Solutions to integrable space-time shifted nonlocal equations

Shi-min Liu, Jing Wang, Da-jun Zhang* Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R. China July 12, 2021

Abstract

In this paper we present a reduction technique based on bilinearization and double Wronskians (or double Casoratians) to obtain explicit multi-soliton solutions for the integrable space-time shifted nonlocal equations introduced very recently by Ablowitz and Musslimani in [Phys. Lett. A, 2021]. Examples include the space-time shifted nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger and modified Kortewegde Vries hierarchies and the semi-discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation. It is shown that these nonlocal integrable equations with or without space-time shift(s) reduction share same distributions of eigenvalues but the space-time shift(s) brings new constraints to phase terms in solutions.

Keywords: integrable space-time shifted nonlocal equation, solution, reduction, bilinear, double Wronskian

1 Introduction

In a recent paper [1] Ablowitz and Mussilimani introduced integrable space-time shifted nonlocal equations as a generalization of the nonlocal integrable equations proposed by them in [2], where they introduced nonlocal space reduction $r(x,t) = \pm q^*(-x,t)$ and get a PT-symmetric nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation as a new and unusual type of integrable equations. After the pioneer paper [2], the nonlocal reductions have been extended to inverse time case [3,4] and many coupled integrable equations, from the continuous to the fully discrete, were found to allow nonlocal reductions, e.g. [3–15]. In addition, nonlocal integrable systems have received attention from many aspects, such as physics backgrounds [16–19], complete integrability [20], variety of techniques for finding solutions [2,3,9,10,21–32], long-time asymptotics for special initial data [33,34], and so forth.

As for solutions, nonlocal reductions bring new constraints on eigenvalues of the corresponding spectral problem and make different eigenvalue distributions from the case of normal reductions. A recent development of solving nonlocal integrable systems is a direct reduction technique based on bilinearizations and double Wronskians [24, 25]. This method allows us to make use of known solutions of the unreduced coupled equations and present explicit solutions to the reduced equations according to canonical forms of the eigenvalue matrix. In this reduction approach, one can clearly see the differences of the distributions of eigenvalues in different reductions. Such a method has proved effectively in presenting solutions to many nonlocal integrable equations, cf. [24, 25, 35–38].

In this paper we will apply the reduction technique to the integrable space-time shifted nonlocal equations. Examples we will employ to demonstrate this technique include the space-time shifted nonlocal NLS hierarchy, modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) hierarchy, and the semi-discrete NLS equation. We will see that, compared with the nonlocal equations without space-time shifts, the distributions of eigenvalues do not change but space-time shifts will bring new constraints to the phase terms in solutions.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Sec.2 we present a reduction technique based on bilinearization and double Wronskians of the unreduced Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) hierarchy to obtain explicit multi-soliton solutions for the integrable space-time shifted nonlocal NLS and mKdV hierarchies, respectively. In Sec.3 we start from bilinear form and double Casoratians of the unreduced Ablowitz-Ladik (AL) system and implement the reduction technique to get solutions for the space-time shifted nonlocal semi-discrete NLS equation. Finally, conclusions are given in Sec.4.

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

2 Solutions to the space-time shifted nonlocal NLS and mKdV hierarchies

In this section, we will illustrate nonlocal integrable equations with or without space-time shift(s) reduction share same distributions of eigenvalues but the space-time shift(s) brings new constraints to phase terms in solutions. Examples employed are the nonlocal hierarchies related to the AKNS spectral problem [39, 40]

$$\Phi_x = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & q \\ r & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} \Phi, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where λ is the spectral parameter and $u = (q(x,t), r(x,t))^T$ is the vector of two potential functions.

In the following we will first list some shifted nonlocal reductions of the AKNS hierarchy, and double Wronskian solutions of the unreduced NLS and mKdV hierarchies. Then we will demonstrate the reduction technique for the space-time shifted nonlocal case. Finally, we will present explicit solutions for the reduced equations and as an example illustrate dynamics of solutions for a space-time shifted nonlocal mKdV equation.

2.1 Space-time shifted nonlocal NLS and mKdV hierarchies

The well known AKNS hierarchy related to (1) is

$$u_{t_n} = K_n = \begin{pmatrix} K_{1,n} \\ K_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} = L^{n-1}u_x, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots,$$
 (2)

with recursion operator

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x + 2q\partial_x^{-1}r & 2q\partial_x^{-1}q \\ -2r\partial_x^{-1}r & \partial_x - 2r\partial_x^{-1}q \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and ∂_x^{-1} specially takes the form

$$\partial_x^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x - \int_x^{+\infty} \right) \cdot dx \tag{3}$$

so that it is ready for making reverse space reductions. For the sake of reduction, the hierarchy (2) is separated into two: the even-order hierarchy, i.e., the NLS hierarchy (with t_{2l} replaced by it_{2l} where $i^2 = -1$),

$$iu_{t_{2l}} = -K_{2l}, \quad l = 1, 2, \cdots,$$
 (4)

and the odd-order hierarchy, i.e., the mKdV hierarchy,

$$u_{t_{2l+1}} = K_{2l+1}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$
 (5)

The first system in the NLS hierarchy is

$$iq_{t_2} = q_{xx} - 2q^2r,$$

 $ir_{t_2} = -r_{xx} + 2qr^2,$ (6)

which allows the following space-time shifted nonlocal reductions [1]

$$r(x,t) = \delta q^*(x_0 - x, t), \quad \delta = \pm 1,$$
 (7a)

$$r(x,t) = \delta q(x_0 - x, t_0 - t), \quad \delta = \pm 1,$$
 (7b)

$$r(x,t) = \delta q(x,t_0-t), \quad \delta = \pm 1, \tag{7c}$$

where * stands for complex conjugate. The first nonlinear system in the mKdV hierarchy is

$$q_{t_3} = q_{xxx} - 6qq_xr,$$

 $r_{t_3} = r_{xxx} - 6qrr_x,$
(8)

which allows space-time shifted nonlocal reductions [1]

$$r(x,t) = \delta q(x_0 - x, t_0 - t), \quad \delta = \pm 1,$$
 (9a)

$$r(x,t) = \delta q^*(x_0 - x, t_0 - t), \quad \delta = \pm 1.$$
 (9b)

For reductions of the above two hierarchies, we have the following.

Proposition 1. With the definition (3) for ∂_x^{-1} , the reductions (7) and (9) can be extended to the NLS hierarchy (4) and the mKdV hierarchy (5), respectively.

2.2 Solutions to the unreduced systems

The AKNS hierarchy (2) can be bilinearized, via

$$q = \frac{g}{f}, \quad r = -\frac{h}{f},\tag{10}$$

to [41]

$$(D_{t_{n+1}} + D_x D_{t_n})g \cdot f = 0, (11a)$$

$$(D_{t_{n+1}} - D_x D_{t_n}) h \cdot f = 0, \tag{11b}$$

$$D_x^2 f \cdot f = 2gh, \tag{11c}$$

where $t_1 = x$ and D is the Hirota bilinear operator defined by [42]

$$D_x^m D_y^n f(x,y) \cdot g(x,y) = (\partial_x - \partial_{x'})^m (\partial_y - \partial_{y'})^n f(x,y) g(x',y')|_{x'=x,y'=y}.$$

The above hierarchy admits double Wronskian solutions [43,44].

Recalling the results given in [25,44], we directly list solutions to the unreduced hierarchies (4) and (5).

Proposition 2. (1). Solutions to the NLS hierarchy (4) are given by (10) where

$$f = |\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[M]}}|, \quad g = 2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N-1]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[M+1]}}|, \quad h = 2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N+1]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[M-1]}}|, \tag{12}$$

where φ and ψ are respectively (N+M+2)-th order column vectors

$$\varphi = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Ax + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{2j}t_{2j}\right)C^{+}, \quad \psi = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}Ax - \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{2j}t_{2j}\right)C^{-},\tag{13}$$

with arbitrary $A \in \mathbb{C}_{(N+M+2)\times(N+M+2)}$ and $C^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}_{(N+M+2)}$, and the shorthand $\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}$ denotes Wronski matrix

$$\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}} = \widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x) = (\varphi(x), \partial_x \varphi(x), \partial_x^2 \varphi(x), \cdots, \partial_x^N \varphi(x)). \tag{14}$$

(2). Solutions to the mKdV hierarchy (5) are given by (10) with double Wronskians (12), composed by

$$\varphi = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Ax + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}A^{2j+1}t_{2j+1}\right)C^{+}, \quad \psi = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}Ax - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}A^{2j+1}t_{2j+1}\right)C^{-}.$$
 (15)

(3). Matrix A and any of its similar form lead to same q and r.

2.3 Reductions of solutions to the space-time shifted nonlocal cases

Let us take the reduction (7a), i.e. $r(x,t) = \delta q^*(x_0 - x,t)$, as an example, to show how the reduction technique works.

Let M = N in (12) and impose constraint

$$\psi(x,t) = T\varphi^*(x_0 - x, t),\tag{16a}$$

$$C^{-} = Te^{\frac{1}{2}A^{*}x_{0}}C^{+*},\tag{16b}$$

where $T \in \mathbb{C}_{2(N+1)\times 2(N+1)}$ is a constant matrix determined by the system

$$AT - TA^* = 0, (17a)$$

$$TT^* = -\delta I, (17b)$$

where I is the 2(N+1)-th order unit matrix. In fact, when (16b) and (17a) hold, we have

$$\psi(x,t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}Ax - \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{2j}t_{2j}\right)C^{-}$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(TA^{*}T^{-1})x - \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(TA^{*}T^{-1})^{2j}t_{2j}\right)T\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}A^{*}x_{0}\right)C^{+*}$$

$$= T\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}A^{*}x - \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{*2j}t_{2j}\right)\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}A^{*}x_{0}\right)C^{+*}$$

$$= T\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}A^{*}(x_{0} - x) - \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{*2j}t_{2j}\right)C^{+*}$$

$$= T\varphi^{*}(x_{0} - x, t),$$

which indicates (16a) is valid. Next, in order to examine relations between double Wronskians, based on (14), let us introduce a notation

$$\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(a(x))_{[b(x)]} = \left(\varphi(a(x)), \partial_{b(x)}\varphi(a(x)), \partial_{b(x)}^2\varphi(a(x)), \cdots, \partial_{b(x)}^N\varphi(a(x))\right)$$

where a(x) and b(x) are functions of x. Then, with the constraint (16a) and N=M, the double Wronskians f,g,h in (12) are rewritten as

$$f(x,t) = |\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N]}}| = |\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x,t)_{[x]}; \widehat{T\varphi^{*[N]}}(x_0 - x,t)_{[x]}|, \tag{18a}$$

$$g(x,t) = 2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N-1]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N+1]}}| = 2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N-1]}}(x,t)_{[x]}; \widehat{T\varphi^{*[N+1]}}(x_0 - x,t)_{[x]}|,$$
(18b)

$$h(x,t) = 2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N+1]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N-1]}}| = 2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N+1]}}(x,t)_{[x]}; T\widehat{\varphi^{*[N-1]}}(x_0 - x,t)_{[x]}|.$$
(18c)

With a further assumption (17b), we find

$$\begin{split} f^*(x_0-x,t) &= |\widehat{\varphi^{*[N]}}(x_0-x,t)_{[x_0-x]}; T^*\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x,t)_{[x_0-x]}| \\ &= |T^*||T^{*^{-1}}\widehat{\varphi^{*[N]}}(x_0-x,t)_{[x]}; \widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x,t)_{[x]}| \\ &= (-1)^{(N+1)^2}|T^*||\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x,t)_{[x]}; T^{*^{-1}}\widehat{\varphi^{*[N]}}(x_0-x,t)_{[x]}| \\ &= (-1)^{(N+1)^2}|T^*||\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x,t)_{[x]}; -\delta T\widehat{\varphi^{*[N]}}(x_0-x,t)_{[x]}| \\ &= (-1)^{(N+1)^2+N+1}\delta^{N+1}|T^*||\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}(x,t)_{[x]}; T\widehat{\varphi^{*[N]}}(x_0-x,t)_{[x]}| \\ &= \delta^{N+1}|T^*|f(x,t), \end{split}$$

and in the same way, $g^*(x_0 - x, t) = -\delta^N |T^*| h(x, t)$, which then indicates

$$\delta q^*(x_0 - x, t) = \delta \frac{g^*(x_0 - x, t)}{f^*(x_0 - x, t)} = \frac{-\delta^{N+1} |T^*| h(x, t)}{\delta^{N+1} |T^*| f(x, t)} = -\frac{h(x, t)}{f(x, t)} = r(x, t),$$

i.e. the reduction (7a) holds.

In a similar way, we can check the reductions (7b) and (7c) by imposing

$$C^{-} = T \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Ax_0 + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{2j}t_0\right)C^{+}$$

and

$$C^{-} = T \exp\left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A^{2j} t_0\right) C^{+},$$

respectively.

In the following we skip details of proof and present the reduced solutions for the space-time shifted nonlocal NLS hierarchy

$$iq_{t_{2l}} = -K_{1,2l}|_{(7*)}, \quad l = 1, 2, \cdots,$$
 (19)

where (7*) means either (7a) or (7b) or (7c).

Theorem 1. The reduced NLS hiererchy (19) admit solutions in the form

$$q(x,t) = \frac{2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N-1]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N+1]}}|}{|\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N]}}|},$$
(20)

where the 2(N+1)-th order column vector φ takes the form

$$\varphi = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Ax + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}A^{2j}t_{2j}\right)C^{+}.$$
 (21)

For the reduction (7a), i.e. $r(x,t) = \delta q^*(x_0 - x, t)$,

$$\psi(x,t) = T\varphi^*(x_0 - x, t) \tag{22}$$

in which T is governed by the system

$$AT - TA^* = 0, \quad TT^* = -\delta I. \tag{23}$$

For the reduction (7b), i.e. $r(x,t) = \delta q(x_0 - x, t_0 - t)$,

$$\psi(x,t) = T\varphi(x_0 - x, t_0 - t), \tag{24}$$

in which T is governed by

$$AT - TA = 0, \quad T^2 = -\delta I. \tag{25}$$

For the reduction (7c), i.e. $r(x,t) = \delta q(x,t_0-t)$,

$$\psi(x,t) = T\varphi(x,t_0-t) \tag{26}$$

in which T is governed by

$$AT + TA = 0, \quad T^2 = \delta I. \tag{27}$$

In a similar way, we can also obtain the reduced solutions for the space-time shifted nonlocal mKdV hierarchy

$$q_{t_{2l+1}} = K_{1,2l+1}|_{(9*)}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$
 (28)

where (9*) means either (9a) or (9b).

Theorem 2. The reduced mKdV hiererchy (28) admit solutions

$$q(x,t) = \frac{2|\widehat{\varphi^{[N-1]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N+1]}}|}{|\widehat{\varphi^{[N]}}; \widehat{\psi^{[N]}}|}, \tag{29}$$

where the 2(N+1)-th order column vector φ takes the form

$$\varphi = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Ax + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A^{2j+1}t_{2j+1}\right)C^{+}.$$
 (30)

For the reduction (9a), i.e. $r(x,t) = \delta q(x_0 - x, t_0 - t)$,

$$\psi(x,t) = T\varphi(x_0 - x, t_0 - t),\tag{31}$$

in which T is governed by the system (25). For the reduction (9b), i.e. $r(x,t) = \delta q^*(x_0 - x, t_0 - t)$,

$$\psi(x,t) = T\varphi^*(x_0 - x, t_0 - t), \tag{32}$$

in which T is governed by the system (23).

Note that for reduction (9a) and (9b), C^- takes as

$$C^{-} = T \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}Ax_0 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}A^{2j+1}t_0\right)C^{+}$$

and

$$C^{-} = T \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}A^{*}x_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}A^{*^{2j+1}}t_{0}\right)C^{+*},$$

respectively.

2.4 Explicit solutions

To get explicit solutions one only needs to solve the matrix system (23), (25) and (27) and get explicit forms for matrices A and T. Special solutions to these matrix equations have been obtained before [25] when A and T are block matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & K_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

where T_i and K_i are $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrices. For convenience we introduce notations

$$\text{Diag}[k_i]_{i=1}^{N+1} \doteq \text{Diag}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{N+1}),$$
 (34)

$$\mathbf{J}_{N+1}[k] \doteq \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & k \end{pmatrix}_{(N+1)\times(N+1)}, \tag{35}$$

$$\eta(k) = \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{2}kx + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k^{2j} t_{2j}, & \text{(for NLS),} \\
\frac{1}{2}kx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} k^{2j+1} t_{2j+1}, & \text{(for mKdV).}
\end{cases}$$
(36)

2.4.1 Solutions corresponding to (23)

Proposition 3. [25] The system (23) allows complex solution (33) for $\delta = \pm 1$, where

$$T_1 = T_4 = \mathbf{0}_{N+1}, \ T_2 = -\delta T_3 = \mathbf{I}_{N+1}, \ K_1 = K_4^* = \mathbf{K}_{N+1} \in \mathbb{C}_{(N+1)\times(N+1)},$$
 (37)

and real solution for only $\delta = -1$, where

$$T_{1} = -T_{4} = \mathbf{I}_{N+1}, \quad T_{2} = T_{3} = \mathbf{0}_{N+1}, K_{1} = \mathbf{K}_{N+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{(N+1)\times(N+1)}, \quad K_{4} = \mathbf{H}_{N+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{(N+1)\times(N+1)}.$$
(38)

Here \mathbf{I}_{N+1} is the unit matrix of order N+1.

Then, corresponding to (37), when

$$\mathbf{K}_{N+1} = \operatorname{Diag}[k_i]_{i=1}^{N+1}, \quad k_i \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{39}$$

an explicit form of φ is

$$\varphi = \left(c_1 e^{\eta(k_1)}, c_2 e^{\eta(k_2)}, \cdots, c_{N+1} e^{\eta(k_{N+1})}, d_1 e^{\eta(k_1^*)}, d_2 e^{\eta(k_2^*)}, \cdots, d_{N+1} e^{\eta(k_{N+1}^*)}\right)^T, \tag{40}$$

where $k_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{C}$; when

$$\mathbf{K}_{N+1} = \mathbf{J}_{N+1}[k],\tag{41}$$

 φ takes

$$\varphi = \left(ce^{\eta(k)}, \frac{\partial_k}{1!}(ce^{\eta(k)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_k^N}{N!}(ce^{\eta(k)}), de^{\eta(k^*)}, \frac{\partial_{k^*}}{1!}(de^{\eta(k^*)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_{k^*}^N}{N!}(de^{\eta(k^*)})\right)^T, \tag{42}$$

where $k, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the second case (38), A is composed by two different real matrices, \mathbf{K}_{N+1} and \mathbf{H}_{N+1} . In this case, one can take both \mathbf{K}_{N+1} and \mathbf{H}_{N+1} to be diagonals, or Jordan blocks, or one diagonal and one Jordan block. For example, when

$$\mathbf{K}_{N+1} = \text{Diag}[k_i]_{i=1}^{N+1}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{N+1} = \text{Diag}[h_i]_{i=1}^{N+1}, \tag{43}$$

the corresponding φ is

$$\varphi = \left(c_1 e^{\eta(k_1)}, c_2 e^{\eta(k_2)}, \cdots, c_{N+1} e^{\eta(k_{N+1})}, d_1 e^{\eta(h_1)}, d_2 e^{\eta(h_2)}, \cdots, d_{N+1} e^{\eta(h_{N+1})}\right)^T, \tag{44}$$

where $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{C}, k_i, h_i \in \mathbb{R}$; when

$$\mathbf{K}_{N+1} = \text{Diag}[k_i]_{i=1}^{N+1}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{N+1} = \mathbf{J}_{N+1}[h],$$
 (45)

we have

$$\varphi = \left(c_1 e^{\eta(k_1)}, c_2 e^{\eta(k_2)}, \cdots, c_{N+1} e^{\eta(k_{N+1})}, de^{\eta(h)}, \frac{\partial_h}{1!} (de^{\eta(h)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_h^N}{N!} (de^{\eta(h)})\right)^T, \tag{46}$$

where $c_j, d \in \mathbb{C}, k_j, h \in \mathbb{R}$, and when

$$\mathbf{K}_{N+1} = \mathbf{J}_{N+1}[k], \ \mathbf{H}_{N+1} = \mathbf{J}_{N+1}[h], \tag{47}$$

we have

$$\varphi = \left(ce^{\eta(k)}, \frac{\partial_k}{1!}(ce^{\eta(k)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_k^N}{N!}(ce^{\eta(k)}), de^{\eta(h)}, \frac{\partial_h}{1!}(de^{\eta(h)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_h^N}{N!}(de^{\eta(h)})\right)^T, \tag{48}$$

where $c, d \in \mathbb{C}, k, h \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that since (17a) is a linear equation w.r.t A or T, in principle, one can combine the above cases to get variety of mixed solutions.

Proposition 4. When $\delta = -1$, equation (23) admits mixed solution

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{N_1} & \mathbf{0}_{N_1} & & & \\ \mathbf{0}_{N_1} & -\mathbf{I}_{N_1} & & & \\ & & \mathbf{0}_{N_2} & \mathbf{I}_{N_2} \\ & & & \mathbf{I}_{N_2} & \mathbf{0}_{N_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K}'_{N_1} & \mathbf{0}_{N_1} & & \\ \mathbf{0}_{N_1} & \mathbf{H}'_{N_1} & & & \\ & & & \mathbf{K}_{N_2} & \mathbf{0}_{N_2} \\ & & & \mathbf{0}_{N_2} & \mathbf{K}^*_{N_2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

where $\mathbf{K}'_{N_1}, \mathbf{H}'_{N_1} \in \mathbb{R}_{N_1 \times N_1}, \ \mathbf{K}_{N_2} \in \mathbb{C}_{N_2 \times N_2}, \ N_1 + N_2 = N + 1.$

Obviously, explicit expression for φ of this case can be given accordingly.

2.4.2 Solutions corresponding to (25) and (27)

Equations (25) and (27) can be unified to be

$$AT + \sigma T A = 0, \quad T^2 = \sigma \delta I, \quad \sigma, \delta = \pm 1,$$
 (50)

and then their solutions can be listed out as in the following table.

Table 1: T and A for (50)

(σ, δ)	T	A
(-1, -1)	$T_1 = -T_4 = \mathbf{I}_{N+1}, T_3 = T_2 = 0_{N+1}$	$K_1 = \mathbf{K}_{N+1}, K_4 = \mathbf{H}_{N+1}$
(-1,1)	$T_1 = -T_4 = i\mathbf{I}_{N+1}, T_3 = T_2 = 0_{N+1}$	$K_1 = \mathbf{K}_{N+1}, K_4 = \mathbf{H}_{N+1}$
(1, -1)	$T_1 = T_4 = 0_{N+1}, T_3 = -T_2 = \mathbf{I}_{N+1}$	$K_1 = -K_4 = \mathbf{K}_{N+1}$
(1,1)	$T_1 = T_4 = 0_{N+1}, T_3 = T_2 = \mathbf{I}_{N+1}$	$K_1 = -K_4 = \mathbf{K}_{N+1}$

Note that here K_1, K_4 are complex. As we have listed in Sec.2.4.1, it is easy to write out explicit forms of φ of this case. For example, corresponding to (25), i.e. $(\sigma, \delta) = (-1, \pm 1)$, when \mathbf{K}_{N+1} and \mathbf{H}_{N+1} take the form (43), (45) and (47), respectively, the corresponding φ takes the same form as (44), (46) and (48), respectively, but here, the eigenvalues k_i, h_i, k, h are complex.

2.4.3 An example

As an example we consider solutions of the complex space-time shifted mKdV equation $q_{t_3} = K_{1,3}|_{(9b)}$ with $\delta = -1$, i.e.

$$q_{t_3} = q_{xxx} + 6qq_xq^*(x_0 - x, t_0 - t_3). (51)$$

It has two one-soliton solutions, which are

$$q = \frac{c_1^* d_1^* (k_1 - k_1^*)}{|c_1|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1 x_0 - \frac{1}{2}k_1^3 t_0 + k_1 x + k_1^3 t_3} - |d_1|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1^* x_0 - \frac{1}{2}k_1^{*3} t_0 + k_1^* x + k_1^{*3} t_3}}$$
(52)

where $k_1, c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$q = \frac{c_1^* d_1^* (k_1 - h_1)}{c_1 d_1^* e^{-\frac{1}{2} k_1 x_0 - \frac{1}{2} k_1^3 t_0 + k_1 x + k_1^3 t_3} + c_1^* d_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2} h_1 x_0 - \frac{1}{2} h_1^3 t_0 + h_1 x + h_1^3 t_3}}$$

$$(53)$$

where $k_1, h_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider carrier waves of them, we have, respectively,

$$|q|^{2} = \frac{4|c_{1}|^{2}|d_{1}|^{2}k_{12}^{2}e^{k_{11}x_{0} + (k_{11}^{3} - 3k_{11}k_{12}^{2})t_{0} - 2k_{11}x - 2(k_{11}^{3} - 3k_{11}k_{12}^{2})t_{3}}{|c_{1}|^{4} + |d_{1}|^{4} - 2|c_{1}|^{2}|d_{1}|^{2}\cos\left(2k_{12}x + 2(3k_{11}^{2}k_{12} - k_{12}^{3})t_{3} - k_{12}x_{0} - (3k_{11}^{2}k_{12} - k_{12}^{3})t_{0}\right)},$$
 (54)

where we have taken $k_1 = k_{11} + ik_{12}$ and $k_{11}, k_{12} \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$|q|^2 = \frac{(k_1 - h_1)^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + h_1)x_0 + \frac{1}{2}(k_1^3 + h_1^3)t_0 - (k_1 + h_1)x - (k_1^3 + h_1^3)t_3}}{4\cosh^2\left(\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - h_1)x + \frac{1}{2}(k_1^3 - h_1^3)t_3 - \frac{1}{4}(k_1 - h_1)x_0 - \frac{1}{4}(k_1^3 - h_1^3)t_0\right)},$$
(55)

where we have taken $c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ for convenience.

For (54), when $k_{11} = 0$ but $k_{12} \neq 0$, it provides a periodic wave as depicted in Fig.1(a). One can find that the 'period' (here we mean the distance between two parallel waves) is

$$d = \frac{\pi}{|k_{12}|\sqrt{1 + k_{12}^4}}.$$

For (55), it is a moving wave with a $\{x, t_3\}$ -dependent amplitude. However, when taking $k_1 = -h_1$, the wave becomes a standard soliton moving with a constant amplitude k_1^2 along the vertex trajectory

$$x = -k_1^2 t_3 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 + \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 t_0.$$

We depicted such a wave in Fig.1(b).




Figure 1: (a). Shape and motion of $|q|^2$ (54) for equation (51), in which $k_{11} = 0$, $k_{12} = 1$, $x_0 = 1$, $t_0 = 1$, $c_1 = 2$, $d_1 = 1$. (b). Shape and motion of $|q|^2$ (55) for equation (51), in which $k_1 = 0.6$, $h_1 = -0.6$, $x_0 = 1$, $t_0 = 1$, $t_1 = 1$, $t_1 = 1$.


Based on the above analysis about one-soliton solutions, we consider mixed solutions. For example, solitons with periodic backgrounds. Here we skip formulae of solutions and just illustrate them in the following. Their explicit formulae can be easily written out from (29). Fig.2 corresponds to

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

and describes one soliton on a periodic background. Fig.3 corresponds to

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1^* \end{pmatrix},$$
(57)

and describes interactions of two solitons on a periodic background. In both cases $k_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.







Figure 2: (a). Shape and motion of $|q|^2$ corresponding to (56) for equation (51), in which $k_1 = 0.5, k_2 = -0.5, h_1 = i, x_0 = 1, t_0 = 1, c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1, d_1 = 2, d_2 = 1$. (b). Density plot of (a).






Figure 3: (a). Shape and motion of $|q|^2$ corresponding to (57) for equation (51), in which $k_1 = 0.4, k_2 = -0.4, k_3 = -0.7, k_4 = 0.7, h_1 = i, c_1^+ = 4, c_2^+ = 1, c_3^+ = 2, c_4^+ = 2, c_5^+ = 2, c_6^+ = 1$. (b). Density plot of (a).

3 Solutions to the space-time shifted nonlocal semi-discrete NLS equation

3.1 Space-time shifted nonlocal semi-discrete NLS equation

There exist space-time shifted nonlocal differential-difference (semi-discrete) integrable systems. Consider the semi-discrete NLS equation (also known as the AL equation)

$$iQ_{n,t} = Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} - 2Q_n + |Q_n|^2 (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1}), \tag{58}$$

which is integrable and related to the AL spectral problem [45,46],

$$\Theta_{n+1} = M_n \Theta_n, \quad M_n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & Q_n \\ R_n & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Theta_n = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{1,n} \\ \theta_{2,n} \end{pmatrix},$$
(59)

where λ is a spectral parameter, $(Q_n, R_n) = (Q(n, t), R(n, t))$ are potential functions of $(n, t) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$. The unreduced coupled AL system reads [45]

$$iQ_{n,t} = Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} - 2Q_n - Q_n R_n (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1}),$$
(60a)

$$iR_{n,t} = -(R_{n+1} + R_{n-1} - 2R_n) + Q_n R_n (R_{n+1} + R_{n-1}).$$
(60b)

It admits the following space-time shifted nonlocal reductions,

$$iQ_{n,t} = (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} - 2Q_n) - \delta Q_n Q_{n_0-n}^* (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1}), \quad R_n = \delta Q_{n_0-n}^*,$$
 (61a)

$$iQ_{n,t} = (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} - 2Q_n) - \delta Q_n Q_{n_0-n}(t_0 - t)(Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1}), \quad R_n = \delta Q_{n_0-n}(t_0 - t),$$
 (61b)

$$iQ_{n,t} = (Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1} - 2Q_n) - \delta Q_n Q_n (t_0 - t)(Q_{n+1} + Q_{n-1}), \quad R_n = \delta Q_n (t_0 - t), \tag{61c}$$

where n_0, t_0 are real parameters, $\delta = \pm 1$ and

$$Q_{n_0-n} \doteq Q(n_0-n,t), \quad Q_{n_0-n}(t_0-t) \doteq Q(n_0-n,t_0-t) \quad Q_n(t_0-t) \doteq Q(n,t_0-t).$$

3.2 Solutions to the unreduced systems

Let us list the double Casoratian solutions of the unreduced AL system obtained in [35]. Through transformation

$$Q_n = \frac{g_n}{f_n}, \quad R_n = \frac{h_n}{f_n},\tag{62}$$

(60) is written into bilinear form

$$iD_t g_n \cdot f_n = g_{n+1} f_{n-1} + g_{n-1} f_{n+1} - 2g_n f_n,$$
 (63a)

$$iD_t f_n \cdot h_n = f_{n+1} h_{n-1} + f_{n-1} h_{n+1} - 2f_n h_n,$$
 (63b)

$$f_n^2 - f_{n-1} f_{n+1} = g_n h_n, (63c)$$

where D is the Hirota bilinear operator defined as before. Introduce double Casoratian composed by Φ_n and Ψ_n in terms of their double shifts,

$$|\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; \widehat{\Psi_n^{[M]}}| = |\Phi_n, E^2 \Phi_n, \cdots, E^{2N} \Phi_n; \Psi_n, E^2 \Psi_n, \cdots, E^{2M} \Psi_n|,$$
(64)

where

$$\Phi_n = (\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n}, \cdots, \phi_{N+M+2,n})^T, \quad \Psi_n = (\psi_{1,n}, \psi_{2,n}, \cdots, \psi_{N+M+2,n})^T,$$

E is a shift operator defined by $E^{j}f_{n}=f_{n+j}$, and

$$\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}} = (\Phi_n, E^2 \Phi_n, \cdots, E^{2N} \Phi_n). \tag{65}$$

Proposition 5. [35] The bilinear system (63) admits double Casoratian solutions

$$f_n = |\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; \widehat{\Psi_n^{[M]}}|, \quad g_n = |\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N+1]}}; \widehat{\Psi_n^{[M-1]}}|, \quad h_n = -|\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N-1]}}; \widehat{\Psi_n^{[M+1]}}|,$$
 (66)

where

$$\Phi_n = A^n \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}(A^2 - 2I + A^{-2})t\right)C^+, \quad \Psi_n = A^{-n} \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}(A^2 - 2I + A^{-2})t\right)C^-, \tag{67}$$

in which $A \in \mathbb{C}_{(N+M+2)\times(N+M+2)}$ is an invertible complex constant matrix, $C^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}_{N+M+2}$ and I is the (N+M+2)-th order identity matrix. (67) can be alternatively expressed as

$$\Phi_n = \exp\left(nB - \frac{i}{2}(e^{2B} - 2I + e^{-2B})t\right)C^+, \quad \Psi_n = \exp\left(-nB + \frac{i}{2}(e^{2B} - 2I + e^{-2B})t\right)C^-, \tag{68}$$

where we have introduced

$$A = e^B, (69)$$

with $B \in \mathbb{C}_{(N+M+2)\times(N+M+2)}$.

3.3 Reductions of solutions to the space-time shifted nonlocal semi-discrete NLS equation

3.3.1 Double Casoratians to the reduced equations (61)

As in the continuous case, now let us explain how the reduction technique works in the Casoratian case. For equation (61a) we take M=N and replace C^{\pm} by $A^{\mp N}C^{\pm}$ as they are arbitrary. Then, in the following we consider double Casoratians

$$f_n = |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; A^N\widehat{\Psi_n^{[N]}}|, \quad g_n = |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N+1]}}; A^N\widehat{\Psi_n^{[N-1]}}|, \quad h_n = -|A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N-1]}}; A^N\widehat{\Psi_n^{[N+1]}}|, \quad (70)$$

which are still solution to (63). Introduce constraints

$$\Psi_n = T\Phi_{n_0-n}^*,\tag{71a}$$

$$C^{-} = TA^{*n_0}C^{+*},\tag{71b}$$

where matrices A and T obey

$$AT - TA^* = 0, (72a)$$

$$TT^* = -\delta |A^*|^2 I. \tag{72b}$$

Then, from (67) and making use of (71b) and (72a), we find that

$$\begin{split} \Psi_n = & A^{-n} e^{\frac{i}{2}(A^2 - 2I + A^{-2})t} C^{-} \\ = & (TA^*T^{-1})^n e^{\frac{i}{2}((TA^*T^{-1})^2 - 2I + (TA^*T^{-1})^{-2})t} TA^{*n_0} C^{+*} \\ = & TA^{*(n_0 - n)} e^{\frac{i}{2}(A^{*2} - 2I + A^{*-2})t} C^{+*} \\ = & T\Phi^*_{n_0 - n}, \end{split}$$

which means the constraint (71a) coincides with (71b) and (72a). With (71a) we write f_n in (70) as

$$f_n = |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; A^N T\widehat{\Phi_{n_0-n}^{*[N]}}| = |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; TA^{*N}\widehat{\Phi_{n_0-n}^{*[N]}}|.$$

$$(73)$$

Note that we have made use of $A^NT = TA^{*N}$ which is resulted from (72a), and we also specify that in light of (65),

$$\widehat{\Phi_{n_0-n}^{*[N]}} = (\Phi_{n_0-n}^*, E^2 \Phi_{n_0-n}^*, \cdots, E^{2N} \Phi_{n_0-n}^*) = (\Phi_{n_0-n}^*, A^{*-2} \Phi_{n_0-n}^*, \cdots, A^{*-2N} \Phi_{n_0-n}^*).$$

Then, making use of (72), we can find that

$$\begin{split} f_n = & |A^{-N}\Phi_n, A^{-N+2}\Phi_n, \cdots, A^N\Phi_n; TA^{*N}\Phi_{n_0-n}^*, TA^{*N-2}\Phi_{n_0-n}^*, \cdots, TA^{*-N}\Phi_{n_0-n}^*| \\ = & |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; TA^{*N}\widehat{\Phi_{n_0-n}^{*[N]}}| \\ = & (-\delta|A^*|^{-2})^{N+1}|T||T^*A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; A^{*N}\widehat{\Phi_{n_0-n}^{*[N]}}| \\ = & (\delta|A^*|^{-2})^{N+1}|T||A^{*N}\widehat{\Phi_{n_0-n}^{*[N]}}; T^*A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}| \\ = & (\delta|A^*|^{-2})^{N+1}|T||A^N\Phi_{n_0-n}, A^{N-2}\Phi_{n_0-n}, \cdots, A^{-N}\Phi_{n_0-n}; TA^{*-N}\Phi_n^*, TA^{*-N+2}\Phi_n^*, \cdots, TA^{*N}\Phi_n^{*+N} \\ = & (\delta|A^*|^{-2})^{N+1}|T||A^N\Phi_{n_0-n}, A^{N-2}\Phi_{n_0-n}, \cdots, A^{-N}\Phi_{n_0-n}; A^{-N}T\Phi_n^*, A^{-N+2}T\Phi_n^*, \cdots, A^{N}T\Phi_n^*|^* \\ = & (\delta|A^*|^{-2})^{N+1}|T||A^{-N}\Phi_{n_0-n}, A^{-N+2}\Phi_{n_0-n}, \cdots, A^{N}\Phi_{n_0-n}; A^{N}T\Phi_n^*, A^{N-2}T\Phi_n^*, \cdots, A^{-N}T\Phi_n^*|^* \\ = & (\delta|A^*|^{-2})^{N+1}|T|f_{n_0-n}^*. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have

$$h_n = \delta^N |A^*|^{-2(N+1)} |T| g_{n_0 - n}^*.$$

which yields

$$R_n = \frac{h_n}{f_n} = \frac{\delta^N |A^*|^{-2(N+1)} |T| g_{n_0-n}^*}{(\delta |A^*|^{-2})^{N+1} |T| f_{n_0-n}^*} = \delta \frac{g_{n_0-n}^*}{f_{n_0-n}^*} = \delta Q_{n_0-n}^*, \tag{74}$$

Thus, when we take (71a) together with (71b) and (72a), from (74) and $Q_n = g_n/f_n$ we get solution to the reduced equation (61a). In a similar way we can implement reductions and obtain solutions to the reduced equations (61b) and (61c). Let us summarize these results below.

Theorem 3. The nonlocal semi-discrete NLS equation (61a) and (61b) allow double Casoratian solutions

$$Q_n = \frac{g_n}{f_n},\tag{75}$$

with

$$f_n = |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; A^N\widehat{\Psi_n^{[N]}}|, \quad g_n = |A^{-N}\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N+1]}}; A^N\widehat{\Psi_n^{[N-1]}}|,$$
 (76)

and Φ_n given in (67) or equivalently in (68), where for equation (61a),

$$\Psi_n = T\Phi_{n_0-n}^*,\tag{77}$$

and A and T obey the relation

$$AT - TA^* = 0, \quad TT^* = -\delta |A^*|^2 I,$$
 (78)

or, in terms of B,

$$BT - TB^* = 0, \quad TT^* = -\delta |e^{B^*}|^2 I,$$
 (79)

and where for equation (61b),

$$\Psi_n = T\Phi_{n_0-n}(-t),\tag{80}$$

and A and T obey

$$AT - TA = 0, \quad T^2 = -\delta |A|^2 I,$$
 (81)

or equivalently

$$BT - TB = 0, \quad T^2 = -\delta |e^B|^2 I.$$
 (82)

Equation (61c) admits solution (75) with

$$f_n = |\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N]}}; \widehat{\Psi_n^{[N]}}|, \quad g_n = |\widehat{\Phi_n^{[N+1]}}; \widehat{\Psi_n^{[N-1]}}|,$$
 (83)

where Φ_n is given as in (67) or in (68),

$$\Psi_n = T\Phi_n(t_0 - t),\tag{84}$$

A and T obey the relation

$$A^{-1}T - TA = 0, \quad T^2 = \delta I, \tag{85}$$

or equivalently,

$$BT + TB = 0, \quad T^2 = \delta I. \tag{86}$$

Note that for equation (61b) and equation (61c), C^- takes as

$$C^{-} = TA^{n_0} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}(A^2 - 2I + A^{-2})t_0\right)C^{+}$$

and

$$C^{-} = Te\Big(-\frac{i}{2}(A^{2} - 2I + A^{-2})t_{0}\Big)C^{+},$$

respectively.

3.3.2 Solutions and examples

Let us give solutions to the matrix equations (79), (82) and (86).

Proposition 6. (1). For equation (79), when $|e^B| \in \mathbb{R}$ we can assume

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & K_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{87}$$

where $T_j, K_j \in \mathbb{C}_{(N+1)\times(N+1)}$, $\alpha = |e^B| \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, K_j and T_j can be given as in Proposition 3. (2). For equation (82), we assume (87) where $\alpha = |e^B|$. Then, K_j and T_j can be given as in Table 1 where $\sigma = -1$.

(3). For equation (86), we assume (87) where $\alpha = 1$. Then, K_j and T_j can be given as in Table 1 where $\sigma = 1$.

Explicit forms of Φ_n can be given accordingly. Define

$$\theta(k) = kn - 2it \sinh^2 k. \tag{88}$$

Then, for example, when

$$K_1 = \text{Diag}[k_i]_{i=1}^{N+1}, \quad K_4 = \text{Diag}[h_i]_{i=1}^{N+1},$$

we have

$$\Phi_n = \left(c_1 e^{\theta(k_1)}, c_2 e^{\theta(k_2)}, \cdots, c_{N+1} e^{\theta(k_{N+1})}, d_1 e^{\theta(h_1)}, d_2 e^{\theta(h_2)}, \cdots, d_{N+1} e^{\theta(h_{N+1})}\right)^T,$$

and when

$$K_1 = \mathbf{J}_{N+1}[k], \quad K_4 = \mathbf{J}_{N+1}[h],$$

we have

$$\Phi = \left(ce^{\theta(k)}, \frac{\partial_k}{1!}(ce^{\theta(k)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_k^N}{N!}(ce^{\theta(k)}), de^{\theta(k)}, \frac{\partial_h}{1!}(de^{\theta(k)}), \cdots, \frac{\partial_h^N}{N!}(de^{\theta(h)})\right)^T,$$

where $c_j, d_j, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Explicit forms of Φ_n of other cases can easily be given as in Sec.2.4.1 according to different canonical forms of B. Note that B (or A) and its any similar matrix lead to same solutions to Q_n and R_n through (62).

In the following, as examples we list out one-soliton solutions of the three nonlocal equations (61a), (61b) and (61c).

For equation (61a), it has two one-soliton solutions. One is for $\delta = \pm 1$,

$$Q_n = \frac{(e^{2k_1} - e^{2k_1^*})c_1c_2}{e^{k_1 + k_1^*}(|c_2|^2 e^{-2\theta(k_1) + n_0k_1} + \delta|c_1|^2 e^{-2\theta(k_1^*) + n_0k_1^*})}, \quad k_1, c_j \in \mathbb{C},$$
(89)

and the other is for only $\delta = -1$,

$$Q_n = \frac{(e^{2k_1} - e^{2h_1})c_1c_2}{e^{k_1 + h_1}(c_1^*c_2e^{-2\theta(k_1) + n_0k_1} + c_1c_2^*e^{-2\theta(h_1) + n_0h_1})}, \quad k_1, h_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \ c_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(90)$$

where $\theta(k)$ is given as in (88). For equation (61b), its one-soliton is

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\delta}} \frac{e^{2k_1} - e^{2h_1}}{e^{k_1 + h_1} \left(e^{-2\theta(k_1) + n_0 k_1 - 2it_0 \sinh^2 k_1} + e^{-2\theta(h_1) + n_0 h_1 - 2it_0 \sinh^2 h_1}\right)}, \quad k_1, h_1 \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{91}$$

For equation (61c), its one-soliton is

$$Q_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\delta}} \frac{(e^{2k_1} - e^{-2k_1})e^{-4it\sinh^2 k_1}c_1c_2}{(c_1^2 e^{2k_1 n} + c_2^2 e^{-2k_1 n})e^{-2it_0\sinh^2 k_1}}, \quad k_1, c_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(92)$$

Finally, let us briefly look at dynamics of these solutions and identify the roles of n_0 and t_0 . As for solution (89) to equation (61a), the wave package gives rise to

$$|Q_n|^2 = \frac{4|c_1c_2|^2 e^{4na - 2n_0 a} \sin^2 2b}{(e^{16st}|c_1|^4 + e^{-16st}|c_2|^4) + 2\delta|c_1c_2|^2 \cos(4nb - 2n_0b)}.$$
(93)

Here and after we take $k_1 = a + ib$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $s = 4 \sinh 2a \cos 2b$. It provides a nonsingular periodic solution under the case $a = 0, |c_1| \neq |c_2|$, as shown in Fig.4(a), for the rest, it has periodic singularities at

$$n = \frac{m\pi}{2b} + \frac{n_0}{2}, \quad t = \frac{1}{8s} \ln \left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right|, \quad \delta = -1;$$

$$n = \frac{(2m+1)\pi}{4b} + \frac{n_0}{2}, \quad t = \frac{1}{8s} \ln \left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right|, \quad \delta = 1,$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. n_0 brings changes of amplitude and the location of singularities. As for solution (90) to equation (61a) with $\delta = -1$, the wave package reads

$$|Q_n|^2 = \frac{(e^{2k_1} - e^{2h_1})^2 e^{-2(k_1 + h_1)}}{e^{-4k_1 n + 2n_0 k_1} + e^{-4h_1 n + 2n_0 h_1} + 2e^{-2(k_1 + h_1)n + n_0(k_1 + h_1)} \sin[\gamma - 4(\sinh^2 k_1 - \sinh^2 h_1)t]}, \quad (94)$$




Figure 4: (a). Shape and motion of the solution (93) for equation (61a), in which $k_1 = 0.5i$, $n_0 = 2$, $c_1 = 0.5$, $c_2 = 0.3$. (b). Shape and motion of the solution (95) for equation (61a), in which $k_1 = 0.5$, $h_1 = -0.5$, $n_0 = 4$, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$.

where $\gamma = \arctan \frac{\text{Re}[(c_1^*c_2)^2]}{\text{Im}[(c_1^*c_2)^2]}$. It is singular except the special case $h_1 = -k_1$ and $\sin \gamma \neq -1$, i.e.,

$$|Q_n|^2 = \frac{2\sinh^2(2k_1)}{\cosh(4k_1n - 2n_0k_1) + \sin\gamma},\tag{95}$$

which gives rise to a stationary soliton with constant amplitude $\frac{2 \sinh^2(2k_1)}{1+\sin \gamma}$, as shown in Fig.4(b). As for the solution (92) to the equation (61c), we have

$$|Q_n|^2 = \frac{4[(\sinh 2a\cos 2b)^2 + (\cosh 2a\sin 2b)^2]e^{16st - 4st_0}}{|c_2|^{-2}e^{4na} + |c_1|^{-2}e^{-4na} + 2\sin(\gamma - 4nb)},$$
(96)

here s and γ are defined as before. From equation (96), we can easily see that t_0 affects only the phase of wave. With regard to dynamics, when $|c_1c_2| < 1$, (96) is a nonsingular periodic wave but its amplitude exponentially changes with time. There are two special cases of this solution. One is for k_1 being real, i.e., $k_1 = a$, solution (96) reads

$$|Q_n|^2 = \frac{4\sinh^2 2a}{|c_2|^{-2}e^{4na} + |c_1|^{-2}e^{-4na} + 2\sin\gamma},\tag{97}$$

which is a stationary soliton; the other is k_1 being pure imaginary, i.e., $k_1 = ib$, solution (96) reduces to

$$|Q_n|^2 = \frac{4\sin^2 2b}{|c_2|^{-2} + |c_1|^{-2} + 2\sin(\gamma - 4nb)},$$
(98)

which is a stationary periodic wave with period $\frac{\pi}{2h}$ in space.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, by means of a reduction technique based on bilinearization and double Wronskians/Casoratians, we derived explicit multi-soliton solutions for the space-time shifted nonlocal NLS and mKdV hierarchies and the semi-discrete space-time shifted nonlocal NLS equation. In this approach we made use of double Wronskian/Casoratian solutions of the unreduced systems, to convert nonlocal reductions to the constraints to the elementary vectors φ and ψ in double Wronskians (and Φ_n and Ψ_n in double Casoratians), which require the eigenvalue matrix A (and B in discrete case) satisfies some constrained matrix equations, e.g. (23), (25) and (27) (and (79), (82) and (86) for discrete case). As we have seen that, compared with the nonlocal equations without space-time shifts, the distributions of eigenvalues do not change with space-time shifts but the space-time shifts do bring new constraints to the phase terms in solutions. This observation will be helpful for the investigation of the nonlocal space-time shifted integrable equations using other approaches, such as the inverse scattering transform and Darboux transformation.

Finally, we remark that two-soliton solutions of the space-time shifted nonlocal NLS equation and mKdV equation were obtained in a recent paper [47]. However, in the present paper our reduction technique enables us to derive solutions to a hierarchy of equations, present distributions of eigenvalues and obtain explicit formulae of multi-soliton solutions and multiple-pole solutions.

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the NSF of China (Nos. 11631007 and 11875040).

References

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable space-time shifted nonlocal nonlinear equations, Phys. Lett. A, 409 (2021) No.127516 (6pp).
- [2] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Rev. Lett., 110 (2013) No.064105 (5pp).
- [3] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Inverse scattering transform for the integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Nonlinearity, 29 (2016) 915-946.
- [4] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal nonlinear equations, Stud. Appl. Math., 139 (2016) 7-59.
- [5] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable discrete PT symmetric model, Phys. Rev. E, 90 (2014) No.032912 (5pp).
- [6] A.K. Sarma, M.A. Miri, Z.H. Musslimani, Continuous and discrete Schrödinger systems with parity-time-symmetric nonlinearities, Phys. Rev. E, 89 (2014) No.052918 (7pp).
- [7] A.S. Fokas, Integrable multidimensional versions of the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Nonlinearity, 29 (2016) 319-324.
- [8] M. Gürses, Nonlocal Fordy-Kulish equations on symmetric spaces, Phys. Lett. A, 381 (2017) 1791-1794.
- [9] C.Q. Song, D.M. Xiao, Z.N. Zhu, Reverse space-time nonlocal Sasa-Satsuma equation and its solutions, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 86 (2017) No.054001 (6pp).
- [10] Z.X. Zhou, Darboux transformations and global explicit solutions for nonlocal Davey-Stewartson I equation, Stud. Appl. Math., 141 (2018) 186-204.
- [11] K. Chen, S.M. Liu, D.J. Zhang, Covariant hodograph transformations between nonlocal short pulse models and AKNS(-1) system, Appl. Math. Lett., 88 (2019) 360-366.
- [12] S.Y. Lou, Prohibitions caused by nonlocality for nonlocal Boussinesq-KdV type systems, Stud. Appl. Math., 143 (2019) 123-138.
- [13] X.M. Zhu, D.F. Zuo, Some (2+1)-dimensional nonlocal 'breaking soliton'-type systems, Appl. Math. Lett., 91 (2019) 181-187.
- [14] S.M. Liu, H. Wu, D.J. Zhang, New results on the classical and nonlocal Gross-Pitaevskii equation with a parabolic potential, Rep. Math. Phys., 86 (2020) 271-292.
- [15] D.D. Zhang, P.H. van der Kamp, D.J. Zhang, Multi-component generalisation of CAC systems, Sigma, 16 (2020) 060 (30pp).
- [16] S.Y. Lou, F. Hung, Alice-Bob physics: Coherent solutions of nonlocal KdV systems, Sci. Rep., 7 (2017) 869-880.
- [17] S.Y. Lou, Multi-place physics and multi-place nonlocal systems, Commun. Theor. Phys., 72 (2020) No.057001 (13pp).
- [18] J. Yang, Physically significant nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation and its soliton solutions, Phys. Rev. E, 98 (2018) No.042202 (12pp).
- [19] M.J. Ablowitz, Z.H. Musslimani, Integrable nonlocal asymptotic reductions of physically significant nonlinear equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 52 (2019) No.15LT02 (8pp).
- [20] V.S. Gerdjikov, A. Saxena, Complete integrability of nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Math. Phys., 58 (2017) No.013502 (33pp).
- [21] M.J. Ablowitz, B.F. Feng, X.D. Luo, Z.H. Musslimani, Reverse space-time nonlocal sine-Gordon/sinh-Gordon equations with nonzero boundary conditions, Stud. Appl. Math., 141 (2018) 267-307.
- [22] B. Yang, J.K. Yang, Transformations between nonlocal and local integrable equations, Stud. Appl. Math., 140 (2018) 178-201.
- [23] V. Caudrelier, Interplay between the inverse scattering method and Fokas's unified transform with an application, Stud. Appl. Math., 140 (2018) 3-26.
- [24] K. Chen, D.J. Zhang, Solutions of the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchy via reduction, Appl. Math. Lett., 75 (2018) 82-88.
- [25] K. Chen, X. Deng, S.Y. Lou, D.J. Zhang, Solutions of nonlocal equations reduced from the AKNS hierarchy, Stud. Appl. Math., 141 (2018) 113-141.

- [26] M. Gürses, A. Pekcan, Nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their soliton solutions, J. Math. Phys., 59 (2018) No.051501 (17pp).
- [27] B. Yang, J.K. Yang, PT-symmetric nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Lett. Math. Phys., 109 (2019) 945-973.
- [28] W. Feng, S.L. Zhao, Cauchy matrix type solutions for the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Rep. Math. Phys., 84 (2019) 75-83.
- [29] M.J. Ablowitz, X.D. Luo, Z.H. Musslimani, Discrete nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger systems: Integrability, inverse scattering and solitons, Nonlinearity, 33 (2020) 3653-3707.
- [30] J.G. Rao, Y. Cheng, K. Porsezian, D. Mihalache, J.S. He, *PT*-symmetric nonlocal Davey-Stewartson I equation: Soliton solutions with nonzero background, Phys. D, 401 (2020) No.132180 (28pp).
- [31] V.B. Matveev, A.O. Smirnov, Multiphase solutions of nonlocal symmetric reductions of equations of the AKNS hierarchy: General analysis and simplest examples, Theor. Math. Phys., 204 (2020) 1154-1165.
- [32] G.Q. Zhang, Z.Y. Yan, Inverse scattering transforms and soliton solutions of focusing and defocusing nonlocal mKdV equations with non-zero boundary conditions, Phys. D, 402 (2020) No.132170 (14pp).
- [33] Y. Rybalko, D. Shepelsky, Long-time asymptotics for the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation with step-like initial data, J. Diff. Equ., 270 (2021) 694-724.
- [34] Y. Rybalko, D. Shepelsky, Long-time asymptotics for the integrable nonlocal focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation for a family of step-like initial data, Commun. Math. Phys., 382 (2021) 87-121.
- [35] X. Deng, S.Y. Lou, D.J. Zhang, Bilinearisation-reduction approach to the nonlocal discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Appl. Math. Comp., 332 (2018) 477-483.
- [36] Y. Shi, S.F. Shen, S.L. Zhao, Solutions and connections of nonlocal derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Nonlinear Dyn., 95 (2019) 1257-1267.
- [37] J. Wang, H. Wu, D.J. Zhang, Solutions of the nonlocal (2+1)-D breaking solitons hierarchy and the negative order AKNS hierarchy, Commun. Theor. Phys., 72, (2020) No.045002 (12pp).
- [38] S.Z. Liu, J. Wang, D.J. Zhang, The Fokas-Lenells equations: Bilinear approach, arxiv: 2104.04938.
- [39] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell, H. Segur, Nonlinear-evolution equations of physical significance, Phys. Rev. Lett., 31 (1973) 125-127.
- [40] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell, H. Segur, The inverse scattering transform-Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems, Stud. Appl. Math., 54 (1974) 249-315.
- [41] A.C. Newell, Solitons in Mathematics and Physics, SIAM, Philadelphin, 1985.
- [42] R. Hirota, A new form of Bäcklund transformations and its relation to the inverse scattering problem, Prog. Theor. Phys., 52 (1974) 1498-1512.
- [43] Q.M. Liu, Double Wronskian solutions of the AKNS and the classical Boussinesq hierarchies, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 59 (1990) 3520-3527.
- [44] F.M. Yin, Y.P. Sun, F.Q. Cai, D.Y. Chen, Solving the AKNS hierarchy by its bilinear form: Generalized double Wronskian solutions, Comm. Theor. Phys., 49 (2008) 401-408.
- [45] M.J. Ablowitz, J.F. Ladik, Nonlinear dierential-dierence equations, J. Math. Phys., 16 (1975) 598-603.
- [46] M.J. Ablowitz, B. Prinari, A.D. Trubatch, Discrete and Continuous Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [47] M. Gürses, A. Pekcan, Soliton solutions of the shifted nonlocal NLS and MKdV equation, arxiv: 2106.14252v2.