

# SLE with non-constant $\kappa$

Yizheng Yuan\*

June 30, 2021

## Abstract

Schramm-Loewner evolution arises from driving the Loewner differential equation with  $\sqrt{\kappa}B$  where  $\kappa > 0$  is a fixed parameter. In this paper, we drive the Loewner differential equation with non-constant random parameter, i.e.  $d\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa_t}dB_t$ . We show that in case  $\kappa_t$  is bounded below or above 8, the construction still yields a continuous trace. This is true in both cases either when driving the forward equation or the backward equation by  $\sqrt{\kappa_t}dB_t$ . In the case of the forward equation, we develop a new argument to show the result, without the need of analysing the time-reversed equation.

## 1 Introduction

Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE) is a family of conformally invariant curves in the plane. They are (either proved or conjectured) to arise in the scaling limits of many physical models that exhibit conformal invariance. One nice feature of SLE (and the reason we study it) is that it can be constructed from a relatively simple differential equation. More precisely, one drives the Loewner differential equation with a Brownian motion with speed  $\kappa$ . This produces conformal maps of domains that are complements of curves, the  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  trace. The latter fact is not trivial, and not even true for general driving functions. In case of more regular (e.g. 1/2-Hölder) driving functions this construction is well-understood and we know many of its properties (cf. [LMR10, RTZ18]). For  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  which is driven by Brownian motion we still rely on probabilistic techniques. From probabilistic arguments we do know a lot about  $\text{SLE}_\kappa$  (e.g. [RS05, Bef08, JVL11, LW13, FT17, Zha19]), but we do not understand well how exactly the driving function affects the trace. Such questions have been tackled in [JVRW14, FTY21, FS17, STW19], but only partial answers have been obtained so far.

The problem of investigating SLE with non-constant  $\kappa$  has been brought to the surface by [FS17]. We investigate SLE with parameter changing in

---

\*TU Berlin, Germany. yuan@math.tu-berlin.de

time, i.e. we drive it by  $\xi(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$  where (for some filtration)  $(\kappa_t)$  is an adapted process and  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion. All the original proofs for the existence and regularity of SLE trace [RS05, Law09, JVL11] analyse the backward Loewner flow. So do the authors of [FS17], and therefore they consider driving functions that are time reversals of martingales.

Indeed, we will see below that the original proof in [RS05] can be applied to that case without much change.

One interest of this paper is to drive (forward) Loewner chains with  $\xi$ . This is the more natural problem when we consider a random curve growing inside a domain that changes its parameter  $\kappa_t$  according to the past. For this model we cannot simply adapt the classical proofs since the time-reversal of a semimartingale can fail to be a semimartingale, so the reverse Loewner flow is not a well-behaved process. We introduce a new argument (Lemma 4.2) that allows us to work directly with the forward Loewner flow.

## 1.1 Main Results

For the forward Loewner chain driven by adapted  $(\kappa_t)$ , we obtain a continuous trace whenever  $(\kappa_t)$  is bounded below or above 8.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 = \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < 8$  or  $8 < \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < \infty$ , and let  $\xi(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$  where  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion with respect to some filtration and  $(\kappa_t)$  is a measurable adapted process with  $\kappa_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  for all  $t$ .*

*Then the (forward) SLE flow (1) driven by  $\xi$  almost surely generates a continuous trace.*

Our proof is interesting in its own right since it also applies to classical SLE (with constant  $\kappa$ ) and gives a new proof of the existence and regularity of the  $SLE_\kappa$  trace for  $\kappa \neq 8$ . In an ongoing work, we follow this idea of proof to obtain refined (variation and Hölder-type) regularity statements for classical  $SLE_\kappa$  that include and add logarithmic refinements to the results in [JVL11, FT17]. More applications are conceivable, for instance in the context of stability of  $SLE_\kappa$  in the driving function (as in [JVRW14, FTY21]).

The next result concerns the backward Loewner chain driven by adapted  $(\kappa_t)$  (equivalently the forward Loewner chain driven by its time reversal  $\xi(T - \cdot)$ ). As already mentioned, this case is much more straightforward from the existing arguments of [RS05, Law09, JVL11]. As we would expect, we obtain a continuous trace whenever  $(\kappa_t)$  is bounded below or above 8. (The case when  $(\kappa_t)$  is bounded below 2 was already obtained in [FS17].)

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(\xi(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a continuous stochastic process, and suppose that for every  $T > 0$ , the process  $V(t) = \xi(T - t) - \xi(T)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , can be written as  $V(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$  where  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion with respect to some filtration and  $(\kappa_t)$  is a measurable adapted process with  $\kappa_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  for all  $t$ .*

Suppose that either  $0 = \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < 8$  or  $8 < \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < \infty$ . Then the (forward) SLE flow (1) driven by  $\xi$  almost surely generates a continuous trace.

Let us mention that it may be more natural to interpret this scenario as a backward SLE flow driven by  $V$ . Recall that backward SLE can be seen as a type of conformal welding process, cf. [RZ16, She16].

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $B$  be a standard Brownian motion with respect to some filtration and  $(\kappa_t)$  a measurable adapted process such that  $\kappa_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  for all  $t$ . Suppose that either  $0 = \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < 8$  or  $8 < \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < \infty$ . Then the backward SLE flow (2) driven by  $V(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , almost surely generates a continuous trace.*

By this we mean that almost surely, for each  $t \geq 0$  the domain  $h_t(\mathbb{H})$  is the unbounded connected component of the complement of a curve.

This is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2. To see this, recall that for  $T > 0$ , the backward flow at time  $T$  agrees with the forward flow driven by  $\xi(t) = V(T - t) - V(T)$ . To apply the previous theorem, we observe that  $\xi(S - t) - \xi(S) = V(T - S + t) - V(T - S) = \int_{T-S}^{T-S+t} \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$  for  $0 \leq t \leq S \leq T$ .

This paper is structured in a straightforward way. In Section 2, we summarise some basic facts on SLE and the notation we use. In Section 3, we analyse backward SLE driven by adapted  $(\kappa_t)$  and prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we analyse forward SLE driven by adapted  $(\kappa_t)$  and prove Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgements:** I acknowledge partial support from European Research Council through Consolidator Grant 683164 (PI: Peter Friz). I would like to thank Peter Friz and Steffen Rohde for many discussions and comments.

## 2 Preliminaries

We briefly introduce the forward and backward (chordal) Loewner chains and SLE. More details can be found e.g. in [Law05, Kem17]. Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{H}$  will denote the upper half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbb{H}}$  the closed upper half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z \geq 0\}$ . We will often write  $a \lesssim b$  meaning  $a \leq Cb$  for some constant  $C < \infty$  that may depend on the context. We write  $a \asymp b$  when  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$ .

Let  $\xi: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Let  $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the forward Loewner chain driven by  $\xi$ , i.e. the solution of

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - \xi(t)}, \quad g_0(z) = z \quad (1)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . For given  $z$ , this is well defined until the first time  $T(z)$  where the denominator hits 0. We obtain a family of conformal maps  $g_t: H_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  where  $H_t = \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid T(z) > t\}$ . We write  $\hat{f}_t(z) := g_t^{-1}(z + \xi(t))$ .

We get another representation by writing  $Z_t(z) = X_t(z) + iY_t(z) = g_t(z) - \xi(t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \frac{2X_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} dt - d\xi(t), \\ dY_t &= \frac{-2Y_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and (cf. [RS05])

$$|g'_t(z)| = \exp\left(-2 \int_0^t \frac{X_s^2 - Y_s^2}{(X_s^2 + Y_s^2)^2} ds\right).$$

We remark here that every holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{H}$  into  $\mathbb{H}$  satisfies the following bound which follows from the Schwarz lemma:  $|f'_t(z)| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Im} f_t(z)}{\operatorname{Im} z} \leq \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4t}}{y}$  where  $y = \operatorname{Im} z$ .

We say that the Loewner chain driven by  $\xi$  has a continuous trace if  $\gamma(t) = \lim_{y \searrow 0} \hat{f}_t(iy)$  exists and is a continuous function in  $t$ . This is equivalent to saying that there exists a continuous  $\gamma: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  such that for each  $t \geq 0$  the domain  $H_t$  is the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ .

In case  $\xi$  is weakly 1/2-Hölder continuous, a sufficient condition for  $(g_t)$  to generate a trace is  $|\hat{f}'_t(iy)| \leq Cy^{-\beta}$  for all  $t$  for some  $\beta < 1$  (see [JVL11]).

The backward Loewner chain is defined by

$$\partial_t h_t(z) = \frac{-2}{h_t(z) - V(t)}, \quad h_0(z) = z. \quad (2)$$

Here we suppose again that  $V: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function. This time,  $h_t(z)$  is defined for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $t \geq 0$ , and each  $h_t$  is a conformal map from  $\mathbb{H}$  to a subdomain of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

We have the following relationship between forward and backward Loewner chain. For fixed  $t \geq 0$ , if we let  $V(s) = \xi(t - s) - \xi(t)$ , then  $\hat{f}_t(z) = h_t(z) + \xi(t)$  and  $\hat{f}'_t(z) = h'_t(z)$ .

Similarly to the forward case, we can write  $Z_t(z) = X_t(z) + iY_t(z) = h_t(z) - V(t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= \frac{-2X_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} dt - dV(t), \\ dY_t &= \frac{2Y_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and (cf. [RS05])

$$|h'_t(z)| = \exp\left(2 \int_0^t \frac{X_s^2 - Y_s^2}{(X_s^2 + Y_s^2)^2} ds\right). \quad (3)$$

SLE $_{\kappa}$  is the (forward) Loewner chain driven by  $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$  with a standard Brownian motion  $B$ . Since the time-reversal of  $B$  is again a Brownian motion, we see that we can analyse it as well through the backward Loewner chain. Backward SLE $_{\kappa}$  can also be seen as an object in its own right, see e.g. [RZ16, She16]. It is known that for any  $\kappa \geq 0$ , we almost surely have a continuous SLE $_{\kappa}$  trace ([RS05, LSW04]).

### 3 $(\kappa_t)$ adapted to reverse flow

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The proof from [RS05] generalises to our setting without considerable extra work.

In the following, we let  $B$  be a Brownian motion (with respect to some filtration) and  $\kappa_t = \kappa(t, \omega) \geq 0$  a measurable and adapted process. Let  $V(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *The notations in [RS05] differ from the ones in Lawler [Law09, JVL11, etc.] and later works. For an easier translation to later works, we use the notation from Lawler. They translate according to the following table. (We have  $\nu = 1$  in the notation of [RS05] since we will study the backward SLE flow here.)*

Lawler	Rohde, Schramm (with $\nu = 1$ )
$r$	$2b$
$\lambda = r(1 + \frac{\kappa}{4}) - \frac{r^2\kappa}{8}$	$a$
$\zeta = r - \frac{r^2\kappa}{8}$	$\lambda - a$ (not the same $\lambda$ as Lawler)

As already mentioned in Section 2, to show existence and regularity of the SLE trace, we would like to study  $|h'(z)|$ . We follow the idea in [RS05, Theorem 3.2] which we explain briefly now. Due to (3), the expectations  $\mathbb{E}|h'_t(z)|^\lambda$  can be computed by solving a Feynman-Kac formula. It turns out the formula for  $\mathbb{E}\left[|h'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda} F(\frac{X_t}{Y_t}, Y_t)\right]$  is easier and can be solved explicitly. Moreover, it is convenient to work in the coordinates  $(w, y) = (\frac{x}{y}, y)$ . For  $F = F(w, y) \in C^2$  we see from Itô's formula that

$$d\left(|h'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda} F\left(\frac{X_t}{Y_t}, Y_t\right)\right) = |h'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda-2} \left[ \left( -\frac{4\lambda}{(1 + X_t^2/Y_t^2)^2} F + \frac{2Y_t}{1 + X_t^2/Y_t^2} F_y - \frac{4X_t/Y_t}{1 + X_t^2/Y_t^2} F_w + \frac{\kappa_t}{2} F_{ww} \right) dt - \sqrt{\kappa_t} Y_t F_w dB_t \right] \quad (4)$$

Define the differential operator

$$\Lambda_{\kappa} F = \Lambda_{\kappa}^{(\text{bw})} F := -\frac{4\lambda}{(1 + w^2)^2} F + \frac{2y}{1 + w^2} F_y - \frac{4w}{1 + w^2} F_w + \frac{\kappa}{2} F_{ww}.$$

In case of constant  $\kappa$ , the equation

$$\Lambda_\kappa F = 0$$

is solved by

$$F(w, y) = (1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta + \lambda}$$

where the exponents  $r, \lambda, \zeta$  need to be related as in Remark 3.1.

In case of non-constant  $\kappa$ , the problem of bounding  $\mathbb{E} \left[ |h'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda} F\left(\frac{X_t}{Y_t}, Y_t\right) \right]$  for  $\kappa_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  can be interpreted as an optimal stochastic control problem. We would need to solve a Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman type equation

$$\sup_{\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]} \Lambda_\kappa F = 0.$$

Usually one cannot hope for an explicit solution, but it suffices to find supersolutions

$$\sup_{\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]} \Lambda_\kappa F \leq 0.$$

(Cf. [BS09, CR09] for similar ideas in slightly different settings. We are also reminded of computing superhedging prices under uncertain volatility, cf. [JM10].)

Observe that the function  $F(w, y) = (1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta + \lambda}$  above satisfies

$$F_{ww} = r(1 + (r - 1)w^2)(1 + w^2)^{r/2 - 2} y^{\zeta + \lambda},$$

i.e.  $F_{ww} \geq 0$  if  $r \geq 1$ . In that case we have  $\sup_{\kappa \in [0, \bar{\kappa}]} \Lambda_\kappa F = \Lambda_{\bar{\kappa}} F = 0$ . In the case  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$  this will suffice. In the case  $\underline{\kappa} > 8$  we will need to pick some  $r \in ]0, 1[$  (cf. [JVL11, FT17] on the choice of  $r$ ), and we need to modify the exponents in order to get a supersolution.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The function  $F(w, y) = (1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta + \lambda}$  satisfies  $\Lambda_\kappa^{(\text{bw})} F \leq 0$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $\lambda - \zeta \geq \frac{r\kappa}{4}$  and  $\lambda + \zeta \leq 2r + \frac{r\kappa}{4} - \frac{r^2\kappa}{4}$ .*

**Remark 3.3.** *In the case  $\underline{\kappa} > 8$ , one can ask whether there are smarter ways of finding supersolutions that are sharper. Looking at the proofs of [JVL11, FT17], the optimal regularity of the SLE trace that can be proved are directly related to the exponents  $r, \lambda, \zeta$  (there is a bit more freedom for  $r$  though). It is reasonable to believe that the regularity of the trace should be the same as for  $SLE_{\bar{\kappa}}$ . One possible attempt to prove such a thing would be to find a supersolution to  $\sup_{\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]} \Lambda_\kappa F \leq 0$  that is asymptotically comparable to  $(1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta + \lambda}$  at least for  $y \searrow 0$  (where  $\lambda, \zeta$  are chosen according to Remark 3.1 with  $\kappa = \underline{\kappa}$ ).*

*Under certain conditions on  $\underline{\kappa}$ ,  $\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}$ , and  $r$ , a function of the form*

$$F(w, y) = y^{\zeta + \lambda} (1 + w^2)^{r/2} \exp(g(w))$$

with some bounded  $g$  indeed does the trick. More precisely, we can pick  $g$  such that  $g'$  is of the form

$$g'(w) = \begin{cases} -\alpha_1 w & \text{for } w \leq w_0, \\ -\alpha_2 w^{-1-\varepsilon} & \text{for } w \geq w_0. \end{cases}$$

This works whenever  $\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}$  is sufficiently small (depending on  $\underline{\kappa}, r$ ). Unfortunately, we did not succeed in making this work in general.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose  $\kappa_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  for all  $t$ . Let  $r, \lambda, \zeta$  be chosen such that  $\Lambda_{\kappa} F \leq 0$  for all  $\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$ . Then the process*

$$M_t = |h'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^\zeta (1 + X_t^2/Y_t^2)^{r/2}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is a supermartingale.

*Proof.* Let  $F(w, y) = (1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta+\lambda}$  as above. Then  $M_t = |h'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda} F(X_t/Y_t, Y_t)$ . By (4) and our assumption  $\Lambda_{\kappa_t} F \leq 0$ , we have that  $(M_t)$  is a non-negative local supermartingale, and therefore a supermartingale.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $T \geq 0$ , and suppose  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ , and  $\zeta$  are chosen according to Corollary 3.4. Then there exists a constant  $C < \infty$ , depending on  $\zeta, \lambda, T$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in ]0, 1]$ , and  $u > 0$  we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(|h'_t(x + iy)| \geq u) \leq \begin{cases} C (1 + (x/y)^2)^{r/2} u^{-(\zeta+\lambda)} & \text{if } \zeta > 0, \\ C (1 + (x/y)^2)^{r/2} u^{-\lambda} y^\zeta & \text{if } \zeta < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.6.** *In the case  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$  and the setting of Remark 3.1, the condition is  $r \in [1, 2 + 8/\bar{\kappa}]$ .*

*Proof.* This is essentially the same as the proof of [RS05, Corollary 3.5]. For the convenience of the reader, we repeat it here with the slight adaptations to our case.

Recall that the Loewner equation implies  $Y_t \leq \sqrt{y^2 + 4t}$ . Moreover, by the Schwarz lemma we have  $|h'_t(z)| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Im} h_t(z)}{\operatorname{Im} z} = \frac{Y_t}{y}$ , therefore  $|h'_t(z)| \geq u$

implies  $Y_t \geq yu$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(|h'_t(z)| \geq u) &\leq \sum_{m=\log u}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(1+4t/y^2)} \mathbb{P}(|h'_t(z)| \geq u, Y_t \in [ye^{m-1}, ye^m]) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{m=\log u}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(1+4t/y^2)} u^{-\lambda} y^{-\zeta} e^{-m\zeta} \mathbb{E}M_t \\
&\leq \sum_{m=\log u}^{\frac{1}{2} \log(1+4t/y^2)} u^{-\lambda} e^{-m\zeta} (1+x^2/y^2)^{r/2} \\
&\lesssim (1+x^2/y^2)^{r/2} u^{-\lambda} \begin{cases} u^{-\zeta} & \text{if } \zeta > 0, \\ (1+4t/y^2)^{-\zeta/2} & \text{if } \zeta < 0 \end{cases} \\
&\lesssim (1+x^2/y^2)^{r/2} \begin{cases} u^{-\zeta-\lambda} & \text{if } \zeta > 0, \\ u^{-\lambda} y^\zeta & \text{if } \zeta < 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

The existence of the SLE trace now follows from the proof in [JVL11], which we formulate as the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7** (see the proof of [JVL11, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $\xi$  be a stochastic process that is Hölder continuous for all exponents smaller than  $1/2$ , and consider the forward SLE flow  $(g_t)_{t \geq 0}$  driven by  $\xi$ . Suppose that there exist constants  $\beta, \lambda, \zeta, C$  with  $\beta < 1, \lambda\beta > 0$ , and  $\lambda\beta + \zeta > 2$  such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|(g_t^{-1})'(iy + \xi(t))| \geq y^{-\beta}\right) \leq C(1+t/y^2)^{-\zeta/2} y^{\lambda\beta}$$

for all  $t \geq 0, y \in ]0, 1]$ .

Then the SLE trace exists almost surely and is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for any  $\alpha < \frac{1-\beta}{2}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* In order to apply Lemma 3.7, we need to pick  $\zeta + \lambda > 2$  in Corollary 3.5.

In the case  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$ , we pick  $\lambda$  and  $\zeta$  according to Remark 3.1, and  $r = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\bar{\kappa}}$ , in which case  $\zeta + \lambda > 2$ .

In the case  $\bar{\kappa} > 8$ , we will pick  $r \in [0, 1]$ , in which case the condition becomes  $\lambda - \zeta \geq \frac{r\bar{\kappa}}{4}$  and  $\lambda + \zeta \leq 2r + \frac{r\bar{\kappa}}{4} - \frac{r^2\bar{\kappa}}{4}$ . Picking  $\zeta + \lambda > 2$  is now possible if and only if  $2r + \frac{r\bar{\kappa}}{4} - \frac{r^2\bar{\kappa}}{4} > 2 \iff r \in ]\frac{8}{\bar{\kappa}}, 1]$ . With any such  $r$ , we can then pick  $\lambda = r + \frac{r(\bar{\kappa}+\bar{\kappa})}{8} - \frac{r^2\bar{\kappa}}{8}$  and  $\zeta = r - \frac{r(\bar{\kappa}-\bar{\kappa})}{8} - \frac{r^2\bar{\kappa}}{8}$  which satisfy everything. □



## 4 $(\kappa_t)$ adapted to forward flow

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We will drive the forward Loewner chain by  $\xi(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$  where  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion with respect to some filtration and  $\kappa_t = \kappa(t, \omega)$  is a measurable adapted process. As in the previous section, we would like to find a bound for  $|\hat{f}'_t(iy)|$  but this time we do not have the backward Loewner flow at our disposal (since we have no good way of working with the time reversal of  $\xi$ ). Instead, we use the following idea.

Let  $\delta > 0$ . We want to find an upper bound for  $|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)| = |g'_t(\hat{f}_t(i\delta))|^{-1}$ . Observe that  $z = \hat{f}_t(i\delta)$  is the point where we have to start the forward flow in order to reach  $Z_t = i\delta$ , and this point depends on the behaviour of  $\xi$  in the future time interval  $[0, t]$ . That means we would need to consider all possible points  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  that might reach  $i\delta$  at time  $t$ .

It turns out that (using Koebe's distortion estimates) we can reduce the problem, and we only need to start the flow from a finite number of points. The number of points we need to test already encodes information on  $|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)|$ .

In the following, we denote by  $B(z, r)$  the open ball about  $z$  with radius  $r$ , and we denote conformal radius by  $\text{crad}$ .

Recall Koebe's distortion estimates and a few consequences.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a univalent function,  $g = f^{-1}: f(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ , and  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then for every  $w \in B(f(z), \frac{1}{8}y|f'(z)|)$  we have*

$$|g(w) - z| < \frac{y}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{48}{125} \leq \frac{|g'(w)|}{|g'(f(z))|} \leq \frac{80}{27}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $y|f'(z)| = \frac{1}{2} \text{crad}(f(z), f(\mathbb{H}))$ . In particular, from Koebe's 1/4 theorem we know that  $\text{dist}(f(z), \partial f(\mathbb{H})) \geq \frac{1}{4} \text{crad}(f(z), f(\mathbb{H})) = \frac{1}{2}y|f'(z)|$ . Another application of Koebe's 1/4 theorem implies  $f(B(z, y/2)) \supseteq B(f(z), \frac{1}{8}y|f'(z)|)$ .

In particular, every  $w \in B(f(z), \frac{1}{8}y|f'(z)|)$  satisfies  $|f^{-1}(w) - z| < y/2$ . We conclude by Koebe's distortion theorem applied on the domain  $B(f(z), \frac{1}{2}y|f'(z)|)$ .  $\square$

This motivates to start the Loewner flow from the following set of points

$$H(a, M, T) = \{x + iy \mid x = \pm aj/8, \ y = a(1 + k/8), \ j, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ |x| \leq M, \ y \leq \sqrt{1 + 4T}\}. \quad (5)$$

This grid is chosen so that for every  $z \in [-M, M] \times [a, \sqrt{1 + 4T}]$  we have  $\text{dist}(z, H(a, M, T)) < \frac{a}{8}$ .

The following lemma is purely deterministic and holds for any continuous driving function  $\xi$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\delta \in ]0, 1]$ ,  $u > 0$  and suppose  $|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)| \geq u$  for some  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then there exists  $z \in H(u\delta, \|\xi\|_{\infty; [0, T]}, T)$  such that*

$$|Z_t(z) - i\delta| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |g'_t(z)| \leq \frac{80}{27} \frac{1}{u}$$

where  $H(a, M, T)$  is given by (5).

**Remark 4.3.** *For later reference, let us note here that the condition  $|Z_t(z) - i\delta| \leq \delta/2$  implies in particular*

$$Y_t(z) \in [\delta/2, 3\delta/2] \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{X_t(z)}{Y_t(z)} \right| \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Surely, there is  $z_* = \hat{f}_t(i\delta)$  which by definition satisfies everything, but the claim is that we can choose  $z$  from the grid  $H(u\delta, M, T)$ . Indeed, the grid is just defined so that there always exists some  $z \in H(u\delta, M, T)$  with  $|z - z_*| \leq \frac{1}{8}u\delta$  provided that  $z_* \in [-M, M] \times [u\delta, \sqrt{1+4T}]$ . By Lemma 4.1, such  $z$  satisfies the desired properties.

The fact that  $z_* \in [-M, M] \times [u\delta, \sqrt{1+4T}]$  just come from the Loewner equation (for the upper bounds) and from the Schwarz lemma (for the lower bound).  $\square$

Next, we introduce the parametrisation by imaginary value. For  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\sigma(s) = \sigma(s, z, \delta) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid Y_t \leq \delta e^{-2s}\}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the  $s$ -parametrisation is defined such that the flow starts at  $s_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{y}{\delta}$ , while  $s = 0$  corresponds to the time  $t$  when  $Y_t(z) = \delta$ . We have the following representations

$$\sigma(s) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{y}{\delta}}^s (X_{\sigma(s')}^2 + Y_{\sigma(s')}^2) ds'$$

and

$$\partial_s \log |g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)| = -2 \frac{X_{\sigma(s)}^2 - Y_{\sigma(s)}^2}{X_{\sigma(s)}^2 + Y_{\sigma(s)}^2}$$

and consequently  $|\partial_s \log |g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)|| \leq 2$ .

Suppose in the following that  $\xi(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\kappa_s} dB_s$  where  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion with respect to some filtration and  $\kappa_t = \kappa(t, \omega) \geq 0$  is a measurable adapted process.

The moments of  $|g'_t(z)|$  can be studied similarly to the case of the backward flow, as was also done in [RS05].

For  $F = F(w, y) \in C^2$  we have

$$d \left( |g'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda} F \left( \frac{X_t}{Y_t}, Y_t \right) \right) = |g'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda-2} (\Lambda_{\kappa_t} F dt - \sqrt{\kappa_t} Y_t F_w dB_t). \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\kappa} F = \Lambda_{\kappa}^{(fw)} F := \frac{4\lambda}{(1+w^2)^2} F - \frac{2y}{1+w^2} F_y + \frac{4w}{1+w^2} F_w + \frac{\kappa}{2} F_{ww}.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *The function  $F(w, y) = (1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta + \lambda}$ , satisfies  $\Lambda_{\bar{\kappa}}^{(\text{fw})} F \leq 0$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $\lambda - \zeta \leq -\frac{r\bar{\kappa}}{4}$  and  $\lambda + \zeta \geq 2r - \frac{r\bar{\kappa}}{4} + \frac{r^2\bar{\kappa}}{4}$ .*

**Remark 4.5.** *Here again the regularity of the SLE trace that can be proved are directly related to the exponents  $\lambda, \zeta$  (with some restrictions on  $r$ ). So one may again ask for sharper supersolutions. In contrast to Section 3, we had to modify the exponents in  $F$  also in the case  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$ , so optimal regularity of the SLE trace is not clear in that case either. We believe that its regularity should be the same as for  $SLE_{\bar{\kappa}_*}$  where  $\bar{\kappa}_* = \bar{\kappa}$  in the case  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$ , and  $\bar{\kappa}_* = \underline{\kappa}$  in the case  $\bar{\kappa} > 8$ .*

*Under certain conditions on  $\bar{\kappa}_*$ ,  $\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}$ , and  $r$ , we can find supersolutions to  $\sup_{\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]} \Lambda_{\kappa} F \leq 0$  that are of the form*

$$F(w, y) = y^{\zeta + \lambda} (1 + w^2)^{r/2} \exp(g(w))$$

*with  $\lambda, \zeta$  chosen according to Remark 4.7 with  $\kappa = \bar{\kappa}_*$  and a bounded function  $g$ . More precisely, we can pick  $g$  such that  $g'$  is of the form*

$$g'(w) = \begin{cases} -\alpha_1 w & \text{for } w \leq w_0, \\ -\alpha_2 w^{-1-\varepsilon} & \text{for } w \geq w_0. \end{cases}$$

*This works whenever  $\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}$  is sufficiently small (depending on  $\bar{\kappa}_*, r$ ). Again, we did not succeed in making this work in general.*

**Corollary 4.6.** *Suppose  $\bar{\kappa}_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  for all  $t$ . Let  $r, \lambda, \zeta$  be chosen such that  $\Lambda_{\bar{\kappa}_t} F \leq 0$  for all  $\bar{\kappa}_t \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$ . Then the process*

$$M_t = |g'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^\zeta (1 + X_t^2/Y_t^2)^{r/2}, \quad t \geq 0$$

*is a supermartingale.*

**Remark 4.7.** *In case of constant  $\kappa$ , i.e.  $\underline{\kappa} = \bar{\kappa}$ , we can take*

$$\lambda = r - \frac{r\kappa}{4} + \frac{r^2\kappa}{8},$$

$$\zeta = r + \frac{r^2\kappa}{8}.$$

*In that case,  $\Lambda_{\bar{\kappa}} F = 0$  and  $(M_t)$  is a martingale when stopped before the hull hits a small ball around  $z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F(w, y) = (1 + w^2)^{r/2} y^{\zeta + \lambda}$  as above. Then  $M_t = |g'_t(z)|^\lambda Y_t^{-\lambda} F(X_t/Y_t, Y_t)$ . By (6) and our assumption  $\Lambda_{\bar{\kappa}_t} F \leq 0$ , we have that  $(M_t)$  is a non-negative local supermartingale, and therefore a supermartingale.  $\square$

Recall that by Lemma 4.2, if  $|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)| \geq u$  for some  $t \in [0, T]$ , then we find  $z \in H(u\delta, \|\xi\|_{\infty; [0, T]}, T)$  that satisfies the property stated in the lemma. Note that for such  $z$ , we have  $\sigma(s, z, \delta) = t$  for some  $s \in [-1, 1]$ . In particular,  $|g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)| \lesssim \frac{1}{u}$  and  $\left| \frac{X_{\sigma(s)}}{Y_{\sigma(s)}} \right| \leq 1$  for some  $s \in [-1, 1]$ .

In case  $\lambda < 0$ , a lower bound for  $|g'_t(z)|$  is equivalent to an upper bound for  $|g'_t(z)|^\lambda$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left( |g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{u} \text{ and } |X_{\sigma(s)}| \leq Y_{\sigma(s)} \right) \leq u^\lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ |g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)|^\lambda 1_{|X_{\sigma(s)}| \leq Y_{\sigma(s)}} \right]$$

for fixed  $s$ . Moreover, since  $\left| \partial_s \log |g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)| \right| \leq 2$ , we have  $\frac{|g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)|}{|g'_{\sigma(0)}(z)|} \in [e^{-2}, e^2]$  for all  $s \in [-1, 1]$ .

Let  $S = S(z, \delta) = \inf\{s \in [-1, 1] \mid |X_{\sigma(s)}| \leq Y_{\sigma(s)}\} \wedge 2$ . Together with Corollary 4.6, we then have (for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ |g'_{\sigma(S)}(z)|^\lambda 1_{S \leq 1} \right] &\asymp \delta^{-\zeta} \mathbb{E} [M_{\sigma(S)} 1_{S \leq 1}] \\ &\leq \delta^{-\zeta} M_0 \\ &\leq \delta^{-\zeta} y^\zeta (1 + x^2/y^2)^{r/2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and consequently (for  $\lambda \leq 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left( |g'_{\sigma(s)}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{u} \text{ and } |X_{\sigma(s)}| \leq Y_{\sigma(s)} \text{ for some } s \in [-1, 1] \right) \\ \lesssim u^\lambda \mathbb{E} \left[ |g'_{\sigma(S)}(z)|^\lambda 1_{S \leq 1} \right] \\ \lesssim u^\lambda \delta^{-\zeta} y^\zeta (1 + x^2/y^2)^{r/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose  $r, \lambda, \zeta$  are chosen according to Corollary 4.6 and  $\lambda \leq 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C < \infty$ , depending on  $r, \zeta, \lambda, T, M$ , such that for  $\delta, u > 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)| \geq u \text{ for some } t \in [0, T], \|\xi\|_{[0, T]} \leq M) \\ \leq \begin{cases} Cu^{\zeta+\lambda} & \text{if } r < -1, \zeta + 1 < -1 \\ Cu^{\lambda-2}\delta^{-\zeta-2} & \text{if } r < -1, \zeta + 1 > -1, \\ Cu^{\zeta+\lambda-(r+1)}\delta^{-(r+1)} & \text{if } r > -1, \zeta - r < -1 \\ Cu^{\lambda-2}\delta^{-\zeta-2} & \text{if } r > -1, \zeta - r > -1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* With Lemma 4.2, we only need to sum up (8) for all points  $z \in H(u\delta, M, T)$ . To save it for later use, we will perform the calculation in the following Lemma 4.9. The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $r, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M, T > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ . Then there exists  $C < \infty$  depending on  $r, \zeta, M, T$  such that*

$$\sum_{z \in H(a, M, T)} y^\zeta (1 + x^2/y^2)^{r/2} \leq \begin{cases} Ca^\zeta & \text{if } r < -1, \zeta + 1 < -1, \\ Ca^{-2} & \text{if } r < -1, \zeta + 1 > -1, \\ Ca^{\zeta-r-1} & \text{if } r > -1, \zeta - r < -1, \\ Ca^{-2} & \text{if } r > -1, \zeta - r > -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we can write  $x_j = aj$ ,  $y_k = ak$  where  $j = -Ma^{-1}, \dots, Ma^{-1}$  and  $k = 1, \dots, Ma^{-1}$ . (The additional factors do not matter and will be absorbed in the final constant  $C$ .)

We have

$$y_k^\zeta (1 + x_j^2/y_k^2)^{r/2} = (ak)^\zeta (1 + j^2/k^2)^{r/2}.$$

We first sum in  $j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \leq Ma^{-1}} (1 + j^2/k^2)^{r/2} &\asymp \int_0^{Ma^{-1}} (1 + j^2/k^2)^{r/2} dj \\ &= \int_0^{Ma^{-1}/k} (1 + j'^2)^{r/2} k dj' \\ &\asymp \begin{cases} k & \text{if } r < -1, \\ a^{-(r+1)} k^{-r} & \text{if } r > -1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We then sum in  $k$ . In case  $r < -1$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{Ma^{-1}} (ak)^\zeta k \asymp \begin{cases} a^\zeta & \text{if } \zeta + 1 < -1, \\ a^{-2} & \text{if } \zeta + 1 > -1, \end{cases}$$

and in case  $r > -1$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{Ma^{-1}} (ak)^\zeta a^{-(r+1)} k^{-r} \asymp \begin{cases} a^{\zeta-r-1} & \text{if } \zeta - r < -1, \\ a^{-2} & \text{if } \zeta - r > -1. \end{cases}$$

□

**Corollary 4.10.** *Suppose  $r, \lambda, \zeta$  are chosen according to Corollary 4.6 and  $\lambda \leq 0$ . Let  $\beta > \frac{\zeta+2}{2-\lambda} \vee \frac{r+1}{r+1-\zeta-\lambda} \vee 0$ . Then with probability 1 there exists some (random)  $y_0 > 0$  such that*

$$|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)| \leq \delta^{-\beta}$$

for all  $\delta \in ]0, y_0]$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show the claim on the event  $\{\|\xi\|_{[0,T]} \leq M\}$  for all  $M$ . By Proposition 4.8

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(|\hat{f}'_t(i\delta)| \geq \delta^{-\beta} \text{ for some } t \in [0, T], \|\xi\|_{[0,T]} \leq M) \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C\delta^{-\beta(\zeta+\lambda)} & \text{if } r < -1, \zeta + 1 < -1 \\ C\delta^{-\beta(\lambda-2)-\zeta-2} & \text{if } r < -1, \zeta + 1 > -1, \\ C\delta^{-\beta(\zeta+\lambda-(r+1))-(r+1)} & \text{if } r > -1, \zeta - r < -1 \\ C\delta^{-\beta(\lambda-2)-\zeta-2} & \text{if } r > -1, \zeta - r > -1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Our choice of  $\beta$  implies that this probability decays as  $\delta \searrow 0$ .

For  $\delta = 2^{-n}$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the claim then follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma, and for all other  $\delta$  from the Koebe distortion theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* If we can pick  $\beta < 1$  in the previous corollary, then by [JVL11, Corollary 3.12] the trace exists. This is possible if and only if  $\frac{\zeta+2}{2-\lambda} < 1 \iff \zeta + \lambda < 0$ .

For better readability, we write down the two cases  $0 = \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < 8$  and  $8 < \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < \infty$  separately.

First the case  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$ . In order to fulfill also the conditions of Corollary 4.6, we need to pick  $r$  such that  $2r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{4} < 0$  for all  $\kappa \in [0, \bar{\kappa}] \iff r \in ]1 - \frac{8}{\bar{\kappa}}, 0[$ . This is a non-empty interval if and only if  $\bar{\kappa} < 8$ .

Next, we need to fulfill  $\lambda - \zeta \leq -\frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4}$ . Since we allow  $\kappa$  to be as small as 0, and this condition becomes  $\lambda - \zeta \leq 0$ .

In summary, we need to pick  $\zeta, \lambda$  such that  $\lambda \leq 0, \zeta + \lambda \in [2r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{4}, 0[$ , and  $\zeta - \lambda \geq 0$ . This can be done by choosing  $\zeta = \lambda = r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{8} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{8}$ .

Now the case  $\underline{\kappa} > 8$ . Again, we need to pick  $r$  such that  $2r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{4} < 0$  for all  $\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}] \iff r \in ]0, 1 - \frac{8}{\underline{\kappa}}[$ . This is a non-empty interval if and only if  $\underline{\kappa} > 8$ .

The condition  $\lambda - \zeta \leq -\frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4}$  for all  $\kappa \in [\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}]$  now becomes  $\lambda - \zeta \leq -\frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4}$ .

In summary, we need to pick  $\zeta, \lambda$  such that  $\lambda \leq 0, \zeta + \lambda \in [2r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{4}, 0[$ , and  $\zeta - \lambda \geq \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{4}$ . This can be done by choosing  $\zeta = r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{8} + \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{8} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{8}, \lambda = r - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{8} - \frac{r\underline{\kappa}}{8} + \frac{r^2\underline{\kappa}}{8}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.11.** *Of course, the proof also applies to the case of constant  $\kappa$ . In that case, with a bit more work, we can recover the optimal Hölder and  $p$ -variation exponents of  $SLE_\kappa$  proved in [JVL11, FT17], i.e. any  $\alpha < (1 - \frac{\kappa}{24+2\kappa-8\sqrt{8+\kappa}}) \wedge \frac{1}{2}$  and  $p > (1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}) \wedge 2$ .*

*In the case of non-constant  $\kappa$ , when  $\bar{\kappa} - \underline{\kappa}$  is sufficiently small, it should follow from Remark 4.5 that the regularity of the trace is the same as for  $SLE_{\kappa^*}$ . We believe that this should be true in general, but we are unable to prove it.*

## References

- [Bef08] Vincent Beffara. The dimension of the SLE curves. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1421–1452, 2008.
- [BS09] D. Beliaev and S. Smirnov. Harmonic measure and SLE. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 290(2):577–595, 2009.
- [CR09] Zhen-Qing Chen and Steffen Rohde. Schramm-Loewner equations driven by symmetric stable processes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 285(3):799–824, 2009.
- [FS17] Peter K. Friz and Atul Shekhar. On the existence of SLE trace: finite energy drivers and non-constant  $\kappa$ . *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 169(1-2):353–376, 2017.
- [FT17] Peter K. Friz and Huy Tran. On the regularity of SLE trace. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 5:e19, 17, 2017.
- [FTY21] Peter K. Friz, Huy Tran, and Yizheng Yuan. Regularity of SLE in  $(t, \kappa)$  and refined GRR estimates. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 180(1-2):71–112, 2021.
- [JM10] A. Jacquier and C. Martini. The uncertain volatility model. In *Encyclopedia of Quantitative Finance*. Wiley, New York, 2010.
- [JVL11] Fredrik Johansson Viklund and Gregory F. Lawler. Optimal Hölder exponent for the SLE path. *Duke Math. J.*, 159(3):351–383, 2011.
- [JVRW14] Fredrik Johansson Viklund, Steffen Rohde, and Carto Wong. On the continuity of  $SLE_\kappa$  in  $\kappa$ . *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 159(3-4):413–433, 2014.
- [Kem17] Antti Kemppainen. *Schramm-Loewner evolution*, volume 24 of *SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics*. Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [Law05] Gregory F. Lawler. *Conformally invariant processes in the plane*, volume 114 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Law09] Gregory F. Lawler. Multifractal analysis of the reverse flow for the Schramm-Loewner evolution. In *Fractal geometry and stochastics IV*, volume 61 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 73–107. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [LMR10] Joan Lind, Donald E. Marshall, and Steffen Rohde. Collisions and spirals of Loewner traces. *Duke Math. J.*, 154(3):527–573, 2010.

- [LSW04] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm, and Wendelin Werner. Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(1B):939–995, 2004.
- [LW13] Gregory F. Lawler and Brent M. Werness. Multi-point Green’s functions for SLE and an estimate of Beffara. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(3A):1513–1555, 2013.
- [RS05] Steffen Rohde and Oded Schramm. Basic properties of SLE. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(2):883–924, 2005.
- [RTZ18] Steffen Rohde, Huy Tran, and Michel Zinsmeister. The Loewner equation and Lipschitz graphs. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 34(2):937–948, 2018.
- [RZ16] Steffen Rohde and Dapeng Zhan. Backward SLE and the symmetry of the welding. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 164(3-4):815–863, 2016.
- [She16] Scott Sheffield. Conformal weldings of random surfaces: SLE and the quantum gravity zipper. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(5):3474–3545, 2016.
- [STW19] Atul Shekhar, Huy Tran, and Yilin Wang. Remarks on Loewner chains driven by finite variation functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 44(1):311–327, 2019.
- [Zha19] Dapeng Zhan. Optimal Hölder continuity and dimension properties for SLE with Minkowski content parametrization. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 175(1-2):447–466, 2019.