

# An small-time approximation of Girsanov's exponential and short-time transition density estimations.

Ramiro Scorolli\*

June 24, 2021

## Abstract

A small time approximation for the Girsanov's exponential is presented. We prove that the latter is well described over short time intervals by the solution of a deterministic transport-like partial differential equation. The rate of convergence of the approximation is of order one in the length of the interval. Furthermore we show that our approximation can be used to obtain an estimation of the short-time transition density of a Langevin equation representing the dynamics of a Brownian particle moving under the influence of an external, non-linear force. Using our approach is equivalent to consider a random ordinary differential equation, where the dynamics of the particle is deterministic and given by the aforementioned force and the stochasticity enters through the initial condition. The result is in accordance with those obtained by discretization methods such as Euler-Mayurama, implicit Euler and by approximating the associated Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation.

Key words and phrases: Stochastic differential equations, Wong-Zakai approximation, Wick product, Fokker-Planck equation, Girsanov Theorem

AMS 2000 classification: 60H10; 60H30; 60H05.

---

\*Dipartimento di Scienze Statistiche Paolo Fortunati, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy. **e-mail:** ramiro.scorolli2@unibo.it

# 1 Introduction and statement of the main results.

In this article we will derive a short-time approximation for the solution of the stochastic Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} dM_t = f(\alpha + B_t)M_t dB_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ M_0 = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here:

- $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying assumption 1.1.
- $\{B_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a standard  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued Brownian motion defined on some complete filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, P)$  where  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  denotes an admissible filtration.
- $\alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued,  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable with law given by  $\mu$ .

An straightforward application of Itô formula [1] shows that the solution of (1.1) is given by the following stochastic process

$$M_t := \exp \left\{ \int_0^t f(\alpha + B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |f(\alpha + B_s)|^2 ds \right\}, \quad t \in [0, T]; \quad (1.2)$$

the latter will be referred to as *Girsanov's exponential*.

All throughout this article we will work under the following assumption:

## Assumption 1.1.

- $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- $f, f', f''$  are bounded;
- there exists a positive constant  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $f(x) > \epsilon, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If the latter holds Girsanov's theorem (e.g. [2][1]) states that the random variable  $\omega \mapsto M_T(\omega)$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a measure  $Q$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with respect to  $P$  such that the stochastic process

$$\begin{aligned} W : [0, T] \times \Omega &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (t, \omega) &\mapsto B_t(\omega) - \int_0^t f(\alpha + B_s(\omega)) ds \end{aligned}$$

is a  $Q$ -Brownian motion. It is important to stress out the fact that assumption 1.1 will be needed in order to prove our main result and that Girsanov's theorem hold under much weaker conditions regarding  $f$ , in particular it's sufficient to assume that  $f$  is bounded.

Our approach shares has many similarities with those used in [3] [4] and [5] although the presence of a random diffusion coefficient force us to introduce certain modifications.

The main novelty is that of connecting equation (1.1) with a deterministic transport-like partial differential equation that, just as the original equation, defines a Radon-Nikodym derivative. The latter will be done by exploiting the connection between the Wick product and Itô integration and the fact that under certain conditions the Wick product behaves like a differential operator.

Later on we will show that this approximation can be used in conjunction with Girsanov's theorem in order to describe the short-time transition density of an associated diffusion process that describes the behavior of a Brownian particle moving under the influence of an external force.

We now present our main theorem

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let assumption 1.1 be in force, then for  $T > 0$  let*

$$[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \ni (t, x) \mapsto u(t, x)$$

*be the classical solution of the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -\partial_x [f(\alpha + x)u] + \frac{f(\alpha+x)ux}{T}, & t \in ]0, T] \\ u(0, x) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

*Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_T(\omega) := u(T, B_T(\omega)) = \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{dP}$$

*i.e.  $\mathcal{M}_T$  it is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a measure  $\mathcal{Q}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  with respect to  $P$ .*

*Furthermore for any  $p \geq 1$  it holds that*

$$\|M_T - \mathcal{M}_T\|_p \leq C \cdot T. \quad (1.4)$$

*where  $\|\bullet\|_p$  denotes the  $\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega)$ -norm and  $C$  is a suitable constant depending on  $p$  and the other parameters of the model.*

The proof will be postponed to the last section.

In the following remark we will explain the reasoning that lead us consider a deterministic Cauchy problem in order to approximate the Girsanov's exponential.

**Remark 1.3.** *We start by considering the stochastic differential equation (SDE) that defines the Girsanov's exponential, i.e.*

$$\begin{cases} dM_t = f(\alpha + B_t)M_t dB_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ M_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that the Wick product is somehow implicit in the Itô integration one could rewrite equation (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX_t}{dt} = f(B_t)X_t \diamond \frac{dB_t}{dt}, \\ X_0 = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\diamond$  denotes the Wick product (e.g. [6]) and  $\frac{dB_t}{dt}$  denotes the (distributional) time-derivative of the Brownian motion, see for instance [7][8][9] for a complete account on the connection between Wick product, white noise and Itô integration.

A natural approximation for  $\frac{dB_t}{dt}$  is given by  $\frac{B_T}{T}$  which amounts to consider the Wong-Zakai approximation [10] with the coarser partition of the interval  $[0, T]$ . Furthermore, following the same logic (in the spirit of the Backward-Euler scheme) we will replace the Brownian motion at time  $t$  with the Brownian motion evaluated at the end of the interval, in remark 2.5 we will discuss what would happens if instead we replace  $B_t$  with  $B_0 = 0$  (in the spirit of the Euler-Mayurama method).

With this in hand we can introduce the following “approximating” equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_t}{dt} = [f(\alpha + B_T) \cdot \mathcal{M}_t] \diamond \left(\frac{B_T}{T}\right), t \in ]0, T[ \\ \mathcal{M}_0 = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Under certain conditions the Wick product between a random variable  $F$  and an element of the first Wiener chaos  $I(g)$  is given by (e.g. [7],[8])

$$F \diamond I(g) = F \cdot I(g) - D_g F \quad (1.7)$$

where  $D_g$  stands for the directional Malliavin derivative in the direction of  $g(\bullet)$  and  $I(\bullet)$  denotes the Wiener-Itô integral; in other words the Wick product with  $I(g)$  acts as a creation operator (e.g. [11] Theorem 16.36). Since  $B_T = \int_0^T dB_s = I(\mathbb{1}_{[0,T]})$  we can formally write

$$\frac{d\mathcal{M}_t}{dt} = \frac{1}{T} \left\{ [f(\alpha + B_T) \mathcal{M}_t] \cdot B_T - \left\langle D[f(\alpha + B_T) \mathcal{M}_t], \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]} \right\rangle \right\}.$$

If we now set ourselves to find a solution of the form

$$\mathcal{M}(t, \omega) = u(t, B_T(\omega)), \quad t \in ]0, T[$$

for some continuous function  $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be determined, we can use the chain rule for Malliavin derivative which yields:

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x [f(\alpha + x) u] + \frac{f(\alpha + x) u x}{T},$$

where we fixed the chance parameter  $\omega \in \Omega$  and we let  $x \equiv B_T(\omega)$ .

It is important to stress the fact that this calculations are just formal, if we additionally assume that the random variable  $F$  belongs to the Malliavin-Sobolev space  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ ,  $p > 1$  then all the calculations are justified (see for instance [12] [7] [5]).

## 2 Estimations of the short-time transition densities.

Let's consider the following stochastic Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = f(X_t)dt + dB_t, & t \in [0, T] \\ X_0 = \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where again  $f$  satisfies the assumption 1.1 and  $\alpha$  is some  $\mathcal{F}_0$  measurable random variable. This could be seen as Langevin equation representing the dynamics of a Brownian particle influenced by an external force given by  $f(\bullet)$  and where the initial position of the particle is random.

If we let  $f(x) = x$  and  $\alpha \equiv a \in \mathbb{R}$  in such a case the solution is given by the well-known Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. The latter example is the only case, at least known to the author, in which the solution of an equation of the form of (2.1) can be obtained explicitly besides the trivial case where  $f(\bullet) = 0$  in which case the resulting process is a Brownian motion.

**Remark 2.1.** *The fact that we are using a unit diffusion coefficient in (2.1) by no means entails a loss of generality in our discussion. Consider a general diffusion given by*

$$dY_t = b(Y_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t)dB_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

*Under mild assumptions on  $b$  and  $\sigma$  we are able to transform the latter into a diffusion like (2.1). The latter is sometimes referred to as Lamperti's Transformation [13][14]. Of course in our case, we would still have to check that the new drift coefficient satisfies the assumption 1.1.*

In most cases we are more interested in the law of  $X_t$  for any arbitrary  $t \in [0, T]$  rather than the trajectory itself since the former gives a much more complete summary of the dynamics in play. In the context of Markovian stochastic processes (such as Itô diffusions [1]) a complete description of the law of a given process can be obtained by means of the transition density and the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation [15] [16].

For this reason in the following we will set ourselves to find approximations of the short-time transition density of the diffusion given by (2.1).

In order to calculate the short-time transition density function  $p(T, x|0, x')$  of an Itô diffusion one in general can proceed in two different ways. The first is to work on the stochastic differential equation, i.e. to employ approximation methods such like the Euler-Maruyama scheme and the Backward-Euler scheme [17] on a single interval that would become arbitrarily small. In both cases one is naturally led to consider transformations of  $B_T$ , and from there it's easy to obtain a density function by inverting this transformation (see for instance [18]).

On the other hand one could work instead with the associated Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation. In [19] [16] the authors show how to obtain approximations of the transition

probability by performing a discretization of the time interval. These estimations of the transition probabilities are then used to construct path integrals solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation. It's important to notice that in general the approximations of the transition probability obtained by the aforementioned methods are not actually densities, in particular they do not integrate to 1.

We propose a third alternative that makes use of Girsanov's theorem and the approximation obtained in the previous section.

For the sake of completeness the estimations obtained using the aforementioned approaches will be presented:

## 2.1 Backward-Euler scheme

For a single interval the approximation is given by

$$X_T = x' + f(X_T)T + B_T. \quad (2.2)$$

Notice that this describes a transformation  $B_T \mapsto X_T$ , whose inverse is given by

$$B_T = X_T - x' + f(X_T)T.$$

Then the density of the approximated solution is given by (e.g.[20])

$$p(T, x|0, x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[x-x'-f(x)T]^2} \times |1 - f'(x)T|.$$

Using the fact that  $|1 - f'(x)T| \approx e^{-f'(x)T}$  we arrive to the following approximation of the transition density

$$p(T, x|0, x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[x-x'-f(x)T]^2 - f'(x)T}. \quad (2.3)$$

## 2.2 Euler-Maruyama scheme

Using the standard Euler-Maruyama method the approximation is given by

$$X_T = x' + f(x')T + B_T, \quad (2.4)$$

again this is a transformation  $B_T \mapsto X_T$  and the inverse is given by

$$X_T - x' - f(x')T = B_T.$$

Then the approximated density is given by

$$p(T, x|0, x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[x-x'-f(x')T]^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

### 2.3 Using approximated Girsanov's exponential.

It's well known that Girsanov's theorem can be used to construct weak solutions of equations of the form of (2.1) (see for instance [2] or [1]). The basic idea is that the law of the random variable  $X_t(\omega)$  for some fixed time  $t \in [0, T]$  equals the law of  $B_t(\omega)$  under the measure  $dQ = M_T dP$ , where again  $M_T$  denotes the Girsanov's exponential evaluated at the end time.

It's then reasonable to think that if we replace the Girsanov's exponential  $M_T$  with our approximation  $\mathcal{M}_T$  we could obtain an approximation (in law)  $\mathcal{X}_T$  of  $X_T$  as suggested by the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}_P(g(X_T)) - \mathbb{E}_P(g(\mathcal{X}_T))| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}_P(g(\alpha + B_T)M_T) - \mathbb{E}_P(g(\alpha + B_T)\mathcal{M}_T)| \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \|M_T - \mathcal{M}_T\|_1 \\ &\leq CT, \end{aligned}$$

for any bounded Borel function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Again for an arbitrary bounded function  $g$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_P [g(\mathcal{X}_T)] &= \mathbb{E}_P [g(\alpha + B_T) \cdot \mathcal{M}_T] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(a+b) \left( \frac{f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(a+b) - T))}{f(a+b)} \right) \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(a+b) - T) - a]^2} db \mu(da), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $B_T \sim N(0, T)$ ,  $\mu$  denotes the law of the random variable  $\alpha$ , and we wrote  $\mathcal{M}_T$  in explicit form (the reader is referred to section 3 for the definition of the functions involved).

This means that the approximated transition density function is given by

$$\tilde{p}(T, x|0, x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \left( \frac{f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x) - T))}{f(x)} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x) - T) - x']^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

By letting  $y = \Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x) - T)$  (see equations (3.1) and (3.2)) it's straightforward to see that the latter is indeed a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e. is a non-negative function which integrates to 1; this change of variables also entails the following.

**Remark 2.2.** *The function  $\tilde{p}$  is the probability density function of the random variable  $\mathcal{X}_T := \Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x' + B_T) + T)$ . Furthermore notice that  $\{\mathcal{X}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is the solution of the ordinary differential equation:*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathcal{X}_t}{dt} = f(\mathcal{X}_t), & t \in ]0, T] \\ \mathcal{X}_0 = x' + B_T, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{X}_T = x' + B_T + \int_0^T f(\mathcal{X}_s) ds. \quad (2.8)$$

Then our approach is equivalent to solving an ODE (that describes the dynamics of the particle in a deterministic scenario) where the stochasticity enters through the initial condition (such equations are sometimes referred to as “crypto-deterministic” [21]). It’s important to stress out the fact that in certain cases 2.7 can be solved under less restrictive conditions than assumption 1.1, an straightforward example being  $f(x) = x$ . This approach lies somewhere in the middle between solving the actual SDE and using a discrete approximation since we only discretize the “random component” ( cf. (2.8), (2.2) and (2.4)). The latter can also be seen if one notices that (2.6) is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{p}(t, x) = -\partial_x [f(x) \tilde{p}(t, x)], & t \in [0, T] \\ \tilde{p}(0, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{(x-x')^2}{2T}}, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

evaluated at  $t = T$ , i.e. a Liouville equation [22] [23] that describes the evolution of the probability density function of a process  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  that evolves in a deterministic setting (according to the force  $f(\bullet)$ ) and the initial condition is a  $N(x', T)$  random variable.

Equation (2.9) can be compared to the Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equation associated with (2.1):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p(t, x) = -\partial_x [f(x)p(t, x)] + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 p(t, x), & t \in [0, T] \\ p(0, x) = \delta(x - x'). \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $p(t, x)$  is a shorthand for  $p(t, x|0, x')$ .

Notice that the first-order differential operator is the same in both equations, but the second-order operator associated with the heat-semigroup does not appear in (2.9). Still the “gaussianity” enters in the equation through the initial condition.

A solution for (2.10) cannot be obtain in general but a class of equivalent approximations is available (e.g.[19]). Following [16] one starts by considering a small time interval  $[0, T]$  and hence

$$p(T, x|0, x') = [1 + T\mathcal{L}_{FP} + \mathcal{O}(T^2)]\delta(x - x')$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{FP}\bullet = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x)\bullet + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \bullet$ . Using the integral representation of the delta function and integration by parts one arrives to the following approximation

$$p(T, x|0, x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[x - \alpha - f(x)T]^2 - f'(x)T}. \quad (2.11)$$



It's worth to notice that the latter in general does not integrate to 1, i.e. it's not an actual density. Furthermore notice that this approximation is non-unique as stressed out in [16].

We can treat (2.9) in an analogous way:

$$\tilde{p}(T, x|0, x') = [1 - T\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{O}(T^2)]\tilde{p}(0, x), \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}\bullet = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(x)\bullet$ .

The latter yields

$$\tilde{p}(T, x|0, x') = (2\pi T)^{-1/2}e^{-x^2/2T}[1 - f'(x)T + f(x)x + \mathcal{O}(T^2)].$$

Now notice that in [19] the author argue that in order to obtain a “correct” solution one should have complete agreement up to and including terms of the order of  $T$ , same thing happens in [24] where the author adds and subtract arbitrary terms of order  $\mathcal{O}(T^2)$  to the analogous of (2.12).

Using the same logic we can add  $f(x)^2\frac{T^2}{2}$  and neglect the  $\mathcal{O}(T^2)$  inside the square brackets. Then, within the aforementioned level of accuracy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(T, x|0, x') &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2T}} \left[ 1 - \left( f'(x) + \frac{f(x)x}{T} + \frac{f(x)^2T}{2} \right) T \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}}e^{-\frac{1}{2T}[x - f(x)T]^2 - f'(x)T}, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (2.11). These somewhat informal calculations allows us to see that the approximation (2.6) is part of the class of equivalent representations described by [19].

**Remark 2.3.** *The fact that (2.11) can be obtained by making further approximations to (2.6) lead us to believe that ours is more precise, but since the exact solution isn't known we haven't been able to prove this conjecture rigorously. Further research will be done on this particular.*

**Remark 2.4.** *We can use our short-time transition density and Chapman-Kolmogorov equation in order to obtain path integral solutions (e.g. [25] [19] [24]) of (2.10) for any arbitrary time  $T > 0$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} p(T, x|0, x') &= \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N\tau = T}} (2\pi\tau)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x_i) - \tau))}{f(x_i)} \right) dx_i \right] \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2\tau} \sum_{i=1}^N [\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x_i) - \tau) - x_{i-1}]^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_0 \equiv x'$ .

**Remark 2.5.** If in (1.6) instead of replacing the Brownian motion appearing in the diffusion coefficient with  $B_T$  we replace it with  $B_0 = 0$  we obtain a different partial differential equation, namely:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u} = -f(\alpha) \partial_x \tilde{u} + \frac{f(\alpha) \tilde{u} x}{T} \\ \tilde{u}(0, x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Solving this equation and proceeding as explained in 1.3 yields an alternative approximation of the Girsanov's exponential:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_T(\omega) = e^{\frac{-1}{2T} [B_T(\omega)^2 - (B_T(\omega) - f(\alpha)T)^2]}.$$

From there we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_P[g(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_T)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(b+a) e^{\frac{-1}{2T} [b - f(\alpha)T]^2} db \mu(da) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(b) e^{\frac{-1}{2T} [b - a - f(\alpha)T]^2} db \mu(da) \end{aligned}$$

and hence the transition density is given by

$$p(T, x|0, x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T} [x - x' - f(\alpha)T]^2},$$

which is the density that obtained by means of Euler-Mayurama method and coincides with equation 4.55 of [16].

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

All throughout this proof we will denote with  $C$  a constant whose value may change. Our starting point will be the following deterministic Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = -\partial_x [f(\alpha + x)u] + \frac{f(\alpha + x)ux}{T}, \quad t \in ]0, T] \\ u(0, x) = 1, \end{cases}$$

which is related with (1.1) by the heuristic considerations described in remark 1.3.

The transformation  $v(t, x) := f(\alpha + x)u(t, x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2T}}$  allows us to write the latter as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v = -\partial_x [f(\alpha + x)v], \quad t \in ]0, T] \\ v(0, x) = f(\alpha + x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2T}} =: \varphi(x). \end{cases}$$

This equation can be solved by a simple application of the method of characteristics which yields

$$v(t, x) = \varphi(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x) - t)),$$

where  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\Lambda(x) := \int \frac{1}{f(\alpha + x)} dx \quad (3.1)$$

and  $\Lambda^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x)) = x; \quad (3.2)$$

notice that all these functions are well defined under assumption 1.1.

In our case the latter implies that the solution of the original problem is given by

$$u(t, x) = \left( \frac{f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(\alpha + x) - t))}{f(\alpha + x)} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\times e^{-\frac{1}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(\alpha+x)-t)-\alpha)^2 - x^2]}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.4)$$

as desired.

We will now show that if we replace the spatial variable with the Brownian motion evaluated at time  $T$  the resulting random variable  $u(T, B_T)(\omega)$  is a Radon-Nikodym derivative, i.e. it's a.s. positive and has mean 1.

The positivity follows immediately from assumption 1.1 and the positivity of the exponential function. On the other hand using the fact that  $B_T \sim N(0, T)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[u(T, B_T)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(a+b) - T))}{f(a+b)} \right) \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(a+b)-T)-a)^2 - b^2]} e^{-\frac{b^2}{2T}} db \mu(da) \end{aligned}$$

changing variables under the prescription  $y = \Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(a+b) - T)$  yields

$$\mathbb{E}[u(T, B_T)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{(y-a)^2}{2T}} dy \mu(da) = 1.$$

For the sake of simplicity and without loss of generality from now on we will assume that  $\alpha \equiv 0$ , since the general case can be obtained by straightforward modifications.

We start by noticing that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_T &= \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{\ln [f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))] - \ln [f(B_T)]}{T} \right) T \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and then we are left to consider the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_T - M_T\|_p &= \left\| \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{\ln [f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))] - \ln [f(B_T)]}{T} \right) T \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2T} \left[ (\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2 \right] \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \exp \left\{ \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds \right\} \right\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Using the elementary inequality  $|e^X - e^Y| \leq (e^X + e^Y) \cdot |X - Y|$  we have that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_T - M_T\|_p \leq \|(e^{\mathcal{A}} + e^{\mathcal{B}}) \cdot |\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}|\|_p$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \left( \frac{\ln [f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))] - \ln [f(B_T)]}{T} \right) T \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2T} \left[ (\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2 \right], \\ \mathcal{B} &:= \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Hölder and triangular inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_T - M_T\|_p &\leq \|\mathcal{M}_T + M_T\|_{p_1} \|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\|_{p_2} \\ &\leq \left( \|\mathcal{M}_T\|_{p_1} + \|M_T\|_{p_1} \right) \|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\|_{p_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

At this point we will need the following remark

**Remark 3.1.** *Under the assumption 1.1 it holds that for any  $q \geq 1$   $\|\mathcal{M}_T\|_q$  and  $\|M_T\|_q$  are bounded by a constant that does not change as  $T \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* We will start by showing the latter for the Girsanov's exponential.

Notice that

$$|M_T|^q = \exp \left\{ q \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s - \frac{q}{2} \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds \right\},$$

at this point we can define  $g(\bullet) := qf(\bullet)$  and then the latter reads

$$|M_T|^q = \exp \left\{ \int_0^T g(B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2q} \int_0^T |g(B_s)|^2 ds \right\},$$

or which is equivalent

$$|M_T|^q = \exp \left\{ \int_0^T g(B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |g(B_s)|^2 ds \right\} \\ \times \exp \left\{ \frac{q-1}{2q} \int_0^T |g(B_s)|^2 ds \right\}.$$

At this point take the expectation of the expression above and notice that since  $g$  is a bounded function,  $\exp \left\{ \frac{q-1}{2q} \int_0^T |g(B_s)|^2 ds \right\}$  is bounded by some constant that remains unchanged as  $T$  becomes arbitrarily small; the boundedness also implies that the Novikov's condition [2] holds and hence the first exponential has mean equal to 1, this proves the result for  $\|M_T\|_q$ .

We now show the boundedness of

$$\|\mathcal{M}_T\|_q = \left\| \left( \frac{f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))}{f(B_T)} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2]} \right\|_q.$$

Assumption 1.1 implies that the ratio is bounded by a constant that does not depend on  $T$ , then

$$\|\mathcal{M}_T\|_q \leq C \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2]} \right\|_q \\ = C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{q}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x) - T))^2 - x^2]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T} x^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ = \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{q}{2T} [(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(x) - T))^2]} e^{\frac{q-1}{2T} x^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where we used the fact that  $B_T \sim N(0, T)$ .

In order to evaluate the integral above we take a Taylor expansion of the function  $\Lambda^{-1}(\bullet)$  around  $x_0 = \Lambda(x)$  which yields

$$\Lambda^{-1}(x_0 - T) = x - f(x)T + \frac{1}{2}f(\xi)f'(\xi)T^2, \quad (3.6)$$

for some  $\xi \in ]x_0 - T, x_0[$ , clearly such a  $\xi$  depends on  $x$  and to stress this dependence we write  $\xi(x)$ . Then plugging (3.6) into the last equality above yields

$$\|\mathcal{M}_T\|_q \leq \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{q}{2T} [x - f(x)T + \frac{1}{2}f(\xi(x))f'(\xi(x))T^2]^2} e^{\frac{q-1}{2T} x^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (3.7)$$

working out the argument of the exponential function yields

$$= \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{q}{2}[2xf(x)-xf(\xi(x))f'(\xi(x))T-f(x)^2T+f(x)f(\xi(x))f'(\xi(x))T^2-\frac{1}{4}f(\xi(x))^2f'(\xi(x))^2T^3]} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}x^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Now notice that the terms  $-f(x)^2T - \frac{1}{4}f(\xi(x))^2f'(\xi(x))^2T^3$  are non-positive and can be upper-bounded by 0 and that  $f(x)f(\xi(x))f'(\xi(x))T^2$  is bounded and can be majored by some positive constant. We can further bound this expression by taking absolute values as follows

$$\|\mathcal{M}_T\|_q \leq \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{q}{2}[2|x|f(x)+|x|f(\xi(x))|f'(\xi(x))|T]} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}x^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Again, using the boundedness of  $f$  and after reordering we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_T\|_q &\leq \left( \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{K|x|} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}x^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left( C \left( \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{K\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + 1 \right) e^{\frac{K^2T}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left( 2Ce^{K^2/2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the boundedness of the error function notice that the constants  $C$  and  $K$  do not change as  $T$  becomes arbitrarily small.

□

We can now come back to the main proof, using remark 3.1 it would suffices to prove the result for

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\|_{p_2} &= \left\| \left( \frac{\ln [f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))] - \ln [f(B_T)]}{T} \right) T \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2T} \left[ (\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2 \right] \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds \right\|_{p_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Notice that by the Fundamental theorem of calculus and assumption 1.1 one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \frac{\ln [f(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))] - \ln [f(B_T)]}{T} \right) \\
&= -\frac{d}{dx} \ln [f(\Lambda^{-1}(x))] \Big|_{x=\Lambda(B_T)} + \mathcal{O}(T^2) \\
&= -f'(B_T) + \mathcal{O}(T^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now using (3.6) and letting  $x = B_T(\omega)$  yields

$$(\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 = \left[ B_T^2 - 2B_T f(B_T)T + B_T \mathcal{O}(T^2) + \mathcal{O}(T^3) \right]$$

where  $\xi(\omega) \in ]\Lambda(B_T(\omega)) - T, \Lambda(B_T(\omega))]$ .

Then the following equality holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2T} \left[ (\Lambda^{-1}(\Lambda(B_T) - T))^2 - B_T^2 \right] \\
&= f(B_T)B_T - B_T \mathcal{O}(T) - \frac{1}{2}f(B_T)^2T - \mathcal{O}(T^2) \\
&= f(B_T) \diamond B_T + f'(B_T)T - B_T \mathcal{O}(T) - \frac{1}{2}f(B_T)^2T + \mathcal{O}(T^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where we used the fact that  $f(B_T) \cdot B_T = f(B_T) \diamond B_T + \langle Df(B_T), \mathbb{1}_{[0,T]} \rangle$ .

Plugging (3.9) and (3.10) into (3.8) and using the triangular inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\|_{p_2} &\leq \left\| f(B_T) \diamond B_T - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s \right\|_{p_2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\| f(B_T)^2T - \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds \right\|_{p_2} + \mathcal{O}(T^{3/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| f(B_T)^2T - \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds \right| &= \left| \int_0^T f(B_T)^2 - f(B_s)^2 ds \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^T |f(B_T)^2 - f(B_s)^2| ds \\
&\leq \int_0^T C \cdot |B_T - B_s| ds
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $f(\bullet)^2$  is a Lipschitz continuous function. By taking the  $\mathbb{L}^{p_2}$ -norm and applying Minkowski inequality for integrals we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left\| f(B_T)^2 T - \int_0^T |f(B_s)|^2 ds \right\|_{p_2} &\leq C \int_0^T \|B_T - B_s\|_{p_2} ds \\ &= C \int_0^T (T-t)^{1/2} dt \\ &\leq CT^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(B_T) \diamond B_T - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s \right| &= \left| f(B_T) \diamond \int_0^T dB_s - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T f(B_T) \delta B_s - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T f(B_T) - f(B_s) \delta B_s \right| \\ &= |\delta(u)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u := ((t, \omega) \ni [0, T] \times \Omega \mapsto f(B_T(\omega)) - f(B_t(\omega)))$ , and  $\delta$  denotes the Skorohod integral [12][11].

Let  $H := \mathbb{L}^2([0, \mathcal{T}])$ , for some fixed  $\mathcal{T} \geq T$ , then proposition 1.5.8 of [12] states that for  $u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}(H)$ ,  $p > 1$  it holds that:

$$\|\delta(u)\|_p \leq c_p \left( \|\mathbb{E}(u)\|_H + \|Du\|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\Omega; H \otimes H)} \right).$$

In our case this reads:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| f(B_T) \diamond B_T - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s \right\|_{p_2} \\ &\leq C \left( \|\mathbb{E}(u \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]})\|_H \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{[0, \mathcal{T}]^2} |D_s(u_t \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(t))|^2 ds dt \right)^{\frac{p_2}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the Malliavin derivative is given by

$$D_s(u_t \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(t)) = \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(t) [f'(B_T) \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(s) - f'(B_t) \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}(s)],$$

for  $(t, s) \in [0, \mathcal{T}]^2$ , from where the membership of  $u$  to  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}(H)$  is clear by the boundedness of  $u$  and its Malliavin derivative.



It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f(B_T) \diamond B_T - \int_0^T f(B_s) dB_s \right\|_p \\
& \leq C \left( \left( \int_0^T \mathbb{E} [|f(B_T) - f(B_s)|^2] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(T) \right) \\
& \leq CT,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where we used the Lipschitz continuity of  $f$  and the boundedness of the Malliavin derivative.

The following toy-example seems to suggest that this bound is sharp, even though the function under consideration does not satisfy the assumption 1.1

**Remark 3.2.** *Letting  $f(x) = x$  and  $p = 2$  we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| B_T \diamond B_T - \int_0^T B_s dB_s \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} &= \left\| B_T^{\diamond 2} - \frac{1}{2} B_T^{\diamond 2} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \| B_T^{\diamond 2} \|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \int_0^T B_s dB_s \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^T s ds \right]^{1/2} \\
&= CT.
\end{aligned}$$

*suggesting that our bound is as sharp as it can possibly be.*

Finally from (3.5), using (3.11), (3.12) and remark 3.1 we conclude that

$$\| \mathcal{M}_T - M_T \|_p \leq C \cdot T, \tag{3.13}$$

as desired.

## 4 Discussion

The Girsanov's theorem is a powerful and quite useful tool in stochastic analysis. In this article we showed that the Girsanov's exponential can be approximated over short time intervals by the solution of a deterministic partial differential equation. This approximation can be used in order to estimate the transition density of the solution of a Langevin equation for small time intervals.

Even though our estimations involve inverting arbitrary functions which in general doesn't lead to closed forms, our approach could be used in conjunction with interpolation methods in order to obtain numerical simulations. Further research will be done in this direction. Finally, the extension of this result to the multi-dimensional case is a work in progress.

## Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Alberto Lanconelli for proposing me to work on this argument and for carefully going through all the proofs. I would also thank Prof. Alberto Scorolli, Prof. Fernando Tohmé and Simona Pace for the helpful discussions and for carefully reading the manuscript.

## References

- [1] B. Øksendal, “Stochastic differential equations,” in *Stochastic differential equations*. Springer, 2003, pp. 65–84.
- [2] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, “Brownian motion,” in *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, 1998, pp. 47–127.
- [3] A. Lanconelli and R. Scorolli, “A small time approximation for the solution to the zakai equation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.12416*, 2021.
- [4] ———, “Wong-zakai approximations for quasilinear systems of itô’s type stochastic differential equations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.09192*, 2021.
- [5] Y. Hu and B. Øksendal, “Wick approximation of quasilinear stochastic differential equations,” in *Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics V*. Springer, 1996, pp. 203–231.
- [6] B. Simon,  $P(\phi)_2$  *Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2015, vol. 66.
- [7] H. Holden, B. Øksendal, J. Ubøe, and T. Zhang, “Stochastic partial differential equations,” in *Stochastic partial differential equations*. Springer, 1996, pp. 141–191.
- [8] H.-H. Kuo, *White noise distribution theory*. CRC press, 2018.
- [9] T. Hida, H.-H. Kuo, J. Potthoff, and L. Streit, *White noise: an infinite dimensional calculus*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013, vol. 253.
- [10] E. Wong and M. Zakai, “Riemann-stieltjes approximations of stochastic integrals,” *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 87–97, 1969.
- [11] S. Janson *et al.*, *Gaussian hilbert spaces*. Cambridge university press, 1997, no. 129.
- [12] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. Springer, 2006, vol. 1995.
- [13] G. A. Pavliotis, *Stochastic processes and applications: diffusion processes, the Fokker-Planck and Langevin equations*. Springer, 2014, vol. 60.

- [14] J. K. Møller and H. Madsen, *From state dependent diffusion to constant diffusion in stochastic differential equations by the Lamperti transform*. DTU Informatics, 2010.
- [15] R. L. Schilling and L. Partzsch, *Brownian motion: an introduction to stochastic processes*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG, 2014.
- [16] H. Risken, “Fokker-planck equation,” in *The Fokker-Planck Equation*. Springer, 1996, pp. 63–95.
- [17] P. Kloeden and E. Platen, “Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations, volume 23 of,” *Applications of Mathematics*, 1992.
- [18] W. Horsthemke and A. Bach, “Onsager-machlup function for one dimensional non-linear diffusion processes,” *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 189–192, 1975.
- [19] H. Haken, “Generalized onsager-machlup function and classes of path integral solutions of the fokker-planck equation and the master equation,” *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 321–326, 1976.
- [20] G. Casella and R. L. Berger, *Statistical inference*. Cengage Learning, 2021.
- [21] J. Moyal, “Stochastic processes and statistical physics,” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 150–210, 1949.
- [22] T. T. Soong, *Random differential equations in science and engineering*. Academic Press, 1973, vol. 103.
- [23] H. T. Banks and S. Hu, “Uncertainty propagation and quantification in a continuous time dynamical system,” North Carolina State University. Center for Research in Scientific Computation, Tech. Rep., 2012.
- [24] C. Wissel, “Manifolds of equivalent path integral solutions of the fokker-planck equation,” *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 185–191, 1979.
- [25] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, and D. F. Styer, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals*. Courier Corporation, 2010.