T}(e_{r},T), e_{d} > e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{r}e_{d} + < \mathbb{T}(e_{n+r},T), e_{d} > e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{n+r}e_{d} \Big) \\ &= 6 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1;\beta\neq\gamma}^{n} \Big(-ReA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{\gamma}e_{\alpha} + ImA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{\gamma}e_{n+\alpha} \\ &+ ImA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{n+\gamma}e_{\alpha} + ReA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{n+\gamma}e_{n+\alpha} \Big) \\ &= 6 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} \Big(-ReA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{\gamma}e_{\alpha} + ImA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{\gamma}e_{n+\alpha} \\ &+ ImA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{n+\gamma}e_{\alpha} + ReA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta}e_{n+\gamma}e_{n+\alpha} \Big) \\ &= 6 \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} e_{\beta}e_{n+\beta} \Big(\sum_{\alpha,\gamma=1}^{n} -ReA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\gamma}e_{\alpha} + ImA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{\gamma}e_{n+\alpha} + ImA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{n+\gamma}e_{\alpha} \\ &+ ReA^{\bar{\alpha}}\gamma e_{n+\gamma}e_{n+\alpha} \Big) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where, for the third and fourth equalities in (2.20) we have used the symmetry of pseudohermitian torsion $A_{\alpha\beta}$ and the basic relation $e_ae_b + e_be_a = -2\delta_{ab}$. This completes the proof of the claim (2.17) and hence the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.8. (Weizenbock-type formula for n = 2) In the preceding notations, suppose further n = 2 (dimension = 5). Then it holds that

(2.21)
$$D_{\xi}^{2} = \nabla^{*} \nabla + W \quad on \ \Gamma(\mathbb{S}^{+})$$

where W is the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature.

Proof. (2.21) follows from (2.14) and (2.3).

3. Asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifolds

Recall the standard coframe $\{\mathring{\theta}, \sqrt{2}dz^{\beta}, \sqrt{2}dz^{\bar{\beta}}\}$ for the Heisenberg group H_n (see the Appendix), where $\mathring{\theta} = dt + iz^{\beta}dz^{\bar{\beta}} - iz^{\bar{\beta}}dz^{\beta}$ (cf. (7.18)). Let B_{ρ_0} denote the Heisenberg ball of radius ρ_0 , i.e. $\{|z|^4 + t^2 < \rho_0^4\}$ where $|z|^2 = \sum_{\beta=1}^n |z^{\beta}|^2$ (cf. (7.20)).

Definition 3.1. A (2n+1)-dimensional pseudohermitian manifold (N, J, θ) is said to be **asymptotically flat pseudohermitian** if $N = N_0 \cup N_\infty$, with N_0 compact and N_∞ diffemorphic to $H_n \setminus B_{\rho_0}$ in which (J, θ) is close to $(\mathring{J}, \mathring{\theta})$ in the sense that

(3.1)
$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \left(1 + c_n A \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})\right) \overset{\circ}{\theta} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})_\beta dz^\beta + O(\rho^{-2n-1})_{\bar{\beta}} dz^\beta; \\ \theta^\alpha &= O(\rho^{-2n-1}) \overset{\circ}{\theta} + O(\rho^{-2n-2})_{\bar{\beta}} {}^\alpha dz^{\bar{\beta}} + \left(1 + \tilde{c}_n A \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})\right) \sqrt{2} dz^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and a unitary coframe θ^{α} in coordinates $(z^{\beta}, z^{\overline{\beta}}, t)$ (called asymptotic coordinates) for N_{∞} on which $\rho = ((\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} |z^{\beta}|^2)^2 + t^2)^{1/4} = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$ is defined. We also require the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature $W \in L^1(N)$.

Remark 3.2. For the case of blowing up through the Green's function (see Subsection 3.2.), we have $c_n = \frac{4\pi}{na_n}$ (see (3.28) for the definition of a_n) and $\tilde{c}_n = \frac{2\pi}{na_n}$, where $a_1 = 1$.

Let $\{Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\bar{\alpha}}, T\}$ be the frame dual to $\{\theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}, \theta\}$. It follows from (3.1) that

(3.2)

$$Z_{\alpha} = \left(1 - \tilde{c}_{n}A\rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})\right) \mathring{Z}_{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} O(\rho^{-2n-2})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \mathring{Z}_{\beta} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} O(\rho^{-2n-2})_{\alpha}{}^{\bar{\beta}} \mathring{Z}_{\bar{\beta}} + O(\rho^{-2n-1}) \mathring{T}.$$

Writing

(3.3)
$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= a\dot{\theta} + b_{\gamma}dz^{\gamma} + c_{\bar{\gamma}}dz^{\bar{\gamma}};\\ \theta^{\alpha} &= a^{\alpha}\dot{\theta} + b\sqrt{2}dz^{\alpha} + c_{\bar{\gamma}}{}^{\alpha}dz^{\bar{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

where

(3.4)
$$a = 1 + c_n A \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1}), b_{\gamma} = O(\rho^{-2n-1}), \quad c_{\bar{\gamma}} = O(\rho^{-2n-1})_{\bar{\gamma}}, b = 1 + \tilde{c}_n A \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1}), a^{\alpha} = O(\rho^{-2n-1})^{\alpha}, \quad c_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha} = O(\rho^{-2n-2})_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\alpha}.$$

Substituting (3.3) into the Levi equation $d\theta = i\delta_{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\overline{\beta}}$ ((7.2) with $h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$) gives

(3.5)
$$a^{\alpha} = \frac{-c_n A n z^{\alpha} \omega}{\sqrt{2} \rho^{2n+4}} + O(\rho^{-2n-2})$$

in view of (3.4), where $\omega = t + i|z|^2$. Next we would like to compute the asymptotic behavior of the connection forms $\theta_{\alpha}^{\ \beta}$ and the torsion forms τ^{β} . Write

(3.6)
$$\theta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = A_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\dot{\theta} + B_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma}dz^{\gamma} + C_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}}dz^{\bar{\gamma}} \\ \tau^{\beta} = A^{\beta}\dot{\theta} + B^{\beta}{}_{\gamma}dz^{\gamma} + C^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}}dz^{\bar{\gamma}}.$$

Lemma 3.3. With the notations above, it holds that in N_{∞} , for the coefficients of θ_{α}^{β}

(3.7)
$$A_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = O(\rho^{-2n-2}), \quad B_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma} = -\overline{C_{\beta}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\gamma}}};$$

and for any fixed α ,

$$C_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}} = O(\rho^{-2n-2}), \quad \text{for } \beta \neq \alpha, \ \gamma \neq \alpha$$
$$C_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{-inc_n A z^{\beta} \omega}{\rho^{2n+4}} + O(\rho^{-2n-2}), \quad \text{for } \beta \neq \alpha$$

(3.8)

$$C_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{-in\tilde{c}_n A z^{\gamma} \omega}{\rho^{2n+4}} + O(\rho^{-2n-2}), \quad \text{for } \gamma \neq \alpha$$

$$C_{\alpha}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{-in(\tilde{c}_n + c_n) A z^{\alpha} \omega}{\rho^{2n+4}} + O(\rho^{-2n-2});$$

10

(recall $\omega = t + i|z|^2$) and for the coefficients of τ^{β} ,

(3.9)
$$\begin{aligned} A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}} &= O(\rho^{-2n-2}), \quad A^{\beta} = A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}a^{\bar{\gamma}} \\ B^{\beta}{}_{\sigma} &= A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}\overline{c}\overline{c}^{\gamma}, \quad C^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}} = \sqrt{2}A_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}b. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These formulas are easily seen from the structure equations (7.3) in pseudo-hermitian geometry. \Box

3.1. **Pseudohermitian mass.** We define the pseudohermitian mass (p-mass in short) $m(J, \theta)$ on an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold (N, J, θ) by

(3.10)
$$m(J,\theta) := \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} ni \oint_{S_{\Lambda}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \theta_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma} \wedge \theta \wedge (d\theta)^{n-1}$$

where $S_{\Lambda} \subset N_{\infty}$ denotes a Heisenberg sphere $\partial B_{\Lambda} = \{|z|^4 + t^2 = \Lambda^4\}$ of large radius Λ .

The p-mass of the Heisenberg group H_n is $m(J, \mathring{\theta}) = 0$ since $\mathring{\theta}_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} = 0$ for all α , γ ((7.19)). The notion of the p-mass was motivated by an idea in general relativity (see [9] for the case of dimension 3). It has a variational meaning as shown below. Consider the Einstein-Hilbert type action integral

(3.11)
$$\mathfrak{A}(J,\theta) = -\int_{N} W \ \theta \wedge (d\theta)^{n}.$$

For θ fixed, consider the variation $J_{(t)}$ of J that maintains the asymptotically flat structure. Then writing $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}J_{(t)} = 2E$, $E = E_{\gamma}{}^{\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\gamma} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}} + E_{\bar{\gamma}}{}^{\beta}\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes Z_{\beta}$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \left(\mathfrak{A}(J_{(t)},\theta) + m(J_{(t)},\theta)\right) = n \int_{N} \sum_{\beta,\gamma} (A^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}} E_{\beta}{}^{\bar{\gamma}} + A^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\gamma} E_{\bar{\beta}}{}^{\gamma}) \ \theta \wedge (d\theta)^{n}$$

where $A^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ are coefficients of the torsion forms $\tau^{\beta} : \tau^{\beta} = A^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}}\theta^{\bar{\gamma}}$.

Lemma 3.4. In the preceding notations, it holds that

(3.13)
$$m(J,\theta) = \left(n!(2^{2n}n^2)(n\tilde{c}_n + c_n)\alpha_n\Omega_n\right)A$$

where Ω_n is the Euclidean volume of the unit ball of $C^n, \alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and

(3.14)
$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m (2k)}{\prod_{k=1}^m (2k+1)} \alpha_1, & n = 2m+1, \ m \ge 1\\ \frac{\prod_{k=2}^m (2k-1)}{\prod_{k=2}^m (2k)} \alpha_2, & n = 2m, \ m \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{split} ni\sum_{\gamma} \theta_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma} &= ni\sum_{\gamma} A_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma} \overset{\circ}{\theta} + B_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} dz^{\beta} + C_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= ni\left[O(\rho^{-2n-2})\overset{\circ}{\theta} + \left(\frac{-i(n^{2}\tilde{c}_{n} + nc_{n})Az^{\bar{\beta}}\bar{w}}{\rho^{2n+4}} + O(\rho^{-2n-2})\right)dz^{\beta} \right] \\ &+ \left(\frac{-i(n^{2}\tilde{c}_{n} + nc_{n})Az^{\bar{\beta}}\bar{w}}{\rho^{2n+4}} + O(\rho^{-2n-2})\right)dz^{\bar{\beta}}\right]. \end{split}$$

Observing that $d\rho = \frac{|z|^2 z^{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\beta} + |z|^2 z^{\beta} dz^{\bar{\beta}} + tdt}{2\rho^3} = O(\rho)$, via (3.1) we have

(3.16)
$$(d\theta)^{n-1} = (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} + O(\rho^{-2}).$$

Substituting (3.1), (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.10), we get (recall $\omega = t + i|z|$)

$$(3.17)$$

$$m(J,\theta) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} ni \oint_{S_{\Lambda}} \sum_{\gamma} \theta_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \wedge \theta \wedge (d\theta)^{n-1}$$

$$= n(n^{2}\tilde{c}_{n} + nc_{n})A \oint_{\infty} \rho^{-(2n+4)} \sum_{\beta} (z^{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\omega}dz^{\beta} + z^{\beta}\omega dz^{\bar{\beta}}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1}$$

$$= n(n^{2}\tilde{c}_{n} + nc_{n})A \oint_{S_{1}} \sum_{\beta} (z^{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\omega}dz^{\beta} + z^{\beta}\omega dz^{\bar{\beta}}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1}, \ S_{1} = \{\rho = 1\}$$

We claim that

(3.18)
$$\oint_{S_1} \sum_{\beta} (z^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\omega} dz^{\beta} + z^{\beta} \omega dz^{\bar{\beta}}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} = 2^{2n} n! \alpha_n \Omega_n$$

where

$$\alpha_n := \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} dt.$$

By trigonometric substitution, $t = \sin \theta$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$, it is easily seen that

$$\alpha_n = 2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n \theta d\theta.$$

Then (3.14) follows from Wallis' formulas. Substituting (3.18) into (3.17), we complete the proof of (3.13). Now, for (3.18) let $z = r\varphi$ where $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in$ the unit sphere of C^n , i.e. $\sum_{\beta=1}^n |\varphi_\beta|^2 = 1$. Then, on S_1 we have

$$z^{\beta}dz^{\beta} - z^{\beta}dz^{\beta} = r^{2}(\varphi_{\beta}d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}} - \varphi_{\bar{\beta}}d\varphi_{\beta}),$$

$$(z^{\beta}dz^{\bar{\beta}} + z^{\bar{\beta}}dz^{\beta}) = 2r|\varphi_{\beta}|^{2}dr,$$

$$\overset{}{\theta} = dt + ir^{2}\sum_{\beta}(\varphi_{\beta}d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}} - \varphi_{\bar{\beta}}d\varphi_{\beta}),$$

$$d\overset{}{\theta} = 2i\Big(r^{2}\sum_{\beta}d\varphi_{\beta} \wedge d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}} + rdr \wedge \sum_{\beta}(\varphi_{\beta}d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}} - \varphi_{\bar{\beta}}d\varphi_{\beta}))\Big)$$
(3.19)

$$=2i(r^2B_2+rdr\wedge B_1),$$

where $B_1 = \sum_{\beta} (\varphi_{\beta} d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}} - \varphi_{\bar{\beta}} d\varphi_{\beta})$ and $B_2 = \sum_{\beta} d\varphi_{\beta} \wedge d\varphi_{\bar{\beta}}$. Observe that

(3.20)
$$(d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} = (2i)^{n-1} \Big((n-1)(r^2 B_2)^{n-2} \wedge (rdr \wedge B_1) + (r^2 B_2)^{n-1} \Big),$$

12

Using (3.19), (3.20) and $r^4 + t^2 = 1$, we get

$$\begin{split} &(3.21) \\ &\oint_{S} \sum_{\beta} (z^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\omega} dz^{\beta} + z^{\beta} \omega dz^{\bar{\beta}}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} \\ &= \oint_{S} \sum_{\beta} i |z|^{2} (z^{\beta} dz^{\bar{\beta}} - z^{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\beta}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} + \oint_{S} \sum_{\beta} t (z^{\beta} dz^{\bar{\beta}} + z^{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\beta}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} \\ &= (2i)^{n-1} \oint_{S} (ir^{4} B_{1} + 2trdr) \wedge (dt + ir^{2} B_{1}) \wedge (r^{2} B_{2})^{n-1} \\ &= (2i)^{n-1} \oint_{S} (ir^{4} B_{1} \wedge dt + 2itr^{3} dr \wedge B_{1}) \wedge (r^{2} B_{2})^{n-1} \\ &= 2n^{n-1} \oint_{S} r^{2n-2} (iB_{1}) \wedge dt \wedge (iB_{2})^{n-1} \\ &= \oint_{S} r^{2n-2} (iB_{1}) \wedge (2iB_{2})^{n-1} \wedge dt \\ &= \int_{\{z \in C^{n} | r = 1\}} \left(\int_{-1}^{1} (1 - t^{2})^{\frac{n-1}{2}} dt \right) (iB_{1}) \wedge (2iB_{2})^{n-1} \\ &= \alpha_{n} \int_{\{z \in C^{n} | r \leq 1\}} d \left(\sum_{\beta} i (z^{\beta} dz^{\bar{\beta}} - z^{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\beta}) \wedge (2i \sum_{\beta} dz^{\beta} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}})^{n-1} \right) \\ &= \alpha_{n} \int_{\{z \in C^{n} | r \leq 1\}} (2i \sum_{\beta} dz^{\beta} \wedge dz^{\bar{\beta}})^{n} \\ &= 2^{2n} n! \alpha_{n} \Omega_{n}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of (3.18) and hence the lemma.

We also define (a variant of p-mass in terms of real version of connection forms):

(3.22)
$$\widetilde{m}(J,\theta) := \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \oint_{S_{\Lambda}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2n} \omega_j{}^k(e_j) e_k \lrcorner dV,$$

where $\{\omega_j{}^k\}$ denote the (real version of) connection forms with respect to an orthonormal frame $\{e_j\}$ (see the Appendix) and

$$dV := \frac{1}{2^n n!} \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n = \theta \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\beta=1}^n \omega^\beta \wedge \omega^{n+\beta} \right).$$

Lemma 3.5. In the preceding notations, it holds that

(3.23)
$$\widetilde{m}(J,\theta) = \left(2^{n+\frac{3}{2}}n^2(\widetilde{c}_n + nc_n)\alpha_n\Omega_n\right)A$$

Proof. By the definition of interior product, we have (3.24)

$$\sum_{j,k} \omega_j{}^k(e_j) e_k \lrcorner dV = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \left(\omega_j{}^{\gamma}(e_j) \omega^{n+\gamma} - \omega_j{}^{n+\gamma}(e_j) \omega^{\gamma} \right) \land \theta \land \left(\bigwedge_{\beta=1, \beta \neq \gamma}^n \omega^{\beta} \land \omega^{n+\beta} \right).$$

Observe that

(3.25)
$$\theta \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\beta=1,\beta\neq\gamma}^{n} \omega^{\beta} \wedge \omega^{n+\beta}\right) = \left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \theta \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\beta=1,\beta\neq\gamma}^{n} \theta^{\beta} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{j} \left(\omega_{j}^{\gamma}(e_{j}) \omega^{n+\gamma} - \omega_{j}^{n+\gamma}(e_{j}) \omega^{\gamma} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \left(-\theta_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\gamma}}(Z_{\alpha}) \theta^{\gamma} + \theta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}(Z_{\bar{\alpha}}) \theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \right)$$

(3.26)

=

$$= i \left(\sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\bar{\alpha}} \right) \theta^{\bar{\gamma}} + \text{ conj.}$$
$$= \sqrt{2}n(nc_n + \tilde{c}_n) A\left(\frac{z^{\gamma} \omega dz^{\bar{\gamma}} + z^{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{\omega} dz^{\gamma}}{\rho^{2n+4}} \right) + \text{h.d.o.t.}$$

where h.d.o.t. means "higher decay order term(s)" and recall $\omega = t + i|z|^2$. Substituting (3.25) and (3.26) into (3.24), and noting that

$$(3.27) \quad \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} = 2^{n-1}(n-1)! \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{n-1} \mathring{\theta} \wedge \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\bigwedge_{\beta=1,\beta\neq\alpha}^{n} \sqrt{2}dz^{\beta} \wedge \sqrt{2}dz^{\bar{\beta}}\right),$$

we have

$$\sum_{j,k} \omega_j{}^k(e_j) e_k \lrcorner dV = \frac{\sqrt{2n(nc_n + \tilde{c}_n)A}}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{z^{\gamma} \omega dz^{\bar{\gamma}} + z^{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{\omega} dz^{\gamma}}{\rho^{2n+4}} \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} + \text{h.d.o.t.}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{m}(J,\theta) &= \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \oint_{S_{\Lambda}} \sum_{j,k} \omega_j{}^k(e_j) e_k \lrcorner dV \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}n(nc_n + \widetilde{c}_n)A}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} \int_{S_1} \sum_{\beta} (z^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\omega} dz^{\beta} + z^{\beta} \omega dz^{\bar{\beta}}) \wedge \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1}. \end{split}$$

Together with (3.18), we get the formula (3.23).

3.2. Blow-up through the Green's function. Suppose that (M, J) is spherical in a neighborhood of a point $p \in M$. Then we can find a contact form $\hat{\theta}$ and local coordinates (z, t) such that (z, t)(p) = 0 and near p

$$\hat{\theta} = \mathring{\theta}, \quad \hat{\theta}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{2}dz^{\alpha}.$$

We call such kind of coordinates the CR normal coordinates (see ??). Recall $\rho = ((\sum_{\beta=1}^{n} |z^{\beta}|^2)^2 + t^2)^{1/4}$.

Proposition 3.6. With the notations above, in CR normal coordinates (z,t) the Green's function G_p of the CR invariant sublaplacian L_b (see (7.10) and (7.12) for the definition of L_b and G_p) admits the following expansion

(3.28)
$$G_p = \frac{a_n}{2\pi} \rho^{-2n} + A_p + O(\rho)$$

for some dimensional constant $a_n > 0$ and some constant $A_p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. To deduce formula (3.28), recall $L_b := b_n \Delta_b + W$ where $b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$, W is the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature (see [17] or (7.10)). Since, near $p \in M$, $\hat{\theta} = \mathring{\theta}$ hence $L_b = b_n \mathring{\Delta}_b$. Thus near p we have

$$(3.29) b_n \mathring{\Delta}_b \left(G_p - \frac{a_n}{2\pi} \rho^{-2n} \right) = b_n \mathring{\Delta}_b G_p - b_n \mathring{\Delta}_b \left(\frac{a_n}{2\pi} \rho^{-2n} \right) = L_b G_p - \frac{a_n b_n}{2\pi} \mathring{\Delta}_b \rho^{-2n} = 16\delta_p - 16\delta_p = 0.$$

Here we have used the following formulae (cf. (7.12))

$$(3.30) L_b G_p = 16\delta_p,$$

(3.31)
$$\mathring{\Delta}_b \rho^{-2n} = \frac{32\pi}{a_n b_n} \delta_0.$$

By (3.29) we conclude that $G_p - \frac{a_n}{2\pi}\rho^{-2n}$ is a smooth function near p since $\mathring{\Delta}_b$ is hypoelliptic. So (3.28) follows.

Note that (3.31) determines the value of a_n . We will consider the following pseudohermitian manifold called the blow-up at p (through the Green's function):

(3.32)
$$\left(M \setminus \{p\}, J, \theta := G_p^{\frac{2}{n}} \hat{\theta}\right)$$

Proposition 3.7. With the preceding notations, we have (1) $\left(M \setminus \{p\}, J, \theta := G_p^{\frac{2}{n}} \hat{\theta}\right)$ is asymptotically flat; (2) The p-mass $m(J, \theta)$ is a positive multiple of A_p .

Proof. Take an admissible coframe $\{\theta^{\alpha}\}$ for θ as follows:

(3.33)
$$\theta^{\alpha} = G_p^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\hat{\theta}^{\alpha} + 2i (\log G_p^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\alpha} \hat{\theta} \right).$$

Recall ([19, p.421]) that this coframe is taken to have $h_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. From (3.28) it follows that

(3.34)
$$\theta = G_p^{\frac{2}{n}}\hat{\theta} = \left(\frac{a_n}{2\pi}\rho^{-2n} + A_p + O(\rho)\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}\hat{\theta} \\ = \left(\frac{a_n}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\rho^{-4} + \frac{4\pi}{na_n}A_p\rho^{2n-4} + O(\rho^{2n-3})\right)\hat{\theta},$$

and (recall $\omega = t + i |z|^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.35) \\ \theta^{\alpha} &= G_{p}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\hat{\theta}^{\alpha} + 2i (\log G_{p}^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\alpha} \hat{\theta} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a_{n}}{2\pi} \rho^{-2n} + A_{p} + O(\rho) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \sqrt{2} dz^{\alpha} + \frac{2i}{n} G_{p}^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \left(\hat{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}} G_{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &= \left(\frac{a_{n}}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\rho^{-2} + \frac{2\pi}{na_{n}} A_{p} \rho^{2n-2} + O(\rho^{2n-1}) \right) \sqrt{2} dz^{\alpha} \\ &+ \left(\frac{a_{n}}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{2i}{n} \left(\frac{in}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{z^{\alpha} \omega}{\rho^{2n+4}} + O(1) \right) \left(\rho^{2n-2} + \frac{2(1-n)\pi}{na_{n}} A_{p} \rho^{4n-2} + O(\rho^{4n-1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} . \end{aligned}$$

Next we would like to express θ and θ^{α} in inverted CR normal coordinates (z_*, t_*) . If (z, t) are CR normal coordinates in a neighborhood U of p, we define the inverted CR normal coordinates as

$$z_*^{\alpha} = \frac{z^{\alpha}}{\omega}; \ t_* = -\frac{t}{|\omega|^2} \text{ on } U \setminus \{p\}, \ \omega = t + i|z|^2, \ |z|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |z^{\alpha}|^2.$$

Then we have

$$(3.36) \quad \theta = \left(\frac{a_n}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{4\pi}{na_n} A_p \rho_{*\rho}^{-2n} + O(\rho_*^{-2n-1})\right) (\mathring{\theta})_* \theta^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{a_n}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{na_n} A_p \rho_*^{-2n} + O(\rho_*^{-2n-1})\right) \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n a_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{2} dz_*^{\beta}\right) + \left(\frac{a_n}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2\pi}}{a_n} A_p \frac{z^{\alpha}}{\omega^2} \rho_*^{-2n+2} + O(\rho_*^{-2n-2})\right) (\mathring{\theta})_*$$

where

(3.37)
$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{(2i|z^{\alpha}|^2 - \omega)\rho^2}{\omega^2}, & \alpha = \beta \\ \frac{(2iz^{\alpha}z^{\bar{\beta}})\rho^2}{\omega^2}, & \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Changing coordinates (z_*, t_*) by rescaling to absorb constant $(a_n/2\pi)^{2/n}$, we obtain that

(3.38)
$$A \text{ in } (3.1) = (\frac{a_n}{2\pi})^2 A_p$$

Note that setting $\tilde{z}^{\alpha} = (a_n/2\pi)^{1/n} z_*^{\alpha}$, $\tilde{t} = (a_n/2\pi)^{2/n} t_*$ gives $\rho_*^{-2n} = (a_n/2\pi)^2 \tilde{\rho}^{-2n}$. We have shown that $\left(M \setminus \{p\}, J, \theta = G_p^{\frac{2}{n}} \hat{\theta}\right)$ is an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold. By (3.13) and (3.38) we also conclude that $m(J, \theta)$ is a positive multiple of A_p .

4. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

4.1. **Proof for** $m \geq 0$. First we need to find a positive spinor ψ satisfying the equation $D_{\xi}^2 \psi = 0$ and approaching a constant spinor at the infinity. Let us begin with the definition of weighted Folland-Stein spaces on the Heisenberg group H_n . See the Appendix for basic material about H_n . Let $\sigma := (1 + \rho^4)^{1/4}$ where we recall $\rho = ((\sum_{\beta=1}^n |z^{\beta}|^2)^2 + t^2)^{1/4}$. The weighted Lebesgue spaces $L_{\delta}^{\beta}(H_n), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, with weight $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ are the spaces of measurable functions in $L_{loc}^p(H_n)$ such that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{p,\delta}$ defined by

(4.1)
$$\|u\|_{p,\delta} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_{H_n} |u|^p \sigma^{-\delta p - Q} \stackrel{\circ}{\theta} \wedge (d \stackrel{\circ}{\theta})^n \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \le p < \infty \\ \text{ess } \sup_{H_n} (\sigma^{-\delta} |u|), & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

are finite. Here Q = 2n + 2 is the homogeneous dimension. The weighted Folland-Stein spaces $S_{k,\delta}^p(H_n)$ are now defined with respect to the norm

(4.2)
$$\|u\|_{k,p,\delta} := \sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\nabla^{j}u\|_{p,\delta-j},$$

where $\nabla^j u := \sum_{|I|=j} \mathring{e}_I u$, $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_j)$ a multiindex and $\mathring{e}_I u = \mathring{e}_{i_1} \cdots \mathring{e}_{i_j} u$ (see (7.17) for the definition of \mathring{e}_j). We can then extend the definition to the sections of \mathbb{S}^+ over an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold N with ∇ being a spin connection.

Proposition 4.1. ([11]) Suppose that (N, J, θ) is an asymptotically flat, pseudohermitian and spin manifold of dimension 5. Assume that J is spherical. Then for $0 < \eta < 4$, the square of the contact Dirac operator

(4.3)
$$D_{\xi}^2: S_{3,-\eta}^2(\mathbb{S}^+) \longrightarrow S_{1,-\eta-2}^2(\mathbb{S}^+)$$

is an isomorphism, where $S_{k,\delta}^p(\mathbb{S}^+)$ denotes the weighted Folland-Stein space of sections of \mathbb{S}^+ over N.

Proof. (sketch) By Corollary 2.8 (Weitzenbock formula for n = 2, dimension = 2n + 1 = 5), we have

$$(4.4) D_{\xi}^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + W$$

on $S^2_{3,-\eta}(\mathbb{S}^+)$, which is subelliptic. We can then apply similar ideas in [1] to show that (4.3) is an isomorphism (a subelliptic analogue of Proposition 2.2 in [1]. We refer the details to a separate paper [11].

Corollary 4.2. With the same assumptions and notations as in Proposition 4.1, let $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{S}^+$ be a spinor field on N which is constant near the infinity. Then there is a spinor field $\psi \in \mathbb{S}^+$ such that

(4.5)
$$D_{\xi}^{2}\psi = 0,$$

$$\psi - \psi_{0} \in S_{3,-4+\varepsilon}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{+}) \text{ for small } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Proof. The asymptotic conditions imply that connection forms acting on orthonormal frame fields are $O(\rho^{-5})$. It follows that $D_{\xi}\psi_0 \in S^2_{2,-5}(\mathbb{S}^+)$ and hence $D^2_{\xi}\psi_0 \in S^2_{1,-6+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{S}^+)$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore by Proposition 4.1 we find a unique $\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \in S^2_{3,-4+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{S}^+)$ by taking $\eta = 4 - \varepsilon$ such that $D^2_{\xi}\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} = -D^2_{\xi}\psi_0$. Then $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_{-4+\varepsilon}$ is the required spinor field.

Proposition 4.3. (Regularity for the decay order) With the same assumptions and notations as in Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, we have $D_{\xi}\psi \in S^2_{2,-6+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{S}^+)$.

Proof. From Corollary 4.2 and the asymptotic conditions, we learn that $D_{\xi}\psi = D_{\xi}\psi_0 + D_{\xi}\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \in S^2_{2,-5+\varepsilon}$ (omitting \mathbb{S}^+). We want to show that $D_{\xi}\psi$ gains one more decay order by applying the following scale-broken estimate: for $u \in S^2_{2,\delta}(\mathbb{S}^+)$ on H_2 it holds that

(4.6)
$$||u||_{2,2,\delta} \le C(||D_{\xi}^2 u||_{0,2,\delta-2} + ||u||_{L^2(B_{\bar{R}})})$$

where $B_{\bar{R}}$ is a Heisenberg ball of radius \bar{R} in H_2 (see [11]). Let χ_r denote a cutoff function on H_2 such that $\chi_r = 1$ on B_{r^2} and $\chi_r = 0$ on $H_2 \setminus B_{2r^2}$ where B_a denotes the Heisenberg ball of radius a. Let $\varphi_{1/r}$ be a family of (C^{∞}) smooth functions with compact support $\bar{B}_{1/r} \subset H_2$, tending to δ_0 , the delta function at the origin as $r \to \infty$. By identifying the end N_{∞} with $H_2 \setminus B_R$ through asymptotic coordinates, we extend ψ into B_R smoothly and denote the extension (now defined on H_2) by $\tilde{\psi}$. Consider

$$(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)} := (\chi_r(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})) * \varphi_{1/r} \text{ on } H_2$$

where "*" denotes the convolution with respect to the Heisenberg multiplication (see [3, Ch.10]). Observe that $(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)}$ has the following properties:

(4.7) (1)
$$(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)}(x) \rightarrow D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi}(x)$$
 as $r \rightarrow \infty$ since $\chi_r \rightarrow 1, \varphi_{1/r} \rightarrow \delta_0$,
(2) $(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)}(x)$ at $x = \infty$ has any decay order for a given r .

Note that $(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)} \in S^2_{2,\delta}(\mathbb{S}^+)$ on H_2 for any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ by (2) in (4.7). So we can substitute $u = (D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)}$ into (4.6). Observing that $D^2_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} = D^2_{\xi}\psi = 0$ on $H_2 \backslash B_R \cong N_{\infty}$, we compute

$$D_{\xi}(\chi_r(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})) = e_a(\chi_r)e_a \cdot D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} + \chi_r D_{\xi}^2\tilde{\psi}$$
$$= e_a(\chi_r)e_a \cdot D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} \text{ for } x \in H_2 \backslash B_R \cong N_{\infty}$$

and

$$(4.8) D_{\xi}^{2}(\chi_{r}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})) = e_{b}e_{a}(\chi_{r})e_{b} \cdot e_{a} \cdot D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} + e_{a}(\chi_{r})e_{b} \cdot \nabla_{e_{b}}^{LC}e_{a} \cdot D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} + e_{a}(\chi_{r})e_{b} \cdot e_{a} \cdot \nabla_{e_{b}}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})$$

Given a point p, we can choose an orthonormal frame field such that $\nabla_{e_b}^{LC} e_a = 0$ at p. Together with $e_b e_a = -e_a e_b - 2\delta_{ab}$ we reduce (4.8) to

$$(4.9) D_{\xi}^{2}(\chi_{r}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})) = e_{b}e_{a}(\chi_{r})e_{b} \cdot e_{a} \cdot D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} +e_{a}(\chi_{r})e_{a} \cdot D_{\xi}^{2}\tilde{\psi} - 2e_{a}(\chi_{r})\nabla_{e_{a}}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi}) = e_{b}e_{a}(\chi_{r})e_{b} \cdot e_{a} \cdot D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} - 2e_{a}(\chi_{r})\nabla_{e_{a}}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})$$

for $p \in H_2 \setminus B_R \cong N_\infty$. Note that in (4.9) $e_a(\chi_r) \sim \frac{1}{r^2}$, $e_b e_a(\chi_r) \sim \frac{1}{r^3}$ as r large and $D_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}$ (resp. $\nabla_{e_a}(D_{\xi} \tilde{\psi})$) decays at ∞ in order $-5 + \varepsilon$ (resp. $-6 + \varepsilon$). It follows that $||D_{\xi}^2(\chi_r(D_{\xi} \tilde{\psi}))||_{0,2,-8+\varepsilon} \leq C$, independent of r. So (see [3, Ch.10])) in view of (4.9) it holds that

$$D_{\xi,x}^2(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)}(x) = \int_{y \in B_{1/r} \subset H_2} D_{\xi,x}^2(\chi_r(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi}))(xy^{-1})\varphi_{1/r}(y)dV(y)$$

tends to 0 (resp. $D_{\xi,x}^2(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})(x)$) for $x \in H_2 \setminus B_R \cong N_\infty$ (resp. for $x \in B_R$) as $r \to \infty$ and it converges in the norm $|| \cdot ||_{0,2,-8+\varepsilon}$. Here we have used the Heisenberg translation invariance of D_{ξ} . On the other hand, it is easy to get $(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)} \to D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi}$ in $L^2(B_R)$ as $r \to \infty$. Now for r_1, r_2 large enough, by (4.6) we have

$$(4.10) ||(D_{\xi}\psi)_{(r_{1})} - (D_{\xi}\psi)_{(r_{2})}||_{2,2,-6+\varepsilon} \\ \leq C(||D_{\xi}^{2}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r_{1})} - D_{\xi}^{2}(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r_{2})}||_{0,2,-8+\varepsilon} + ||(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r_{1})} - (D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r_{2})}||_{L^{2}(B_{\bar{R}})}.$$

But the right hand side of (4.10) is small since $D_{\xi}^2(D_{\xi}\psi)_{(r)}$ and $(D_{\xi}\psi)_{(r)}$ are Cauchy in r with respect to their respective norms. Therefore $\{(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)}\}_r$ is a Cauchy sequence in r with respect to the norm $||\cdot||_{2,2,-6+\varepsilon}$. Thus as $r \to \infty$, $(D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi})_{(r)} \to D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi} \in S^2_{2,-6+\varepsilon}$. Since $D_{\xi}\psi = D_{\xi}\tilde{\psi}$ on $H_2 \setminus B_R \cong N_{\infty}$. It follows that $D_{\xi}\psi \in S^2_{2,-6+\varepsilon}$.

Choose a constant spinor $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{S}^+$ with $|\psi_0| = 1$ at infinity, and extend it to a smooth spinor on the whole space N. By (4.5) in Corollary 4.2 we can find a spinor field $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_{-4+\varepsilon}$ satisfying $D_{\xi}^2 \psi = 0$ and $\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \in S_{2,-4+\varepsilon}^2(\mathbb{S}^+)$. Now applying the Weitzenbock formula (2.21) to ψ and integrating by parts over the region $N_R = \{\rho \leq R\}$ (we abuse the notation; more accurately $N_R = N \setminus N_\infty$, N_∞ is diffeomorphic to $\{\rho > R\}$ in the Heisenberg group), we have

$$(4.11) \int_{N_R} |\nabla \psi|^2 + W |\psi|^2 dV \ (dV := \theta \wedge (d\theta)^2) = Re \int_{S_R} \langle \psi, \nabla_i \psi \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV \ (S_R := \partial N_R = \{\rho = R\}) = Re \int_{S_R} \left(\langle \psi_0, \nabla_i \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_0, \nabla_i \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle + \langle \psi_{-4+\varepsilon}, \nabla_i \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_{-4+\varepsilon}, \nabla_i \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle \right) e_i \lrcorner dV.$$

Since

(4.12)

$$\langle \psi_0, [e_j, e_k] \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0, (e_j e_k - e_k e_j) \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle e_j \psi_0, -e_k \psi_0 \rangle + \langle e_k \psi_0, e_j \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle e_k e_j \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle + \langle -e_j e_k \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle [e_k, e_j] \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle = - \langle [e_j, e_k] \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= -\overline{\langle \psi_0, [e_j, e_k] \psi_0 \rangle},$$

we have $Re\langle\psi_0, [e_j, e_k]\psi_0\rangle = 0$, and hence the first term in the RHS of (4.11) vanishes as $R \to \infty$. Furthermore, since $\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} = O(\rho^{-4+\varepsilon})$, $\nabla \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} = O(\rho^{-5+\varepsilon})$ and $\nabla \psi_0 = O(\rho^{-5+\varepsilon})$, the third and the fourth terms in the RHS of (4.11) also vanish.

Finally, we are going to show that the second term in the RHS of (4.11) will catch the p-mass as $R \to \infty$. For this, let L_i denote the operator

(4.13)
$$L_i = \nabla_i + e_i D_{\xi}$$

Noting that $e_i e_j = \frac{1}{2} [e_i, e_j] - \delta_{ij}$, we have

(4.14)
$$L_i = (\delta_{ij} + e_i e_j) \nabla_j = \frac{1}{2} [e_i, e_j] \nabla_j.$$

Let α denote the 3-form $\langle [e_i, e_j] \psi_0, \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_i \lrcorner e_j \lrcorner dV$. Then

(4.15)
$$d\alpha = -4 \left(\left\langle L_i \psi_0, \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \right\rangle - \left\langle \psi_0, L_i \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \right\rangle \right) e_i \lrcorner dV.$$

Therefore, by Stokes' theorem we have

(4.16)
$$\int_{S_R} \langle L_i \psi_0, \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV = \int_{S_R} \langle \psi_0, L_i \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV,$$

hence the second term in the RHS of (4.11) becomes

$$(4.17)$$

$$-Re \int_{S_{R}} \langle \psi_{0}, \nabla_{i}\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_{i} \lrcorner dV = Re \int_{S_{R}} \langle \psi_{0}, (e_{i}D_{\xi} - L_{i})\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_{i} \lrcorner dV$$

$$= Re \int_{S_{R}} \left(\langle \psi_{0}, -e_{i}D_{\xi}\psi_{0} \rangle - \langle L_{i}\psi_{0}, \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle + O(\rho^{-6+\varepsilon}) \right) e_{i} \lrcorner dV,$$

where in the last equality, we have used the fact $D_{\xi}\psi_{-4+\varepsilon} = -D_{\xi}\psi_0 + O(\rho^{-6+\varepsilon})$ by Proposition 4.3. As before, $\langle L_i\psi_0, \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle = O(\rho^{-9+2\varepsilon})$, so the second and third

terms in the RHS of (4.17) vanishes as $R \to \infty$. On the other hand

(4.18)
$$e_i D_{\xi} \psi_0 = e_i e_k \nabla_k \psi_0 = e_i e_k \left(e_k \psi_0 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,l=1}^{2n} \omega_m{}^l(e_k) e_l e_m \psi_0 \right).$$

Substituting (4.18) into (4.17), we get

$$(4.19) - Re \int_{S_R} \langle \psi_0, \nabla_i \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV = -\int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,l=1}^{2n} \omega_m{}^l(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV = \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_k{}^i(e_k) |\psi_0|^2 e_i \lrcorner dV + \sum_{m \neq i} \sum_{l \neq k} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l{}^m(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV,$$

where the first integral on the RHS of (4.19) is taken over all terms with m=i and l = k in the middle term, and the last integral in (4.19) is taken over all terms with either $m \neq i$ or $l \neq k$, and hence $m \neq i$ and $l \neq k$ (This is because that $Re\langle\psi, [e_j, e_k]\psi\rangle = 0$ for any spinor ψ). In addition, since $\omega_l{}^l = 0$, the last integral survives only for $m \neq i, l \neq k, l \neq m$ as well as $i \neq k$. It follows that

$$(4.20) \qquad \sum_{m \neq i} \sum_{l \neq k} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l^m(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$= \sum_{i \neq k, i \neq m, l \neq k, l \neq m} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l^m(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$= \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_k^i(e_k) |\psi_0|^2 e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$+ \sum_{(i,k,l,m) \in I_{(2)}} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l^m(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$

where the index set $I_{(n)}$ is defined as follows:

(4.21)
$$I_{(n)} := \{(i,k,l,m) : i \neq k, i \neq l, i \neq m, k \neq l, k \neq m, l \neq m, 1 \le i,k,l,m \le 2n\}.$$

Substituting (4.20) into (4.19) gives

$$(4.22) - Re \int_{S_R} \langle \psi_0, \nabla_i \psi_{-4+\varepsilon} \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$= -\int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,l=1}^{2n} \omega_m{}^l(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$= \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{2} \omega_k{}^i(e_k) |\psi_0|^2 e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$+ \sum_{(i,k,l.m) \in I_{(2)}} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l{}^m(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$

Putting (4.22) into (4.11), letting $R \to \infty$ and using (3.22), (3.23) and Lemma 4.4 below, we obtain a Witten-type formula

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{N} |\nabla \psi|^{2} + W |\psi|^{2} dV = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{m}(J,\theta) + 16(c_{2} - \widetilde{c}_{2})\alpha_{2}\Omega_{2}A \\ = \left(16\left[(2\sqrt{2} + 1)c_{2} + (\sqrt{2} - 1)\widetilde{c}_{2}\right]\alpha_{2}\Omega_{2}\right)A.$$

This shows that $A \ge 0$, and hence the p-mass is nonnegative by (3.13). In the proof above we have used the following result.

Lemma 4.4. For the case n = 2, it holds that

(4.24)
$$\sum_{\substack{(i,k,l,m)\in I_{(2)}}} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l^m(e_k) Re\langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$
$$= 16(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2) \alpha_2 \Omega_2 A, \quad as \ R \to \infty,$$

Remark 4.5. For blow-ups through the Green's function, we have $c_2 > \tilde{c}_2$. Note that (4.24) may not hold in general for n > 2.

Proof. First for any positive spinor ψ , $(e_1e_3 + e_2e_4)\psi = 0$ implies

$$\langle \psi, e_1 e_3 e_2 e_4 \psi \rangle = \langle -e_1 e_3 \psi, e_2 e_4 \psi \rangle$$

= $\langle e_2 e_4 \psi, e_2 e_4 \psi \rangle$
= $\langle \psi, e_4 e_2 e_2 e_4 \psi \rangle = |\psi|^2.$

Therefore $Re\langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle = \pm |\psi_0|^2$ for any indices i, k, l, m such that each one is different from others. Let

$$I = \sum_{(i,k,l.m)\in I_{(2)}} \int_{S_R} \frac{1}{4} \omega_l^m(e_k) Re \langle \psi_0, e_i e_k e_l e_m \psi_0 \rangle e_i \lrcorner dV$$

(see (4.21) for the definition of the index set ${\cal I}_{(2)}).$ Then we have

(4.25)

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_R} \left[(-\omega_1{}^2(e_3) + \omega_1{}^3(e_2) - \omega_2{}^3(e_1))\omega^2 \right] |\psi_0|^2 \wedge \theta \wedge \omega^1 \wedge \omega^3 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_R} \left[(\omega_1{}^3(e_4) - \omega_1{}^4(e_3) + \omega_3{}^4(e_1))\omega^4 \right] |\psi_0|^2 \wedge \theta \wedge \omega^1 \wedge \omega^3 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_R} \left[(\omega_1{}^2(e_4) - \omega_1{}^4(e_2) + \omega_2{}^4(e_1))\omega^1 + \right] |\psi_0|^2 \wedge \theta \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega^4 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_R} \left[(\omega_2{}^4(e_3) - \omega_2{}^3(e_4) - \omega_3{}^4(e_2))\omega^3 \right] |\psi_0|^2 \wedge \theta \wedge \omega^2 \wedge \omega^4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2(-\omega_1{}^2(e_3) + \omega_1{}^3(e_2) - \omega_2{}^3(e_1)) \\ &= -(\theta_1{}^2 + \theta_1{}^{\bar{2}})(iZ_1 - iZ_1) - 2i\theta_1{}^1(Z_2 + Z_2) + i(\theta_2{}^1 - \theta_2{}^{\bar{1}})(Z_1 + Z_1) \\ &= 2i(\theta_1{}^2(Z_1) + \theta_2{}^1(Z_1) - \theta_1{}^1(Z_2) - \theta_1{}^1(Z_2)) \\ \end{aligned}$$
(4.26)
$$\begin{aligned} &= 2i(\theta_1{}^2(\mathring{Z}_1) + \theta_2{}^1(\mathring{Z}_1) - \theta_1{}^1(\mathring{Z}_2) - \theta_1{}^1(\mathring{Z}_2)) + \text{ h.d.o.t. (by (3.2))} \\ &= 2i(C_1{}^2{}_1 + B_2{}^1 - B_1{}^1{}_2 - C_1{}^1{}_2) + \text{ h.d.o.t. (by (3.6))} \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho^8}(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)A(z^{\bar{2}}\bar{\omega} + z^2\omega) + \text{ h.d.o.t. (by (3.7), (3.8))} \end{aligned}$$

(h.d.o.t. means "higher decay order term(s)"). Similarly, we have

(4.27)
$$2(\omega_1{}^3(e_4) - \omega_1{}^4(e_3) + \omega_3{}^4(e_1)) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}i}{\rho^8}(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)A(z^{\bar{2}}\bar{\omega} - z^2\omega) + \text{ h.d.o.t.},$$

(4.28)

$$2(\omega_1^2(e_4) - \omega_1^4(e_2) + \omega_2^4(e_1))$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho^8}(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)A(z^{\bar{1}}\bar{\omega} + z^1\omega) + \text{ h.d.o.t.}$$

$$2(\omega_2^4(e_3) - \omega_2^3(e_4) + \omega_3^4(e_2))$$

(4.29)
$$= \frac{2\sqrt{2}i}{\rho^8} (c_2 - \tilde{c}_2) A(z^{\bar{1}}\bar{\omega} - z^1\omega) + \text{ h.d.o.t.}.$$

Substituting (4.26),(4.27),(4.28),(4.29) into (4.25), we have, modulo the higher decay order terms (h.d.o.t. in short),

$$\begin{split} I &= \frac{(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)}{\sqrt{2}} A \int_{S_R} |\psi_0|^2 \frac{(z^{\bar{2}}\bar{\omega}\theta^2 + z^2\omega\theta^2) \wedge \theta \wedge \frac{i}{2}\theta^1 \wedge \theta^1 + (z^{\bar{1}}\bar{\omega}\theta^1 + z^1\omega\theta^1) \wedge \theta \wedge \frac{i}{2}\theta^2 \wedge \theta^2}{\rho^8} \\ &= \frac{(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)}{\sqrt{2}} A \int_{S_R} |\psi_0|^2 \frac{\sum_{\beta=1}^2 (z^{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\omega}\theta^\beta + z^\beta\omega\theta^{\bar{\beta}})}{\rho^8} \wedge \frac{i}{2}\theta \wedge (\theta^1 \wedge \theta^{\bar{1}} + \theta^2 \wedge \theta^{\bar{2}}) \\ &= \frac{(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)A}{2} \int_{S_R} |\psi_0|^2 \frac{\sum_{\beta=1}^2 (z^{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\omega}dz^\beta + z^\beta\omega dz^{\bar{\beta}})}{\rho^8} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\theta} \wedge d\overset{\circ}{\theta} \text{ (by (3.1), (3.27)).} \end{split}$$

Therefore, by (3.18) we have

(4.31)
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} I = 16(c_2 - \tilde{c}_2)\alpha_2\Omega_2A.$$

4.2. The case m = 0. Next, we prove that if $m(J, \theta) = 0$, then N is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group H_2 as a pseudohermitian manifold.

Lemma 4.6. The *p*-mass $m(J, \theta) = 0$ implies the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature W = 0.

Proof. This is immediately obtained from the Witten-type formula (4.23) and note that $W \ge 0$.

Lemma 4.7. The *p*-mass $m(J, \theta) = 0$ implies the torsion (forms) $\tau^{\beta} = A^{\beta}{}_{\bar{\gamma}}\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \equiv 0$ for all β .

Proof. Motivated by the idea of Schoen and Yau in [26], we consider the flow φ_s generated by the Reeb vector field T of N, and set $J_s = \varphi_s^* J$. $(\dot{J} = L_T J = 2iA^\beta \alpha \theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes Z_\beta - 2iA^{\bar{\beta}} \alpha \theta^{\alpha} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}}$, see (7.16)). We need the following proposition.

Proposition 4.8. Suppose $m(J, \theta) = 0$. For s, |s| small enough, there is a unique positive function u_s on N such that $(N, J_s, u_s^{2/n} \theta)$ is asymptotically flat with zero Tanaka-Webster curvature, and

(4.32)
$$m(J_s, u_s^{2/n}\theta) = C'_n \int_N W_s u_s \ \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$$

for some negative constant C'_n , where W_s denotes the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature with respect to (J_s, θ) .

Proof. (of Proposition 4.8) In order for the structure $(J_s, u_s^{2/n}\theta)$ to be scalar flat, the function u_s must satisfy

$$(4.33) b_n \Delta_{b(s)} u_s + W_s u_s = 0$$

where $\Delta_{b(s)}$ denotes the sublaplacian with respect to (J_s, θ) . Let W_{s-} denote the negative part of W_s , meaning that $W_{s-}(x) := \min\{W_s(x), 0\}$. Write $dV_{\theta} := \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$. For |s| small enough, W_s is not too negative in the sense that

(4.34)
$$\left(\int_{N} |W_{s-}|^{n+1} dV_{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$$

for some given small ε_0 (which we will specify later). Using (4.34) and the Sobolev type inequality $(p = b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n})$

(4.35)
$$\left(\int_{N} |u|^{p} \ dV_{\theta} \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{N} |\nabla_{b(s)}u|^{2} \ dV_{\theta} \right)^{1/2}$$

for any function u with compact support on N, we have

$$(4.36) \qquad \int_{N} \left\langle (b_{n} \Delta_{b(s)} + W_{s})u, u \right\rangle \, dV_{\theta}$$

$$= b_{n} \int_{N} |\nabla_{b(s)}u|^{2} \, dV_{\theta} + \int_{N} W_{s}u^{2} \, dV_{\theta}$$

$$\geq b_{n} \int_{N} |\nabla_{b(s)}u|^{2} \, dV_{\theta} - \int_{N} |W_{s-}|u^{2} \, dV_{\theta}$$

$$\geq b_{n} \int_{N} |\nabla_{b(s)}u|^{2} \, dV_{\theta} - \left(\int_{N} |W_{s-}|^{n+1} dV_{\theta}\right)^{1/(n+1)} \left(\int_{N} u^{p} \, dV_{\theta}\right)^{2/p}$$

$$\geq (b_{n} - \varepsilon_{0}C^{2}) \int_{N} |\nabla_{b(s)}u|^{2} \, dV_{\theta}.$$

Choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small so that $b_n - \varepsilon_0 C^2 > 0$. The estimate (4.36) implies that $b_n \Delta_{b(s)} + W_s$ is **coercive** for |s| small. Therefore there exists a solution v_s of

$$(4.37) b_n \Delta_{b(s)} v_s + W_s v_s = W_s$$

on N, which decays to zero at infinity. More precisely, using estimates similar to those of Lemma 3.2 in [26], together with (3.31), one finds that

(4.38)
$$v_s = \frac{1}{c\pi\rho^{2n}} \int_N (W_s - W_s v_s) \, dV_\theta + O(\rho^{-2n-1})$$

near ∞ for some c > 0. Let $u_s = 1 - v_s$. Then, by (4.37) u_s is the unique positive function u_s on N satisfying $b_n \Delta_{b(s)} u_s + W_s u_s = 0$. Therefore, $(N, J_s, u_s^{2/n} \theta)$ is asymptotically flat with zero Tanaka-Webster curvature. It follows from (4.38) that

$$u_{s} = 1 - \frac{1}{c\pi\rho^{2n}} \int_{N} W_{s} u_{s} \, dV_{\theta} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})$$

and hence (4.39)

$$\begin{aligned} u_s^{p-2}\theta &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{c\pi\rho^{2n}} \int_N W_s u_s \ dV_\theta + O(\rho^{-2n-1})\right)^{p-2} \\ &\left(\left(1 + c_n A \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})\right)\mathring{\theta} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})_\beta dz^\beta + O(\rho^{-2n-1})_{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\bar{\beta}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \left[\frac{(p-2)}{c\pi} \int_N W_s u_s \ dV_\theta\right] \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})\right) \mathring{\theta} \\ &+ O(\rho^{-2n-1})_\beta dz^\beta + O(\rho^{-2n-1})_{\bar{\beta}} dz^{\bar{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second equality of (4.39), we have used A = 0 by (3.13) since $m(J, \theta) = 0$ by assumption. Therefore, from (4.39) the p-mass formula (4.32) follows by comparing (3.13) with (3.1).

Now we proceed to prove lemma 4.7. Generalizing [7, (2.20)] to higher dimensions, we have

(4.40)
$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}W_s = \sum_{\alpha,\gamma} i(E_{\alpha\gamma,\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}} - E_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma},\gamma\alpha}) - n\sum_{\alpha,\gamma} (A_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}}E_{\alpha\gamma} + A_{\alpha\gamma}E_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\gamma}})$$

where $\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} J_s = 2E = 2E_{\gamma}{}^{\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\gamma} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}} + 2E_{\bar{\gamma}}{}^{\beta}\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes Z_{\beta}$ with $E_{\alpha\gamma} = -iA_{\alpha\gamma}$. From (4.32) and (4.40), we have

$$(4.41)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}m(J_s, u_s^{2/n}\theta) = C'_n \int_N \left(\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} W_s \cdot u_0 + W_0 \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} u_s\right) dV_\theta$$

$$= C'_n \int_N \left(\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} W_s dV_\theta, \quad (u_0 = 1, \ W_0 = 0),$$

$$= -2nC'_n \int_N \sum_{\alpha, \gamma} |A_{\alpha\gamma}|^2 dV_\theta$$

$$> 0 \quad (C'_n < 0)$$

if some $A_{\alpha\gamma} \neq 0$ at some point, where for the third equality we have used the divergence theorem and the decay order of the torsion. Therefore, if the torsion does not vanish identically, we can construct an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold $(N, J_s, u_s^{2/n}\theta)$ for s < 0, |s| small with zero Tanaka-Webster curvature and negative p-mass by (4.41). This contradicts (4.23) and Lemma 4.7 follows.

Lemma 4.9. The *p*-mass $m(J, \theta) = 0$ implies the pseudohermitian curvature $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\rho\bar{\sigma}} \equiv 0$.

Proof. Recall ([20]) that if $A_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ (implied by Lemma 4.7), then we have the Bianchi identity

(4.42)
$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\rho\bar{\sigma},\gamma} - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\sigma},\rho} &= 0;\\ R_{\rho\bar{\sigma},\gamma} - R_{\gamma\bar{\sigma},\rho} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the contracted identity

(4.43)
$$R_{\gamma\bar{\sigma},\sigma} = 0.$$

24

That N is spherical implies

$$(4.44) \qquad 0 = S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\rho\bar{\sigma}} = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\rho\bar{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{n+2} (R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}h_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + R_{\rho\bar{\beta}}h_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}} + \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}R_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + \delta_{\rho}{}^{\beta}R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}}) + \frac{W}{(n+1)(n+2)} (\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}h_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + \delta_{\rho}{}^{\beta}h_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}}).$$

Taking covariant derivative of (4.44), we obtain via Lemma 4.6 (W = 0)

(4.45)
$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\rho\bar{\sigma},\gamma} = \frac{1}{n+2} (R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}h_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + R_{\rho\bar{\beta},\gamma}h_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}} + \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}R_{\rho\bar{\sigma},\gamma} + \delta_{\rho}{}^{\beta}R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma},\gamma});$$

and (by interchanging ρ and γ)

(4.46)
$$R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\sigma},\rho} = \frac{1}{n+2} (R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\rho}h_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} + R_{\gamma\bar{\beta},\rho}h_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}} + \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}R_{\gamma\bar{\sigma},\rho} + \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma},\rho});$$

Subtracting (4.46) from (4.45) and using the Bianchi identities (4.42), we get

(4.47)
$$0 = \frac{1}{n+2} (R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma}h_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} + \delta_{\rho}{}^{\beta}R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma},\gamma} - R_{\alpha\bar{\beta},\rho}h_{\gamma\bar{\sigma}} - \delta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta}R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma},\rho}).$$

Considering (4.47) for $\beta = \gamma$ and taking the sum over β , we have in view of (4.43)

$$0 = \frac{1}{n+2}(-nR_{\alpha\bar{\sigma},\rho}).$$

That is, $R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}}$ is parallal and hence vanishing since N is asymptotically flat. This together with (4.44) gives the pseudohermitian curvature $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\rho\bar{\sigma}} \equiv 0$.

Take $q_0 \in N_{\infty} = N \setminus N_0$, a simply connected neighborhood. By Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.9, we find a pseudohermitian isomorphism between N_{∞} and its image Vin H_2 . Call $\Psi: V \to N_{\infty}$, the inverse of this map. Note that Ψ is an isometry with respect to the adapted (Webster's) metrics $L_{\hat{\theta}} + \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta}$ and $L_{\theta} + \theta \otimes \theta$ (recall that L_{θ} denotes the Levi metric, cf. (7.1)) respectively. Observe that the distance between q_0 and ∞ is ∞ and so $V \subset H_2$ must be a neighborhood of ∞ by a simple topological argument. Now extend Ψ to a covering map $\tilde{\Psi}: H_2 \to N$ via the pseudohermitian development. Note that V is contained in a fundamental domain. If $\tilde{\Psi}$ is not 1-1, then there are at least two fundamental domains. But one of them (the one which contains V) has infinite volume while any other one has finite volume. The contradiction shows $\tilde{\Psi}$ is 1-1 and a pseudohermitian isomorphism. This concludes $N \simeq H_2$ as pseudohermitian manifolds. We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. (of Corollary 1.2) Consider the blow-up $(N = M \setminus \{p\}, J, \theta = G_p^{2/n}\hat{\theta})$. By Proposition 3.7 (1) (N, J, θ) is asymptotically flat. It is obvious that $N = M \setminus \{p\}$ $\subset M$ is spin since M is spin. From the transformation law (7.11) it follows that $W_{J,\theta} = 0$ on N. We can now apply Theorem 1.1 to complete the proof. \Box

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let (M^{2n+1}, J, θ) be a closed pseudohermitian manifold with the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature $W = W_{J,\theta} > 0$. For each point $p \in M^{2n+1}$, let G_p be the Green's function (exists since $W_{J,\theta} > 0$) of the CR invariant sublaplacian L_b with pole at p, namely $0 < G_p \in C^{\infty}(M^{2n+1} \setminus \{p\})$ such that $L_bG_p = 16\delta_p$ (3.30) where $L_b =$ $b_n\Delta_b + W$, $b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$. Recall (3.32) that the blow-up (or the "generalized Cayley transform") at p is the noncompact pseudohermitian manifold $(M^{2n+1} \setminus \{p\}, J, G_p^{\frac{2}{n}}\theta)$. By the transformation law (7.11), we obtain $W_{J,G_p^{\frac{2}{n}}\theta} = 0$ on $M^{2n+1} \setminus \{p\}$. Let $\hat{\theta} = G_p^{\frac{2}{n}}\theta$ and the volume form $dV_{\hat{\theta}} := \hat{\theta} \wedge (d\hat{\theta})^n$. A standard cut-off function argument implies that

(5.1) $\mathcal{Y}(M^{2n+1}, J) = \inf_{\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(M \setminus \{p\})} \frac{\int_{M \setminus \{p\}} b_n |\nabla_b^{\hat{\theta}} \phi|^2 \, dV_{\hat{\theta}}}{(\int_{M \setminus \{p\}} |\phi|^{b_n} \, dV_{\hat{\theta}})^{2/b_n}},$

(see (7.13) for the definition of the CR Yamabe constant $\mathcal{Y}(M^{2n+1}, J)$) where the infimum is taken for $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(M \setminus \{p\})$ with both numerator and denominator finite. We remark that by a routine approximation argument, the set of test functions may be enlarged to consist of positive Lipchitz functions on $M^{2n+1} \setminus \{p\}$ with both numerator and denominator finite, which is called the set of **admissible** test functions. Let $E_{\hat{\theta}}(\phi) := \int_{M \setminus p} b_n |\nabla_{\hat{\theta}}^{\hat{\theta}} \phi|^2 \, dV_{\hat{\theta}}$. Let $s = b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$ and $|| \cdot ||_s$ denote the L^s -norm with respect to the volume $dV_{\hat{\theta}}$. We have the following test function estimate.

Theorem 5.1. With the notations above, we assume that (M, J) is a closed spherical CR manifold of dimension 2n + 1. Then for each $n \ge 1$ we construct a family of test functions ϕ_{β} such that

(5.2)
$$E_{\hat{\theta}}(\phi_{\beta}) \leq \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J}) \|\phi_{\beta}\|_{2+\frac{2}{n}}^{2} - C_{n}A_{p}\beta^{-2n} + O(\beta^{-2n-1})$$

for β large, where A_p is the constant in the expansion of the Green's function G_p (see (3.28)) and C_n is a positive dimensional constant.

5.1. The constant in the expansion of the Green's function. By Proposition 3.7 (1) (with notations θ and $\hat{\theta}$ switched), $G_p^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot \theta$ is asymptotically flat. Hence writing $G_p^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot \theta = h^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot \mathring{\theta}$ in asymptotic coordinates (z,t) (recall (3.36) rescaled to absorb $(a_n/2\pi)^{2/n}$) for a positive smooth function h = h(z,t), we have

(5.3)
$$h(\infty) = \lim_{(z,t)\to\infty} h(z,t) = 1$$
$$h(z,t) = 1 + \frac{a_n}{2\pi} A_p \cdot \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1}),$$

where $\rho = \rho(z,t) = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$ and A_p is the constant in the expansion of the Green's function G_p (see (3.28)). We would like to remark that the constant A_p doesn't depend on the choice of local coordinates near $p \in M^{2n+1}$.

5.2. Test function estimate: proof of Theorem 5.1. First, let us recall that the family of extremals to Sobolev inequality on the Heisenberg group $(H^n, \mathring{\theta})$ (suppressing the obvious CR structure \mathring{J}) is given by the following

$$u_{\beta}(z,t) = \beta^n \cdot |\omega + i\beta^2|^{-n}$$

where $\omega = t + i|z|^2$ and $\beta > 0$. The family $\{u_\beta(z,t) : \beta > 0\}$ satisfy the following CR Yamabe equation on $(H^n, \mathring{\theta})$:

(5.4)
$$D_{\mathring{\theta}}(u_{\beta}/K_{n}) = \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J}) \cdot (u_{\beta}/K_{n})^{1+\frac{2}{n}}$$

where $||u_{\beta}||_s = K_n$ (recall $s = b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$), $D_{\hat{\theta}} = b_n \Delta_b^{\hat{\theta}}$ (= L_b on $(H^n, \hat{\theta})$ since W = 0) and $\mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J})$ is the CR Yamabe constant of the standard CR sphere

 (S^{2n+1}, \hat{J}) . Recall that $\|\cdot\|_s$ denotes the L^s -norm with repect to the volume form $dV_{\hat{\theta}} = \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^n$.

We would like to transplant the family $\{u_{\beta}(z,t): \beta > 0\}$ onto manifold M^{2n+1} near a point p. Let (z,t) be a system of asymptotic coordinates in $H^n \setminus (\text{big compact}$ set) near p such that $(z(p), t(p)) = \infty$ and (z, t) is near ∞ . We consider the following family of level sets $(\subset H^n)$ parametrized by β :

(5.5)
$$\{(z,t): \ u_{\beta} = \beta^{n} \cdot |\omega + i\beta^{2}|^{-n} = \beta^{-n} \cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{-1}\},\$$

where $\varepsilon = R \cdot \beta^{-2}$ with R being a fixed large positive number. Denote the interior of the level set containing ∞ by $U_{\beta}(\infty)$. We have the following lemma

Lemma 5.2. For $\beta >> R$: we have

(5.6)
$$\{(z,t): |z|^2 > \frac{R\gamma_2}{2}\} \subset U_\beta(\infty) \subset \{(z,t): \ \rho(z,t)^2 \ge \frac{\gamma_1}{2}R\}.$$

for some constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, 0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$, which are independent of β .

Proof. Define

(5.7)
$$f_{\beta}(z,t) = \left(\frac{t}{\beta^2}\right)^2 + 2\left|\frac{z}{\beta}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{z}{\beta}\right|^4,$$

then

(5.8)
$$u_{\beta}(z,t) = \beta^{-n} \cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow f_{\beta}(z,t) = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1.$$

That is, for each fixed β , we may rewrite the level set in (5.5) in the following form:

(5.9)
$$\{(z,t): f_{\beta}(z,t) = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1\}$$

If $\beta \geq \sqrt{R}$, then, by the binomial series, we have

(5.10)
$$(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{n} \\ k \end{array} \right) \varepsilon^{k} - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{n} \\ k \end{array} \right) \varepsilon^{k}$$
$$= \beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{n} \\ k \end{array} \right) \varepsilon^{k-1},$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{n} \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\frac{2}{n}(\frac{2}{n}-1)(\frac{2}{n}-2)\cdots(\frac{2}{n}-k+1)}{k!}$. We have $(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 = 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$ for n = 1

and, $(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}}-1 = \varepsilon$ for n = 2. On the other hand, since the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {\binom{2}{n} \choose k} \varepsilon^{k-1}$ is an alternating series for $n \ge 3$, it is easy to see that

(5.11)
$$\beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \left[\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{n} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{n} \\ 2 \end{array} \right) \varepsilon \right] \le (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \le \beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{n} \\ 1 \end{array} \right),$$

which implies that

(5.12)
$$\beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \frac{n+2}{n^2} \le (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \le \beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \frac{2}{n}.$$

We hence conclude that

(5.13)
$$\beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \gamma_1 \le (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \le \beta^{-2} \cdot R \cdot \gamma_2,$$

for some constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, 0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$, which are independent of β . Now suppose that $(z,t) \in U_{\beta}(\infty)$, i.e., $f_{\beta}(z,t) > (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1$. In terms of (5.13), this implies that

 $\beta^4 f_\beta(z,t) > \beta^2 \cdot R \cdot \gamma_1$. We can rewrite this inequality as $\rho^4 + 2|z|^2\beta^2 > \beta^2 \cdot R \cdot \gamma_1$, which implies

(5.14)
$$\rho^4 + 2\beta^2 \rho^2 > \beta^2 \cdot R \cdot \gamma_1.$$

Inequality (5.14) is equivalent to

(5.15)
$$\rho^2 > -\beta^2 + \sqrt{\beta^4 + R\gamma_1 \beta^2}.$$

On the other hand, suppose that a is an arbitrary positive constant such that $\gamma_1 - 2a > 0$. We have $(\gamma_1 - 2a)\beta^2 > a^2R$, for $\beta >> 1$. This is equivalent to

$$(5.16) \qquad \qquad \beta^2 R \gamma_1 > 2a R \beta^2 + a^2 R^2$$

If we add β^4 on both side of (5.16), we get

(5.17)
$$\beta^4 + \beta^2 R \gamma_1 > (\beta^2 + aR)^2,$$

which, together with (5.15), implies that

$$(5.18) \qquad \qquad \rho^2 > aR$$

for any *a* with $\gamma_1 - 2a > 0$. We hence prove that $U_\beta(\infty) \subset \{(z,t) : \rho(z,t) \geq (\frac{\gamma_1}{2}R)^{1/2}\}$. Finally, in terms of (5.13), we have

(5.19)
$$\{(z,t): \rho^4 + 2|z|^2\beta^2 > R\gamma_2\beta^2\} \subset U_\beta(\infty),$$

which implies

(5.20)
$$\{(z,t): |z|^4 + 2|z|^2\beta^2 > R\gamma_2\beta^2\} \subset U_\beta(\infty),$$

or, equivalently,

(5.21)
$$\{(z,t): |z|^2 > -\beta^2 + \sqrt{\beta^4 + R\gamma_2\beta^2}\} \subset U_\beta(\infty).$$

Define $g(\beta)$ to be the function $g(\beta) = -\beta^2 + \sqrt{\beta^4 + R\gamma_2\beta^2}$. A straightforward computation shows that $g'(\beta) > 0$ for all $\beta > 0$ and $g(\beta) \to \frac{R\gamma_2}{2}$ as $\beta \to \infty$. We thus have that $g(\beta) \leq \frac{R\gamma_2}{2}$ for all $\beta > 0$. This, together with (5.21), shows that

(5.22)
$$\{(z,t): |z|^2 > \frac{R\gamma_2}{2}\} \subset U_\beta(\infty).$$

We therefore complete the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Since $U_{\beta}(\infty)$ is contained in a fixed neighborhood of ∞ for all large β , we may fix coordinates (z,t) as described earlier and transplant the family of extremals $\{u_{\beta}(z,t): \beta > 0\}$ onto manifold M^{2n+1} near the point p as follows:

(5.23)
$$\phi_{\beta} = \begin{cases} u_{\beta}(z,t) & \text{in } U_{\beta}(\infty) \\ \beta^{-n} \cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{-1} & \text{elsewhere in } M^{2n+1} \setminus p. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check directly that $\{\phi_{\beta}: \beta > 0\}$ is a family of admissible test functions.

Let us use $U_{\beta}(L)$ to denote $U_{\beta}(\infty) \cap B_L(0)$. Note that in $U_{\beta}(\infty)$, we have $\hat{\theta} = h(z,t)^{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot \hat{\theta}(z,t)$ (with *h* having the expansion in (5.3)). It then follows that

(5.24)
$$E_{\hat{\theta}}(\phi_{\beta}) = \int_{M \setminus \{p\}} b_n |\nabla_b^{\hat{\theta}} \phi_{\beta}|^2 \, dV_{\hat{\theta}}$$
$$= \int_{U_{\beta}(\infty)} b_n |\nabla_b^{\hat{\theta}} \phi_{\beta}|^2 \cdot h^2 \, dV_{\hat{\theta}}$$
$$= \lim_{L \to \infty} \int_{U_{\beta}(L)} b_n |\nabla_b^{\hat{\theta}} \phi_{\beta}|^2 \cdot h^2 \, dV_{\hat{\theta}}.$$

Using the divergence theorem, we compute

(5.25)
$$\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} b_{n} |\nabla_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{\theta}} \phi_{\beta}|^{2} \cdot h^{2} dV_{\hat{\theta}}$$
$$= \int_{U_{\beta}(L)} D_{\hat{\theta}}(u_{\beta}) \cdot u_{\beta} \cdot h^{2} dV_{\hat{\theta}} - \int_{U_{\beta}(L)} b_{n} \cdot u_{\beta} \langle \nabla_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{\theta}} u_{\beta}, \nabla_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{\theta}}(h^{2}) \rangle dV_{\hat{\theta}}$$
$$+ n \int_{\partial U_{\beta}(L)} b_{n} \cdot u_{\beta}(e_{2n}u_{\beta}) \cdot h^{2} \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} \wedge e^{n}.$$

(recall that $D_{\hat{\theta}} = b_n \Delta_b^{\hat{\theta}}$) In what follows, we would like to demonstrate that the first term in the right hand side of (5.25) enables us to compare with $\mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J})$; the second term gives us a crucial term and the third term turns out to be higher order term. For the first term, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 5.3. For all large L, it holds that

$$\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} D_{\mathring{\theta}}(u_{\beta}) \cdot u_{\beta} \cdot h^2 \ dV_{\mathring{\theta}} \leq \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}, \hat{J}) \|\phi_{\beta}\|_s^2$$

where $\|\phi_{\beta}\|_s$ (recall $s = 2 + \frac{2}{n}$) denotes the L^s -norm with respect to the volume form $dV_{\hat{\theta}} = \hat{\theta} \wedge (d\hat{\theta})^n$ (recall that $\hat{\theta}$ is the contact form on $M^{2n+1} \setminus p$).

Proof. Recall that $K_n = \left[\int_{H^n} u_{\beta}^s dV_{\Theta}\right]^{1/s}$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathring{\theta}}(u_{\beta}/K_n) = \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}) \cdot (u_{\beta}/K_n)^{s-1},$$

where we recall s = 2 + 2/n. Using this identity, and by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} D_{\hat{\theta}}(u_{\beta}) \cdot u_{\beta} \cdot h^{2} dV_{\hat{\theta}} = \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}) K_{n}^{2-s} \int_{U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}^{s} \cdot h^{2} dV_{\hat{\theta}} \\ &\leq \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}) K_{n}^{2-s} \left[\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}^{s} dV_{\hat{\theta}} \right]^{(s-2)/s} \left[\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} (u_{\beta}h)^{s} dV_{\hat{\theta}} \right]^{2/s} \\ &\leq \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}) \left[\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}^{s} \cdot h^{s} dV_{\hat{\theta}} \right]^{2/s} \leq \mathcal{Y}(S^{2n+1}) \|u_{\beta}\|_{s}^{2}, \end{split}$$

where $||u_{\beta}||_s$ is taken with respect to the contact form $\hat{\theta}$ and notice that $dV_{\hat{\theta}} = h^s dV_{\hat{\theta}}$. The lemma hence follows.

For the second term, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 5.4. For all large L, it holds that

$$\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta} \left\langle \nabla_{b}^{\mathring{\theta}} u_{\beta}, \nabla_{b}^{\mathring{\theta}}(h^{2}) \right\rangle \, dV_{\mathring{\theta}} \geq C_{n} \cdot A_{p} \cdot \beta^{-2n} + O(\beta^{-2n-1})$$

where C_n is a positive dimensional constant and A_p is the constant in the expansion of the Green's function G_p (3.28).

Proof. Recall that $\mathring{Z}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} + i z^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}), \ \omega = t + i |z|^2$ and $h = h(z, t) = 1 + A_p \cdot \rho^{-2n} + O(\rho^{-2n-1})$ (5.3). After a straightforward computation, we have

(5.26)
$$\begin{split} \mathring{Z}_{\alpha}u_{\beta} &= -\frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}\beta^{n}\frac{iz^{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{\omega}-i\beta^{2})}{|\omega+i\beta^{2}|^{n+2}}, \ \mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}}\rho^{-2n} = in\rho^{-2(n+2)}z^{\alpha}\omega, \\ \mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}}h^{2} &= \sqrt{2}A_{p}(\mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}}\rho^{-2n}) + O(\rho^{-2n-2}). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$u_{\beta} \langle \nabla_{b}^{\theta} u_{\beta}, \nabla_{b}^{\theta}(h^{2}) \rangle$$

$$= u_{\beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} (\mathring{Z}_{\alpha} u_{\beta}) (\mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}} h^{2}) + \text{conjugate}$$

$$= \left[2A_{p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} u_{\beta} (\mathring{Z}_{\alpha} u_{\beta}) (\mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}} \rho^{-2n}) \right] + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} u_{\beta} (\mathring{Z}_{\alpha} u_{\beta}) O(\rho^{-2n-2}) + \text{conjugate.}$$

Using (5.26), it is easy to check that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} u_{\beta}(\mathring{Z}_{\alpha}u_{\beta})(\mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}}\rho^{-2n}) = \frac{n^2}{2}\beta^{2n} \frac{\rho^{-2(n+2)}(|\omega|^2 + \beta^2|z|^2)|z|^2 - i(\rho^{-2(n+2)}\beta^2t|z|^2)}{|\omega + i\beta^2|^{2n+2}}$$

and thus, using the non-isotropic scaling $\hat{t} = t/\beta^2$, $\hat{z} = z/\beta$ and notice that

$$dV_{\mathring{\theta}} = dV_{\mathring{\theta}}(z,t) = \beta^{2n+2} dV_{\mathring{\theta}}(\hat{z},\hat{t}),$$

we have

(5.28)
$$\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} 2A_{p} \Big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} u_{\beta}(\mathring{Z}_{\alpha}u_{\beta})(\mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}}\rho^{-2n}) + \text{conjugate} \Big) dV_{\dot{\theta}}$$
$$= 2n^{2}A_{p}\beta^{-2n} \int_{U_{\beta}(L)} \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}^{-2(n+2)}(|\hat{\omega}|^{2} + |\hat{z}|^{2})|\hat{z}|^{2}}{|\hat{\omega} + i|^{2n+2}} \right) dV_{\dot{\theta}}(\hat{z}, \hat{t})$$

It is easy to see that the integrand on the right hand side of (5.28) is a function of $O(\hat{\rho}^{-6n-2})$ and that $U_{\beta}(\infty)$ contains a fixed neighborhood of ∞ for all large β (by Lemma 5.2), we conclude that, as $L \to \infty$,

$$\int_{U_{\beta}(L)} 2A_p \Big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n u_{\beta}(\mathring{Z}_{\alpha}u_{\beta})(\mathring{Z}_{\bar{\alpha}}\rho^{-2n}) + \text{conjugate}\Big) dV_{\mathring{\theta}} \ge \tilde{C}_n A_p \beta^{-2n},$$

for some positive dimensional constant \tilde{C}_n . It is similar to check that the integral of the higher decay order of (5.27) is of order β^{-2n-1} , we thus complete the proof of the lemma (with $C_n = \tilde{C}_n \frac{a_n}{2\pi}$).

Finally, we need to estimate the boundary term.

Lemma 5.5. It holds that

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \int_{\partial U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}(e_{2n}u_{\beta}) \cdot h^2 \ \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} \wedge e^n = O(\beta^{-2n-1}).$$

Proof. We first split the boundary $\partial U_{\beta}(L)$ into inner and outer parts:

$$\partial U_{\beta}(L) = \partial_1 U_{\beta}(L) \cup \partial_2 U_{\beta}(L),$$

where the inner boundary is

$$\partial_1 U_\beta(L) = \{(z,t) : (\frac{t}{\beta^2})^2 + 2|\frac{z}{\beta}|^2 + |\frac{z}{\beta}|^4 = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1\}$$

and the outer boundary is

$$\partial_2 U_\beta(L) = \{(z,t) : t^2 + |z|^4 = L^4\},\$$

which actually is the Heisenberg sphere with radius L. First we estimate the outer boundary. For each fixed β , on $\partial_2 U_\beta(L)$, we have

$$u_{\beta}(z,t) = O(L^{-2n}), \ |\nabla_{b}^{\hat{\theta}}u_{\beta}(z,t)| = O(L^{-2n-1}),$$

$$h(z,t) = 1 + A_{p}L^{-2n} + O(L^{-2n-1}),$$

and hence

(5.29)
$$|u_{\beta}(e_{2n}u_{\beta}) \cdot h^2| = O(L^{-4n-1}).$$

On the other hand, let $d\sigma(\rho) = \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} \wedge e^n$ be the *p*-area form on the Heisenberg sphere $\{z,t\}: t^2 + |z|^4 = \rho^4$. Then we have $d\sigma(L) = L^{2n+1}d\sigma(1)$, which, together with (5.29), implies that

(5.30)
$$|\int_{\partial U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}(e_{2n}u_{\beta}) \cdot h^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\theta} \wedge (d\stackrel{\circ}{\theta})^{n-1} \wedge e^{n}| = O(L^{-2n}).$$

Next, we estimate the inner boundary. Let $d\sigma = \mathring{\theta} \wedge (d\mathring{\theta})^{n-1} \wedge e^n$ be the *p*-area form on the inner boundary. Using the non-isotropic scaling $\hat{t} = t/\beta^2$, $\hat{z} = z/\beta$, we have

(5.31)
$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma &= \beta^{2n+1} d\hat{\sigma} \\ u_{\beta} &= \beta^n \cdot |\omega + i\beta^2|^{-n} = \beta^{-n} \cdot |\hat{\omega} + i|^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla_b^{\hat{\theta}}\omega| = 2|z|$, it follows that

(5.32)
$$|\nabla_{b}^{\hat{\theta}}u_{\beta}| \leq c_{n}\beta^{n}|\omega + i\beta^{2}|^{-n-1} = c_{n}\beta^{-n-1}|\hat{\omega} + i|^{-n-1}|\hat{z}|.$$

From (5.31), (5.32), and notice that h is bounded, we have

(5.33)
$$\int_{\partial_1 U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}(e_{2n}u_{\beta}) \cdot h^2 \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\partial_1 U_{\beta}(L)} c_n |\hat{\omega} + i|^{-2n-1} |\hat{z}| d\hat{\sigma},$$

here $\partial_1 U_\beta(L) = \{(\hat{z}, \hat{t}) : \hat{t}^2 + 2|\hat{z}|^2 + |\hat{z}|^4 = (1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1\}$. And, from (5.13), it is easy to see that

(5.34)
$$|\hat{\omega}+i|^2 = \hat{t}^2 + 2|\hat{z}|^2 + |\hat{z}|^4 + 1 = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} \le \frac{R\gamma_2}{\beta^2} + 1$$

on $\partial_1 U_\beta(L)$. Substituting (5.34) into (5.33), we obtain

(5.35)
$$\int_{\partial_1 U_{\beta}(L)} u_{\beta}(e_{2n}u_{\beta}) \cdot h^2 \, d\sigma \leq c_n \left(1 + \frac{R\gamma_2}{\beta^2}\right)^{-(2n+1)/2} \int_{\partial_1 U_{\beta}(L)} |\hat{z}| d\hat{\sigma}$$
$$\leq c_n \left(1 + \frac{R\gamma_2}{\beta^2}\right)^{-(2n+1)/2} \int_{\partial_1 U_{\beta}(L)} |\hat{z}| d\hat{A},$$

where $d\hat{A}$ is the area form with respect to the Riemannian metric induced from the adapted metric $\frac{1}{2}d\hat{\theta}(\cdot, J\cdot) + \hat{\theta}^2$ of $(M^{2n+1}, \hat{\theta})$ and, for the last inequality, we have used the basic result $d\hat{\sigma} \leq d\hat{A}$. Now, using the Euclidean dilation $\tilde{t} = \hat{t}\beta$, $\tilde{z} = \hat{z}\beta$, we have

$$d\hat{A} = \beta^{-2n} d\tilde{A},$$

$$\partial_1 U_\beta(L) = \left\{ (\tilde{z}, \tilde{t}) : \tilde{t}^2 + 2|\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{|\tilde{z}|^4}{\beta^2} = \beta^2 \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \right) \right\}.$$

Let $C(R) = \beta^2 \left((1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \right).$ Then, from (5.13),

$$R \cdot \gamma_1 \le C(R) \le R \cdot \gamma_2,$$

where γ_1, γ_2 are independent of β . Therefore

(5.36)
$$\int_{\partial_1 U_{\beta}(L)} |\hat{z}| d\hat{A} \leq \beta^{-2n-1} \int_{\left\{ (\tilde{z}, \tilde{t}) : \tilde{t}^2 + 2|\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{|\tilde{z}|^4}{\beta^2} = C(R) \right\}} |\tilde{z}| d\tilde{A}$$
$$= O(\beta^{-2n-1}),$$

where, for the last equality, we have used the fact that, as $\beta \to \infty$

$$\int_{\left\{(\tilde{z},\tilde{t}):\tilde{t}^{2}+2|\tilde{z}|^{2}+\frac{|\tilde{z}|^{4}}{\beta^{2}}=C(R)\right\}}|\tilde{z}|d\tilde{A}\to\int_{\left\{(\tilde{z},\tilde{t}):\tilde{t}^{2}+2|\tilde{z}|^{2}=C(R)\right\}}|\tilde{z}|d\tilde{A},$$

which is a finite number. Due to (5.35) and (5.36), the lemma follows.

By Lemma 5.3, Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we reduce (5.25) to (5.2). We have completed the proof of Theorem 5.1.

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By Corollary 1.2 we obtain that the associated pmass $m \ge 0$. In case m > 0, we have $A_p > 0$ by Proposition 3.7 (2). It follows from (5.2) in Theorem 5.1 that

$$\mathcal{Y}(M,J) < \mathcal{Y}(S^5,\hat{J}).$$

Here we have used $\mathcal{Y}(M,J) \leq E_{\hat{\theta}}(\phi_{\beta})/\|\phi_{\beta}\|_s^2$ by the definition of $\mathcal{Y}(M,J)$. Then a fundamental theorem in [17] tells us that $\mathcal{Y}(M,J)$ can be attained by a minimizer. In case m = 0, we conclude that (M,J) is CR equivalent to (S^5, \hat{J}) by Corollary 1.2. Then the standard contact form on S^5 is a minimizer to attain $\mathcal{Y}(S^5, \hat{J})$.

6. Examples

In this section we are going to provide many examples satisfying the assumption of Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, namely those closed (compact with no boundary), contact spin 5-manifolds which admit a spherical CR structure with positive CR Yamabe constant. **Example 1.** $(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p, p: \text{odd integer})$ Let S^5 denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^3 . Let $\mathbb{Z}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ denote the finite cyclic group of order p. \mathbb{Z}_p acts on \mathbb{C}^3 through the diagonal matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{p}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{p}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{p}} \end{array}\right) \in U(3)$$

where k = 0, 1, 2, ..., p - 1 and it induces a free action on S^5 . So S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p is a manifold. The standard contact structure (or bundle) $\hat{\xi}$ on S^5 is given by the complex invariant tangent bundle:

$$\hat{\xi} := TS^5 \cap J_{\mathbb{C}^3}TS^5$$

where $J_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ denotes the complex structure of \mathbb{C}^3 . Since $J_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action, $\hat{\xi}$ is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action too. So S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p is a contact manifold with the induced contact structure, still denoted as $\hat{\xi}$.

To see whether S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p is spin, we observe that $H^2(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ if p is an odd integer. This fact can be seen as follows. First we will use the known result below:

(6.1)
$$H^{k}(S^{5}/\mathbb{Z}_{p},\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \ k = 0, 5$$
$$= 0, \ k = 1, 3$$
$$= \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \ k = 2, 4$$

By Poincare duality we learn that $H_2(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H_1(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^4(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_p$. It follows that

(6.2)
$$H^2(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong Hom(H_2(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus Ext(H_1(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2))$$

= $0 \oplus Ext(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p,2)} = 0$

if p is an odd integer (so (p, 2) = 1). Now the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(T(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p)) \in H^2(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ by (6.2), so $w_2(T(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p)) = 0$. Therefore S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p is spin when p is an odd integer. We remark that $\mathbb{RP}^5 := S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is not spin (see, for instance, Proposition 4.5 on page 235 in [16]).

The standard CR structure \hat{J} on $(S^5, \hat{\xi})$ defined by $J_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ restricted on $\hat{\xi}$ decends to S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p since the \mathbb{Z}_p -action preserves \hat{J} . This CR structure on S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p is spherical since \hat{J} is. The standard contact form $\hat{\theta}$ on S^5 [17, p.176] is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_p -action. So it decends to S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p . On the other hand, the pseudohermitian (or Tanaka-Webster) scalar curvature with respect to $(\hat{J}, \hat{\theta})$ is a positive constant. It follows that the CR Yamabe constant for S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p is positive.

Example 2. $(S^4 \times S^1_{(a)}, a > 1)$ Let H_n be the Heisenberg group (see the first paragraph of the Appendix for the description). On $H_n \setminus \{0\}$, for a > 1 we define the dilations τ_a by

$$\tau_a(z,t) = (az, a^2 t)$$

where $(z,t) \in H_n$, $z = (z^1, ..., z^n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the contact form

$$\check{\theta} := \frac{\theta}{\rho^2}$$

on $H_n \setminus \{0\}$, where

$$\overset{\circ}{\theta} := dt + iz^{\beta}dz^{\beta} - iz^{\beta}dz^{\beta} \rho := (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$$

(cf. (7.18), (7.20)). Observe that $\tau_a^*(\check{\theta}) = \check{\theta}$ and $(\tau_a)_* \mathring{J} = \mathring{J}(\tau_a)_*$ (cf. (7.18)), i.e. τ_a is a pseudohermitian automorphism of $(H_n \setminus \{0\}, \mathring{J}, \check{\theta})$. So the pseudohermitian structure $(\mathring{J}, \check{\theta})$ decends to the quotient space $(H_n \setminus \{0\})/\Gamma_a$ where $\Gamma_a := \{..., \tau_{a^{-1}}, 1, \tau_a, \tau_{a^2}, ...\}$. In particular, $((H_n \setminus \{0\})/\Gamma_a, \mathring{J})$ is a spherical CR manifold since (H_n, \mathring{J}) is spherical. Topologically $H_n \setminus \{0\} = (0, \infty) \times S^{2n}(1)$ where $S^{2n}(1) := \{\rho = 1\}$ $\subset H_n$. For a > 1 each slice $[a^{m-1}, a^m) \times S^{2n}(1)$ is isomorphic to one another as pseudohermitian manifolds through an element of Γ_a . Thus we use $S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)}$ (to indicate the dependence on a; topologically $S^1_{(a)}$ is the same as S^1) to denote the quotient space $(H_n \setminus \{0\})/\Gamma_a$.

Next we claim that $H^2(S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)}, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ and hence $S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)}$ is spin (since $w_2(S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)})$ will then be zero). Noting that $Tor(H^p(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_2), H^q(S^1, \mathbb{Z})) = 0$ since $H^q(S^1, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group for each q, we then have, from the Kunneth formula (e.g. p.123 in [29]),

(6.3)
$$H^{2}(S^{2n} \times S^{1}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \otimes \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{p+q=2} H^{p}(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \otimes H^{q}(S^{1}, \mathbb{Z})$$
$$= H^{2}(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \otimes H^{0}(S^{1}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^{1}(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \otimes H^{1}(S^{1}, \mathbb{Z})$$

in view of $H^2(S^1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. For $n \ge 2$ we can easily obtain $H^2(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_2) = H^1(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ = 0 (say, apply the universal coefficient theorem for $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ in Theorem 3.14 on page 97 in [29], note that Ext (free abelian, \cdot) = 0 and use the fact that $H_2(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$ = $H_1(S^{2n}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$). Substituting this into (6.3) and noting that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, we obtain $H^2(S^{2n} \times S^1, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ for $n \ge 2$.

It is not hard to compute the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature W for $(S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)}, J_0, \check{\theta})$ as follows (cf. p.994 in [8]):

$$W = \frac{n(n+1)|z|^2}{2\rho^2}.$$

Note that W is nonnegative, but only vanishes on the circle $\{(0,t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}^*\}/\Gamma_a$. It follows that the CR Yamabe constant $\mathcal{Y}(S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)}, \mathring{J}) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise we have $\mathcal{Y}(S^{2n} \times S^1_{(a)}, \mathring{J}) = 0$. Then by solving the Yamabe minimizer problem ([17]), we can find (smooth) u > 0 such that

$$(2+\frac{2}{n})\Delta_b u + Wu = 0$$

(note that our Δ_b is the negative sublaplacian). Multiplying the above equation by u and integrating give

$$\int_{S^{2n}\times S^1_{(a)}} \left[(2+\frac{2}{n})|\nabla_b u|^2 + Wu^2\right]\check{\theta} \wedge (d\check{\theta})^n = 0.$$

It follows that $u \equiv 0$, a contradiction. Altogether we have shown that $S^4 \times S^1_{(a)}$, a > 1, is a contact spin 5-manifold which admits a spherical CR structure \mathring{J} with positive CR Yamabe constant.

Example 3 ($\mathbb{RP}^5 \notin \mathbb{RP}^5$) Although $\mathbb{RP}^5 := S^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is not spin as remarked in Example 1, the connected sum of two copies of \mathbb{RP}^5 is indeed spin. This fact can be seen as follows. First we define a \mathbb{Z}_2 -action τ (identified with $\tau(1)$) on $S^4 \times S^1$ by

$$\tau: ((x_1, ..., x_5), z) \to ((-x_1, ..., -x_5), \overline{z})$$

where the coordinates are given in view of $S^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ and $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ as the unit sphere and the unit circle respectively. It is not hard to see that topologically or differentiably $\mathbb{RP}^5 \ \sharp \ \mathbb{RP}^5$ has a S^1 fibration over \mathbb{RP}^4 , which is the same as $(S^4 \times S^1)/\tau$. We compute the tangent bundle

(6.4)
$$T(\mathbb{RP}^5 \sharp \mathbb{RP}^5) \simeq T((S^4 \times S^1)/\tau)$$
$$\simeq T(S^4 \times S^1)/\tau.$$

On the other hand, $T(S^4 \times S^1) \simeq TS^4 \oplus TS^1 \simeq TS^4 \oplus \mathcal{E}^1 \simeq \mathcal{E}^5$ where \mathcal{E}^1 and \mathcal{E}^5 denote the trivial bundle of rank 1 and rank 5 respectively. Together with (6.4) we conclude that $T(\mathbb{RP}^5 \sharp \mathbb{RP}^5)$ is trivial. Therefore $\mathbb{RP}^5 \sharp \mathbb{RP}^5$ is spin. It is clear that \mathbb{RP}^5 is a spherical CR 5-manifold with CR Yamabe constant $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{RP}^5) > 0$ since its Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature is a positive constant, same as the standard pseudohermitian S^5 .

To show that $\mathbb{RP}^5 \#\mathbb{RP}^5$ in Example 3 is still a spherical CR 5-manifold with $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{RP}^5 \#\mathbb{RP}^5) > 0$, we employ the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. ([4]) Suppose (M_1, J_1) and (M_2, J_2) are two closed (compact with no boundary), spherical CR manifolds with $\mathcal{Y}(M_k, J_k) > 0$ for k = 1, 2. Then their connected sum $M_1 \# M_2$ admits a spherical CR structure \tilde{J} with $\mathcal{Y}(M_1 \# M_2, \tilde{J}) > 0$.

We remark that Theorem 6.1 still holds without the constraint on CR manifolds being spherical (see [5]). On the other hand, we have the following fact about the connected sum of two spin manifolds:

Remark 6.2. ([24]; Remark 2.17 on p.91 in [23]) Given two spin manifolds M_1 and M_2 , we can equip their connected sum $M_1 \# M_2$ with a spin structure (so that $M_1 \# M_2$ and the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$ are spin cobordant).

According to Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.2, we conclude the following result:

Proposition 6.3. The connected sum of finitely many (duplication allowed) 5manifolds chosen arbitrarily from the set consisting of S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p , p: odd integer, $S^4 \times S^1_{(a)}$, a > 1 and $\mathbb{RP}^5 \ \# \mathbb{RP}^5$ in Examples 1, 2 and 3 above is still a closed, contact spin 5-manifold which admit a spherical CR structure with positive CR Yamabe constant.

7. APPENDIX: SOME BASIC MATERIALS IN PSEUDOHERMITIAN GEOMETRY

We introduce some basic materials in pseudohermitian geometry. Some formulas are used to deduce the Weizenbock formula in Section 2. We refer the reader to N. Tanaka [28] and S. Webster [30].

Let (M^{2n+1},ξ) denote a contact manifold with a coorientable (i.e. TM/ξ is trivial) contact structure (or bundle) ξ . A CR manifold (M^{2n+1},ξ,J) or (M^{2n+1},J) (with ξ suppressed) is a contact manifold (M^{2n+1},ξ) equipped with an almost complex structure, i.e. an endomorphism $J: \xi \to \xi$ defined on ξ such that $J^2 = -1$. The endomorphism J decomposes the complexification of ξ into the direct sum of bundles of holomorphic vectors and anti-holomorphic vectors $\xi \otimes \mathbb{C} = \xi_{1,0} \oplus \xi_{0,1}$. We assume that J is integrable, that is, J satisfies the formal Frobenius condition $[\xi_{1,0},\xi_{1,0}] \subset \xi_{1,0}$ (as sections). A contact form θ is a global one-form such that $\xi = \ker \theta$ (exists by coorientation of ξ). A pseudohermitian manifold (M^{2n+1}, J, θ) (with ξ suppressed) is a contact manifold with a choice of CR structure J together with a choice of contact form θ . The Levi metric L_{θ} is defined by

(7.1)
$$L_{\theta}(X,Y) := \frac{1}{2}d\theta(X,JY) \text{ for all } X,Y \in \xi$$

(we use the convention that $\eta \wedge \vartheta(V, W) = \eta(V)\vartheta(W) - \eta(W)\vartheta(V)$ for 1-forms η , ϑ , vectors V, W). Let T denote the Reeb vector field associated to θ , the unique vector field such that $\theta(T) = 1$ and $L_T \theta = 0$ (L_T means the Lie derivative in the direction T). For a choice of (admissible) coframe θ^{α} with $\theta^{\alpha}(T) = 0$, we have the Levi equation

(7.2)
$$d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}.$$

In 1978, S. Webster [30] (cf. an equivalent formulation in [28] by N. Tanaka) showed that there is a natural connection in the bundle $\xi_{1,0}$ adapted to a pseudohermitian structure (J, θ) . Locally, there exist unique 1-forms $\theta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ (connection forms), τ^{β} (torsion forms) satisfying the structure equations

(7.3)
$$d\theta^{\beta} = \theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta_{\alpha}^{\ \beta} + \theta \wedge \tau^{\beta}, \\ 0 = \theta_{\alpha}^{\ \beta} + \theta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\ \bar{\alpha}}, \ 0 = \tau_{\beta} \wedge \theta^{\beta}$$

where $\{\theta^{\beta}\}\$ is a unitary coframe (meaning $h_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$). Let $\{Z_{\beta}\}\$ denote a unitary frame of $\xi_{1,0}$ dual to $\{\theta^{\beta}\}$. These forms $\theta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}$ satisfy the transformation law of connection forms, so we can use them to define a connection. Let T denote the Reeb vector field associated to θ , the unique vector field such that $\theta(T) = 1$ and $L_T \theta = 0$ (L_T means the Lie derivative in the direction T). The **pseudohermitian** (or Tanaka-Webster) **connection** $\nabla^{p.h.}$ is defined by

(7.4)
$$\nabla^{p.h.} Z_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \otimes Z_{\beta}$$
$$\nabla^{p.h.} Z_{\bar{\alpha}} = \theta_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\beta}} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}}$$
$$\nabla^{p.h.} T = 0.$$

Differentiate the connection to define the curvature: $d\theta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} - \theta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \wedge \theta_{\gamma}{}^{\beta} = R_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}}\theta^{\rho} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\sigma}} + \text{terms including the torsion.}$ The pseudohermitian-Ricci tensor is the hermitian form on $\xi_{1,0}$ defined by

$$\rho(X,Y) = R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} X^{\alpha} Y^{\beta},$$

where $X = X^{\alpha}Z_{\alpha}, Y = Y^{\beta}Z_{\beta}$ and $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. The Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature is

(7.5)
$$W := R_{\beta}{}^{\beta}$$

which is the contraction of the pseudohermitian-Ricci tensor. We can also have "real formulation" for the pseudohermitian structure (J, θ) . Write $\theta^{\alpha} = \omega^{\alpha} + i\omega^{n+\alpha}$ for real coframe fields $\{\omega^1, ..., \omega^n, \omega^{n+1}, ..., \omega^{2n}\}$ and $Z_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(e_{\alpha} - ie_{n+\alpha})$ for real frame

fields $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}\}$ (orthonormal with respect to the Levi metric L_{θ}). It is easily seen that $\{\omega^A\}_{A=1,...,2n}$ is dual to $\{e_A\}_{A=1,...,2n}$. Write

(7.6)
$$\nabla^{p.h.}e_A = \omega_A \ ^Be_B$$

for real connection forms $\omega_A {}^B$, $1 \leq A$, $B \leq 2n$. Comparing (7.4) with (7.6) gives

(7.7)
$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} &= \omega_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} + i\omega_{\alpha}{}^{n+\beta} \text{ and} \\ \omega_{\alpha}{}^{n+\beta} &= -\omega_{n+\alpha}{}^{\beta}, \omega_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \omega_{n+\alpha}{}^{n+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

From the condition $0 = \theta_{\alpha}^{\ \beta} + \theta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\ \bar{\alpha}}$ in (7.3) and (7.7), it follows that

$$\omega_A {}^B + \omega_B {}^A = 0, \ 1 \le A, B \le 2n.$$

Note that if we denote the scalar curvature associated to ω_A^{B} by R, then we have

$$W = \frac{1}{4}R.$$

Let $u_{\alpha\beta}$ denote the second covariant derivative of a function u in the directions Z_{α}, Z_{β} . Define the subgradient ∇_b and the sublaplacian Δ_b (or ∇_b^{θ} and Δ_b^{θ} to indicate the dependence on θ) by

(7.9)
$$\nabla_b u := u^{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} + u^{\bar{\alpha}} Z_{\bar{\alpha}},$$
$$\Delta_b u := -(u_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha} + u_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\ \bar{\alpha}})$$

(notice the negative sign) where $u_{\alpha}^{\ \alpha} := u_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = u_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$ for a unitary frame $(h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})^{-1} = (h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})^{-1} = \delta_{\alpha\beta})$. Define the *CR* invariant sublaplacian L_b by

(7.10)
$$L_b := b_n \Delta_b + W, \ b_n = 2 + \frac{2}{n}.$$

Consider a new contact form $\hat{\theta} = u^{2/n}\theta$ for a smooth positive function u. L_b rules the change of the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature:

$$(7.11) L_b u = \hat{W} u^{1+\frac{2}{n}}$$

where \hat{W} is the Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature with respect to $(J, \hat{\theta})$. The Green's function G_p of L_b at p satisfies

$$(7.12) L_b G_p = 16\delta_p$$

where δ_p is the delta function w.r.t. the volume form $dV_{\theta} := \theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$. We define the CR Yamabe constant $\mathcal{Y}(M, J)$ as follows:

(7.13)
$$\mathcal{Y}(M,J) := \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \frac{\int_{M} \hat{W} dV_{\hat{\theta}}}{(\int_{M} dV_{\hat{\theta}})^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} = \inf_{0 < u \in C^{\infty}(M)} \frac{\int_{M} (b_{n} |\nabla_{b}u|^{2} + Wu^{2}) dV_{\theta}}{(\int_{M} u^{b_{n}} dV_{\theta})^{\frac{2}{b_{n}}}}$$

where $|\nabla_b u|^2 := 2h^{\alpha \overline{\beta}} u_{\alpha} u_{\overline{\beta}}$. Given a background W with respect to (J, θ) , we aim to find a solution u to (7.11) with $\hat{W} = \text{constant}$, say 1 This is the so called Yamabe problem. The CR Yamabe equation (with critical Sobolev exponent) for $\hat{W} = 1$ reads as follows:

(7.14)
$$b_n \Delta_b u + W u = u^{1+\frac{2}{n}}.$$

The structure equations imply $(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ for a unitary (co)frame)

(7.15)
$$\begin{aligned} [Z_{\bar{\beta}}, Z_{\alpha}] &= ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}T + \theta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}(Z_{\bar{\beta}})Z_{\gamma} - \theta_{\bar{\beta}}{}^{\gamma}(Z_{\alpha})Z_{\bar{\gamma}} \\ [Z_{\beta}, Z_{\alpha}] &= \theta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}(Z_{\beta})Z_{\gamma} - \theta_{\beta}{}^{\gamma}(Z_{\alpha})Z_{\gamma}, \\ [Z_{\alpha}, T] &= A^{\bar{\gamma}}{}_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\gamma}} - \theta_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}(T)Z_{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have written the torsion (forms) $\tau^{\beta} = A^{\beta} {}_{\bar{\alpha}} \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $A^{\bar{\gamma}} {}_{\alpha} = \overline{A^{\gamma} {}_{\bar{\alpha}}}$. Let L_T denote the Lie differentiation in the direction *T*. From (7.3) and the third equality in (7.15), it follows that

(7.16)
$$L_T J = 2iA^{\beta} {}_{\bar{\alpha}} \theta^{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes Z_{\beta} - 2iA^{\beta} {}_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha} \otimes Z_{\bar{\beta}}$$

As a flat pseudohermitian manifold, the Heisenberg group plays an important role in pseudohermitian geometry. We refer the reader to [2] and [12] for the details about the Heisenberg group, and to [13],[19],[20] and [30] for pseudohermitian geometry. Denote by H_n the Heisenberg group, which is the space \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} with coordinates $(x_{\beta}, y_{\beta}, t)$ as a set. It is a (2n + 1)-dimensional Lie group with group structure defined by

$$(x, y, t) \circ (x', y', t') = (x + x', y + y', t + t' + 2yx' - 2xy')$$

The associated Lie algebra is spanned by the following left invariant vector fields

(7.17)
$$\mathring{e}_{\beta} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\beta}} + 2y^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \quad \mathring{e}_{n+\beta} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\beta}} - 2x^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \quad \mathring{T} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

The associated standard CR structure J and contact form θ (or denoted by Θ) are defined respectively by

(7.18)
$$\hat{J} \mathring{e}_{\beta} = \mathring{e}_{n+\beta}, \ \hat{J} \mathring{e}_{n+\beta} = -\mathring{e}_{\beta},$$
$$\mathring{\theta} = dt + \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} (iz^{\beta} dz^{\overline{\beta}} - iz^{\overline{\beta}} dz^{\beta}).$$

Here $z^{\beta} := x^{\beta} + iy^{\beta}$. The contact bundle is $\mathring{\xi} := \ker \mathring{\theta}$. We linearly extend $\mathring{J} : \mathring{\xi} \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathring{\xi} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathring{Z}_{\beta} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathring{e}_{\beta} - i\mathring{e}_{n+\beta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\beta}} + iz^{\overline{\beta}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$. Then for all $\beta, \gamma, \mathring{J}\mathring{Z}_{\beta} = i\mathring{Z}_{\beta}, \mathring{J}\mathring{Z}_{\overline{\beta}} = -i\mathring{Z}_{\overline{\beta}}$ and $[\mathring{Z}_{\beta}, \mathring{Z}_{\gamma}] = 0$ $\left(\Rightarrow [\mathring{\xi}_{1,0}, \mathring{\xi}_{1,0}] \subset \mathring{\xi}_{1,0}\right)$ where $\mathring{\xi} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathring{\xi}_{1,0} \oplus \mathring{\xi}_{0,1}$. It is easily seen that the frame $\{\mathring{T}, \mathring{Z}_{\beta}, \mathring{Z}_{\overline{\beta}}\}$ is dual to the coframe $\{\mathring{\theta}, \sqrt{2}dz^{\beta}, \sqrt{2}dz^{\overline{\beta}}\}$. If we regard $\{\mathring{e}_{\beta}, \mathring{e}_{n+\beta}|1 \leq \beta \leq n\}$ as an orthonormal basis, then this defines a metric on $\mathring{\xi}$, which equals the Levi metric $L_{\mathring{\theta}}$ given by $L_{\mathring{\theta}}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}d\mathring{\theta}(X, \mathring{J}Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathring{\xi}$. The standard pseudohermitian connection on H_n is defined by

$$\mathring{\nabla}^{p.h.}\mathring{e}_{\beta} = \mathring{\nabla}^{p.h.}\mathring{e}_{n+\beta} = \mathring{\nabla}^{p.h.}\mathring{T} = 0$$

It follows that the pseudohermitian connection forms $\mathring{\theta}_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ vanish:

(7.19)
$$\check{\theta}_{\alpha}^{\ \gamma} = 0$$

We define the Heisenberg norm ρ on H_n by

(7.20)
$$\rho^4 = (|z|^4 + t^2)$$

where $|z|^2 = \sum_{\beta=1}^n |z^{\beta}|^2$.

Define the torsion tensor field of the pseudohermitian connection $\nabla^{p.h.}$ by

$$\mathbb{T}(V,U) = \nabla_V^{p.h.}U - \nabla_U^{p.h.}V - [V,U],$$

for all complex vector fields V, U. Then (7.15) implies

(7.21)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}(Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\overline{\beta}}) &= ih_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}T, \\ \mathbb{T}(Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\beta}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{T}(Z_{\alpha},T) = -A^{\beta}{}_{\alpha}Z_{\bar{\beta}}.$$

The real version of (7.21) is

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}(e_{\beta}, e_{n+\beta}) &= 2T, \quad \mathbb{T}(e_{n+\beta}, e_{\beta}) = -2T, \quad \mathbb{T}(e_{a}, e_{b}) = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}; \\ \mathbb{T}(e_{\gamma}, T) &= -(ReA^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\gamma})e_{\beta} + (ImA^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\gamma})e_{n+\beta} \\ \mathbb{T}(e_{n+\gamma}, T) &= (ImA^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\gamma})e_{\beta} + (ReA^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\gamma})e_{n+\beta} \end{split}$$

Recall that the curvature operator is defined by

$$R_{XY}^{p.h.} = \nabla_X^{p.h.} \nabla_Y^{p.h.} - \nabla_Y^{p.h.} \nabla_X^{p.h.} - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{p.h.}.$$

And the Ric Tensor is defined by

(7.22)
$$Ric^{p.h.}(X,Y) = -\langle R_{e_a X}^{p.h.}(e_a), Y \rangle$$

We have the following Bianchi identity

Lemma 7.1 (Bianchi identity).

(7.23)
$$\begin{aligned} R_{XY}^{p.h.}(Z) + R_{YZ}^{p.h.}(X) + R_{ZX}^{p.h.}(Y) \\ = \mathbb{T}(X, [Y, Z]) + \mathbb{T}(Y, [Z, X]) + \mathbb{T}(Z, [X, Y]) \\ + \nabla_X^{p.h.}(\mathbb{T}(Y, Z)) + \nabla_Y^{p.h.}(\mathbb{T}(Z, X)) + \nabla_Z^{p.h.}(\mathbb{T}(X, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if all X, Y, Z are horizontal, then we have

(7.24)
$$\begin{array}{l} R_{XY}^{p.h.}(Z) + R_{YZ}^{p.h.}(X) + R_{ZX}^{p.h.}(Y) \\ = \mathbb{T}(X, [Y, Z]) + \mathbb{T}(Y, [Z, X]) + \mathbb{T}(Z, [X, Y]), \quad mod \ T. \end{array}$$

Proof. The formula (7.24) follows from (7.21) and (7.23). Now we prove formula (7.23). We have

(7.25)
$$[X, [Y, Z]] = \nabla_X^{p.h.} [Y, Z] - \nabla_{[Y,Z]}^{p.h.} X - \mathbb{T}(X, [Y, Z])$$
$$= \nabla_X^{p.h.} \nabla_Y^{p.h.} Z - \nabla_X^{p.h.} \nabla_Z^{p.h.} Y - \nabla_X^{p.h.} (\mathbb{T}(Y, Z))$$
$$- \nabla_{[Y,Z]}^{p.h.} X - \mathbb{T}(X, [Y, Z]);$$

Similarly, we have

(7.26)
$$[Y, [Z, X]] = \nabla_Y^{p.h.} \nabla_Z^{p.h.} X - \nabla_Y^{p.h.} \nabla_X^{p.h.} Z - \nabla_Y^{p.h.} (\mathbb{T}(Z, X)) - \nabla_{[Z, X]}^{p.h.} Y - \mathbb{T}(Y, [Z, X])$$

and

(7.27)
$$[Z, [X, Y]] = \nabla_Z^{p.h.} \nabla_X^{p.h.} Y - \nabla_Z^{p.h.} \nabla_Y^{p.h.} X - \nabla_Z^{p.h.} (\mathbb{T}(X, Y)) - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{p.h.} Z - \mathbb{T}(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Taking the sum of (7.25), (7.26) and (7.27), we get

$$0 = [X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]]$$

= $R_{XY}^{p.h.}(Z) + R_{YZ}^{p.h.}(X) + R_{ZX}^{p.h.}(Y)$
 $- \mathbb{T}(X, [Y, Z]) - \mathbb{T}(Y, [Z, X]) - \mathbb{T}(Z, [X, Y])$
 $- \nabla_X^{p.h.}(\mathbb{T}(Y, Z)) - \nabla_Y^{p.h.}(\mathbb{T}(Z, X)) - \nabla_Z^{p.h.}(\mathbb{T}(X, Y)).$

This completes the proof of (7.23).

References

- R. Bartnik, The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), 661-693.
- [2] O. Calin and D.C. Chang, Sub-Riemannian Geometry: General Theory and Examples (Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- [3] S.-C. Chen and M.-C. Shaw, Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics 19.
- [4] J.-H. Cheng and H.-L. Chiu, Connected sum of spherical CR manifolds with positive CR Yamabe constant, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 29 (2019) no. 4, 3113-3123.
- [5] J.-H. Cheng, H.-L. Chiu and P. T. Ho, Connected sum of CR manifolds with positive CR Yamabe constant, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 31 (2021) no. 1, 298-311.
- [6] J.-H. Cheng, H.-L. Chiu and P. Yang, Uniformization of spherical CR manifolds, Adv. Math. 255 (2014), 182-216.
- [7] J.-H. Cheng and J. M. Lee, The Burns-Epstein invariant and deformation of CR structures, Duke Math. J. 60 (1990), 221-254.
- [8] J.-H. Cheng, T. Marugame, V. S. Matveev and R. Montgomery, Chains in CR geometry as geodesics of a Kropina metric, Advances in Mathematics 350 (2019), 973-999.
- [9] J.-H. Cheng, A. Malchiodi and P. Yang, A positive mass theorem in three dimensional Cauchy-Riemann geometry, Adv. Math. 308 (2017), 276-347.
- [10] J.-H. Cheng, A. Malchiodi and P. Yang, On the Sobolev quotient of three-dimensional CR manifolds, arXiv: 1904.04665.
- [11] H.-L. Chiu, Subelliptic operators on weighted Folland-Stein spaces, preprint.
- [12] H.-L. Chiu and S.-H. Lai, The fundamental theorem for hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015) no. 1, 1091-1118.
- S. Dragamir and G. Tomassini, Differential geometry and Analysis on CR manifolds, Progress in Mathematics v. 246 (2006)
- [14] N. Gamara, The CR Yamabe conjecture-the case n=1, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 3 (2001) no. 2, 105-137.
- [15] N. Gamara and R. Yacoub, CR Yamabe conjecture-the conformally flat case, Pacific J. Math. 201 (2001), no. 1, 121-175.
- [16] D. Husemoller, Fibre Bundles, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [17] D. Jerison and J. M. Lee, The Yamabe problem on CR manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 25 (1987), 167-197.
- [18] D. Jerison and J. M. Lee, Intrinsic CR normal coordinates and the CR Yamabe problem, J. Diff. Geom. 29 (1989), 303-343.
- [19] J. M. Lee, The Fefferman metric and pseudohermitian invariants, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 411-429;
- [20] J. M. Lee, Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), 157-178.
- [21] J. M. Lee and T. H. Parker, The Yamabe problem, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1987), 37-91.
- [22] Z. Li, The Yamabe problem on spherical CR manifolds of dimensions \geq 7, unpublished draft.
- [23] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn, Spin Geometry, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 1989.
- [24] J. Milnor, *Remarks concerning spin manifolds*, in S. S. Cairns (ed.), Differential and Combinatorial Topology, a symposium in honor of Marston Morse, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1965, 55-62.

- [25] R. Schoen, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984), 479-495.
- [26] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, On the Proof of the Positive Mass Conjecture in General Relativity, Commun. Math. Phys. 65 (1979), 45-76.
- [27] Y. Takeuchi, Non-negativity of CR Paneitz operator for embeddable CR manifolds, preprint.
- [28] N. Tanaka, A differential Geometric Study on strongly Pseudo-convex Manifolds, Kinokuniya Company Ltd., Tokyo, (1975).
- [29] J. W. Vick, Homology Theory, Academic Press, 1973.
- [30] S. M. Webster, Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real Hypersurface, J. Diff. Geom. 13 (1978), 25-41;
- [31] Y. Yu, The index theorem and the heat equation method, World Scientific, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics Vol. 2, 2001.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA AND NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, TAIPEI, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

Email address: cheng@math.sinica.edu.tw

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TSING-HUA UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN, R.O.C. *Email address:* hlchiu@math.nthu.edu.tw