# Analytical and computational properties of the variable symmetric division deg index

R. Aguilar-Sánchez<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Méndez-Bermúdez<sup>2</sup>, José M. Rodríguez<sup>3</sup>, and José M. Sigarreta<sup>\*4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla 72570, Mexico

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apartado Postal J-48, Puebla 72570, Mexico

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Avenida de la Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, Carlos E. Adame No.54 Col. Garita, Acapulco Gro. 39650, Mexico

(Received xxx)

#### Abstract

The aim of this work is to obtain new inequalities for the variable symmetric division deg index  $SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u^{\alpha}/d_v^{\alpha} + d_v^{\alpha}/d_u^{\alpha})$ , and to characterize graphs extremal with respect to them. Here, uv denotes the edge of the graph G connecting the vertices u and v,  $d_u$  is the degree of the vertex u, and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Some of these inequalities generalize and improve previous results for the symmetric division deg index. In addition, we computationally apply the  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  index on random graphs and show that the ratio  $\langle SDD_a(G) \rangle /n$  (n being the order of the graph) depends only on the average degree  $\langle d \rangle$ .

### 1 Preliminaries

A large number of graph invariants of the form  $X(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} F(d_u, d_v)$ , where uv denotes the edge of the graph G connecting the vertices u and v and  $d_u$  is the degree

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

of the vertex u, are studied in mathematical chemistry. The single number X(G), representing a chemical structure in graph-theoretical terms via the molecular graph, is called a topological descriptor and if in addition it correlates with a molecular property it is called a topological index. Remarkably, topological indices capture physical properties of a molecule in a single number.

Hundreds of topological indices have been proposed and studied over more than 40 years. Among them, probably the most popular descriptors are the Randić connectivity index and the Zagreb indices. The first and second Zagreb indices, denoted by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively, were introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić in 1972 (see [1]) as

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^2, \qquad M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_u d_v.$$

For details of the applications and mathematical theory of Zagreb indices see [2–4], and the references therein.

The concept of variable molecular descriptors was proposed as a new way of characterizing heteroatoms in molecules (see [5,6]), but also to assess structural differences (e.g., the relative role of carbon atoms of acyclic and cyclic parts in alkylcycloalkanes [7]). The idea behind the variable molecular descriptors is that the variables are determined during the regression so that the standard error of estimate for a particular studied property is as small as possible (see, e.g., [8]).

In this line of ideas, the variable versions of the first and second Zagreb indices were defined as [8–10]

$$M_1^{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^{\alpha}, \qquad M_2^{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v)^{\alpha},$$

with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Evidently,  $M_1^2$  and  $M_2^1$  are the first and second Zagreb indices, respectively. In addition, the first and second variable Zagreb indices include several known indices. As examples we note that  $M_1^{-1}$  is the inverse index ID,  $M_1^3$  is the forgotten index F,  $M_2^{-1/2}$ is the Randić index, and  $M_2^{-1}$  is the modified Zagreb index.

In 2011, Vukičević proposed the variable symmetric division deg index [11]

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right).$$
(1)

Note that  $SDD_{-\alpha}(G) = SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  and so, it suffices to consider positive values of  $\alpha$ . The symmetric division deg index is the best predictor of total surface area for polychlorobiphenyls [12].

In this paper we perform studies of the variable symmetric division deg index from analytical and computational viewpoints.

## 2 Analytical study of the variable symmetric division deg index

Let us start by proving a monotonicity property of these indices.

**Theorem 1** Let G be a graph and  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ . Then

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) \leq SDD_{\beta}(G),$$

and the equality in the bound is attained if and only if each connected component of G is a regular graph.

**Proof.** Let us consider  $x \ge 1$ . Thus,  $x^{\alpha} \ge x^{-\beta}$  and

$$x^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \ge 0, \qquad x^{\alpha} \left( x^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \right) \ge x^{-\beta} \left( x^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \right),$$
$$x^{\beta} - x^{\alpha} \ge x^{-\alpha} - x^{-\beta}, \qquad x^{\beta} + x^{-\beta} \ge x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha},$$

for every  $x \ge 1$ . Since  $u(x) = x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha}$  satisfies u(1/x) = u(x) for every x > 0, we have  $x^{\beta} + x^{-\beta} \ge x^{\alpha} + x^{-\alpha}$  for every x > 0. Note that the equality is attained if and only if x = 1.

Thus, we have

$$SDD_{\beta}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\beta}}{d_v^{\beta}} + \frac{d_v^{\beta}}{d_u^{\beta}} \right) \ge \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) = SDD_{\alpha}(G).$$

The previous argument gives that the equality in the bound is attained if and only if  $d_u/d_v = 1$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ , i.e., each connected component of G is a regular graph.

The next result relates the  $SDD_a$  and the variable Zagreb indices.

**Theorem 2** If G is a graph with minimum degree  $\delta$  and maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$2\delta^{2\alpha}M_2^{-\alpha}(G) \le SDD_{\alpha}(G) \le 2\Delta^{2\alpha}M_2^{-\alpha}(G),$$
$$\Delta^{-2\alpha}M_1^{2\alpha+1}(G) \le SDD_{\alpha}(G) \le \delta^{-2\alpha}M_1^{2\alpha+1}(G)$$

and the equality in each bound is attained if and only if G is regular.

**Proof.** First of all recall that for any function f we have

$$\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( f(d_u) + f(d_v) \right) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u f(d_u).$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha} \right) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^{2\alpha+1} = M_1^{a+1}(G).$$

Since

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha}}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}}$$

and  $\alpha > 0$ , we obtain

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha}}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}} \le 2\Delta^{2\alpha} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v)^{-\alpha} = 2\Delta^{2\alpha} M_2^{-\alpha}(G),$$

and

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha}}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}} \ge 2\delta^{2\alpha} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u d_v)^{-\alpha} = 2\delta^{2\alpha} M_2^{-\alpha}(G).$$

We also have

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha}}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}} \le \delta^{-2\alpha} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha} \right)$$
$$= \delta^{-2\alpha} \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^{2\alpha+1} = \delta^{-2\alpha} M_1^{2\alpha+1}(G),$$

and

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha}}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}} \ge \Delta^{-2\alpha} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha} \right)$$
$$= \Delta^{-2\alpha} \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u^{2\alpha+1} = \Delta^{-2\alpha} M_1^{2\alpha+1}(G).$$

If G is a regular graph, then each lower bound and its corresponding upper bound are the same, and they are equal to  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$ .

Assume now that the equality in either the first or second bound is attained. The previous argument gives that we have either  $d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha} = 2\Delta^{2\alpha}$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$  or  $d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha} = 2\delta^{2\alpha}$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ . Thus,  $d_u = d_v = \Delta$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ , or  $d_u = d_v = \delta$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ . Hence, G is regular.

Finally, assume that the equality in either the third or fourth bound is attained. The previous argument gives that we have either  $(d_u d_v)^{\alpha} = \delta^{2\alpha}$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ or  $(d_u d_v)^{\alpha} = \Delta^{2\alpha}$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ . Thus,  $d_u = d_v = \delta$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ , or  $d_u = d_v = \Delta$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ . Therefore, G is regular.

We will need the following technical result.

Lemma 3 Let 0 < a < A. Then

$$a \le \frac{x^2 + y^2}{x + y} \le A$$

for every  $a \le x, y \le A$ . The lower bound is attained if and only if x = y = a. The upper bound is attained if and only if x = y = A.

**Proof.** If  $a \le x, y \le A$ , then  $ax + ay \le x^2 + y^2 \le Ax + Ay$ , and the statement holds.

A family of degree-based topological indices, named *Adriatic indices*, was put forward in [12, 13]. Twenty of them were selected as significant predictors. One of them, the *inverse sum indeg* index, *ISI*, was singled out in [12, 13] as a significant predictor of total surface area of octane isomers. This index is defined as

$$ISI(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u \, d_v}{d_u + d_v} = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}}.$$

Next, we relate  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  with the variable inverse sum deg index defined, for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , as

$$ISD_a(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_u^a + d_v^a}$$

Note that  $ISD_{-1}$  is the inverse sum indeg index ISI.

**Theorem 4** If G is a graph with m edges and minimum degree  $\delta$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) \ge \frac{\delta^{\alpha}m^2}{ISD_{-\alpha}(G)}$$

and the equality in the bound is attained if and only if G is regular.

**Proof.** Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$m^{2} = \left(\sum_{uv \in E(G)} 1\right)^{2} = \left(\sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{d_{u}^{2\alpha} + d_{v}^{2\alpha}}{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}}{d_{u}^{2\alpha} + d_{v}^{2\alpha}}\right)^{1/2}\right)^{2}$$
$$\leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}^{2\alpha} + d_{v}^{2\alpha}}{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}}{d_{u}^{2\alpha} + d_{v}^{2\alpha}}.$$

Since Lemma 3 gives

$$\delta^{\alpha} \leq \frac{x^{2\alpha} + y^{2\alpha}}{x^{\alpha} + y^{\alpha}} \leq \Delta^{\alpha}, \qquad \frac{1}{x^{2\alpha} + y^{2\alpha}} \leq \frac{\delta^{-\alpha}}{x^{\alpha} + y^{\alpha}},$$

for every  $\delta \leq x, y \leq \Delta$ , we have

$$m^{2} \leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}^{2\alpha} + d_{v}^{2\alpha}}{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}}{d_{u}^{2\alpha} + d_{v}^{2\alpha}}$$
$$\leq \delta^{-\alpha} SDD_{\alpha}(G) \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_{u}^{\alpha} d_{v}^{\alpha}}{d_{u}^{\alpha} + d_{v}^{\alpha}}$$
$$= \delta^{-\alpha} SDD_{\alpha}(G) \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d_{u}^{-\alpha} + d_{v}^{-\alpha}}$$
$$= \delta^{-\alpha} SDD_{\alpha}(G) ISD_{-\alpha}(G).$$

If G is a regular graph, then  $SDD_{\alpha}(G) = 2m$ ,  $ISD_{-\alpha}(G) = m\delta^{\alpha}/2$  and the equality in the bound is attained.

Assume now that the equality in the bound is attained. Thus, by the previous argument and Lemma 3 we have  $d_u = d_v = \delta$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ . Hence, G is regular.

The modified Narumi-Katayama index

$$NK^*(G) = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d_u^{d_u} = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} d_u d_v$$

is introduced in [14], inspired in the Narumi-Katayama index defined in [15]. Next, we prove an inequality relating the modified Narumi-Katayama index with  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$ .

**Theorem 5** Let G be a graph with m edges and minimum degree  $\delta$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) \ge 2\delta^{2\alpha}mNK^*(G)^{-\alpha/m},$$

and the equality in the bound is attained if and only if G is a regular graph.

**Proof.** Using the fact that the geometric mean is at most the arithmetic mean, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{m}SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{uv\in E(G)} \left(\frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}}\right) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{uv\in E(G)}\frac{d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha}}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}}$$
$$\geq 2\delta^{2\alpha}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{uv\in E(G)}\frac{1}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}} \geq 2\delta^{2\alpha}\left(\prod_{uv\in E(G)}\frac{1}{(d_u d_v)^{\alpha}}\right)^{1/m}$$
$$= 2\delta^{2\alpha}NK^*(G)^{-\alpha/m}.$$

If G is a regular graph, then

$$2\delta^{2\alpha}mNK^*(G)^{-\alpha/m} = 2\delta^{2\alpha}m(\delta^{2m})^{-\alpha/m} = 2m = SDD_{\alpha}(G).$$

Finally, assume that the equality in the bound is attained. The previous argument gives that  $d_u^{2\alpha} + d_v^{2\alpha} = 2\delta^{2\alpha}$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ , and so,  $d_u = d_v = \delta$  for every  $uv \in E(G)$ . Hence, G is a regular graph.

Next, we obtain additional bounds of  $SDD_{\alpha}$  which do not involve other topological indices.

**Theorem 6** Let G be a graph with m edges, minimum degree  $\delta$  and maximum degree  $\delta + 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and A the cardinality of the set of edges  $uv \in E(G)$  with  $d_u \neq d_v$ . Then A is an even integer and

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = 2m + A\left(\frac{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}} - 2\right).$$

**Proof.** Let  $F = \{uv \in E(G) : d_u \neq d_v\}$ , then A is the cardinality of the set F. Since the minimum degree of G is  $\delta$  and its maximum degree is  $\delta + 1$ , if  $uv \in F$ , then  $d_u = \delta$  and  $d_v = \delta + 1$  or viceversa, and therefore

$$\frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} = \frac{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}$$

If  $uv \in F^c = E(G) \setminus F$ , then  $d_u = d_v = \delta$  or  $d_u = d_v = \delta + 1$ , and therefore

$$\frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} = 2$$

Since there are exactly A edges in F and m - A edges in  $F^c$ , we have

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{uv \in F^c} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{uv \in F} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{uv \in F^c} 2 + \sum_{uv \in F} \left( \frac{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}} \right)$$
$$= 2m - 2A + A \left( \frac{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}} \right)$$

This gives the equality.

Seeking for a contradiction assume that A is an odd integer.

Let  $\Gamma_1$  be the subgraph of G induced by the  $n_1$  vertices with degree  $\delta$  in V(G), and denote by  $m_1$  the cardinality of the set of edges of  $\Gamma_1$ . Handshaking Lemma gives  $n_1\delta - A = 2m_1$ . Since A is an odd integer,  $\delta$  is also an odd integer. Thus,  $\delta + 1$  is an even integer. Let  $\Gamma_2$  be the subgraph of G induced by the  $n_2$  vertices with degree  $\delta + 1$  in V(G), and denote by  $m_2$  the cardinality of the set of edges of  $\Gamma_2$ . Handshaking Lemma gives  $n_2(\delta + 1) - A = 2m_2$ , a contradiction, since A is an odd integer and  $\delta + 1$  is an even integer.

Thus, we conclude that A is an even integer.

We will need the following result in the proof of Theorem 8 below.

**Lemma 7** Given  $\alpha > 0$ , consider the function  $u : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  defined as  $u(t) = t^{\alpha} + t^{-\alpha}$ . Then u is strictly decreasing on (0, 1], u is strictly increasing on  $[1, \infty)$  and  $u(t) \ge u(1) = 2$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$u'(t) = \alpha t^{\alpha - 1} - \alpha t^{-\alpha - 1} = \alpha t^{-\alpha - 1} (t^{2\alpha} - 1).$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ , we have u' < 0 on (0, 1) and u' > 0 on  $[1, \infty)$ . This gives the result.

Theorem 6 gives the precise value of  $SDD_{\alpha}$  when  $\Delta = \delta + 1$ . Theorem 8 below provides a lower bound when  $\Delta > \delta + 1$ .

**Theorem 8** Let G be a graph with m edges, minimum degree  $\delta$  and maximum degree  $\Delta > \delta + 1$ . Denote by  $A_0, A_1, A_2$ , the cardinality of the subsets of edges  $F_0 = \{uv \in E(G) : d_u = \delta, d_v = \Delta\}, F_1 = \{uv \in E(G) : d_u = \delta, \delta < d_v < \Delta\}, F_2 = \{uv \in E(G) : d_u = \Delta, \delta < d_v < \Delta\}, respectively.$  If  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$\begin{split} SDD_{\alpha}(G) &\leq (m - A_1 - A_2) \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \right) + A_1 \left( \frac{(\Delta - 1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\Delta - 1)^{\alpha}} \right) \\ &+ A_2 \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \right), \\ SDD_{\alpha}(G) &\geq 2m + A_0 \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} - 2 \right) + A_1 \left( \frac{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}} - 2 \right) \\ &+ A_2 \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{(\Delta - 1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{(\Delta - 1)^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} - 2 \right). \end{split}$$

**Proof.** Lemma 7 gives that the function

$$\frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} = u\left(\frac{d_v}{\delta}\right)$$

is increasing in  $d_v \in [\delta + 1, \Delta - 1]$  and so,

$$\frac{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}} \le \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} \le \frac{(\Delta-1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\Delta-1)^{\alpha}},$$

for every  $uv \in F_1$ .

In a similar way, Lemma 7 gives that the function

$$\frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{d_{v}^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_{v}^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} = u\left(\frac{d_{v}}{\Delta}\right)$$

is decreasing in  $d_v \in [\delta + 1, \Delta - 1]$  and so,

$$\frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{(\Delta-1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{(\Delta-1)^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \le \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \le \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}},$$

for every  $uv \in F_2$ .

Also,

$$2 \le \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \le \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}}$$

for every  $uv \in E(G)$ .

We have

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G) \setminus (F_0 \cup F_1 \cup F_2)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{uv \in F_0} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) \\ + \sum_{uv \in F_1} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{uv \in F_2} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) \\ \ge \sum_{uv \in E(G) \setminus (F_0 \cup F_1 \cup F_2)} 2 + \sum_{uv \in F_0} \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \right) \\ + \sum_{uv \in F_1} \left( \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{uv \in F_2} \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \right).$$

Hence,

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) \ge 2m - 2A_0 - 2A_1 - 2A_2 + A_0 \left(\frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}}\right) + A_1 \left(\frac{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta+1)^{\alpha}}\right) + A_2 \left(\frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{(\Delta-1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{(\Delta-1)^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}}\right).$$

We also have

$$SDD_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G) \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2)} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{uv \in F_1} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) + \sum_{uv \in F_2} \left( \frac{d_u^{\alpha}}{d_v^{\alpha}} + \frac{d_v^{\alpha}}{d_u^{\alpha}} \right) \leq (m - A_1 - A_2) \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \right) + A_1 \left( \frac{(\Delta - 1)^{\alpha}}{\delta^{\alpha}} + \frac{\delta^{\alpha}}{(\Delta - 1)^{\alpha}} \right) + A_2 \left( \frac{\Delta^{\alpha}}{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}} + \frac{(\delta + 1)^{\alpha}}{\Delta^{\alpha}} \right).$$

## 3 Computational study of the variable symmetric division deg index on random graphs

Here we consider two models of random graphs G: Erdös-Rényi (ER) graphs G(n, p) and bipartite random (BR) graphs  $G(n_1, n_2, p)$ . ER graphs are formed by n vertices connected independently with probability  $p \in [0, 1]$ . While BR graphs are composed by two disjoint sets, set 1 and set 2, with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  vertices each such that there are no adjacent vertices within the same set, being  $n = n_1 + n_2$  the total number of vertices in the bipartite graph. The vertices of the two sets are connected randomly with probability  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

We stress that the computational study of the variable symmetric division deg index we perform below is justified by the random nature of the graph models we want to explore. Since a given parameter set (n, p)  $[(n_1, n_2, p)]$  represents an infinite-size ensemble of ER graphs [BR graphs], the computation of  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  on a single graph is irrelevant. In contrast, the computation of  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$  (where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  indicates ensemble average) over a large number of random graphs, all characterized by the same parameter set (n, p) $[(n_1, n_2, p)]$ , may provide useful *average* information about the full ensemble. This *computational* approach, well known in random matrix theory studies, is not widespread in studies involving topological indices, mainly because topological indices are not commonly applied to random graphs; for very recent exceptions see [16–19].

# 3.1 Average properties of the $SDD_{\alpha}$ index on Erdös-Rényi random graphs

From the definition of the variable symmetric division deg index, see Eq. (1), we have that:

 (i) For α = 0, (SDD<sub>0</sub>(G)) gives twice the average number of edges of the ER graph. That is,

$$\langle SDD_0(G) \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left( \frac{d_u^0}{d_v^0} + \frac{d_v^0}{d_u^0} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (1+1) \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle 2|E(G)| \right\rangle = n(n-1)p.$$
(2)

(ii) When  $np \gg 1$ , we can approximate  $d_u \approx d_v \approx \langle d \rangle$ , then

$$\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle \approx \left\langle \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (1^{\alpha} + 1^{\alpha}) \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2 \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle 2|E(G)| \right\rangle = n(n-1)p.$$
(3)

(iii) By recognizing that the average degree of the ER graph model reads as

$$\langle d \rangle = (n-1)p, \qquad (4)$$

we can rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$\frac{\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle}{n} \approx \langle d \rangle . \tag{5}$$

We stress that Eq. (5) is expected to be valid for  $np \gg 1$ .

In Fig. 1(a) we plot  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$  as a function of the probability p of ER graphs of size n = 500. All averages in Fig. 1 are computed over ensembles of  $10^7/n$  random graphs. In Fig. 1(a) we show curves for  $\alpha \in [0, 4]$ . The dashed-magenta curve corresponds to the case  $\alpha = 0$ , which coincides with Eq. (2). Moreover, we observe that

$$\langle SDD_{\alpha \le 0.5}(G) \rangle \approx \langle SDD_0(G) \rangle = n(n-1)p$$

However, once  $\alpha > 0.5$ , the curves  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$  versus p deviate from Eq. (2), at intermediate values of p, in the form of a bump which is enhanced the larger the value of  $\alpha$  is. Also, in Fig. 1(a) we can clearly see that Eq. (3) is satisfied when  $np \gg 1$ , as expected.

Now, in Fig. 1(b) we present  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$  as a function of the probability p of ER graphs of three different sizes. It is clear from this figure that the blocks of curves, characterized by the different graph sizes (and shown in different colors), display similar curves but displaced on both axes. Moreover, the fact that these blocks of curves, plotted in semi-log scale, are shifted the same amount on both x- and y-axis when doubling n make us anticipate the scaling of  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$ . We stress that other average variable degree-based indices on ER random graphs (normalized to the graph size) have been shown to scale with the average degree [19]. Indeed, this statement is encoded in Eq. (5), that we derived for  $np \gg 1$  but should serve as the global scaling equation for  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$ .

Therefore, in Fig. 1(c) we show  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle / n$  as a function of the average degree  $\langle d \rangle$  where the same curves of Fig. 1(b) have been used. There we verify the global scaling of  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$ , as anticipated in Eq. (5), by noticing that the blocks of curves (painted in different colors) for different graph sizes fall on top of each other.

Also, form Figs. 1(a-c) we observe that the inequality of Theorem 1 is extended to the average variable symmetric division deg index on random graphs:

$$\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle \le \langle SDD_{\beta}(G) \rangle$$
,  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ ; (6)



Figure 1. (a) Average variable symmetric division deg index ⟨SDD<sub>α</sub>(G)⟩ as a function of the probability p of Erdös-Rényi graphs of size n = 500. Here we show curves for α ∈ [0,4] in steps of 0.5 (the arrow indicates increasing α). The dashed-magenta curve corresponds to the case α = 0. (b) ⟨SDD<sub>α</sub>(G)⟩ as a function of the probability p of ER graphs of three different sizes: n = 125, 250 and 500. (c) ⟨SDD<sub>α</sub>(G)⟩ /n as a function of the average degree ⟨d⟩; same curves as in panel (b). The inset in (c) is the enlargement of the cyan rectangle. (d-f) Equivalent figures to (a-c), respectively, but for bipartite random graphs composed by sets of equal sizes: in (d) n<sub>1</sub> = n<sub>2</sub> = 500 while in (e,f) n<sub>1</sub> = n<sub>2</sub> = {125, 250, 500}.

see e.g. the blue arrow in Fig. 1(a) which indicates increasing  $\alpha$ . Here, the equality is attained if and only if p = 1. However, we have observed that  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle \approx \langle SDD_{\beta}(G) \rangle$  already for  $\langle d \rangle \geq 10$ .

# **3.2** Average properties of the $SDD_{\alpha}$ index on bipartite random graphs

In Figs. 1(d,e) we present curves of the  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$  as a function of the probability p of BR graphs. For simplicity we show results for BR graphs composed by sets of equal sizes  $n_1 = n_2$ . In Fig. 1(d) we consider the case of  $n_1 = n_2 = 500$  while in (e) we report  $n_1 = n_2 = \{125, 250, 500\}$ . In both figures we show curves for  $\alpha \in [0, 4]$  in steps of 0.5.

Since edges in a bipartite graph join vertices of different sets, and we are labeling here the sets as set 1 and set 2, we replace  $d_u$  by  $d_1$  and  $d_v$  by  $d_2$  in the expression for the  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  index below. Thus,

 (i) For α = 0, (SDD<sub>0</sub>(G)) gives twice the average number of edges of the BG graph. That is,

$$\langle SDD_0(G) \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{E(G)} \left( \frac{d_1^0}{d_2^0} + \frac{d_2^0}{d_1^0} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{E(G)} (1+1) \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle 2|E(G)| \right\rangle = 2n_1 n_2 p \,.$$
(7)

(ii) When both  $n_1 p \gg 1$  and  $n_2 p \gg 1$ , we can approximate  $d_1 \approx \langle d_1 \rangle$  and  $d_2 \approx \langle d_2 \rangle$ , then

$$\left\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G)\right\rangle \approx \left\langle \sum_{E(G)} \left( \frac{\left\langle d_{1}\right\rangle^{\alpha}}{\left\langle d_{2}\right\rangle^{\alpha}} + \frac{\left\langle d_{2}\right\rangle^{\alpha}}{\left\langle d_{1}\right\rangle^{\alpha}} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle |E(G)| \left( \frac{\left\langle d_{1}\right\rangle^{\alpha}}{\left\langle d_{2}\right\rangle^{\alpha}} + \frac{\left\langle d_{2}\right\rangle^{\alpha}}{\left\langle d_{1}\right\rangle^{\alpha}} \right) \right\rangle.$$
(8)

(iii) In the case we consider in Figs. 1(d-f), where  $n_1 = n_2 = n/2$ , so that  $\langle d_1 \rangle = \langle d_2 \rangle = \langle d \rangle$ , Eq. (8) reduces to

$$\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle \approx \langle 2|E(G)| \rangle = 2n_1n_2p = \frac{n^2}{2}p.$$
 (9)

(iv) By recognizing that  $\langle d \rangle = np/2$  we can rewrite Eq. (9) as

$$\frac{\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle}{n} \approx \langle d \rangle . \tag{10}$$

We stress that Eq. (10) is expected to be valid for  $np \gg 1$ . We also note that Eq. (10) has exactly the same form as Eq. (5).

From Figs. 1(d,e) we note that

$$\langle SDD_{\alpha \leq 0.5}(G) \rangle \approx \langle SDD_0(G) \rangle = 2n_1n_2p,$$

see the dashed-magenta curve in Fig. 1(d). But once  $\alpha > 0.5$ , the curves  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle$ versus p deviate from Eq. (7), at intermediate values of p, in the form of bumps which are enhanced the larger the value of  $\alpha$  is. These bumps make clear the validity of inequality (6) on BR graphs; see e.g. the blue arrow in Fig. 1(d) which indicates increasing  $\alpha$ .

Finally, following the scaling analysis made in the previous subsection for ER graphs, in Fig. 1(f) we plot the  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle / n$  as a function of the average degree  $\langle d \rangle$  where the same data sets of Fig. 1(e) have been used. Thus we verify that  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle / n$  scales with  $\langle d \rangle$ , as anticipated in Eq. (10); that is, the blocks of curves (painted in different colors) for different graph sizes coincide.

### 4 Conclusions

In this work we performed analytical and computational studies of the variable symmetric division deg index  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$ . First, we provided a monotonicity property and obtained new inequalities connecting  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  with other well-known topological indices such as the first and second variable Zagreb indices, the variable inverse sum deg index, as well as the the modified Narumi-Katayama index. Then, we apply the index  $SDD_{\alpha}(G)$  on two ensembles of random graphs: Erdős-Rényi graphs and bipartite random graphs. Thus, we computationally showed, for both random graph models, that the ratio  $\langle SDD_{\alpha}(G) \rangle /n$ is a function of the average degree  $\langle d \rangle$  only (*n* being the order of the graph). We note that this last result, also observed for other variable topological indices [19], is valid for random bipartite graphs only when they are formed by sets of the same size.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of J.M.R. and J.M.S. was supported by a grant from Agencia Estatal de Investigación (PID2019-106433GBI00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033), Spain. J.M.R. was supported by the Madrid Government (Comunidad de Madrid-Spain) under the Multiannual Agreement with UC3M in the line of Excellence of University Professors (EPUC3M23), and in the context of the V PRICIT (Regional Programme of Research and Technological Innovation).

### References

- I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [2] I. Gutman, Degree-based topological indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 86 (2013) 351–361.
- [3] I. Gutman, K. C. Das, The first Zagreb index 30 years after, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 50 (2004) 83–92.
- [4] I. Gutman, T. Réti, Zagreb group indices and beyond, Int. J. Chem. Model. 6 (2014) 191–200.
- [5] M. Randić, Novel graph theoretical approach to heteroatoms in QSAR, Chemometrics Intel. Lab. Syst. 10 (1991) 213–227.
- [6] M. Randić, On computation of optimal parameters for multivariate analysis of structure-property relationship, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 31 (1991) 970–980.
- [7] M. Randić, D. Plavšić, N. Lerš, Variable connectivity index for cycle-containing structures, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 41 (2001) 657–662.
- [8] A. Miličević, S. Nikolić, On variable Zagreb indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 77 (2004) 97–101.
- X. Li, J. Zheng, A unified approach to the extremal trees for different indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 195–208.
- [10] X. Li, H. Zhao, Trees with the first smallest and largest generalized topological indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 50 (2004) 57–62.
- [11] D. Vukičević, Bond additive modeling 5. Mathematical properties of the variable sum exdeg index, Croat. Chem. Acta 84 (2011) 93–101.
- [12] D. Vukičević, M. Gašperov, Bond additive modeling 1. Adriatic indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 83 (2010) 243–260.
- [13] D. Vukičević, Bond additive modeling 2. Mathematical properties of max-min rodeg index, Croat. Chem. Acta 83 (2010) 261–273.

- [14] M. Ghorbani, M. Songhori, I. Gutman, Modified Narumi-Katayama index, Kragujevac J. Sci. 34 (2012) 57–64.
- [15] H. Narumi, M. Katayama, Simple topological index. A newly devised index characterizing the topological nature of structural isomers of saturated hydrocarbons, *Mem. Fac. Engin. Hokkaido Univ.* 16 (1984) 209–214.
- [16] C. T. Martínez-Martínez, J. A. Mendez-Bermudez, J. M. Rodríguez, J. M. Sigarreta Almira, Computational and analytical studies of the Randic index in Erdös-Rényi models, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **377** (2020) 125137.
- [17] C. T. Martínez-Martínez, J. A. Mendez-Bermudez, J. M. Rodríguez, J. M. Sigarreta, Computational and analytical studies of the harmonic index in Erdös–Rényi models, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 85 (2021) 395.
- [18] R. Aguilar-Sanchez, J. A. Mendez-Bermudez, J. M. Rodriguez, J. M. Sigarreta-Almira. Analytical and statistical studies of Rodriguez-Velazquez indices. J. Math. Chem 59 (2021) 1246–1259.
- [19] R. Aguilar-Sanchez, I. F. Herrera-Gonzalez, J. A. Mendez-Bermudez, and J. M. Sigarreta. Computational properties of general indices on random networks. *Symmetry* 12 (2020) 1341.