

On the necessary and sufficient conditions for Peng's law of large numbers under sublinear expectations

Xinpeng LI Gaofeng ZONG*

Reserach Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences

Shandong University

266237, Qingdao, China;

School of Mathematics and Quantitative Economics,

Shandong University of Finance and Economics,

250014, Jinan, China

*Email: gf_zong@126.com

Abstract. In this paper, we firstly establish the weak laws of large numbers on the canonical space $(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ by traditional truncation method and Chebyshev's inequality as in the classical probability theory. Then we extend them from the canonical space to the general sublinear expectation space. The necessary and sufficient conditions for Peng's law of large numbers are obtained.

Keywords: Canonical space; Independence and identical distribution; Peng's law of large numbers; Sublinear expectation

MSC2010: Primary 60B05; Secondary 60F05, 60A86

1 Introduction

The general law of large numbers (LLN) on sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ was established by Peng [13, 14, 15], known as Peng's law of large numbers: Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(|X_1| - \lambda)^+] = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right] = \max_{-\mathbb{E}[-X_1] \leq \mu \leq \mathbb{E}[X_1]} \varphi(\mu), \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}). \quad (1.2)$$

Here the notions of i.i.d. are initially introduced by Peng [14], which are directly defined by the sublinear expectation \mathbb{E} instead of the capacity (for example, see Marinacci [11] and Maccheroni and Marinacci [12]). The convergence in the form (1.2) is similar to the weak convergence in the classical

*Partially supported by NSF of Shandong Province (No. ZR2021MA018), National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2018YFA0703900), NSF of China (No.11601281, No.11501325), the Young Scholars Program of Shandong University, the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No.2018T110706, No.2018M642641). Corresponding author: Gaofeng Zong, Email: gf_zong@126.com

probability theory, as such (1.2) can be regarded as the weak LLN. The limit distribution in the right hand of (1.2) is called maximal distribution, see Peng [15]. There are also strong LLNs on the sublinear expectation space, see Chen [1], Chen et al. [3], Zhang [17, 19], Guo and Li [8], etc.

This paper focuses on the weak LLN on the sublinear expectation space, which can not be implied directly by the strong one. Recently, the convergence rate of Peng's LLN was studied under higher moment conditions, see Fang et al. [4], Song [16] and Hu et al. [6]. But is (1.1) a sharpest condition to obtain (1.2)? We recall that the classical weak LLN shows that, for i.i.d. sequence $\{X_i\}$ on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$ converges to μ in probability if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nP(|X_1| \geq n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_P[(-n \vee X_1) \wedge n] = \mu, \quad (1.3)$$

which inspires us to explore the necessary and sufficient conditions for Peng's LLN analogous to (1.3).

Different from most literature on the limit theorems under sublinear expectation, we adopt the formulation similar to Li and Peng [9]. We firstly establish LLN on the canonical space $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ by traditional truncation method and Chebyshev's inequality. Then we extend the LLN to the general sublinear expectation space introduced by Peng [15]. The necessary and sufficient conditions for Peng's LLN are obtained, which is a natural generalization of the classical LLN to the sublinear expectation theory. But unlike the classical situation, there is a counterexample shows that the weak LLN (1.2) does not hold if $\mathbb{E}[|X_1|] < \infty$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the basic model on the canonical space. We establish the LLNs on the canonical space in Section 3 and then extend them to the general sublinear expectation space in Section 4. The proofs are given in Section 5.

2 Basic model on canonical space

Following Li and Peng [9], let $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ be the canonical space and $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of canonical random variables defined by $X_i(\omega) = \omega_i$ for $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{P}_i is the convex and weakly compact set of probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ characterizing the uncertainty of the distributions of X_i as described in Peng [15]. The set of joint laws on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ via the probability kernels are defined by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P : P(A^{(n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}-n}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_1(dx_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa_2(x_1, dx_2) \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{A^{(n)}} \kappa_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, dx_n) \\ \forall n \geq 1, \forall A^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), \kappa_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where for each $i \geq 2$, $\kappa_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, dx_i)$ is the probability kernel satisfying:

- (i) $\forall (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{i-1}$, $\kappa_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$.
- (ii) $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $\kappa_i(\cdot, B)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{i-1})$ -measurable.

The existence of P on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ is shown by Ionescu-Tulcea theorem.

Since \mathcal{P}_i is independent of (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) , we call that X_i is independent of (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) . Here independence means the uncertainty of the distributions of X_i is independent of random vector (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) . It is obvious that such independence is not symmetric in general. If we further assume that the uncertainties of each X_i are the same, i.e., $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_1$, then $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence. In this case, \mathcal{P} is determined by the set \mathcal{P}_1 of the distributions of X_1 .

For each $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ -measurable random variable X , the sublinear expectation $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[X] := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X].$$

The corresponding capacities v and V are defined respectively

$$v(A) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \quad V(A) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

The canonical filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined as $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_k, 1 \leq k \leq i)$ with convention $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$. By the regularity of conditional probability, we have

$$E_P[\varphi(X_i)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}](\omega) = E_{\kappa_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \cdot)}[\varphi(X_i)], \quad P - \text{a.s.},$$

where $\omega = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and κ_i is the probability kernel introduced by P .

We immediately have the following proposition since $\kappa_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 2.1. *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$-\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[-\varphi(X_i)] \leq E_P[\varphi(X_i)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\varphi(X_i)], \quad P - \text{a.s. } i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.2)$$

Remark 2.2. *The inequality (2.2) also holds on the general sublinear expectation space, see Guo and Li [8].*

In the end of this section, we generalize Ottaviani's inequality to the canonical space.

Proposition 2.3. *Let c be a real number satisfying $0 < c < 1$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. If there exist constants $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} V(|S_n - S_k| \geq \alpha_n) \leq c,$$

then we have

$$V\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 2\alpha_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-c} V(|S_n| \geq \alpha_n).$$

Remark 2.4. *The general Levy maximal inequality on the sublinear expectation space was firstly proved in Zhang [18], but additional ε -condition is required.*

3 Law of large numbers on the canonical space

In this section, we establish the weak LLN on the canonical space by traditional truncation method and Chebyshev's inequality as in the classical probability theory.

Given a weakly compact and convex set \mathcal{P}_0 of probability measures on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can construct \mathcal{P} on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ by (2.1) with $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the sequence of canonical random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d., where $X_i(\omega) = \omega_i$, $\forall \omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The sublinear expectation $\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and the capacities v and V corresponding to \mathcal{P} are defined as in the previous section.

Inspired by the weak LLN under sublinear expectation without the moment condition in Chen et al. [2], we also have the similar LLN on the canonical space.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a canonical i.i.d. sequence under \mathcal{P} which is constructed by (2.1), and we further assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nV(|X_1| \geq n) = 0.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v \left(\underline{\mu}_n - \varepsilon \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \leq \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon \right) = 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\underline{\mu}_n = -\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(-n \vee -X_1) \wedge n]$ and $\bar{\mu}_n = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(-n \vee X_1) \wedge n]$.

Furthermore, if $\{\underline{\mu}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\bar{\mu}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \right) \right] - \max_{\underline{\mu}_n \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n} \varphi(\mu) \right| = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.2)$$

Now we establish Peng's LLN on the canonical space with the necessary and sufficient conditions.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a canonical i.i.d. sequence under \mathcal{P} which is constructed by (2.1). Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \right) \right] = \max_{\underline{\mu} \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}} \varphi(\mu), \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}), \quad (3.3)$$

where $-\infty < \underline{\mu} \leq \bar{\mu} < +\infty$, if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nV(|X_1| \geq n) = 0$.
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(-n \vee X_1) \wedge n] = \bar{\mu}$.
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(-n \vee -X_1) \wedge n] = \underline{\mu}$.

4 Law of large numbers on the sublinear expectation space

In this section, we extend the obtained weak LLN to the general sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ introduced by Peng [15].

Let Ω be a given set and let \mathcal{H} be a linear space of real functions defined on Ω such that $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and if $X \in \mathcal{H}$, then $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$. We further assume that $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of all bounded and Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{R}^n . \mathcal{H} is considered as the space of random variables.

Definition 4.1. A sublinear expectation \mathbb{E} on \mathcal{H} is a functional $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

- (a) *Monotonicity:* $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y]$ if $X \geq Y$.
- (b) *Constant preserving:* $\mathbb{E}[c] = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (c) *Sub-additivity:* $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (d) *Positive homogeneity:* $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X]$ for $\lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space.

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a given n -dimensional random vector on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$. We define a functional on $C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi] := \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)], \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The triple $(\mathbb{R}^n, C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n), \hat{\mathbb{F}}_X[\cdot])$ forms a sublinear expectation space, and $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X$ is called the sublinear distribution of X .

Definition 4.2. Let X and Y be two random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$. X and Y are called *identically distributed*, denoted by $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$, if for each $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y)].$$

Definition 4.3. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$. X_i is said to be *independent* of (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) under \mathbb{E} , if for each $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_i)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, X_i)] \Big|_{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})=(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})}\right].$$

The sequence of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be *independent*, if X_{i+1} is independent of (X_1, \dots, X_i) for each $i \geq 1$.

If the sublinear distribution of X_1 is given, then there exists a unique weakly compact and convex set of probability measures \mathcal{P}_0 on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_1)] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}_0}[\varphi], \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (4.1)$$

where the existence was proved in Hu and Li [5] and the uniqueness was proved in Li and Lin [10].

The relation between the i.i.d. sequence on sublinear expectation space and the one on canonical space is characterized by the following proposition, which was proved in Li and Peng [9].

Proposition 4.4. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an i.i.d. sequence on sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ and the sublinear distribution of X_1 can be represented by \mathcal{P}_0 as in (4.1). Then there exists an sequence of i.i.d. canonical random variables $\{Y_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ on canonical space $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ with the set of probability measures \mathcal{P} constructed by (2.1) with $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\varphi(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)].$$

Now we extend Peng's LLN (Theorem 3.2) from canonical space to sublinear expectation space. We need to characterize condition (i) of Theorem 3.2 directly by sublinear expectation \mathbb{E} instead of capacity V . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\psi_n \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$\psi_n(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \{n \mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \geq n\}} - n|y - x|\}.$$

Proposition 4.5. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$n \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq n\}} \leq \psi_n(x) \leq n \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq n-1\}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.6. Let $\{X_i\}$ be an i.i.d. sequence on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \right) \right] = \max_{\underline{\mu} \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}} \varphi(\mu), \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.3)$$

if and only if the following three conditions hold:

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\psi_n(X_1)] = 0.$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(-n \vee X_1) \wedge n] = \bar{\mu}.$$

$$(iii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\mathbb{E}[(-n \vee -X_1) \wedge n] = \underline{\mu}.$$

In the end of this section, we provide some examples. The first one shows that there exists a random variable X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\psi_n(X)] = 0$ but condition (1.1) does not hold, i.e., $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(|X| - \lambda)^+] \neq 0$.

Example 4.7. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $P_1(\{1\}) = 1$ and $P_n(\{1\}) = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$, $P_n(\{kn\}) = \frac{1}{n^3}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. We consider a function X on \mathbb{N} defined by $X(n) = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can calculate that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\psi_n(X)] = 0$ but $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(|X| - \lambda)^+] = \frac{1}{2} \neq 0$.

The next example shows that the Peng's LLN may fail only with the first moment condition, which is different from the classical LLN.

Example 4.8. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $P_n(\{0\}) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $P_n(\{n\}) = \frac{1}{n}$ for $n = 1, \dots, \infty$. Consider a function X on \mathbb{N} defined by $X(n) = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sublinear expectation \mathbb{E} is defined by $\mathbb{E}[\cdot] = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\cdot]$. It is clear that $\mathbb{E}[X] = -\mathbb{E}[-X] = 1$. By Peng [15], we can construct i.i.d sequence $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ under \mathbb{E} such that X_i have the same distribution with X . But the weak law of large numbers does not hold, i.e., we can find $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] \neq \varphi(1).$$

Indeed, we consider $\varphi(x) = 1 \wedge (1 - x)^+$. In this case, by simple calculation, we can obtain $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\frac{x+X}{n})] = \varphi(\frac{x}{n})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \right) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{x + X_n}{n} \right) \mid x = X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1} \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1}}{n} \right) \right] = \dots = \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{X_1}{n} \right) \right] = \varphi(0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \right) \right] = 1 \neq \varphi(1) = 0.$$

5 Proofs

We firstly prove Ottaviani's inequality.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. For $k = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$A_k = \left\{ \max_{l \leq k-1} |S_l| < 2\alpha_n, |S_k| \geq 2\alpha_n \right\},$$

Then

$$V \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 2\alpha_n \right) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{I}_{A_k} \right].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n| \geq \alpha_n\}} &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n| \geq \alpha_n\}} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n - S_k| < \alpha_n\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} (1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n - S_k| \geq \alpha_n\}}) = (1 - c) \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} (c - \mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n - S_k| \geq \alpha_n\}}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1 - c) \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n| \geq \alpha_n\}} + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k} (\mathbf{1}_{\{|S_n - S_k| \geq \alpha_n\}} - c).$$

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, by (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - c)P\left(\max_{k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 2\alpha_n\right) &\leq P(|S_n| \geq \alpha_n) + \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k)(P(|S_n - S_k| \geq \alpha_n) - c) \\ &\leq P(|S_n| \geq \alpha_n) \leq V(|S_n| \geq \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Now we give the proofs of LLNs on canonical space.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\tilde{X}_i = (-n \vee X_i) \wedge n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{X}_i$.

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, Proposition 2.1 implies that

$$-\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[-\tilde{X}_i] \leq E_P[\tilde{X}_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\tilde{X}_i], \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{X}_i - E_P[\tilde{X}_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}])}{n} > \varepsilon\right) &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E_P\left[\left(\tilde{X}_i - E_P[\tilde{X}_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]\right)^2\right]}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n E_P[|\tilde{X}_i|^2]}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[|\tilde{X}_i|^2]}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} = \frac{2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[|\tilde{X}_1|^2]}{n \varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that,

$$P(S_n \neq T_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_i| \geq n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n V(|X_i| \geq n) = nV(|X_1| \geq n),$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{S_n}{n} > \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon\right) &\leq P(S_n \neq T_n) + P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{X}_i - E_P[\tilde{X}_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}])}{n} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E_P[\tilde{X}_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]}{n} > \bar{\mu}_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq nV(|X_1| \geq n) + \frac{8}{n\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[|\tilde{X}_1|^2] + P\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\tilde{X}_i]}{n} > \bar{\mu}_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\
&= nV(|X_1| \geq n) + \frac{8}{n\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[|\tilde{X}_1|^2]
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[|\tilde{X}_1|^2] = 0.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[|\tilde{X}_1|^2] &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[|X_1|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_1| \leq n\}}] + nV(|X_1| \geq n) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \int_0^n tP(|X_1| > t) dt + nV(|X_1| \geq n) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{n} \int_0^n tV(|X_1| > t) dt + nV(|X_1| \geq n) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $nV(|X_1| \geq n) \rightarrow 0$.

Thus we imply

$$V\left(\frac{S_n}{n} > \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

Similarly, we can prove that $V\left(\frac{S_n}{n} < \underline{\mu}_n - \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, (3.1) holds.

The proof of (3.2) is divided into two steps.

Firstly, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}\left[\varphi\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right)\right] \leq \max_{\underline{\mu}_n - \varepsilon \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon} \varphi(\mu) + \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi(\mu) \left(V\left(\frac{S_n}{n} > \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon\right) + V\left(\frac{S_n}{n} < \underline{\mu}_n - \varepsilon\right) \right).$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}\left[\varphi\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right)\right] - \max_{\underline{\mu}_n - \varepsilon \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon} \varphi(\mu) \right\} \leq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since φ is continuous and $\{\underline{\mu}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\bar{\mu}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded, let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we imply that,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right)\right] - \max_{\underline{\mu}_n \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n} \varphi(\mu) \right\} \leq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}). \quad (5.1)$$

Secondly, for fixed $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ with $|\varphi(x)| \leq C_\varphi$, and for each $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$, there exists $\mu_n \in (\underline{\mu}_n, \bar{\mu}_n)$ such that $\varphi(\mu_n) \geq \max_{\underline{\mu}_n \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n} \varphi(\mu) - \tilde{\varepsilon}$. By the construction of \mathcal{P} , we can find $P_* \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\{X_i\}$ is an i.i.d. sequence under P_* with $E_{P_*}[\tilde{X}_1] = \mu_n$. In this case, by the classical weak law of large numbers, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P_*\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu_n\right| > \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the continuity of φ , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| < \varepsilon$ provided $|x - y| < \delta$. Then

$$\left| E_{P_*} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] - \varphi(\mu_n) \right| \leq \varepsilon + 2C_\varphi P_* \left(\left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu_n \right| > \delta \right),$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| E_{P_*} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] - \varphi(\mu_n) \right| = 0.$$

Finally, for each $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] - \max_{\underline{\mu}_n \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n} \varphi(\mu) \right\} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ E_{P_*} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] - \varphi(\mu_n) \right\} - \tilde{\varepsilon} = -\tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad (5.2)$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we can see that (3.2) holds. \square

Now we prove the sufficient and necessary conditions for Peng's LLN on the canonical space.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. If (i)-(iii) holds, then by Theorem 3.1, (3.3) holds. Conversely, we assume that (3.3) holds.

Let $\hat{\mu} = 2[\bar{\mu}] + 2 > 2\bar{\mu}$, which is an integer. By (3.3), there exists N such that

$$V \left(|S_n| \geq \frac{n\hat{\mu}}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \forall n > N.$$

Then for $N \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(|S_k - S_n| \geq n\hat{\mu}) &\leq V \left(|S_k| \geq \frac{n\hat{\mu}}{2} \right) + V \left(|S_n| \geq \frac{n\hat{\mu}}{2} \right) \\ &\leq V \left(|S_k| \geq \frac{k\hat{\mu}}{2} \right) + V \left(|S_n| \geq \frac{n\hat{\mu}}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Ottaviani's inequality, we obtain

$$V \left(\max_{N \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 2n\hat{\mu} \right) \leq 2V(|S_n| \geq n\hat{\mu}),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} V \left(\max_{N+1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \geq 4n\hat{\mu} \right) &\leq V \left(\max_{N+1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 2n\hat{\mu} \right) + V \left(\max_{N \leq k \leq n-1} |S_k| \geq 2n\hat{\mu} \right) \\ &\leq 4V(|S_n| \geq n\hat{\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the construction of P in (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} V \left(\max_{N+1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \geq 4n\hat{\mu} \right) &= 1 - v \left(\max_{N+1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| < 4n\hat{\mu} \right) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{k=N+1}^n v(|X_k| < 4n\hat{\mu}) \\ &= 1 - (1 - V(|X_1| \geq 4n\hat{\mu}))^{n-N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq 1 - e^{-(n-N)V(|X_1| \geq 4n\hat{\mu})}.$$

Thus

$$(n-N)V(|X_1| \geq 4n\hat{\mu}) \leq -\ln(1 - 4V(|S_n| \geq n\hat{\mu})).$$

Since $V\left(\frac{|S_n|}{n} \geq \hat{\mu}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if $n > 2N$, we have

$$\frac{n}{2}V(|X_1^n| \geq 4n\hat{\mu}) \leq (n-N)V(|X_1| \geq 4n\hat{\mu}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nV(|X_1| \geq n) = 0.$$

Then by Theorem 3.1, we can deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(\underline{\mu}_n - \varepsilon \leq \frac{S_n}{n} \leq \bar{\mu}_n + \varepsilon) = 1, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $\bar{\mu}_n = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(-n \vee X_1) \wedge n]$ and $\underline{\mu}_n = -\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[(-n \vee -X_1) \wedge n]$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{S_n}{n} \right) \right] - \max_{\underline{\mu}_n \leq \mu \leq \bar{\mu}_n} \varphi(\mu) \right| = 0.$$

Combining with (3.3), we can see that (ii) and (iii) hold. \square

The proof of Proposition 4.5 is just by the simple calculations.

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Taking $y = x$, we immediately obtain

$$n\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq n\}} \leq \psi_n(x).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(x) &= \max \left\{ \sup_{|y-x| \leq 1} \{n\mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \geq n\}} - n|y-x|\}, \sup_{|y-x| > 1} \{n\mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \geq n\}} - n|y-x|\} \right\} \\ &\leq \max\{n\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq n-1\}}, 0\} = n\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq n-1\}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

In the end, we prove the Peng's LLN on sublinear expectation space with necessary and sufficient conditions.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. By Proposition 4.4, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence of i.i.d. canonical random variables $\{Y_i\}$ on canonical space $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ endowed with the set of probability measures \mathcal{P} constructed by (2.1) with $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \left[\varphi \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n} \right) \right], \quad \forall \varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then by Theorem 3.2, (4.3) is equivalent to (i)-(iii) in Theorem 3.2.

It is clear that (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 4.6 is equivalent to (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 3.2 respectively. For (i) in Theorem 4.6, it is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\psi_n(X_1)] = 0,$$

which implies that

$$nV(|X_1| \geq n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Conversely, by (4.2),

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{P}}[\psi_n(X_1)] \leq nV(|X_1| \geq n-1) = \frac{n}{n-1} ((n-1)V(|X_1| \geq n-1)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus (i) in Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to (i) in Theorem 4.6. We complete the proof. \square

References

- [1] Chen, Z. (2016). Strong laws of large numbers for sub-linear expectations, *Science China Mathematics*, 59: 945-954.
- [2] Chen, Z., Liu, Q. and Zong, G. (2018). Weak laws of large numbers for sublinear expectation. *Mathematical Control and Related Fields*, 8(3&4): 637-651.
- [3] Chen, Z., Wu, P. and Li, B. (2013). A strong law of large numbers for non-additive probabilities. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 54(3): 365-377.
- [4] Fang, X., Peng, S., Shao, Q. and Song, Y. (2019) Limit theorems with rate of convergence under sublinear expectations, *Bernoulli*, 25, 2564-2596.
- [5] Hu, M. and Li, X. (2014). Independence Under the G -Expectation Framework, *J. Theor. Probab.*, 27, 1011-1020.
- [6] Hu, M., Li, X. and Li, X. (2021). Convergence rate of Peng's law of large numbers under sublinear expectations, *Probab. Uncertain. Quant. Risk*, 6(3), 261-266.
- [7] Guo, L., Li, S. and Li, X. (2021). On the laws of the iterated logarithm with mean-uncertainty under the sublinear expectations, preprint.
- [8] Guo, X. and Li, X. (2021). On the laws of large numbers for pseudo-independent random variables under sublinear expectation, *Statist. and Probab. Lett.*, 172, 109042.
- [9] Li, X. and Peng, S. (2021). Functional central limit theorem with mean-uncertainty on sublinear expectation space: with the probabilistic proof, preprint.
- [10] Li, X. and Lin, Y. (2017). Generalized Wasserstein distance and weak convergence of sublinear expectations. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 30: 581-593.
- [11] Marinacci, M. (1999). Limit Laws of non-additive probabilities and their frequentist interpretation. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 84:145-195.
- [12] Maccheroni, F. and Marinacci, M. (2005). A strong law of large numbers for capacities. *Annals of Probability*, 33: 1171-1178.

- [13] Peng, S. (2009). Survey on normal distributions, central limit theorem, Brownian motion and the related stochastic calculus under sublinear expectations, *Science in China, Series A. Mathematics*, 52, 1391-1411.
- [14] Peng, S. (2019). Law of large numbers and central limit theorem under nonlinear expectations, *Probab. Uncertain. Quant. Risk*, 4, 1-8.
- [15] Peng, S. (2019). *Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty*, Springer.
- [16] Song, Y. (2021). Stein's method for the law of large numbers under sublinear expectations, *Probab. Uncertain. Quant. Risk*, 6(3), 199-212.
- [17] Zhang, L. (2016). Rosenthal's inequalities for independent and negatively dependent random variables under sub-linear expectations with applications. *Science China Mathematics*, 59(4): 751-768.
- [18] Zhang, L. (2020). The convergence of the sums of independent random variables under the sub-linear expectations. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 36(3):224-244.
- [19] Zhang, L. (2021). The sufficient and necessary conditions of the strong law of large numbers under the sub-linear expectations, [arXiv:2104.08471](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.08471).