

On the Application of Tschirnhaus Transformations to the Reduction of Algebraic Equations

A Translation by Alexander J. Sutherland

June 18, 2021

1 Original Bibliographic Information

1.1 German

- **Author:** Anders Wiman
- **Title:** Über die Anwendung der Tschirnhausen-Transformation auf die Reduktion algebraischer Gleichungen
- **Year:** 1927
- **Language:** German
- **Publisher:** Nova Acta Regiae Societatis Scientiarum Upsaliensis (Nova Acta R. Soc. scient. Uppsala)
- **Note:** Der Königl. Societät der Wissenschaften Zu Uppsala Mitgeteilt

1.2 English

- **Author:** Anders Wiman
- **Title:** On the Application of Tschirnhaus Transformations to the Reduction of Algebraic Equations
- **Year:** 1927
- **Language:** German
- **Publisher:** New Proceedings of the Royal Society of Scientists of Uppsala
- **Note:** Notice to the Royal Society of Scientists of Uppsala on 06 May 1927

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1944862.

2 The Translation

Part 1

¹We consider a general equation of n^{th} degree:

$$x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n = 0 \quad (1)$$

with roots x_1, \dots, x_n . We then apply a Tschirnhaus transformation, which has the general form

$$y = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}. \quad (2)$$

This will transform (1) into an equation

$$y^n + C_1y^{n-1} + \cdots + C_n = 0, \quad (3)$$

where the coefficients C_i are all homogeneous functions of degree i in the variables a_ν and include all such functions of weight up to i in the coefficients c_i . Tschirnhaus hoped to use this type of transformation to convert equation (1) to the binomial form in such a way that the determination of parameters a_ν should require the solution of equations of degree at most $n - 1$. As is well known, this is not the case, even though there have many attempts for the degree 5; this will never be the case, just like for [the problem of] trisecting an angle. However, the situation is completely different if the problem is formulated in the following way: Is it possible to satisfy the conditions

$$C_i(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (4)$$

in such a way that determining the parameters a_ν only requires equations of degree up to m , *when n is sufficiently large?* As a result of the following treatment for the case $m = 4$, it should not appear doubtful that question should also be decided in the affirmative for larger m . However, the general problem of determining the lower bound on n associated to each m appears to be very complex.

Section 2

Observe that

$$C_1(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = na_0 + \cdots.$$

If $C_1 = 0$, then a_0 is expressed linearly in the other parameters. The coefficients C_i , ($i = 2, \dots, n - 1$) are then homogeneous functions of degree i in the parameters a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} . In order to [find a point that will] satisfy a single condition

$$C_x = 0, \quad (x > 1)$$

it is evident that it is only necessary to find an intersection of the hypersurface $C_x = 0$ with an arbitrary straight line to solve an equation of degree x . If all the roots of (1) are real, then you cannot get a real solution for $x = 2$ because the hypersurface

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = C_2 = 0 \quad (5)$$

has only the trivial 0. In contrast, there are always real points on the surface $C_3 = 0$. Indeed, as you can easily see, the same is the case for all surfaces $C_x = 0$ ($x > 2$) if x is an even number.

¹Translator's Note: This is a translation of the original mathematics. In particular, errors in the text have not been fixed. The errors in question come from considering intersections in affine spaces instead of in projective spaces. Throughout this translation, there are additional footnotes with the identifier "Translator's Footnote:." These footnotes refer to remarks in Section 3 in which the translator provides additional mathematical commentary.

If one has $n \geq 5$, then one obtains the solution of (4) for $m = 3$ by the well-known Bring-Jerrard transformation, which is illustrated geometrically by F. Klein ² in the following way.³ First, a point P is obtained on the surface $C_2 = 0$, which, as noted above, can be done using a square root. If $n > 5$, we then consider a three-dimensional space R_3 which is tangent [to $C_2 = 0$] at P , which then has a hypersurface of degree 2 in common with $C_2 = 0$. A second square root is now required in order to select one of the two generators of this surface going through P . Determining an intersection of one of these generators with $F_3 = 0$ requires the solution of a degree 3 equation. Although only one pair of imaginary roots need to occur in the real equations $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 0$, at least two pairs of imaginary roots must exist to actually execute this transformation. Otherwise, there is no real line at $C_2 = 0$. This is due to the fact that if one converts

$$C_2(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$$

to a sum of $n - 1$ real squares, one gets the λ with the sign $-$, where 2λ denotes the number of imaginary roots.

Section 3

Now, let $n > 5$. We assume that a point P that lies on both $C_2 = 0$ and $C_3 = 0$ has been determined by the procedure given above. The associated coordinates are $a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{(0)}$. We write

$$\alpha_i - \alpha_i^{(0)} = \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2$$

and reconstruct C_2 and C_3 in terms of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}$.⁴ In this manner, by summing the terms with same total degree in the β_i , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \phi_1 + \phi_2 \\ C_3 &= \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi_3 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We want to solve the present problem in such a way that we determine a straight line going through P that lies on both the surfaces $C_2 = 0$ and $C_3 = 0$. If this is successful, then the further condition $C_4 = 0$ only requires the solution of an equation of the fourth degree. We denote the space with homogeneous coordinates a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} as a R_{n-2} , in accordance with the number that is its dimension.

The first conditions to be introduced are

$$\phi_1 = \psi_1 = 0. \tag{7}$$

The space R_{n-2} is then reduced to a R_{n-4} .⁵ If we consider the straight lines through P as elements of the space, the point space R_{n-4} has only $n - 5$ dimensions. From this perspective, we refer to it as \mathcal{L}_{n-5} and consider the subvarieties $\phi_2 = 0$, $\psi_2 = 0$, and $\psi_3 = 0$ inside it. If one takes any plane in this line space \mathcal{L}_{n-5} , then $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ have four elements in common - that is, four straight line generators. If $n > 7$, then a common straight line of $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ can be determined by solving a fourth degree equation. We denote such a straight line by ℓ_1 .

If we can complete the task in such a way that we get a plane tangent to $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$, then this result will be solved, as this plane intersects $\psi_3 = 0$ in three straight lines, so that everything else comes down to the solution of a degree three equation. The equations of the hyperplanes, which meet the subvarieties $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ along the straight line ℓ_1 are given by

$$\phi_1^{(1)} = 0, \quad \psi_1^{(1)} = 0. \tag{8}$$

²We refer to the in-depth treatment of F. Klein, *Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solution of the Equation of Fifth Degree*, Leipzig, 1884.

³Translator's footnote: See Remark 1 for the translator's summary of this argument.

⁴One can assume that $a_{n-1}^{(0)} \neq 0$, after possibly changing the indices, and then write $a_{n-1} = 1$

⁵Translator's footnote: See Remark 2 for the translator's summary of this argument.

We assume the relations (8) are satisfied. The R_{n-4} discussed above then reduces to an R_{n-6} . If we restrict to this \mathbb{P}^{n-6} , then elements of $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ appear as planes through ℓ_1 . However, there are exceptions for when $n = 7$, as R_{n-6} coincides with ℓ_1 , and for when $n = 8$, as $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ are reduced to the double-counted straight line ℓ_1 . We now replace the point space R_{n-6} with a space whose elements are the planes that contain the line ℓ_1 . This plane space obviously has dimension $n - 8$ and is denoted by \mathcal{E}_{n-8} . We now have the intersection of the quadratic [algebraic] manifolds $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ in R_{n-6} in the plane space \mathcal{E}_{n-8} . If $n \geq 10$, one concludes that only a fourth degree equation has to be solved to determine a common plane of $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ in \mathcal{E}_{n-8} . Therefore, we have the theorem:

If $n \geq 10$, the general equation (1) can be reduced to the form

$$y^n + C_5 y^{n-5} + \dots + C_n = 0 \tag{9}$$

by a transformation (2) in such a way that determining the parameters a_i requires only the solution of a finitely many quartic, cubic, and quadratic irrationalities.

Although an equation of the form (9) can be achieved with only two pairs of imaginary roots, at least three pairs of imaginary roots of (1) must exist for this transformation to be completed using only real numbers. It is only under this condition that the hypersurface $C_2 = 0$ contains real planes. However, if one wishes for not only necessary, but also sufficient conditions here, this does seem to be possible without fairly in-depth discussions.

Section 4

The case $n = 9$ was examined by D. Hilbert in a recently published work.⁶ It is first demonstrated how one can determine a three-dimensional space R_3 which is completely contained in the hypersurface $C_2 = 0$ and then one considers the degree three surface F_3 in this R_3 which is cut out by the hypersurface $C_3 = 0$. As is known, this surface F_3 only depends on four fundamental parameters. This is also particularly evident when one transforms only the left term of the equation to a sum of five cubes, for which it is necessary to solve a fifth degree equation. Hilbert now puts the general equation of ninth degree in the form

$$y^9 + C_5 y^4 + C_6 y^3 + C_7 y^2 + C_8 y + C_9 = 0 \tag{10}$$

by first determining one of the 27 straight lines on the surface F_3 and then intersecting one of these straight lines with the hypersurface $C_4 = 0$. Both the equation (10), where one can easily set $C_9 = 1$, as well as the equation of degree 27 are functions of only four parameters. The result of this is that the solution of the general equation of ninth degree only requires algebraic functions of four arguments in such a way that “one can get by with functions of one argument, sums, and two special functions of four arguments”.

It can be shown that, if the general equation of ninth degree is reduced to the form (10), then there is no need to solve an equation of degree higher than five, so that one of the special functions of four arguments above is unnecessary. At the end, we generalize our task set at (4) by using auxiliary equations larger than m , but with the restriction that each degree will still always be $< n$.

As in the previous case, we determine a point P on both $C_2 = 0$ and $C_3 = 0$ and still suppose the conditions (8) hold. Since $n = 9$ here, the left terms of the subcone $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ can be written in five homogeneous coordinates, such as z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 . We now look for the self-conjugate pentahedron common to both ϕ_2 and ψ_2 , which corresponds to solving a fifth degree equation.⁷ If this pentahedron is assumed to be a coordinate pentahedron, we have expressions for ϕ_2 and ψ_2 of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2 &= a_1 z_1^2 + a_2 z_2^2 + a_3 z_3^2 + a_4 z_4^2 + a_5 z_5^2 \\ \psi_2 &= b_1 z_1^2 + b_2 z_2^2 + b_3 z_3^2 + b_4 z_4^2 + b_5 z_5^2. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

⁶Über die Gleichungen neuten Grades, Math. Ann. 97, S. 243 (1926)

⁷Translator’s footnote: See Remark 3 for more exposition.

One can now eliminate any of the five variables from $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ and thus obtain five relations, one of which we write in the form

$$c_1 z_1^2 + c_2 z_2^2 + c_3 z_3^2 + c_4 z_4^2 = 0. \quad (12)$$

We take (12) as the equation of a degree two hypersurface and try to determine the corresponding straight line generators, which only requires taking square roots. The straight lines in this generating set are assigned to the values of a parameter λ and, likewise, the points of a specified generator are assigned to the values of another parameter t_1 and their coordinates z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 can be expressed linearly in both λ and t . According to (11), we get the relation for z_5 :

$$z_5^2 = a_2(\lambda)t^2 + b_2(\lambda)t + c_2(\lambda). \quad (13)$$

Since the elements of (11) are straight lines through P , it can be seen that a generator of (12) corresponds to a two-dimensional cone whose apex is P . Since this cone must have six generators in common with the hypersurface $\psi_3 = 0$, it follows that one need not use auxiliary equations of degree more than six when reducing the general equation of ninth degree to the form (10).

Section 5

This matter can be simplified by looking for a value of λ such that the two-dimensional cone splits into two planes. We need only solve the degree four equation

$$[b_2(\lambda)]^2 - 4a_2(\lambda)c_2(\lambda) = 0. \quad (14)$$

The generating system of (12) has four other conjugate pairs of planes, so that the total number of planes common to the subcones $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ is 16. According to the five different relations in four variables of the form (12), each of these planes can be paired with five others. Two planes that can be paired together intersect each other in a straight line. If not, they only intersect at P .

The theory of the intersection of the two cones $\phi_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$ is indeed well known.⁸ Their system of equations (11) is often used to study properties of a degree four surface with a double conic section. The 16 common planes of the two subcones correspond to the 16 straight lines lying on such a surface.

We have now demonstrated *that the general equation of ninth degree can also be converted to the form (9) without having to presuppose the solution of auxiliary equations which each depend on more than one parameter.* Among the auxiliary equations, however, there is one of fifth-degree, so we cannot get by with square roots and cube roots, as we can for $n > 9$. However, it seems to be difficult to prove strictly that the latter is not possible at all for $n = 9$.

⁸Translator's footnote: See Remark 4 for the translator's summary of this argument.

3 Translator's Notes

Remark 1. (Main Argument of Section 2)

Let \mathbb{A}^n be the affine space of Tschirnhaus transformations and \mathbb{P}^{n-1} its projectivization. $\mathbb{V}(C_1)$ is a hyperplane and thus $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. A rational point P of $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_2)$ can be determined over a quadratic extension of the base field. Then, the tangent hyperplane T at P can be computed rationally and will have dimension at least 4 in $\mathbb{V}(C_1) = \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ if $n > 6$. Hence $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_2) \cap T$ is a quadric that is singular at P in $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap T \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$. Consequently, a line in this cone can be determined by solving a quadratic polynomial and it suffices to intersect this line with $F_3 = 0$.

Remark 2. (Main Argument of Section 3)

To re-state Wiman's approach, this \mathbb{P}^{n-4} is obtained by projectivizing and then considering $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(\phi_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_1)$ inside \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . By shifting P to the origin (e.g. $[0 : \dots : 0 : 1]$), Wiman then uses a classical correspondence to consider the pencil of lines through P and identifies it as $\mathcal{L}_{n-5} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-5}$. Note that ϕ_2, ψ_2 , and ψ_3 induce hypersurfaces of the same degree in \mathcal{L}_{n-5} . If $n \geq 7$, a point Q of $\mathbb{V}(\phi_2) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-5}$ can be determined by solving a quartic equation. Moreover, by construction, the line ℓ_1 determined by P and Q lies in $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_2)$ in the ambient space.

Now, consider the tangent hyperplanes of $\mathbb{V}(\phi_2), \mathbb{V}(\psi_2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-4}$ defined by the polynomials $\phi_1^{(1)}$ and $\psi_1^{(1)}$. Consider the \mathbb{P}^{n-6} given by $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(\phi_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(\phi_1^{(1)}) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_1^{(1)})$. Every point not on ℓ_1 in $\mathcal{E}_{n-8} = \mathbb{V}(\phi_2) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-6}$ determines a plane on $\mathbb{V}(\phi_2) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_2)$ in the ambient space; this is possible when $n \geq 9$. Determining such a point Q' in \mathcal{E}_{n-8} determines a line $\ell_2 \subseteq \mathbb{V}(\phi_2) \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-5}$ and thus a point Q' of $\ell_2 \cap \mathbb{V}(\psi_3) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-5}$ can be determined by solving a cubic equation. However, the line determined by P and Q' lies inside $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_2) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_3)$ in the ambient space and thus a point of $\mathbb{V}(C_1) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_2) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_3) \cap \mathbb{V}(C_4)$ can be determined by solving a quartic equation.

Remark 3. (Pencils of Quadrics)

The forms ϕ_2 and ψ_2 define a pencil of quadratic forms in the five variables z_1, \dots, z_5 . The singular fibers of the pencil are given by the roots of the discriminant, which is a polynomial of degree 5. Hence, determining a singular quadric in the pencil corresponds to solving a degree 5 polynomial. Wiman then again uses the observation that a singular quadric is a cone.

Remark 4. (Degree 4 del Pezzo Surfaces)

Here Wiman is observing the fact that the intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4 is a degree 4 del Pezzo surface.