

A proof of the continuous martingale convergence theorem

Joe Ghafari

Abstract

A proof of the continuous martingale convergence theorem is provided. It relies on a classical martingale inequality and the almost sure convergence of a uniformly bounded non-negative super-martingale, after a truncation argument.

Keywords: Martingale convergence, super-martingale.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60G44.

1 Introduction

Unless otherwise stated, all processes in this paper are defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$.

The continuous martingale convergence theorem states the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(X_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a right-continuous integrable sub-martingale.*

1. *If $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}[|X_r|] < +\infty$, then there exists an integrable random variable X such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} X_r = X$ a.s.*
2. *The limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} X_r$ exists a.s. in $[-\infty, +\infty[$.*

The discrete version of this result has various proofs (see [2], [4], [5], [7], and [8]). However, there doesn't seem to exist in the literature other proofs than Doob's original proof of Theorem 1.1. The latter uses the up-crossing inequality (for more details, see [9] and [11]).

In this paper we present a new elementary proof of theorem 1.1, avoiding the usual up-crossing lemma and using the classical inequality:

$$\delta \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [u, u+v]} |Y_r| > \delta \right) \leq \mathbb{E}[|Y_u|] + 2\mathbb{E}[|Y_{u+v}|], \quad (1)$$

where $(u, v, \delta) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^2$ and $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is an integrable super-martingale. The discrete martingale convergence theorem is also needed along with the following theorem due to Krickeberg.

Theorem 1.2 (Krickeberg decomposition). *Let $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be an integrable sub-martingale for which $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}[|Y_r|] < +\infty$. Then there exist a non-negative martingale $(U_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and a non-negative super-martingale $(W_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}[|U_r|] < +\infty, \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}[|W_r|] < +\infty$, and for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_+, Y_r = U_r - W_r$.*

The reader is referred to [9], [11], and [10] for a proof of inequality (1) and Theorem 1.2.

Lastly, we note that our approach gives a new proof of the theorem on the convergence of an integrable reversed discrete sub-martingale (see [1] and [6] for a general statement and a proof using the up-crossing lemma).

2 Preliminary tools

In this section we present the necessary tools for our proof of Theorem 1.1.

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 2.2 below.

Lemma 2.1. *If Y is an integrable random variable, then $(\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_{-k}])_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\mathbb{E}[Y|\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{-k}]$ in L^1 .*

Proof. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $H_k := \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_{-k}]$. We fix $\mathcal{F}_\infty := \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{-q}$.

We consider first the case where $Y \in L^2$. We have

$$\forall (q, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \mathbb{E}[(H_{k+q} - H_q)^2] = \mathbb{E}[H_q^2] - \mathbb{E}[H_{k+q}^2].$$

We note that the sequence $(\mathbb{E}[H_k^2])_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing and bounded below, hence it converges in \mathbb{R} .

Consequently, there exists $H \in L^2$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[(H_k - H)^2] = 0$ and H is \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable.

Since

$$\forall G \in \mathcal{F}_\infty, \int_G H \, d\mathbb{P} = \lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \int_G H_q \, d\mathbb{P} = \int_G Y \, d\mathbb{P},$$

it follows that $H = \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_\infty]$ a.s.

Turning to the general case, we have for all $(k, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|H_k - \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_\infty]|] \leq 2\mathbb{E}[|Y - Y\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y| \leq q\}}|] + \mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y| \leq q\}}|\mathcal{F}_{-k}] - \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y| \leq q\}}|\mathcal{F}_\infty]|].$$

Considering $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and from the special case which we already proved, we deduce that for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[|H_k - \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_\infty]|] \leq 2\mathbb{E}[|Y - Y\mathbf{1}_{\{|Y| \leq q\}}|].$$

Applying the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude the proof. \square

We provide next a theorem on the almost sure convergence of a uniformly bounded non-negative super-martingale.

Theorem 2.2. *If $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is an integrable super-martingale such that*

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq Y_r \leq c,$$

then there exist integrable random variables Y and Y' such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r = Y$ a.s. and $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r = Y'$ a.s.

Proof. • First, we will prove the almost sure convergence at $+\infty$.

Since $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}[|Y_k|] \leq c < +\infty$, it follows from the discrete martingale convergence theorem that $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.s. to a random variable Y such that $0 \leq Y \leq c$ a.s.

To prove the result in its generality, we begin by fixing $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Noticing that $(Y_{r+k} - Y_k)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a super-martingale relative to $(\mathcal{F}_{r+k})_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and applying inequality (1), we obtain that for all $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, q]} |Y_{r+k} - Y| > \delta \right) &\leq \delta \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, q]} |Y_{r+k} - Y_k| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right) + \delta \mathbb{P} \left(|Y_k - Y| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \\ &\leq 4\mathbb{E}[|Y_{q+k} - Y_k|] + 2\mathbb{E}[|Y_k - Y|] \\ &\leq 4\mathbb{E}[|Y_{q+k} - Y|] + 6\mathbb{E}[|Y_k - Y|]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[|Y_{q+k} - Y|] = 0$ from the dominated convergence theorem.

Hence for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$,

$$\delta \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [k, +\infty[} |Y_r - Y| > \delta \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left\{ \sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, q]} |Y_{r+k} - Y| > \delta \right\} \right) \leq 6\mathbb{E}[|Y_k - Y|].$$

Taking $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and applying the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [k, +\infty[} |Y_r - Y| > \delta \right) = 0,$$

in other words $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r = Y$ a.s.

- The proof of the almost sure convergence at $-\infty$ is essentially the same as before, therefore it's sufficient to show that the sequence $(Y_{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges a.s.

We will provide an elegant proof that doesn't use the up-crossing inequality.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Delta_k := Y_{-k-1} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{-k} | \mathcal{F}_{-k-1}]$ and $V_k := \sup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} (\sum_{n=0}^q \Delta_{k+n})$. We note that the sequence $(\mathbb{E}[Y_{-k}])_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing and bounded above, hence it has a finite limit denoted by l .

Also for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq V_k \leq V_{k+1}$ a.s., therefore by monotone convergence theorem we have

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E}[V_k] = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}[\Delta_{q+k}] = l - \mathbb{E}[Y_{-k}] < +\infty.$$

So $(V_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of integrable random variable such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}[V_k] = 0$.

Next, we check that $(Y_k + V_{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$ is an integrable martingale relative to $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$.

We fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_-$.

$Y_k + V_{-k}$ is \mathcal{F}_k -measurable and integrable. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall G \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}, \int_G Y_k \, d\mathbb{P} + \int_G V_{-k} \, d\mathbb{P} &= \int_G \mathbb{E}[Y_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \, d\mathbb{P} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \int_G \Delta_{q-k} \, d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_G Y_{k-1} \, d\mathbb{P} - \int_G \Delta_{-k} \, d\mathbb{P} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \int_G \Delta_{q-k} \, d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_G Y_{k-1} \, d\mathbb{P} + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \int_G \Delta_{q+1-k} \, d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_G Y_{k-1} \, d\mathbb{P} + \int_G V_{1-k} \, d\mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $(Y_k + V_{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$ is a martingale and hence for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $Y_{-k} = \mathbb{E}[Y_0 + V_0 | \mathcal{F}_{-k}] - V_k$ a.s.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $(Y_{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $Y' := \mathbb{E}[Y_0 + V_0 | \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{-k}]$ in L^1 .

Lastly, noticing that $(Y_k - Y')_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$ is a super-martingale relative to $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$ and using the discrete version of inequality (1), we have for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and every $(q, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\delta \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq q} |Y_{-k-n} - Y'| > \delta \right) \leq \mathbb{E}[|Y_{-q-n} - Y'|] + 2\mathbb{E}[|Y_{-n} - Y'|].$$

Letting $q \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain that for any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |Y_{-k-n} - Y'| > \delta \right) \leq \frac{2}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[|Y_{-n} - Y'|].$$

So for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |Y_{-k-n} - Y'| > \delta) = 0$, concluding the proof. \square

We end this section by stating and proving a general version of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *If $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a non-negative super-martingale, then the limits $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r$ exist a.s. in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$.*

In particular, if the sample paths of $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ are right-continuous, then $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} Y_r$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} Y_r$ exist a.s. in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$.

Proof. We will only prove the almost sure existence of the limit at $+\infty$, the proof is analogous at $-\infty$.

The idea is to truncate properly so that the super-martingale property is preserved.

We fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

$(\min(q, Y_r))_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a non-negative super-martingale uniformly bounded by q . We deduce from Theorem 2.2 that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} \min(q, Y_r) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} \min(q, Y_r)$ a.s.

We also have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(q, \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r\right) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} \min(q, Y_r), \\ \min\left(q, \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r\right) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} \min(q, Y_r). \end{aligned}$$

So for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\min(q, \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r) = \min(q, \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r)$ a.s. Hence $\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r = \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r$ a.s., yielding that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r$ exists a.s. in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$.

If $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is right-continuous, then $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} Y_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} Y_r$ a.s. \square

Remark 2.4. *Theorem 2.3 holds true if \mathbb{Q} is replaced by a countable dense subset D of \mathbb{R} .*

3 Proof of the continuous martingale convergence theorem

Finally, we are ready to prove our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the sample paths of $(X_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ are right-continuous, it's sufficient to prove that the limits of $(X_r)_{r \in \mathbb{Q}}$ at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ exist almost surely.

1. Applying Theorem 1.2, there exist a non-negative martingale $(U_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and a non-negative super-martingale $(W_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ such that $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}[|U_r|] < +\infty$, $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{E}[|W_r|] < +\infty$, and for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $X_r = U_r - W_r$. Theorem 2.3 yields that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} U_r$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} W_r$ exist a.s. in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, we denote these almost sure limits by U and W , respectively. It follows by Fatou's lemma that U and W are integrable, in particular they are finite a.s. and hence $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} X_r = U - W \in L^1$ a.s.
2. To verify the result, we need to write X_r suitably. We note that $(\mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r])_{r \in \mathbb{R}_-}$ is an integrable martingale such that for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_-$, $\mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r] \geq \mathbb{E}[X_0 | \mathcal{F}_r] \geq X_r$ a.s., so $(\mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r] - X_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_-}$ is a non-negative super-martingale. Applying again Theorem 2.3 and since $X_0^+ \in L^1$, the limits $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r]$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} (\mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r] - X_r)$ exist a.s. in \mathbb{R} and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$, respectively. By writing for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_-$, $X_r = \mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r] - (\mathbb{E}[X_0^+ | \mathcal{F}_r] - X_r)$, we conclude that $\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty, r \in \mathbb{Q}} X_r$ exists a.s. in $[-\infty, +\infty[$.

□

Remark 3.1. *Following the procedure used in proving Theorem 1.1 we can show that if $u \in \mathbb{R}$, D is a countable dense subset of \mathbb{R} , and $(Y_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ is an integrable sub-martingale, then there exist integrable random variables V and V' such that $\lim_{r \downarrow u, r \in D} Y_r = V$ a.s., $\lim_{r \uparrow u, r \in D} Y_r = V'$ a.s., $\mathbb{E}[V | \mathcal{F}_u] \geq Y_u$ a.s., and $\mathbb{E}[Y_u | \mathcal{F}_{u-}] \geq V'$ a.s. (see [9] for another proof applying the up-crossing inequality).*

References

- [1] Y. S. Chow and H. Teicher, *Probability Theory: Independence, Interchangeability, Martingales*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [3] K. Krickeberg, *Probability Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.
- [4] R. Isaac, *A Proof of the Martingale Convergence Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, 842-844, 1965.
- [5] C. W. Lamb, *A Short Proof of the Martingale Convergence Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38, 215-217, 1973.
- [6] J. Neveu, *Discrete-Parameter Martingales*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [7] P. A. Meyer, *Martingales and Stochastic Integrals I*, Lecture Notes in Math. 284, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [8] V. M. Kruglov, On the Kolmogorov theorem, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 106 (2), 2830–2834, 2001.
- [9] C. Dellacherie and P. A. Meyer, *Probabilities and Potential B: Theory of Martingales*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [10] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [11] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Second Edition, Springer, New York, 1998.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa,
K1N 6N5, Canada
Email: jghaf099@uottawa.ca*