

Large time asymptotic of heavy tailed renewal processes

Hiroshi Horii¹, Raphaël Lefevère¹, and Takahiro Nemoto²

¹*Université de Paris, Laboratoire de Probabilités, Statistiques et Modélisation, UMR 8001, F-75205 Paris, France*

²*Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Institut de la Vision, 75012 Paris, France*

Abstract

We study the large-time asymptotic of renewal-reward processes with a heavy-tailed waiting time distribution. It is known that the heavy tail of the distribution produces an extremely slow dynamics, resulting in a singular large deviation function. When the singularity takes place, the bottom of the large deviation function is flattened, manifesting anomalous fluctuations of the renewal-reward processes. In this article, we aim to study how these singularities emerge as the time increases. Using a classical result on the sum of random variables with regularly varying tail, we develop an expansion approach to prove an upper bound of the finite-time moment generating function for the Pareto waiting time distribution (power law) with an integer exponent. We perform numerical simulations using Pareto (with a real value exponent), inverse Rayleigh and log-normal waiting time distributions, and demonstrate similar results are anticipated in these waiting time distributions.

1 Introduction

Let us consider a random walk with arbitrary distributions of jump lengths and waiting times. Both waiting times (τ_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and jump lengths (X_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ are *i.i.d.* whose probability densities are denoted by respectively p (such that $\tau > 0$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}\tau < \infty$) and q . The *renewal-reward process* $R(t)$ is then defined as

$$R(t) = X_1 + \dots + X_n, \quad (1)$$

where the number of jumps n satisfies

$$\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n \leq t < \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{n+1}. \quad (2)$$

A simple example of the renewal-reward process is a counting process N_t defined by $q(x) = \delta(x - 1)$, (so that X_i only takes the value 1), *i.e.* N_t corresponds to the number of jumps until time t .

The well-known fields that exploit the renewal-reward process (and the theory related to this process) are, among others, the actuarial science, where models describing an insurer's

vulnerability to ruin are studied (known as ruin theory) [1], the queueing theory that studies the queue length and waiting time in telecommunication, traffic- and industrial engineering [2], and epidemiology using a renewal-reward process for estimating the basic quantity of virus spreading [3,4]. A number of studies have also reported various physical and biological phenomena which are described by renewal-reward processes [5–8].

In this article, we study the fluctuations of the renewal-reward process $R(t)$. Under mild assumptions [9], the family of random variables $(R(t)/t)_{t>0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{R(t)}{t} \simeq s\right) \sim e^{-tI(s)}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

where the non zero function I is called the rate function. See [9] for more mathematical formulation of the large deviation principle. In good cases [9], the rate function I is equal to the Legendre transform of the scaled cumulant generating function (CGF)

$$\phi(h) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{hR(t)}], \quad (4)$$

i.e., $I(s) = \sup\{hs - \phi(h)\}$. Here $h \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a biasing field.

An interesting point to keep in mind when studying renewal-reward processes is that the process is in general not Markov and a strong time correlation in the dynamics can be introduced by choosing a heavy-tailed probability density as the waiting time distribution. One can intuitively expect that a single waiting time could become dominant in the dynamics because of the heavy tail in the waiting time distribution, leading to an unusually high occurrence of certain rare events (see, for example, a single-big jump principle [10–13]). Considering renewal-reward processes with heavy-tailed waiting-time distributions, the presence of a singular behaviour in the rate function and the CGF was established in [9,14,15] related to these rare events. For example, let us consider the counting process N_t with waiting times distributed according to a Pareto's law with a parameter $m > 2$:

$$p(t) : = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ \frac{(m-1)}{(1+t)^m} & t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

or equivalently by its cumulative distribution F

$$F(t) := \mathbb{P}[\tau \leq t] = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{(1+t)^{m-1}} & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Then the result of [9] implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) &= 0 & (0 \leq x \leq \mu) \\ \phi(h) &= 0 & (h \leq 0), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\mu = 1/\mathbb{E}[\tau]$. The fact that the rate function I takes the value zero below $\mu = 1/\mathbb{E}[\tau]$, indicates an unusually high occurrence of the rare events where N_t is below its average value $\mu = 1/\mathbb{E}[\tau]$. Throughout this article, we call this singularity an *affine part*.

Our goal in this article is to study the finite time asymptotic of CGF when the affine part emerges. The finite time asymptotics of the CGF and of the rate function in the context of renewal-reward processes have been studied by Tsirelson [16] under the assumption that the rewards are centred, namely $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Tsirelson theorem however cannot be applied to the counting process N_t as it satisfies $X_i = 1, i \in \mathbb{N}$. The affine part is indeed not observed in the Tsirelson theorem [16]. To approach this problem, we rely on a classical result on the sum of random variables with regularly varying tails that can be found in Feller's book [17]. The behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$ of $\mathbb{P}[N_t \leq k]$ is determined by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{m-1} \mathbb{P}[N_t \leq k - 1] = k. \quad (8)$$

for any $k \geq 1$. Using this theorem, we study the asymptotics in time of the cumulant generating function. The strategy is that we first expand the moment generating function of N_t as the infinite sum of $\mathbb{P}[N_t < k]$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and then use this theorem in each term. Technically, the key in this step is to justify the fact that the infinite sum and the large t limit can be exchanged. This leads to the asymptotic form of the upper bound for the finite-time moments generating function (MGF) (Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2) for Pareto waiting time distribution with an integer exponent. In numerical simulations we then test the validity of this theorem using Pareto distribution with a real value exponent, inverse Rayleigh distribution and log-normal distribution, demonstrating the extent of this theorem beyond what we study mathematically in this article.

The structure of this article is the following: In Section 2, we will state our results on the speed of convergence of the moments generating function (MGF). In Section 3, we will show how to prove this theorem and derive the asymptotic form of the MGF with Pareto distribution. In Section 4.1, we will perform numerical simulations and study if the theorem in Section 2 can be extended to the problems with different heavy-tailed waiting-time distributions. In Section 4.2, we numerically study the rate function of N_t , observe a general asymptotic form, and discuss the relation with the results in Section 2. Finally in Section 5, we will conclude this article.

2 Results

Our goal is to study for $h < 0$:

$$M(t, h) := \mathbb{E}[e^{hN_t}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{hk} \mathbb{P}[N_t = k]. \quad (9)$$

We observe that, since obviously $0 \leq \mathbb{P}[N_t = k] \leq 1$, the series is normally convergent for any $h < 0$, as a function defined for $t \in [0, \infty[$. Let

$$S_k = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_k$$

Since for any $t \geq 0$, any $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[N_t = k] = \mathbb{P}[N_t \leq k] - \mathbb{P}[N_t \leq k - 1]$$

and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathbb{P}[N_t \leq k] = \mathbb{P}[S_{k+1} \geq t],$$

we obtain :

$$M(t, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (e^{h(k-1)} - e^{hk}) \mathbb{P}[S_k \geq t].$$

Introducing $z = e^h$, this may be rewritten :

$$M(t, h) = \frac{1-z}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k \mathbb{P}[S_k \geq t]$$

The behaviour for $t \rightarrow \infty$ of $\mathbb{P}[S_k \geq t]$ when S_k is the sum of independent variables distributed with a regularly varying distribution may be found in Feller's book [17] Chapter VIII.9 p. 278. The Pareto distribution with $m \geq 3$ enters this class and Feller's result implies that for any $k \geq 1$:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{m-1} \mathbb{P}[S_k > t] = k. \quad (10)$$

Thus, if one is allowed to take the limit inside the above series, one gets the following

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the counting process with waiting times distributed according to a Pareto's law with an integer parameter $m \geq 3$, then for any $h < 0$:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{m-1} M(t, h) = \frac{1}{1 - e^h}.$$

The proof of the theorem boils down to proving that this exchange is justified and using $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k z^k = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ for $0 \leq z < 1$. The justification of the exchange of the series and the limit is guaranteed by (11) in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the counting process with waiting times distributed according to a Pareto's law with an integer parameter $m \geq 3$, then for any $h < 0$*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{hk} \sup_{t \in [0, \infty[} t^{m-1} \mathbb{P}[S_k \geq t] < \infty. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, for any $m \geq 3$, there exists \bar{c} such that for any $h < 0$, and for any $d > \frac{\bar{c}}{e^{-h}-1}$:

$$M(h, t) \leq \frac{1}{1 - e^h} M_0(t) + C_m \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \frac{\alpha(d)e^h}{(1 - \alpha(d)e^h)^2} \quad (12)$$

where $\alpha(d) = 1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d}$.

Remark Note that the first term in (12) is $O(\frac{1}{t^{m-1}})$ while the second term is $O(\frac{1}{t^m})$.

Proof. We introduce the notation $M_k(t) = \mathbb{P}[N_t = k]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We note the two straightforward relations : for any $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(t) &= 1 - F(t) \\ M_k(t) &= \int_0^t M_{k-1}(t-s)p(s)ds \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We use the telescopic identity that holds for any $n \geq 1$

$$M_n(t) = M_0(t) + \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k(t) - M_{k-1}(t)). \quad (14)$$

which, together with Proposition 3.1 below implies that for any integer $m \geq 3$ there exists $\bar{c} > 0$ such that for any $d > 1$, any $t \geq 0$ and for $n \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{P}[N_t = n] = M_n(t) \leq M_0(t) + nC_m \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d}\right]^{n-1} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[S_n \geq t] &= \mathbb{P}[N_t \leq n-1] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}[N_t = k] \\ &\leq nM_0(t) + n^2 C_m \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d}\right]^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus for any $h < 0$, and any $d > \frac{\bar{c}}{e^{-h}-1}$ the series (11) converges and the bound (12) holds. \square

Remarks

If $m \geq 3$ is not an integer, it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}[S_k \geq t] \leq \mathbb{P}[\tilde{S}_k \geq t]$$

where $\tilde{S}_k = \tilde{\tau}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\tau}_k$ and $(\tau_k)_k$ is an i.i.d sequence such that

$$\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\tau}_i > t] = \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\lfloor m \rfloor - 1}}$$

because we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_i > t] \leq \mathbb{P}[\tilde{\tau}_i > t].$$

Thus, using the same methods, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\lfloor m \rfloor - 1} M(t, h) = 0$$

but not more than that. We expect however the theorem to be true also in the non-integer case. (See Section 4.1 for the study based on numerical simulations.)

3 Uniform bounds

Proposition 3.1. *For any integer $m \geq 3$, there exists $\bar{c} > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$, and any $t > 0$:*

$$M_n(t) - M_{n-1}(t) \leq C(m) \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d}\right]^{n-1}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. We first prove for the case $n = 1$ and then proceed by induction. First, $M_0(t)$ and $M_1(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(t) &= 1 - F(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^{m-1}} \\ M_1(t) &= \int_0^t M_0(s)p(t-s)ds \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{(1+s)^{m-1}} \frac{(m-1)ds}{(1+t-s)^m}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In order to calculate this integral, we perform a partial-fraction decomposition by viewing the denominator in the integral of (18) as a polynomial in s :

$$\frac{1}{(1+s)^{m-1}(1+t-s)^m} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{a_k(t)}{(1+s)^k} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{b_k(t)}{(1+t-s)^k} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(t) &= \frac{1}{(m-1-k)!} \lim_{s \rightarrow -1} \frac{d^{m-1-k}}{ds^{m-1-k}} \left(\frac{1}{(1+t-s)^m} \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m-1 \\ b_k(t) &= \frac{1}{(m-k)!} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1+t} \frac{d^{m-k}}{ds^{m-k}} \left(\frac{1}{(1+s)^{m-1}} \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

And thus :

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(t) &= \frac{A_k(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-1-k}} \\ b_k(t) &= \frac{B_k(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

where the $A_k(m)$ and $B_k(m)$ are combinatorial factors. Now with $A'_k(m) = (m-1)A_k(m)$ and $B'_k(m) = (m-1)B_k(m)$ we have

$$M_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A'_k(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-k}} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(1+s)^k} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B'_k(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-k-1}} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(1+t-s)^k} \quad (21)$$

From (20), we see that $B_k(m) = 1$ and thus $B'_k(m) = (m-1)$. Therefore in the second sum the term corresponding to $k = m$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m-1}{(2+t)^{m-1}} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(1+t-s)^m} &= \frac{1}{(2+t)^{m-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+t)^{m-1}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+t)^{m-1}} = M_0(t). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It is easy to see that for $k > 1$, the remaining integrals are all bounded by 1. Thus we have :

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(t) \leq M_0(t) &+ \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \frac{A'_k(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-k}} + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \frac{B'_k(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-k-1}} \\ &+ \frac{A'_1(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-1}} \log(1+t) + \frac{B'_1(m)}{(2+t)^{2m-2}} \log(1+t) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and because $\log(1+t) \leq (2+t)$ and $m \geq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(t) &\leq M_0(t) + \frac{C(m)}{(2+t)^m} \\ &\leq M_0(t) + \frac{C(m)}{(1+t)^m} \\ &\leq M_0(t) + C(m) \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for some constant $C(m)$ depending on m and for any $d \geq 1$.

Thus, we find the bound we were looking for :

$$M_1(t) - M_0(t) \leq C(m) \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m}. \quad (25)$$

We now proceed to prove the claim of the proposition for general $n > 1$ by induction : we see that

$$M_n(t) - M_{n-1}(t) = \int_0^t (M_{n-1}(s) - M_{n-2}(s))p(t-s) \quad (26)$$

and we assume that for some $\bar{c} > 0$:

$$M_{n-1}(t) - M_{n-2}(t) \leq C(m) \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d}\right]^{n-2}$$

for any $d \geq 1$ and any $t \geq 0$. Then we conclude the proof of the proposition with the use of the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $\bar{c} > 0$, such that for any $d \geq 1$ and any $t \geq 0$:*

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{(d+s)^m} \frac{(m-1)ds}{(1+t-s)^m} \leq \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d}\right] \frac{1}{(d+t)^m} \quad (27)$$

□

We give now the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof. For $d \geq 1$, $t \geq 0$, let

$$I_m(d, t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{(d+s)^m} \frac{(m-1)ds}{(1+t-s)^m}. \quad (28)$$

We first perform partial fraction decomposition in the integrand of $I_m(d, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(d+s)^m} \frac{m-1}{(1+t-s)^m} &= \frac{(m-1)}{(1+d+t)^m} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(d+s)^m} + \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^m} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{L_1}{(1+d+t)} \left(\frac{1}{(d+s)^{m-1}} + \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^{m-1}} \right) + \dots \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{L_{m-1}}{(1+d+t)^{m-1}} \left(\frac{1}{(d+s)} + \frac{1}{(1+t-s)} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where L_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$) are constants. Integrating these terms over the interval between $s = 0$ and $s = t$, we then get

$$\begin{aligned} I_m(d, t) &= \frac{(m-1)}{(1+d+t)^m} \left[\frac{1}{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{d^{m-1}} + 1 - \frac{2}{(d+t)^{m-1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{L_1}{(1+d+t)} \frac{1}{m-2} \left(\frac{1}{d^{m-2}} + 1 - \frac{2}{(d+t)^{m-2}} \right) + \dots \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{L_{m-1}}{(1+d+t)^{m-1}} \left(\log \left(\frac{(d+t)(1+t)}{d} \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(d+t)^m} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

for some $\bar{c} > 0$. We have used the relation $t > \log(t)$ to derive the inequality in the second line. □

4 Numerical study

4.1 Generality of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we numerically study the validity of Theorem 2.1 beyond its hypotheses. We first test if our numerical simulations capture correctly Theorem 2.1 by using Pareto distribution with an integer value exponent (with which Theorem 2.1 was proven). We then numerically study the validity of this theorem for different waiting time distributions, such as Pareto distribution with a real value exponent, inverse Rayleigh distribution and lognormal distribution.

Using numerical simulations, we estimate $M(t, h)$ for the Pareto distribution (with $m = 3$) and divide it by $M_0(t) = 1/(1+t)^{m-1}$. We plot $M(t, h)/M_0(t)$ as a function of t in Fig.1 together with $1/(1-e^h)$ as horizontal dashed lines. This demonstrates the reliability of our numerical simulations.

Next we consider the Pareto distribution with a real value exponent ($m = 3.5$), inverse Rayleigh distribution

$$p_{Ray}(t) : = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ \frac{\beta}{t^3} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2t^2}} & t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (31)$$




Fig. 1: The numerical results of $M(t, h)/M_0(t)$ with the Pareto distribution ($m = 3$). Dashed lines are $1/(1 - e^h)$.

with a parameter β and log-normal distribution

$$p_{\log}(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma t}} e^{-\frac{(\log(t)-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} & t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

with parameters μ and σ . The corresponding cumulative distributions for the latter two distributions are

$$F_{Ray}(t) := \mathbb{P}[\tau \leq t] = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ e^{-\frac{\beta}{2t^2}} & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

and

$$F_{\log}(t) := \mathbb{P}[\tau \leq t] = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left[-\frac{\log(t)-\mu}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right] & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

respectively.

We perform numerical simulations with these waiting time distributions and plot in Fig.2 the finite-time cumulant generating function (CGF) of the counting process N_t , defined as

$$\varphi_t(h) := \frac{1}{t} \log M(t, h). \quad (35)$$

The figure shows the emergence of the affine part for the negative h , which means that $M(t, h)$ decreases sub-exponentially. Theorem 2.2 states that, when the waiting time distribution is the Pareto distribution with an integer exponent m , this sub-exponential decrease is proportional with $M_0(t)$ (where $M_0(t) = 1 - F(t)$) with a coefficient $1/(1 - e^h)$. To study if the same statement is satisfied with the various waiting-time distributions introduced above,




Fig. 2: Numerical results of the finite-time CGF $((1/t) \log \mathbb{E}[e^{hN_t}])$ of the counting process with Pareto distribution with $m = 3.5$ (a), inverse Rayleigh distribution with $\beta = 1$ (b) and log-normal waiting-time distribution with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1.5$ (c). We observe the emergence of the affine part when h is negative.




Fig. 3: $M(t, h)/M_0(t)$ (filled circles) together with $1/(1 - e^h)$ (dashed lines) for Pareto distribution with $m = 3.5$ (a), inverse Rayleigh distribution with $\beta = 1$ (b) and log-normal waiting-time distribution with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1.5$ (c).

we then plot $M(t, h)/M_0(t)$ (where $M_0(t) = 1 - F(t)$) in Fig.3 as a function of t . In the figure, $M(t, h)/M_0(t)$ seems to converge to $1/(1 - e^h)$ for Pareto distribution ($m = 3.5$) and inverse Rayleigh distribution, while it converges to a value close to $1/(1 - e^h)$ for lognormal distribution. We note that the Pareto and inverse Rayleigh distribution are both regularly varying at infinity while the log-normal is not. It is an interesting future perspective to quantitatively understand these convergences and prove the corresponding Theorem 2.1 for these waiting time distributions.

4.2 Study of the rate function

In this section, we numerically study the asymptotic behaviour of the finite-time rate function $i_t(x)$

$$i_t(x) := -\frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{N_t}{t} \simeq x \right). \quad (36)$$

We first plot $i_t(x) - \min_x i_t(x)$ for several t with different waiting-time distributions (introduced in the previous sub-section) in Fig. 4. The figure indicates the emergence of the affine part, which manifests anomalously large probability of rare fluctuations where N_t/t takes smaller values than the expectation. To study the finite-time asymptotic, we then plot $\log \mathbb{P}[N_t < xt]$ (for a fixed x) as a function of t in Fig. 5. We observe the asymptotic

behaviour of $\log \mathbb{P}[N_t < xt]$ as

$$\log \mathbb{P}[N_t < xt] \sim a \log M_0(t) + \log(t) + b \quad (37)$$

with constants a, b (that can potentially depend on x). For Pareto and the inverse Rayleigh waiting time distributions, a seems to be 1, while a is different from 1 for the log-normal waiting-time distribution.




Fig. 4: The finite time rate function $i_t(x) - \min_x i_t(x)$ for several t with different waiting time distributions: (a) Pareto distribution with $m = 3$, (b) Pareto distribution with $m = 3.5$, (c), inverse Rayleigh distribution with $\beta = 1$ and (d) the log-normal waiting-time distribution with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1.5$. In all panels, the affine part emerges as t increases.

Mathematically justifying this asymptotic expression is an open problem. For example, we can immediately derive a lower bound for $\mathbb{P}[N_t < xt]$ for any $x > 0$ as

$$\mathbb{P}[N_t < xt] \geq \mathbb{P}[N_t = 0] = \mathbb{P}[\tau_1 > t] = M_0(t), \quad (38)$$

which is consistent with the observation. For the upper bound it is natural to use the relation

$$\mathbb{P}[N_t < xt] = \mathbb{P}[S_{\lfloor xt \rfloor} > t] \quad (39)$$

and the bound (16) with replacing n by $\lfloor xt \rfloor$. This leads to

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n \geq t] \leq nM_0(t) + n^2 C_m \frac{d^m}{(d+t)^m} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{c}}{d} \right]^{n-1} \quad (40)$$

valid for any $d \geq 1$. Unfortunately the bound provided by this inequality (and in particular its second term) is not strong enough to derive a meaningful asymptotic expression. Indeed, it is easy to see that when $t \rightarrow \infty$ the second term diverges even if one takes $d \rightarrow \infty$ first. Interestingly, the logarithm of the first term yields

$$\log(\lfloor xt \rfloor M_0(t)) \sim \log M_0(t) + \log(xt) \quad (41)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which is coincidentally the same as the observed expression (37) for Pareto and inverse Rayleigh waiting time distributions. This implies that refining the bound (16) could be the key to derive (37) at least for these waiting time distributions. Pursuing this direction is out of scope in the current manuscript but an interesting future perspective.




Fig. 5: $\log \mathbb{P}[N_t < xt]$ for several waiting time distributions: (a) Pareto distribution with $m = 3$, (b) Pareto distribution with $m = 3.5$, (c), inverse Rayleigh distribution with $\beta = 1$ and (d) the log-normal waiting-time distribution with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1.5$. The lower bound $\log M_0(t)$ and a fitting function $a \log M_0(t) + \log(t) + b$ (with fitting parameters a, b) are also plotted. These fitting parameters are determined as $a = 1.01, b = 0.92$ for (a), $a = 1.00, b = 0.43$ for (b), $a = 1.00, b = 2.00$ for (c) and $a = 0.89, b = 2.51$ for (d).


5 Conclusion

In this article, we studied the finite-time asymptotic of the MGF in a counting process N_t with Pareto distributions (5). To this end, we applied the result (10) and we proved an

explicit expression of the bounds for the finite-time MGF (Theorem 2.2) using an expansion approach. The method to prove these expressions could be applied to more general cases, as the validity of the relation (10) is not restricted to Pareto distribution. Also we expect that the bound of Theorem 2.2 may be extended beyond the case where one can perform a partial fraction decomposition to estimate the integrals. The same affine part has been observed in heat currents with the inverse Rayleigh distribution [15] and in the counting process with lognormal distribution (Fig.2). Similar finite-time asymptotics for the MGF are anticipated as numerically demonstrated in Section 4.1. Studying how the methods of this article can be generalised in these cases would be an interesting future perspective.

In physics, there have been tremendous efforts to understand and characterise singularities appearing in large deviation functions (rate functions and CGFs). In equilibrium statistical physics, these singularities correspond to phase transitions because the large deviation functions are the thermodynamic functions ([18–22] for instance). Studying large deviation functions of time-averaged quantities in non-equilibrium systems have attracted a strong attention of statistical physicists since the discovery of fluctuation theorem in 1993 [20, 23–26]. The singularities of the large deviation functions are related to dynamical phase transitions and are studied in lattice gas models [27–33], high-dimensional chaotic dynamics [34–36], glass formers [37–43], diffusive hydrodynamic equations [44–46] and active matters [47–50]. In these studies, the dynamics are usually defined as a Markov process (or deterministic process), so that the singularity does not appear whenever finite size systems are considered (see [51] for an illustrative example). In our case, the singularity in the large deviation functions is already present for a finite-size system because of the long-range correlation in time. Studying how this difference can alter the well-studied dynamical phase transitions in physics context would be an interesting perspective, in the similar way that heat conductions in aerogels were studied using renewal-reward processes [15].

Acknowledgement

H.H. is in the Cofund MathInParis PhD project that has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 754362.  R.L. is supported by the ANR-15-CE40-0020-01 grant LSD. The authors thank Robert Jack for fruitful discussions about finite-time CGFs and rate functions. H.H. also acknowledges the support from Robert Jack during his stay in the University of Cambridge for his mobility project.

References

- [1] Hansjörg Albrecher and Onno J Boxma. A ruin model with dependence between claim sizes and claim intervals. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* **35**, 245 (2004).
- [2] John F Shortle, James M Thompson, Donald Gross, and Carl M Harris. *Fundamentals of queueing theory* volume 399. John Wiley & Sons 2018.

- [3] Christophe Fraser. Estimating individual and household reproduction numbers in an emerging epidemic. *PloS one* **2**, e758 (2007).
- [4] Michael George Roberts and Hiroshi Nishiura. Early estimation of the reproduction number in the presence of imported cases: pandemic influenza H1N1-2009 in New Zealand. *PloS one* **6**, e17835 (2011).
- [5] J Klafter and R Silbey. Derivation of the continuous-time random-walk equation. *Physical Review Letters* **44**, 55 (1980).
- [6] MIROSLAV S TANUSHEV and Richard Arratia. Central limit theorem for renewal theory for several patterns. *Journal of Computational Biology* **4**, 35 (1997).
- [7] Brian Berkowitz, Andrea Cortis, Marco Dentz, and Harvey Scher. Modeling non-Fickian transport in geological formations as a continuous time random walk. *Reviews of Geophysics* **44** (2006).
- [8] Eli Barkai and Stanislav Burov. Packets of diffusing particles exhibit universal exponential tails. *Physical review letters* **124**, 060603 (2020).
- [9] Raphaël Lefevre, Mauro Mariani, and Lorenzo Zambotti. Large deviations for renewal processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121**, 2243 (2011).
- [10] Jean-Philippe Bouchaud and Antoine Georges. Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications. *Physics reports* **195**, 127 (1990).
- [11] Ryszard Kutner. Extreme events as foundation of Lévy walks with varying velocity. *Chemical physics* **284**, 481 (2002).
- [12] Clélia De Mulatier, Alberto Rosso, and Grégory Schehr. Asymmetric Lévy flights in the presence of absorbing boundaries. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2013**, P10006 (2013).
- [13] Alessandro Vezzani, Eli Barkai, and Raffaella Burioni. Single-big-jump principle in physical modeling. *Physical Review E* **100**, 012108 (2019).
- [14] Raphaël Lefevre and Lorenzo Zambotti. Hot scatterers and tracers for the transfer of heat in collisional dynamics. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **139**, 686 (2010).
- [15] Raphael Lefevre, Mauro Mariani, and Lorenzo Zambotti. Large deviations of the current in stochastic collisional dynamics. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **52**, 033302 (2011).
- [16] Boris Tsirelson et al. From uniform renewal theorem to uniform large and moderate deviations for renewal-reward processes. *Electronic Communications in Probability* **18** (2013).
- [17] William Feller. An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, vol 2. (2010).

- [18] Herbert B Callen. Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics 1998.
- [19] Richard S Ellis. *Entropy, large deviations, and statistical mechanics* volume 1431. Taylor & Francis 2006.
- [20] Hugo Touchette. The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics. *Physics Reports* **478**, 1 (2009).
- [21] Giovanni Jona-Lasinio et al. Large deviations and the Boltzmann entropy formula. *Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics* **29**, 494 (2015).
- [22] Lorenzo Bertini, Alberto De Sole, Davide Gabrielli, Giovanni Jona-Lasinio, and Claudio Landim. Macroscopic fluctuation theory. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **87**, 593 (2015).
- [23] Denis J Evans, Ezechiel Godert David Cohen, and Gary P Morriss. Probability of second law violations in shearing steady states. *Physical review letters* **71**, 2401 (1993).
- [24] Jorge Kurchan. Fluctuation theorem for stochastic dynamics. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **31**, 3719 (1998).
- [25] Joel L Lebowitz and Herbert Spohn. A Gallavotti–Cohen-type symmetry in the large deviation functional for stochastic dynamics. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **95**, 333 (1999).
- [26] Bernard Derrida. Non-equilibrium steady states: fluctuations and large deviations of the density and of the current. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2007**, P07023 (2007).
- [27] Thierry Bodineau and Bernard Derrida. Distribution of current in nonequilibrium diffusive systems and phase transitions. *Physical Review E* **72**, 066110 (2005).
- [28] Cécile Appert-Rolland, Bernard Derrida, Vivien Lecomte, and Frédéric Van Wijland. Universal cumulants of the current in diffusive systems on a ring. *Physical Review E* **78**, 021122 (2008).
- [29] T Bodineau, B Derrida, V Lecomte, and F Van Wijland. Long range correlations and phase transitions in non-equilibrium diffusive systems. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **133**, 1013 (2008).
- [30] Thierry Bodineau, Vivien Lecomte, and Cristina Toninelli. Finite size scaling of the dynamical free-energy in a kinetically constrained model. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **147**, 1 (2012).
- [31] Yongjoo Baek, Yariv Kafri, and Vivien Lecomte. Dynamical symmetry breaking and phase transitions in driven diffusive systems. *Physical review letters* **118**, 030604 (2017).
- [32] Ohad Shpielberg. Geometrical interpretation of dynamical phase transitions in boundary-driven systems. *Physical Review E* **96**, 062108 (2017).

- [33] Ohad Shpielberg, Takahiro Nemoto, and João Caetano. Universality in dynamical phase transitions of diffusive systems. *Physical Review E* **98**, 052116 (2018).
- [34] Julien Tailleur and Jorge Kurchan. Probing rare physical trajectories with Lyapunov weighted dynamics. *Nature Physics* **3**, 203 (2007).
- [35] Tanguy Laffargue, Khanh-Dang Nguyen Thu Lam, Jorge Kurchan, and Julien Tailleur. Large deviations of Lyapunov exponents. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **46**, 254002 (2013).
- [36] F Bouchet, C Nardini, and T Tangarife. Stochastic averaging, large deviations and random transitions for the dynamics of 2D and geostrophic turbulent vortices. *Fluid Dynamics Research* **46**, 061416 (2014).
- [37] Lester O Hedges, Robert L Jack, Juan P Garrahan, and David Chandler. Dynamic order-disorder in atomistic models of structural glass formers. *Science* **323**, 1309 (2009).
- [38] Juan P Garrahan, Robert L Jack, Vivien Lecomte, Estelle Pitard, Kristina van Duivendijk, and Frédéric van Wijland. First-order dynamical phase transition in models of glasses: an approach based on ensembles of histories. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **42**, 075007 (2009).
- [39] Robert L Jack and Peter Sollich. Large deviations and ensembles of trajectories in stochastic models. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* **184**, 304 (2010).
- [40] Estelle Pitard, Vivien Lecomte, and Frédéric Van Wijland. Dynamic transition in an atomic glass former: A molecular-dynamics evidence. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **96**, 56002 (2011).
- [41] David T Limmer and David Chandler. Theory of amorphous ices. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 9413 (2014).
- [42] Takahiro Nemoto, Robert L Jack, and Vivien Lecomte. Finite-size scaling of a first-order dynamical phase transition: Adaptive population dynamics and an effective model. *Physical review letters* **118**, 115702 (2017).
- [43] Thomas Speck, Alex Malins, and C Patrick Royall. First-order phase transition in a model glass former: Coupling of local structure and dynamics. *Physical review letters* **109**, 195703 (2012).
- [44] Lorenzo Bertini, Alberto De Sole, Davide Gabrielli, Gianni Jona-Lasinio, and Claudio Landim. Current fluctuations in stochastic lattice gases. *Physical review letters* **94**, 030601 (2005).
- [45] Pablo I Hurtado and Pedro L Garrido. Spontaneous symmetry breaking at the fluctuating level. *Physical review letters* **107**, 180601 (2011).
- [46] N Tizón-Escamilla, PI Hurtado, and PL Garrido. Structure of the optimal path to a fluctuation. *Physical Review E* **95**, 032119 (2017).

- [47] Suriyanarayanan Vaikuntanathan, Todd R Gingrich, and Phillip L Geissler. Dynamic phase transitions in simple driven kinetic networks. *Physical Review E* **89**, 062108 (2014).
- [48] Francesco Cagnetta, Federico Corberi, Giuseppe Gonnella, and Antonio Suma. Large fluctuations and dynamic phase transition in a system of self-propelled particles. *Physical review letters* **119**, 158002 (2017).
- [49] Stephen Whitelam, Katherine Klymko, and Dibyendu Mandal. Phase separation and large deviations of lattice active matter. *The Journal of chemical physics* **148**, 154902 (2018).
- [50] Takahiro Nemoto, Étienne Fodor, Michael E Cates, Robert L Jack, and Julien Tailleur. Optimizing active work: Dynamical phase transitions, collective motion, and jamming. *Physical Review E* **99**, 022605 (2019).
- [51] Robert L Jack, Takahiro Nemoto, and Vivien Lecomte. Dynamical phase coexistence in the Fredrickson–Andersen model. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2020**, 053204 (2020).