

Extremal behavior of large cells in the Poisson hyperplane mosaic

Moritz Otto*

October 15, 2021

Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of a size-marked point process of centers of large cells in a stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaic in dimension $d \geq 2$. The sizes of the cells are measured by their inradius or their k th intrinsic volume ($k \geq 2$), for example. We prove a Poisson limit theorem for this process in Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance and thereby generalize a result in Chenavier and Hemsley (2016) in various directions. Our proof is based on a general Poisson process approximation result that extends a theorem in Bobrowski, Schulte and Yogeshwaran (2021).

AMS 2020 Subject Classifications: Primary 60G55, 60F17 Secondary 60D05

Keywords: Chen-Stein method, Poisson hyperplane process, random mosaic, point process approximation, Palm distribution, Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance, maximal cell, stopping set, Kendall's problem, Blaschke-Petkantschin formula

1 Introduction

Random mosaics are important objects in both theory and practice of modern probability. They are used in a wide range of applications to model random spatial phenomena. Besides the Voronoi mosaic (and its dual, the Delaunay mosaic), the hyperplane mosaic is an important model class. In this article we study stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaics. These are random mosaics where the generating process is a stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane process.

Different aspects of this mosaic have been investigated in the literature. For example, in [6] it is shown that the shape of the zero cell (it is the cell containing the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$) is with high probability close to the shape of a ball if its k th intrinsic volume (for some $k \geq 2$) is large. This result is the answer to the Kendall problem (formulated for the zero cell of a Poisson hyperplane mosaic) and is adapted to the typical cell of the mosaic in [7]. In [4] cells with large (and small) inradius and with center in a window are considered. For dimension $d = 2$ it is shown that the limit distribution of the largest and smallest order statistics for the inradii converge to a Poisson distribution when the size of the window goes to infinity.

In the present paper we considerably extend this result in various directions. We consider marked point processes of centers of large (w.r.t. the inradius or an intrinsic volume, e.g. volume, surface area) cells in a cube of volume t in a stationary, isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaic,

*Institute for Mathematical Stochastics, Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany, e-mail: moritz.otto@ovgu.de

where the mark is a transformation of the cell size. We study the asymptotics of a scaling of this process as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Using Stein's method (via a coupling of the marked process with a Palm version of itself), we prove convergence in the Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance to a marked Poisson process. To this end, we give an extension of [3, Theorem 4.1]. In the proofs of our main Theorems 1 and 2 we face two kinds of obstacles. The first one concerns long range dependencies and comes from the fact that two cells that are arbitrarily far apart from each other can share joint facet hyperplanes. The second one deals with local dependencies in the mosaic and concerns the sizes of the clusters in which large cells appear. The typical cluster size heavily depends on the shape of large cells. For the size functions that we consider (where all extremal bodies are balls) we use the answer to Kendall's problem and obtain clusters of size one.

Our article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give precise definitions of the objects that we study and present our main results. In Section 3 we prove two important auxiliary lemmas that give a bound on the number of hyperplanes in a stationary, isotropic Poisson hyperplane process that simultaneously hit two disjoint balls. Moreover, we present a spherical Blaschke-Petkantschin formula that generalizes [12, Theorem 7.3.2] and might be of independent interest. In Section 4 we give an extension of [3, Theorem 6.1]. Section 5 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1 (where we consider the process of centers of cells that are large w.r.t. their inradius) and Theorem 2 which is a generalization of the proof of Theorem 1 to the process of centers of cells that are large w.r.t. a more general size function.

2 Preliminaries and Main Results

We use the notation from [7] and [3]. For a locally compact second countable Hausdorff (lcsch) space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ we write $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ for the space of all σ -finite counting measures on \mathbb{X} and $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbb{X}}$ for the space of all finite counting measures on \mathbb{X} . We equip $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbb{X}}$ with their corresponding σ -algebras $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{X}}$ that are induced by the mappings $\omega \mapsto \omega(B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{X}$. These are the Borel- σ -algebras with respect to the Fell topologies on $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbb{X}}$, respectively.

The real Euclidean vector space \mathbb{R}^d is equipped with the standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\| \cdot \|$. We write κ_d for the volume of the closed unit ball $B^d := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\}$, $\omega_d = d\kappa_d$ for the surface area of the unit sphere $S^{d-1} := \partial B^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = 1\}$ and $B(z, r) := z + rB^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x - z\| \leq r\}$ for the closed ball with radius $r > 0$ around $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The ℓ -dimensional ($\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$) Lebesgue measure on an ℓ -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by λ_ℓ and σ_k is the normalized Lebesgue measure on a k -dimensional ($k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$) great subsphere of S^{d-1} .

The lcsch space (with the standard topology) of hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by \mathbb{H} . Every element $H \in \mathbb{H}$ can be represented as $H = H(u, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u \rangle = r\}$ for some $u \in S^{d-1}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. For $H \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus H$ we write H_z^- for the closed halfspace bounded by H that contains z and we denote $H^- := H_o^-$ for the closed halfspace bounded by H (not passing through the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$) that contains o .

In this article all random objects are defined on some fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathbf{A}, \mathbb{P})$ and η is a stationary and isotropic Poisson hyperplane process in \mathbb{R}^d . This is a random element in the space $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$. As usual we write η for the simple (induced) counting measure and its support. The distribution of η is invariant under rotations and translations and its intensity measure $\mathbb{E}\eta$ is of the form $\mathbb{E}\eta = \gamma_{d-1}$, where $\gamma > 0$ is the intensity of η and μ_{d-1} is the motion invariant measure

on \mathbb{H} given by

$$\mu_{d-1}(\cdot) = 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\{H(u, r) \in \cdot\} dr \sigma_{d-1}(du). \quad (2.1)$$

We write δ_H for the Dirac measure in $H \in \mathbb{H}$ and abbreviate $\delta_{(H_1, \dots, H_m)} := \delta_{H_1} + \dots + \delta_{H_m}$.

The space \mathcal{K}^d of convex bodies (non-empty, compact, convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d) is endowed with the Hausdorff metric. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ we write $\mathbb{H}_K := \{H \in \mathbb{H} : H \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\mathbb{H}^K := \{H \in \mathbb{H} : H \cap K = \emptyset\}$. The random number $\eta(\mathbb{H}_K)$ of hyperplanes passing through K follows a Poisson distribution with parameter $\gamma\Phi(K)$ with

$$\Phi(K) := 2 \int_{S^{d-1}} h(K, u) \sigma_{d-1}(du), \quad (2.2)$$

where $h(K, \cdot)$ is the support function of K .

In this article we study point processes related to large cells in Poisson hyperplane mosaics. The closures of the connected components of the complement of $\bigcup_{H \in \eta} H$ in \mathbb{R}^d form a stationary, isotropic mosaic \mathfrak{m} with intensity

$$\gamma^{(d)} := \frac{(2\gamma)^d}{d+1} \int_P \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}) \quad (2.3)$$

(see [12, Section 10.3]), where $P \subset (S^{d-1})^{d+1}$ is the set of all $(d+1)$ -tuples of unit vectors not lying in a closed hemisphere and $\Delta_d(\mathbf{u})$ is the d -dimensional volume of the convex hull of u_1, \dots, u_{d+1} . For a tuple $\mathbf{H} = (H_1, \dots, H_{d+1})$ of $d+1$ hyperplanes in general position (i.e. with linearly independent normal vectors and not all passing through one point) let $B(\mathbf{H})$ be the closed inball of the unique simplex $\Delta(\mathbf{H})$ for which H_1, \dots, H_{d+1} are the facet hyperplanes. Moreover, let $z(\mathbf{H})$ be the center and $r(\mathbf{H})$ be the radius of $B(\mathbf{H})$. It is easy to see that almost surely any $d+1$ hyperplanes of η are in general position; implying that the inballs of the cells of \mathfrak{m} are unique. Moreover, almost surely every inball is touched by precisely $d+1$ hyperplanes of η . For every cell C in \mathfrak{m} this allows us to find unique (up to permutations) $H_1, \dots, H_{d+1} \in \eta$ such that for $\mathbf{H} := (H_1, \dots, H_{d+1})$ we have that

$$C = C(\mathbf{H}, \eta) := \Delta(\mathbf{H}) \cap \bigcap_{H \in \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})}} H_{z(\mathbf{H})}^-. \quad (2.4)$$

For $t \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$ we consider the point process

$$\zeta_t[\omega] = \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\mathbf{H} \in \omega^{(d+1)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega - \delta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \delta_{(t^{-1/d}z(\mathbf{H}), 2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - \log t)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\omega^{(d+1)}$ is the set of all $(d+1)$ -tuples of hyperplanes of ω with pairwise distinct entries. Hence, $\zeta_t \equiv \zeta_t[\eta]$ is a scaling of the process of inball centers of cells in \mathfrak{m} , marked by a transformation of their radius.

Let φ be the Borel measure on \mathbb{R}_+ given by $\varphi((c, \infty)) = e^{-c}$ for all $c > 0$. We compare the process ζ_t with a Poisson process ν in the lcscH space $\mathbb{Y} := \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ restricted to $W \times (c, \infty)$ for some compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We consider the Kantorovich-Rubinstein (KR) distance between the distributions of two finite point processes ζ and ν that is given by

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{KR}}(\zeta, \nu) := \sup_{h \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{Y})} |\mathbb{E}h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E}h(\nu)|,$$

where $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{Y})$ is the class of all measurable 1-Lipschitz functions $h : \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbb{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the total variation between measures ω_1, ω_2 on \mathbb{Y} given by

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \sup |\omega_1(A) - \omega_2(A)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{Y}$ with $\omega_1(A), \omega_2(A) < \infty$. The KR distance between two point processes ξ, ζ dominates their total variation distance

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{TV}}(\xi, \zeta) := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Y}}} |\mathbb{P}(\xi \in A) - \mathbb{P}(\zeta \in A)|,$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is the standard σ -algebra on the space of σ -finite counting measures on \mathbb{Y} (see [5]).

Theorem 1. *Let $\zeta_t \equiv \zeta_t[\eta]$ be as above and let ν be a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ with intensity measure $\gamma^{(d)} \lambda_d \otimes \varphi$. There is some $b > 0$ such that for all $c > 0$ and all compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{KR}}(\zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) = O(t^{-b}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the second part of this article we study point processes of centers of cells in \mathfrak{m} that are large with respect to more general functions. Let $\Sigma : \mathcal{K}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric), homogeneous of degree k for some $k > 0$, not identically zero and increasing under set inclusion. Such functions are called *size functions* in [7]. Additionally, we assume that there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $V_d(K) \leq c_0 \Sigma(K)^{d/k}$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$, where $V_d(K)$ is the volume of K . Note that Σ and Φ satisfy the sharp isoperimetric inequality

$$\Phi(K) \geq \tau \Sigma(K)^{1/k}, \quad K \in \mathcal{K}^d, \quad (2.6)$$

for some constant $\tau > 0$ (see [6, Section 3]). That this inequality is sharp means that there exists some $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ such that equality holds in (2.6). Every such body is called an *extremal body* (for given Σ and Φ). For example, for $\Sigma = V_d$ we have $\tau = 2\kappa_d^{1/d}$. We assume that all extremal bodies of Σ are Euclidean balls. For instance, all intrinsic volumes V_k ($k \in \{2, \dots, d\}$) have this property (V_d is the volume and $2V_{d-1}$ is the surface area; see [12, Section 14.2]).

Let Z be the typical cell of \mathfrak{m} . It can be understood as a cell picked uniformly at random from all cells in centered a large compact region and will be defined rigorously in Section 5. There is a function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{\log u}{h^{-1}(u)^{1/k}} \rightarrow \tau\gamma$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z) > \cdot)$ is Pareto(1)-distributed (this is shown in Lemma 11 below), i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(f(Z) > u) = u^{-1}, \quad u > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

with $f := h \circ \Sigma$.

For $t > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$ we consider the point process

$$\xi_t[\omega] := \frac{1}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\mathbf{H} \in \eta^{(d+1)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta - \delta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \delta_{(t^{-1/d}z(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta))}, \quad (2.8)$$

where we abbreviate $f(\mathbf{H}, \omega) := f(C(\mathbf{H}, \omega))$ for $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Let $\xi_t \equiv \xi_t[\eta]$ and ψ be the Borel measure on $(0, \infty)$ given by $\psi((a, b)) = a^{-1} - b^{-1}$ for $0 < a < b < \infty$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\xi_t \equiv \xi_t[\eta]$ be as above and let ν be a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$ with intensity measure $\gamma^{(d)} \lambda_d \otimes \psi$. There is some $b > 0$ such that for all $c > 0$ and all compact $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{KR}}(\xi_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) = O(t^{-b}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the proof of Theorem 2 we combine probabilistic bounds used in the proof of Theorem 1 with geometric estimates. Intuitively spoken, we exploit that the shape of a large typical cell in \mathfrak{m} is with high probability close to the shape of a ball. This fact is known as Kendall's conjecture that was answered in a series of articles (see e.g. [6], [7]). This brings us in a position where we can argue similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.

3 Facts from Probability and Integral Geometry

3.1 Geometry of random hyperplanes

In the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we will need to control the number of hyperplanes passing simultaneously through two fixed balls. The following lemma gives the probability that a random hyperplane with uniformly distributed normal vector and in distance $r > 0$ from some point $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ passes through a fixed ball.

Lemma 3. *Let $w, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r, s > 0$. Then we have*

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}\{H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}\} \sigma_{d-1}(du) = \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{\max(\frac{r-s}{\|w-z\|}, -1)}^{\min(\frac{r+s}{\|w-z\|}, 1)} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx.$$

Proof. We use that the left-hand side only depends on r, s and the distance of w and z and write out the definition of $H(u, r)$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}\{H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}\} \sigma_{d-1}(du) &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}\{H(u, r) \in \mathbb{H}_{B(w-z,s)}\} \sigma_{d-1}(du) \\ &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{1}\{\langle w-z, u \rangle \in [r-s, r+s]\} \sigma_{d-1}(du). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $S := S^{d-1} \cap (\text{lin}\{w-z\})^\perp$ (where ‘‘lin’’ stands for the linear hull) in [12, Lemma 6.5.1] and substituting $x = \cos(t)$ in a second step, we find that the above is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_S \int_0^\pi \sin^{d-2}(t) \mathbb{1}\{\cos(t)\|w-z\| \in [r-s, r+s]\} dt \sigma_{d-2}(dv) \\ &= \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \mathbb{1}\{x\|w-z\| \in [r-s, r+s]\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the assertion. \square

The next lemma is a corollary of Lemma 3 and gives a bound on the expected number of hyperplanes passing through two disjoint balls. Note that in dimension $d = 2$, [4, Lemma 4.2] provides an upper bound on this number and [11] gives the exact number using an explicit geometric construction that does not seem to work in higher dimension.

Lemma 4. *For $w, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r, s > 0$ with $r+s \leq \|w-z\|$ we find that*

$$\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}) < \frac{4 \min(r, s) \omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_0^{\frac{r+s}{\|w-z\|}} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx.$$

Proof. Assume that $r \leq s$. From (2.1) and Lemma 3 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}) &= \frac{2\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_0^r \int_{\frac{t-s}{\|w-z\|}}^{\frac{t+s}{\|w-z\|}} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx dt \\ &= \frac{2\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_0^r \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{t+s}{\|w-z\|}} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx + \int_0^{\frac{s-t}{\|w-z\|}} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx \right\} dt \\ &\leq \frac{2r\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{r+s}{\|w-z\|}} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx + \int_0^{\frac{s}{\|w-z\|}} (1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < (1 - x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}$ for $x \in [0, 1)$, the claim follows. \square

Remark 5. Note that for $d = 3$ we instantly find from the second line of the proof that

$$\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}) = \frac{2rs}{\|w - z\|}, \quad r, s > 0, r + s \leq \|w - z\|.$$

\square

3.2 A spherical Blaschke-Petkantschin formula

The following lemma of spherical Blaschke-Petkantschin type is a generalisation of [12, Theorem 7.3.2] to the situation where $\ell \leq d$ hyperplanes are fixed and the integration over the remaining $d + 1 - \ell$ hyperplanes is carried out. To formulate the statement, we need to introduce some notation. For linear subspaces L_1, \dots, L_k satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \dim L_i =: m \leq d,$$

we define the subspace determinant $[L_1, \dots, L_k]$ following [12, Section 14.1]. Choose an orthonormal base in each L_i (the empty set if $\dim L_i = 0$) and let $[L_1, \dots, L_k]$ be the m -dimensional volume of the parallelepiped spanned by the union of these bases (1, by definition, if $\dim L_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$). In the following we write u^\perp for the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional linear subspace orthogonal to $u \in S^{d-1}$ and use the abbreviations $v_{1:\ell} := (v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$, $\mathbf{u} := (u_{\ell+1}, \dots, u_{d+1})$ and $H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) := (H(u_{\ell+1}, \langle z, u_{\ell+1} \rangle + r), \dots, H(u_{d+1}, \langle z, u_{d+1} \rangle + r))$, where z, ℓ and r are always clear from the context and recall the definitions of Δ_d and P from Section 2.

Lemma 6. *Let $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ and $f : \mathbb{H}^{d+1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} f d\mu_{d-1}^{d+1} &= 2^{d+1} d! \int_{\mathbb{H}^\ell} \int_{H_1^\perp \times \dots \times H_\ell^\perp} \int_0^\infty \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-\ell}} \int_{(H_1 - rv_1) \cap \dots \cap (H_\ell - rv_\ell)} f(H_{1:\ell}, H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) \\ &\quad \times [v_{1:\ell}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{1}_P(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \lambda_{d-\ell}(dz) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell}(d\mathbf{u}) dr \sigma_1^\ell(dv_{1:\ell}) \mu_{d-1}^\ell(dH_{1:\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use [12, Theorem 7.3.2] (note the missing factor 2^{d+1} on the right-hand side of the statement there) and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} f d\mu_{d-1}^{d+1} = 2^{d+1} d! \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int_P f(H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}) dr dz.$$

We now replace the integration over the inner ℓ unit vectors u_1, \dots, u_ℓ by an integration over their orthogonal complements and obtain for the above

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{d+1} d! \int_{G(d, d-1)^\ell} \int_{G_1^\perp \times \dots \times G_\ell^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(z + G_1 + rv_1, \dots, z + G_\ell + rv_\ell, H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) \\ \times \Delta_d(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{1}_P(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell}(d\mathbf{u}) dr dz \sigma_1^\ell(dv_{1:\ell}) \nu_{d-1}^\ell(dG_{1:\ell}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where ν_q ($q \in \{0, \dots, d\}$) is the unique Haar measure on the Grassmannian $G(d, q)$ of q -dimensional linear subspaces, normalized by $\nu_q(G(d, q)) = 1$ (see [12, Theorem 13.2.11]). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in [k]$ we write $b_{kq} := \frac{\omega_{k-q+1} \dots \omega_k}{\omega_1 \dots \omega_q}$ and $\bar{b} := b_{d(d-\ell)} \left(\frac{b_{(d-\ell)1}}{b_{d1}} \right)^{\ell+1}$. Moreover, for $L \in G(d, q)$ we denote by $G(L, d - 1)$ the space of all $(d - 1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces containing L with invariant measure ν_{d-1}^L (see [12, Section 13.2]). Similarly, let $A(d, q)$ be the

affine Grassmannian of q -dimensional affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d equipped with unique Haar measure μ_q , normalized by $\mu_q(\{E \in A(d, q) : E \cap B^d \neq \emptyset\}) = \kappa_{d-q}$ (see [12, Theorem 13.2.12]). Note that $A(d, d-1) = \mathbb{H}$. For an affine subspace $E \in A(d, q)$ we write $A(E, d-1)$ for the space of affine subspaces containing E with invariant measure μ_{d-1}^E . Furthermore, we abbreviate $(z + G_{1:\ell} + rv_{1:\ell}) := (z + G_1 + rv_1, \dots, z + G_\ell + rv_\ell)$. Using Theorem [12, Theorem 7.2.5] we find that (3.1) is given by

$$2^{d+1} d! \bar{b} \int_{G(d, d-\ell)} \int_{G(L, d-1)^\ell} \int_{G_1^\perp \times \dots \times G_\ell^\perp} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(z + G_{1:\ell} + rv_{1:\ell}, H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) [v_{1:\ell}^\perp]^\ell \\ \times \Delta_d(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{1}_P(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell}(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}) \, dr \, dz \, \sigma_1^\ell(\mathbf{d}v_{1:\ell}) (\nu_{d-1}^L)^\ell (\mathbf{d}G_{1:\ell}) \nu_{d-\ell}(\mathbf{d}L).$$

Now we use that $\mathbb{R}^d = L \oplus L^\perp$, write $\tau_i := (z + z', u_i) + r$ with $z \in L$ and $z' \in L^\perp$ for $i = \ell + 1, \dots, d+1$ and find that the above is given by

$$2^{d+1} d! \bar{b} \int_{G(d, d-\ell)} \int_{G(L, d-1)^\ell} \int_{G_1^\perp \times \dots \times G_\ell^\perp} \int_{L^\perp} \int_L \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(z + G_{1:\ell} + rv_{1:\ell}, H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) [v_{1:\ell}^\perp]^\ell \\ \times \Delta_d(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{1}_P(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell}(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}) \, dr \, \lambda_{d-\ell}(\mathbf{d}z) \lambda_{\ell+1}(\mathbf{d}z') \sigma_1^\ell(\mathbf{d}v_{1:\ell}) (\nu_{d-1}^L)^\ell (\mathbf{d}G_{1:\ell}) \nu_{d-\ell}(\mathbf{d}L).$$

From [12, (13.9)] we obtain for the above

$$2^{d+1} d! \bar{b} \int_{A(d, d-\ell)} \int_{A(E, d-1)^\ell} \int_{H_1^\perp \times \dots \times H_\ell^\perp} \int_E \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} f(H_1 + ru_1, \dots, H_\ell + ru_\ell, H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) \\ \times [v_{1:\ell}^\perp]^\ell \Delta_d(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{1}_P(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell}(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}) \, dr \, \lambda_{d-\ell}(\mathbf{d}z) \sigma_1^\ell(\mathbf{d}v_{1:\ell}) (\mu_{d-1}^E)^\ell (\mathbf{d}H_{1:\ell}) \mu_{d-\ell}(\mathbf{d}E).$$

Using [12, Theorem 7.2.8] and [12, Lemma 14.1.1], the last term is given by

$$2^{d+1} d! \int_{\mathbb{H}^\ell} \int_{H_1^\perp \times \dots \times H_\ell^\perp} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d+1-\ell}} \int_{(H_1 - rv_1) \cap \dots \cap (H_\ell - rv_\ell)} f(H_1, \dots, H_\ell, H(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\tau})) [v_{1:\ell}^\perp]^{-1} \\ \times \Delta_d(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \mathbb{1}_P(v_{1:\ell}, \mathbf{u}) \lambda_{d-\ell}(\mathbf{d}z) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-\ell}(\mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}) \, dr \, \sigma_1^\ell(\mathbf{d}v_{1:\ell}) \mu_{d-1}^\ell(\mathbf{d}(H_1, \dots, H_\ell)).$$

□

3.3 Stopping sets

In the proof of Theorem 2 we need to control the circumradius of the typical cell Z . To do so, we follow [10, Section 6.3]. For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $K_i(z)$, $i \in I$, be a finite collection of infinite open cones with apex z , angular radius $\pi/12$ and union \mathbb{R}^d . For $i \in I$ let $L_i(z)$ be the open cone with apex z and angular radius $\pi/6$ that is concentric to $K_i(z)$. Let $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $R_i(z, \omega)$ be the minimal $r > 0$ such that there is $u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z)$ with $H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega$ if such $r > 0$ exists and set $R_i(z, \omega) := \infty$, otherwise.

For $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position let $R(\mathbf{H}, \omega) := 2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq I} R_i(z(\mathbf{H}), \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})})$. Note that

$$C(\mathbf{H}, \omega) = C(\mathbf{H}, \omega_{B(z(\mathbf{H}), R(\mathbf{H}, \omega))}). \quad (3.2)$$

We obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(R(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > u) \\ \leq \mathbb{P}(R_i(z(\mathbf{H}), \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})}) > u/2, 1 \leq i \leq I) \leq 1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma(u-r(\mathbf{H}))/6})^I, \quad u > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

We need the following notion of a stopping set. Let \mathcal{F} (equipped with the usual σ -algebra) denote the system of all closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . By [2, Proposition A.1], a measurable map $\mathcal{S} :$

$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a *stopping set* if and only if $\mathcal{S}(\omega) = \mathcal{S}(\omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}(\omega)})$ for all $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and if the following implication holds for all $\mu, \varphi \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$:

$$\varphi = \mu \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}(\varphi)} \implies \mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}(\mu). \quad (3.4)$$

Intuitively, \mathcal{S} is a stopping set if $\mathcal{S}(\omega)$ is determined by $\omega_{\mathcal{S}(\omega)}$ for all $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Lemma 7. *For all $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position the map $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \cdot) : \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega) := B(z(\mathbf{H}), R(\mathbf{H}, \omega)) \quad (3.5)$$

is a stopping set.

Proof. We fix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position and show that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \cdot)$ is a stopping set. Since $R_i(z, \omega_1) \geq R_i(z, \omega_2)$ for $\omega_1 \subset \omega_2$, we have that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega)})$. For the reverse inclusion note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(z, \omega) &:= \inf\{r > 0 : \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z) : H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega\} \\ &= \inf\{r > 0 : \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z) : H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, r)}\} \\ &= \inf\{r > 0 : \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z) : H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, \min(r, R_i(z, \omega)))}\} \\ &\geq \inf\{r > 0 : \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z) : H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \omega))}\} \\ &= R_i(z, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \omega))}). \end{aligned}$$

To verify (3.4), let $\varphi = \mu \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \varphi)}$. We immediately obtain that

$$R_i(z, \omega) \leq R_i(z, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega)}) = R_i(z, \varphi), \quad i \in I.$$

For the reverse inequality note that analogously to above it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} R_i(z, \omega) &\geq \inf\{r > 0 : \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z) : H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, \min(r, R_i(z, \varphi)))}\} \\ &= \inf\{r > 0 : \exists u \in S^{d-1} \cap L_i(z) : H(u, \langle z, u \rangle + r) \in \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \varphi))}\} \\ &= R_i(z, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(z, R_i(z, \varphi))}) = R_i(z, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \cdot)$ is indeed a stopping set for all $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position. \square

4 Poisson process approximation

In this section we give an extension of [3, Theorem 6.1] and use its notation. We begin with a brief repetition of the setup. Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ and $(\mathbb{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$ be locally compact second countable Hausdorff (lcsch) spaces. Let $g : \mathbb{X}^k \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $f : \mathbb{X}^k \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be measurable functions that are symmetric in the \mathbf{x} coordinates and let \mathcal{F} be the space of closed subsets of \mathbb{X} equipped with the Fell topology. We assume that $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{X}^k \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is measurable and that f, g are localized to \mathcal{S} , i.e., for all $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and for all $S \supseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) &= g(\mathbf{x}, \omega \cap S), \\ f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) &= f(\mathbf{x}, \omega \cap S) \quad \text{if } g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, we assume for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}^k$ that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) : \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a stopping set (see Section 3.3).

Define

$$\xi[\omega] := \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \omega^{(k)}} g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \delta_{f(\mathbf{x}, \omega)}, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}},$$

and let $\xi \equiv \xi[\eta]$, where η is a Poisson process on \mathbb{X} with σ -finite intensity measure \mathbf{K} . Then we obtain from the multivariate Mecke equation (see [8, Theorem 4.4]) that the intensity measure \mathbf{L} of ξ is given by

$$\mathbf{L}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \in \cdot\} g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{K}^k(\mathbf{x}).$$

Theorem 8. *Let ξ be the process defined above with f, g satisfying (4.1) and $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Y}) < \infty$. Let ζ be a Poisson process with finite intensity measure \mathbf{M} . Further, suppose that we are given a measurable mapping $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow S_{\mathbf{x}}$ from \mathbb{X}^k to \mathcal{F} satisfying $\mathbf{x} \subset S_{\mathbf{x}}$. For $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ let*

$$\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) := g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \subset S_{\mathbf{x}}\}.$$

Then

$$d_{\mathbf{KR}}(\xi, \zeta) \leq d_{TV}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}) + E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 + E_6$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{2}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \not\subset S_{\mathbf{x}}\} \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}), \\ E_2 &= \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{E} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}), \\ E_3 &= \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}), \\ E_4 &= \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}), \\ E_5 &= \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}), \\ E_6 &= \frac{2}{k!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} \frac{1}{(k - |I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^{k-|I|}} \mathbb{E} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^{k-|I|}(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ we set $\mathbf{x}_I = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})$ and $(\mathbf{x}_I, \mathbf{z}) = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}, z_1, \dots, z_{k-m})$.

Remark 9. *Note that*

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 + E_3 &\leq \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{1}\{S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbb{E} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{E} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) =: E'_2, \\ E_4 + E_5 &\leq \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{1}\{S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbb{E} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) =: E'_3. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Theorem 8 is a refinement of [3, Theorem 4.1], where $d_{\mathbf{KR}}(\xi, \zeta)$ is bounded by $d_{TV}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}) + E_1 + E'_2 + E'_3 + E_6$.

Proof of Theorem 8. We proceed along the same lines as in the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1]. First assume that for all \mathbb{X}^k and $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ we have that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \subset S_{\mathbf{x}}$. Then we have that $\tilde{g} = g$, $E_1 = 0$ and

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = g(\mathbf{x}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}), \quad f(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = f(\mathbf{x}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}) \quad \text{if} \quad g(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = 1.$$

Let η' be a Poisson process on \mathbb{X} that is independent of η and has intensity measure \mathbf{K} . For fixed $h \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{Y})$ we need to bound the difference $\mathbb{E}h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E}h(\xi)$ which is by [3, (4.5)] given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E}h(\xi) &= \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} (\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi[\eta])] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi[\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}] - \delta_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})})]) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $D_x h(\omega) := h(\omega + \delta_x) - h(\omega)$ and P_s is the Markov semigroup corresponding to the generator \mathcal{L} that is given by

$$\mathcal{L}h(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{X}} D_x h(\omega) \mathbf{M}(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{X}} D_x h(\omega - \delta_x) \omega(dx).$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}^k$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{X}}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mathbf{x}}[\omega] &:= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \omega^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \omega) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \omega)} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \omega^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{z}}) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{z}})} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \omega^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} + \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} + \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c)} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \omega^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \omega \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [3, (2.9)] and the multivariate Mecke equation (see [8, Theorem 4.4] that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi[\eta])] - \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta])]| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k!} e^{-s} \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k!} e^{-s} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By assumption (4.1), $f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})$ and $g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})$ depend only on $\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}$. Since $\eta \stackrel{d}{=} \eta'$, we obtain from the independence property of the Poisson process that

$$\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta])] = \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c + \eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}])]. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that

$$\xi_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c + \eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}] = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in (\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c)^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c)}.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{d}_{\text{TV}} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})}, \hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c + \eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}] \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in (\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c)^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset, \eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset, \eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}). \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

As in [3], we define the point process

$$\hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{x}} := \xi[\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}] - g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \delta_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} - \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \delta_{f(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})}.$$

From [3, (2.9)], (4.5) and the Mecke equation we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\xi[\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}] - \delta_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})})] \\
& \quad - \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) D_{f(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}})} [P_s h(\hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{x}}[\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c + \eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}])] | \\
& \leq 2e^{-s} \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \left(\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in (\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c)^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset, \eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset, \eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \eta^{(k)}} \mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) + \hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{Y}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}}^c$ are independent point processes, we obtain from the Mecke equation that the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2e^{-s} \left(\frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{1}\{\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset, (\eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) g(\mathbf{z}, \eta' + \delta_{\mathbf{z}})\} \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{1}\{\eta' \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset, (\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}})\} \\
& \quad \quad \times \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) g(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}})\} \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbb{E}g(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{Y}) \right). \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute (4.3) and (4.6) into (4.2) and obtain with the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbb{E}h(\zeta) - \mathbb{E}h(\xi)| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbb{E}\tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) \\
& + \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}}) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) \\
& + \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) \\
& + \frac{2}{(k!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} = \emptyset\} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap S_{\mathbf{x}} \cap S_{\mathbf{z}} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}) \\
& + \frac{2}{k!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} \frac{1}{(k - |I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{X}^k} \int_{\mathbb{X}^{k-|I|}} \mathbb{E}\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{z}, \eta + \delta_{\mathbf{x}} + \delta_{\mathbf{z}}) \mathbf{K}^{k-|I|}(d\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{K}^k(d\mathbf{x}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the terms on the right-hand side are E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5 and E_6 . The rest of the proof goes along the lines of Step 2 in the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1]. \square

5 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

In this section we give the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In the following, $c_i > 0$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are positive constants. Their exact values are not important for the argument. For a function $F : \mathcal{K}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position and $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$ we abbreviate

$$F(\mathbf{H}, \omega) := F(C(\mathbf{H}, \omega)).$$

To prepare the proof of Theorem 1, we calculate the intensity measure $\mathbb{E}\zeta_t$ of ζ_t . Let $A \in \mathcal{B}^d$ and $y > 0$. From the multivariate Mecke equation (see [8, Theorem 4.4]), (2.2) and [12, Theorem 7.3.2] we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\zeta_t(A \times (y, \infty)) &= \frac{\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1/d}z(\mathbf{H}) \in A\} \mathbf{1}\{2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - \log t > y\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \\
&= \frac{(2\gamma)^{d+1}}{d+1} \int_{t^{1/d}A} \int_{\frac{y+\log t}{2\gamma}}^{\infty} \int_P e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}) dr dz, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We recall from (2.3) that $\gamma^{(d)} := \frac{(2\gamma)^d}{d+1} \int_P \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u})$ is the intensity of the random mosaic \mathfrak{m} generated by η . Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\zeta_t(A \times (y, \infty)) = \gamma^{(d)} \lambda_d(A) e^{-y}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}^d, y > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

By Lemma 4 we find some $C \in (0, 1)$ (depending on the dimension d) such that for all $3s \geq r \geq s > 0$ and $w, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|w - z\| \geq r + s$,

$$\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}) \geq 2r + 2Cs. \tag{5.3}$$

The following lemma gives a bound for the expected number of pairs of cells with a large inradius for which at least one cell has an extremely large inradius (larger than $\frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}$) or the cells are relatively close to each other (closer than $t^{\frac{C}{2d}}$).

Lemma 10. *Let $c > 0$, C be the constant from (5.3) and let $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \\
& \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
& \times \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } |z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})| \leq t^{\frac{C}{2d}}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \quad (5.4) \\
& = O\left(t^{-\frac{C}{2}} \log t\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_I := (H_1, \dots, H_{|I|})$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 6 to the inner integral and note that $(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset$ implies that $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| \geq r(\mathbf{H}) + r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})$. This gives for (5.4) the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_1 \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^\perp} \int_{\frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}}^{r(\mathbf{H})} \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \\
& \times e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(w, s)})} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} [v_{1:|I|}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \\
& \times \lambda_{d-|I|}(dw) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(dv_{|I|+1:d+1}) ds \sigma_1^{|I|}(dv_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \quad (5.5) \\
& + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^\perp} \int_{\frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}}^{r(\mathbf{H})} \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{B(z(\mathbf{H}), t^{\frac{C}{2d}})} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \\
& \times e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(w, s)})} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\{\|z(\mathbf{H}) - w\| \geq r(\mathbf{H}) + s\} [v_{1:|I|}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \\
& \times \lambda_{d-|I|}(dw) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(dv_{|I|+1:d+1}) ds \sigma_1^{|I|}(dv_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \quad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{d-|I|}(\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t) \leq c_2 t^{\frac{d-|I|}{d}}$ for $t > 0$ large enough, (5.5) is by (5.2) bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_3 t^{\frac{d-|I|}{d}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} r(\mathbf{H}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \\
& = O\left(t^{\frac{d-|I|}{d}-2} \log t\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.3) we find that (5.6) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_4 t^{\frac{C}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} r(\mathbf{H}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \\
& = O\left(t^{-\frac{C}{2}} \log t\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is justified by (5.2). \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ν be the Poisson process from the statement of Theorem 1, let $c > 0$ and $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact. Note that the intensity measures of $\zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty))$ and $\nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))$ coincide, implying that their total variation is zero. We apply Theorem 8 with the choices

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\mathbf{H}, \omega) &= \mathbb{1}\{t^{-1/d} z(\mathbf{H}) \in W\} \mathbb{1}\{(\omega - \delta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbb{1}\{2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - \log t > c\}, \\
f(\mathbf{H}, \omega) &= (t^{-1/d} z(\mathbf{H}), 2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - \log t), \quad \omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbf{H} \in \omega^{(d+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

and the stopping set \mathcal{S} given by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega) := S_{\mathbf{H}} := B(\mathbf{H})$ for $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{H}^{d+1}$ in general position and all $\omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{H}, \omega) = S_{\mathbf{H}}$ we find that $E_1 = 0$. Moreover, since $(\omega + \delta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{G}} = \emptyset$ if $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{G}, \omega + \delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) = 1$, we have that $E_3 = E_4 = 0$. This yields the bound

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{KR}}(\zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) \leq E_2 + E_5 + E_6 \quad (5.7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t, z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\ E_5 &= \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\ E_6 &= \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{|I|}}{(d+1-|I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \end{aligned}$$

where $W_t := tW = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : t^{-1}x \in W\}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I := (H_1, \dots, H_{|I|})$.

We consider the terms E_2, E_5, E_6 separately. From symmetry in \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{G} we find that E_2 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{4\gamma^{2d+2}}{(d+1)!d!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^d} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t, z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \\ &\quad \times e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \mu_{d-1}^d(d(G_2, \dots, G_{d+1})) \mu_{d-1}(dG_1) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_{d+1})$. Next we apply Lemma 6 with $\ell = 1$ to the two inner integrals (note that $[v^\perp] = 1$ for all $v \in S^{d-1}$) and find that the above is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2^{d+3}\gamma^{2d+2}}{(d+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})}} \int_{G_1^\perp} \int_{(S^{d-1})^d} \int_{\frac{c+\log t}{2\gamma}}^\infty \int_{W_t \cap (G_1 - rv_1)} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \\ &\quad \times e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma s} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \lambda_{d-1}(d\mathbf{w}) ds \sigma_{d-1}^d(dv_{2:d+1}) \sigma_1(dv_1) \mu_{d-1}(dG_1) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{d-1}(W_t \cap (G_1 - rv_1)) \leq \text{diam}(W)^{d-1} t^{(d-1)/d}$, the above is bounded by

$$c_5 t^{-1/d} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \quad (5.8)$$

From (2.1) we have that $\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})}) = 2r(\mathbf{H})$. Hence, we find from [12, Theorem 7.3.2] that (5.8) is bounded by

$$c_6 t^{-1/d} \int_{W_t} \int_{\frac{c+\log t}{2\gamma}}^\infty \int_P r e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}) dr dz = O(t^{-1/d} \log t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.9)$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset) \leq \gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})$, E_5 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\gamma^{2d+3}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\| \leq t^{\frac{C}{2d}}\right\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+3}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\| > t^{\frac{C}{2d}}\right\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

From Lemma 10 we have that (5.10) is of order $O\left(t^{-\frac{C}{2}} \log t\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ with the constant $C \in (0, 1)$ from (5.3).

By Lemma 4 we have for $\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}$ and $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\| > t^{\frac{C}{2d}}$ that

$$\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})}) < \frac{4\omega_{d-1} \min(r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G}))(r(\mathbf{H}) + r(\mathbf{G}))}{\omega_d \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\|} \leq c_7 t^{-\frac{C}{2d}} (\log t)^2 \quad (5.12)$$

for $t > 0$ large enough. By symmetry in \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{G} we can assume w.l.o.g. that $r(\mathbf{H}) \geq r(\mathbf{G})$ and bound (5.11) for $t > 0$ large enough by

$$\begin{aligned} & c_8 \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \frac{r(\mathbf{H})^2}{\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\|} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) \geq r(\mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - 2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

We apply [12, Theorem 7.3.2] to the inner integral in (5.13) and introduce spherical coordinates in the integration over $z(\mathbf{G})$. This gives the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & c_9 t \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}}^{r(\mathbf{H})} \int_{r+s}^{t^{1/d}} r(\mathbf{H})^2 x^{d-2} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - 2\gamma s} \\ & \quad \times dx ds \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \\ & = O(t^{-1/d} (\log t)^2) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously to E_5 , we bound E_6 by the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{|I|}}{(d+1-|I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| \leq t^{\frac{c}{2d}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\right\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \tag{5.14} \\
& + \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{|I|}}{(d+1-|I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| > t^{\frac{c}{2d}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\right\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}). \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 10 we have that (5.14) is of order $O\left(t^{-\frac{c}{2}} \log t\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We apply Lemma 6 with $\ell = d+1-|I|$ to the integrals over the hyperplanes $\mathbf{H}_{1:|I|}, \mathbf{G}_{1:d+1-|I|}$ in (5.15) and use that by Lemma 4 we have for $\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}$ and $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\| > t^{\frac{c}{2d}}$ that $\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})}) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see (5.12)). This gives that (5.15) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{10} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^\perp} \int_{\frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}}^{r(\mathbf{H})} \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - 2\gamma s [\mathbf{v}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')} \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') \\
& \quad \times \lambda_{d-|I|}(\mathrm{d}w) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}') \mathrm{d}s \sigma_1^{|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$ large enough. Since $\lambda_{d-|I|}(\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t) \leq c_{11} t^{\frac{d-|I|}{d}}$, (5.16) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{12} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} t^{-\frac{|I|}{d}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} r(\mathbf{H}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\left\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}\right\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \\
& = O(t^{-1/d} \log t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

This shows for $b < \min\{1/d, C/2\}$ that

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{KR}}(\zeta_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) = O(t^{-b}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

In the proof of Theorem 2 we need the notion of the typical cell Z of \mathfrak{m} that is any random polytope with distribution given by

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \in \cdot) = \frac{1}{\gamma^{(d)}} \mathbb{E} \sum_{\mathbf{H} \in \eta^{(d+1)}} \mathbb{1}\{C(\mathbf{H}, \eta) - z(\mathbf{H}) \in \cdot\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in [0, 1]^d\}. \tag{5.18}$$

In order to measure the deviation of the shape of a convex body K from the shape of a Euclidean ball, we use the deviation function

$$\vartheta(K) := \min \left\{ \frac{R-r}{R+r} : rB^d + z \subset K \subset RB^d + z, r, R > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \quad (5.19)$$

from [7] and note that $\vartheta(K) = 0$ if and only if K is a Euclidean ball. Let Σ be a size function as defined in Section 2. By [7, Theorem], for all $\delta > 0$ there exists some $a(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\vartheta(Z) \geq \delta \mid \Sigma(Z) > u) \leq \exp(-a(\delta)u^{1/k}), \quad u > 0. \quad (5.20)$$

The distributions of the typical cell Z and the zero cell Z_0 (this is the (a.s. unique) cell in \mathfrak{m} containing the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$) are linked via

$$\mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) \geq u) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z_0) \geq u), \quad u > 0, \quad (5.21)$$

which is a direct consequence of [7, Lemma 3.1]. From [6, Theorem 2] we find that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z_0) \geq u) = -\tau\gamma.$$

Combining this result with (5.21) and [7, Lemma 4.1] gives

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) \geq u) = -\tau\gamma. \quad (5.22)$$

Lemma 11. *Let Σ be a size function as defined in Section 2. There exists a strictly increasing function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $h(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z) > \cdot)$ is Pareto(1)-distributed. For such h we have that*

$$\frac{\log u}{h^{-1}(u)^{1/k}} \rightarrow \tau\gamma \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.23)$$

Proof. We first show that the distribution function of $\Sigma(Z)$ is continuous. For given $u_1, \dots, u_{d+1} \in S^{d-1}$ we define the tuple $\mathbf{H}(r) := (H(u_1, r), \dots, H(u_{d+1}, r))$, $r \geq 0$. From [12, Theorem 7.3.2] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) = y) &= \frac{2^{d+1}\gamma^{d+1}}{\gamma^{(d)}(d+1)} \mathbb{E} \int_P \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}\{\Sigma(C(\mathbf{H}(r), \eta_{\mathbf{H}(r)})) = y\} e^{-2\gamma r} \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \, dr \, \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}), \quad y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Sigma(C(\mathbf{H}(r), \eta_{\mathbf{H}(r)})) = r^k \Sigma(C(\mathbf{H}(1), \eta_{\mathbf{H}(1)}))$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for $\mathbf{u} \in P$ and $r > 0$, the inner integral is equal to zero. Hence, we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) = y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that the distribution function of $\Sigma(Z)$ is continuous. Hence, there is a strictly increasing function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z) > \cdot)$ is Pareto(1)-distributed. From (5.22) we find that the distribution function of $\Sigma(Z)$ has unbounded support. This implies that $h(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

For (5.23) we consider

$$\frac{\log u}{h^{-1}(u)^{1/k}} = h^{-1}(u)^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma(Z) \geq h^{-1}(u)) \left(\frac{\log \mathbb{P}(h \circ \Sigma(Z) \geq u)}{\log u} \right)^{-1}.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}((h \circ \Sigma)(Z) > \cdot)$ is regularly varying with index 1, the term in brackets goes to -1 as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Since with h also h^{-1} is unbounded and increasing, we obtain (5.23) from (5.22). \square

From (2.7) we find that the intensity measure $\mathbb{E}\xi_t$ of ξ_t is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\xi_t(A \times (y, \infty)) = t\gamma^{(d)}\lambda_d(A)\mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(Z) > y) = \gamma^{(d)}\lambda_d(A)y^{-1}, \quad t > 0, A \in \mathcal{B}^d, y > 0, \quad (5.24)$$

where Z is the typical cell in \mathfrak{m} with distribution given in (5.18). This shows that $\mathbb{E}\xi_t = \gamma^{(d)}\lambda_d \otimes \psi$, where ψ is defined in Section 2.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need to strengthen (5.3) in the following way. By Lemma 4 we find some $n \geq 2$ and some $D > \frac{1}{n}$ (depending on the dimension d) such that for all $s > 0$, $r \in [s, \frac{3ns}{n-1}]$ and all $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|z - w\| \geq r + s$,

$$\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(z,r)} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}) \geq 2r + 2Ds. \quad (5.25)$$

Let $\beta := \min\{a(\frac{1}{2n-1})/2, D/2\}$, where $a(\frac{1}{2n-1})$ is the constant from (5.20).

We prepare the proof of Theorem 2 with the following two lemmas. The first one is an analogue to Lemma 10.

Lemma 12. *Let $c > 0$, D be the constant from (5.25) and $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$. There is some $b > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, t^{-1}f((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } |z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})| \leq t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \\ & = O(t^{-b}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 6 to the inner integral and note that $(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset$ implies that $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| \geq r(\mathbf{H}) + r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})$. This yields for the left-hand side the bound

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^+} \int_0^r \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{r(\mathbf{H}) > \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \\ & \quad \times [v_{1:|I|}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \lambda_{d-|I|}(\mathrm{d}w) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{|I|+1:d+1}) \mathrm{d}s \sigma_1^{|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \quad (5.26) \\ + c_{13} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^+} \int_0^r \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap B(z(\mathbf{H}), t^{\beta/d})} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}\} \\ & \quad \times e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)})} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, t^{-1}f((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \mathbf{1}\{\|z(\mathbf{H}) - w\| \geq r(\mathbf{H}) + s\} \\ & \quad \times [v_{1:|I|}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \lambda_{d-|I|}(\mathrm{d}w) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{|I|+1:d+1}) \mathrm{d}s \sigma_1^{|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}), \quad (5.27) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{G} := (H(v_{|I|+1}, \langle v_{|I|+1}, w \rangle + s), \dots, H(v_{d+1}, \langle v_{d+1}, w \rangle + s))$. Since $\lambda_{d-|I|}(\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t) \leq c_{14} t^{\frac{d-|I|}{d}}$, we find from (5.24) that the first term (5.26) is of order $O(t^{\frac{d-|I|}{d}-2})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In (5.27) we distinguish the cases $s \leq \frac{(n-1)\log t}{2n\gamma}$ and $s > \frac{(n-1)\log t}{2n\gamma}$. Using (5.25) we find that (5.27) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^+} \int_{\frac{(n-1)\log t}{2n\gamma}}^r \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{B(z(\mathbf{H}), t^{\beta/d})} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c) e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \\ & \quad \times e^{-2\gamma Ds} [v_{1:|I|}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \lambda_{d-|I|}(\mathrm{d}w) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{|I|+1:d+1}) \mathrm{d}s \sigma_1^{|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \quad (5.28) \\ + c_{13} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^+} \int_0^{r \wedge \frac{(n-1)\log t}{2n\gamma}} \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{B(z(\mathbf{H}), t^{\beta/d})} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}\} \\ & \quad \times e^{-2\gamma s} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) [v_{1:|I|}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \\ & \quad \times \lambda_{d-|I|}(\mathrm{d}w) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{|I|+1:d+1}) \mathrm{d}s \sigma_1^{|I|}(\mathrm{d}v_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}), \quad (5.29) \end{aligned}$$

where the first term (5.28) is of order $O(t^{\beta-D(n-1)/n})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For the second term (5.29) we use that the conditions $r(\mathbf{G}) < \frac{(n-1)\log t}{2n\gamma}$ and $t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c$ imply by (2.6) that

$$\min \left\{ \frac{R}{r} : rB^d + z \subset C(\mathbf{G}, \eta) \subset RB^d + z, r, R > 0, z \in B^d \right\} \geq \frac{\Phi(C(\mathbf{G}, \eta))}{2r(\mathbf{G})} \geq \frac{\gamma\tau h^{-1}(tc)^{1/k}n}{(n-1)\log t},$$

which converges by Lemma 11 to $\frac{n}{n-1}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have that $\vartheta(C(\mathbf{G}, \eta)) \geq \frac{1}{2n-1}$ for $t > 0$ large enough, where $\vartheta(K)$ is the deviation function from (5.19). This yields for (5.29) the bound

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^\pm} \int_0^\infty \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{B(z(\mathbf{H}), t^{\beta/d})} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}\} \\ & \times e^{-2\gamma s} \mathbb{P}(\vartheta(C(\mathbf{G}, \eta)) \geq \frac{1}{2n-1}, t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) [v_{1:|I|}^\pm]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \\ & \times \lambda_{d-|I|}(d\mathbf{w}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(dv_{|I|+1:d+1}) ds \sigma_1^{|I|}(dv_{1:|I|}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \end{aligned}$$

We write out the first integral using [12, Theorem 7.3.2] and obtain for the above

$$\begin{aligned} c_{14} & \int_{W_t} \int_0^{\frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}} \int_P \int_{\times_{i \in I} H(u_i, \langle u_i, z \rangle + r)^\pm} \int_0^\infty \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{B(z(\mathbf{H}), t^{\beta/d})} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) \\ & \times \mathbb{P}(\vartheta(C(\mathbf{G}, \eta)) \geq \frac{1}{2n-1} \mid t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) e^{-2\gamma s} [v_{1:|I|}^\pm]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \\ & \times \lambda_{d-|I|}(d\mathbf{w}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(dv_{|I|+1:d+1}) ds \sigma_1^{|I|}(dv_{1:|I|}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{r} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Using that for all Borel sets $A \subset S^{d-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_P \int_{\times_{i \in I} H(u_i, \langle u_i, z \rangle + r)^\pm} \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{\mathbf{v} \in A\} [v_{1:|I|}^\pm]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(dv_{|I|+1:d+1}) \\ & \times \sigma_1^{|I|}(dv_{1:|I|}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}) \\ & = c_{15} \int_{A \cap P} \Delta_d(\mathbf{u}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{u}), \end{aligned}$$

and the definition of the typical cell Z in (5.18), (5.30) is given by

$$c_{16} t^{1+\beta} (\log t) \mathbb{P}(\vartheta(Z) \geq \frac{1}{2n-1} \mid \Sigma(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(Z) > c).$$

By (5.20) the above is of order $O(t^{\beta-a(\frac{1}{2n-1})+\varepsilon})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

Lemma 13. *Let $c > 0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(o, 3r(\mathbf{H}))}) > c) \\ & \times \mathbb{1}\{r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}\} \mathbb{1}\{\|z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \\ & = O(t^\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Proof. Since in the probability in the integrand of (5.31) a cell in the mosaic w.r.t. the process $\eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(o, 3r(\mathbf{H}))}$ is considered, we cannot directly apply (5.22) with the size functional Σ . Instead, the idea of the proof is to define an appropriate size functional Σ_t in a first step. To do so, we let for $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ with circumcenter $z(K)$, circumradius $r(K)$ and $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} B_t(K) & := B\left(z(K) - \frac{2\gamma t^{\beta/d} r(K)}{3\log(t)} \frac{z(K)}{\|z(K)\|}, 3r(K)\right), \\ K_t & := \bigcap_{H \in \mathbb{H}^K \cap \mathbb{H}^{B_t(K)}} H_{z(K)}^-, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The set K_t can be considered as the original body K with a “tail” in direction $-z(K)/\|z(K)\|$.

For $\|z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}$ and $r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}$ we have that

$$\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(o, 3r(\mathbf{H}))} \subset \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}^{B_t(K)}.$$

This gives

$$C(\mathbf{H}, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(o, 3r(\mathbf{H}))}) \subset C(\mathbf{H}, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(o, 3r(\mathbf{H}))})_t = C(\mathbf{H}, \omega)_t, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (5.32)$$

Define $\Sigma_t : \mathcal{K}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Sigma_t(K) := \Sigma(K_t)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$ and recall from Section 2 that $f = h \circ \Sigma$. Using (5.32) and the definition of the typical cell Z from (5.20), we bound (5.31) by

$$c_{17} t \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_t(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)).$$

Note that Σ_t is continuous, not identically zero, homogeneous of degree k and increasing under set inclusion and, hence, is a size functional in the sense of [7]. For $s > 0$ it follows from (5.22) (applied to the size functional Σ_s) that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{-1}(tc)^{-1/k} \log \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_s(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)) \leq -\tau_s \lambda,$$

where $\tau_s := \min\{\Phi(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}^d, \Sigma_s(K) = 1\}$. It follows from continuity of Φ and Σ that $\tau_s \rightarrow \tau$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Since $Z_t \subset Z_s$ a.s. for $s \leq t$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Sigma_t(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_s(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)), \quad s \leq t.$$

Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we that $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma_t(Z) > h^{-1}(tc)) \leq t^{\varepsilon-1}$ for $t > 0$ large enough. This shows that (5.31) is of order $O(t^\varepsilon)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. By (5.24), the total variation of the intensity measures of $\xi_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty))$ and $\nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))$ is given by

$$\gamma^{(d)} \lambda_d(W) \sup_{y \geq c} |t \mathbb{P}(t^{-1} f(Z) > y) - y| = 0.$$

We apply Theorem 8 with

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{H}, \omega) &= \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1/d} z(\mathbf{H}) \in W\} \mathbf{1}\{(\omega - \delta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1} f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c\}, \\ f(\mathbf{H}, \omega) &= (t^{-1/d} z(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1} f(\mathbf{H}, \omega)), \quad \omega \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbf{H} \in \omega^{(d+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

the stopping set \mathcal{S} from (3.5) and $S(\mathbf{H}) := B(z(\mathbf{H}), 3r(\mathbf{H}))$. Note that (4.1) holds by (3.2).

This gives

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{KR}}(\xi_t \cap (W \times (c, \infty)), \nu \cap (W \times (c, \infty))) \leq E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 + E_6$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\
E_2 &= \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{H}, \eta) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{G}, \eta) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \\
&\quad \times \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\
E_3 &= \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{H}, \eta) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{G}, \eta) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\
E_4 &= \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\eta_{\mathbf{G}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{G})\} \\
&\quad \times \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\
E_5 &= \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{(\eta + \delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\eta_{\mathbf{G}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{G})\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \\
E_6 &= \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{d+1-|I|}}{(d+1-|I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{\eta_{\mathbf{G}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c\} \mathbf{1}\{R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H})\} \mathbf{1}\{\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}}) > c\} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}\{R((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $W_t := tW = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : t^{-1}x \in W\}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I := (H_1, \dots, H_{|I|})$.

We consider the terms $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5, E_6$ separately. Since $R(\mathbf{H}, \omega) = R(\mathbf{H}, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})})$ and $f(\mathbf{H}, \omega) = f(\mathbf{H}, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})})$, we find from independence of the processes $\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})}$ and $\eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})}$ that E_1 is given by

$$\frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{P}(R(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c) \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}).$$

Since $R(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > 3r(\mathbf{H})$ implies that $\max_{i \in I} R_i(z(\mathbf{H}), \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(\mathbf{H})}) > 3/2r(\mathbf{H})$, the diameter of $C(\mathbf{H}, \eta)$ is bounded from below by $5/2r(\mathbf{H})$. By definition of ϑ , this yields that $\vartheta(C(\mathbf{H}, \eta)) > 1/9$. Hence, we obtain that E_1 is bounded from above by

$$\gamma^{(d)} t \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(Z) > c) \mathbb{P}(\vartheta(Z) > 1/9 \mid t^{-1}f(Z) > c), \tag{5.33}$$

where Z is the typical cell with distribution given in (5.20). By (2.7) and (5.20), (5.33) is of order $O(t^{-a(1/9)+\varepsilon})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

We bound E_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbf{1}\{H_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}\} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) \\ & \times \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset, t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) \\ & \times \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

Analogously to the bound of E_2 in the proof of Theorem 1, we find that (5.34) is of order $O(t^{-1/d} \log t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To bound (5.35), we consider the first probability in the integrand and find by the Mecke equation (see [8, Theorem 4.1]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}, t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c) & \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{H \in \eta^{(d+1)}} \mathbf{1}\{H \in \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c\} \\ & = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_H) > c) \mu_{d-1}(dH). \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Note that $C(\mathbf{G}, \eta_H) \subset C(\mathbf{G}, \eta)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $H \in \mathbb{H}$. Hence, (5.35) is bounded by

$$\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta) > c).$$

From Lemma 4 we find that $\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}) \leq \frac{8(r(\mathbf{H}) \vee r(\mathbf{G}))^2 \omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\|}$. Similarly to the bound of (5.11) in the proof of Theorem 1, this shows that E_2 is of order $O(t^{-1/d} (\log t)^2)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that by symmetry in \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , E_3 is bounded by (5.35).

We write E_4 as the sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbf{1}\{G_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\ & \times e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\ & \times \mathbf{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma \mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\ & \times \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}\{\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})} \neq \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c\} \\ & \times \mathbf{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

The first term (5.37) is bounded by the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } \|z(\mathbf{G}) - z(\mathbf{H})\| \leq t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \quad (5.39) \\
& + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbf{1}\{G_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{G})}\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbf{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{G}) - z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \\
& \quad \times \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \quad (5.40)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 12, (5.39) is of order $O(t^{-b})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some $b > 0$. To bound (5.40), note that by definition of the stopping set \mathcal{S} , the relation $C(\mathbf{H}, \omega) \subset C(\mathbf{H}, \omega \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}})})$ and independence of the processes $\eta \cap \mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})}$ and $\eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H})}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}})}) > c) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H})}) > c) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H})}) > c). \quad (5.41)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 6 with $\ell = 1$ (applied to the inner integral of (5.40)), we obtain for (5.40) the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{18} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})}} \int_{G_1^+} \int_0^\infty \int_{(S^{d-1})^d} \int_{W_t \cap (G_1 - sv_1)} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)})} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H})}) > c) \mathbf{1}\{\|z(\mathbf{H}) - w\| \geq r(\mathbf{H}) + s\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbf{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), s\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}, \|w - z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \\
& \quad \times \lambda_{d-1}(dw) \sigma_{d-1}^d(dv_{2:d+1}) ds \sigma_1(dv_1) \mu_{d-1}(dG_1) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}), \quad (5.42)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{G} := (G_1, H(v_2, \langle v_2, w \rangle + s), \dots, H(v_{d+1}, \langle v_{d+1}, w \rangle + s))$. By Lemma 4 we have for $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - w\| \geq t^{\beta/d}$ and $\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), s\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}$ that

$$\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(w,s)}) \leq c_{19} t^{-\beta/d} (\log t)^2.$$

Hence, using that $\lambda_d(W_t \cap (H_1 - sv_1)) \leq c_{20} t^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$ and that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_{S(\mathbf{H})}} \int_{A \cap H_1^+} \sigma_1(dv_1) \mu_{d-1}(dG_1) = 6r(\mathbf{H}) \sigma_{d-1}(A)$$

for all Borel sets $A \subset S^{d-1}$, (5.42) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{21} t^{-1/d} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} r(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbf{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H})}) > c) \mathbf{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{G}) - z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \\
& \quad \times \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \quad (5.43)
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 13 we obtain that (5.43) is of order $O(t^{\varepsilon-1/d})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

Argueing analogously to (5.36), we bound (5.38) by the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2\gamma^{2d+2}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } \|z(\mathbf{G}) - z(\mathbf{H})\| \leq t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \tag{5.44} \\
& + \frac{2\gamma^{2d+3}}{((d+1)!)^2} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mu(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})}) \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})})} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{G}) - z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \\
& \quad \times \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}). \tag{5.45}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 12, (5.44) is of order $O(t^{-b})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some $b > 0$. By Lemma 4 we have for $r(\mathbf{G}) \leq r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}$ and $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{G})\| > t^{\beta/d}$ that $\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})}) \leq c_{19}t^{-\beta/d}(\log t)^2$. Using (5.41) we obtain for (5.45) the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{22}t^{-\beta/d}(\log t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{G})} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c) \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{G}, \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) \cap \mathbb{H}^{S(\mathbf{H})} > c) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{G}) - z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \\
& \quad \times \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}). \tag{5.46}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 13 we find that (5.46) is for all $\varepsilon > 0$ of order $O(t^{\varepsilon-\beta/d})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that E_5 is bounded by (5.45). Analogously to E_4 , we bound E_6 by the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{d+1-|I|}}{(d+1-|I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} > \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma} \text{ or } \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| \leq t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}) \tag{5.47}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2\gamma^{d+1}}{(d+1)!} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \frac{\gamma^{d+1-|I|}}{(d+1-|I|)!} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1-|I|}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}) \in W_t\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}}) > c) \\
& \quad \times e^{-\gamma\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cup \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})})} \mathbb{1}\{(\delta_{\mathbf{H}_{|I|+1:d+1}} + \delta_{\mathbf{G}}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})} = \emptyset\} \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3\log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{H}), \tag{5.48}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_I := (H_1, \dots, H_{|I|})$. From Lemma 12 we have that (5.47) is of order $O(t^{-b})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

To bound (5.48), we apply Lemma 6 with $\ell = d + 1 - |I|$ to the integrals over the hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_I, G_1, \dots, G_{d+1-I}$ and use that by Lemma 4 we have for $\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), r(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\} \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}$ and $\|z(\mathbf{H}) - z(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})\| > t^{\beta/d}$ that $\mu_{d-1}(\mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H})} \cap \mathbb{H}_{B(\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G})}) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This gives for (5.48) for $t > 0$ large enough the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{23} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \int_{\times_{i \in I} H_i^\perp} \int_{\frac{c + \log t}{2\gamma}}^\infty \int_{(S^{d-1})^{d+1-|I|}} \int_{\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \\
& \times \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) > c, R(\mathbf{H}, \eta_{\mathbf{G}}) \leq 3r(\mathbf{H}), t^{-1}f((\mathbf{H}_I, \mathbf{G}), \eta_{\mathbf{H}}) > c) e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H}) - 2\gamma s} \\
& \times \mathbb{1}\{\|z(\mathbf{H}) - w\| \geq r(\mathbf{H}) + s\} \mathbb{1}\{\max\{r(\mathbf{H}), s\} \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}, \|z(\mathbf{H}) - w\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \\
& \times [\mathbf{v}^\perp]^{-1} \Delta_d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') \mathbb{1}_P(\mathbf{v}) \lambda_{d-|I|}(d\mathbf{w}) \sigma_{d-1}^{d+1-|I|}(d\mathbf{v}') ds \sigma_1^{|I|}(d\mathbf{v}) \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}). \tag{5.49}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{d-|I|}(\cap_{i \in I} (H_i - sv_i) \cap W_t) \leq c_{24} t^{-\frac{d-|I|}{d}}$ and using (5.41), (5.49) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{25} \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d+1\}} t^{-\frac{|I|}{d}} \int_{\mathbb{H}^{d+1}} \mathbb{1}\{z(\mathbf{H}) \in W_t\} \mathbb{P}(t^{-1}f(\mathbf{H}, \eta \cap \mathbb{H}^{B(o, 3r(\mathbf{H}))}) > c) e^{-2\gamma r(\mathbf{H})} \\
& \times \mathbb{1}\{r(\mathbf{H}) \leq \frac{3 \log t}{2\gamma}\} \mathbb{1}\{\|z(\mathbf{H})\| > t^{\beta/d}\} \mu_{d-1}^{d+1}(d\mathbf{H}) \\
& = O(t^{\varepsilon-1/d}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \tag{5.50}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is justified by Lemma 13. \square

References

- [1] BARBOUR, A. AND BROWN, T. (1992). Stein's method and point process approximation. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **43**, 9–31.
- [2] BAUMSTARK, V. AND LAST, G. (2009). Gamma distributions for stationary Poisson flat processes. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **41**, 911–939.
- [3] BOBROWSKI, O., SCHULTE, M. AND YOGESHWARAN, D. (2021). Poisson process approximation under stabilization and Palm coupling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.13261*.
- [4] CHENAVER, N. AND HEMSLEY, R. (2016). Extremes for the inradius in the Poisson line tessellation. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **48**, 544–573.
- [5] DECREUSEFOND, L., SCHULTE, M. AND THÄLE, C. (2016). Functional Poisson approximation in Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance with applications to U-statistics and stochastic geometry. *Ann. Appl. Prob.* **44**, 2147–2197.
- [6] HUG, D. AND SCHNEIDER, R. (2007). Asymptotic shapes of large cells in random tessellations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17**, 156–191.
- [7] HUG, D. AND SCHNEIDER, R. (2007). Typical cells in Poisson hyperplane tessellations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **38**, 305–319.
- [8] LAST, G. AND PENROSE, M. (2017) *Lectures on the Poisson Process*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [9] OTTO, M. (2020). Poisson approximation of Poisson-driven point processes and extreme values in stochastic geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10116*.
- [10] PENROSE, M. (2007). Gaussian Limits for Random Geometric Measures. *Electron. J. Probab.* **35**, 989–1035.
- [11] SANTALÓ, L. (2004). *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [12] SCHNEIDER, R. AND WEIL, W. (2008). *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*. Springer, Berlin.