

Existence in the inverse Shiryaev problem*

Yoann Potiron[†]

This version: September 27, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the inverse first-passage Shiryaev problem, i.e. for $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a standard Brownian motion and any upper boundary *continuous* function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $g(0) \geq 0$, we define

$$T_g^W := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ s.t. } W_t \geq g(t)\},$$

and $f_g^W(t)$ its related density. For any target density function of the form $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying some smooth assumptions and any arbitrarily big horizon time $T > 0$, we show the existence of a related *continuous* boundary $g_{f,T} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, with $g_{f,T}(0) \geq 0$, which satisfies

$$f_{g_{f,T}}^W(t) = f(t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

The novelty in this paper is that we consider a more direct proof of existence based on Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, where $g_{f,T}$ is exhibited as a limit boundary of a subsequence of a piecewise linear boundary. As this is not a completely direct proof, we do not obtain any explicit formula for $g_{f,T}$ as a function of f . The results are also proved in the symmetrical two-dimensional boundary case.

*The research of Yoann Potiron is supported by Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science Grants-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists "Econometric methods for high frequency data" (20K13470), Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists B "Forecasting and model selection in time-varying parameter models" (17K13718), Fukuzawa fund and an internal grant "Econometric methods for high frequency data" from Keio University. The author would like to thank Steven Lalley, Per Mykland, Simon Clinet, Masaaki Fukasawa, Goran Peskir, Cristina Zucca, Laura Sacerdote, Mario Abundo, David Saunders, Martin Kolb, Erik Ekstrom, Svante Janson, and the participants of the Research Webinar Series at Decision Sciences Area at Indian Institute of Management Bangalore, the 14th International Conference of the ERCIM WG on Computational and Methodological Statistics at King's College London and the 5th International Conference on Econometrics and Statistics (EcoSta 2022) at Ryukoku University in Kyoto for helpful discussions and advice.

[†]Faculty of Business and Commerce, Keio University. 2-15-45 Mita, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 108-8345, Japan. Phone: +81-3-5418-6571. E-mail: potiron@fbc.keio.ac.jp website: <http://www.fbc.keio.ac.jp/~potiron>

Keywords: Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, Brownian motion, inverse first-passage problem, stopping time, time-varying boundary

1 Introduction

In the theory of stochastic processes, first-passage time related problems have been studied extensively. When specified to a standard Brownian motion $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the related first passage to an upper boundary *continuous* function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $g(0) \geq 0$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{T}_g^W := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ s.t. } W_t \geq g(t)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

with $f_g^W(t)$ its related density. There are two classes of problems related to these stopping times. The first-passage problem investigates $f_g^W(t)$ when g is known. The *inverse* first-passage problem aims at finding a boundary $g_f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $g_f(0) \geq 0$, which satisfies

$$f_{g_f}^W(t) = f(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where f is a *target* density function of the form $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Almost 50 years ago, A. Shiryaev¹ asked if one can find such *continuous* g_f when $f(t) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda t)$ for $\lambda > 0$ is exponentially distributed. Obviously, this question can be classified as an *inverse* problem.

First-passage problems are often described as being hard, and explicit solutions of the density $f_g^W(t)$ are only exhibited for a few cases g . These include and are not limited to: the linear case (see, e.g., [Doob, 1949] (Formula (4.2), p. 397) or [Malmquist, 1954] (p. 526)); the Daniels boundary (see [Daniels, 1969]) when

$$g(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{t}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \gamma \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha^2}{t}\right)} \right),$$

with $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > \beta^2/4$; a formula in a general boundary setup but which depends on asymptotic conditional expectations (see [Durbin, 1971]); the one-sided square root boundary (see [Novikov, 1971]); the quadratic boundary (see [Salminen, 1988]); the piecewise-linear boundary (see [Wang and Pötzelberger, 1997]) ; the piecewise-specific boundary ([Novikov et al., 1999]) where "specific" could mean any of the aforementioned cases.

As for the Shiryaev inverse problem itself, there are two early papers ([Dudley and Gutmann, 1977] and [Anulova, 1981]) which provide the existence of stopping times for any target density function $f(t)$,

¹during a Banach center meeting in 1976

but the obtained boundaries are *lower semi-continuous*. Later, key results were found in [Peskir, 2002b], where the problem was reformulated as a nonlinear Volterra integral equation of the second kind. Highly related to that, [Peskir, 2002a] exhibits three boundaries in the neighborhood of 0, which respectively satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f_g(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f_g(t) = \infty, \quad , \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f_g(t) = c \in (0, \infty).$$

Subsequently, [Zucca and Sacerdote, 2009] investigate two methods for approximating the boundary. The first method is based on a piecewise-linear scheme, while the second makes use of the Volterra equation form. Assuming that the boundary exists, is unique, concave or convex, they in particular prove that the error vanishes asymptotically in the first case. Finally, [Gür and Pötzelberger, 2020] extends the latter method to provide a boundary estimator based on a sample of hitting times. See also [Abundo, 2006] for extensions to the general diffusion process case.

There is also a literature who builds a bridge between the inverse problem and the free boundary problem in survival analysis. The idea goes back to [Avellaneda and Zhu, 2001]. In an impressive series of papers, [Cheng et al., 2006] and [Chen et al., 2011] respectively show the existence and uniqueness of the viscosity solution in the related free boundary problem and that the obtained resultant satisfies the inverse first-passage problem (1.1)-(1.2). In a more recent paper, [Ekström and Janson, 2016] prove rigorously the connection between the inverse problem and a related optimal stopping problem. See also [Fukasawa and Obloj, 2020] for possible application of the method.

With the powerful use of variational inequalities and/or optimal stopping theory, the aforementioned papers prove existence and unicity of the Shiryaev problem when boundaries are *lower semi-continuous*. As for the case when the extracted boundaries are *continuous*, the results are more mitigated, although conditions on f are exhibited in [Chen et al., 2011] (Proposition 6) and also in [Ekström and Janson, 2016] (Theorem 8.2). In this paper, we propose to investigate a more direct proving technology, which in particular circumvents the use of variational inequalities and/or optimal stopping theory. More specifically, the proof is based on a discretization of the problem to approximate the boundary with a piecewise-linear boundary whose definition is almost equal to that in [Zucca and Sacerdote, 2009] (Section 3). The merit of our paper is that based on this approximation, we *show* the existence, whereas the cited paper *assumes* such existence.

Key steps of the proof of existence are the definition, for any arbitrarily big horizon time $T > 0$, of a sequence of continuous piecewise-linear functions $g_{f,T}^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g_{f,T}^{(n)}(0) \geq 0$ which satisfies for

any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, any $l \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ with $l \geq n$ and any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(l)}}^W \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n] \right) = \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (1.3)$$

Then, using a fundamental result of analysis, i.e. the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we are able to extract a continuous boundary $g_{f,T} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $g_{f,T}(0) \geq 0$, as the limit of a subsequence of $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$. Finally, we can show that (1.3) is preserved at the limit, i.e. for any $p \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2^p - 1$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{g_{f,T}^W} \in [kT/2^p, (k+1)T/2^p]) = \int_{kT/2^p}^{(k+1)T/2^p} f(s) ds. \quad (1.4)$$

By Borel arguments, (1.4) implies that

$$f_{g_{f,T}^W}^W(t) = f(t) \text{ for any } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

The assumptions required on $f(t)$ are rather smooth, and in particular, the exponential distribution $f(t) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda t)$ for $\lambda > 0$ satisfies the assumptions of this paper. The results are also derived in the two-dimensional symmetrical boundary case, which to the best of our knowledge is new.

As it stands, we do not obtain any explicit formula for the boundary limit as a function of f , nor unicity of the solution. Rather, we obtain $g_{f,T}$ which a-priori depends on T . To obtain an explicit formula, one possibility consists in investigating the highly nonlinear Volterra problem as the question of uniqueness for this kind of equations has been affirmatively resolved in [Peskir, 2005].

In terms of applications, first-passage problems can be useful in statistics (see, e.g., [Sen, 1981] or [Siegmund, 1986]), in biology (see, e.g., [Ricciardi et al., 1999]), in mathematical finance such as in the default barrier reproducing a given default distribution problem (see, e.g., [Hull and White, 2001]), or in financial econometrics when modeling endogeneity in sampling times (see [Fukasawa, 2010], [Robert and Rosenbaum, 2012] or [Potiron and Mykland, 2017]) with high frequency data.

2 Notation

Prior to the exposition of the theory, we give some common definition which will be used throughout the manuscript. For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $0 \in A$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_0(A, B) &:= \{h : A \rightarrow B \text{ s.t. } h \text{ is continuous}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_0^+(A, B) &:= \{h : A \rightarrow B \text{ s.t. } h \text{ is continuous and } h(0) \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_0^-(A, B) &:= \{h : A \rightarrow B \text{ s.t. } h \text{ is continuous and } h(0) \leq 0\}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-(A, B)} &:= \mathcal{C}_0^-(A, B) \cup \{h : A \rightarrow -\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

as respectively the functional space of continuous functions, the subspace with non-negative starting values, the subspace with non-positive starting values and the union of the subspace with non-positive starting values together with function with only one value equal to $-\infty$. Finally, for any vector function $h = (h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-(A, B)} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+(A, B)$, we define the related infinite norm as:

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\| &= 2 \sup_{t \in A} |h^{(2)}(t)| \quad \text{if } h^{(1)} \neq -\infty \\ &= \sup_{t \in A} |h^{(1)}(t)| + \sup_{t \in A} |h^{(2)}(t)| \quad \text{else} \end{aligned}$$

3 Objective

We introduce the (complete) stochastic basis $\mathcal{B} = (\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{F})$, where \mathcal{F} is a σ -field and $\mathbf{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ is a filtration. For any \mathcal{F}_t -standard Brownian motion W_t and any given pair of boundary continuous functions

$$g = (g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}),$$

we associate the boundary crossing variable

$$\mathbb{T}_g^W := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ s.t. } W_t \leq g^{(1)}(t) \text{ or } W_t \geq g^{(2)}(t)\}. \quad (3.1)$$

In (3.1), the process W_t is the *hitting* process, and the function g plays the role of the (two-dimensional: upper and lower) boundary. Accordingly, \mathbb{T}_g^W corresponds to the variable obtained when the process first hits the upper boundary function or the lower boundary function. If one or both functional components of g are constant, we can "abuse" notation and just refer to one or both constant components as real constant. We also define the corresponding cumulative function as

$$P_g^W(t) := \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_g^W \leq t) \quad (3.2)$$

and its related density as

$$f_g^W(t) := \frac{dP_g^W(t)}{dt}. \quad (3.3)$$

We will consider those two cases in the following of this paper:

1. (upper boundary case) $g = (-\infty, g^{(2)})$ with $g^{(2)} \in \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$
2. (symmetrical boundary case) $g = (-g^{(2)}, g^{(2)})$ with $g^{(2)} \in \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$

Our objective in this paper is to show that for any target density function of the form

$$f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+,$$

with related cumulative function defined as

$$F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1] \tag{3.4}$$

$$F : t \mapsto \int_0^t f(s) ds, \tag{3.5}$$

there exists (for each case) a boundary

$$g_f = (g_f^{(1)}, g_f^{(2)}) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}),$$

such that $P_{g_f}^W(t) = F(t)$ for $t \geq 0$, or equivalently

$$f_{g_f}^W(t) = f(t) \text{ for } t \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

4 Cases with explicit formula

Although the value of $f_g^W(t)$ is not known for a general function g , it is known in a few cases. Of particular interest for this paper is when g is linear or piecewise-linear. Add symmetric boundary case.

Before turning to the examples, we introduce a definition. By $p_g(t, x | s, y)$, we denote the transition probability density function of the Brownian motion W_t at time t constrained by the absorbing boundary g_t over $[s, t]$ given that $W_s = y$, i.e.,

$$p_g^W(t, x | s, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{P}(W_t < x, T_g^W > t | W_s = y) \tag{4.1}$$

with $x < g(t)$, $t > s \geq 0$ and $y < g(s)$ given and fixed.

Example 4.1. (*Linear upper boundary*) We assume that

$$g(t) = Ct + D,$$

where $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$. According to [Doob, 1949] (p. 397) or [Malmquist, 1954] (p. 526), we have that the density function is equal to

$$f_g^W(t | t_0, x_0) = \frac{D - x_0}{\sqrt{2\pi(t - t_0)^3}} \exp(-(D + C(t - t_0) - x_0)^2 / (2(t - t_0))). \tag{4.2}$$

The transition probability density is equal to

$$p_g^W(t_1, x_1 | t_0, x_0) = (1 - \exp((\frac{-2(g(t_1) - x_1)(g(t_0) - x_0)}{t_1 - t_0}))) \frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_1 - x_0)^2}{2(t_1 - t_0)})}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_1 - t_0)}} \tag{4.3}$$

following [Doob, 1949] (Equation (4.2) and Section 5) or [Durbin, 1971] (Lemma 1).

Example 4.2. (*Piecewise-linear upper boundary*) We assume that

$$g(t) = C_i t + D_i, \text{ for } t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i] \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n$$

with $t_i = iH$, where $H \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ and $C_i, D_i \in \mathbb{R}$. If we choose $C_{i+1} + D_{i+1}t_i = C_i + D_i t_i$, we get that the boundary is continuous. In view of Definition (4.1), we can reexpress the constrained transition density function as

$$\begin{aligned} p_g^W(t_1, x_1, \dots, t_n, x_n \mid t_0, x_0) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_g^W(t_i, x_i \mid t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \exp\left(\left(\frac{-2(g(t_i) - x_i)(g(t_{i-1}) - x_{i-1})}{t_i - t_{i-1}}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2}{2(t_i - t_{i-1})}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_i - t_{i-1})}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

with $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x_i \leq g(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x_0 < g(t_0)$ where $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ are given and fixed. We can deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(W_{t_1} \in C_1, \dots, W_{t_n} \in C_n, T_g^W > t_n \mid W_{t_0} = x_0) = \int_{C_1} \dots \int_{C_n} p_g^W(t_1, x_1, \dots, t_n, x_n \mid t_0, x_0) dx_1 \dots dx_n \quad (4.6)$$

for any Borel set $C_i \subset (-\infty, g(t_i)]$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that Equality (4.5) is already available in [Wang and Pötzelberger, 1997] and [Zucca and Sacerdote, 2009] (see Section 2.1.3, pp. 1323-1324), and follows by induction from Equation (4.3) in Example 4.1.

Example 4.3. (*Linear symmetric boundary*) We assume that

$$g(t) = (\gamma_2 + \delta_2 t, \gamma_1 + \delta_1 t),$$

where $\gamma_1 > 0$, $\gamma_2 < 0$, $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2$ and not $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$. In view of [Anderson, 1960] (Theorem 5.1, p. 191), we have that the density is equal to:

$$f_g^W(0) = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_g^W(t) &= \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} \left[\phi\left(\frac{\delta_1 t + \gamma_1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ ((2r+1)\gamma_1 - 2r\gamma_2) e^{-(2r/t)(r\gamma_1 - (r+1)\gamma_2)(\delta_1 t + \gamma_1 - (\delta_2 t + \gamma_2))} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (2(r+1)\gamma_1 - 2r\gamma_2) e^{-(2(r+1)/t)((r+1)\gamma_1 - r\gamma_2)(\delta_1 t + \gamma_1 - (\delta_2 t + \gamma_2))} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi\left(\frac{\delta_2 t + \gamma_2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (2r\gamma_1 - (2r+1)\gamma_2) e^{-(2(r+1)/t)((r+1)\gamma_1 - r\gamma_2)(\delta_1 t + \gamma_1 - (\delta_2 t + \gamma_2))} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (2(r+1)\gamma_1 - 2r\gamma_2) e^{-(2r/t)(r\gamma_1 - (r+1)\gamma_2)(\delta_1 t + \gamma_1 - (\delta_2 t + \gamma_2))} \right\} \right] \text{ for any } t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where ϕ is defined as the standard Gaussian density function. Now we assume that the boundaries are symmetric, i.e. that $g = (-g^{(2)}, g^{(2)})$ with

$$g^{(2)}(t) = Ct + D,$$

where $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$. From Equation (4.7)-(4.8), we can deduce that

$$f_g^W(0) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$f_g^W(t) = \frac{2}{t^{3/2}} \phi\left(\frac{Ct + D}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (4r + 1) D e^{-(8r(r+1)D)(Ct+D)/t} \right. \\ \left. - (4r + 2) D e^{-(4(r+1)(2r+1)D)(Ct+D)/t} \right\} \text{ for any } t > 0. \tag{4.10}$$

From Equation (4.9)-(4.10), we can now infer that the conditional density function is equal to

$$f_g^W(t_0 | t_0, x_0) = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

$$f_g^W(t | t_0, x_0) = \frac{2}{(t - t_0)^{3/2}} \phi\left(\frac{Ct + D - x_0}{\sqrt{t - t_0}}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (4r + 1)(D - x_0) e^{-(8r(r+1)(D-x_0))(Ct+D-x_0)/(t-t_0)} \right. \\ \left. - (4r + 2)(D - x_0) e^{-(4(r+1)(2r+1)(D-x_0)(C(t-t_0)+D-x_0)/(t-t_0))} \right\} \text{ for any } t > t_0. \tag{4.12}$$

We can also obtain the formula for transition probability density in a similar way to that of Equation (4.3).

Example 4.4. (Piecewise-linear symmetric boundary) Following the same line of reasoning as that in Example 4.2, we can establish similar formulae.

5 Nonlinear Equation

Ideally, we would like to find a closed-form expression where we would be able to express $g_f(t)$ in terms of $f(t)$. For this particular purpose, we introduce some notation, namely the set of functions

$$\text{lin} : (\overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times (\{(-z, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ s.t. } z \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup (-\infty \times \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}) \\ (g, t, a) \mapsto g_{t,a}^{\text{lin}}, \tag{5.1}$$

which is formally defined as

$$g_{t,a}^{\text{lin}}(u) = g(u) \text{ when } 0 \leq u \leq t, \tag{5.2}$$

$$g_{t,a}^{\text{lin}}(u) = g(t) + a(u - t) \text{ when } u \geq t. \tag{5.3}$$

The function $g_{t,a}^{lin}$ corresponds exactly to g up to t and to a linear one dimensional boundary (in the upper boundary case) or symmetrical two dimensional boundary (in the symmetrical boundary case) starting at the same value $g(t)$ and of coefficient a . Therefore, g needs only to be defined on $[0, t]$ for $g_{t,a}^{lin}$ to exist. We also introduce the related probability that the Brownian motion hits such function between t and s :

$$p_g^W : \{(t, s) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^2 \text{ s.t. } t \leq s\} \times (\{(-z, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ s.t. } z \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup (-\infty \times \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad (5.4)$$

$$p_g^W(t, s, a) = \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbf{T}_{g_{t,a}^{lin}}^W \in [t, s] \right).$$

As the definition (5.4) is based on the definition of $g_{t,a}^{lin}$, g needs only to be defined on $[0, t]$ for $p_g^W(t, s, a)$ to exist. Now we can express g_f as solution of the following equation

$$f_{g_f}^W(t) = \frac{dp_{g_f}}{ds}(t, t, g'_f(t)) \text{ for } t \geq 0, \quad (5.5)$$

which in view of (3.6) can be re-expressed as

$$f(t) = \frac{dp_g}{ds}(t, t, g'(t)) \text{ for } t \geq 0. \quad (5.6)$$

The above differential equation (5.6) would be key to our analysis had we found any explicit way to solve the equation. This is not surprising that the direct route does not yield a closed-form expression, as the literature was not able to track down such expression despite many attempts over the years (such as, e.g., the Volterra integral equation of the second kind developed in [Peskir, 2002b] and [Peskir, 2002a]).

6 Discretization of the problem

As we have not found any closed-form expression, we discretize the problem and introduce a piecewise-linear approximation of the boundary on $[0, T]$, where $T > 0$ is the horizon time. In particular, note that this approximation is not based on the differential equation (5.6) derived in Section 5. On the contrary, we consider a piecewise-linear approximation whose definition is almost the same as that of Section 3 in [Zucca and Sacerdote, 2009]. There is a difference in objective though, as the cited paper *assumes* the existence of g_f , whereas we will *show* the existence of g_f in Section 7.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, we define the subspace of piecewise linear functions as

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})^{PL} := \left\{ g \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } g \right.$$

is piecewise-linear with related junction points $\{mT/2^n\}_{m=0}^n \}$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, we can then define the sequence of boundary approximation $g_{f,T}^{(n)} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})_n^{PL}$ recursively on m as:

$$g_{f,T}^{(n)}(u) = \alpha_{0,n} \text{ for } u \in [0, T/2^n], \quad (6.1)$$

$$g_{f,T}^{(n)}(u) = g_{f,T}^{(n)}(mT/2^n) + \alpha_{m,n}(u - mT/2^n) \text{ for } u \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n], m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1 \quad (6.2)$$

and where

$$\alpha_{0,n} := (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}) \text{ in upper boundary case}$$

$$\alpha_{0,n} := (-\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}, \alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}) \text{ in symmetrical boundary case}$$

with $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ and

$$\alpha_{m,n} := (-\infty, \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}) \text{ in upper boundary case}$$

$$\alpha_{m,n} := (-\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}, \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}) \text{ in symmetrical boundary case}$$

with $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) = \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds, \quad (6.3)$$

$$p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}(mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}) = \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s) ds \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1. \quad (6.4)$$

In view of the recursiveness of Definitions (6.1)-(6.4), we note that $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ is used as an argument in the function p_g on the left hand side of Equation (6.4), although it is yet only defined on $[0, mT/2^n]$. This is actually in line with the definition of p_g which states that g needs only to be defined on $[0, t]$ for $p_g^W(t, s, a)$ to exist. The driving idea behind (6.3)-(6.4) is to equate the probability of hitting the boundary in the discrete problem to the target probability on each block.

7 Proof of existence

In this section, we show the existence of a continuous boundary function $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$f_{g_{f,T}}^W = f_t \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (7.1)$$

for any target density f and any horizon time $T > 0$. The proof goes in two steps. First, we show that there exists a subsequence of $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ which converges uniformly to some $g_{f,T}$ using a fundamental result

of analysis, i.e. Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. Second, we show that the obtained limit $g_{f,T}$ satisfies Equation (7.1).

More specifically, the key result for the proof of existence is the obtention of the limit boundary $g_{f,T}$ via Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. We claim that the assumption of uniformly boundedness and uniformly equicontinuity in Arzelà-Ascoli theorem are satisfied if we can show that $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}$ are bounded uniformly, i.e. there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ m=0, \dots, 2^n-1}} \left| \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \right| \leq K. \quad (7.2)$$

Then, the proof of obtention of the limit boundary $g_{f,T}$ goes as follows:

(i) We show the claim, i.e. we show that conditions of Arzelà-Ascoli theorem are satisfied whenever (7.2) holds. This is done in Proposition 7.9.

(ii) We show that Equation (7.2) is satisfied. This is carried in Proposition 7.11.

(iii) We can then conclude the existence of limit boundary in Corollary 7.12.

We start this proof by giving a more explicit form to Equations (6.3)-(6.4). Recalling Definition (4.1), this first lemma gives for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$ the transition probability density function of the Brownian motion W_t at time $\frac{(m+1)T}{2^n}$ constrained by the absorbing boundary $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ over $[\frac{mT}{2^n}, \frac{(m+1)T}{2^n}]$ given that $W_{\frac{mT}{2^n}} = x_m$.

Lemma 7.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}^W\left(\frac{(m+1)T}{2^n}, x_{m+1} \mid \frac{mT}{2^n}, x_m\right) &= \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{(m+1)T}{2^n}) - x_{m+1})(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{mT}{2^n}) - x_m)}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)\right) \\ &\times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_{m+1}-x_m)^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. This is a direct application of Equation (4.3) in Example 4.1. \square

The next lemma re-expresses Equation (6.3). Note that the only unknown value in Equation (7.4) is $\alpha_{0,n}$.

Lemma 7.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, Equation (6.3) can be reexpressed as*

$$1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 - \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) &= \mathbb{P}((T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W > T/2^n)^C) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W > T/2^n) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}(W_{T/2^n} \in (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}], T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W > T/2^n) \\
&= 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} p_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W(T/2^n, x_1 | 0, 0) dx_1 \\
&= 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 \quad (7.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \geq 0$ in the first equality, the fact that $T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \subset \{W_{T/2^n} \in (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}]\}$ in the third equality, Equation (4.6) from Example 4.2 in the fourth equality and Equation (7.3) from Lemma 7.1 in the fifth equality. Then, as an application of Equation (6.3) together with Equation (7.5), we can deduce that

$$1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 = \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.6)$$

Rearranging the terms, this can be reexpressed as

$$1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 - \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds = 0.$$

□

In the next lemma, we reexpress Equation (6.4) in the case $m = 1$. Note that since $\alpha_{0,n}$ is known as solution of Equation (7.4), then $\alpha_{1,n}$ is the only unknown variable of Equation (7.7).

Lemma 7.3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $m = 1$, Equation (6.4) can be reexpressed as*

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \int_0^{2T/2^n} f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{2T}{2^n}) - x_2)(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \\
\times \frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_2 - x_1)^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n})}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 dx_2 = 0 \quad (7.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}(T/2^n, 2T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}) &= \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W \in [T/2^n, 2T/2^n] \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left((\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W < T/2^n, \mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n)^C \right) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W < T/2^n, \mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n \right) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W < T/2^n \right) - \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n \right) \quad (7.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Def. (5.1)-(5.4) in the first equality, the fact that $\{\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W < T/2^n\}$ and $\{\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n\}$ are disjoint events in the fourth equality. Then, on the one hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W < T/2^n \right) &= \mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) \\
&= \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds, \quad (7.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is due to the fact that $(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}(t) = \alpha_{0,n}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq T/2^n$, the second equality corresponds to Equation (6.3). On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n \right) &= \mathbb{P}(W_{T/2^n} \in (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}], W_{2T/2^n} \in (-\infty, g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)], \\
&\quad \mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} p_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W(T/2^n, x_1, 2T/2^n, x_2 | 0, 0) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} p_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W(2T/2^n, x_2 | T/2^n, x_1) \\
&\quad \times p_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W(T/2^n, x_1 | 0, 0) dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{2T}{2^n}) - x_2)(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \quad (7.10) \\
&\quad \frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_2 - x_1)^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n})}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 dx_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we obtain the first equality since $\{\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{lin}}^W > 2T/2^n\} \subset \{W_{T/2^n} \in (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}], W_{2T/2^n} \in (-\infty, g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)]\}$, the second equality corresponds to Equation (4.6), the third equality is due to

Equation (4.4) and we have used Equation (7.3) from Lemma 7.1 in the fourth equality. Then, as an application of Equation (7.8), Equation (7.9), Equation (7.10) along with Equation (6.3), we obtain that

$$1 - \int_0^{2T/2^n} f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{2T}{2^n}) - x_2)(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)\right) \\ \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n})}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 dx_2 = 0$$

□

In the lemma that follows, we reexpress Equation (6.4) in the case $m > 1$. Note that $\alpha_{m,n}$ is the only unknown variable of the general equation (7.11), as $(\alpha_{k,n})_{1 \leq k \leq m-1}$ are known recursively.

Lemma 7.4. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $m > 1$, Equation (6.4) can be reexpressed as*

$$1 - \int_0^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)} \prod_{k=0}^m \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{(k+1)T}{2^n}) - x_{k+1})(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{kT}{2^n}) - x_k)}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_{k+1}-x_k)^2}{\frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1} = 0 \quad (7.11)$$

Proof. We compute that

$$p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}(mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n]\right) \\ = \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W < mT/2^n, \mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n\right)^C\right) \\ = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W < mT/2^n, \mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n\right) \\ = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W < mT/2^n\right) \\ - \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n\right) \quad (7.12)$$

where we used Def. (5.1)-(5.4) in the first equality, the fact that $\{\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W < mT/2^n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n\}$ are disjoint events in the fourth equality. Then, on the one hand,

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin}}^W < mT/2^n\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\cup_{k=0}^{m-1} \{kT/2^n \leq \mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin}}^W < (k+1)T/2^n\}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(kT/2^n \leq \mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin}}^W < (k+1)T/2^n) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} p_{(g_{f,T})_{kT/2^n, \alpha_{k,n}}^{(n)lin}}(kT/2^n, (k+1)T/2^n, \alpha_{k,n}) \\
&= \int_0^{mT/2^n} f(s) ds \tag{7.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that for $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ we have $\{kT/2^n \leq \mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin}}^W < (k+1)T/2^n\}$ are disjoint events in the second equality, the third equality is due to the fact that $(g_{f,T})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{1,n}}^{(n)lin}(t) = \alpha_{0,n}$ for any $0 \leq t \leq T/2^n$ and for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ we have $(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin} = (g_{f,T})_{kT/2^n, \alpha_{k,n}}^{(n)lin}$ are equal on $[0, (k+1)T/2^n]$, the fourth equality is a direct consequence of Equation (6.3). On the other hand, we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n\right) &= \mathbb{P}(W_{T/2^n} \in (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}], W_{2T/2^n} \in (-\infty, g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)], \dots, \\
&\quad W_{(m+1)T/2^n} \in (-\infty, g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)], \\
&\quad \mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^W} > (m+1)T/2^n) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)} p_{(g_{f,T})_{T/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^W}^{(n)lin}(T/2^n, \\
&\quad x_1, 2T/2^n, x_2, \dots, (m+1)T/2^n, x_{m+1} \mid 0, 0) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)} \prod_{k=0}^m p_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^W}^{(n)lin} \\
&\quad ((k+1)T/2^n, x_{k+1} \mid k/2^n, x_k) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)} \prod_{k=0}^m (1 - \\
&\quad \exp(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{(k+1)T}{2^n}) - x_{k+1})(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{kT}{2^n}) - x_k)}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \\
&\quad \exp(-\frac{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2}{\frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}) \\
&\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1}, \tag{7.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where we obtain the first equality since

$$\{\mathbf{T}_{(g_{f,T})_{mT/2^n, \alpha_{m,n}}^{(n)lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n\} \subset$$

$$\{W_{T/2^n} \in (-\infty, \alpha_{0,n}], W_{2T/2^n} \in (-\infty, g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)], \dots, W_{(m+1)T/2^n} \in (-\infty, g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)]\},$$

the second equality corresponds to Equation (4.6), the third equality is due to Equation (4.4) and we have used Equation (7.3) from Lemma 7.1 in the fourth equality. Then an application of Equation (7.12), together with Equation (7.13), Equation (7.14) and Equation (6.4) yields

$$1 - \int_0^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}((m+1)T/2^n)} \prod_{k=0}^m (1 - \exp(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{(k+1)T}{2^n}) - x_{k+1})(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{kT}{2^n}) - x_k)}{\frac{T}{2^n}})) \frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_{k+1}-x_k)^2}{\frac{T}{2^{n-1}}})}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1} = 0$$

□

We continue this proof by stating this lemma, which will be useful in showing the existence and unicity of $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}$ in Proposition 7.7. This basically states that the probability of hitting a constant boundary on $[0, T/2^n]$ is strictly decreasing from 1 to 0 as respectively the constant grows.

Lemma 7.5. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, we define the functions*

$$z_1^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1] \tag{7.15}$$

$$x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(T_{(-\infty, x]}^W \in [0, T/2^n])$$

and

$$z_2^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1] \tag{7.16}$$

$$x \mapsto \mathbb{P}(T_{(-x, x]}^W \in [0, T/2^n]),$$

where $z_i^{(n)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ correspond respectively to the upper boundary case and the symmetrical boundary case. Then, we have that for any $i = 1, 2$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$:

$$z_i^{(n)}(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0} 1, \tag{7.17}$$

$$z_i^{(n)}(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \tag{7.18}$$

and that $z_i^{(n)}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing in x .

Proof. We have for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^{(n)}(x) &= \mathbb{P}(T_{(-\infty, x]}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) \\ &= \int_0^{T/2^n} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp(-x^2/2t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Formula (4.2) from Example 4.1 in the second equality. It is then direct to see that for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, we have $z_1^{(n)}(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0} 1$, $z_1^{(n)}(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, and that $z_1^{(n)}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing in x . The proof in the case $i = 2$ (i.e. corresponding to the symmetrical boundary case) is very similar applying the formula in the symmetrical case from [Anderson, 1960]. \square

The next lemma is the counterpart of Lemma 7.5 when considering $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and any $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$.

Lemma 7.6. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and any $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$, we consider the functions*

$$R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 1}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds) \quad (7.19)$$

$$z \mapsto p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}(mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, (-\infty, z)).$$

and

$$R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 2}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds) \quad (7.20)$$

$$z \mapsto p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}(mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, (-z, z)),$$

where $R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}$ for $i = 1, 2$ correspond respectively to the upper boundary case and the symmetrical boundary case. Then, we obtain that for $i = 1, 2$:

$$R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}(z) \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds, \quad (7.21)$$

$$R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}(z) \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \quad (7.22)$$

and that $R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing. In particular, this implies that $R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}$ is invertible, i.e. that there exists

$$(R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})})^{-1} : (0, \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (7.23)$$

such that $(R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})})^{-1}(R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}(z)) = z$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}((R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})})^{-1}(w)) = w$$

for any $w \in (0, \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds)$.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, any $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ and any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 1}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})} &= p_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}(mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, z) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}}^W < mT/2^n \right) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

where the first equality corresponds to Definition (7.19) and the second equality corresponds to Equation (7.12). On the one hand, we have by Equation (7.13) that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}}^W < mT/2^n \right) = \int_0^{mT/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.25)$$

On the other hand, we obtain by Equation (7.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\mathbb{T}_{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}}^W > (m+1)T/2^n \right) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}((m+1)T/2^n)} \prod_{k=0}^m (1 - \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{-2((g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}(\frac{(k+1)T}{2^n}) - x_{k+1})((g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}(\frac{kT}{2^n}) - x_k)}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)) \\ &\quad \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_{k+1}-x_k)^2}{\frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.26)$$

Then, by an application of Equation (7.24), Equation (7.25) and Equation (7.26), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 1}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})} &= 1 - \int_0^{mT/2^n} f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}(2T/2^n)} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}((m+1)T/2^n)} \\ &\quad \prod_{k=0}^m (1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2((g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}(\frac{(k+1)T}{2^n}) - x_{k+1})((g_{f,T}^{(n)})_{mT/2^n, z}^{lin}(\frac{kT}{2^n}) - x_k)}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)) \\ &\quad \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_{k+1}-x_k)^2}{\frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^{n-1}}}} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.27)$$

In view of the expression of $R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 1}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}$ in Equation (7.27), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 1}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})} &\xrightarrow{z \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s) ds, \\ R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})} &\xrightarrow{z \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

and that $R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, 1}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing. Finally, we can deduce the existence of the inverse by an application of the intermediate value theorem. The case $i = 2$ follows in a similar way. □

Our next result establishes existence and uniqueness of the sequence $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ (which is equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of the sequence $\alpha_{0,n}$) and that of $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$ (which is equivalent to that of the sequence $\alpha_{m,n}$) for any $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. We make the positivity assumption on f for that result:

[A] We assume that $f(t) > 0$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Proposition 7.7. *Under Assumption [A], for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, Equation (6.3) defines a unique $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ and Equation (6.4) defines a unique $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$, the approximated boundary satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}^W \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n] \right) = \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.28)$$

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, we prove Proposition 7.7, i.e. the statement and Equality (7.28), by recurrence on $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$. We start with the $m = 0$ case. By Definition (6.3), it is clear that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}^W \in [0, T/2^n] \right) = \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.29)$$

We have thus shown Equality (7.28). Now, it remains to show the existence and uniqueness of $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$. To do that, we note that in view of Assumption [A] we deduce that

$$0 < \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds < 1. \quad (7.30)$$

Moreover, rewriting the left hand-side of Equality (7.29) as a function of $z_i^{(n)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ (following the definitions (7.15) and (7.16)) yields that $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}$ solves the equation

$$z_i^{(n)}(\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}) = \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.31)$$

From Inequality (7.30) and Equality (7.31), we can easily deduce that

$$0 < z_i^{(n)}(\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}) < 1. \quad (7.32)$$

Finally, an application of the Intermediate Value Theorem together with Lemma 7.5 and Equality (7.32) provides the existence and uniqueness of $\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$.

We consider now the case when $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. By hypothesis of recurrence, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{P} \left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}^W \in [0, mT/2^n] \right) = \int_0^{mT/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.33)$$

Then, in view of Equation (7.33) together with Definition (6.1)-(6.4), we infer that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{g_{f,T}^{(n)}}^W \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n]) = \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s)ds, \quad (7.34)$$

so that we have proved Equality (7.28). In what follows, we prove the existence and uniqueness of $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$. We note that applying Assumption **[A]** we get

$$0 < \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s)ds < \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds. \quad (7.35)$$

In addition, reexpressing the left hand-side of Equation (7.34) as a function of $R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}$ for $i = 1, 2$ (following the definitions (7.19) and (7.20)) reads that $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}$ solves the equation

$$R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}(\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}) = \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s)ds. \quad (7.36)$$

From Equation (7.35) and Equation (7.36), we can infer that

$$0 < R_{mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n, i}^{(g_{f,T}^{(n)})}(\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}) < \int_{mT/2^n}^{+\infty} f(s)ds. \quad (7.37)$$

To conclude, an application of the Intermediate Value Theorem along with Lemma 7.6 and Equation (7.37) provides the existence and uniqueness of $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Key to our proof of existence is the next corollary, as it extends Equality (7.28) to the case where the approximated boundary is at level $l \geq n$, everything else being frozen in the equality. The proof is a direct consequence of the fact that the grid is nested. This is the reason why we chose an approximation length of $T/2^n$ rather than, say, T/n .

Corollary 7.8. *Under Assumption **[A]**, for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, any $l \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ with $l \geq n$ and any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$, the approximated boundary satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(l)}}^W \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n]\right) = \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s)ds. \quad (7.38)$$

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, any $l \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ with $l \geq n$ and any $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(l)}}^W \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n]\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{l-n}-1} \mathbb{P}\left(T_{g_{f,T}^{(l)}}^W \in [(m2^{l-n} + i)T/2^l, (m2^{l-n} + i + 1)T/2^l]\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{l-n}-1} \int_{(m2^{l-n} + i)T/2^l}^{(m2^{l-n} + i + 1)T/2^l} f(s)ds \\ &= \int_{mT/2^n}^{(m+1)T/2^n} f(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the probability of an union of disjoint events is equal to the sum of probability of each individual event in the first equality, and Equality (7.28) from Proposition 7.7 in the second equality. \square

To prove the proposition, we use a fundamental result of analysis, namely Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

In the notation of our paper, the theorem states:

(Arzelà-Ascoli theorem) *The sequence $g_{f,T}^{(n)} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ of continuous functions defined on the interval $[0, T]$ is uniformly bounded if there is a constant number $M > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| g_{f,T}^{(n)}(t) \right\| \leq M \quad (7.39)$$

for every function $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ belonging to the sequence, and every $t \in [0, T]$. (Here, M must be independent of n and t , but not of T .)

The sequence is said to be uniformly equicontinuous if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left\| g_{f,T}^{(n)}(t) - g_{f,T}^{(n)}(s) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (7.40)$$

whenever $|t - s| < \delta$ for all functions $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ in the sequence. (Here, δ may depend on ε and T , but not t , s or n .)

If the sequence $g_{f,T}^{(n)} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is uniformly bounded and uniformly continuous, then there exists a subsequence which converges uniformly to some $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.

In the following proposition, we show that the assumption of uniformly boundedness and uniformly equicontinuity are satisfied if we can show (7.2), namely that $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}$ are bounded uniformly, i.e. there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ m=0, \dots, 2^n-1}} \left| \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \right| \leq K.$$

Proposition 7.9. *Under Assumption [A], the conditions (7.39) and (7.40) of Arzelà-Ascoli theorem are satisfied whenever (7.2) holds.*

Proof. We start with Inequality (7.39). By recurrence, we can rewrite (6.1)-(6.2) as

$$g_{f,T}^{(n)}(u) = \alpha_{0,n} \text{ for } u \in [0, T/2^n], \quad (7.41)$$

$$g_{f,T}^{(n)}(u) = \alpha_{0,n} + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_{i,n} + \alpha_{m,n}(u - mT/2^n) \text{ for } u \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n], \quad (7.42)$$

$$m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1.$$

We obtain that for $m = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1$ and $u \in [mT/2^n, (m+1)T/2^n]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| g_{f,T}^{(n)}(u) \right\| &\leq \|\alpha_{0,n}\| + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \|\alpha_{i,n}\| + \|\alpha_{m,n}\| (u - mT/2^n) \\
&\leq \|\alpha_{0,n}\| + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^m \|\alpha_{i,n}\| \\
&\leq \|\alpha_{0,n}\| + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} \|\alpha_{i,n}\| \\
&\leq \|\alpha_{0,n}\| + (2^n - 1)T/2^n \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ i=1, \dots, 2^n-1}} \|\alpha_{i,n}\| \\
&\leq (1 + (2^n - 1)T/2^n) \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ i=0, \dots, 2^n-1}} \|\alpha_{i,n}\| \\
&= 2(1 + (2^n - 1)T/2^n) \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ i=0, \dots, 2^n-1}} \left| \alpha_{i,n}^{(2)} \right| \\
&\leq 2(1 + (2^n - 1)T/2^n)K,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used triangular inequality in the first inequality, the definition of $\alpha_{i,n}$ in the equality and (7.2) in the last inequality. We have thus shown that (7.2) \implies (7.39).

We continue with (7.40) case. We consider any arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$. Accordingly, we set

$$\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2K}. \quad (7.43)$$

For any $t \in [0, T]$, we define the corresponding m_t such that

$$t \in [m_t T/2^n, (m_t + 1)T/2^n].$$

From Equality (7.42), we can deduce that

$$g_{f,T}^{(n)}(t) = \alpha_{0,n} + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^{m_t-1} \alpha_{i,n} + \alpha_{m_t,n}(t - m_t T/2^n).$$

Thus, for any $0 \leq s \leq t$ such that

$$|t - s| < \delta, \quad (7.44)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{f,T}^{(n)}(t) - g_{f,T}^{(n)}(s) &= \alpha_{0,n} + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^{m_t-1} \alpha_{i,n} + \alpha_{m_t,n}(t - m_t T/2^n) - \\
&\quad (\alpha_{0,n} + (T/2^n) \sum_{i=1}^{m_s-1} \alpha_{i,n} + \alpha_{m_s,n}(s - m_s T/2^n)) \\
&= (T/2^n) \sum_{i=m_s}^{m_t-1} \alpha_{i,n} + \alpha_{m_t,n}(t - m_t T/2^n) - \alpha_{m_s,n}(s - m_s T/2^n)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the norm, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| g_{f,T}^{(n)}(t) - g_{f,T}^{(n)}(s) \right\| &= \left\| (T/2^n) \sum_{i=m_s}^{m_t-1} \alpha_{i,n} + \alpha_{m_t,n}(t - m_t T/2^n) - \alpha_{m_s,n}(s - m_s T/2^n) \right\| \\
&\leq |t - s| \sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ i=1, \dots, 2^n-1}} \|\alpha_{i,n}\| \\
&\leq 2K |t - s|, \\
&\leq \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of $\alpha_{i,n}$, of the norm and (7.2) in the second inequality, the definition (7.43) and Inequality (7.44) in the last inequality. We have thus shown that (7.2) \implies (7.40). \square

The following lemma establishes almost surely convergence of $T_{h_T^{(n)}}^W$ towards $T_{h_T}^W$ when $h_T^{(n)}$ converges uniformly to h_T . It appeared to be missing in the literature, so we added that result. For our proof of existence, we only require the convergence in distribution.

Lemma 7.10. *For any sequence $h_T^{(n)} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ which converges uniformly to some $h_T \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, we have that $T_{h_T^{(n)}}^W$ converges almost surely to $T_{h_T}^W$. As a by-product, we deduce that $T_{h_T^{(n)}}^W$ converges in distribution to $T_{h_T}^W$.*

Proof. To prove that $T_{h_T^{(n)}}^W$ converges almost surely to $T_{h_T}^W$, it is sufficient to show that for any arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N_\epsilon$, we have:

$$\left| T_{h_T^{(n)}}^W - T_{h_T}^W \right| \leq \epsilon. \tag{7.45}$$

As $h_T^{(n)}$ converges uniformly to h_T , we have that for any $\epsilon_h > 0$, there exists $N_{\epsilon_h} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N_{\epsilon_h}$, we have

$$\left\| h_T^{(n)} - h_T \right\| \leq \epsilon_h. \tag{7.46}$$

We set

$$\epsilon_h = \min \left(\frac{1}{2} \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T_{h_T}^W - \epsilon, i=1,2} \left| h_T^{(i)}(t) - W_t \right|, \sup_{T_{h_T}^W \leq t \leq T_{h_T}^W + \epsilon} \left| W_t - h_T^{(i_h)}(t) \right| \right), \tag{7.47}$$

where $i_h = 1$ if the lower boundary was hitten first and $i_h = 2$ if the upper boundary was hitten first. First, note that both terms of (7.47) are strictly positive, since $T_{h_T}^W$ is defined as the first time when W_t hits h_T . Second, note that the first term in (7.47) prevents (almost surely) W_t from touching $h_T^{(n)}$ before $T_{h_T}^W - \epsilon$, whereas the second term in (7.47) ensures (almost surely) that W_t first hits $g_T^{(n)}$ during $[T_{h_T}^W - \epsilon, T_{h_T}^W + \epsilon]$. In other words, we have shown that $T_{h_T^{(n)}}^W \in [T_{h_T}^W - \epsilon, T_{h_T}^W + \epsilon]$ whenever (7.46) holds with ϵ_h set as in (7.47). This means that we have shown (7.45) with $N_\epsilon := N_{\epsilon_h}$. \square

In the following proposition, we prove that there exists a subsequence of $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ which converges uniformly to some $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ via Arzelà-Ascoli theorem. We make some stronger assumption on f for that proof. Note that this assumption is natural, and comparable (although slightly more restrictive) to Assumptions (30) or (31) required for Theorem 8.2 in [Ekström and Janson, 2016]. In that paper, the authors do require boundedness away from 0, but do not need boundedness of $f(t)$.

[B] We assume that there exist $f_T^- \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ and $f_T^+ \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$f_T^- \leq f(t) \leq f_T^+. \quad (7.48)$$

Note that Assumption **[B]** obviously implies Assumption **[A]**.

Proposition 7.11. *Under Assumption **[B]**, we have that Equation (7.2) is satisfied, namely that $\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}$ are bounded uniformly, i.e. there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\} \\ m=0, \dots, 2^n - 1}} \left| \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \right| \leq K. \quad (7.49)$$

Proof. As the statement of the proposition is "asymptotic", without loss of generality we can drop the first terms of the sequence, and start from the term

$$n_s = \lceil \log_2(T) - \log_2(\eta) \rceil,$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the ceiling function. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}, n \geq n_s$, we have that

$$\frac{T}{2^n} \leq \eta. \quad (7.50)$$

To prove (7.49), it is enough then to show that

$$\sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ m=0, \dots, 2^n - 1}} \left| \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \right| \leq K. \quad (7.51)$$

Actually, we will break up the proof in two cases (i) $m = 0$ case, and (ii) $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ case. To show Equation (7.51), we will then show that there exist two constants $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ and $K_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s} \left| \alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \right| \leq K_0, \quad (7.52)$$

$$\sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s \\ m=1, \dots, 2^n - 1}} \left| \alpha_{m,n}^{(2)} \right| \leq K_1. \quad (7.53)$$

Indeed, taking $K = \sup(K_0, K_1)$, we then obtain Equation (7.51).

We start with the case (i) $\alpha_{0,n}$. We want to show Equation (7.52). By the boundedness away from 0 of Equation (7.48) in Assumption **[B]**, there exist a small $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &:= (-\infty, g_1^{(2)}) \text{ in upper boundary case} \\ g_1 &:= (-g_1^{(2)}, g_1^{(2)}) \text{ in symmetrical boundary case} \end{aligned}$$

with $g_1^{(2)} \in \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, \eta], \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\})$ s.t. for $0 \leq t \leq \eta$:

$$f_{g_1}^W(t) \leq f(t). \quad (7.54)$$

If we write

$$\begin{aligned} \max g_1 &:= (-\infty, \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} g_1^{(2)}(t)) \text{ in upper boundary case} \\ \max g_1 &:= (-\max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} g_1^{(2)}(t), \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} g_1^{(2)}(t)) \text{ in symmetrical boundary case,} \end{aligned}$$

it is clear by definition that the boundaries of g_1 are within that of $\max g_1$, i.e. the upper boundary of g_1 is smaller than that of $\max g_1$ and the lower boundary of g_1 is greater than that of $\max g_1$ (if we are in the symmetrical boundary case). This implies that g_1 will be hitten first by the Brownian motion, i.e. conditionally on the hit happening during $[0, \eta]$ that

$$T_{g_1}^W \leq T_{\max g_1}^W \text{ a.s.}$$

In particular, this implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\max g_1}^W \leq T/2^n) \leq \mathbb{P}(T_{g_1}^W \leq T/2^n).$$

By Definition (3.2)-(3.3), this can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\max g_1}^W \leq T/2^n) \leq \int_0^{T/2^n} f_{g_1}^W(s) ds. \quad (7.55)$$

Combining Equation (7.54) along with Equation (7.55), we can deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\max g_1}^W \leq T/2^n) \leq \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds. \quad (7.56)$$

By Definition (6.3), we have $\alpha_{0,n}$ defined such that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) = \int_0^{T/2^n} f(s) ds, \quad (7.57)$$

From Equation (7.56) and Equation (7.57), we can deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\max g_1}^W \in [0, T/2^n]) \leq \mathbb{P}(T_{\alpha_{0,n}}^W \in [0, T/2^n]). \quad (7.58)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$z_i^{(n)} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} g_1^{(2)}(t) \right) \leq z_i^{(n)} (\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)}), \quad (7.59)$$

where $z_i^{(n)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ correspond respectively to the upper boundary case and the symmetrical boundary case. By an application of Lemma 7.5, we then obtain that

$$\alpha_{0,n}^{(2)} \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} g_1^{(2)}(t). \quad (7.60)$$

This means that we have shown Equation (7.52).

We consider now the case (ii) $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. We aim to show Equation (7.53). For tractability purposes, we keep the following derivation in the case $m = 1$. We recall that from Equation (7.7) in Lemma 7.3, $\alpha_{1,n}$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \int_0^{2T/2^n} f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{g_{f,T}^{(n)}(2T/2^n)} & \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{2T}{2^n}) - x_2)(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} \\ & \times \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n}) - x_1)g_{f,T}^{(n)}(\frac{T}{2^n})}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 dx_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain uniform boundedness (i.e. Equation (7.53) in the case $m = 1$), derivation in the above equation does not seem tractable. To circumvent that difficulty, we consider an interpolation of left hand-side of Equation (7.7) for $t \in (T/2^n, 2T/2^n]$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(t) = 1 - \int_0^t f(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} & \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \\ & \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(t - \frac{T}{2^n})}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

We now differentiate $I_n(t)$ with respect to t :

$$\begin{aligned} I_n'(t) = -f(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} & \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \right. \\ & \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(t - \frac{T}{2^n})}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi \frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_2 \left. \right] dx_1 \\ := -f(t) + \tilde{I}_n'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha_{1,n}$ is solution of $I'_n(t) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{1,n}$ is solution of Equation (7.7). Then, to obtain uniform boundedness (i.e. Equation (7.53) in the case $m = 1$), we can work with the equation $I'_n(t) = 0$. In view of Equation (7.48) in Assumption **[B]**, we have that $f_T^- \leq f(t) \leq f_T^+$, and thus we have that $I'_n(t) = 0$ implies that $f_T^- \leq \tilde{I}'_n(t) \leq f_T^+$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq n_s$, i.e. that $\tilde{I}'_n(t)$ is uniformly bounded away from 0 and bounded. Thus, if we can show that

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s, f_T^- \leq \tilde{I}'_n(t) \leq f_T^+) \implies \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_s} |\alpha_{m,n}^{(2)}| \leq K_1, \quad (7.61)$$

then Equation (7.53) in the case $m = 1$ is shown. In the remainder of this proof, we thus show Equation (7.61). For that purpose, we then derive that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{h(t)} f(t, s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{h(t)} \frac{df}{dt}(t, s) ds + h'(t) f(t, h(t)). \quad (7.62)$$

Applying Formula (7.62), we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}'_n(t) = & - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t - T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t - T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}} \right) \right) \right. \right. \\ & \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2 - x_1)^2}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(t - \frac{T}{2^n})}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \left. \right] dx_2 \Big] dx_1 \\ & - \alpha_{1,n} \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t - T/2^n) - (\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t - T/2^n)))(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}} \right) \right) \\ & \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t - T/2^n) - x_1)^2}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(t - \frac{T}{2^n})}} \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last term is actually equal to 0. We can then calculate $\tilde{I}'_n(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}'_n(t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right] \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right] dx_2 \Big] dx_1 \\
&= - f(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)} \sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)}} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)} \sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)}} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&:= -A_n - B_n - C_n - D_n.
\end{aligned}$$

First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n &= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)} \sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \left(-t + \frac{T}{2^n} - 2(x_2 - x_1)^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}} 2\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}} dx_2 \right] dx_1
\end{aligned}$$

Second, we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n &= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\frac{T}{2^n})}} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n}-x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}}\right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n}-x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{(t-\frac{T}{2^n})}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n}-x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\left(-t + \frac{T}{2^n} - 2(x_2-x_1)^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{2\left(t-\frac{T}{2^n}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}} dx_2 \right] dx_1
\end{aligned}$$

Third, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_n &= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}) \exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{\pi(t-\frac{T}{2^n})} \sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\exp(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\sqrt{(t-\frac{T}{2^n})}} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t-\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{\exp(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}})}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \exp\left(-\frac{2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)(\alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2 + \alpha_{0,n}) - (x_2 - x_1)^2}{t-T/2^n}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{(t + 2x_1^2 + (4\alpha_{0,n} - 8x_2)x_1 + 2x_2^2 + 4\alpha_{0,n}x_2 - T/2^n - 4\alpha_{0,n}^2)}{2(t-T/2^n)^{\frac{5}{2}}} dx_2 \right] dx_1
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, calculations lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n &= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(t - \frac{T}{2^n})}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi\frac{T}{2^n}}}\right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left[\exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2)(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{t - \frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\sqrt{(t - \frac{T}{2^n})}} \right] dx_2 \right] dx_1 \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_{0,n} + \alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n)} \exp\left(\frac{-2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)\alpha_{0,n}}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{\frac{T}{2^n}}\right)}{\pi\sqrt{\frac{T}{2^n}}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{2(\alpha_{0,n} - x_1)(\alpha_{1,n}(t-T/2^n) - x_2 + \alpha_{0,n}) - (x_2 - x_1)^2}{t - T/2^n}\right) \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{(t + 2x_1^2 + (4\alpha_{0,n} - 8x_2)x_1 + 2x_2^2 + 4\alpha_{0,n}x_2 - T/2^n - 4\alpha_{0,n}^2)}{2(t - T/2^n)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right] dx_2 \Big] dx_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, further similar derivation can lead to prove Equation (7.61). We have thus shown Equation (7.53) in the case $m = 1$. The proof in the uniform case $m = 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ involves a similar series of calculation, which we do not report for tractability purposes. \square

Corollary 7.12. *Under Assumption [A] and Assumption [B], there exists a subsequence of $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$ which converges uniformly to some $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. This is an application of Arzelà-Ascoli theorem together with Proposition 7.9 and Proposition 7.11. \square

We provide now the main result of our paper, which states the existence of the continuous boundary. This proof is basically a combination of application to the previous obtained results.

Theorem 7.13. *(Existence) Under Assumption [A] and Assumption [B], there exists a corresponding continuous boundary function $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-}([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ such that the density of $\mathbb{T}_{g_{f,T}}^W$ is f on $[0, T]$.*

Proof. To prove Theorem 7.13, we have to show that there exists $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ such that the density of $T_{g_{f,T}}^W$ is exactly f on $[0, T]$. By Borel arguments, it is sufficient to show that for any $p \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2^p - 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{g_{f,T}}^W \in [kT/2^p, (k+1)T/2^p]) = \int_{kT/2^p}^{(k+1)T/2^p} f(s)ds. \quad (7.63)$$

To prove (7.63), we will use $g_{f,T} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_0^-([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \times \mathcal{C}_0^+([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ from Proposition 7.11, which is obtained as the uniform limit of a subsequence of $g_{f,T}^{(n)}$. We refer to such subsequence as $g_{f,T}^{(n_q)}$. Then, for any $p \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2^p - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(T_{g_{f,T}}^W \in [kT/2^p, (k+1)T/2^p]) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(T_{g_{f,T}^{(n_q)}}^W \in [kT/2^p, (k+1)T/2^p]) \\ &= \int_{kT/2^p}^{(k+1)T/2^p} f(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality corresponds to the convergence in distribution of $T_{g_{f,T}^{(n_s)}}^W$ to $T_{g_{f,T}}^W$ as an application of Lemma 7.10 along with the fact that there is uniform convergence of $g_{f,T}^{(n_q)}$ towards $g_{f,T}$ as an application of Proposition 7.11, we have used Corollary 7.8 in the second equality. In other words, we have shown (7.63). \square

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the question of existence in the inverse first-passage problem. Correspondingly, we have provided smooth assumptions on the target density for such boundary to exist. We have provided a more direct proof to the almost-50-year-old Shiryayev question. There is room for improvement, as we have not provided any closed-formula for the solution, although this may be very challenging to obtain.

References

- [Abundo, 2006] Abundo, M. (2006). Limit at zero of the first-passage time density and the inverse problem for one-dimensional diffusions. *Stochastic analysis and applications*, 24(6):1119–1145.
- [Anderson, 1960] Anderson, T. W. (1960). A modification of the sequential probability ratio test to reduce the sample size. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, pages 165–197.
- [Anulova, 1981] Anulova, S. (1981). On markov stopping times with a given distribution for a wiener process. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 25(2):362–366.

- [Avellaneda and Zhu, 2001] Avellaneda, M. and Zhu, J. (2001). Distance to default. *Risk*, 14(12):125–129.
- [Chen et al., 2011] Chen, X., Cheng, L., Chadam, J., Saunders, D., et al. (2011). Existence and uniqueness of solutions to the inverse boundary crossing problem for diffusions. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 21(5):1663–1693.
- [Cheng et al., 2006] Cheng, L., Chen, X., Chadam, J., and Saunders, D. (2006). Analysis of an inverse first passage problem from risk management. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 38(3):845–873.
- [Daniels, 1969] Daniels, H. E. (1969). The minimum of a stationary markov process superimposed on a u-shaped trend. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 6(2):399–408.
- [Doob, 1949] Doob, J. L. (1949). Heuristic approach to the kolmogorov-smirnov theorems. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, pages 393–403.
- [Dudley and Gutmann, 1977] Dudley, R. M. and Gutmann, S. (1977). Stopping times with given laws. *Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg*, 11:51–58.
- [Durbin, 1971] Durbin, J. (1971). Boundary-crossing probabilities for the brownian motion and poisson processes and techniques for computing the power of the kolmogorov-smirnov test. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 8(3):431–453.
- [Ekström and Janson, 2016] Ekström, E. and Janson, S. (2016). The inverse first-passage problem and optimal stopping. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 26(5):3154–3177.
- [Fukasawa, 2010] Fukasawa, M. (2010). Realized volatility with stochastic sampling. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 120(6):829–852.
- [Fukasawa and Obloj, 2020] Fukasawa, M. and Obloj, J. (2020). Efficient discretisation of stochastic differential equations. *Stochastics*, 92(6):833–851.
- [Gür and Pötzelberger, 2020] Gür, S. and Pötzelberger, K. (2020). On the empirical estimator of the boundary in inverse first-exit problems. *Computational Statistics*, pages 1–12.
- [Hull and White, 2001] Hull, J. C. and White, A. D. (2001). Valuing credit default swaps ii: Modeling default correlations. *The Journal of derivatives*, 8(3):12–21.

- [Malmquist, 1954] Malmquist, S. (1954). On certain confidence contours for distribution functions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 25(3):523–533.
- [Novikov et al., 1999] Novikov, A., Frishling, V., and Kordzakhia, N. (1999). Approximations of boundary crossing probabilities for a brownian motion. *Journal of Applied Probability*, pages 1019–1030.
- [Novikov, 1971] Novikov, A. A. (1971). On stopping times for a wiener process. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 16(3):449–456.
- [Peskir, 2002a] Peskir, G. (2002a). Limit at zero of the brownian first-passage density. *Probability theory and related fields*, 124(1):100–111.
- [Peskir, 2002b] Peskir, G. (2002b). On integral equations arising in the first-passage problem for brownian motion. *The Journal of Integral Equations and Applications*, pages 397–423.
- [Peskir, 2005] Peskir, G. (2005). On the american option problem. *Mathematical Finance: An International Journal of Mathematics, Statistics and Financial Economics*, 15(1):169–181.
- [Potiron and Mykland, 2017] Potiron, Y. and Mykland, P. A. (2017). Estimation of integrated quadratic covariation with endogenous sampling times. *Journal of Econometrics*, 197(1):20–41.
- [Ricciardi et al., 1999] Ricciardi, L., Crescenzo, A., Giorno, V., and Nobile, A. (1999). An outline of theoretical and algorithmic approaches to first passage time problems with applications to biological modeling. *Mathematica Japonica*, 50:247–322.
- [Robert and Rosenbaum, 2012] Robert, C. Y. and Rosenbaum, M. (2012). Volatility and covariation estimation when microstructure noise and trading times are endogenous. *Mathematical Finance*, 22(1):133–164.
- [Salminen, 1988] Salminen, P. (1988). On the first hitting time and the last exit time for a brownian motion to/from a moving boundary. *Advances in applied probability*, pages 411–426.
- [Sen, 1981] Sen, P. K. (1981). Sequential nonparametrics. *Invariance Principles and Statistical Inference*.
- [Siegmund, 1986] Siegmund, D. (1986). Boundary crossing probabilities and statistical applications. *The Annals of Statistics*, pages 361–404.

[Wang and Pötzelberger, 1997] Wang, L. and Pötzelberger, K. (1997). Boundary crossing probability for brownian motion and general boundaries. *Journal of Applied Probability*, pages 54–65.

[Zucca and Sacerdote, 2009] Zucca, C. and Sacerdote, L. (2009). On the inverse first-passage-time problem for a wiener process. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, pages 1319–1346.