

Meta-material Sensors based Internet of Things for 6G Communications

Jingzhi Hu*, Hongliang Zhang[†], Boya Di*, Kaigui Bian[‡], and Lingyang Song*.

*Department of Electronics, Peking University, Beijing, China,

[†]Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA,

[‡]Department of Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing, China

Abstract—In the coming 6G communications, the internet of things (IoT) serves as a key enabler to collect environmental information and is expected to achieve ubiquitous deployment. However, it is challenging for traditional IoT sensors to meet this demand because of their requirement of power supplies and frequent maintenance, which is due to their sense-then-transmit working principle. To address this challenge, we propose a meta-IoT sensing system, where the IoT sensors are based on specially designed meta-materials. The meta-IoT sensors achieve simultaneous sensing and transmission and thus require no power supplies. In order to design a meta-IoT sensing system with optimal sensing accuracy, we jointly consider the sensing and transmission of meta-IoT sensors and propose an efficient algorithm to jointly optimize the meta-IoT structure and the sensing function at the receiver of the system. As an example, we apply the proposed system and algorithm in sensing environmental temperature and humidity levels. Simulation results show that by using the proposed algorithm, the sensing accuracy can be significantly increased.

I. INTRODUCTION

For the next coming 6G communications, it is envisioned that internet of things (IoT) lays the foundation for various important sensing applications [1]. Specifically, to support applications such as intelligent industrial process, and environmental monitoring, an extremely large number of IoT sensors need to be spread pervasively in the environments to collect information. The number of IoT sensors needed in 6G is reckon to be 10-fold (10 million devices per square km) more than that in 5G [2]. In order to support the ultra-massive deployment, it is necessary for the IoT sensors in 6G to be battery-free, so that they are energy-efficient and can be used for continuously sensing without any human maintenance for an ultra-long time [1].

Nevertheless, it is challenging for existing IoT sensors to satisfy the demands in 6G. Because existing IoT sensors do not support simultaneous sensing and transmission, and thus need power supplies, such as lithium batteries, for modulating and transmitting sensing results. The modulation of sensing results and the antenna requirements for transmitting signals of the IoT sensors result in non-negligible power, price, and space demands for active sensors, which makes them not suitable for imperceptible mass deployment. To meet the demand for pervasive environment sensing, it is necessary to develop sensors with simultaneous sensing and transmission, which means that sensing results can be carried back by wireless signals reflected on it without any modulation.

Fortunately, meta-material based sensors have shown the potential of simultaneous sensing and transmission for sensing applications in 6G communications [3], [4], which we refer to as *meta-IoT sensors*. The meta-IoT sensors are printed circuits on supportive substrates combined with some sensitive materials, which together work as reflectors for wireless signals. The working principle is that their reflection coefficients for wireless signals are sensitive to surrounding physical conditions. As a result, by analyzing the reflected signals from the meta-IoT sensors, the influence of the physical conditions can be recognized. Such wireless transceivers can be part of the traditional wireless sensor networks or the equipment carried by the UAVs for sensing applications [5], so the meta-IoT sensors can be merged into existing IoT framework.

In literature, a few works have discussed using meta-materials for sensing environmental conditions. In [3], the authors proposed a meta-material sensor which constitutes of single ring resonator (SRR) circuits and a temperature-sensitive polymer, which can be used to sense CO₂ concentration or temperature. In [6], the authors proposed a meta-material temperature sensor with a double SRR, which can sense temperature levels in harsh high-temperature environments. In [7], the authors designed a humidity sensor based on perfect meta-material absorber. Moreover, in [8], the authors utilized metamaterials to design an enhanced passive humidity sensors.

However, the above literature focuses on the design to improve the sensing performance while the transmission lacks consideration. As the design of meta-IoT sensors not only influences the sensing performance, but also has an impact on the received signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR), thus it is important to design the design of the meta-IoT sensor considering both sensing and transmission.

In this paper, to achieve the simultaneous sensing and transmission of sensors, we design a meta-IoT sensing system, which is able to sense multiple environmental conditions. To jointly considering the influence of both sensing and transmission, we formulate a we formulate a joint meta-IoT structure and estimation function optimization problem and solve the formulated problem efficiently by problem decomposition and solving the sub-problems. The simulation results verify the effectiveness of the proposed design technique to optimize the meta-IoT sensor design.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the proposed multifunctional meta-IoT sensor.




Fig. 1. (a) Multi-functional meta-IoT sensor for sensing N_T different sensing targets. (b) Circuit model of the n -th meta-IoT unit.

In Section III, the model of the meta-IoT sensing system is described. In Section IV, we formulate a joint meta-IoT structure and estimation function optimization problem and propose the algorithm in Section V. Simulation results are provided in Section VI, and conclusion is drawn in Section VII.

II. OVERVIEW OF META-IOT SENSORS

Meta-materials are artificial periodic structures exhibiting exotic properties, which are underpinned by their special frequency responses for wireless signals [9]. By designing a meta-IoT sensor with specific structure and dielectric materials, we can make the frequency response of the meta-IoT sensor sensitive to various sensing targets, such as temperature, humidity, gas concentration, and so on.

As shown in Fig. 1 (a), the meta-IoT sensor consists of N_T meta-IoT units for N_T different target environmental conditions, which we refer to as N_T sensing target conditions. Each meta-IoT unit consists of a SRR with a horizontal gap printed on a supportive dielectric substrate. The substrate of the meta-IoT sensor is made of dielectric materials, and the SRR is made of metal. The detailed dimensions of a meta-IoT unit are illustrated in Fig. 1 (a).

We assume that the distance between the SRRs of adjacent meta-IoT units are large enough, so that the coupling between different SRRs can be neglected. Then, each SRR can be approximated by a resonant circuit. For the n -th meta-IoT unit with gap width d_n , given the condition vector of the N_T sensing targets being $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{N_T})$, the impedance of the circuit can be calculated as¹

$$Z_n(f; \mathbf{c}, d_n) = R + \frac{R_{M,n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n)}{1 + 4\pi^2 f^2 R_{M,n}^2(\mathbf{c}, d_n) \cdot (C_S + C_{G,n}(d_n))^2} + j \left(2\pi f L - \frac{2\pi f R_{M,n}^2(\mathbf{c}, d_n) \cdot (C_S + C_{G,n}(d_n))}{1 + 4\pi^2 f^2 R_{M,n}^2(\mathbf{c}, d_n) \cdot (C_{S,n} + C_{G,n}(d_n))^2} \right), \quad (1)$$

where f denotes the frequency of incident signal on the SRR, R and L are the parasitic resistance and inductance of the SRR, respectively, $C_{G,n}(d_n)$ denotes the capacity of the gap with width d_n and the n -th sensitive material, $C_{S,n}$ denotes the surface capacity of the n -th SRR, and $R_{M,n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n)$ is the

¹For the sake of generality, we consider that the electric properties of the n -th sensitive material can be influenced not only by the n -th sensing target, but also by the n' -th ($n' \in [1, N_T], n' \neq n$) sensing target as well.

resistance of the sensitive material at condition c_n . Moreover, $R_{M,n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n)$ and $C_{G,n}$ can be modeled as

$$R_{M,n}(\mathbf{c}, d_n) = \frac{d_n}{\rho_{M,n}(\mathbf{c}) W_{SRR} h}, \quad C_{G,n}(d_n) = \frac{\hat{C}_{G,n}}{d_n}, \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_{M,n}(\mathbf{c})$ denotes the conductivity of the n -th sensitive material when sensing target conditions being \mathbf{c} , and $\hat{C}_{G,n}$ denotes capacity of the gap with a unit width. From (1), it can be seen that the circuit model of the n -th meta-IoT unit can be modeled as a function of d_n . Therefore, the gap widths of the N_T meta-IoT units can be considered as the variables to design the meta-IoT sensors, which are denoted by $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_{N_T})$ and referred to as the *meta-IoT structure vector*.

For the n -th meta-IoT unit, the reflection coefficient is defined by the ratio between the reflected power from and the incident power. Denote the area of a meta-IoT unit by $S_A = l_s^2$, and we assume that the incident signal on the SRR to be a planer horizontally-polarized signal with amplitude E . Then, based on [10], incident power $P_{in} = 1/2 v \epsilon_0 S_A E^2$, where v is the speed of light, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum. Besides, the voltage in the n -th meta-IoT unit can be calculated by $U = E d_n / \epsilon_n$, where ϵ_n denotes the relative dielectric constant of the sensitive material of the n -th meta-IoT unit. Then, the reflection coefficient of the n -th meta-IoT unit can be calculated by

$$\sigma_n(f, d_n; \mathbf{c}) = 1 - \frac{2d_n^2 \cdot \text{Re}(Z_n(f; \mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{-n}, d_n))}{v \epsilon_0 S_A |Z_n(f; \mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{-n}, d_n)|^2 \epsilon_n^2}. \quad (3)$$

By substituting (1) and (2) into (3), we can observe that the reflection coefficient function of the n -th meta-IoT unit is dependent on f and d_n . Using the analytical model, i.e., $\sigma_n(f, d_n; \mathbf{c}_n)$, we reveal the influence of d_n on the reflection coefficients. Specifically, the meta-IoT structure vector determine the impedance of the SRRs in each meta-IoT unit and the reflection coefficient of the complete meta-IoT sensor. Besides, in (2), it can be observed that by increasing d_n , the influence of the sensing target conditions will be more significant. Nevertheless, to obtain a precise reflection coefficient function, numerical finite-element simulation, such as CST software, and practical experiments are in need. We denote the precise reflection coefficient function for the complete meta-IoT sensor obtained by numerical simulation by $\tilde{\sigma}(f, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c})$.

III. SYSTEM MODEL

In this section, we first describe components of the meta-IoT sensing system, and then establish the transmission model.

A. System Description

The meta-IoT sensing system contains a wireless transceiver and an array of meta-IoT sensors, which is illustrated in Fig. 2. The wireless transceiver consists of a processing unit and two directional antennas, i.e., a Tx antenna and an Rx antenna. The transceiver is capable of transmitting and receiving signals within a frequency range $[f_{lb}, f_{ub}]$. Besides, the array of meta-IoT sensor is composed of $N_x \times N_y$ meta-IoT sensors densely paved as a 2-dimensional rectangle, which is fixed on the wall in front of the antenna. The processing unit uses an *estimation*




Fig. 2. Meta-IoT sensing system.

function g to map the received signal power to the condition vector of the N_T sensing targets.

B. Transmission Model

Denote the transmit power by P , and with the help of [3], we can model the received signal strength as

$$P_{\text{Rx},dB}(f, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}) = 10 \log_{10} \left(\underbrace{PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f)}_{\text{Pathloss}} \cdot \underbrace{(\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_W + \eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(f, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}))}_{\text{Reflection}} + \underbrace{P_b}_{\text{Bias}} \right) + \underbrace{e(f)}_{\text{Noise}}, \quad (4)$$

It can be observe that (4) consists of four parts: pathloss, reflection coefficient, bias, and noise, which are explained in detail as follows.

1) *Pathloss*: Based on [11], the pathloss can be modeled by a logarithmic distance path loss model, i.e.,

$$PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f) = \left(\frac{v}{4\pi f} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2D} \right)^\alpha, \quad (5)$$

where α is the path loss index, and D denotes the distance between the antenna and the meta-IoT sensor.

2) *Reflection Coefficient*: The reflection factor is composed of two terms. The first term, i.e., $\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_W$, is the power reflected by the wall, where R_W denotes the reflection coefficient of the wall and can be obtained with the help of [12]. Besides, the second term, i.e., $\eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(f, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c})$ indicates the power reflected by the meta-IoT sensor. Here, η_{ms} and η_{env} can be calculated by

$$\eta_{\text{ms}} = (S_0 \cdot \left(\frac{D}{D_0} \right)^2 - A) / S_0 \cdot D^2, \quad \eta_{\text{env}} = 1 - \eta_{\text{ms}}, \quad (6)$$

where S_0 denotes the coverage area of the antenna's radiation on the wall at unit distance, and $A = S_A \cdot N_x \cdot N_y$ is the area of the meta-IoT sensor array.

3) *Bias*: The bias at the wireless receiver accounts the ambient environmental signals and the power measurement bias of the receiver. The bias P_b is modeled as a constant value which is much smaller than the transmitted power P .

4) *Noise*: We model the measurement noise $e(f)$ of the wireless transceiver as random variable following Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_M^2)$, where σ_M denotes the variance of the measurement noise.

IV. SIMULTANEOUS SENSING AND TRANSMISSION DESIGN PROBLEM FOR META-IOT SENSORS

In this section, we formulate a simultaneous sensing and transmission design problem for meta-IoT sensors to optimize the sensing performance of the meta-IoT sensing system, where the influence of both the sensing and transmission is considered jointly to minimize sensing errors.

To evaluate the sensing error, we adopt the root mean squared error (RMSE) as the loss function. Besides, the optimization variables are the parameters of the estimation function, i.e., \mathbf{w} , and the meta-IoT structure, i.e., \mathbf{d} , which are coupled together in the formulated optimization problem. Then, the simultaneous sensing and transmission design problem is formulated as

$$(P1): \min_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}} L_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} \sum_{m=1}^{N_M} \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} |\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m} - \mathbf{c}_j|, \quad (7)$$

$$\text{s.t. } (\mathbf{p}_{j,m}, \mathbf{c}_j) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \forall j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M], \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m} = \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{j,m}), \quad \forall j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M], \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{j,m} = (P_{\text{Rx},dB}(f_1, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j), \dots, P_{\text{Rx},dB}(f_{N_F}, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j)), \quad (10)$$

$$P_{\text{Rx},dB}(f_i, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j) = 10 \log_{10} (PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f_i)) \quad (11)$$

$$\cdot (\eta_{\text{env}} \cdot P \cdot R_{\text{wall}} + \eta_{\text{ms}} \cdot P \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(f_i, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j)) + P_b + e_i, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}_A.$$

In objective function (7), N_C is the number of considered condition vectors, N_M denotes the number of measurement given each condition, \mathbf{c}_j indicates the j -th considered condition vector, and $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m}$ is the estimated condition vector for the m -th measurement at \mathbf{c}_j . Constraint (8) indicates that the $N_M \cdot N_C$ measurements at N_C conditions constitute the data set to minimize the RMSE of the system, where $\mathbf{p}_{j,m}$ denotes the m -th received power vector at \mathbf{c}_j . Constraint (9) is due to that the resulting condition vector is estimated by using $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{w}}$. Constraints (10) and (11) indicate the received power vector is determined by the wireless propagation channel, which is influenced by the sensing targets and the meta-IoT structure. Besides, in (12), \mathcal{D}_A denotes the set of available meta-IoT structure vectors. In the formulated problem, it can be seen that the influence of the sensing and transmission integration is considered by constraint (11), and the estimation function can handle this influence through solving (P1).

However, due to the simultaneous sensing and transmission of the meta-IoT sensor, the meta-IoT structure, i.e., \mathbf{d} , impacts both the sensitivity towards the sensing target and the received power vector, which makes solving (P1) challenging. Besides, the constraint (11) is highly non-convex due to the reflection coefficient function and is hard to evaluate. To solve (P1) efficiently, we decompose it into two sub-problems and propose the algorithms them to solve them sequentially in Section V.

V. ALGORITHM DESIGN

In this section, we propose an efficient algorithm to solve the formulated problem (P1) in Section IV. In (P1), the main challenges lie in \mathbf{d} affects both meta-IoT sensors' sensitivity

towards the sensing targets and the received power vector, and optimization of \mathbf{d} and \mathbf{w} being coupled. To handle these challenges, we decompose (P1) into two subproblems, i.e., a *meta-IoT structure optimization*, and an *estimation function optimization*, and solve them sequentially.

1) *Meta-IoT Structure Optimization Algorithm*: The meta-IoT structure optimization is based on the following intuition. Consider \mathbf{g}^w as a general classification function, which recognizes the sensing target condition corresponding to a received power vector. Thus, we need to minimize the *indiscernibility* of the received power vectors for different sensing target conditions in order to maximize performance of \mathbf{g}^w .

One of the widely adopted indiscernibility measurements is the average Euler distance, which is used to evaluate how much the two vectors can be discerned from each other [13]. Specifically, the *average negative Euler distance* is defined as

$$I_{ED} = -\frac{1}{N_C} \sum_{j,j' \in [1, N_C]} \|\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j - \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{j'}\|_2^2, \quad (13)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j$ denotes the expectation of $\mathbf{p}_{j,m}$.

Nevertheless, using Euler distance to measure the indiscernibility is inaccurate and inefficient for the considered meta-IoT sensor sensing scenario. This is because, two sensing target conditions which are largely different from each other will result in significant differences between their corresponding received power vectors, which makes them highly distinguishable. Thus, the indiscernibility is majorly due to the received power vectors of neighboring sensing target conditions which are close to each other. Besides, calculating I_{ED} in (13) is of the computational complexity $\mathcal{O}(N_F N_C^2)$, which is time-consuming. To handle the above issues, we adopt *the error probability for judging nearest neighbors* as the indiscernibility measurement for the received power vectors, i.e.,

$$I_{EN} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} \sum_{\mathbf{c}_{j'} \in \mathcal{N}_j} \Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j), \quad (14)$$

where \mathcal{N}_j denotes the set of nearest neighbors of the j -th sensing target condition, and $\Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$ denotes the error probability to judge the N_T sensing target conditions to be $\mathbf{c}_{j'}$ when the actual condition is \mathbf{c}_j . The nearest neighbor set of the j -th sensing target condition vector in (14) is composed of the sensing target conditions which have the smallest positive or negative difference with the j -th sensing target condition vector, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_j = \cup_{n=1}^{N_T} \left\{ \mathbf{c}_{j'} \mid \sum_{n' \neq n} |c_{j',n'} - c_{j,n'}| \leq \sum_{n' \neq n} |c_{j'',n'} - c_{j,n'}|, \right. \\ \left. j', j'' \in \arg \min_{\varrho \in [1, N_C]} |c_{\varrho,n} - c_{j,n}| \right\}, \quad (15)$$

where $c_{j,n}$ indicates the n -th element of vector \mathbf{c} . It can be observed in (15) that $|\mathcal{N}_j| \leq 2N_T$. Therefore, the computational complexity of I_{EN} is $\mathcal{O}(N_F N_T)$, which is much smaller than that of I_{ED} . Besides, the error probability $\Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$ can be calculated with the help of Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. *Assume that the maximum likelihood decision criterion is adopted to judge between the sensing target con-*

ditions. Then, for a given meta-IoT structure \mathbf{d} , $\Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$ can be calculated by

$$\Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j) = 0.5 \cdot (1 - \text{erf}(\sigma_{j',j} / 2\sqrt{2})), \quad (16)$$

where $\text{erf}(\cdot)$ denotes the error function, and

$$\sigma_{j',j}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \frac{(\gamma_{j',i} - \gamma_{j,i})^2}{\sigma_M^2}, \quad (17)$$

$$\gamma_{j,i} = 10 \log_{10} (PL_{\text{Tx,Rx}}(f_i) \cdot P \cdot (\eta_{\text{env}} R_{\text{wall}} + \eta_{\text{ms}} \sigma_{\text{ms}}^*(f_i, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j)) + P_b). \quad (18)$$

Moreover, $\Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j)$ decreases as transmit power P increases or as the distance between the meta-IoT sensor and transceiver's antennas decreases.

Proof: See Appendix A. ■

Therefore, based on (P1) and (14), the meta-IoT structure optimization problem can be formulated as follows, where we maximize I_{EN} by optimizing \mathbf{d} .

$$\text{(sP1): } \max_{\mathbf{d}} I_{EN} = \sum_{j,j' \in [1, N_C]} \Pr^{err}(\mathbf{c}_{j'} | \mathbf{c}_j), \\ \text{s.t. (12), (15)-(18).}$$

Due to that the objective function in (sP1) contains a non-convex function, i.e., $\text{erf}(\cdot)$, (sP1) is a non-convex optimization problem which is hard to solve. Besides, to evaluate the objective function in (sP1), it is necessary to calculate $\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{ms}}(f_i, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j)$ by numerical simulation which can be of high time-complexity. To solve (sP1) efficiently, we adopt the surrogate optimization algorithm [14], which can handle finitely bounded non-convex optimization problems and has a high probability of finding global optimum.

2) *Estimation Function Optimization Algorithm*: In the estimation function optimization, we adopt the optimized meta-IoT structure, i.e., \mathbf{d}^* , and minimize the RMSE of the sensing by optimizing \mathbf{w} , i.e.,

$$\text{(sP2): } \min_{\mathbf{w}} L_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}^*) = \sum_{(\mathbf{p}_{j,m}, \mathbf{c}_j) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}} |\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{j,m} - \mathbf{c}_j|, \\ \text{s.t. (8)-(10).}$$

To solve (sP2), we model that \mathbf{g}^w as a fully-connected neural network, which is an efficient model for general types of classification and regression functions. The fully-connected neural network consists of an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer, which are connected successively. The input layer takes the N_F -dimensional real-valued received power vector, passes it to the hidden layer which contains N_W neural nodes, each of which calculates a biased weighted sum of its input and processes the sum with a *softmax* function [13]. Then, the nodes in the hidden layer pass the results to the output layer, which consists of N_T neural nodes, which output the estimated sensing target conditions. In this case, the parameter vector of the estimation function, i.e., \mathbf{w} , stands for the weights of the connections and the biases of the nodes.

Moreover, to obtain training data set \mathcal{D} in (8), we use the Monte Carlo method in the simulation and practical measurement in the experiment. In the simulation, we generate the

Algorithm 1 Simultaneous Sensing and Transmission Optimization Algorithm

Input: Set of sensing target condition \mathcal{C} , $|\mathcal{C}| = N_C$; Set of frequency point \mathcal{F} , $|\mathcal{F}| = N_F$; Set of available meta-IoT structure vectors \mathcal{D}_A ; Channel coefficients, α , σ_M , η_{env} , η_{ms} , P , and D ; Learning rate β .

Output: \mathbf{d}^* , \mathbf{g}^{w^*} .

- 1: Solve (sP1) and obtain the optimal meta-IoT structure vector \mathbf{d}^* by using the surrogate optimization algorithm.
 - 2: Based on \mathbf{d}^* , use Monte Carlo method to generate random set of training data \mathcal{D} .
 - 3: Using the training data set \mathcal{D} to train the neural network by solving (sP2), and obtain the optimized estimation function \mathbf{g}^{w^*} .
 - 4: **return** \mathbf{d}^* , and \mathbf{g}^{w^*} .
-

data set by a set of random simulated received power vectors satisfying the constraints in (sP2). Specifically, the training data set is generated by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{p}_{j,m}, \mathbf{c}_j) | j \in [1, N_C], m \in [1, N_M], \mathbf{p}_{j,m} = \mathbf{e}_i + \gamma_{dB}^*(\mathbf{d}^*; \mathbf{c}_j), \mathbf{e} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_M)\}, \quad (19)$$

where N_M is the cardinality of training data set, γ_{dB}^* is a N_F -dimensional vector function with its i -th element being $\gamma_{dB,i}^*(\mathbf{d}^*; \mathbf{c}_j) = 10 \log_{10} (PL_{Tx,Rx}(f_i) \cdot (\eta_{env} \cdot P \cdot R_{wall} + \eta_{ms} \cdot P \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(f_i, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{c}_j)) + P_b)$, and \mathbf{e} is a N_F -dimensional vector of independently distributed Gaussian random variables. Besides, in the experimental, the training data set is collected by multiple measurements at different sensing target conditions.

Then, we optimize \mathbf{g}^w by training it on \mathcal{D} using the supervised learning technique [13]. The training of \mathbf{w} is performed by iteratively updating \mathbf{w} along the negative gradient the of the RMSE loss in (sP2), i.e.,

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} - \beta \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L_{RMSE}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}^*), \quad (20)$$

where the gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} L_{RMSE}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}^*)$ is calculated by using the back-propagation algorithm [13]. Moreover, $\beta \in [0, 1]$ denotes the learning rate which gradually decreases to 0 as the number of training iterations increases. Sum up the algorithms to solve sub-problems (sP1)-(sP2), and we can summarize the complete algorithm to solve (P1) as Algorithm 1.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, to validate the effectiveness of the proposed framework and design technique, we design and implement a meta-IoT sensor sensing system to sense the temperature and humidity levels. We first provide simulation results of the proposed simultaneous sensing and transmission optimization algorithm and obtain the optimal meta-IoT structure. Besides, we give insight into how the transmit power and the distance between the meta-IoT sensors and the transceiver influence the sensing accuracy.

In the simulation, each meta-IoT sensor consists of two meta-IoT units. The first meta-IoT unit has temperature-sensitive material within its gap and is aimed for sensing temperature, which we refer to as the *T-unit*. Similarly, the second meta-IoT unit contains humidity-sensitive material for sensing humidity and is referred to as *H-unit*. More specifically, the meta-IoT units are made of copper rings and FR-4 supportive

TABLE I
SIMULATION PARAMETERS.

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| $[f_{lb}, f_{ub}]$ | 3.5-4.5 GHz | $N_x \times N_y$ | 6×12 | L_{SRR} | 7.5 mm | l_s | 14.54 mm |
| P | 100 mW | α | 2 | W_{SRR} | 1.2 mm | H_{SRR} | 0.035 mm |
| D | 50 cm | R_W | 0.48 | N_W | 256 | h | 3.2 mm |
| S_0 | 210.4 cm ² | β | 0.002 | $ \mathcal{D}_{train} $ | 4000 | σ_M^2 | 10^{-6} dBm |




Fig. 3. Resulting RMSEs of the estimation function versus transmit power P given different meta-IoT structures.

substrate, and the detailed setting parameters are shown in Table I. The adopted temperature-sensitive material in T-unit is the powder used in the NTC thermistor SDNT2012X102-3450-TF [15]. Besides, the adopted humidity-sensitive material in H-unit is the polymer used in the hygristor TELAiRE HS30P [16]. Moreover, the selected sensing target condition set is $\mathcal{C} = \{(c_1, c_2) | c_1 \in [5, 45], c_2 \in [20, 60], c_1, c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}$, i.e., $N_C = 81$. By solving (P1) given the above simulation settings, we obtain the optimal meta-IoT structure $\mathbf{d}^* = (2.05, 1.22)$ mm.

Figs. 3 and 4 show the resulting RMSE obtained by solving (sP3) under different meta-IoT structure cases. In both Figs. 3 and 4, the first case indicates the resulting RMSE for the estimation function of the optimal meta-IoT structure within $\mathcal{D}_A = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^2 | d_T, d_H \in [1, 5], d_T \neq d_H\}$. The second case indicates the resulting RMSE of the estimation function given the optimal integer meta-IoT structure found within $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_A = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | d_T, d_H \in [1, 5], d_T \neq d_H\}$. The third case indicates the average resulting RMSE of the estimation function given integer meta-IoT structures in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_A$. It can be observed that the resulting RMSE values of different meta-IoT structures decrease as the transmit power increases, and increase as the distance between the wireless transceiver and the meta-IoT sensor increases, which is in accordance with the analysis in Proposition 1. Besides, the optimal meta-IoT structure leads to the lowest RMSE under different transmit power and distance.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have designed a meta-IoT sensing system to integrate sensing and transmission in the IoT sensors. The




Fig. 4. RMSEs of the estimation function versus distance between the wireless transceiver and the meta-*IoT* sensor given different meta-*IoT* structures.

meta-*IoT* sensors can simultaneously sense physical environments and transmit the sensing results in a fully-passive manner. We have analyzed the relationship between the meta-*IoT* structure and its reflection coefficients for wireless signals as well as the transmission model. A joint meta-*IoT* structure and estimation function optimization problem has been formulated and solved, in which the meta-*IoT* structure's influence on the sensing and transmission integration has been considered. Specifically, we have applied the proposed meta-*IoT* sensing system to sense the temperature and humidity. Simulation results have verified the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm in minimizing the meta-*IoT* sensor's sensing RMSE for temperature and humidity levels, and have revealed the trend that the sensing accuracy decreases as the transmit power decrease or the measure distance increases.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

To evaluate the indistinguishability, we adopt the error decision probability under the maximum likelihood judging criterion. Specifically, given target condition c_{j_1} , we denote the received power vector for c_{j_1} as p_{j_1} , and we analyze the probability to decide the climate condition to be c_{j_2} ($j_2 \in [1, N_C]$ and $j_2 \neq j_1$) by judging from p_{j_1} .

Based on (4) and (18), the i -th element of p_{j_1} can be expressed as

$$p_{j_1,i} = e_i + \gamma_{j_1,i}, \quad p_{j_2,i} = e_i + \gamma_{j_2,i}, \quad (21)$$

where e_i is a random variable with random normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_M^2)$ with σ_M^2 being the variance of the measurement noise at frequency f_i , and $\gamma_{j,i}$ is defined in (18). Then, based on the probability density function of the normal distribution, the probability of getting p_{j_1} under the condition c_{j_1} and c_{j_2} can be respectively calculated by

$$\Pr(p_{j_1}|c_{j_1}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N_F} e^{-\frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma_M^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_M}, \quad \Pr(p_{j_1}|c_{j_2}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N_F} e^{-\frac{(e_i - \gamma_{j_2,i})^2}{2\sigma_M^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_M}.$$

Using the maximum likelihood criterion, the probability to decide on c_{j_2} from p_{j_1} can be calculated by $\Pr(p_{j_1}|c_{j_2}) <$

$\Pr(p_{j_1}|c_{j_1})$, which is equivalent to

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \frac{e_i \cdot (\gamma_{j_2,i} - \gamma_{j_1,i})}{\sigma_M^2} > \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \frac{(\gamma_{j_2,i} - \gamma_{j_1,i})^2}{\sigma_M^2}\right\}. \quad (22)$$

Denote $\chi_{j_1,j_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \frac{e_i \cdot (\gamma_{j_2,i} - \gamma_{j_1,i})}{\sigma_M^2}$, and χ_{j_1,j_2} is a random variable following the normal distribution, i.e., $\chi_{j_1,j_2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{j_1,j_2}^2)$, where

$$\sigma_{j_1,j_2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} \frac{(\gamma_{j_2,i} - \gamma_{j_1,i})^2}{\sigma_M^2}.$$

Then, the probability for determining climate condition (c_{j_1} to c_{j_2}). The probability in (22) can be calculated by

$$\Pr^{err}(c_{j_1}|c_{j_2}) = 0.5 - 0.5 \cdot \text{erf}(\sigma_{j_1,j_2}/2\sqrt{2}). \quad (23)$$

By taking partial derivative, it can be proved that $\partial\sigma_{\chi_{j_1,j_2}}/\partial P > 0$, indicating that the error probability decreases as the transmit power increase. Similarly, by substituting (5) and (6) into (18) and taking the partial derivative with respect to D , it can be proven that $\partial\sigma_{\chi_{j_1,j_2}}/\partial D < 0$, indicating that the error probability decreases as the measure distance decreases. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Wikström, J. Peisa, P. Rugeland, N. Johansson, S. Parkvall, M. Girnyk, G. Mildh, and I. L. Da Silva, "Challenges and technologies for 6G," in *IEEE 6G Wireless Summit*, (Levi, Finland, Finland), Mar. 2020.
- [2] G. Liu, Y. Huang, N. Li, J. Dong, J. Jin, Q. Wang, and N. Li, "Vision, requirements and network architecture of 6G mobile network beyond 2030," *China Communications*, vol. 17, pp. 92–104, Sept. 2020.
- [3] A. Vena, L. Sydänheimo, M. M. Tentzeris, and L. Ukkonen, "A fully inkjet-printed wireless and chipless sensor for CO2 and temperature detection," *IEEE Sensor J.*, vol. 15, pp. 89–99, July 2015.
- [4] Y. Yuan, Y. Zhao, B. Zong, and S. Parolari, "Potential key technologies for 6G mobile communications," *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, vol. 63, May 2020.
- [5] S. Zhang, H. Zhang, B. Di, and L. Song, "Cellular UAV-to-X communications: Design and optimization for multi-UAV networks," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, pp. 1346–1359, Jan. 2019.
- [6] F. Lu, Q. Tan, Y. Ji, Q. Guo, Y. Guo, and J. Xiong, "A novel metamaterial inspired high-temperature microwave sensor in harsh environments," *Sensors*, vol. 18, Aug. 2018.
- [7] B. Ni, Z. Y. Wang, R. S. Zhao, X. Y. Ma, Z. Q. Xing, L. S. Yang, L. J. Huang, Y. Y. Lin, and D. B. Zhang, "Humidity sensor based on perfect metamaterial absorber," in *Int. Conf. Numerical Simulation Optoelectronic Devices*, (Sydney, NSW, Australia), July 2016.
- [8] L. Dong, L. Wang, and Q. Huang, "Applying metamaterial-based repeater in LC passive wireless sensors to enhance readout," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 18, pp. 1755–1760, Feb. 2018.
- [9] J. Hu, H. Zhang, B. Di, L. Li, K. Bian, L. Song, Y. Li, Z. Han, and H. V. Poor, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface based RF sensing: Design, optimization, and implementation," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 38, Nov. 2020.
- [10] D. S. Jones, *The Theory of Electromagnetism*. Headington Hill Hall, Oxford: Pergamon Press LTD., 2013.
- [11] A. Goldsmith, *Wireless Communications*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [12] O. Landron, M. J. Feuerstein, and T. S. Rappaport, "A comparison of theoretical and empirical reflection coefficients for typical exterior wall surfaces in a mobile radio environment," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 44, pp. 341–351, Mar. 1996.
- [13] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep Learning*. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 2016.
- [14] Y. Wang and C. A. Shoemaker, "A general stochastic algorithmic framework for minimizing expensive black box objective functions based on surrogate models and sensitivity analysis," *arXiv:1410.6271*.

- [15] "Chip temp. sensing NTC thermistor - SDNT series." Available: http://www.sunlordinc.com/UploadFiles/PDF_Cat/20120507204152283.pdf, Mar. 2011. Accessed: 2020-12-29.
- [16] "TELAiRE hs30p relative humidity sensor." Available: <https://www.amphenol-sensors.com/en/component/edocman/viewdocument/384/384-telaire-hs30p-relative-humidity-sensor-product-datasheet?Itemid=>, Sept. 2019. Accessed: 2020-12-29.