

Ergodic Density Estimates for some diffusion processes

Bert Koehler and Volker Krafft

Abstract

For n -dimensional ergodic diffusion processes with values in $G = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ we prove time-independent upper bounds for the transitional density and so also for the unique ergodic density. We do not require geodesic completeness of the elliptic symbol towards the boundary of G .

Let $W_{1,t}, \dots, W_{n,t}$ be independent Brownian motions, let $G = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and let $\sigma_{ij}, \mu_i : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions. Assume there is a strong solution process $X_t : \Omega \rightarrow G$ of the equation

$$X_{i,t} = X_{i,0} + \int_0^t \mu_i(X_s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(X_s) dW_{j,s}$$

The image domain of nonnegative values appears naturally in interest rate or credit intensity modelling. The generator of the process X_t is given by

$$L(f)(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$$

where

$$a_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{ik}(x) \sigma_{jk}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$$

We require

$$(a_{ij}(x)) > 0 \text{ positive definite for all } x \in G$$

Examples include so called affine processes where $\mu(x), a_{ij}(x)$ are affine linear functions such as

$$X_{i,t} = X_{i,0} + \int_0^t (\mu_{i0} + \sum_{j \neq i} \mu_{ij} X_{j,s} - \mu_{ii} X_{i,s}) ds + \sigma_i \int_0^t \sqrt{X_{i,s}} dW_{i,s}$$

but also more complicated processes with stochastic volatility such as

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{1,t} &= X_{1,0} + \int_0^t (\mu_{1,0} - \mu_{1,1}X_{1,s})ds + \sigma_1 \int_0^t \sqrt{X_{1,s}}dW_{1,s} \\
X_{2,t} &= X_{2,0} + \int_0^t (\mu_{2,0} + \mu_{2,1}X_{1,s} - \mu_{2,2}X_{2,s})ds + \sigma_2 \int_0^t \sqrt{X_{1,s}X_{2,s}}dW_{2,s} \\
&\dots \\
X_{n,t} &= X_{n,0} + \int_0^t (\mu_{n,0} + \sum_1^{n-1} \mu_{n,j}X_{j,s} - \mu_{n,n}X_{n,s})ds + \sigma_n \int_0^t \sqrt{X_{n-1,s}X_{n,s}}dW_{n,s}
\end{aligned}$$

Both classes will meet the conditions we will later impose on $\mu_i(x)$, $a_{ij}(x)$ and in both cases the metric which corresponds to the elliptic symbol $g_{ij}(x) = (a_{ij}(x))^{-1}$ is not geodesically complete towards the 0-boundary of G , so standard heat kernel estimates do not apply directly.

From pointwise positivity of the elliptic symbol $(a_{ij}(x)) > 0$ Hörmanders criterion implies the existence of a smooth transitional density $\rho(t, X_0, y)$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. The adjoint operator of L with respect to euclidean metric is given by

$$L^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(y)\partial_i\partial_j - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(y)\partial_j + c(y)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b_j(y) &= \mu_j(y) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i a_{ij}(y) \\
c(y) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij}(y) - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j \mu_j(y)
\end{aligned}$$

In the following we denote by $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ a partial derivative in the state variables and $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. The transition density fulfills Kolmogorovs equation

$$\partial_t \rho = L_y^*(\rho)$$

For proving estimates of the transition density we impose the following conditions:

(1) Ergodicity of the process X_t is usually ensured by means of a barrier function. So let $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ be a smooth function with

$$\lim_{x_j \rightarrow 0} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 = \lim_{x_j \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$L^*(\psi)(x) < -\psi(x)$$

outside a compact set $K \subset\subset G$. This is usually required for a barrier function to reflect a mean reversion property of the generator.

(2) We need a further technical condition: There is a compact cube $K \subset\subset G$ such that for all $y \in G \setminus K$

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \mu_i - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i (a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \leq 0$$

(3) Condition (1) reads for $y \in G \setminus K$

$$\sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \psi - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\mu_j - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i a_{ij} \right) \cdot \partial_j \psi + \left(\sum_{i,j}^n \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j \mu_j \right) \cdot \psi \leq -\psi$$

Condition (2) yields for $y \in G \setminus K$

$$-2 \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \psi + \frac{2}{\psi} \cdot \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} \partial_i \psi \partial_j \psi - 2 \sum_{i,j}^n \partial_i a_{ij} \partial_j \psi + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j \mu_j - \sum_{i,j}^n \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} \right) \cdot \psi \leq 0$$

Adding both inequalities we get outside a compact set $K \subset\subset G$

$$-\sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \psi + \frac{2}{\psi} \cdot \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} \partial_i \psi \partial_j \psi - \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \partial_j \psi \leq -\psi$$

But this is the same as

$$L\left(\frac{1}{\psi}\right) \leq -\frac{1}{\psi} + C \text{ on all of } G$$

So if we define a function

$$F(t, X_0) = E\left(\frac{1}{\psi(X_t)} | X_0\right)$$

(assuming for a moment that it exists) we get from Ito lemma

$$F(t, X_0) = \frac{1}{\psi(X_0)} + \int_0^t E\left(L\left(\frac{1}{\psi(X_s)}\right) | X_0\right) ds$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = E\left(L\left(\frac{1}{\psi(X_t)}\right) | X_0\right) \leq -F(t, X_0) + C$$

Now apply Gronwall inequality to get

$$F(t, X_0) \leq e^{-t} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\psi(X_0)} + \int_0^t e^s C_R ds \right) < e^{-t} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi(X_0)} + C$$

which shows that $F(t, X_0)$ is uniformly bounded for all times $t \geq 0$ (dependent on X_0). Using the stopped version of Ito (called Dynkin lemma) one can now show that $F(t, X_0)$ exists and is (depending on X_0) uniformly bounded in t .

In fact we will also need that expressions like

$$E\left(\frac{1}{\psi(X_t)} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij}(X_t) \left(1 + \frac{1}{X_{it}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{X_{jt}}\right)\right) | X_0\right) < C_1$$

are t -uniformly bounded which means that conditions (1),(2) should additionally be fulfilled by $\tilde{\psi}(x) = x_i x_j \psi(x)$, so the asymptotics of $\psi(x)$ towards the 0-boundaries of G has to be chosen some levels below optimal parameters. In the following we will refer to this as assumption (3).

For the class of affine processes one can choose

$$\psi(x) = x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \dots x_n^{\beta_n} \cdot e^{-\gamma_1 x_1 - \dots - \gamma_n x_n}$$

with suitable positive parameters $\beta_j, \gamma_j > 0$ if $2\mu_{j,0} > \sigma_j^2$ as a barrier function. For the second example a barrier function is given by

$$\psi(x) = x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \dots x_n^{\beta_n} \cdot e^{-\phi(x)}$$

with

$$\phi(x) = \left(1 + \gamma_n x_n + \gamma_{n-1} x_{n-1}^2 + \gamma_{n-2} x_{n-2}^4 + \dots + x_1^{2^{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}}$$

First we assume that the initial values X_0 of the process X_t are distributed by a smooth function $\tilde{\rho}_0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ which satisfies the asymptotics

$$0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq C_1 \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

and furthermore

$$\int_G \frac{\tilde{\rho}_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} dx \leq C_1$$

Let

$$\tilde{\rho}(t, y_1, \dots, y_n) = \int_G \tilde{\rho}_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho(t, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) dx$$

be the corresponding smooth transition density.

Theorem 1 *There is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that for all $t \geq 0$ and all $y \in G$*

$$0 \leq \tilde{\rho}(t, y) \leq C_2 \cdot \psi(y)$$

Remark: The assumption on the smooth initial distribution will be removed later on and we get an estimate

$$0 \leq \rho(t, x_0, y) \leq C_2(x_0) \cdot \psi(y) \text{ for all } t \geq 1$$

Proof: The Kolmogorov equation for ρ

$$\partial_t \rho = L^*(\rho)$$

translates due to linearity to

$$\partial_t \tilde{\rho} = L^*(\tilde{\rho})$$

with initial values $\tilde{\rho}(0, y) = \tilde{\rho}_0(y)$. Let

$$h = h(t, y) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}(t, y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\psi(y_1, \dots, y_n)}$$

which is a smooth function and obeys

$$\partial_t h = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j h - \sum_i \left(b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi \right) \partial_i h + \frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \cdot h$$

The time-uniform estimate

$$E \left(\frac{1}{\psi(X_t)} | X_0 \right) < e^{-t} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi(X_0)} + C$$

translates to

$$\int_G h(t, y) dy < C_1$$

with a uniform constant $C_1 > 0$ independent of t, T .

We want to apply Moser iteration to get time-independent estimates of higher L^m -norms of h . For a constant $C > 1$ let $h_C(t, y) = \min(C, h(t, y))$ and we first consider a fixed time intervall $t \in [0, T]$. By choosing C sufficiently large we may assume from the beginning that $h_C(t, y) = h(t, y)$ for all $y \in K$ ($K \subset\subset G$ from condition (3)), all $t \in [0, T]$ and furthermore that $h_C(0, y) = h(0, y)$ for all $y \in G$ because of the initial condition $\tilde{\rho}(0, y) \leq C_1 \psi(y)$.

For $R > 2$ (R is here a different parameter than in condition (1)) let $\eta_R : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function which has compact support and fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R(y) &= 1 \text{ for } \frac{1}{R} \leq y \leq R \text{ and } \eta_R(y) = 0 \text{ for } y < \frac{1}{2R} \text{ or } 2R < y \\ \left| \frac{d\eta_R}{dy}(y) \right| &\leq 4R \text{ for } \frac{1}{2R} < y < \frac{1}{R} \text{ and } \left| \frac{d\eta_R}{dy}(y) \right| \leq \frac{4}{R} \text{ for } R < y < 2R \\ \left| \frac{d^2\eta_R}{dy^2}(y) \right| &\leq 8R^2 \text{ for } \frac{1}{2R} < y < \frac{1}{R} \text{ and } \left| \frac{d^2\eta_R}{dy^2}(y) \right| \leq \frac{8}{R} \text{ for } R < y < 2R \end{aligned}$$

By abuse of notation we set $\eta_R(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \eta_R(y_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \eta_R(y_n)$. We multiply the linear equation for h above by $\eta_R(y) \cdot h_C^m(t, y)$ and integrate over G . Because $\eta_R(y)$ has compact support in G we may perform partial integration

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_G a_{ij}(y)(\partial_i \partial_j h)(t, y) \cdot h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy = \\
& -m \int_G a_{ij}(y) \eta_R(y) (\partial_j h)(t, y) (\partial_i h_C)(t, y) h_C^{m-1}(t, y) dy - \\
& \int_G (\partial_j h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \partial_i (a_{ij} \eta_R)(y) dy = \\
& -m \int_G a_{ij}(y) \eta_R(y) (\partial_j h_C)(t, y) (\partial_i h_C)(t, y) h_C^{m-1}(t, y) dy + \\
& m \int_G h(t, y) (\partial_j h_C)(t, y) h_C^{m-1}(t, y) \partial_i (a_{ij} \eta_R)(y) dy + \\
& \int_G h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \partial_i \partial_j (a_{ij} \eta_R)(y) dy = \\
& = -\frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \int_G a_{ij}(y) \eta_R(y) (\partial_j h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) dy + \\
& m \int_G (\partial_j h_C)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \partial_i (a_{ij} \eta_R)(y) dy + \\
& \int_G h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \partial_i \partial_j (a_{ij} \eta_R)(y) dy = \\
& -\frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \int_G a_{ij}(y) \eta_R(y) (\partial_j h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) dy + \\
& \int_G h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y) \right) \partial_i \partial_j (a_{ij} \eta_R)(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_G \left(b_i(y) - 2 \sum_j a_{ij}(y) \partial_j \log \psi(y) \right) (\partial_i h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy = \\
& \int_G \partial_i \left(\eta_R \left(b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi \right) \right) (y) h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y) \right) dy
\end{aligned}$$

So we come up with

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_G (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy = \\
& -\frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) \eta_R(y) (\partial_j h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) dy + \\
& \int_G h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y) \right) \cdot \\
& \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j (a_{ij} \eta_R) + \sum_i \partial_i (\eta_R (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi)) \right) (y) dy + \\
& \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \right) (y) h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

We collect all terms involving derivatives of η_R of first or second order in a summand $\varepsilon_{R,C,m,t}$, integrate over time and get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_G (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy dt + \frac{1}{m+1} \int_G h^{m+1}(0, y) \eta_R(y) dy = \\
& -\frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \int_0^T \int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) (\partial_j h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy dt + \\
& \int_0^T \int_G h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y) \right) \eta_R(y) \cdot \\
& \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \right) (y) dy dt + \\
& \int_0^T \varepsilon_{R,C,m,t} dt + \int_0^T \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \right) (y) h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy dt + \\
& \frac{1}{m+1} \int_G h^{m+1}(0, y) \eta_R(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that for fixed $C \gg 1$ we have uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{R,C,m,t} = 0$$

Consider for example ($i \neq j$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_G h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y) \right) a_{ij}(y) (\partial_i \partial_j \eta_R)(y) dy \right| < \\
& 16C^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-2}} \int_{y_i, y_j \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [\frac{1}{R}, R]} h(t, y) |a_{ij}(y)| \left(1 + \frac{1}{y_i} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{y_j} \right) dy
\end{aligned}$$

Now according to assumption (3) we have

$$\int_G h(t, y) |a_{ij}(y)| \left(1 + \frac{1}{y_i}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{y_j}\right) dy < C_1 < \infty$$

and so

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} 16C^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-2}} \int_{y_i, y_j \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus [\frac{1}{R}, R]} h(t, y) |a_{ij}(y)| \left(1 + \frac{1}{y_i}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{y_j}\right) dy = 0$$

So we conclude

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{R, C, m, t} = 0 = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \varepsilon_{R, C, m, t} dt$$

Besides the functions

$$R \mapsto -\frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) (\partial_j h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy$$

$$R \mapsto \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi)\right)(y) h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dy$$

are decreasing for $R > R_0$ as $\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) < -1$ outside a fixed compact cube. According to assumption (2) we had

$$\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j (a_{ij}) + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \leq 0$$

outside of K and so

$$R \mapsto \int_G h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y)\right) \eta_R(y) \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j (a_{ij}) + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi)\right)(y) dy$$

is decreasing as well for $R > R_0$. Because of

$$\int_G h(t, y) dy < C_1 \text{ uniformly in } t$$

and $0 \leq h(0, y) < C_1$ by construction we have

$$R \mapsto \frac{1}{m+1} \int_G h^{m+1}(0, y) \eta_R(y) dy$$

is increasing but bounded above by

$$\frac{1}{m+1} \int_G h^{m+1}(0, y) \eta_R(y) dy \leq \frac{1}{m+1} C_1^m \int_G h(0, y) dy \leq \frac{1}{m+1} C_1^{m+1}$$

In the next step we prove that for every $y \in G$

$$(R, C) \mapsto \eta_R(y) \cdot \left(\int_0^T (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dt + \frac{1}{m+1} h^{m+1}(0, y) \right)$$

is an increasing function of both arguments. We know that $h(0, y) = h_C(0, y)$ for all $y \in G$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) \eta_R(y) dt &= h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - h(0, y) h_C^m(0, y) - \\ &= m \int_0^T h(t, y) \partial_t h_C(t, y) h_C^{m-1}(t, y) dt = \\ &= h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - h^{m+1}(0, y) - m \int_0^T \partial_t h_C(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dt = \\ &= h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - h^{m+1}(0, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} (h_C^{m+1}(T, y) - h_C^{m+1}(0, y)) = \\ &= h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C^{m+1}(T, y) - \frac{1}{m+1} h^{m+1}(0, y) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\int_0^T (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dt + \frac{1}{m+1} h^{m+1}(0, y) = h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C^{m+1}(T, y) \geq 0$$

So

$$R \mapsto \eta_R(y) \cdot \left(\int_0^T (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dt + \frac{1}{m+1} h^{m+1}(0, y) \right)$$

is increasing. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C^{m+1}(T, y) &= h(T, y) C^m - \frac{m}{m+1} C^{m+1} \text{ if } h(T, y) > C \\ h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C^{m+1}(T, y) &= \frac{1}{m+1} h^{m+1}(T, y) \text{ if } h(T, y) \leq C \end{aligned}$$

and both expressions on the right side are nondecreasing functions of C , so

$$C \mapsto \eta_R(y) \cdot \left(\int_0^T (\partial_t h)(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dt + \frac{1}{m+1} h^{m+1}(0, y) \right)$$

is nondecreasing.

Now going back to our integrated equation over time and space we see that the left side is increasing in R whereas the right side contains either summands decreasing in R or summands converging for $R \rightarrow \infty$. So we may pass to the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \left(h(T, y) h_C^m(T, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C^{m+1}(T, y) \right) dy = \\ & - \frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \int_0^T \int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) (\partial_j h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h_C^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) dy dt + \\ & \int_0^T \int_G h_C^m(t, y) \left(h(t, y) - \frac{m}{m+1} h_C(t, y) \right) \cdot \\ & \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \right) (y) dy dt + \\ & \int_0^T \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \right) (y) h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dy dt + \\ & \frac{1}{m+1} \int_G h^{m+1}(0, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

Now by assumption (1) there is a compact cube $K \subset \tilde{K} \subset\subset G$ such that for $y \in G \setminus \tilde{K}$

$$\frac{1}{\psi(y)} L^*(\psi)(y) < -1$$

This implies

$$C \mapsto \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \right) (y) h(t, y) h_C^m(t, y) dy$$

is decreasing in C for all sufficiently large C .

So we see that the right side of the above equation is decreasing in C whereas

the left side is increasing in C . So we may pass to the limit $C \rightarrow \infty$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G h^{m+1}(T, y) dy &= -\frac{4m}{m+1} \int_0^T \int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) (\partial_j h^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) dy dt + \\ &\int_0^T \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \right) (y) dy dt + \\ &(m+1) \int_0^T \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \right) (y) h^{m+1}(t, y) dy dt + \int_G h^{m+1}(0, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

As this holds for all T we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy &= -\frac{4m}{m+1} \int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) (\partial_j h^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) (\partial_i h^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(t, y) dy + \\ &\int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) \cdot \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \right) (y) dy + \\ &(m+1) \int_G \left(\frac{1}{\psi} L^*(\psi) \right) (y) h^{m+1}(t, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

Now $(a_{ij}(y)) > 0$ is positive definite in every point and so

$$\int_G \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(y) (\partial_j h^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(y) (\partial_i h^{\frac{m+1}{2}})(y) dy \geq \delta_0 \int_{\tilde{K}} \left\| \nabla \left(h^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \right) \right\|^2 (y) dy$$

Furthermore

$$\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j a_{ij} + \sum_i \partial_i (b_i - 2 \sum_j a_{ij} \partial_j \log \psi) \leq 0 \text{ on } G \setminus \tilde{K}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy &\leq -\delta_0 \int_{\tilde{K}} \left\| \nabla \left(h^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \right) \right\|^2 (y) dy + \\ &\tilde{C}_1 (m+1) \int_{\tilde{K}} h^{m+1}(t, y) dy - (m+1) \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{C}_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of T which can be explicitly calculated from the coefficients of the generator. In the following we replace \tilde{K} by K

and \tilde{C}_1 by C_1 for abbreviation.

Next we use Poincare-inequality to estimate the L^{m+1} -norm over K by the corresponding gradient norm. Let $K_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unit cube. Then there is a constant $C_n > 0$ such that for any C^1 -function $f : K_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{K_1} \left(f - \int_{K_1} f \right)^2 \leq C_n \int_{K_1} \|\nabla f\|^2$$

Let K_r be a cube with length r , then by rescaling we get for a C^1 -function $f : K_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{K_r} \left(f - \frac{1}{\text{vol}(K_r)} \int_{K_r} f \right)^2 \leq C_n r^2 \int_{K_r} \|\nabla f\|^2$$

respectively

$$\int_{K_r} f^2 \leq C_n r^2 \int_{K_r} \|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{1}{r^n} \left(\int_{K_r} f \right)^2$$

We decompose our compact cube $K \subset\subset G$ into $N = N(r)$ small cubes $K_{r,j}$ with length $r > 0$ and find for a nonnegative C^1 -function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K f^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{K_{r,j}} f^2 \leq C_n r^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{K_{r,j}} \|\nabla f\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{r^n} \left(\int_{K_{r,j}} f \right)^2 \leq \\ &C_n r^2 \int_K \|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{1}{r^n} \left(\int_K f \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose r such that $C_n r^2 = \frac{\delta_0}{C_1(m+1)}$ and $f(y) = h^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(t, y)$ we can use this to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy &\leq -(m+1) \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy + \\ C_1 \left(\frac{C_1 C_n}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} (m+1)^{1+\frac{n}{2}} &\left(\int_K h^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(t, y) dy \right)^2 \leq \\ &-(m+1) \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy + \\ C_1 \left(\frac{C_1 C_n}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} (m+1)^{1+\frac{n}{2}} &\left(\int_G h^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(t, y) dy \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

So if we define for a moment

$$F(t) = \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy \text{ and } G(t) = C_1 \left(\frac{C_1 C_n}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} (m+1)^{1+\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int_G h^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(t, y) dy \right)^2$$

we have

$$\frac{dF}{dt} \leq -(m+1)F(t) + G(t)$$

From this we infer by Gronwall

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &\leq e^{-(m+1)t} \left(F(0) + \int_0^t e^{(m+1)s} G(s) ds \right) \leq \\ &e^{-(m+1)t} F(0) + \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} G(t) \right) \frac{1}{m+1} (1 - e^{-(m+1)t}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} F(t) \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} G(t) + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(e^{-(m+1)t} \left(F(0) - \frac{1}{m+1} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} G(t) \right) \right)$$

We may assume that the second term on the right is negative because otherwise we would have trivial bounds of the L^m -norms of $h(t, y)$ only depending on the smooth bounded initial distribution. So we may estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_G h^{m+1}(t, y) dy \leq C_1^2 \left(\frac{C_1^2 C_n}{2\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} (m+1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\int_G h^{\frac{m+1}{2}}(t, y) dy \right)^2$$

Now we use that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_G h(t, y) dy \leq C_1$$

is uniformly bounded independent of T . Apply Moser iteration to end up with

$$\left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_G h^{2^k}(t, y) dy \right)^{2^{-k}} \leq C_1 \prod_{j=1}^k \left(C_1 \left(\frac{C_1 C_n}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{jn}{2}} \right)^{2^{-j}} < C_2$$

and so especially

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in G} h(t, y) \leq C_2$$

is bounded independent of $T > 0$. This proves the Theorem for a smooth, fast decaying initial distribution.

In the next step we want to get rid of the assumption of a smooth initial distribution:

Theorem 2 *There is a constant $C_2(x_0) > 0$ depending only on the initial starting point x_0 such that for all $t \geq 1$ and all $y \in G$*

$$0 \leq \rho(t, x_0, y) \leq C_2(x_0) \cdot \psi(y)$$

Proof: Let $K \subset\subset G$ be a compact cube such that $\frac{1}{\psi}L^*(\psi) \leq -1$ on $G \setminus K$. By enlarging K if necessary we can assume that $B_2(x_0) \subset K$. Let $\tilde{K} = K \setminus B_1(x_0)$ and consider a family of smooth initial distribution $\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon(t=0, y)$ with support in $B_1(x_0)$ and converging to a Dirac distribution in x_0 for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Especially we have

$$\int_G \tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon(t=0, y) dy = 1 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

Let $\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon(t, y)$ be the corresponding solution of the parabolic Kolmogorov-equation. As before we define

$$0 \leq h_\varepsilon(t, y) = \frac{\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon(t, y)}{\psi(y)}$$

They all solve the same parabolic PDE

$$\partial_t h_\varepsilon = \widehat{L}(h_\varepsilon) + c(y) \cdot h_\varepsilon$$

where \widehat{L} is a linear elliptic operator (degenerate towards the boundary ∂G) only involving second and first derivatives and we have $c(y) \leq -1$ on $G \setminus K$. We know

$$0 < \int_G h_\varepsilon(t, y) dy \leq C_1$$

with a uniform constant $C_1 > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. This implies especially

$$\inf_{y \in \tilde{K}} h_\varepsilon(t, y) \leq C_1$$

uniform for all $t \geq 0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. Because \widehat{L} is uniformly elliptic on K the parabolic Harnack-inequality (see Appendix) together with the initial conditions $h_\varepsilon(0, y) = 0$ on \widetilde{K} implies

$$\sup_{y \in \widetilde{K}} h_\varepsilon(t, y) \leq C_2 \cdot \inf_{y \in \widetilde{K}} h_\varepsilon(2, y) \leq C_1 C_2$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and a uniform constant $C_2 > 0$ only depending on $(a_{ij}(y))$, $b_j(x)$, $c(x)$ and not depending on $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now we choose for comparison another initial density $\widehat{\rho}_0(y) = C_3 \psi^2(y) > 0$ so that

$$\int_G \frac{\widehat{\rho}_0(y)}{\psi(y)} dy < C_1 C_3 \text{ and } \sup_{y \in G} \frac{\widehat{\rho}_0(y)}{\psi(y)} < C_1 C_3$$

Let $\widehat{\rho}(t, y)$ be the solution of the Kolmogorov-equation with $\widehat{\rho}(0, y) = \widehat{\rho}_0(y)$ and let $\widehat{h}(t, y) = \widehat{\rho}(t, y)/\psi(y)$. Then by Harnack-inequality we have

$$\inf_{y \in K, 0 \leq t \leq 1} \widehat{h}(t, y) > \delta_3 C_3 > 0$$

Choose $C_3 > 0$ so large that $\delta_3 C_3 > C_1 C_2$. We note that C_3 is still independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we claim that

$$0 \leq h_\varepsilon(t, y) \leq \widehat{h}(t, y)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$, for all $y \in G \setminus K$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

By construction we have $(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(0, y) < 0$ for all $y \in G \setminus K$ and $(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y) < 0$ for all $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \partial K$. Furthermore by Theorem 1 we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \partial G} (h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y) = 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and

$$\partial_t (h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h}) = \widehat{L}(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h}) + c(y) \cdot (h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})$$

Assume by contradiction that for some $0 < t \leq 1$ there are $y \in G \setminus K$ with $(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y) > 0$. The set of those t is open in $[0, 1]$ and for those t the map $y \in G \setminus K \mapsto (h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y)$ has a strictly positive maximum in a point $y_t \in G \setminus K$. As $(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(0, y) < 0$ and $(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y_t) > 0$ there must be points

(t, y_t) with $\frac{d}{dt}(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y_t) > 0$. But the parabolic Kolmogorov-equation implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}(h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y_t) \leq c(y_t) \cdot (h_\varepsilon - \widehat{h})(t, y_t) < 0$$

a contradiction and so we have

$$0 \leq h_\varepsilon(t, y) \leq \widehat{h}(t, y) < C_5 \psi(y)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$, all $y \in G \setminus K$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

For estimation inside of K and especially on $B_1(x_0)$ one uses a smooth bumping function $\eta : B_2(x_0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and gets from the parabolic PDE

$$\partial_t \int_K \eta^{2m} h_\varepsilon^{2m} \leq -\delta_1 \int_K |\nabla(\eta^m h_\varepsilon^m)|^2 + 2mC_1 \int_K h_\varepsilon^{2m}$$

The parabolic Harnack-inequality on $\widetilde{K} = K \setminus B_1(x_0)$ together with the uniform L^1 -bounds allows us to estimate $h_\varepsilon(t, y) < C_0$ for all $y \in \widetilde{K}$, all $t \in [0, 1]$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. We may assume that

$$\int_K \eta^m h_\varepsilon^m \geq C_0^m$$

because otherwise we would have trivial bounds of the L^m -norm on $B_1(x_0)$. This implies

$$\int_K h_\varepsilon^m \leq C_2 \int_K \eta^m h_\varepsilon^m$$

Furthermore by Nash-inequality with $\beta = \frac{2}{n} > 0$

$$\left(\int_K \eta^{2m} h_\varepsilon^{2m} \right)^{1+\beta} \leq C_3 \left(\int_K |\nabla(\eta^m h_\varepsilon^m)|^2 \right) \cdot \left(\int_K \eta^m h_\varepsilon^m \right)^{2\beta}$$

So we get

$$\partial_t \int_K \eta^{2m} h_\varepsilon^{2m} \leq -\frac{\delta_1}{C_3} \left(\int_K \eta^{2m} h_\varepsilon^{2m} \right)^{1+\beta} \cdot \left(\int_K \eta^m h_\varepsilon^m \right)^{-2\beta} + 2mC_1C_2 \int_K \eta^{2m} h_\varepsilon^{2m}$$

So if we define

$$g_{m,\varepsilon}(t) = e^{-mC_1C_2t} \cdot \int_K \eta^m(y) h_\varepsilon^m(t, y) dy > 0$$

we have a differential inequality

$$\frac{dg_{2m,\varepsilon}}{dt} \leq -\frac{\delta_1}{C_3} g_{m,\varepsilon}^{-2\beta} \cdot g_{2m,\varepsilon}^{1+\beta}$$

from which we conclude

$$(g_{2m,\varepsilon}(t))^{-\beta} \geq (g_{2m,\varepsilon}(0))^{-\beta} + \frac{\delta_1\beta}{C_3} \int_0^t (g_{m,\varepsilon}(s))^{-2\beta} ds$$

Setting $m = 2^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and using $g_{1,\varepsilon}(t) \leq C_1$ uniformly bounded one can prove by induction that

$$(g_{m,\varepsilon}(t))^{-\beta} \geq (g_{m,\varepsilon}(0))^{-\beta} + 2^{-N_k} \left(\frac{\delta_1\beta}{C_3}\right)^{m-1} t^{m-1}$$

with

$$N_k = 2^k \cdot \left(\sum_{j=2}^k j \cdot 2^{-j} \right)$$

Now letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\sup_{y \in B_1(x_0)} h_\varepsilon(t, y) < 2^{\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \cdot 2^{-j}} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta_1\beta}{C_3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \cdot e^{C_1 C_2 t}$$

This proves Theorem 2 as in $t = 1$ we have a uniformly bounded transition density decaying fast towards ∂G for which we can apply Theorem 1 for all $t \geq 1$.

Authors address:

Bert Koehler, Debeka Hauptverwaltung, Ferdinand-Sauerbruch-Str. 18, 56058 Koblenz, Germany, Email: Bert.Koehler@debeka.de

Volker Krafft, Debeka Hauptverwaltung, Ferdinand-Sauerbruch-Str. 18, 56058 Koblenz, Germany, Email: Volker.Krafft@debeka.de

References

- (1) L.Hörmander, Hypoelliptic second order differential equations, Acta Math. 119, 147-171, 1967
- (2) L.C.Evans, Partial Differential Equations, AMS 1998
- (3) E.B.Fabes and D.W.Stroock, A new Proof of Moser Parabolic Harnack Inequality via the old ideas of Nash, Arch. Ratl. Mech. 1986
- (4) D.Gilbarg and N.Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer