Study on the effects of the restrictive measures for containment of the COVID-19 pandemic on the reproduction number R_t in Italian regions

Gianluca Bonifazi^{1,2}, Luca Lista^{3,4}, Dario Menasce⁵, Mauro Mezzetto^{6,*}, Daniele Pedrini⁵, Roberto Spighi², and Antonio Zoccoli^{7,2}

¹Università Politecnica delle Marche
²INFN Sezione di Bologna
³Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II
⁴INFN Sezione di Napoli
⁵INFN Sezione di Milano Bicocca
⁶INFN Sezione di Padova
⁷Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
* Corresponding author, e-mail: mauro.mezzetto@pd.infn.it

Abstract

Since November 6th, 2020, Italian regions have been classified according to four levels, corresponding to specific risk scenarios, for which specific restrictive measures have been foreseen. By analyzing the time evolution of the reproduction number R_t , we estimate how much different restrictive measures affect R_t , and we quantify the combined effect of the diffusion of virus variants and the beginning of the vaccination campaign upon the R_t trend. We also compute the time delay between implementation of restrictive measures and the resulting effects. Three different models to describe the effects of restrictive measures are discussed and the results are cross-checked with two different algorithms for the computation of R_t .

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to quantify the effects on the reproduction number R_t of the Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions (NPI) put in place in the Italian regions since November 6th, 2020.

Italian regions and the autonomous provinces of Trento and Bolzano have been classified into the four aforementioned colored levels: red, orange, yellow and white in decreasing levels of restrictions, corresponding to four risk scenarios, for which specific restrictive measures were foreseen, as reported in the Appendix. The scenarios are described in [1] and risk indicators are defined in the Ministerial Decree of April 30^{th} , 2020 [2]. The color assignments to the Italian regions along the period November 6^{th} , 2020 – April 26^{th} , 2021 are displayed in Figure 1. We note that the change of NPI in this period was quite frequent. For instance, Lombardia, the most populated Italian region with 10.0 million inhabitants, changed level 15 times in about six months.

Three possible models to evaluate the effects of the NPI on R_t will be compared, and we will address two aspects that affect its computation:

- how can R_t be properly synchronized with the daily number of positive swabs, from which it is computed;
- the value of the time delay between the implementation of a new level of NPI and its effects on R_t .

We will compare the effects of the different NPI in the nine most populated Italian regions: Lombardia, Lazio, Campania, Sicilia, Veneto, Emilia-Romagna, Piemonte, Puglia, Toscana (in decreasing level of population). All together, these regions contain 80% of the total Italian population. We excluded regions with less than 2 million inhabitants, in order to ensure a sufficiently large number of cases and allow a precise R_t computation.

In early January 2021 the vaccination campaign started in Italy, and in the same period novel virus variants spread across the country. These two important factors potentially affect R_t in opposite ways and we will compute their combined effect.

	November								D	ec	en	٦b	er																																							
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13 1	.4 1	5 16	17	18	19	20 2	21 2	2 2	23 24	4 2	5 2	6 27	7 28	29	30	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 1	.0 1	1 1	2 1	3 14	15	16	17	18 1	9 2	0 2	1 2	2 23	3 24	1 25	26	27	28 2	29 3	30 3	1
Campania																																																				
Emilia-Romagna																																																				
Lazio																																																				
Lombardia																																																				
Piemonte																																																				
Puglia																																																				
Sardegna																																																				
Sicilia																																																				
Toscana																																																				
Veneto																																																				

	January									Fe	brı	Jar	y																																		
	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8 9	10	11 1	.2 13	14	15	16 1	7 18	3 19	20	21 2	2 23	3 24	25	26 2	27 23	8 29	ə 30	31	1	2	3 4	1 5	6	7	8	9 10	11	12	13 1	4 15	16	17 1	8 19	ə 20	21	22 2	23 24	4 25	26	27 2	28
Campania																																															
Emilia-Romagna																																															
Lazio																																															
Lombardia																																															
Piemonte																																															
Puglia																																															
Sardegna																																															
Sicilia																																															
Toscana																																															
Veneto																																															
	Marc	ch																							Ар	ril																					
	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8 9	10	11 1	.2 13	14	15	16 1	7 18	3 19	20	21 2	2 23	3 24	25	26 2	27 23	8 29	9 30	31	1	2	3 4	1 5	6	7	8	9 10	11	12	13 1	4 15	16	17 1	.8 19	ə 20	21	22 2	23 24	4 25	26		
Campania																																															
Emilia-Romagna																																															
Lazio																																															

Lazio	
Lombardia	
Piemonte	
Puglia	
Sardegna	
Sicilia	
Toscana	
Veneto	

Figure 1: NPI periods in the Italian regions, characterized by the colored markers white, yellow, orange and red, in the November 6th, 2020 - April 26th, 2021 period of time. Besides the nine regions previously mentioned, it is also reported Sardegna, the only Italian region to reach the white restriction in the time period considered.

2 Computation of R_t and its synchronization with the new COVID-19 cases

We compute R_t from the number of new COVID-19 daily cases reported by the Italian Dipartimento della Protezione Civile [3]. In reference [4] (CovidStat from here on) we developed a simple, yet precise, algorithm to compute R_t . We publish daily real time estimates of R_t , together with other indicators of the development of the Italian outbreak in [5].

The R_t computation requires first a smoothing of the original data and, subsequently, an exponential fit to the resulting curve determining, in this way, the time-dependent growth rate $\lambda(t)$ of the number of new daily cases. The smoothing is performed applying the Savitzky–Golay algorithm [6] in a time window of 21 days and with a second-order polynomial¹. The fit to an exponential curve is performed within moving windows of 14 days.

 R_t is determined from $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ using the equation 1:

$$R_t = (1 + \lambda \theta)^{\kappa} , \qquad (1)$$

where θ and κ are, respectivley, the scale and shape parameters of the gamma function that models the distribution of the generation time, the time interval between infector-infected pair [4]. Estimates of the parameters θ and κ of the generation time distribution are taken from [7].

We cross-check most of the results of this article with the R_t computed following [8] (Cori from here on), by using the EpiEstim package [9] and assuming the same modeling of the generation time distribution.

To study the time-dependent effects of NPI upon the trends of R_t , it is important to assess the correct synchronization of the latter with the daily number of new cases. In real-time applications, it's customary to assign both the smoothing and the resulting λ of the exponential fit to the last day of the time sequence. In this way, the value of R_t can be assigned to the latest day. A more reasonable option for synchronization would be to assign the smoothing and the parameter of the exponential fit to the central day of the sequence. The way the CovidStat algorithm is defined, provides an absolute mean to determine the optimal synchronization of R_t : when the new cases are at a maximum or at a minimum, the growth rate λ becomes zero and, according to Eq.1, R_t must therefore be equal to one. This feature has the additional advantage of being independent from the assumed distribution of the generation time.

In order to verify the synchronization of R_t with the number of new daily cases, we determine the positions of the three prominent peaks in the period of time considered in this paper. To model them, we perform a fit to the sum of three Gompertz derivatives. Following the discussion of [10] each derivative is defined as:

$$g(t; a, k_G, T_p) = a \cdot k_G e^{-e^{-\kappa_G(t-T_p)} - k_G(t-T_p)} .$$
(2)

In this parametrization, a is the integral of the curve, T_p it's the time when the peak is reached and k_G is a growth-rate coefficient, modelling the shape. To illustrate the procedure, figure 2 shows the distribution of the number of new daily cases in Lombardia. A smoothed distribution and the fit of the sum of Gompertz functions are superimposed on the data. We note the large dispersion of the number of new daily cases to be well beyond their expected Poisson statistical fluctuation. This dispersion makes the smoothing of the data necessary. We have quantified this dispersion and compared it to the dispersion of the data relative to the beginning of symptoms as extracted by Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS) [11] in [12].

Both Covidstat and Cori are computed using a modeling of the generation time distribution as measured in [7].

Table 1 displays the day in which the fitted curve reached the first peak in the nine Italian regions along with the dates when R_t crossed the critical value of 1. The curves in Figure 2 and the data in Table 1 show a good agreement between the dates in which a maximum of the new cases distribution is reached and the dates when $R_t = 1$. However, we had to assign the R_t values computed with Cori to the initial day of the 7-days time window under which it is computed, in contrast with the indications of [8].

By adopting this synchronization (for the Covidstat algorithm the smoothing and the exponential fits are referred to the central day of the considered time window, while for Cori R_t it is referred to the first day of the time window), the Covidstat R_t can only be computed up to 8 days before the last day of available data, while Cori can be computed up to 7 days before the last day.

 $^{^{1}}$ The effect is very similar to a moving average, with the further advantage of being able to also perform the smoothing on both edges of the considered time period.



Figure 2: Distribution of the number of new daily cases in Lombardia (squares) together with their smoothing (blue line) and the fit to the sum of three Gompertz functions (orange line). Dotted curves indicate the three single Gompertz components called G1, G2, G3. The R_t values, as computed with the Covidstat algorithm (green curve) and with the Cori algorithm (brown curve) are superimposed with a different scale indicated on the right of the plot. The dashed horizontal orange line is drawn to mark the critical value of $R_t = 1$.

Data in Table 1 indicate a residual mismatch of a couple of days on average between the day in which a maximum is reached and R_t crosses the value of 1. We decided not to adjust the synchronization for this amount of time, because it could be partially due to a bias induced by the fit to the Gompertz functions; in any case the procedure detailed in section 3.1 guarantees an overall correct synchronization.

2.1 R_t data

Once R_t is synchronized with the number of new daily cases, we can proceed to plot the R_t trends for the nine Italian regions considered. Data are displayed in Figure 3, the colors of the dots correspond to the three NPI levels: yellow, orange and red. None of the considered regions has ever been classified as white, so we can't compute the effects of the white NPI level. We observe that all regions have the same descending trend at the beginning of the analyzed time range, because they were all subject, at the time, to the same common NPI. Subsequently, the trends began to differ from region to region, because of the uneven NPI levels and their enforcement date.

We have to consider several factors that can influence the R_t behaviour beyond what is induced by the three NPI levels. Noticeably:

- a) the contagion tracking and screening procedures differ from region to region;
- b) individual regions may have adopted specific additional restrictive provisions to those foreseen nation wide; in some occasions, limited parts of the regions, as single municipalities and provinces, where subject to stricter NPI;
- c) some degree of freedom about the organization of schools of any degree along with Universities had been deferred to local level, more information about these points is reported in Appendix. Schools closures and

Region	Peak of new cases	$R_t = 1$	$R_t = 1$	Δt	Δt
	(days)	(Covidstat)	(Cori)	(Covidstat)	(Cori)
Piemonte	259.9 ± 0.1	262.5 ± 0.1	264.2 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 0.1
Lombardia	257.9 ± 0.1	259.6 ± 0.1	261.0 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.2
Veneto	266.7 ± 0.1	265.2 ± 0.3	268.8 ± 0.6	-1.5 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.6
Emilia-Romagna	266.5 ± 0.1	267.7 ± 0.1	270.0 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.3
Toscana	258.1 ± 0.2	261.2 ± 0.2	264.1 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.3	6.0 ± 0.2
Lazio	262.4 ± 0.3	265.6 ± 0.2	264.9 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.3
Campania	257.5 ± 0.2	259.1 ± 0.1	258.7 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.8
Puglia	277.5 ± 0.2	281.4 ± 0.1	282.4 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 0.3
Sicilia	268.4 ± 0.7	268.0 ± 0.1	268.4 ± 0.2	-0.4 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.7

Table 1: Fit values of the peak position of the second wave in nine Italian regions and date when R_t curve crosses the $R_t = 1$ value, according to the Covidstat and the Cori algorithms, obtained with a straight line fit to the five R_t values around $R_t = 1$. The difference of times Δt between the peak of the new cases and the day when $R_t = 1$ are also reported. Days are counted since February 24th, 2020, day 260 corresponds to November 9th, 2020.

partial openings, therefore, did not follow the NPI levels in the same homogeneous way. Protocols for tracking students and schools staff and the actions to be taken in case of positive cases during the school openings were left to decisions taken at regional level.

- d) mobility inside each region could significantly differ because of different work activities and different network of mobility connections;
- e) the vaccination campaign started in January 2021 with a potential impact on R_t development;
- f) virus variants appeared during the same period of time and became dominant towards its end with a potential impact on R_t development.

For all these reasons, we believe that the effects induced by the NPI alone are not sufficient by themselves to fully account for the trends of R_t . On the other hand, the purpose of this work is not to introduce a model capable of describing the development of the pandemic in all its aspects; we are rather interested in extracting the effects of the individual NPI.



Figure 3: R_t values as a function of time from November 6th, 2020 to April 26th, 2021 in the nine considered regions. The colors of the dots correspond to the three levels of the NPI (yellow, orange, red) locally enforced in different periods of time.

3 R_t models

We introduce three different models to describe the effects of the NPI on the time evolution of R_t . They compute a predicted value for R_t , \hat{R}_t , for all the time periods in which the NPI remained unchanged. At the beginning of each new time period we set $\hat{R}_t = R_t$. The time periods do not begin on the calendar day in which the NPI changed, t, but at the later day $t+t_D$, where t_D is the time required for the effects of R_t to become apparent. t_D is an important parameter of this analysis and will be discussed in paragraph 3.1. The models we take into consideration are:

• Model-a

$$\hat{R}_t(t+t_D+1) = R_t(t+t_D) \cdot \alpha_t$$

with i= yellow, orange, red. In this model, the effect of the NPIs consists in a multiplicative factor α on R_t . This method has been adopted for instance in [13, 14].

• Model-b

$$\hat{R}_t(t+t_D+1) = R_t(t+t_D) + \frac{R_t(t+t_D) - R_{t,i}^*}{N}$$

where the effect of the NPIs is to steer R_t asymptotically to a value $R_{t,i}^*$. The parameter N defines the number of steps needed to reach R_t^* .

• Model-c

$$\hat{R}_t(t+t_D+1) = R_t(t+t_D) + \frac{\hat{R}'(t+t_D) + \beta(R_t(t+t_D) - R_{t,i}^*)}{N}$$

similar to Model-c, where the effects of the NPIs on the trend of R_t are mediated by the time derivative $R'_t(t+t_D)$; the weight β is an additional degree of freedom in the fits.

We determine estimates of the parameters α_i , R_t^* , t_D , N, β by minimizing a χ^2 defined globally over all considered regions, as described in the next section.

3.1 The time delay between NPI changes and their effect upon R_t

The delay time t_D necessary to NPI changes to produce modifications in R_t trends, is determined by a scan on the overall χ^2 computed for all the regions assuming Model-c in the period of time November 6th,2020 – January 15th, 2021. The χ^2 is defined as

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\frac{R_{t}(t+t_{D}) - \hat{R}_{t}(t+t_{D})}{\sigma_{R_{t}(t+t_{D})}} \right)^{2}$$
(3)

where t indicates the calendar days, T is the total number of days in the considered period of time, σ_{R_t} is the error on R_t . We have chosen Model-c because, as will be discussed in the following, it is the algorithm that best reproduces the data. Moreover, we limited the period of time to January 15th, 2021 because, as also discussed in the following, it is the most stable period of time before the introduction of the vaccination campaign and the spread of virus variants.

The χ^2 scan reported in Figure 4, obtained by varying the value of the time delay t_D in the range from 0 to 22 days, indicates that an absolute minimum is reached for a delay of $t_D = 8$ days. The χ^2 distribution is quite broad and irregular; on the other hand, the time delay is expected to have a quite broad distribution. Two contributions to the dispersion of t_D are the finite width of the generation time distribution, and the collection and processing time of molecular swabs that introduce a dispersion of 7.7 days as we estimated in [12].

Since we assigned the Covidstat R_t to the center of the time interval of the fit (8 days before the last day) and the Cori R_t to the start of the time interval (7 days before the last day), the value of $t_D = 8$ days implies that changes on NPI can be detected not earlier than 16 days (Covidstat) and 15 days (Cori) after their enforcement.



Figure 4: χ^2 scan of the fit to R_t^* with Model-c as a function of the delay time t_D .

As a cross-check, we display the trend of R_t in Sardegna, which is not one of our selected regions, but it is the only one that achieved a white color assignment on March 1st, 2021, a decision which resulted in a pronounced rise of R_t . The R_t trend for Sardegna is shown in Figure 5 and is in good agreement with our evaluation of $t_D = 8$ days.

With an analogous χ^2 scan, the number of steps N for Model-b and Model-c is fixed to N = 9.



Figure 5: R_t trend in Sardegna. The change to the white level was established on March 1st, 2021. Considering the required delay of 8 days, we display the change on March 9th, 2021. The steep ascent of R_t begins on March 14st, 2021. The first 8 values of R_t are colored in grey since they don't correspond to any of the three NPI levels here considered after having applied the 8 days time delay.

4 Effects of the three different NPI levels

We estimate the parameters of each model for all the individual italian regions and for their combination (we will call it Italy from here on). As a cross-check, we perform all the calculations by using both the Covidstat and Cori algorithms. The results are displayed in Figure 6. The values for the Italian sample are reported in Table 2.

NPI	R_t Covidstat	R_t Cori										
Model-a (parameter α_i)												
Yellow	1.002 ± 0.004	1.001 ± 0.005										
Orange	0.994 ± 0.005	0.995 ± 0.010										
Red	0.991 ± 0.005	0.987 ± 0.018										
I	Model-b (paramet	ter $R_{t,i}^*$)										
Yellow	1.102 ± 0.0012	1.074 ± 0.0010										
Orange	0.931 ± 0.0013	0.928 ± 0.0012										
Red	0.800 ± 0.0015	0.762 ± 0.0020										
]	Model-c (paramet	ter $R_{t,i}^*$)										
Yellow	1.086 ± 0.0012	1.078 ± 0.0013										
Orange	0.967 ± 0.0015	0.972 ± 0.0014										
Red	0.856 ± 0.0016	0.804 ± 0.0025										

Table 2: Fit values of the Model-a α_i parameters (*i*=yellow, orange, red) and of the $R_{t,i}^*$ parameters of Model-b and Model-c.

The overall χ^2 /ndof values are 33.4, 25.8, 20.0 for Model-a, Model-b, Model-c, respectively, as computed with the CovidStat R_t algorithm for Italy and 36.2, 28.4, 24.3 for for the same models, using the Cori R_t algorithm. None of the χ^2 is fully satisfactory, but, as already discussed in Section 2.1, these models cannot claim to completely describe the course of the pandemic.

It's clear from the comparison of the χ^2 values that Model-a does not provide a fit quality to the data comparable to Model-b and Model-c. The latter provides the best fit to the data and in the following we will consider it as our reference.

Fitted data show a significant difference, as expected, of the effects between the yellow, orange and red NPI



Figure 6: Results of the fits for the parameters of the Model-a (upper left plot; what is reported in dots are the fitted estimates of the parameter α , Model-b (upper right plot; the fitted estimates of R_t^* are reported as squares) and Model-c (lower plot; the fitted estimates of R_t^* are reported as squares) for all the regions and their combination (Italy columns). The colors of the fits, yellow, orange and red, correspond to the three NPI levels. Error bars are smaller than the markers and masked behind them. The horizontal blue dotted lines mark the threshold values $\alpha = 1$ and $R_t^* = 1$.

levels. This trend is reproduced by all considered Italian regions, which, however, can differ in absolute numbers. As discussed in Section 2.1, different regions implemented additional and localised containment measures beyond the NPI considered here, and this could maybe explain the overall differences.

The results indicate that the yellow NPI level has been, in general, inadequate to guarantee the containment of the pandemic, since its fitted R_t^* value is bigger than 1 for all cases (with the only exception of Lazio with an estimated $R_t^* = 1.0$). The orange NPI level produces trends dangerously close to $R_t^* = 1$, while the red NPI level guarantees a good containment of the pandemics. We recall that, as reported in Appendix, the main additional restrictions set by the red level were: further restrictions to mobility, closure of all the stores with some exception and suspension of all sport competitions. Parameters computed with the CovidStat and Cori algorithms are in general in good agreement.

We display in Figure 7 the fitted values \hat{R}_t computed with the three models superimposed to the R_t data in the case of Lombardia. In Figure 8 we display the fits to R_t , \hat{R}_t computed with Model-c for all the regions.



Figure 7: Estimated \hat{R}_t values in Lombardia computed with the Model-a (grey dotted curve), Model-b (pink dotted curve) and Model-c (blue curve) compared with the measured values of R_t (colored dots). The colors of the R_t dots correspond to the three NPI levels yellow, orange, red. They are delayed by 8 days as computed in the text. For this reason, the first 8 values of R_t are colored in grey since they don't correspond to any of the three NPI levels here considered. We remind the fitted value $\hat{R}_t(t)$ is set equal to R_t (t) at any change of NPI level



Figure 8: R_t values as function of time in the nine considered regions. The colors of the dots correspond to the three NPI levels, yellow, orange, red after having applied a delay of $t_D = 8$ days. For this reason, the first 8 values of R_t are colored in grey since they don't correspond to any of the three NPI levels here considered. The blue lines represent the output of the fits, \hat{R}_t , computed with Model-c for each individual region.

5 Effects of the vaccination campaign and virus variants

During the period of time considered in this study, two new important facts happened that could potentially impact on the trends of R_t . The vaccination campaign began in Italy early in January 2021. According to the data reported in the COVID-19 Opendata Vaccini repository [15], the fraction of the Italian population vaccinated by January 15th, 2021 was 4.3% (0%) with the first (second) dose respectively, while, as April 26th, these fractions were increased to 20.1% (8.3%).

On the other hand, virus variants (variants of concerns or VOC), specifically lineage B.1.1.7 (English variant), began to spread out in Italy in the same period: according to [16], 86.7% of the new cases in Italy were due to this variant at March 18th, 2021, while this percentage was 17.8% at February 4th, 2021 [17].

Both VOC and vaccinations have a potentially important impact on the spread of the contagion, therefore we performed a fit with Model-c in two separate period of times: November 6th,2021 – January 15th, 2021 and January 16th, 2021 – April 26th, 2021 to measure a possible overall effect of these two new factors in the progress of the pandemics. The results of the fits are reported in Table 3.

period of time	Yellow	Orange	Red
6 Nov, $2020 - 15$ Jan 2021	1.001 ± 0.002	0.968 ± 0.003	0.802 ± 0.004
16 Jan 2021 – 26 Apr 2021	1.155 ± 0.002	0.974 ± 0.002	0.891 ± 0.002

Table 3: Fit values of the asymptotic values R_t^* for the three NPIs levels yellow, orange and red, computed with Model-c (see text) in two different periods of time.

The combined effect of virus variants and the vaccination campaign in the considered period of time, resulted in a worsening of NPIs effectiveness. The yellow NPI level, for instance, worsened its effectiveness in containing the spread of contagion by 15%. After this period of time, the effect of the variant B.1.1.7 reached its maximum, while the vaccination campaign continues. So, hopefully, if no further variants will influence the R_t trends, the effect of the considered NPI will improve.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we quantified the effects of three sets of restrictive measures on the time evolution of R_t . We tested three models to describe these effects, where the one that turns out best model the data introduces an asymptotic value R_t^* characteristic of each NPI level. The model cannot describe the data in a completely satisfactory way, because it implements only the non-pharmaceutical actions adopted to contain the pandemic.

We find, that averaged over the nine most populated Italian regions, only the so-called red NPI level can produce a significant reduction of R_t , below the threshold value of 1. The orange level keeps R_t around 1, while the yellow level dangerously targets a R_t value above one. These values changed significantly among the Italian regions, that were allowed to implement different actions for the pandemic containment, and presumably had different mobility levels due to their different working activities and different network of mobility connections.

In order to fit the R_t^* values to the R_t data, we measured an average delay time of 8 days between the enforcement of a new NPI level and its effects on the R_t trends. Considering that R_t is referred to the central day of the date range used to compute it, effects became visible only 16 days after their enforcement.

We measured a worsening of the containment effects in the period of time when the variant of concern lineage B.1.1.7 spread out in the country, even if, in the same period, the vaccination campaign started.

All the computations have been performed with two R_t algorithms: the Covidstat algorithm that we developed [4] and the *de facto* standard Cori *et al.* algorithm [8]. We found a good agreement between the results obtained using the two algorithms.

7 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the effort of ISS of making public the data of the symptomatic cases of COVID-19. The present work has been done in the context of the INFN CovidStat project that produces an analysis of the public Italian COVID-19 data. The results of the analysis are published and updated daily on the website https://covid19.infn.it/.

The project has been supported in various ways by a number of people from different INFN Units. In particular, we wish to thank, in alphabetic order: Stefano Antonelli (CNAF), Fabio Bredo (Padova Unit), Luca Carbone (Milano-Bicocca Unit), Francesca Cuicchio (Communication Office), Mauro Dinardo (Milano-Bicocca Unit), Paolo Dini (Milano-Bicocca Unit), Rosario Esposito (Naples Unit), Stefano Longo (CNAF), and Stefano Zani (CNAF). We also wish to thank Prof. Domenico Ursino (Università Politecnica delle Marche) for his supportive contribution.

References

- Prevention and response to COVID-19: evolution of strategy and planning in the transition phase for the autumn-winter season, Ministero della Salute and Istituto Superiore Sanità; 2020. https://www.salute.gov.it/portale/news/p3_2_1_1_1.jsp?lingua=italiano&menu=notizie&p=dalministero&id=5145 (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [2] Decreto Ministeriale of April 30th, 2020, https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2020/05/02/20A02444/sg (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [3] Dipartimento della Protezione Civile, Dati COVID-19 Italia, https://github.com/pcm-dpc/COVID-19
- [4] G. Bonifazi et al., A simplified estimate of the Effective Reproduction Number Rt using its relation with the doubling time and application to Italian COVID-19 data, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 386 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-021-01339-6, arXiv:2012.05194 (2020).
- [5] CovidStat INFN, https://covid19.infn.it/ (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [6] A. Savitzky and M. J. E. Golay, Smoothing and Differentiation of Data by Simplified Least Squares Procedures, Anal. Chem. 1964, 36, 8, 1627–1639, 1964, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60214a047
- [7] D. Cereda et al., The early phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy, Italy, arXiv:2003.09320 (2020)
- [8] A. Cori, N. M. Ferguson, C. Fraser and S. Cauchemez, A New Framework and Software to Estimate Time-Varying Reproduction Numbers During Epidemics, American Journal of Epidemiology, Volume 178, Issue 9, 1 November 2013, Pages 1505–1512, https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwt133
- [9] EpiEstim: Estimate Time Varying Reproduction Numbers from Epidemic Curves, https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/EpiEstim/index.html (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [10] K.M.C. Tjørve, E. Tjørve, The use of Gompertz models in growth analyses, and new Gompertzmodel approach: An addition to the Unified-Richards family, PLoS ONE 12(6): e0178691. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178691
- [11] https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/sars-cov-2-dashboard (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [12] G. Bonifazi et al., A study on the possible merits of using symptomatic cases to trace the development of the COVID-19 pandemic, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 481 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-021-01448-2, arXiv:2101.01414.
- [13] S. Flaxman et al., Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team, Estimating the effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 in Europe. Nature 584, 257–261 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2405-7.
- [14] J. M. Brauner et al., Science 10.1126/science.abd9338 (2020).
- [15] COVID-19 OpenData Vaccini, https://github.com/italia/covid19-opendata-vaccini (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [16] Istituto Superiore di Sanità e Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Prevalenza delle varianti VOC (Variant Of Concern) in Italia: lineage B.1.1.7, P.1, P.2, lineage B.1.351, lineage B.1.525, https://www.panoramasanita.it/wpcontent/uploads/2021/03/Rapporto-indagine-varianti-SARS-CoV-2.pdf (last visited on May 30th, 2021)

- [17] Istituto Superiore di Sanitá e Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Prevalenza della variante VOC 202012/01, lineage B.1.1.7 Italia https://www.iss.it/primo-piano/-/asset_publisher/3f4alMwzN1Z7/content/covid-19-relazionetecnica-dell-iss-della-prima-indagine-sulla-variante-inglese (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [18] Decreto Ministeriale of October 24th, 2020, https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2020/10/25/20A05861/sg (last visited on May 30th, 2021)
- [19] Decreto Ministeriale of March 13th, 2021, https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2021/03/13/21G00040/sg (last visited on May 30th, 2021)

Appendix

A brief description of the rules in place for the four NPI levels denominated as White, Yellow, Orange, Red is reported in table 4.

Following the Ministerial Decrees of October 20th, 2020 [18] and March 13th, 2021 [19], nursery and elementary schools had been always open, except the period March, 3rd, 2021 - April 2nd, 2021 when they closed. High schools and Universities were suggested to organize distance teaching, with some degree of freedom at local level. On April 6th, 2021 it has been decided to bring the distance teaching at 50% and on April 26th, 2021 this percentage become 70% to 100%. middle schools (three years, 12 to 14 years old students) had different implementations in the different regions, the most common was to follow for the first year the rules of elementary schools and for the following two years the rules of high-schools.



• Suspended all sports competitions except those recognized as being of national interest. Closed sports centers.

Table 4: Brief description of the rules in place for the four NPI levels denominated as White, Yellow, Orange, Red.