Poisson Approximation to Weighted Sums of Independent Random Variables

Pratima Eknath Kadu

Department of Maths & Stats, K J Somaiya College of Arts and Commerce, Vidyavihar, Mumbai-400077. Email: pratima.kadu@somaiya.edu

Abstract

This paper deals with Poisson approximation to weighted sums of double-indexed independent random variables using Stein's method. The obtained results improved the existing bounds. As applications, limit theorems for sums of weighted Bernoulli and geometric random variables are discussed.

Keywords: Poisson distribution; weighted sums; limit theorems; Stein's method. MSC 2020 Subject Classifications: Primary: 62E17, 60F05; Secondary: 62E20, 60E05.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Weighted sums of random variables (rvs) have been successfully applied in the areas related to least-square estimators, non-parametric regression function estimators, and Jackknife estimates, among many others. Such sums are also useful in characterizing various statistics. The exact distributions of weighted sums of rvs are not tractable in general, and therefore its approximation is of interest to study their asymptotic behavior. Limit theorems for weighted sums of rvs have been discussed in the literature, for example, see Chow and Lai [7], Dolera [8], Lai and Robbins [14], Zhang [20], and references therein.

Let $Y_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be double-indexed independent rvs concentrated on $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, the set of non-negative integers. Also, define

$$Z_n := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_{i,n},$$
 (1.1)

the weighted sums of double-indexed independent rvs, where $c_i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$, the set of positive integers. We assume $c_i = 1$ for at least one *i* so that Z_n becomes \mathbb{Z}_+ -valued random variable (rv). Limit theorems for the weighted sums of rvs have been discussed in the literature if the sum of weights is finite. For example, Chow and Lai [7] are considered the finite sum of the square of weights, and Bhati and Rattihalli [5] are considered the geometrically weighted sums. But if the sums of weights are not finite then the study of limit behavior of such distributions becomes challenging. Therefore, in this paper, we consider natural weights and obtain limit theorems for Z_n that helps to visualize the behavior of Z_n . Next, let $X \sim \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$, the Poisson distribution, then the probability mass function of X is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(X=k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^k}{k!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$
(1.2)

We obtain error bound for X-approximation to Z_n . The total variance distance is used as a distance metric. Our results are derived using Stein's method (Stein [17]) which can be followed in three steps. First, for a random variable Y, find a Stein operator \mathcal{A} such that $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A}g(Y)) = 0$, for $g \in G_Y$, where $G_Y := \{g \in G | g(0) = 0, \text{ and } g(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \notin S(Y)\},$ $G := \{f | f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is bounded}\}$, and S(Y) is the support of random variable Y. Second, solve the following Stein equation

$$\mathcal{A}g(k) = f(k) - \mathbb{E}f(Y), \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } f \in G.$$
(1.3)

Finally, putting a random variable Z in place of k and taking expectation and supremum, we have

$$d_{TV}(Y,Z) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \mathbb{E}f(Y) - \mathbb{E}f(Z) \right| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z)] \right|, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{I} = \{\mathbf{1}_A \mid A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator function of A. Now, for the random variable X defined in (1.2), the Stein operator is given by

$$\mathcal{A}g(k) = \lambda g(k+1) - kg(k), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } g \in G_X$$
(1.5)

and the solution to the Stein equation (1.3), say g_f , satisfies

$$||g_f|| \le \frac{1}{\max(1,\sqrt{\lambda})}, \text{ and } ||\Delta g_f|| \le \frac{1}{\max(1,\lambda)}, \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{I}, g \in G_X.$$
 (1.6)

For additional details, see Barbour and Hall [2], Barbour *et. al.* [3], Chen *et. al.* [6], Brown and Xia [4], and Kumar *et. al.* [13]. For recent developments, see Ley *et. al.* [16], Upadhye and Barman [18], Kumar [12], and references therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we obtain the error bound for Poisson approximation to Z_n . We show that our bound is better than the existing bound by numerical comparisons. As applications, we also discuss limit theorems for weighted sums of Bernoulli and geometric rvs.

2 Bounds For Poisson Approximation

In this section, we obtain error bound for Poisson approximation to weighted sums of rvs and discuss some limit theorems. Recall that \mathcal{P}_{λ} follows Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$ and $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_{i,n}$, where $Y_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are double-indexed rvs. The following theorem gives the upper bound for the total variation distance between Z_n and \mathcal{P}_{λ} .

Theorem 2.1. Let Z_n and \mathcal{P}_{λ} be defined as in (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. Then

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^\infty jc_i |c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n}) p_{i,n}(jc_i) - (jc_i + 1)p_{i,n}(jc_i + 1)|,$$

where $p_{i,n}(k) = \mathbb{P}(Y_{i,n} = k)$.

Proof. Replacing k by Z_n in (1.5) and taking expectation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z_n)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1)] - \mathbb{E}[Z_n g(Z_n)]$$

= $(\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n)\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1)] + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n})\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1)] - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i,n}g(Z_n)\right].$
(2.1)

Next, let $Z_{i,n} = Z_n - c_i Y_{i,n}$ then $Y_{i,n}$ and $Z_{i,n}$ are independent rvs. Adding and subtracting $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i,n}g(Z_{i,n}+1)\right]$ in (2.1), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z_n)] = (\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n)\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1)] + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n})\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1)] - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\mathbb{E}[Y_{i,n}(g(Z_n) - g(Z_{i,n}+1))].$$
(2.2)

Consider the following expression from the second term in (2.2)

$$\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1)] = \mathbb{E}[g(Z_{i,n}+c_iY_{i,n}+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1)]$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[g(Z_{i,n}+k+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1)]p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k), \qquad (2.3)$$

where

$$p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k) = \mathbb{P}(c_i Y_{i,n} = k) = \begin{cases} p_{i,n}\left(\frac{k}{c_i}\right), & \text{if } k = jc_i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} \Delta g(Z_{i,n}+l) = g(Z_{i,n}+k+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1).$$
(2.4)

Substituting (2.4) in (2.3), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}[\Delta g(Z_{i,n}+l)] p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k).$$
(2.5)

Therefore, the second term of (2.2) leads to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n}) \mathbb{E}[g(Z_n+1) - g(Z_{i,n}+1)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k} c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n}) \mathbb{E}[\Delta g(Z_{i,n}+l)] p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k).$$
(2.6)

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,n}(g(Z_{n}) - g(Z_{i,n} + 1))] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} k \mathbb{E}[\Delta g(Z_{i,n} + l)] p_{i,n,c_{i}}^{*}(k)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k} (k+1) \mathbb{E}[\Delta g(Z_{i,n} + l)] p_{i,n,c_{i}}^{*}(k+1). \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.6) and (2.7) in (2.2), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z_n)] = (\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n)\mathbb{E}[g(Z_n + 1)] + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^k c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n})\mathbb{E}[\Delta g(Z_{i,n} + l)]p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{l=1}^k (k+1)\mathbb{E}[\Delta g(Z_{i,n} + l)]p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k+1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} |\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z_n)]| &\leq |\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n| \, \|g\| + \|\Delta g\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty k |c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n}) p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k) - (k+1) p_{i,n,c_i}^*(k+1)| \\ &= |\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n| \, \|g\| + \|\Delta g\| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^\infty jc_i |c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n}) p_{i,n}(jc_i) - (jc_i+1) p_{i,n}(jc_i+1)|. \end{split}$$

Using (1.6) the result follows.

Remark 2.1. Note that the bound given in Theorem 2.1 is an improvement over the bound given in Corollary 2.1 of Kumar [12]. In particular, if $Y_{i,n} \sim Ber(p_{i,n})$ then, from Theorem

2.1, we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 p_{i,n}^2}{\max\left(1, \lambda\right)},\tag{2.8}$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_{i,n}$. Also, from Corollary 2.1 of Kumar [12], we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, PB(N, p)) \le \frac{\gamma}{\lfloor N \rfloor pq} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{c_i-1} |q - \ell q_{i,n}| p_{i,n} + qc_i p_{i,n}^2 \right),$$
(2.9)

where PB(N,p) follows pseudo-binomial distribution with $N = (1/p) \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_{i,n}$ and $q = 1 - p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 p_{i,n} q_{i,n}\right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_{i,n}\right)$. Also, $\gamma \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i - \gamma^*\right)^{-1/2}$ with $\gamma_i = \min\{1/2, 1 - d_{TV}(c_i Y_{i,n}, c_i Y_{i,n} + 1)\}$ and $\gamma^* = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \gamma_i$. Note that the bound given in (2.8) is better than the bound given in (2.9). Also, the bound given in (2.9) seems to be invalid $(q \geq 1)$ for small values of $p_{i,n}$. For example, let the values of $p_{i,n}$ be as follows:

Table 1: The values of $p_{i,n}$

	i	$p_{i,n}$	c_i	i	$p_{i,n}$	c_i	i	$p_{i,n}$	c_i
Set 1	1-10	0.5	1	11-20	0.45	2	21-30	0.40	1
Set 2	1-10	0.05	1	11-20	0.04	2	21-30	0.04	3

Then, the following table gives the comparison between the bounds given in (2.8) and (2.9).

n	Se	t 1	Set 2			
	From (2.8)	From (2.9)	From (2.8)	From (2.9)		
10	0.5	0.797885	0.025	0.797885		
20	0.757143	1.60360	0.0684615	Not Valid		
30	0.677778	1.34907	0.0772727	Not Valid		

Observe that our bounds are better than the bounds given by Kumar [12].

Corollary 2.1. Assume the conditions of Theorem 2.1 holds then, for $c_i = 1, 1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty k |\mathbb{E}(Y_{i,n}) p_{i,n}(k) - (k+1) p_{i,n}(k+1)|.$$

- **Remarks 2.1.** (i) The bound given in Corollary 2.1 is also obtained by Kumar et al. [13, Theorem 3.1]. Therefore, if $Y_{i,n}$ follows power series distribution then all limiting results obtained by Kumar et al. [13] follows as special cases.
 - (ii) Observe that if $Y_{i,n} \sim Po(\lambda_{i,n})$ and $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,n}$ then $d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) = 0$, as expected.

(iii) If $Y_{i,n} \sim Ber(p_{i,n})$ then it can be easily verified that

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_{i,n}^2}{\max(1, \lambda)}.$$
(2.10)

Moreover, if $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i,n}$ then, we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_{i,n}^2}{\max(1, \lambda)}$$

which is the bound also obtained by Barbour and Hall [2], and an improvement over the bounds given by Le Cam [15] and Kerstan [11].

(iv) If $Y_{i,n} \sim Geo(p_{i,n})$ then it can be easily verified that

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{q_{i,n}}{p_{i,n}}\right)^2}{\max(1, \lambda)},$$
(2.11)

where $q_{i,n} \leq 1/2$. Moreover, if $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i,n}}{p_{i,n}}$ then, we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{q_{i,n}}{p_{i,n}}\right)^2}{\max\left(1, \lambda\right)},$$

which is the bound also obtained by Kadu [10] and Kumar et al. [13], and an improvement over the bounds given by Vellaisamy and Upadhye [19].

From (2.10), if $\mathbb{E}Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{i,n} \to \lambda$ and $\max_{1 \le i \le n} p_{i,n} \to 0$ then $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$. Similarly, from (2.11), if $\mathbb{E}Z_n \to \lambda$ and $\max_{1 \le i \le n} q_{i,n} \to 0$ then $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$. For more details, see Examples 3.2 and 3.4 of Kumar *et al.* [13]. Now, we generalize these two results for weighted sums considered in this paper. The following examples gives the limiting results for weighted sums of Bernoulli and geometric independent (non-identical) rvs.

Example 2.1. Let $Y_{i,n} \sim Ber(p_{i,n})$ then, from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 p_{i,n}^2}{\max(1, \lambda)}$$
$$\leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{p_n^* \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_{i,n}}{\max(1, \lambda)},$$

where $p_n^* = \max_{1 \le i \le n} c_i p_{i,n}$. Note that if $\mathbb{E}Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_{i,n} \to \lambda$ and $p_n^* \to 0$ then $d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This shows that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$.

Example 2.2. Let $Y_{i,n} \sim Geo(p_{i,n})$ then, from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) &\leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^\infty j_{c_i} q_{i,n}^{j_{c_i}+1}(c_i + (jc_i + 1)p_{i,n}) \\ &= \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i q_{i,n}^{c_i+1}}{(1 - q_{i,n}^{c_i})^3} \left[c_i(1 - q_{i,n}^{c_i}) + p_{i,n}((c_i - 1)q_{i,n}^{c_i} + c_i + 1) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i^2 q_{i,n}^{c_i+1}}{(1 - q_{i,n}^{c_i})^3} \left[3 + q_{i,n}^{c_i} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{4}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i^2 q_{i,n}^{c_i+1}}{(1 - q_{i,n}^{c_i})^3} \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{4q_n^*}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i q_{i,n}}{(1 - q_{i,n}^{c_i})^3}, \end{split}$$

where $q_n^* = \max_{1 \le i \le n} c_i q_{i,n}^{c_i}$. Note that if $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i q_{i,n} \to \lambda$ and $q_n^* \to 0$ then $d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_\lambda) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This shows that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{P}_\lambda$.

Remark 2.2. Observe that if $c_i = 1$ then the conditions in Examples 2.1 and 2.2 reduced to the standard conditions used in Examples 3.2 and 3.4 of Kumar et al. [13], respectively.

Next, we discuss an application of our result to compound Poisson distribution in the following example.

Example 2.3. Let $c_i = i$, $Y_{i,n} \sim Poi(\lambda_{i,n})$ and $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n iY_{i,n}$ then Z_∞ follows compound Poisson distribution. Also, from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=2}^n i(i-1)e^{-\lambda_{i,n}} \sum_{j=1}^\infty j \frac{\lambda_{i,n}^{ij+1}}{(ij)!}$$
$$\leq \frac{|\lambda - \mathbb{E}Z_n|}{\max(1, \sqrt{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=2}^n i(i-1)\lambda_{i,n}^{i+1}e^{\lambda_{i,n}^i - \lambda_{i,n}}.$$

Note that if $i\lambda_{i,n} \searrow 0$ then the above bound is useful in practice. See Barbour et al. [1] and Gan and Xia [9] for details.

References

- Barbour, A. D., Chen, L. H. Y. and Loh, W. L. (1992). Compound poisson approximation for nonnegative random variables via Stein's method. Ann. Prob. 20, 1843–1866.
- [2] Barbour, A. D. and Hall, P. (1984). On the rate of Poisson convergence. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 95, 473–480.

- [3] Barbour, A. D., Holst, L. and Janson, S. Poisson Approximation. Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [4] Barbour, A. D. and Xia, A. (1999). Poisson perturbation. ESAIM Probab. Statist. 3, 131–150.
- [5] Bhati, D. and Rattihalli, R. N. (2014). Distributions of sums of geometrically weighted finite valued discrete random variables. *ProbStat Forum* 7, 12–20.
- [6] Chen, L. H. Y., Goldstein, L. and Shao, Q.-M. (2011). Normal Approximation by Stein's Method. Springer, Heidelberg.
- [7] Chow, Y. S. and Lai, T. L. (1973). Limiting behavior of weighted sums of independent random variables. Ann. Prob. 1, 810–824.
- [8] Dolera, E. (2013). Estimates of the approximation of weighted sums of conditionally independent random variables by the normal law. J. Inequal. Appl., 2013:320, 21.
- [9] Gan, H. L. and Xia, A. (2015). Stein's method for conditional compound Poisson approximation. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 100, 19–26.
- [10] Kadu, P. E. (2020). Approximation results of sums of independent random variables, To appear in Revstat Stat. J.
- [11] Kerstan, J. (1964). Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von Prochorow und Le Cam. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 2, 173–179.
- [12] Kumar, A. N. (2021). Approximations to weighted sums of random variables, To appear in Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.
- [13] Kumar, A. N., Vellaisamy, P. and Viens, F. (2021). Poisson approximation to the convolution of power series distributions, *To appear in Probab. Math. Statist.*
- [14] Lai, T. L. and Robbins, H. (1978). Limit theorems for weighted sums and stochastic approximation processes. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75, 1068–1070.
- [15] Le Cam, L. (1960). An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomial distribution. *Pacific J. Math.* 10, 1181–1197.
- [16] Ley, C., Reinert, G. and Swan, Y. (2017). Stein's method for comparison of univariate distributions. *Probab. Surv.* 14, 1–52.
- [17] Stein, C. (1972). A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In: *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab. II. Probability Theory*, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 583–602.
- [18] Upadhye, N. S. and Barman, K. (2020). A unified approach to Stein's method for stable distributions. *Preprint: arXiv:2004.07593*.
- [19] Vellaisamy, P. and Upadhye, N. S. (2009). Compound negative binomial approximations for sums of random variables. *Probab. Math. Statist.* 29, 205–226.
- [20] Zhengyan, L. (1989). Laws of large numbers for weighted sums of random variables and random elements. Acta Math. Sin. 5, 185–192.