WELL-POSEDNESS AND AVERAGING PRINCIPLE OF MCKEAN-VLASOV SPDES DRIVEN BY CYLINDRICAL α -STABLE PROCESS

MENGYUAN KONG, YINGHUI SHI, AND XIAOBIN SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first study the well-posedness of a class of McKean-Vlasov stochastic partial differential equations driven by cylindrical α -stable process, where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Then by the method of the Khasminskii's time discretization, we prove the averaging principle of a class of multiscale McKean-Vlasov stochastic partial differential equations driven by cylindrical α -stable processes. Meanwhile, we obtain a specific strong convergence rate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $|\cdot|$. Let \mathscr{P} be the set of all probability measures on $(H, \mathscr{B}(H))$. For any $p \ge 1$, define

$$\mathscr{P}_p := \Big\{ \mu \in \mathscr{P} : \mu(|\cdot|^p) := \int_H |x|^p \mu(dx) < \infty \Big\}.$$

Then space $(\mathscr{P}_p, \mathbb{W}_p)$ is a complete metric space, where \mathbb{W}_p is the L^p -Wasserstein distance, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{W}_{p}(\mu_{1},\mu_{2}) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathscr{C}_{\mu_{1},\mu_{2}}} \left[\int_{H \times H} |x - y|^{p} \pi(dx,dy) \right]^{1/p}, \quad \mu_{1},\mu_{2} \in \mathscr{P}_{p},$$

where $\mathscr{C}_{\mu_1,\mu_2}$ is the set of all couplings for μ_1 and μ_2 .

We first consider the following McKean-Vlasov stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs for short) in H:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + B(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) dt + dL_t, \\ X_0 = \xi \in H, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where \mathscr{L}_{X_t} is the law of random variable X_t , $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \to H$ is a self-adjoint operator, which is the infinitesimal generator of a linear strongly continuous semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$. The process $L = (L_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a cylindrical α -stable process with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. ξ is an H-valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable, map $B : H \times \mathscr{P}_p \to H$ satisfies proper condition.

The McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equations (SDEs for short), also called distribution dependent SDEs, describe stochastic systems whose evolution is determined by both the microcosmic location and the macrocosmic distribution of the particle, see [21]. When the noise is the classical Brownian motion, the well-posedness of such kind of stochastic equations have been studied intensively (see e.g. [16, 17, 22, 31, 37] for finite dimension and

Date: June 11, 2021.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35R60.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic partial differential equations; Averaging principle; Multiscale; McKean-Vlasov; Cylindrical α -stable process.

e.g. [1, 13, 14, 15, 27] for infinite dimension). Further properties of the solution, such as large deviations, ergodicity, Harnack inequality and the Bismut formula for the Lions Derivative, which also have been investigated in many references (see e.g. [2, 19, 27, 28, 32]).

However, to the authors' knowledge, it seems no result about the well-posedness of the McKean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable process. Meanwhile, considering the cylindrical α -stable process has theoretically meaningful, for instance such kind of processes can be used to model systems with heavy tails in physics. Hence, the first purpose of this paper is study the well-posedness of equation (1.1). The main method is based on the classical contraction mapping principle.

Our second purpose is further to study the averaging principle for the following multiscale McKean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by cylindrical α -stable processes:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{\varepsilon} = \left[AX_t^{\varepsilon} + F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_t^{\varepsilon}}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \right] dt + dL_t, \quad X_0^{\varepsilon} = \xi \in H, \\ dY_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [AY_t^{\varepsilon} + G(X_t^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_t^{\varepsilon}}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})] dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} dZ_t, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = \eta \in H, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter describing the ratio of time scales between the slow component X^{ε} and fast component Y^{ε} . Measurable functions $F, G: H \times \mathscr{P}_p \times H \to H$ satisfy some appropriate conditions, and $\{L_t\}_{t \ge 0}$ and $\{Z_t\}_{t \ge 0}$ are mutually independent cylindrical α -stable process with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, \mathbb{P})$. ξ and η are two H-valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variables.

The averaging principle of stochastic system (1.2) is to describe the asymptotic behavior of the slow component X_t^{ε} as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which says that the slow component will converge to the so-called averaged equation in various senses. In this paper we intend to prove the slow component X^{ε} convergent to \overline{X} in the strong sense, i.e., for any initial values ξ and η which are two *H*-valued random variables having finite *k*-th moment for $m \in [1, \alpha)$, one tries to find a constant r > 0 such that for T > 0

$$\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^{\varepsilon}-\bar{X}_t|^m\right)\right]^{1/m} \leqslant C\varepsilon^r,\tag{1.3}$$

where C is a positive constant only depends on T, ξ and η , and \overline{X} is the solution of the corresponding averaged equation (see equation (3.21) below).

The theory of averaging principle was first developed for the ordinary differential equations by Bogoliubov and Mitropolsky [4], and extended to SDEs by Khasminskii [18] and SPDEs by Cerrai and Freidlin [7]. Nowadays, the averaging principle for slow-fast stochastic system has been drawn much attentions, see e.g. [5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 20, 23, 24, 30, 36, 38, 39] and the references therein.

In the distribution-independent case, the averaging principle for multiscale SDEs driven by α -stable processes has been studied in a number of papers. For instance, Bao et al. [3] prove the strong averaging principle for two-time scale SPDEs driven by α -stable processes. Chen et al. [8] prove the strong averaging principle for stochastic Burgers equations. Sun et al. [33] prove the strong and weak convergence rates for a class of multiscale SDEs driven by α -stable processes. Sun and Zhai [34] prove the strong averaging principle for stochastic Ginzburg-Landau equation. Sun et al. [35] prove the strong averaging principle for a class of singular SPDEs driven by α -stable processes.

In the distribution dependent case, it seems there are few results. For example, Röckner et al. [29] study the averaging principle for a class of slow-fast McKean-Vlasov stochastic

differential equations driven by Wiener noise. However unlike the Wiener noise, the cylindrical α -stable process only has finite *p*-th moment for $p \in (0, \alpha)$, thus some methods developed in [29] are not suitable to treat the cylindrical α -stable noises, therefore we require new and different techniques to deal with the cylindrical α -stable noise.

Note that the exponential ergodicity of the transition semigroup of the corresponding frozen equation plays an important role in the proof of strong averaging convergence. However it does not hold if the coefficient G in the fast component depends on the law of the fast component. In this situation, the corresponding frozen equation is also a McKean-Vlasov SPDEs. As a result, the corresponding Markov operator of the frozen equation $P_t f(y) := \mathbb{E}f(Y_t^{x,\mu,y})$ is not a semigroup anymore (see [37, (1.11)]), where $\{Y_t^{x,\mu,y}\}_{t\geq 0}$ denotes the unique solution of the frozen equation by fixed $x \in H, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_p$. Then it will brings some essential difficulties. Consequence, we focus on the coefficients depending only on the law of the slow component here.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we study the well-posedness of a class of McKean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable processes. In sections 3, we study the averaging principle for a class of multiscale Mckean-Vlasov SPDEs. Section 4 is the appendix.

We note that throughout this paper C and C_T denote positive constants which may change from line to line, where the subscript T is used to emphasize that the constant depends on T.

2. Well-posedness of McKean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable process

In this section, we first give some notations and assumptions. Then we prove the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution of a class of McKean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable processes.

2.1. Notations and assumptions. We recall the main equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + B(X_t, \mathscr{L}_{X_t}) dt + dL_t, \\ X_0 = \xi \in H, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a cylindrical α -stable process with $\alpha \in (1,2)$, which is given by

$$L_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k L_t^k e_k, \quad t \ge 0$$

where $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of H, $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a given sequence of positive numbers and $\{L_t^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of independent one dimensional symmetric α -stable processes satisfies for any $k \ge 1$ and $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iL_t^k h}] = e^{-t|h|^{\alpha}}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We suppose that the following conditions hold:

A1. A is a selfadjoint operator which satisfies $Ae_k = -\lambda_k e_k$ with $\lambda_k > 0$ and $\lambda_k \uparrow \infty$, as $k \uparrow \infty$, where $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathscr{D}(A)$.

A2. Suppose that there exists a constant $p \in [1, \alpha)$ such that

$$B(\cdot, \cdot): H \times \mathscr{P}_p \to H$$

Furthermore, there exists C > 0 such that for any $x, y \in H, \mu, \nu \in \mathscr{P}_p$,

$$|B(x,\mu) - B(y,\nu)| \leq C \left[|x-y| + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu,\nu)\right].$$

A3. Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha}}{\lambda_k} < \infty$.

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$H^s := \mathscr{D}((-A)^{s/2}) := \left\{ u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k e_k : u_k \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s u_k^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$(-A)^{s/2}u := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{s/2} u_k e_k, \quad u \in \mathscr{D}((-A)^{s/2}),$$

with the associated norm $||u||_s := |(-A)^{s/2}u| = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^s u_k^2)^{1/2}$. It is easy to see $||\cdot||_0 = |\cdot|$. The following smoothing properties of the semigroup $e^{t\tilde{A}}$ (see [5, Proposition 2.4]) will be

used quite often later in this paper:

$$|e^{tA}x| \leqslant e^{-\lambda_1 t} |x|, t \ge 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|e^{tA}x\|_{\sigma_2} \leqslant C_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} t^{-\frac{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1 t}{2}} \|x\|_{\sigma_1}, \quad x \in H^{\sigma_2}, \sigma_1 \leqslant \sigma_2, t > 0,$$
(2.3)

$$|e^{tA}x - x| \leqslant C_{\sigma} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} ||x||_{\sigma}, \quad x \in H^{\sigma}, \sigma > 0, t \ge 0.$$

$$(2.4)$$

2.2. The proof of well-posedness of equation (2.1). In this subsection, we shall prove the well-posedness of equation (2.1). To do this, we first give the definition of the solution.

Definition 2.1. We call a predictable H-valued stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with the initial value $\xi \in H$ a mild solution of equation (2.1) if the following statements are satisfied:

- i) $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ adapted. ii) $\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^t |e^{(t-s)A}B(X_s, \mathscr{L}_{X_s})| ds < \infty\right) = 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$
- iii) For any $t \ge 0$, $\{X_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ satisfies

$$X_{t} = e^{tA}\xi + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}B(X_{s},\mathscr{L}_{X_{s}})ds + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}dL_{s}, \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s..$$
(2.5)

Next, we present our first main result.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that assumptions A1-A3 hold. Then for any initial value $\xi \in H$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p < \infty$, equation (2.1) has a unique mild solution. Furthermore, if $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m < \infty$ for some $m \in [p, \alpha)$, then for any T > 0, there exists $C_{T,m} > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_t|^m \right)^{1/m} \leqslant C_T \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} \right].$$
(2.6)

Proof. The detailed proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1: For the fixed $p \in [1, \alpha)$ in assumption A2. Let $C([0, T], L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{P}; H))$ be the Banach space of continuous maps $\{Z_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ from [0,T] to $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{P};H)$ satisfying $\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}|Z_t|^p < 0$ ∞ . Let Λ_p be the closed subspace of $C([0,T], L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{P};H))$ consisting of measurable and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ adapted process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. Then Λ_p is a Banach space with the norm topology given by

$$||Z||_{\Lambda_p} := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{-\lambda t} \left[\mathbb{E} |Z_t|^p\right]^{1/p},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a large enough constant. We define by $C([0,T], \mathscr{P}_p)$ the complete metric space of continuous functions from [0, T] to $(\mathscr{P}_p, \mathbb{W}_p)$ with the metric:

$$D_T(\mu,\nu) := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{W}_p(\mu_t,\nu_t), \quad \mu,\nu \in C([0,T],\mathscr{P}_p).$$

For a fixed $\mu \in C([0,T], \mathscr{P}_p)$, we first study the well-posedness of the following equation:

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + B(X_t, \mu_t) dt + dL_t, \quad X_0 = \xi.$$
 (2.7)

Let us define the operator on Λ_p as follows:

$$(\Phi_{\mu}X)(t) = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}B(X_s,\mu_s)ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}dL_s.$$

On one hand, refer to [25, (4.12)], the assumption A3 implies that

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dL_s \right|^p \leqslant C_{\alpha,p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_k^\alpha}{\lambda_k} \right)^{p/\alpha} < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \alpha.$$
(2.8)

Note that by assumption A2, it is easy to see that

$$|B(x,\mu)| \leqslant C \left[1 + |x| + \mu(|\cdot|^p)^{1/p} \right], \quad \forall x \in H, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_p.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Then by (2.2), (2.8), (2.9) and Minkowski's inequality, it follows for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{split} \left[\mathbb{E}|(\Phi_{\mu}X)(t)|^{p}\right]^{1/p} &\leqslant \left(\mathbb{E}|e^{tA}\xi|^{p}\right)^{1/p} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[\mathbb{E}|e^{(t-s)A}B(X_{s},\mu_{s})|^{p}\right]^{1/p} ds + \left[\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}dL_{s}\right|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^{p}\right)^{1/p} + C\int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathbb{E}|X_{s}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} + \left[\mu_{s}(|\cdot|^{p})\right]^{1/p} ds + \left[\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}dL_{s}\right|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant C_{T,p}, \end{split}$$

which implies that $\|\Phi_{\mu}X\|_{\Lambda_p} < \infty$. It is easy to see that $(\Phi_{\mu}X)(t)$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ adapted process if $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is adapted, thus

$$\Phi_{\mu}: \Lambda_p \to \Lambda_p. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, for any $X, Y \in \Lambda_p$ with $X_0 = Y_0$, we have for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$e^{-\lambda t} \left[\mathbb{E} |(\Phi_{\mu}X)(t) - (\Phi_{\mu}Y)(t)|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \leqslant e^{-\lambda t} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \left[B(X_{s},\mu_{s}) - B(Y_{s},\mu_{s}) \right] ds \right|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ \leqslant e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{t} C \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{s} - Y_{s}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} ds \\ \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds ||X - Y||_{\Lambda_{p}} \\ \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda} ||X - Y||_{\Lambda_{p}}.$$

Let us choose λ large enough such that $c_0 := \frac{C}{\lambda} < 1$, thus it follows

$$\|\Phi_{\mu}X - \Phi_{\mu}Y\|_{\Lambda_{p}} \leqslant c_{0}\|X - Y\|_{\Lambda_{p}}.$$
(2.11)

Hence (2.10) and (2.11) yield that Φ_{μ} is a contraction map on Λ_p . As a result, Φ_{μ} has a unique fixed point X_{μ} in Λ_p , which is the unique solution of equation (2.7), i.e.,

$$X_{\mu}(t) = e^{tA}\xi + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} B(X_{\mu}(s), \mu_{s}) ds + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} dL_{s}.$$
(2.12)

Step 2: We define an operator Ψ on $\mu \in C([0,T], \mathscr{P}_p)$ by

$$\Psi: \mu \to \mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}}$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}} = \{\mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}(t)}, t \in [0, T]\}$ is the law of process $\{X_{\mu}(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$. Obviously, if X is a mild solution of equation (2.1). Then its Law $\{\mu_t := \mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}(t)}\}_{t \ge 0}$ is a fixed point of Ψ . Thus in

order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that the operator Ψ has a unique fixed point.

For any $\mu \in C([0,T], \mathscr{P}_p)$, we first prove that $\Psi(\mu) \in C([0,T], \mathscr{P}_p)$. By Step 1, it is easy to see that $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}|X_{\mu}(t)|^p < \infty$. Thus it remains to show that $t \to \mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}(t)}$ is continuous in $(\mathscr{P}_p, \mathbb{W}_p)$.

In fact, note that for any $0 \leq t \leq t + h \leq T$,

$$X_{\mu}(t+h) - X_{\mu}(t) = (e^{hA} - I)X_{\mu}(t) + \int_{t}^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} B(X_{\mu}(s), \mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}(s)}) ds + \int_{t}^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} dL_s.$$
Put dominated convergence theorem, we have

By dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| (e^{hA} - I) X_{\mu}(t) \right|^p \right]^{1/p} = 0.$$
(2.13)

By (2.2) and (2.9), it is easy to see

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} B(X_{s}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}(s)}) ds \right|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \leqslant \lim_{h \to 0} Ch \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{\mu}(t)|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \right) = 0.$$
(2.14)

Define $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0} := \{L_{t+h} - L_h\}_{t\geq 0}$, which is also a cylindrical α -stable process. Then refer to [25, (4.12)], we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{t}^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} dL_{s}\right|^{p} = \mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{h} e^{(h-s)A} d\tilde{L}_{s}\right|^{p}$$
$$\leqslant \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\alpha\lambda_{k}h})\beta_{k}^{\alpha}}{\alpha\lambda_{k}}\right]^{p/\alpha}$$

Then by dominated convergence theorem and assumption A3, we get

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} dL_s \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \leqslant \lim_{h \to 0} C \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-\alpha\lambda_k h})\beta_k^{\alpha}}{\alpha\lambda_k} \right]^{1/\alpha} = 0.$$
(2.15)

Thus by (2.14)-(2.15), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{W}_p(\mathscr{L}_{X_\mu(t+h)}, \mathscr{L}_{X_\mu(t)}) &\leq \lim_{h \to 0} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_\mu(t+h) - X_\mu(t)|^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \to 0} \left\{ \left[\mathbb{E} \left| (e^{hA} - I) X_\mu(t) \right|^p \right]^{1/p} + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} dL_s \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \right. \\ &+ \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^{t+h} e^{(t+h-s)A} B(X_\mu(s), \mathscr{L}_{X_\mu(s)}) ds \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \right\} = 0. \end{split}$$

Next, we shall prove that Ψ is a contraction operator. Let X_{μ} and X_{ν} be the corresponding solutions of equation of (2.7) for $\mu, \nu \in C([0, T], \mathscr{P}_p)$ respectively. Then it follows

$$\begin{split} [\mathbb{E}|X_{\mu}(t) - X_{\nu}(t)|^{p}]^{1/p} &\leqslant \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \left(B(X_{\mu}(s), \mu_{s}) - B(X_{\nu}(s), \nu_{s}) \right) ds \right|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \left[\mathbb{E}|X_{\mu}(s) - X_{\nu}(s)|^{p} \right]^{1/p} + \mathbb{W}_{p}(\mu_{s}, \nu_{s}) ds, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{-\lambda t} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{\mu}(t) - X_{\nu}(t)|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} e^{-\lambda s} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{\mu}(s) - X_{\nu}(s)|^{p} \right]^{1/p} + \frac{C}{\lambda} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} e^{-\lambda s} \mathbb{W}_{p}(\mu_{s},\nu_{s})$$

Choose $\lambda > 0$ large enough such that $\frac{C}{\lambda} < 1/2$, then we get

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{-\lambda t} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{\mu}(t) - X_{\nu}(t)|^p \right]^{1/p} \leqslant \frac{2C}{\lambda} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{W}_p(\mu_t,\nu_t).$$

Note that $\mathbb{W}_p(\mathscr{L}_{X_{\mu}(t)}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{\nu}(t)}) \leq [\mathbb{E}|X_{\mu}(t) - X_{\nu}(t)|^p]^{1/p}$, it follows

$$D_T(\Psi(\mu), \Psi(\nu)) \leqslant \frac{2\Theta}{\lambda} D_T(\mu, \nu).$$

Hence Ψ is a contraction operator in $C([0,T], \mathscr{P}_p)$.

Step 3: Let X_t be the unique solution of equation (2.1). By [25, (4.12)], it follows for any $m \in [p, \alpha)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$(\mathbb{E}|X_{t}|^{m})^{1/m} \leq \left(\mathbb{E}|e^{tA}\xi|^{m}\right)^{1/m} + \int_{0}^{t} \left[\mathbb{E}|e^{(t-s)A}B(X_{s},\mathscr{L}_{X_{s}})|^{m}\right]^{1/m} ds + \left[\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}dL_{s}\right|^{m}\right]^{1/m} \\ \leq \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^{m}\right)^{1/m} + \int_{0}^{t} C\left(\mathbb{E}|X_{s}|^{m}\right)^{1/m} + C\left(\mathbb{E}|X_{s}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} ds + \left[\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}dL_{s}\right|^{m}\right]^{1/m} \\ \leq C\left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^{m}\right)^{1/m}\right] + \int_{0}^{t} C\left(\mathbb{E}|X_{s}|^{m}\right)^{1/m} ds.$$

Then by Gronwall's inequality, it is easy to see

$$\left(\mathbb{E}|X_t|^m\right)^{1/m} \leqslant C e^{Ct} \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m\right)^{1/m}\right].$$

The proof is complete.

3. Averaging principle for multiscale Mckean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable processes

In this section, we further study a class of multiscale Mckean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable processes. Under some proper assumptions, we will prove the strong averaging principle holds with some order r > 0. The main technique is based on the classical Khasminskii's time discretization method.

3.1. Assumptions and main result. We recall the multiscale Mckean-Vlasov SPDEs driven by α -stable processes in the Hilbert space H:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{\varepsilon} = \left[AX_t^{\varepsilon} + F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_t^{\varepsilon}}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) \right] dt + dL_t, \quad X_0^{\varepsilon} = \xi \in H, \\ dY_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [AY_t^{\varepsilon} + G(X_t^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_t^{\varepsilon}}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})] dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} dZ_t, \quad Y_0^{\varepsilon} = \eta \in H, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where operator A satisfies assumption A1. ξ, η are two H-valued random variable. $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{Z_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ are two mutually independent cylindrical α -stable processes with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with natural filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(\xi, \eta, L_s, Z_s, s \leq t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, i.e.,

$$L_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k L_t^k e_k, \quad Z_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k Z_t^k e_k, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where $\{\beta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ and $\{\gamma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ are two given sequence of positive numbers, $\{L_t^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ and $\{Z_t^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ are two sequences of independent one dimensional symmetric α -stable processes satisfying for any $k\in\mathbb{N}_+$ and $t\geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{iL_t^kh}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{iZ_t^kh}] = e^{-t|h|^{\alpha}}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, we suppose the following conditions hold throughout this section:

B1. Suppose that there exists a constant $p \in [1, \alpha)$ such that

$$F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : H \times \mathscr{P}_p \times H \to H,$$

$$G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : H \times \mathscr{P}_p \times H \to H.$$

Furthermore, there exist constants $C, L_G > 0$ such that for any $x_i, y_i \in H$ and $\mu_i \in \mathscr{P}_p$, i = 1, 2,

$$|F(x_1, \mu_1, y_1) - F(x_2, \mu_2, y_2)| \leq C [|x_1 - x_2| + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu_1, \mu_2) + |y_1 - y_2|],$$

$$|G(x_1, \mu_1, y_2) - G(x_2, \mu_2, y_2)| \leq C [|x_1 - x_2| + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu_1, \mu_2)] + L_G |y_1 - y_2|.$$

B2. There exists $\theta \in (0, 2/\alpha]$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha}}{\lambda_k^{1-\alpha\theta/2}} < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k^{\alpha}}{\lambda_k} < \infty$.

B3. Suppose that $\lambda_1 - L_G > 0$ and there exists C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{x,y\in H} |F(x,\mu,y)| \leqslant C \left[1 + (\mu(|\cdot|^p))^{1/p} \right].$$
(3.2)

The following are some comments on the assumptions above:

Remark 3.1. Suppose that assumptions A1, B1 and B2 holds. By Theorem 2.2, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and initial value $(\xi, \eta) \in H \times H$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p < \infty$, equation (3.1) admits a unique mild solution $(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})$, i.e., \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{cases} X_t^{\varepsilon} = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dL_s, \\ Y_t^{\varepsilon} = e^{tA/\varepsilon} \eta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} G(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} dZ_s. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Remark 3.2. The condition $\lambda_1 - L_G > 0$ in assumption **B3** is called the strong dissipative condition, which is used to prove the existence and uniqueness of the invariant measures and the exponential ergodicity of the transition semigroup of the frozen equation.

Remark 3.3. The method used here is the classical Khasminskii's time discretization, which highly depends on the square calculation in the proof, hence the finite second moment of the solution X_t^{ε} is required usually. But the solution X_t^{ε} for system (1.1) only has finite *p*-th moment (0), thus the condition (3.2) in assumption**B3**is used to weaken therequired finite second moment to finite first moment. However by the technique of Poissonequation (see e.g. [29, 33]), the condition (3.2) could be removed, which is left to our furtherwork.

Remark 3.4. Refer to [26, Lemma 4.1], if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha}}{\lambda_k^{1-\alpha\theta/2}} < \infty$ holds for some $\theta \ge 0$ then for any $0 < m < \alpha$, we have

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dL_s \right\|_{\theta}^m \leqslant C_{\alpha,p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_k^\alpha}{\lambda_k^{1-\alpha\theta/2}} \right)^{m/\alpha}.$$
(3.4)

Now, we state our second result in this paper, whose proof is left in subsection 3.3 below. **Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that assumptions A1 and B1-B3 hold. Then for any T > 0,

 $\xi, \eta \in H$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m < \infty$ for some $m \in [p, \alpha)$ and $\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p < \infty$, then we have

$$\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^{\varepsilon}-\bar{X}_t|^m\right)\right]^{1/m} \leqslant C_T\left[1+(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m)^{1/m}+(\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p)^{1/p}\right]\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{2(1+\theta)}}.$$
(3.5)

Remark 3.6. In contrast to the model in [3], the coefficients F and G in equation (3.1) all depend on the distribution. Meanwhile, the main result (3.5) implies that the strong convergence order is $\frac{\theta}{2(1+\theta)}$, which depends on the regular assumption on the noise in the slow component.

3.2. Some a priori estimates. In this subsection, we will prove some a priori estimates of the solutions $(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})$ and an auxiliary process \hat{Y}_t^{ε} . Note that we always assume that the initial value ξ, η satisfying $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m < \infty$ for some $m \in [p, \alpha)$ and $\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p < \infty$.

Lemma 3.7. For any T > 0, there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\in (0,1), t \in [0,T]} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} \leqslant C_T \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} \right]$$
(3.6)

and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1), t \in [0,T]} \left(\mathbb{E} |Y_t^{\varepsilon}|^p \right)^{1/p} \leqslant C_T \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\mathbb{E} |\eta|^p \right)^{1/p} \right].$$
(3.7)

Proof. Recall that

$$X_t^{\varepsilon} = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dL_s$$

Then by (3.2), (3.4) and Minkowski's inequality, we have

ε

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} + C \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon}|^p \right)^{1/p} dt + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dL_s \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ \leq C \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} \right] + C \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} dt + C \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha}}{\lambda_k^{1-\alpha\theta/2}} \right)^{m/\alpha}.$$

Then the Gronwall's inequality implies that (3.6) holds.

Now, we proceed to show estimate (3.7). Recall that

$$Y_t^{\varepsilon} = e^{tA/\varepsilon}\eta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon}G(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon})ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}}\int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon}dZ_s.$$

Note that by assumption **B1**, it is easy to see that

$$|G(x,\mu,y)| \leqslant C \left[1 + |x| + \mu(|\cdot|^p)^{1/p}\right] + L_G|y|, \quad \forall x,y \in H, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_p.$$

$$(3.8)$$

Then by (2.2) and (3.8), we have for any $t \ge 0$,

$$|Y_t^{\varepsilon}| \leq |\eta| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)/\varepsilon} (C+C|X_s^{\varepsilon}| + C\left[\mathbb{E}|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^p\right]^{1/p} + L_G|Y_s^{\varepsilon}|) ds + \left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} dZ_s\right| + C\left[\mathbb{E}|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^p\right]^{1/p} + L_G|Y_s^{\varepsilon}| ds + \left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} dZ_s\right| ds + \left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon$$

Define $Z_t := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} Z_{t\varepsilon}$, which is also a cylindrical α -stable process. Then by [25, (4.12)],

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} dZ_s \right|^p = \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^{t/\varepsilon} e^{(t/\varepsilon-s)A} d\tilde{Z}_s \right|^p$$
$$\leqslant C \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k^\alpha \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha\lambda_k t/\varepsilon}}{\alpha\lambda_k} \right)^{p/\alpha}$$
$$\leqslant C \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\gamma_k^\alpha}{\alpha\lambda_k} \right)^{p/\alpha},$$

which together with (3.6), by Minkowski's inequality, we have for any $t \leq T$,

$$(\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon}|^p)^{1/p} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p)^{1/p} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)/\varepsilon} ds + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)/\varepsilon} (\mathbb{E}|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^p)^{1/p} ds + \frac{L_G}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)/\varepsilon} (\mathbb{E}|Y_s^{\varepsilon}|^p)^{1/p} ds + \left[\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} dZ_s\right|^p\right]^{1/p} \leq C_T \left[1 + (\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p)^{1/p} + (\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p)^{1/p}\right] + \frac{L_G}{\lambda_1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\mathbb{E}|Y_t^{\varepsilon}|^p)^{1/p} .$$

Hence by the condition $L_G < \lambda_1$ in assumption **B3**, it is easy to see that (3.7) holds. The proof is complete.

Remark 3.8. Note that if without the condition (3.2). Then by (2.9), we can obtain following a prior estimate:

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1), t \in [0,T]} \left[\left(\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} + \left(\mathbb{E} |Y_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} \right] \leqslant C_T \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} + \left(\mathbb{E} |\eta|^m \right)^{1/m} \right]$$

Lemma 3.9. For any $x, y \in H$ and T > 0, there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\int_0^T \left[\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon} - X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^m \right]^{1/m} dt \leqslant C_T \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} \right], \tag{3.9}$$

where θ is the one in assumption **B2** and $t(\delta) := [\frac{t}{\delta}]\delta$ with $[\frac{t}{\delta}]$ is the integer part of $\frac{t}{\delta}$. *Proof.* By (3.6) and Minkowski's inequality, it is easy to check that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^{m} \right]^{1/m} dt = \int_{0}^{\delta} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - \xi|^{m} \right)^{1/m} dt + \int_{\delta}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^{m} \right]^{1/m} dt$$
$$\leq C_{T} \delta \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^{m} \right)^{1/m} \right] + \int_{\delta}^{T} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^{m} \right)^{1/m} dt$$
$$+ \int_{\delta}^{T} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^{m} \right)^{1/m} dt.$$
(3.10)

Recall that the mild solution X_t^{ε} in (3.3). Then by (2.3), (3.2) and Remark 3.4, it is easy to see that for any $\theta \in (0, 2/\alpha]$, $t \in (0, T]$ and $m \in [p, \alpha)$,

$$(\mathbb{E} \| X_t^{\varepsilon} \|_{\theta}^m)^{1/m} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \| e^{tA} \xi \|_{\theta}^m \right)^{1/m} + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t (-A)^{\theta/2} e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ + \left[\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dL_s \right\|_{\theta}^m \right]^{1/m} \\ \leq Ct^{-\theta/2} \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} + C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_s^{\varepsilon}|^p \right)^{1/p} ds \\ + C \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha}}{\lambda_k^{1-\alpha\theta/2}} \right)^{1/\alpha} \\ \leq Ct^{-\theta/2} \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} + C_T.$$

$$(3.11)$$

Note that

$$X_t^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon} = (e^{A\delta} - I)X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon} + \int_{t-\delta}^t e^{(t-s)A}F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon})ds + \int_{t-\delta}^t e^{(t-s)A}dL_s$$

Then by (2.4) and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} |(e^{A\delta} - I)X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^{m} \right]^{1/m} dt \leqslant C\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{T} \left(\mathbb{E} ||X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon}||_{\theta}^{m} \right)^{1/m} dt$$
$$\leqslant C\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[\int_{\delta}^{T} (t-\delta)^{-\theta/2} dt \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^{m} \right)^{1/m} + C_{T} \right]$$
$$\leqslant C_{T}\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^{m} \right)^{1/m} \right].$$
(3.12)

By (3.2), it follows

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{t-\delta}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} F(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s}^{\varepsilon}}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) ds \right|^{m} \right]^{1/m} dt \leq C_{T} \delta \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^{m} \right)^{1/m} \right].$$
(3.13)

By (3.4) and assumption **B2**, we have

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{t-\delta}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} dL_{s} \right|^{m} \right]^{1/m} dt \leqslant C \int_{\delta}^{T} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{k}^{\alpha} (1-e^{-\lambda_{k}\delta})}{\lambda_{i}} \right]^{1/\alpha} dt$$
$$\leqslant C \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{T} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{k}^{\alpha}}{\lambda_{k}^{1-\alpha\theta/2}} \right)^{1/\alpha} dt \leqslant C_{T} \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \qquad (3.14)$$

where we use the fact that $1 - e^{-x} \leq Cx^{\alpha\theta/2}$ for any x > 0.

Combining (3.12)-(3.14), we obtain

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_t^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} dt \leqslant C_T \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} \right].$$
(3.15)

Similar as the argument above, we also have

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left[\mathbb{E} |X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^{m} \right]^{1/m} dt \leqslant C_{T} \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^{m} \right)^{1/m} \right].$$
(3.16)

Finally, (3.10), (3.15) and (3.16) imply (3.9) holds. The proof is complete.

Inspired from the idea introduced by Khasminskii in [18], we construct an auxiliary process $\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} \in H$, i.e., we split [0, T] into some subintervals of size $\delta > 0$, where $\delta > 0$ depends on ε and will be chosen later. With the initial value $\hat{Y}_0^{\varepsilon} = Y_0^{\varepsilon} = \xi$, we construct the process \hat{Y}_t^{ε} on each time interval $[l\delta, (l+1)\delta \wedge T], l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[A\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} + G(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}) \right] dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} dZ_t, \quad t \in [l\delta, (l+1)\delta \wedge T],$$

i.e.,

$$d\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[A\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} + G(X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}) \right] dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} dZ_t,$$

which satisfies for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = e^{tA/\varepsilon}\xi + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} G(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} dZ_s.$$
(3.17)

By following the same argument as in the proof of (3.7), we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1), t \in [0,T]} \left(\mathbb{E} |\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}|^p \right)^{1/p} \leqslant C_T \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\mathbb{E} |\eta|^p \right)^{1/p} \right].$$
(3.18)

Lemma 3.10. For any T > 0, there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that $\int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} |Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} dt \leqslant C_T \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[1 + (\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m)^{1/m} \right].$

Proof. By the construction of Y_t^{ε} and \hat{Y}_t^{ε} , we have

$$Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A/\varepsilon} \left[G(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - G(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) \right] ds.$$

Then for any t > 0,

$$\begin{split} |Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}| \leqslant & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1 (t-s)/\varepsilon} \left[C |X_s^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}| + C \left(\mathbb{E} |X_s^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right] ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_1 (t-s)/\varepsilon} L_G |Y_s^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}| ds. \end{split}$$

By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{T} |Y_{t}^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}| dt \leqslant &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)/\varepsilon} \left[C |X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}| + \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \right] ds dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)/\varepsilon} L_{G} |Y_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}| ds dt \\ &= &\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{s}^{T} e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)/\varepsilon} dt \right) |X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{s}^{T} e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)/\varepsilon} dt \right) \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{L_{G}}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{s}^{T} e^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)/\varepsilon} dt \right) |Y_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}| ds \\ &\quad \leqslant \frac{C}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{0}^{T} |X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}| ds + \frac{C}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\mathbb{E} |X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} ds + \frac{L_{G}}{\lambda_{1}} \int_{0}^{T} |Y_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}| ds. \end{split}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, Lemma 3.9 and $L_G < \lambda_1$, it is easy to see

$$\int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} |Y_t^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}|^m \right)^{1/m} dt \leqslant C_T \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi|^m \right)^{1/m} \right].$$

The proof is complete.

3.3. The frozen and averaged equation. For any fixed $x \in H$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_p$, we consider the following frozen equation:

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = [AY_t + G(x, \mu, Y_t)] dt + dZ_t, \\ Y_0 = y \in H. \end{cases}$$
(3.19)

Since $G(x, \mu, \cdot)$ is Lipshcitz continuous, it is easy to prove that equation (3.19) has a unique mild solution denoted by $Y_t^{x,\mu,y}$, which is a time homogeneous Markovian process. By a straightforward computation, it is easy to prove

$$\sup_{t \ge 0} \left(\mathbb{E} |Y_t^{x,\mu,y}|^p \right)^{1/p} \leqslant C \left[1 + |x| + |y| + (\mu | \cdot |^p)^{1/p} \right].$$
(3.20)

Let $P_t^{x,\mu}$ be the transition semigroup of $Y_t^{x,\mu,y}$, that is, for any bounded measurable function φ on H and $t \ge 0$,

$$P_t^{x,\mu}\varphi(y) = \mathbb{E}\varphi(Y_t^{x,\mu,y}), \quad y \in H.$$

The asymptotic behavior of $P_t^{x,\mu}$ has been studied in many literatures, by a minor revision in [3, Lemma 3.3], we have the following result :

Proposition 3.11. For any $x \in H$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_p$, $\{P_t^{x,\mu}\}_{t\geq 0}$ admits a unique invariant measure $\nu^{x,\mu}$. Moreover, for any t > 0,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}F(x,\mu,Y_t^{x,\mu,y}) - \int_H F(x,\mu,z)\nu^{x,\mu}(dz)\right| \leq C \left[1 + |x| + (\mu(|\cdot|^p))^{1/p} + |y|\right] e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_G)t}$$

where C is a positive constant which is independent of t.

Now, we define $\bar{F}(x,\mu) := \int_H F(x,\mu,y)\nu^{x,\mu}(dy)$. Let \bar{X} be the solution of the corresponding averaged equation:

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{X}_t = \left[A\bar{X}_t + \bar{F}(\bar{X}_t, \mathscr{L}_{\bar{X}_t})\right] dt + dL_t, \\ \bar{X}_0 = \xi \in H. \end{cases}$$
(3.21)

The well-posedness of equation (3.21) is the following:

Theorem 3.12. Equation (3.21) exists a unique mild solution \overline{X}_t which satisfies

$$\bar{X}_{t} = e^{tA}\xi + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}\bar{F}(\bar{X}_{s}, \mathscr{L}_{\bar{X}_{s}})ds + \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A}dL_{s}.$$
(3.22)

Proof. It is sufficient to check that the $\overline{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous, then the well-posedness can be easily obtained by following the procedures in Theorem 2.2.

Note that it is easy to prove that $x_1, x_2 \in H, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathscr{P}_p$, we have

$$\sup_{t \ge 0, y \in H} |Y_t^{x_1, \mu_1, y} - Y_t^{x_2, \mu_2, y}| \le C |x_1 - x_2| + C \mathbb{W}_p(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$
(3.23)

Then by (3.23) and Proposition 3.11, we get

$$\begin{split} |\bar{F}(x_{1},\mu_{1}) - \bar{F}(x_{2},\mu_{2})| &= \left| \int_{H} F(x_{1},\mu_{1},z)\nu^{x_{1},\mu_{1}}(dz) - \int_{H} F(x_{2},\mu_{2},z)\nu^{x_{2},\mu_{2}}(dz) \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \int_{H} F(x_{1},\mu_{1},z)\nu^{x_{1},\mu_{1}}(dz) - \mathbb{E}F(x_{1},\mu_{1},Y_{t}^{x_{1},\mu_{1},y}) \right| \\ &+ \left| \mathbb{E}F(x_{1},\mu_{1},Y_{t}^{x_{1},\mu_{1},y}) - \mathbb{E}F(x_{2},\mu_{2},Y_{t}^{x_{2},\mu_{2},y}) \right| \\ &+ \left| \mathbb{E}F(x_{2},\mu_{2},Y_{t}^{x_{2},\mu_{2},y}) - \int_{H} F(x_{2},\mu_{2},z)\nu^{x_{2},\mu_{2}}(dz) \right| \\ &\leqslant C \left[1 + |x_{1}| + |x_{2}| + (\mu_{1}(|\cdot|^{p}))^{1/p} + (\mu_{2}(|\cdot|^{p}))^{1/p} + |y| \right] e^{-(\lambda_{1}-L_{F})t} \\ &+ C \left(|x_{1}-x_{2}| + \mathbb{W}_{p}(\mu_{1},\mu_{2}) + \mathbb{E}|Y_{t}^{x_{1},\mu_{1},y} - Y_{t}^{x_{2},\mu_{2},y}| \right) \\ &\leqslant C \left[1 + |x_{1}| + |x_{2}| + (\mu_{1}(|\cdot|^{p}))^{1/p} + (\mu_{2}(|\cdot|^{p}))^{1/p} + |y| \right] e^{-(\lambda_{1}-L_{F})t} \\ &+ C |x_{1}-x_{2}| + C\mathbb{W}_{p}(\mu_{1},\mu_{2}). \end{split}$$

Hence by letting $t \to \infty$, it follows

$$|\bar{F}(x_1,\mu_1) - \bar{F}(x_2,\mu_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2| + C \mathbb{W}_p(\mu_1,\mu_2).$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 3.13. Under the condition (3.2), it is easy to check that

$$\sup_{x \in H} |\bar{F}(x,\mu)| \leqslant C \left[1 + (\mu(|\cdot|^p))^{1/p} \right].$$
(3.24)

3.4. The proof of Theorem 3.5. In this subsection, we will give the detailed proof of Theorem 3.5.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_t &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Big[F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(\bar{X}_s, \mathscr{L}_{\bar{X}_s}) \Big] ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Big[F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) \Big] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Big[\bar{F}(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(\bar{X}_s, \mathscr{L}_{\bar{X}_s}) \Big] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Big[\bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \Big[F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \end{split}$$

Then by Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_t|^m \right) \right]^{1/m} \\ \leqslant \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \left| F(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}, Y_s^{\varepsilon}) - F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) \right| ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ & + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \left| \bar{F}(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(\bar{X}_s, \mathscr{L}_{\bar{X}_s}) \right| ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ & + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \left| \bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(X_s^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_s^{\varepsilon}}) \right| ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ & + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \left[F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) \right] ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ & \leqslant C \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left| X_s^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon} \right|^m \right]^{1/m} + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| Y_s^{\varepsilon} - \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon} \right|^m \right]^{1/m} + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| X_s^{\varepsilon} - X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon} \right|^p \right]^{1/p} ds \\ & + C \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \left| X_s^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_s \right|^m \right)^{1/m} + \left(\mathbb{E} \left| X_s^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_s \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ds \\ & + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \left[F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) \right] ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} \\ & \leqslant C \int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \left| X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_t \right|^m \right)^{1/m} dt + C_T \left[(\mathbb{E} \left| \xi \right|^m)^{1/m} + 1 \right] \delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \\ & + \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \left[F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) \right] ds \right|^m \right]^{1/m} . \end{split}$$

Then by Gronwall's inequality we obtain that

$$\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^{\varepsilon}-\bar{X}_t|^m\right)\right]^{1/m} \leqslant C_T\left[(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m)^{1/m}+1\right]\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}}+C_TJ(T,m,\varepsilon,\delta),\qquad(3.25)$$

where

$$J(T,m,\varepsilon,\delta) := \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \left[F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) \right] ds \right|^m \right\}^{1/m}$$

Then by (3.2) and (3.24), we have

$$\begin{split} &J(T,m,\varepsilon,\delta) \\ \leqslant \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \Big[F(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{s(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leqslant C \left\{ \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{t(\delta)-1} e^{(t-(l+1)\delta)A} \int_{l\delta}^{(l+1)\delta} e^{((l+1)\delta-s)A} \Big[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &+ C \left\{ \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \int_{t(\delta)}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \Big[F(X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant C \left\{ \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \int_{t(\delta)}^{t} e^{((l+1)\delta} e^{((l+1)\delta-s)A} \Big[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{t(\delta)}^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant C \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{[T/\delta]-1} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{l\delta}^{(l+1)\delta} e^{((l+1)\delta-s)A} \Big[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \Big] ds \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} + C_{T}\delta \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{T}\varepsilon}{\delta} \max_{0 \le l \le [T/\delta]-1} \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) ds \right|^{2} \right\}^{1/2} + C_{T}\delta \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{T}\varepsilon}{\delta} \max_{0 \le l \le [T/\delta]-1} \left[\int_{0}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \int_{r}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \Psi_{l}(s,r) ds dr \right]^{1/2} + C_{T}\delta , \\ &\text{here for any } 0 \le r \le s \le \frac{\delta}{a} \text{ and } l = 0, 1, \dots, [T/\delta] - 1. \end{split}$$

where for any $0 \leq r \leq s \leq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}$ and $l = 0, 1, \dots, [T/\delta] - 1$,

$$\Psi_{l}(s,r) := \mathbb{E} \Big\langle e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} \left[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \right], \\ e^{(\delta-r\varepsilon)A} \left[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{r\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \right] \Big\rangle.$$

Refer to Appendix, the following estimation holds:

 $\Psi_l(s,r) \leqslant C_T \left[1 + (\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p)^{2/p} + (\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p)^{2/p} \right] e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_G)(s-r)}, \quad \forall l = 0, 1, \dots, [T/\delta] - 1, \quad (3.26)$ As a result, we obtain

$$J(T,m,\varepsilon,\delta) \leqslant C_T \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p \right)^{1/p} \right] \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\delta^{1/2}} + \delta \right).$$
(3.27)

By (3.25) and (3.27), it is easy to see

$$\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^{\varepsilon}-\bar{X}_t|^m\right)\right]^{1/m} \leqslant C_T\left[1+(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m)^{1/m}+(\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p)^{1/p}\right]\left(\delta^{\frac{\theta}{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\delta^{1/2}}+\delta\right)$$

Finally, taking $\delta = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1+\theta}}$, then we obtain that

$$\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|X_t^{\varepsilon}-\bar{X}_t|^m\right)\right]^{1/m} \leqslant C_T\left[1+\left(\mathbb{E}|\xi|^m\right)^{1/m}+\left(\mathbb{E}|\eta|^p\right)^{1/p}\right]\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta}{2(1+\theta)}}.$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 3.14. Note that under the condition (3.2), it follows

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_t| \leqslant \int_0^T |F(X_t^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_t^{\varepsilon}}, Y_t^{\varepsilon}) - \bar{F}(\bar{X}_t, \mathscr{L}_{\bar{X}_t})| dt \leqslant C_T.$$

Thus for any T > 0 and $k \ge 1$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon} - \bar{X}_t|^k \right] = 0.$$

4. Appendix

In this section, we give the detailed proof of (3.26). **The proof of (3.26):** For any $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_p$ and random variables $\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{F}_s$, we consider the following equation

$$d\tilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon,s,\tilde{\xi},\mu,\tilde{\eta}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} A\tilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon,s,x,\mu,y} dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} G(\tilde{\xi},\mu,\tilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon,s,\tilde{\xi},\mu,\tilde{\eta}}) dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} dZ_t, \quad t \ge s.$$

with $\tilde{Y}_s^{\varepsilon,s,\tilde{\xi},\mu,\tilde{\eta}} = \tilde{\eta}$. Then by the construction of \hat{Y}_t^{ε} , for any $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we have

$$\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = \tilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon, l\delta, X_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \tilde{Y}_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \quad t \in [l\delta, (l+1)\delta],$$

which implies

$$\Psi_{l}(s,r) = \mathbb{E}\left\langle e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} \left[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \tilde{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta, X_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \right], \\ e^{(\delta-r\varepsilon)A} \left[F(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \tilde{Y}_{r\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta, X_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) - \bar{F}(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}) \right] \right\rangle.$$

Note that for any fixed $x, y \in H$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_p$, $\tilde{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{l\delta}$. $X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}$ are $\mathcal{F}_{l\delta}$ -measurable, we have

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{l}(s,r) &= \mathbb{E} \Biggl\{ \mathbb{E} \Biggl[\Biggl\langle e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} \left(F\left(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \tilde{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta, X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}} \right) - \bar{F}\left(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}} \right) \Biggr), \\ & e^{(\delta-r\varepsilon)A} \left(F\left(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \tilde{Y}_{r\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta, X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{k\delta}^{\varepsilon}} \right) - \bar{F}\left(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}} \right) \Biggr) \Biggr\rangle \left| \mathcal{F}_{l\delta} \right] (\omega) \Biggr\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \Biggl\{ \mathbb{E} \Bigl\langle e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} \left[F(x, \mu, \tilde{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta, x, \mu, y}) - \bar{F}(x, \mu) \right], \\ & e^{(\delta-r\varepsilon)A} \left[F(x, \mu, \tilde{Y}_{r\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta, x, \mu, y}) - \bar{F}(x, \mu) \right] \Bigr\rangle |_{(x, \mu, y) = (X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}}, \hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon})} \Biggr\}. \end{split}$$

By the construction of the process $\{\tilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon,s,x,\mu,y}\}_{t\geq s}$, which has the integrated form:

$$\tilde{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y} = y + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{l\delta}^{s\varepsilon+l\delta} A \tilde{Y}_{r}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y} dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{l\delta}^{s\varepsilon+l\delta} G\left(x,\mu,\tilde{Y}_{r}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y}\right) dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} \int_{l\delta}^{s\varepsilon+l\delta} dZ_{r} \\
= y + \int_{0}^{s} A \tilde{Y}_{r\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y} dr + \int_{0}^{s} G\left(x,\mu,\tilde{Y}_{r\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y}\right) dr + \int_{0}^{s} d\hat{Z}_{r},$$
(4.1)

where $\{\hat{Z}_t := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}} (Z_{t\varepsilon+l\delta} - Z_{l\delta})\}_{t \ge 0}$, which is also a cylindrical α -stable process. Recall the solution of the frozen equation satisfies

$$Y_s^{x,\mu,y} = y + \int_0^s A Y_r^{x,\mu,y} dr + \int_0^s G\left(x,\mu,Y_r^{x,\mu,y}\right) dr + \int_0^s dZ_r.$$
(4.2)

The uniqueness of the solutions of equation (4.1) and equation (4.2) implies that the distribution of $\{\tilde{Y}_{s\varepsilon+l\delta}^{\varepsilon,l\delta,x,\mu,y}\}_{0\leqslant s\leqslant \delta/\varepsilon}$ coincides with the distribution of $\{Y_{s}^{x,\mu,y}\}_{0\leqslant s\leqslant \delta/\varepsilon}$.

Hence by Markov property, Proposition 3.11, (3.2), (3.18) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{l}(s,r) &= \mathbb{E} \Big\{ \mathbb{E} \Big\langle e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} \left[F(x,\mu,Y_{s}^{x,\mu,y}) - \bar{F}(x,\mu) \right] , \\ &e^{(\delta-r\varepsilon)A} \left[F(x,\mu,Y_{r}^{x,\mu,y}) - \bar{F}(x,\mu) \right] \Big\rangle |_{(x,\mu,y)=(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon})} \Big\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\Big\langle e^{(\delta-s\varepsilon)A} \mathbb{E} \Big[F(x,\mu,Y_{s}^{x,\mu,y}) - \bar{F}(x,\mu) \right] | \mathcal{F}_{r} \right] , \\ &e^{(\delta-r\varepsilon)A} \Big(F(x,\mu,Y_{r}^{x,\mu,y}) - \bar{F}(x,\mu) \Big) \Big\rangle \right] |_{(x,\mu,y)=(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon})} \Big\} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[|\mathbb{E}F(x,\mu,Y_{s-r}^{x,\mu,z}) - \bar{F}(x,\mu)| |_{z=Y_{r}^{x,\mu,y}} \right] |_{(x,\mu,y)=(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon})} \right\} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[1 + |x| + (\mu(|\cdot|^{p}))^{1/p} + |Y_{r}^{x,\mu,y}| \right] \left[1 + (\mu(|\cdot|^{p}))^{1/p} \right] \right. \\ &\left. \cdot e^{-(\lambda_{1}-L_{F})(s-r)} |_{(x,\mu,y)=(X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon},\mathscr{L}_{X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}},\hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon})} \right\} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ 1 + |X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}| + (\mathbb{E}|X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^{p})^{1/p} + |\hat{Y}_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}| \right\} \left[1 + (\mathbb{E}|X_{l\delta}^{\varepsilon}|^{p})^{1/p} \right] e^{-(\lambda_{1}-L_{F})(s-r)} \\ &\leq C_{T} \left[1 + (\mathbb{E}|\xi|^{p})^{2/p} + (\mathbb{E}|\eta|^{p})^{2/p} \right] e^{-(\lambda_{1}-L_{G})(s-r)}, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

Acknowledgment. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11801233, 11771187, 11931004, 12090011), the QingLan Project and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

References

- N.U. Ahmed, X. Ding, A semilinear Mckean-Vlasov stochastic evolution equation in Hilbert space, Stochastic Process. Appl. 60 (1995) 65-85.
- J. Bao, P. Ren, F.-Y. Wang, Bismut formula for Lions derivative of distribution-path dependent SDEs. J. Differential Equations 282 (2021), 285-329.
- [3] J. Bao, G. Yin, C. Yuan, Two-time-scale stochastic partial differential equations driven by α-stable noises: averaging principles. *Bernoulli* 23(1) (2017) 645-669.
- [4] N.N. Bogoliubov, Y.A. Mitropolsky, Asymptotic methods in the theory of Non-linear Oscillations. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1961.
- [5] C.E. Bréhier, Strong and weak orders in averaging for SPDEs. Stochastic Process. Appl. 122 (2012) 2553-2593.
- S. Cerrai, A Khasminskii type averaging principle for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations. Ann. Appl. Probab. 19 (2009) 899-948.
- [7] S. Cerrai, M. Freidlin, Averaging principle for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations, Probab. Theory Related Fields 144 (2009) 137-177.
- [8] Y. Chen, Y. Shi, X. Sun, Averaging principle for slow-fast stochastic Burgers equation driven by α-stable process. Appl. Math. Lett. 103 (2020) 106199.
- [9] Z. Dong, X. Sun, H. Xiao and J. Zhai, Averaging principle for one dimensional stochastic Burgers equation. J. Differential Equations 265 (2018) 4749-4797.

- [10] H. Fu, L. Wan, J. Liu, Strong convergence in averaging principle for stochastic hyperbolic-parabolic equations with two time-scales. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 125 (2015) 3255-3279.
- [11] P. Gao, Averaging principle for stochastic Korteweg-de Vries equation. J. Differential Equations 267 (2019) 6872-6909.
- [12] D. Givon, Strong convergence rate for two-time-scale jump-diffusion stochastic differential systems. SIAM J. Multiscale Model. Simul. 6 (2007) 577-594.
- [13] T. E. Govindan, N. U. Ahmed, On Yosida approximations of McKean-Vlasov type stochastic evolution equations. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 33 (2015), no. 3, 383-398.
- [14] W. Hong, W. Liu, Distribution Dependent Stochastic Porous Media Type Equations on General Measure Spaces, arXiv: 2103.10135
- [15] X. Huang, Y. Song, Well-posedness and regularity for distribution dependent SPDEs with singular drifts. Nonlinear Anal. 203 (2021), 112167, 18 pp.
- [16] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, Distribution dependent SDEs with singular coefficients, Stochastic Process. Appl. 129 (2019) 4747-4770.
- [17] X. Huang, F. Yang, Distribution-dependent SDEs with Hölder continuous drift and α-stable noise. Numer. Algorithms 86 (2021), no. 2, 813-831.
- [18] R.Z. Khasminskii, On an averging principle for Itô stochastic differential equations. *Kibernetica* 4 (1968), 260-279.
- [19] M. Liang, M. Majka, J. Wang, Exponential ergodicity for SDEs and McKean-Vlasov processes with Lévy noise. To appear in Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.11125
- [20] W. Liu, M. Röckner, X. Sun, Y. Xie, Averaging principle for slow-fast stochastic differential equations with time dependent locally Lipschitz coefficients. J. Differential Equations 268 (2020) 2910-2948.
- [21] H.P. McKean, A class of Markov processes associated with nonlinear parabolic equations, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 56 (1966) 1907-1911.
- [22] Y.S. Mishura and A.Y. Veretennikov. Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of McKean-Vlasov stochastic equations. arXiv:1603.02212v8, 2018.
- [23] G. A. Pavliotis and A. M. Stuart, *Multiscale methods: averaging and homogenization*. volume 53 of Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [24] B. Pei, Y. Xu, G. Yin, Stochastic averaging for a class of two-time-scale systems of stochastic partial differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 160 (2017) 159-176.
- [25] E. Priola, J. Zabczyk, Structural properties of semilinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields 149 (2011) 97-137.
- [26] E. Priola, A. Shirikyan, L. Xu, and J. Zabczyk, Exponential ergodicity and regularity for equations with Lévy Noise. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 122 (2012) 106-133.
- [27] P. Ren, F.-Y. Wang, Donsker-Varadhan large deviations for path-distribution dependent SPDEs. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 499 (2021), no. 1, 125000, 32 pp.
- [28] P. Ren, F.-Y. Wang. Bismut Formula for Lions Derivative of Distribution Dependent SDEs and Applications. J. Differential Equations 267 (8) (2019) 4745-4777.
- [29] M. Röckner, X. Sun, Y. Xie, Strong convergence order for slow-fast McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equations. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 57(1) (2021) 547-576.
- [30] M. Röckner, L. Xie, Diffusion approximation for fully coupled stochastic differential equations. Ann. Probab. 49 (3) (2021) 1205-1236.
- [31] M. Röckner and X. Zhang, Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts. *Bernoulli* 27 (2) 1131-1158.
- [32] Y. Song, Gradient estimates and exponential ergodicity for mean-field SDEs with jumps. J. Theoret. Probab. 33 (2020), no. 1, 201-238.
- [33] X. Sun, L. Xie and Y. Xie, Strong and weak convergence rates for slow-fast stochastic differential equations driven by α -stable process, To appear in *Bernoulli* https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.02595
- [34] X. Sun and J. Zhai, Averaging principle for stochastic real Ginzburg-Landau equation driven by α-stable process. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 19 (2020) 1291-1319.
- [35] X. Sun, H. Xia, Y. Xie and X. Zhou, Strong averaging principle for a class of slow-fast singular SPDEs driven by α-stable process. To appear in Front. Math. China https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.11988
- [36] A.Y. Veretennikov, On the averaging principle for systems of stochastic differential equations. Math. USSR Sborn 69 (1991) 271-284.

- [37] F.-Y. Wang, Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations. Stochastic Process. Appl. 128 (2018) 595-621.
- [38] W. Wang, A.J. Roberts, Average and deviation for slow-fast stochastic partial differential equations. J. Differential Equations 253 (2012) 1265-1286.
- [39] J. Xu, Y. Miao, J. Liu, Strong averaging principle for slow-fast SPDEs with Poisson random measures. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 20 (2015) 2233-2256.

Current address, Kong, M.: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, 221116, China

Email address: mykong@jsnu.edu.cn

Current address, Shi, Y.: School of Mathematics and Statistics and Research Institute of Mathematical Science, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, 221116, China

Email address: shiyinghui@jsnu.edu.cn

Current address, Sun, X.: School of Mathematics and Statistics and Research Institute of Mathematical Science, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, 221116, China

Email address: xbsun@jsnu.edu.cn