

THE STABILITY FOR MULTIVALUED MCKEAN-VLASOV SDES WITH NON-LIPSCHITZ COEFFICIENTS

JUN GONG AND HUIJIE QIAO*

*School of Mathematics, Southeast University
Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, China*

ABSTRACT. The work concerns the stability for a type of multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients. First, we prove the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for multivalued McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. Then, we extend the classical Itô's formula from SDEs to multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs. Next, the exponential stability of second moments, the exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness and the almost surely asymptotic stability for their solutions in terms of a Lyapunov function are shown.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ and a m -dimensional standard Brownian motion $W = (W^1, W^2, \dots, W^m)$ defined on it. Consider the following multivalued McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\begin{cases} dX_t \in -A(X_t)dt + b(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dW_t, \\ X_0 = \xi, \mathcal{L}_{X_t} = \mathbb{P}_{X_t} = \text{the probability distribution of } X_t, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where ξ is a \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$, $A : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is a maximal monotone operator and the coefficients $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$ are Borel measurable. ($\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined in Subsection 2.1)

If $A = 0$, Eq.(1) becomes McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equations (SDEs in short). And the first work on McKean-Vlasov SDEs can be tracked back to McKean [14], who was inspired of Kac's programme in Kinetic theory in [11]. From then on, a large number of results appear. Let us mention some related works. For Eq.(1), under non-Lipschitz coefficients, Ding and Qiao [6, 7] proved the well-definedness, exponential stability of the second moment, exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness and almost surely asymptotic stability of strong solutions to these equations. In [8], Hammersley, Siska and Szpruch also showed exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness of strong solutions for Eq.(1) under the local boundedness for b, σ and some extra properties for integrated Lyapunov functions.

If $A \neq 0$, Eq.(1) is called as a multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDE. Under global Lipschitz conditions, Chi [3] proved the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for the following

AMS Subject Classification(2020): 60H10.

Keywords: Multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs, the generalized Itô formula, the exponential stability of second moments, the exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness.

This work was supported by NSF of China (No. 12071071).

* Corresponding author: Huijie Qiao, hjqiaogean@seu.edu.cn.

multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$dX_t \in -A(X_t)dt + \bar{b}[X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}]dt + \bar{\sigma}[X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}]dW_t, \quad (2)$$

where for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\bar{b}[x, \mu] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{b}(x, y)\mu(dy), \quad \bar{\sigma}[x, \mu] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\sigma}(x, y)\mu(dy),$$

and $\bar{b} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$, $\bar{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$ are Borel measurable. When the operator A is the sub-differential of some convex function, Ren and Wang [16] studied the following multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$dX_t \in -A(X_t)dt + \tilde{b}(X_t, \mathbb{E}[X_t])dt + \tilde{\sigma}(X_t, \mathbb{E}[X_t])dW_t, \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{b} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$ are Borel measurable and $\mathbb{E}[X_t]$ denotes the expectation with respect to the probability measure \mathbb{P} . If $\tilde{b}, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy global Lipschitz conditions, they showed well-definedness and a large deviation principle for Eq.(3). Note that Eq.(1) is more general than Eq.(2), Eq.(3). However, as far as we know, Eq.(1) seems not to be studied in the literature.

In this paper, we first study the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to Eq.(1) under non-Lipschitz conditions. Our result (Theorem 3.5) can cover [3, Theorem 3.1] and [16, Theorem 3.3] for the time homogeneous case. Then, the classical Itô's formula for SDEs is extended to multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs. We emphasize that the Itô's formula for multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs (Theorem 4.1) is different from the Itô's formula for McKean-Vlasov SDEs (c.f. [15, Proposition 3.1]). After this, the stability for the strong solution to Eq.(1) is considered. We offer sufficient conditions to assure the exponential stability of the second moment in terms of Lyapunov functions. Next, by a similar way, it is shown that the exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness of its solution holds. Finally, we prove the almost surely asymptotic stability for the strong solution to Eq.(1). Here we recall that the appearance of the maximal monotone operator causes a lot of trouble in the deduction.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notation and introduce maximal monotone operators and derivatives for functions on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In Section 3, we prove that Eq.(1) has a unique strong solution under non-Lipschitz conditions. Next we extend the classical Itô's formula to the Itô formula for multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs in Section 4. Then in Section 5 we present the exponential stability of second moments, the exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness and the almost surely asymptotic stability for the strong solution to Eq.(1).

The following convention will be used throughout the paper: C with or without indices will denote different positive constants whose values may change from one place to another.

2. PRELIMINARY

In the section, we introduce notations and concepts and recall some results used in the sequel.

2.1. Notations. In the subsection, we introduce some notations.

For convenience, we shall use $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ for norms of vectors and matrices, respectively. Furthermore, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^d . Let B^* denote the transpose of the matrix B .

Let $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the collection of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d and $C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d which have continuous partial derivatives of order up to 2. Define the Banach space

$$C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{(1+|x|)^2} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x-y|} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all probability measures defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ carrying the usual topology of weak convergence. Let $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of probability measures on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite second order moments. That is,

$$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|\mu\|_2^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mu(dx) < \infty \right\}.$$

Define the following metric on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mu(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \nu(dx) \right|, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \rho)$ is a complete metric space.

2.2. Maximal monotone operators. In the subsection, we introduce maximal monotone operators.

Fix a multivalued operator $A : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$, where $2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ stands for all the subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , and set

$$\mathcal{D}(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A(x) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$Gr(A) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : x \in \mathcal{D}(A), y \in A(x)\}.$$

Then we say that A is monotone if $\langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$ for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in Gr(A)$, and A is maximal monotone if

$$(x_1, y_1) \in Gr(A) \iff \langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0, \forall (x_2, y_2) \in Gr(A).$$

Given $T > 0$. Let \mathcal{V}_0 be the set of all continuous functions $K : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ with finite variations and $K_0 = 0$. For $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and $s \in [0, T]$, we shall use $|K|_0^s$ to denote the variation of K on $[0, s]$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} := & \left\{ (X, K) : X \in C([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}), K \in \mathcal{V}_0, \right. \\ & \left. \text{and } \langle X_t - x, dK_t - y dt \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } (x, y) \in Gr(A) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then about \mathcal{A} we recall three following results. (cf.[2, 19])

Lemma 2.1. *For $X \in C([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ and $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(X, K) \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) For any $(x, y) \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $(x_t, y_t) \in Gr(A)$, it holds that

$$\langle X_t - x_t, dK_t - y_t dt \rangle \geq 0.$$

(iii) For any $(X', K') \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds that

$$\langle X_t - X'_t, dK_t - dK'_t \rangle \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$, where $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$ denotes the interior of the set $\mathcal{D}(A)$. For any $a \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$, there exists constants $\gamma_1 > 0$, and $\gamma_2, \gamma_3 \geq 0$ such that for any $(X, K) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq T$,

$$\int_s^t \langle X_r - a, dK_r \rangle \geq \gamma_1 |K|_s^t - \gamma_2 \int_s^t |X_r - a| dr - \gamma_3 (t - s).$$

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\{K^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{V}_0$ converges to some K in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |K^n|_0^T < \infty$. Then $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle X_s^n, dK_s^n \rangle = \int_0^T \langle X_s, dK_s \rangle,$$

where the sequence $\{X^n\} \subset C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ converges to some X in $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

2.3. Derivatives for functions on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the subsection, we introduce derivatives for functions on $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ([4]).

A function $f : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is differential at $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if for $\tilde{f}(\gamma) := f(\mathbb{P}_\gamma), \gamma \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists some $\zeta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathbb{P}_\zeta = \mu$ such that \tilde{f} is Fréchet differentiable at ζ , that is, there exists a linear continuous mapping $D\tilde{f}(\zeta) : L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $\eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\tilde{f}(\zeta + \eta) - \tilde{f}(\zeta) = D\tilde{f}(\zeta)(\eta) + o(|\eta|_{L^2}), \quad |\eta|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $D\tilde{f}(\zeta) \in L(L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R})$, it follows from the Riesz representation theorem that there exists a \mathbb{P} -a.s. unique variable $\vartheta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for all $\eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$D\tilde{f}(\zeta)(\eta) = (\vartheta, \eta)_{L^2} = \mathbb{E}[\vartheta \cdot \eta].$$

Definition 2.4. We say that $f \in C^1(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if there exists for all $\gamma \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ a \mathbb{P}_γ -modification of $\partial_\mu f(\mathbb{P}_\gamma)(\cdot)$, again denoted by $\partial_\mu f(\mathbb{P}_\gamma)(\cdot)$, such that $\partial_\mu f : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous, and we identify this continuous function $\partial_\mu f$ as the derivative of f .

Definition 2.5. We say that $f \in C^2(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f \in C^1(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\partial_\mu f(\mathbb{P}_\gamma)(\cdot)$ is differentiable, and its derivative $\partial_y \partial_\mu f : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous, and for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\partial_\mu f(\cdot)(y)$ is differentiable, and its derivative $\partial_\mu^2 f : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous.

Definition 2.6. A function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be in $C^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if (i) F is C^2 in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ respectively; (ii) for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, its derivatives

$$\partial_x F(x, \mu), \partial_x^2 F(x, \mu), \partial_\mu F(x, \mu)(y), \partial_y \partial_\mu F(x, \mu)(y), \partial_\mu^2 F(x, \mu)(y, y')$$

are jointly continuous in the variable family $(x, \mu), (x, \mu, y)$ and (x, μ, y, y') respectively.

Definition 2.7. A function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be in $C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if $F \in C^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and all its derivatives are uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In addition, if $F \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $F \geq 0$, we say that $F \in C_{b,+}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Definition 2.8. The function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be in $C_b^{2,2;1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, if

- (i) F is bi-continuous in (x, μ) ;
 - (ii) $F \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and all its derivatives are Lipschitz continuous.
- If $F \in C_b^{2,2;1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $F \geq 0$, we say that $F \in C_{b,+}^{2,2;1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

3. THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF STRONG SOLUTIONS

In this section, we study the existence and uniqueness of strong solution for Eq.(1).

First of all, we define strong solutions, weak solutions and the pathwise uniqueness of weak solutions for Eq.(1). Fix $T > 0$ and consider Eq.(1), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t \in -A(X_t)dt + b(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dW_t, & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ X_0 = \xi, \mathcal{L}_{X_t} = \mathbb{P}_{X_t} = \text{the probability distribution of } X_t. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.1. We say that Eq.(1) admits a strong solution with the initial value ξ if there exists a pair of adapted processes (X, K) on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ such that

- (i) $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = \xi) = 1$,
- (ii) $X_t \in \mathcal{F}_t^W$, where $\{\mathcal{F}_t^W\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ stands for the σ -field filtration generated by W ,
- (iii) $(X(\omega), K(\omega)) \in \mathcal{A}$ a.s. \mathbb{P} ,
- (iv) it holds that

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_0^T (|b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})| + \|\sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})\|^2) ds < +\infty \right\} = 1,$$

and

$$X_t = \xi - K_t + \int_0^t b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

From the above definition, we know that $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = \mathbb{P} \circ \xi^{-1}$.

Definition 3.2. We say that Eq.(1) admits a weak solution with the initial law $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, if there exists a filtered probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$, a d -dimensional standard $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -Brownian motion \hat{W} as well as a pair of $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ -adapted process (\hat{X}, \hat{K}) defined on $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$ such that

- (i) $\hat{\mathbb{P}} \circ \hat{X}_0^{-1} = \mu_0$,
- (ii) $(\hat{X}(\omega), \hat{K}(\omega)) \in \mathcal{A}$ a.s. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$,
- (iii) It holds that

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}} \left\{ \int_0^T (|b(\hat{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}_s})| + \|\sigma(\hat{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}_s})\|^2) ds < +\infty \right\} = 1,$$

and

$$\hat{X}_t = \hat{X}_0 - \hat{K}_t + \int_0^t b(\hat{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\hat{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\hat{X}_s}) d\hat{W}_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Such a solution will be denoted by $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}; \hat{W}, (\hat{X}, \hat{K}))$.

Definition 3.3. (*Pathwise Uniqueness*) Suppose $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}; \hat{W}, (\hat{X}, \hat{K}))$ and $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}; \hat{W}, (\bar{X}, \bar{K}))$ are two weak solutions for Eq.(1) with $\hat{X}_0 = \bar{X}_0$. If $\hat{\mathbb{P}}\{(\hat{X}_t, \hat{K}_t) = (\bar{X}_t, \bar{K}_t), t \in [0, T]\} = 1$, then we say that the pathwise uniqueness holds for Eq.(1).

In the following, we give some conditions to assure the existence and pathwise uniqueness of weak solutions for Eq.(1). Assume:

(**H_{1.1}**) The function b is continuous in (x, μ) , and b, σ satisfy for $(x, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|b(x, \mu)|^2 + \|\sigma(x, \mu)\|^2 \leq L_1(1 + |x|^2 + \|\mu\|_2^2),$$

where $L_1 > 0$ is a constant.

(**H_{1.2}**) The functions b, σ satisfy for $(x_1, \mu_1), (x_2, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\langle x_1 - x_2, b(x_1, \mu_1) - b(x_2, \mu_2) \rangle + \|\sigma(x_1, \mu_1) - \sigma(x_2, \mu_2)\|^2 \\ & \leq L_2 \left(|x_1 - x_2|^2 + \rho^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $L_2 > 0$ is a constant.

Next, we give out a key lemma. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{0, T}^{\mathbb{P}_\xi} & := \{ \mu. \in C([0, T], \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \mu_0 = \mathbb{P}_\xi = \mathbb{P} \circ \xi^{-1} \}, \\ \hat{\rho}(\mu., \nu.) & := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \rho(\mu_t, \nu_t), \quad \mu., \nu. \in \mathcal{C}_{0, T}^{\mathbb{P}_\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

and then the space $(\mathcal{C}_{0, T}^{\mathbb{P}_\xi}, \hat{\rho})$ is a complete metric space. For $\mu. \in \mathcal{C}_{0, T}^{\mathbb{P}_\xi}$, consider the following auxiliary multivalued SDE on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\mu \in -A(X_t^\mu)dt + b(X_t^\mu, \mu_t)dt + \sigma(X_t^\mu, \mu_t)dW_t, \\ X_0^\mu = \xi. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and b, σ satisfy (**H_{1.1}**)-(**H_{1.2}**). Then for any \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable ξ with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$, Eq.(4) has a unique strong solution $(X^\mu., K^\mu.)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X^\mu.} \in \mathcal{C}_{0, T}^{\mathbb{P}_\xi}$.

Proof. First of all, by [17, Theorem 2.8], we obtain that Eq.(4) has a unique strong solution $(X^\mu., K^\mu.)$. Then, we prove that $\mathcal{L}_{X^\mu.} \in \mathcal{C}_{0, T}^{\mathbb{P}_\xi}$.

Take any $a \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$. By Itô's formula, Lemma 2.2 and (**H_{1.1}**), we have for $0 < t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^\mu. - a|^2 & = |\xi - a|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^\mu. - a, dK_s^\mu. \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^\mu. - a, b(X_s^\mu., \mu_s) \rangle ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^\mu. - a, \sigma(X_s^\mu., \mu_s) dW_s \rangle + \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^\mu., \mu_s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq |\xi - a|^2 - 2\gamma_1 |K^\mu.|_0^t + 2\gamma_2 \int_0^t |X_s^\mu. - a| ds + 2\gamma_3 t + \int_0^t |X_s^\mu. - a|^2 ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t |b(X_s^\mu., \mu_s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^\mu., \mu_s)\|^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^\mu. - a, \sigma(X_s^\mu., \mu_s) dW_s \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |\xi - a|^2 - 2\gamma_1 |K^\mu|_0^t + 2\gamma_2 \int_0^t (1 + |X_s^\mu - a|^2) ds + 2\gamma_3 t + \int_0^t |X_s^\mu - a|^2 ds \\
&\quad + L_1 \int_0^t \left(1 + 2|a|^2 + 2|X_s^\mu - a|^2 + \|\mu_s\|_2^2\right) ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^\mu - a, \sigma(X_s^\mu, \mu_s) dW_s \rangle \\
&\leq |\xi - a|^2 - 2\gamma_1 |K^\mu|_0^t + \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + L_1(1 + 2|a|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_s\|_2^2)\right) t \\
&\quad + (2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2L_1) \int_0^t |X_s^\mu - a|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^\mu - a, \sigma(X_s^\mu, \mu_s) dW_s \rangle. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

By the BDG inequality and the Hölder inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^\mu - a|^2 \right) + 2\gamma_1 \mathbb{E} |K^\mu|_0^t \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + L_1(1 + 2|a|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_s\|_2^2)\right) t \\
&\quad + (2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2L_1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s^\mu - a|^2 ds \\
&\quad + 12 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t |X_s^\mu - a|^2 \|\sigma(X_s^\mu, \mu_s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + L_1(1 + 2|a|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_s\|_2^2)\right) t \\
&\quad + (2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2L_1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s^\mu - a|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^\mu - a|^2 \right) + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^\mu, \mu_s)\|^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^\mu - a|^2 \right) + 2\gamma_1 \mathbb{E} |K^\mu|_0^t \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + 2 \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + CL_1(1 + 2|a|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_s\|_2^2)\right) t \\
&\quad + 2(2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2CL_1) \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, s]} |X_r^\mu - a|^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the Gronwall inequality, we know that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^\mu - a|^2 \right) \leq \left[2\mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_3, L_1} t \right] e^{2(2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2CL_1)t},$$

where $C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_3, L_1} := 2 \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + CL_1(1 + 2|a|^2 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mu_s\|_2^2)\right)$, which yields that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^\mu|^2 \right) \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^\mu - a|^2 \right) + 2|a|^2$$

$$\leq 2 \left[2\mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + C_{\gamma_2, \gamma_3, L_1} t \right] e^{2(2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2CL_1)t} + 2|a|^2.$$

Thus, it holds that $\mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Next, we estimate $\rho(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu})$ for $s, t \in [0, T]$. From the definition of the metric ρ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}) &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mathcal{L}_{X_s^\mu}(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}(dx) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} |\mathbb{E}\varphi(X_s^\mu) - \mathbb{E}\varphi(X_t^\mu)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}|\varphi(X_s^\mu) - \varphi(X_t^\mu)| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}|X_s^\mu - X_t^\mu| \leq \left(\mathbb{E}|X_s^\mu - X_t^\mu|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

So, we furthermore estimate $\mathbb{E}|X_s^\mu - X_t^\mu|^2$.

Note that X_s^μ, X_t^μ satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} X_s^\mu &= \xi - K_s^\mu + \int_0^s b(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) dr + \int_0^s \sigma(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) dW_r, \\ X_t^\mu &= \xi - K_t^\mu + \int_0^t b(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) dr + \int_0^t \sigma(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) dW_r. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $s \leq t$ and take a stopping time sequence $\{\tau_N\}$ given by $\tau_N := \inf\{r \geq s, |X_r^\mu| \geq N\}$. Thus, by the Itô formula, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{t \wedge \tau_N}^\mu - X_s^\mu|^2 &= -2 \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} \langle X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu, dK_r^\mu \rangle + 2 \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} \langle X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu, b(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) \rangle dr \\ &\quad + 2 \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} \langle X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu, \sigma(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) dW_r \rangle + \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} \|\sigma(X_r^\mu, \mu_r)\|^2 dr \\ &\leq \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} |X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu|^2 dr + \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} |b(X_r^\mu, \mu_r)|^2 dr \\ &\quad + 2 \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} \langle X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu, \sigma(X_r^\mu, \mu_r) dW_r \rangle + \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} \|\sigma(X_r^\mu, \mu_r)\|^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation on two sides, by **(H_{1.1})** we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_{t \wedge \tau_N}^\mu - X_s^\mu|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} |X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu|^2 dr + L_1 \mathbb{E} \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} (1 + |X_r^\mu|^2 + \|\mu_r\|_2^2) dr \\ &\leq (1 + 2L_1) \mathbb{E} \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} |X_r^\mu - X_s^\mu|^2 dr + L_1 \mathbb{E} \int_s^{t \wedge \tau_N} (1 + 2|X_s^\mu|^2 + \|\mu_r\|_2^2) dr \\ &\leq (1 + 2L_1) \mathbb{E} \int_s^t |X_{r \wedge \tau_N}^\mu - X_s^\mu|^2 dr \\ &\quad + L_1 \mathbb{E} \left(1 + 2|X_s^\mu|^2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\mu_r\|_2^2 \right) (t \wedge \tau_N - s). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gronwall inequality and taking the limits as $N \rightarrow \infty$, one can get that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_s^\mu - X_t^\mu|^2 \leq L_1 \left(1 + 2\mathbb{E}|X_s^\mu|^2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\mu_r\|_2^2 \right) (t - s) e^{(1+2L_1)t}. \quad (7)$$

Inserting (7) in (6), we have that

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}) \leq L_1^{1/2} \left(1 + 2\mathbb{E}|X_s^\mu|^2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\mu_r\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} (t - s)^{1/2} e^{(1+2L_1)t/2},$$

which yields that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \rho(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}) = 0.$$

By the same deduction to above, it holds that for $s \geq t$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \rho(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Now, it is the position to state and prove the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for Eq.(1).

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and the coefficients b, σ satisfy $(\mathbf{H}_{1.1})$ - $(\mathbf{H}_{1.2})$. Then for any \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable ξ with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$, there exists a unique strong solution (X, K) for Eq.(1), i.e. for any $t \in [0, T]$*

$$X_t = \xi - K_t + \int_0^t b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s, \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_t} = \mathbb{P} \circ X_t^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Proof. Step 1. Define the mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{C}_{0, t_0}^{\mathbb{P}^\xi} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{0, t_0}^{\mathbb{P}^\xi}$ by $\Psi(\mu_\cdot) = \mathcal{L}_{X^\mu}$ for any $\mu_\cdot \in \mathcal{C}_{0, t_0}^{\mathbb{P}^\xi}$, where $0 < t_0 \leq T$ is given later. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, we know that the mapping Ψ is well defined. Then, we prove that it is contractive.

First of all, by the definition of the metric on $\mathcal{C}_{0, t_0}^{\mathbb{P}^\xi}$, it holds that for $\mu^1, \mu^2 \in \mathcal{C}_{0, t_0}^{\mathbb{P}^\xi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(\Psi(\mu^1), \Psi(\mu^2)) &= \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \rho(\Psi(\mu^1)_t, \Psi(\mu^2)_t) = \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \rho(\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu^1}}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu^2}}) \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu^1}}(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu^2}}(dx) \right| \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} |\mathbb{E}\varphi(X_t^{\mu^1}) - \mathbb{E}\varphi(X_t^{\mu^2})| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{C_\rho(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}|\varphi(X_t^{\mu^1}) - \varphi(X_t^{\mu^2})| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \mathbb{E}|X_t^{\mu^1} - X_t^{\mu^2}| \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{\mu^1} - X_t^{\mu^2}|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Next, we estimate $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} |X_t^{\mu^1} - X_t^{\mu^2}|^2$. By the Itô formula, Lemma 2.1 and $(\mathbf{H}_{1.2})$, we have

$$\left| X_t^{\mu^1} - X_t^{\mu^2} \right|^2 = -2 \int_0^t \left\langle X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}, d(K_s^{\mu^1} - K_s^{\mu^2}) \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_0^t \left\langle X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}, b\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - b\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right) \right\rangle ds \\
& +2 \int_0^t \left\langle X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}, \left(\sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right)\right) dW_s \right\rangle \\
& + \int_0^t \left\| \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right) \right\|^2 ds \\
& \leq L_2 \int_0^t \left(\left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 + \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) \right) ds \\
& +2 \int_0^t \left\langle X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}, \left(\sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right)\right) dW_s \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So, it follows from the BDG inequality and the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \right) & \leq L_2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(\left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 + \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) \right) ds \\
& + 12 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \left\| \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right) \right\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq L_2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(\left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 + \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) \right) ds \\
& + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left\| \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right) \right\|^2 ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \right) & \leq 2L_2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(\left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 + \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) \right) ds \\
& + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left\| \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^1}, \mu_s^1\right) - \sigma\left(X_s^{\mu^2}, \mu_s^2\right) \right\|^2 ds \\
& \stackrel{(\mathbf{H1.2})}{\leq} C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(\left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 + \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) \right) ds \tag{9} \\
& \leq Ct \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, s]} \left|X_r^{\mu^1} - X_r^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Gronwall inequality, we derive that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \right) \leq Cte^{Ct} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \rho^2\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right).$$

Taking $t_0 > 0$ with $Ct_0e^{Ct_0} < \frac{1}{4}$, one can obtain that

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t_0]} \left|X_s^{\mu^1} - X_s^{\mu^2}\right|^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [0, t_0]} \rho\left(\mu_s^1, \mu_s^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\rho}\left(\mu^1, \mu^2\right). \tag{10}$$

Combining (8) with (10), we have

$$\hat{\rho}\left(\Psi(\mu^1), \Psi(\mu^2)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\hat{\rho}(\mu^1, \mu^2).$$

Step 2. We prove that Eq.(1) has a unique strong solution.

By the conclusion in **Step 1.** and the fixed point theorem, it holds that there exists a unique fixed point $\mu^* \in \mathcal{C}_{0,t_0}^{\mathbb{P}^\xi}$ such that

$$\Psi(\mu^*) = \mathcal{L}_{X^{\mu^*}} = \mu^*.$$

Thus, (X^{μ^*}, K^{μ^*}) is a weak solution for Eq.(1) on $[0, t_0]$. If $t_0 \geq T$, the proof of the existence for weak solutions to Eq.(1) is over; if $t_0 < T$, by the same deduction as above, we obtain the existence for weak solutions to Eq.(1) on $[t_0, T]$.

Next, we prove the pathwise uniqueness for Eq.(1). Assume that (X, K) and (\tilde{X}, \tilde{K}) are two weak solutions of Eq.(1) with $X_0 = \tilde{X}_0 = \xi$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \xi - K_t + \int_0^t b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})dW_s, \\ \tilde{X}_t &= \xi - \tilde{K}_t + \int_0^t b(\tilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s})ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s})dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

So, by the similar deduction to that in (9), we obtain that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_t - \tilde{X}_t|^2 \right) &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(|X_s - \tilde{X}_s|^2 + \rho^2(\mathcal{L}_{X_s}, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s}) \right) ds \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(|X_s - \tilde{X}_s|^2 + \mathbb{E} |X_s - \tilde{X}_s|^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, s]} |X_r - \tilde{X}_r|^2 \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Gronwall inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - \tilde{X}_s|^2 \right) = 0,$$

which yields that

$$X_t = \tilde{X}_t, \quad t \in [0, T] \text{ a.s. } \mathbb{P}.$$

Finally, note that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} K_t &= \xi - X_t + \int_0^t b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s \\ &= \xi - \tilde{X}_t + \int_0^t b(\tilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}_s}) dW_s \\ &= \tilde{K}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the fact that K_t is continuous in t assures that $K_t = \tilde{K}_t, t \in [0, T]$ a.s. \mathbb{P} . The proof is complete. \square

By Theorem 3.5, we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and the coefficients b, σ satisfy $(\mathbf{H}_{1.1})$ - $(\mathbf{H}_{1.2})$. Then for any \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable ξ with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$, the strong solution (X, K) for Eq.(1) has the following moment property: for $0 \leq t \leq T$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s|^2 \right) \leq 2(2\mathbb{E}|\xi - a|^2 + Ct)e^{ct} + 2|a|^2, \quad \forall a \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)). \quad (11)$$

Proof. By the similar deduction to that of (5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t - a|^2 &\leq |\xi - a|^2 - 2\gamma_1 |K|_0^t + \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + L_1(1 + 2|a|^2)\right)t + L_1 \int_0^t \|\mathcal{L}_{X_s}\|_2^2 ds \\ &\quad + (2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2L_1) \int_0^t |X_s - a|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s - a, \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the BDG inequality and the Hölder inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - a|^2 \right) + 2\gamma_1 \mathbb{E} |K|_0^t \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + L_1(1 + 2|a|^2)\right)t \\ &\quad + L_1 \int_0^t \|\mathcal{L}_{X_s}\|_2^2 ds + (2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2L_1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s - a|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 12\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t |X_s - a|^2 \|\sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + \left(2\gamma_2 + 2\gamma_3 + L_1(1 + 4|a|^2)\right)t \\ &\quad + 2L_1 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|X_s - a\|^2 ds + (2\gamma_2 + 1 + 2L_1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s - a|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - a|^2 \right) + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (1 + |X_s|^2 + \|\mathcal{L}_{X_s}\|_2^2) ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + Ct + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s - a|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - a|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - a|^2 \right) + 4\gamma_1 \mathbb{E} |K|_0^t \leq 2\mathbb{E} |\xi - a|^2 + Ct + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, s]} |X_r - a|^2 \right) ds,$$

where the positive constant C depends on γ_2, γ_3 and L_1 . From this and the Gronwall inequality, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - a|^2 \right) \leq (2\mathbb{E}|\xi - a|^2 + Ct)e^{ct},$$

and furthermore

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s|^2 \right) \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s - a|^2 \right) + 2|a|^2 \leq 2(2\mathbb{E}|\xi - a|^2 + Ct)e^{ct} + 2|a|^2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. THE GENERALIZED ITÔ FORMULA FOR MULTIVALUED MCKEAN-VLASOV SDES

In the section, we will extend the classical Itô's formula from SDEs to multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs. First of all, we strengthen the condition $(\mathbf{H}_{1.2})$ to the following assumption:

$(\mathbf{H}_{1.3})$ The functions b, σ satisfy for $(x_1, \mu_1), (x_2, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} & |b(x_1, \mu_1) - b(x_2, \mu_2)|^2 + \|\sigma(x_1, \mu_1) - \sigma(x_2, \mu_2)\|^2 \\ & \leq L_3 \left(|x_1 - x_2|^2 + \rho^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $L_3 > 0$ is a constant.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then, under $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and $(\mathbf{H}_{1.1})$, $(\mathbf{H}_{1.3})$, for any \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable ξ with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$, the following Itô formula holds: $\forall 0 \leq s < t$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) - \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) &= - \int_s^t \langle \partial_x \Phi(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u}), dK_u \rangle + \int_s^t (b^i \partial_{x_i} \Phi)(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u}) du \\ &+ \int_s^t (\sigma^{ij} \partial_{x_i} \Phi)(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u}) dW_u^j + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \left((\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 \Phi \right)(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u}) du \\ &+ \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^i(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_u}) (\partial_\mu \Phi)_i(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u})(y) \mathcal{L}_{X_u}(dy) du \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij}(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_u}) \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu \Phi)_j(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u})(y) \mathcal{L}_{X_u}(dy) du \\ &- \int_s^t \mathbb{E} \langle (\partial_\mu \Phi)(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_u})(X_u), dK_u \rangle |_{y=X_u}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Proof. Step 1. Suppose that b, σ are bounded. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and define $f(\mu) := \Phi(x, \mu)$. Now, we prove the Itô formula for $f(\mathcal{L}_{X_t})$.

For any positive integer N , set

$$x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f^N(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N) := f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{x^l}\right), \quad (13)$$

and then $f^N(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N)$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. Moreover, by [5, Proposition 3.1, Page 15], it holds that f^N is C^2 on $\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x^i} f^N(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N) &= \frac{1}{N} \partial_\mu f \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{x^l} \right) (x^i), \\ \partial_{x^i x^j}^2 f^N(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N) &= \frac{1}{N} \partial_x \partial_\mu f \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{x^l} \right) (x^i) I_{i=j} + \frac{1}{N^2} \partial_\mu^2 f \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{x^l} \right) (x^i, x^j), \\ & i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Besides, we take N independent copies $X_t^l, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of X_t . That is,

$$dX_t^l \in -A(X_t^l) dt + b(X_t^l, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^l}) dt + \sigma(X_t^l, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^l}) dW_t^l, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $W_t^l, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are i.i.d copies of W . By Theorem 3.5, we know that there exists $(X^l, K^l) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$dX_t^l = -dK_t^l + b(X_t^l, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^l})dt + \sigma(X_t^l, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^l})dW_t^l.$$

Then applying Itô's formula to $f^N(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N)$ and taking the expectation on both sides, we obtain that for $0 \leq t < t+v$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}f^N(X_{t+v}^1, \dots, X_{t+v}^N) \\ = & \mathbb{E}f^N(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_{x^i} f^N(X_s^1, \dots, X_s^N) b(X_s^i, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^i}) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_{x^i x^i}^2 f^N(X_s^1, \dots, X_s^N) \sigma\sigma^*(X_s^i, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^i}) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} \int_t^{t+v} \langle \partial_{x^i} f^N(X_s^1, \dots, X_s^N), dK_s^i \rangle \\ = & \mathbb{E}f^N(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^N) + N \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_{x^1} f^N(X_s^1, \dots, X_s^N) b(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\ & + \frac{N}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_{x^1 x^1}^2 f^N(X_s^1, \dots, X_s^N) \sigma\sigma^*(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\ & - N \mathbb{E} \int_t^{t+v} \langle \partial_{x^1} f^N(X_s^1, \dots, X_s^N), dK_s^1 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the property of the same distributions for $(X_t^l, K_t^l), l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ is used in the second equality. Inserting (13) (14) in the above equality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_{t+v}^l}\right) &= \mathbb{E}f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_t^l}\right) + \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_\mu f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_s^l}\right) (X_s^1) b(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_y \partial_\mu f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_s^l}\right) (X_s^1) \sigma\sigma^*(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N} \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E}\partial_\mu^2 f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_s^l}\right) (X_s^1, X_s^1) \sigma\sigma^*(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\ &- \mathbb{E} \int_t^{t+v} \left\langle \partial_\mu f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_s^l}\right) (X_s^1), dK_s^1 \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we take the limit on both sides of the above equality. Note that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N \delta_{X_t^l}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t} \right) \right] = 0,$$

which comes from [9, Section 5]. And then as $N \rightarrow \infty$, by continuity and boundedness of $f, \partial_\mu f, \partial_y \partial_\mu f$, and boundedness of $\partial_\mu^2 f, b, \sigma$, it follows from the dominated convergence

theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathcal{L}_{X_{t+v}}) &= f(\mathcal{L}_{X_t}) + \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E} \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s^1) b(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E} \partial_y \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s^1) \sigma \sigma^*(X_s^1, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^1}) ds \\
&\quad - \mathbb{E} \int_t^{t+v} \langle \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s^1), dK_s^1 \rangle \\
&= f(\mathcal{L}_{X_t}) + \int_t^{t+v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) b(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_y \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) \sigma \sigma^*(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) ds \\
&\quad - \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E} \langle \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s), dK_s \rangle. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Assume that $(\mathbf{H}_{1.1})$ $(\mathbf{H}_{1.3})$ hold. Then we prove the Itô formula for $f(\mathcal{L}_{X_t})$. Let $\phi_n : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \phi_n(x) = x, & |x| \leq n \\ \phi_n(x) = 0, & |x| > 2n, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$|\phi_n(x)| \leq C, \quad \|\partial \phi_n(x)\| \leq C, \tag{16}$$

where the positive constant C is independent of n . Define

$$b^{(n)}(x, \mu) := b(\phi_n(x), \mu), \quad \sigma^{(n)}(x, \mu) := \sigma(\phi_n(x), \mu),$$

and then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$b^{(n)}(x, \mu) \rightarrow b(x, \mu), \quad \sigma^{(n)}(x, \mu) \rightarrow \sigma(x, \mu).$$

Moreover, by $(\mathbf{H}_{1.1})$, we know that $b^{(n)}, \sigma^{(n)}$ are bounded. Thus, $b^{(n)}, \sigma^{(n)}$ satisfy the assumption $(\mathbf{H}_{1.1})$ - $(\mathbf{H}_{1.2})$. Therefore, the following equation

$$dX_t^{(n)} \in -A(X_t^{(n)})dt + b^{(n)}(X_t^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{(n)}})dt + \sigma^{(n)}(X_t^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{(n)}})dW_t, \tag{17}$$

has a unique solution $(X^{(n)}, K^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then by **Step 1.**, it holds that for $0 \leq t < t+v$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathcal{L}_{X_{t+v}^{(n)}}) &= f(\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{(n)}}) + \int_t^{t+v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}})(y) b(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}(dy) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_y \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}})(y) \sigma \sigma^*(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}(dy) ds \\
&\quad - \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E} \langle \partial_\mu f(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}})(X_s^{(n)}), dK_s^{(n)} \rangle. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe the limit of $\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{(n)}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying Itô's formula to $|X_t^{(n)} - X_t|^2$ and taking the expectation on both sides, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t|^2 &= -2\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle X_s^{(n)} - X_s, dK_s^{(n)} - dK_s \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle X_s^{(n)} - X_s, (b^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) - b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})) \rangle ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left\| \sigma^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) - \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \right\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2 ds + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left| b^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) - b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \right|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left\| \sigma^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) - \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \right\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2 ds + L_3 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (|\phi_n(X_s^{(n)}) - X_s|^2 + \rho^2(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})) ds \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2 ds + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\phi_n(X_s) - X_s|^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use **(H1.3)**, (16) and the fact $\rho^2(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \leq \mathbb{E} |X_s^{(n)} - X_s|^2$. Therefore, by the Gronwall inequality, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t|^2 \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\phi_n(X_s) - X_s|^2 ds.$$

Combining $|\phi_n(x)| \leq x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with the estimate (11), by the dominated convergence theorem one can have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\phi_n(X_s) - X_s|^2 ds = 0.$$

Moreover, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho^2(\mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |X_t^{(n)} - X_t|^2 = 0. \quad (19)$$

Next, note that $(X^{(n)}, K^{(n)})$, (X, K) are the unique strong solution of Eq.(17), Eq.(1), respectively, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
K_t^{(n)} &= \xi - X_t^{(n)} + \int_0^t b(X_s^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^{(n)}, \mathcal{L}_{X_s^{(n)}}) dW_s, \\
K_t &= \xi - X_t + \int_0^t b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (19) and **(H1.3)**, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} |K_t^{(n)} - K_t|^2 = 0.$$

Taking the limit on two sides of (18), by the dominated convergence theorem, one can conclude (15).

Step 3. We prove the Itô formula (12).

By the classical Itô's formula and (15), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(X_{t+v}, \mathcal{L}_{X_{t+v}}) - \Phi(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) \\
= & - \int_t^{t+v} \langle \partial_x \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}), dK_s \rangle + \int_t^{t+v} \langle \partial_x \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}), b(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \rangle ds \\
& + \int_t^{t+v} \langle \partial_x \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}), \sigma(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \text{tr}(\sigma \sigma^*(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \partial_x^2 \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})) ds \\
& + \int_t^{t+v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle b(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}), \partial_\mu \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) \rangle \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{tr}(\sigma \sigma^*(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \partial_y \partial_\mu \Phi(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y)) \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) ds \\
& - \int_t^{t+v} \mathbb{E} \langle \partial_\mu \Phi(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s), dK_s \rangle |_{y=X_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

5. THE STABILITY OF STRONG SOLUTIONS

In the section, we study the stability of strong solutions for Eq.(1) by the generalized Itô formula.

5.1. The exponential stability of the second moment for the strong solution.

In the subsection, we study the exponential stability of the second moment for the strong solution to Eq.(1). We begin with some assumption.

(**H_{2.1}**) There exists a function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(i) $F \in C_{b,+}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$,

(ii)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{L}_\mu F(x, \mu) + \alpha F(x, \mu)) \mu(dx) \leq 0,$$

where \mathcal{L}_μ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{L}_\mu F)(x, \mu) & := \left(b^i \partial_{x_i} F \right)(x, \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \left((\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 F \right)(x, \mu) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^i(y, \mu) (\partial_\mu F)_i(x, \mu)(y) \mu(dy) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij}(y, \mu) \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu F)_j(x, \mu)(y) \mu(dy),
\end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha > 0$ is a constant,

(iii)

$$a_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mu(dx) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, \mu) \mu(dx) \leq a_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mu(dx),$$

where $a_1, a_2 > 0$ are two constants.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and ξ is a \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$. Suppose (**H_{1.1}**) (**H_{1.3}**) and (**H_{2.1}**) hold. If the strong solution (X, K) and the Lyapunov function F satisfy for any $t \geq 0$*

$$\langle \partial_x F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}), dK_t \rangle + \mathbb{E} \langle (\partial_\mu F)(x, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})(X_t), dK_t \rangle |_{x=X_t} \geq 0, \quad (20)$$

then the following result holds

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 \leq \frac{a_2}{a_1} e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. By the Itô formula (12), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\alpha t} F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) - F(\xi, \mathcal{L}_\xi) \\ = & \int_0^t \alpha e^{\alpha s} F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds - \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \langle \partial_x F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}), dK_s \rangle + \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} (b^i \partial_{x_i} F)(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds \\ & + \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} (\sigma^{ij} \partial_{x_i} F)(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s^j + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \left((\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 F \right) (X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\alpha s} b^i(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) (\partial_\mu F)_i(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\alpha s} (\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij}(y, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu F)_j(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) ds \\ & - \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \mathbb{E} \langle (\partial_\mu F)(x, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s), dK_s \rangle |_{x=X_s} \\ = & \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \left[\alpha F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) + (b^i \partial_{x_i} F)(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) + \frac{1}{2} \left((\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 F \right) (X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^i(y, \mu_s) (\partial_\mu F)_i(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) \mu_s(dy) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij}(y, \mu_s) \partial_{y_i} (\partial_\mu F)_j(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(y) \mathcal{L}_{X_s}(dy) \right] ds \\ & - \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \left(\langle \partial_x F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}), dK_s \rangle + \mathbb{E} \langle (\partial_\mu F)(x, \mathcal{L}_{X_s})(X_s), dK_s \rangle |_{x=X_s} \right) \\ & + \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} (\sigma^{ij} \partial_{x_i} F)(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s^j \\ \stackrel{(20)}{\leq} & \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \left(\alpha F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) + \mathcal{L}_\mu F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} (\sigma^{ij} \partial_{x_i} F)(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) dW_s^j. \end{aligned}$$

Localizing and taking the expectation on both sides of the above equality, by the Fatou lemma and (ii) of **(H_{2.1})** we obtain that

$$e^{\alpha t} \mathbb{E} F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) - \mathbb{E} F(\xi, \mathcal{L}_\xi) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t e^{\alpha s} \left(\alpha F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) + \mathcal{L}_\mu F(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) \right) ds \right] \leq 0,$$

which concludes that

$$\mathbb{E} F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E} F(\xi, \mathcal{L}_\xi).$$

Finally, based on (iii) in **(H_{2.1})**, one can get that

$$a_1 \mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E} F(\xi, \mathcal{L}_\xi) \leq a_2 e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2,$$

which yields that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 \leq \frac{a_2}{a_1} e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

5.2. The exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness for the strong solution. In the subsection, we consider the exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness for the strong solution of Eq.(1). First of all, we give the concept of the exponentially 2-ultimate boundedness.

Definition 5.2. *If there exist positive constants S, β, M such that*

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 \leq S e^{-\beta t} \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + M, \quad t \geq 0,$$

then X is called exponentially 2-ultimately bounded.

Next, we state some necessary assumption.

(H_{2.2}) There exists a function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

- (i) $F \in C_b^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$,
- (ii)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathcal{L}_\mu F(x, \mu) + \alpha F(x, \mu)) \mu(dx) \leq M_1,$$

- (iii)

$$a_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mu(dx) - M_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, \mu) \mu(dx) \leq a_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 \mu(dx) + M_3,$$

where $M_1, M_2, M_3 \geq 0$ are constants.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and ξ is a \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$. Suppose **(H_{1.1})**, **(H_{1.3})** and **(H_{2.2})** hold. If the strong solution (X, K) and the Lyapunov function F satisfy for any $t \geq 0$*

$$\langle \partial_x F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}), dK_t \rangle + \mathbb{E} \langle (\partial_\mu F)(x, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})(X_t), dK_t \rangle |_{x=X_t} \geq 0,$$

then X is exponentially 2-ultimately bounded, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 \leq \frac{a_2}{a_1} e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + \frac{\alpha(M_2 + M_3) + M_1}{\alpha a_1}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Since its proof is similar to that in Theorem 5.1, we omit it.

5.3. The almost surely asymptotic stability for the strong solution. In the subsection, we require that $\xi = x_0$ is non-random and study the almost surely asymptotic stability of the strong solution for Eq.(1). We start with the concept of the almost surely asymptotic stability.

Definition 5.4. *If for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |X_t| = 0 \right\} = 1,$$

we say that X is almost surely asymptotically stable.

Next we introduce a function class. Let Σ denote the family of functions $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, which are continuous, strictly increasing, and $\gamma(0) = 0$. And Σ_∞ means the family of functions $\gamma \in \Sigma$ with $\gamma(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then we present some assumption.

(**H_{1.1}'**) The function b is continuous in (x, μ) and satisfies for $(x, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|b(x, \mu)|^2 \leq L'_1(1 + |x|^2 + \|\mu\|_2^2),$$

where $L'_1 > 0$ is a constant, and σ is bounded.

(**H_{2.3}**) There exists a function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

- (i) $F \in C_{b,+}^{2,2;1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_\mu F(x, \mu) + \alpha F(x, \mu) \leq 0$,
- (iii) $\gamma_1(|x|) \leq F(x, \mu) \leq \gamma_2(|x|)$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Sigma_\infty$.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$ and (**H_{1.1}'**) (**H_{1.3}**) and (**H_{2.3}**) hold. If the strong solution (X, K) and the Lyapunov function F satisfy for any $t \geq 0$*

$$\langle \partial_x F(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}), dK_t \rangle + \mathbb{E} \langle (\partial_\mu F)(x, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})(X_t), dK_t \rangle |_{x=X_t} \geq 0,$$

then X is almost surely asymptotically stable, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |X_t| = 0 \right\} = 1.$$

Proof. First of all, since under (**H_{1.1}'**) (**H_{1.3}**) Eq.(1) has a unique strong solution (X, K) with the initial value $(x_0, 0)$, the distribution family $\{\mathcal{L}_{X_t}\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is known. Thus, we rewrite Eq.(1) as

$$X_t = x_0 - K_t + \int_0^t \tilde{b}(u, X_u) du + \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u) dW_u, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

where $\tilde{b}(u, X_u) := b(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u})$, $\tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u) := \sigma(X_u, \mathcal{L}_{X_u})$. That is, Eq.(21) is a nonhomogeneous multivalued SDE. Set $\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0, |X_t| > n\}$. Now applying Itô's formula to $|X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0|^2$ for $s \geq 0$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0|^2 &= -2 \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \langle X_u - x_0, dK_u \rangle + 2 \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} (X_u - x_0) \tilde{b}(u, X_u) du \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \langle X_u - x_0, \tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u) dW_u \rangle + \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \|\tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u)\|^2 du \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} |X_u - x_0| |\tilde{b}(u, X_u)| du + \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \|\tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u)\|^2 du \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \langle X_u - x_0, \tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u) dW_u \rangle \\ &\leq \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} |X_u - x_0|^2 du + \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} |\tilde{b}(u, X_u)|^2 du + \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \|\tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u)\|^2 du \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \langle X_u - x_0, \tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u) dW_u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (**H_{1.1}'**) and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0|^2 \right) &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} |X_u - x_0|^2 du + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} (1 + |X_u|^2 + n^2) du \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} |X_u - x_0|^2 \|\tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u)\|^2 du \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} |X_u - x_0|^2 du + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} (1 + |X_u|^2 + n^2) du \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0|^2 \right) + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \|\tilde{\sigma}(u, X_u)\|^2 du \right), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0|^2 \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} |X_u - x_0|^2 du + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} (1 + |X_u|^2 + n^2) du.$$

So, based on the boundedness of X_t we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0|^2 \right) \leq C \mathbb{E}(t \wedge \tau_n) \leq Ct,$$

where $C > 0$ is depending on L'_1 , x_0 and n . Then from the Chebyshev inequality, it follows that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_{s \wedge \tau_n} - x_0| > \lambda \right\} \leq \frac{Ct}{\lambda^2}. \quad (22)$$

Next, we follow up the line in [7, Theorem 5.2] and apply (22) to obtain that

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |X_t| = 0 \right\} = 1.$$

The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Cépa: Équations différentielles stochastiques multivoques, *Lect Notes in Math Séminaire Prob XXIX. Berlin: Springer*, 1995: 86–107.
- [2] E. Cépa: Probleme de Skorohod Multivoque, *Ann Prob*, 1998, 26(2): 500-532.
- [3] H. Chi: Multivalued stochastic McKean-Vlasov equation, *Acta Math Sci*, 2014, 34B(6): 1731-1740.
- [4] P. Cardaliaguet: *Notes on mean field games (from P.L. Lion's lectures at Collège de France)*. <https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/cardalia/MFG100629.pdf>.
- [5] J.-F. Chassagneux, D. Crisan and F. Delarue: Classical solutions to the master equation for large population equilibria, arXiv:1411.3009.
- [6] X. Ding and H. Qiao: Euler-Maruyama approximations for stochastic McKean-Vlasov equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients, to appear in *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 2020.
- [7] X. Ding and H. Qiao: Stability for stochastic McKean-Vlasov equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients, *SIAM J. Control Optim*, 59 (2)(2021)887-905.
- [8] W. Hammersley, D. Siska and L. Szpruch: McKean-Vlasov SDEs under Measure Dependent Lyapunov Conditions, arXiv:1802.03974v2.
- [9] J. Horowitz and R. L. Karandikar: Mean rates of convergence of empirical measures in the Wasserstein metric, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 55(1994)261-273.
- [10] A. Ichikawa: Stability of semilinear stochastic evolution equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*, 90(1982)12-44.
- [11] M. Kac: *Foundations of kinetic theory*. In Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1954–1955, vol. III, pages 171–197. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1956.
- [12] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve: *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 113, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [13] J. Li and H. Min: Weak solutions of mean-field stochastic differential equations, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 35(3)(2017)542-568.
- [14] McKean, Henry P. A Class of Markov Processes Associated with Nonlinear Parabolic Equations. *Proc.N.S.A.*, 1966, 56(6): 1907-1911.

- [15] H. Qiao and J.-L. Wu: Path independence of the additive functionals for McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equations with jumps, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 24(2021)2150006 (20 pages).
- [16] Y. Ren and J. Wang: Large deviation for mean-field stochastic differential equations with subdifferential operator, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 34(2)(2016)318-338.
- [17] J. Ren, J. Wu and X. Zhang: Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 134(2010)391-404.
- [18] F.-Y. Wang: Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 128(2018)595-621.
- [19] X. Zhang: Skorohod problem and multivalued stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces, *Bull Sci Math*, 2007, 131(2): 175-217.