# Poisson Approximation to Weighted Sums of Locally Dependent Random Variables

Pratima Eknath Kadu

Department of Maths & Stats, K J Somaiya College of Arts and Commerce, Vidyavihar, Mumbai-400077. Email: pratima.kadu@somaiya.edu

#### Abstract

This paper deals with Poisson approximation to weighted sums of locally dependent random variables using Stein's method. The derived result represent a significant improvement of existing results. To illustrate the effectiveness of our findings, a numerical comparison is provided.

Keywords: Poisson distribution; weighted sums; Stein's method. MSC 2020 Subject Classifications: Primary: 62E17, 60F05; Secondary: 62E20, 60E05.

### 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Weighted sums of random variables (rvs) have been successfully applied in the areas related to least-square estimators, non-parametric regression function estimators, and Jackknife estimates, among many others. These sums also play a crucial role in characterizing various statistics. However, determining the exact distributions of weighted sums of rvs is generally impractical, prompting interest in approximations to study their asymptotic behaviour. The literature has extensively explored limit theorems for weighted sums of rvs, as evidenced by works such as Chow and Lai [\[6\]](#page-5-0), Dolera [\[7\]](#page-5-1), Lai and Robbins [\[13\]](#page-5-2), Zhang [\[18\]](#page-5-3), among many others.

In this paper, we consider the locally dependent set up similar to Kumar [\[9\]](#page-5-4). Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$ ,  $Y_n$  be  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variables. For each i, let  $c_i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$  and  $i \in \mathcal{A}_i \subset$  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  be such that  $Y_i$  is independent of  $Y_{\mathcal{A}^c_i}$ , where  $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the collection of random variables  $\{Y_i, i \in \mathcal{A}\}\$ and  $\mathcal{A}^c$  denotes the complement of the set  $\mathcal{A}$ . Also, see Kumar and

Vellaisamy [\[11\]](#page-5-5) and Röllin [19] for a similar type of locally dependent structure. In addition, if  $A_i = \{i\}$  then our locally dependent structure reduced to the independent collection of random variables. Define

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
Z_n := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_{i,n},
$$
\n(1.1)

the weighted sums of locally dependent rvs. We assume  $c_i = 1$  for at least one i so that  $Z_n$  becomes  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable (rv). Throughout the paper, let  $Z_A := \sum_{j \in A} c_i Y_i$ . Limit theorems for the weighted sums of rvs have been discussed in the literature if the sum of weights is finite. For example, Chow and Lai [\[6\]](#page-5-0) are considered the finite sum of the square of weights, and Bhati and Rattihalli [\[4\]](#page-4-0) are considered the geometrically weighted sums. But if the sums of weights are not finite then the study of limit behavior of such distributions becomes challenging. Therefore, in this paper, we consider natural weights and obtain approximations for  $Z_n$  that helps to visualize the behavior of  $Z_n$ .

Next, let  $X \sim \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$ , the Poisson distribution, then the probability mass function of X is given by

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\mathbb{P}(X=k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^k}{k!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
$$
 (1.2)

We obtain error bound for X-approximation to  $Z_n$ . The total variance distance is used as a distance metric. Our results are derived using Stein's method (Stein [\[16\]](#page-5-6)) which can be followed in three steps. First, for a random variable  $Y$ , find a Stein operator  $A$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A}g(Y)) = 0$ , for  $g \in G_Y$ , where  $G_Y := \{g \in G | g(0) = 0$ , and  $g(k) = 0$  for  $k \notin S(Y) \}$ ,  $G := \{f | f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is bounded}\},\$  and  $S(Y)$  is the support of random variable Y. Second, solve the following Stein equation

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}g(k) = f(k) - \mathbb{E}f(Y), \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } f \in G. \tag{1.3}
$$

Finally, putting a random variable  $Z$  in place of  $k$  and taking expectation and supremum, we have

$$
d_{TV}(Y, Z) := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{I}} |\mathbb{E}f(Y) - \mathbb{E}f(Z)| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{I}} |\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z)]|,
$$
\n(1.4)

where  $\mathcal{I} = \{1_A \mid A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  and  $1_A$  is the indicator function of A. Now, for the random variable X defined in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0), the Stein operator is given by

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{A}g(k) = \lambda g(k+1) - k g(k), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } g \in G_X \tag{1.5}
$$

and the solution to the Stein equation [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1), say  $g_f$ , satisfies

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\|\Delta g_f\| \le \frac{1}{\max(1,\lambda)}, \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{I}, g \in G_X. \tag{1.6}
$$

For additional details, see Barbour and Hall [\[1\]](#page-4-1), Barbour *et. al.* [\[2\]](#page-4-2), Chen *et. al.* [\[5\]](#page-5-7), Brown and Xia [\[3\]](#page-4-3), and Kumar *et. al.* [\[10,](#page-5-8) [12\]](#page-5-9). For recent developments, see Ley *et. al.* [\[15\]](#page-5-10), Upadhye and Barman [\[17\]](#page-5-11), Kumar [\[9\]](#page-5-4), and references therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-2-0) we obtain the error bound for Poisson approximation to  $Z_n$ . We show that our bound is better than the existing bound by numerical comparisons.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>2 Bounds For Poisson Approximation

In this section, we derive an error bound for the Poisson approximation to weighted sums of locally dependent rvs. Additionally, we present the result for the independent case. Recall that  $P_{\lambda}$  follows Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda > 0$  and  $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$ , where  $Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , are locally dependent rvs. The subsequent theorem establishes an upper bound for the total variation distance between  $Z_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$ .

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $Z_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  be defined as in [\(1.1\)](#page-1-2) and [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0), respectively. Then

$$
d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}_i} c_i^2 [\mathbb{E}(Y_i Y_j) + \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \mathbb{E}(Y_j)] - c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \right\},
$$

*where*  $\lambda = \mathbb{E}(Z_n)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Replacing k by  $Z_n$  in [\(1.5\)](#page-1-3) and taking expectation, we have

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}(Z_n)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{g}(Z_n + 1)] - \mathbb{E}[Z_n \mathcal{g}(Z_n)]
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{g}(Z_n + 1)] - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i \mathcal{g}(Z_n)].
$$
 (2.1)

Next, let  $Z_i^* = Z_n - \sum_{j \in A_i} c_i Y_i = Z_n - Z_{A_i}$  then  $Y_i$  and  $Z_i^*$  are independent rvs. Adding

and subtracting  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathbb{E} [Y_i g(Z_i^* + 1)]$  in [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1), we get

$$
\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}g(Z_n)] = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \mathbb{E}[g(Z_n + 1) - g(Z_i^* + 1)] - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}[Y_i(g(Z_n) - g(Z_i^* + 1))]
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{Z_{\mathcal{A}_i}} \Delta g(Z_i^* + j)\right] - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathbb{E}\left[Y_i \sum_{j=1}^{Z_{\mathcal{A}_i} - 1} \Delta g(Z_i^* + j)\right]
$$

Note that if  $Y_i \geq 1$ , then  $Z_{\mathcal{A}_i} \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$
|\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}(Z_n)]| \leq ||\Delta \mathcal{G}|| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i [\mathbb{E}(Y_i)\mathbb{E}(Z_{\mathcal{A}_i}) + \mathbb{E}(Y_i(Z_{\mathcal{A}_i}-1))] \right\}.
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Using [\(1.6\)](#page-2-2) the result follows.

Corollary 2.1. Let  $Z_n$  be the weighted sums of independent rvs and  $P_\lambda$  be defined as in  $(1.2)$  *satisfies*  $\lambda = \mathbb{E}(Z_n)$ *. Then* 

$$
d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{\max(1, \lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ c_i^2 \left[ \mathbb{E}(Y_i^2) + (\mathbb{E}(Y_i))^2 \right] - c_i \mathbb{E}(Y_i) \right\}.
$$

*Proof.* The result follows by letting  $A_i = \{i\}$  in Theorem [2.1.](#page-2-3)

Remark 2.1. *(i)* If  $Y_i \sim Ber(p_i)$  and  $c_i = 1$ , for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , then it can be easily *verified that*

$$
d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_\lambda) \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2}{\max(1, \lambda)},\tag{2.2}
$$

*which is the bound also obtained by Barbour and Hall [\[1\]](#page-4-1), and an improvement over the bounds given by Le Cam [\[14\]](#page-5-12) and Kerstan [\[8\]](#page-5-13).*

*(ii) Note that the bound given in Corollary [2.1](#page-3-0) is an improvement over the bound given in Corollary 2.1 of Kumar [\[9\]](#page-5-4). In particular, if*  $Y_i \sim Ber(p_i)$  *then, from Corollary [2.1,](#page-3-0) we have*

$$
d_{TV}(Z_n, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda}) \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (c_i^2 p_i^2 + c_i (c_i - 1) p_i)}{\max(1, \lambda)},
$$
\n(2.3)

where  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_i$ . Also, from Corollary 2.1 of Kumar<sup>*[9]*</sup>, we have

$$
d_{TV}(Z_n, PB(N, p)) \le \frac{\gamma}{\lfloor N \rfloor pq} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{c_i - 1} |q - \ell q_i| p_i + q c_i p_i^2 \right), \tag{2.4}
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span> $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

where  $PB(N, p)$  follows pseudo-binomial distribution with  $N = (1/p) \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_i$  and  $q = 1 - p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2\right)$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_i$ ) /  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_i)$ . Also,  $\gamma \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$  $\frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i - \gamma^* \right)^{-1/2} \ with$  $\gamma_i = \min\{1/2, 1 - d_{TV}(c_iY_i, c_iY_i + 1)\}\$  and  $\gamma^* = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \gamma_i$ . Note that the bound *given in* [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) *is better than the bound given in* [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2)*. Also, the bound given in* [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2) *seems to be invalid*  $(q \geq 1)$  *for small values of*  $p_i$ *. For example, let the values of*  $p_i$  *be as follows:*

Table 1: The values of  $p_i$ 

				$i \qquad p_i \qquad c_i \qquad i \qquad p_i \qquad c_i \qquad i \qquad p_i \qquad c_i \qquad$	
$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Set} & 1 & 1 & -10 & 0.5 & 1 & 11 & -20 & 0.45 & 2 & 21 & -30 & 0.40 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$					
$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Set } 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 1-10 0.05 1 11-20 0.04 2 21-30 0.04 3					

*Then, the following table gives the comparison between the bounds given in* [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) *and* [\(2.4\)](#page-3-2)*.*

$\boldsymbol{n}$		Set 1	Set 2		
		From $(2.3)$ From $(2.4)$	From $(2.3)$ From $(2.4)$		
10	0.5	0.797885	0.025	0.797885	
20	1.4	1.60360	0.683846	Not Valid	
30	1.17778	1.34907	1.3732	Not Valid	

*Observe that our bounds are better than the bounds given by Kumar [\[9\]](#page-5-4).*

## <span id="page-4-1"></span>References

- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[1] Barbour, A. D. and Hall, P. (1984). On the rate of Poisson convergence. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 95, 473–480.
- [2] Barbour, A. D., Holst, L. and Janson, S. (1992). *Poisson approximation*, volume 2 of *Oxford Studies in Probability*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, oxford Science Publications.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>[3] Barbour, A. D. and Xia, A. (1999). Poisson perturbation. *ESAIM Probab. Statist.* 3, 131–150.
- [4] Bhati, D. and Rattihalli, R. N. (2014). Distributions of sums of geometrically weighted finite valued discrete random variables. *ProbStat Forum* 7, 12–20.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>[5] Chen, L. H. Y., Goldstein, L. and Shao, Q.-M. (2011). *Normal Approximation by Stein's Method*. Springer, Heidelberg.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[6] Chow, Y. S. and Lai, T. L. (1973). Limiting behavior of weighted sums of independent random variables. *Ann. Prob.* 1, 810–824.
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[7] Dolera, E. (2013). Estimates of the approximation of weighted sums of conditionally independent random variables by the normal law. *J. Inequal. Appl.* , 2013:320, 21.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[8] Kerstan, J. (1964). Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von Prochorow und Le Cam. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 2, 173–179.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[9] Kumar, A. N. (2021). Approximations to weighted sums of random variables. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 44, 2447–2464.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[10] Kumar, A. N., Upadhye, N. S. and Vellaisamy, P. (2022). Approximations related to the sums of m-dependent random variables. *Braz. J. Probab. Stat.* 36, 349–368.
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[11] Kumar, A. N. and Vellaisamy, P. Binomial approximation to locally dependent collateralized debt obligations. To appear in *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.* .
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[12] Kumar, A. N., Vellaisamy, P. and Viens, F. (2022). Poisson approximation to the convolution of power series distributions. *Probab. Math. Statist.* 42, 63–80.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[13] Lai, T. L. and Robbins, H. (1978). Limit theorems for weighted sums and stochastic approximation processes. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 75, 1068–1070.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[14] Le Cam, L. (1960). An approximation theorem for the Poisson binomial distribution. *Pacific J. Math.* 10, 1181–1197.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[15] Ley, C., Reinert, G. and Swan, Y. (2017). Stein's method for comparison of univariate distributions. *Probab. Surv.* 14, 1–52.
- [16] Stein, C. (1972). A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In: *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab. II. Probability Theory*, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 583–602.
- <span id="page-5-11"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span>[17] Upadhye, N. S. and Barman, K. (2020). A unified approach to Stein's method for stable distributions. *Preprint : arXiv:2004.07593* .
- [18] Zhengyan, L. (1989). Laws of large numbers for weighted sums of random variables and random elements. *Acta Math. Sin.* 5, 185–192.