

# Many-server asymptotics for Join-the-Shortest Queue in the Super-Halfin-Whitt Scaling Window

Zhisheng Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Sayan Banerjee<sup>2</sup>, Debankur Mukherjee<sup>3</sup>

June 2, 2021

## Abstract

The Join-the-Shortest Queue (JSQ) policy is a classical benchmark for the performance of many-server queueing systems due to its strong optimality properties. While the exact analysis of the JSQ policy is an open question to date, even under Markovian assumption on the service requirements, recently, there has been a significant progress in understanding its many-server asymptotic behavior since the work of Eschenfeldt and Gamarnik (Math. Oper. Res. 43 (2018) 867–886).

In this paper, we analyze the many-server limits of the JSQ policy in the *super-Halfin-Whitt* scaling window when load per server  $\lambda_N$  scales with the system size  $N$  as  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^\alpha(1 - \lambda_N) = \beta$  for  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$  and  $\beta > 0$ . We establish that the centered and scaled total queue length process converges to a certain Bessel process with negative drift and the associated centered and scaled steady-state total queue length, indexed by  $N$ , converges to a  $\text{Gamma}(2, \beta)$  distribution. Both the transient and steady-state limit laws are universal in the sense that they do not depend on the value of the scaling parameter  $\alpha$ , and exhibit fundamentally different qualitative behavior from both the Halfin-Whitt regime ( $\alpha = 1/2$ ) and the Non-degenerate Slowdown (NDS) regime ( $\alpha = 1$ ).

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and motivation

A canonical setup for parallel-server systems consists of  $N$  identical servers, each with a dedicated queue. Tasks arrive into the system as a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda(N)$  and must be assigned

---

<sup>1</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, Email: zzhao388@gatech.edu

<sup>2</sup>University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Email: sayan@email.unc.edu

<sup>3</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, Email: debankur@gatech.edu

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 60K25; 60J60, Secondary: 60K05; 60H20.

*Keywords and phrases*. super-Halfin-Whitt, Join-the-Shortest Queue, diffusion limit, steady state analysis, regenerative process

to one of the queues instantaneously upon arrival, where they wait until executed. Tasks are assumed to have unit-mean exponentially distributed service times, and the service discipline at each server is oblivious to the actual service requirements (viz., FCFS). The Join-the-Shortest Queue (JSQ) policy for many-server systems has been a classical quantity of interest and has served as a benchmark for the quality of performance of task assignment policies. In the above setup, JSQ exhibits several strong optimality properties among the class of all non-anticipative task-assignment policies [8, 30]. In particular, it minimizes the joint queue length vector (in a stochastic majorization sense) and stochastically minimizes the total number of tasks in the system, and hence the mean overall delay.

While the exact analysis of the JSQ policy is intractable, the research community has made significant progress in understanding its behavior in various asymptotic regimes, primarily when the system is close to the boundary of its capacity region, that is, when the load per server approaches its service capacity. The capacity region of the JSQ policy for the above homogeneous system of  $N$  servers consists of the arrival rates  $\lambda(N) < N$ . Denote  $\varepsilon = N - \lambda(N)$ . In the *conventional heavy-traffic regime*, for a fixed  $N$ , the behavior of the queue lengths is characterized as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . There is a huge body of literature on this heavy-traffic analysis, which we do not attempt to review here. Interested readers may look at [10, 11, 26, 31] and the references therein for some of the related works. More recently, motivated by the applications in large-scale service systems, such as data centers and cloud networks, there has been a growing interest in understanding the behavior the JSQ policy as the number of servers  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . In that case, if the load per server is fixed, that is, if  $\lambda(N) = \lambda N$  for some fixed  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then asymptotically, the fluid-scaled steady-state occupancy process becomes degenerate. Specifically, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , a  $\lambda$  proportion of servers have queue length 1 and the number of servers with queue length 2 or more vanishes [24]. The behavior becomes intricate when  $\lambda(N)$  scales with  $N$  in a way that  $\lambda(N)/N \rightarrow 1$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This is known as the *many-server heavy-traffic regime*. In a breakthrough work, Eschenfeldt and Gamarnik [9] characterized the transient limit of the occupancy process in the so-called Halfin-Whitt regime when  $\lambda(N) = N - \beta\sqrt{N}$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . Since then, over the last few years, several works have been published investigating the many-server heavy-traffic limit of the JSQ policy, more of which we mention in Section 1.2 below.

The situation becomes more challenging when the system load is heavier than the Halfin-Whitt regime, that is, when  $N - \lambda(N) = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$ . This is known as the *super-Halfin-Whitt regime*. Note that due to ergodicity of the system and the fact that the service times are exponentially distributed with mean 1, the expected steady-state number of busy servers equals  $\lambda(N)$ , and thus, the idleness process (the process denoting the total number of idle servers) scales as  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . However, comparing the JSQ system with the corresponding M/M/N system, one expects that the total number of waiting tasks centered at  $N$ , scales as  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ . In other words, the idle-server process vanishes on the scale of the centered total number of tasks. The basic difference between the JSQ (parallel server) dynamics and the M/M/N (centralized queue) dynamics lies in the non-idling nature of the latter. In the JSQ dynamics, there can be idle servers in the system, while having waiting tasks. The above observation poses an important question: *does the total number of servers exhibit same asymptotic behavior as the M/M/N*

system in the super-Halfin-Whitt regime? If not, then what is the cost of maintaining parallel queues instead of a centralized one? In this paper, we characterize the many-server asymptotics of the JSQ policy in the super-Halfin-Whitt regime, and answer the above questions. We discover that even though the idle-server process is negligible in magnitude on the  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  scale, it evolves on a faster time scale and its local time accumulated at the reflection boundary provides a non-trivial positive drift to the total number of tasks. For this reason, asymptotically, the centered and scaled total number of tasks in steady state is distributed as sum of two independent exponential random variables for the JSQ policy, as opposed to a single exponential random variable in the M/M/N case. Moreover, both the steady state and process-level limiting behavior are universal in the sense that they do not depend on  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$  and are fundamentally different from what have been observed in the Halfin-Whitt regime ( $\varepsilon = 0$ ) and the Non-degenerate Slowdown (NDS) regime ( $\varepsilon = 0.5$ ).

## 1.2 Literature review

The literature on the performance analysis of the JSQ policy can be broadly categorized into two groups: (1) *Many-server heavy-traffic regime*: When the number of servers,  $N$ , tends to infinity and the relative load per server for the  $N$ -th system,  $\lambda(N)/N$ , tends to 1 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . (2) *Conventional heavy-traffic regime*: When the number of servers is fixed and the load per server  $\lambda$  tends to 1. Although the focus of the current work lies in the former regime, we will discuss that the two regimes share some commonality in performance if  $\lambda(N)/N$ , approaches 1 at a sufficiently fast rate.

In the subcritical regime, when  $\lambda(N) = \lambda N$  for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , Mukherjee et al. [24] characterized the transient and stationary behavior of the fluid limit for the JSQ policy using the time-scale separation technique by Hunt and Kurtz [13]. The study of the many-server heavy traffic regime gained momentum since the seminal work by Eschenfeldt and Gamarnik [9]. Here, the authors considered the limit of the system occupancy process  $(Q_1^{(N)}(t), Q_2^{(N)}(t), \dots)$ , where  $Q_i^{(N)}(t)$  is the number of servers with queue length  $i$  or larger in the  $N$ -th system at time  $t$ . Specifically, if  $Q_3^{(N)}(0) = 0$ , then uniformly on any finite time interval,  $((N - Q_1^{(N)})/\sqrt{N}, Q_2^{(N)}/\sqrt{N})$  converges weakly to a certain two-dimensional reflected Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process with singular noise. This convergence has been extended to steady state by Braverman [6] using a sophisticated generator expansion framework via the Stein's method, enabling the interchange of  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t \rightarrow \infty$  limits. Subsequently, the tail and bulk behavior of the stationary distribution of the limiting diffusion have been studied by Banerjee and Mukherjee [3, 4], although an explicit characterization of the stationary distribution is yet unknown. Convergence to the above OU process has been extended for a class of power-of- $d$  policies in [24] and for the Join-Idle-Queue policy in [23].

In the sub-Halfin-Whitt regime, when  $N - \lambda(N) = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$ , Liu and Ying [19] considered a general class of policies, including the JSQ policy, under the assumption that each server has a buffer size  $b = o(\log N)$ . They showed that in the steady state, the expected waiting time per job is  $O\left(\frac{\log N}{\sqrt{N}}\right)$ . As observed in [19], the results in [6, 9, 19] imply that a phase

transition occurs at  $\varepsilon = 0$  where the limit of the quantity  $\log [\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)})] / \log N$  jumps from 0 for  $\varepsilon < 0$ , to  $1/2$  for  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Under the finite buffer assumption, Liu and Ying [20] further considered the JSQ policy in the super-Halfin-Whitt regime, i.e., when  $N - \lambda(N) = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$ . They showed that in steady state, the expected number of servers with queue length 2 is  $O(N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \log N)$  and with queue length 3 or larger is  $o(1)$  and conjectured that the true order of  $\mathbb{E}(Q_2^{(N)})$  should be  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ . Our results confirm this conjecture as a corollary, without having any restriction on the buffer capacity.

When  $N - \lambda(N) = O(1)$ , the system load is heavier than the super-Halfin-Whitt regime. This is known as the Non-Degenerate Slowdown (NDS) regime. The regime was introduced by Atar [2] in the context of the M/M/N system motivated by call centers, where customers' slowdown can be large. This regime was also considered by Maglaras et al. [21] from a revenue maximization perspective. Gupta and Walton [12] analyzed the transient limit of the JSQ policy in this scaling regime and proved that the total queue-length process, scaled by  $N$ , has a diffusion limit which is similar to Bessel process with a constant drift. The interchange of  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limits in the NDS regime requires establishing the tightness of the sequence of scaled total queue-lengths in steady state indexed by  $N$ , which is not established in [12]. However, the results in [12], in combination with the results in the current paper that analyze the case  $N - \lambda(N) = O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$ , hint at a second phase transition at  $\varepsilon = 0.5$ , where for all  $i \geq 3$ , the value of  $Q_i^{(N)}$  in steady state jumps from 0 (for  $\varepsilon < 0.5$ ) to  $O(N)$  (for  $\varepsilon = 0.5$ ). In fact, if we pretend that the interchange of limits holds for the NDS regime, then the steady-state maximum queue length distribution has an exponential tail [12, Theorem 1], whereas for  $\varepsilon < 0.5$ , it's value is 2 with probability tending to 1 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Recently, Hurtado-Lange and Maguluri [14, 15] considered a class of power-of- $d$  policies in the super-slowdown regime, where  $N - \lambda(N) = O(N^{1-\alpha})$  for some  $\alpha > 1$  and established state-space collapse results. In this regime, the average queue length at each server scales as  $N^{\alpha-1}$ , which grows with  $N$ . For the JSQ policy, the results in [14, 15] imply the total queue length in steady state, scaled by  $N^\alpha$ , converges in distribution to an exponential random variable with mean 1 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $\alpha > 2$ . To the best of our knowledge, this is the first heavy-traffic scaling window where the conventional heavy-traffic behavior coincides with the many-server heavy-traffic. For a general many-server heavy-traffic regime ( $\lambda(N)/N \rightarrow 1$ ), Budhiraja et al. [7] established a large deviation principle for the occupancy process which states that for large  $N$  and  $T$ , starting from the state  $Q_1^{(N)}(0) = N$  and  $Q_j^{(N)}(0) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} Q_i^{(N)}(t) \geq 1) \approx \exp(-\frac{N(i-2)^2}{4T})$  for  $i \geq 3$ . The works in various regimes mentioned above, have been summarized in Table 1, which is an expanded version of the one presented in [14] (associated notations are described in Section 1.4). Also, see [28] for a recent survey on load balancing algorithms and their performance in various asymptotic regimes.

### 1.3 Our contributions

In this paper, we obtain the diffusion limit for the centered and scaled total number of tasks in the system  $S^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)}(t)$  under the super-Halfin-Whitt regime and characterize the

Value of $\alpha$	Regime	Asymptotic behavior	References
0	Meanfield	$Q_1^{(N)} = N\lambda_N \pm \Theta_{\mathbb{P}}(\sqrt{N\lambda_N})$ , $Q_i^{(N)} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for $i \geq 2$	[24]
$(0, \frac{1}{2})$	Sub-Halfin-Whitt	$\sum_{i=1}^b Q_i^{(N)} = N\lambda_N + O_{\mathbb{P}}(\sqrt{N} \log N)$	[19]
$\frac{1}{2}$	Halfin-Whitt	$Q_1^{(N)} = N - \Theta_{\mathbb{P}}(\sqrt{N})$ , $Q_2^{(N)} = \Theta(\sqrt{N})$ , $Q_i^{(N)} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for $i \geq 3$	[3, 4, 6, 9]
$(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$	Super-Halfin-Whitt	$Q_1^{(N)} = N - \Theta(N^{1-\alpha})$ , $Q_2^{(N)} = \Theta_{\mathbb{P}}(N^{\alpha})$ , $Q_i^{(N)} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for $i \geq 3$	[20], current paper
1	Non-Degenerate Slowdown (NDS)	$Q_i = \Theta_{\mathbb{P}}(N)$ for all $i \geq 1$	[12]
$(1, \infty)$	Super Slowdown	Unknown for $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . For $\alpha > 2$ , $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)} = \Theta_{\mathbb{P}}(N^{\alpha})$	[14, 15]

Table 1: Analysis of JSQ in various regimes: Load per server is  $\lambda_N = 1 - \frac{\beta}{N^{\alpha}}$  with  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  for  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . The random variables in the third column are steady state random variables.  $b \in [1, \infty)$  denotes the buffer size, when it is assumed to be finite.

limit of its stationary distribution as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Specifically, we assume that the total arrival rate is  $\lambda(N) = N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$  and the service times are exponentially distributed with mean 1. Our main contributions are two-fold:

**(a) Process-level convergence.** In Theorem 2.4, we show that  $X^{(N)}(t) = N^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)}(S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}t) - N)$  converges to a certain Bessel process with negative drift, uniformly on compact intervals. Since the difference between the total arrival rate and the maximum departure rate is  $O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})$  and  $S^{(N)}(t)$  is scaled by  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , we needed the time-scaling of  $N^{2\varepsilon}$  to obtain the process-level convergence. From a high-level perspective, we follow the same broad approach used in [12] to prove the transient limit result. However, our technique differs significantly in several places as some of the estimates in [12] only apply for  $\varepsilon$  values close to 0.5, but we need estimates that uniformly apply for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 0.5)$  (for example, the proof of Lemma A.9 requires the estimate (A.36)).

The key challenge in establishing the diffusion limit is to obtain precise asymptotics of the following integral of the idleness process  $I^{(N)}(\cdot)$  (which equals  $N - Q_1^{(N)}(\cdot)$ ):  $N^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds$  uniformly for  $t$  in an appropriate (random) compact interval. As it turns out, starting from suitable states, the process  $I^{(N)}$  is negligible in magnitude, on the scale  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  (Lemma 5.1). However, the above integral is not negligible. In fact, we show that it is asymptotically close to  $\int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds$

(Proposition 5.5). The proof relies on the fact that  $I^{(N)}(t)$  evolves on a faster time scale compared to  $X^{(N)}$  and achieves a local stationarity for any fixed value of  $X^{(N)}$ . The (local) steady-state expectation of  $I^{(N)}(s)$  is approximately  $\frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)}$ . Other parts of the evolution equation of the limiting diffusion in Theorem 2.4 are obtained by standard martingale decomposition and their convergence. We then show that this limiting process is ergodic and has  $\text{Gamma}(2, \beta)$  as the unique stationary distribution (Proposition 2.5).

**(b) Tightness of the sequence of prelimit stationary distributions.** The next major challenge, proving the interchange of  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limits, requires establishing the tightness of the sequence of steady-state random variables  $\{X^{(N)}(\infty)\}_{N=1}^\infty$ . This is provided by Theorem 2.7. In fact, Theorem 2.7 tells us much more about the prelimit stationary distribution than tightness. We use the theory of regenerative processes to obtain tail probability bounds on  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  for all large but fixed  $N$ . More precisely, we identify renewal times along the path of the process  $(I^{(N)}(\cdot), \{Q_i^{(N)}(\cdot)\}_{i \geq 2})$  and use a representation (4.24) of the stationary measure in terms of these renewal times. Tail behavior of  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  is then studied by carefully analyzing these renewal times and fluctuations of the process between these times.

Two key technical steps in the renewal time analysis are to obtain sharp asymptotics for the following: (i) *Down-crossing estimates*: Tail-probability bounds on the time  $Q_2^{(N)}$  takes to hit  $BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  starting from  $2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  (Proposition 3.10), where  $B$  is a large fixed constant that does not depend on  $N$ . (ii) *Up-crossing estimates*: Tail-probability bounds on the time  $Q_2^{(N)}$  takes to hit  $2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  starting from  $BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  (Proposition 3.12).

Analyzing the tail behavior of  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  for fixed large  $N$  requires very different techniques than ones required to prove the process level convergence. First, *there is no ‘state space collapse’ in the prelimit*, in the sense that one cannot directly relate the idleness process  $I^{(N)}(\cdot)$  to  $X^{(N)}(\cdot)$  as in Proposition 5.5. Therefore, we take an excursion-theoretic approach where one performs a piece-wise analysis of excursions of the joint process  $(I^{(N)}(\cdot), \{Q_i^{(N)}(\cdot)\}_{i \geq 2})$  in different parts of the state space. This, along with some novel concentration inequalities (Lemma 3.5), leads to quantitative probability bounds on the supremum and time integral of  $I^{(N)}(\cdot)$  (Lemma 3.7). This is a crucial step in proving Theorem 2.7.

Second, note that, for the process level limit, one can ‘ignore’ the contributions of  $Q_i^{(N)}(\cdot)$  for  $i \geq 3$ . This is because, if  $Q_3^{(N)}(0) = 0$ , for fixed  $T > 0$ , the probability that  $Q_3^{(N)}(t) > 0$  for any  $t \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}T]$  becomes small as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (see (A.21)). However, for fixed  $N$ , the processes  $\{Q_i^{(N)}(\cdot)\}_{i \geq 3}$  eventually become non-zero, and for obtaining probabilistic bounds on the renewal times discussed above (eg. Proposition 4.3), one needs precise quantitative control on  $\sum_{i=3}^\infty Q_i^{(N)}(\cdot)$  (Lemma 3.9).

## 1.4 Notation and organization.

For a metric space  $S$ , denote by  $D = D([0, \infty), S)$  the space of functions from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $S$  that are right continuous and have left limits everywhere. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \vee y$  and  $x \wedge y$  denote



$\max(x, y)$  and  $\min(x, y)$ , respectively.  $x^+ = \max(x, 0)$ . For a positive deterministic sequence  $(f(N))_{N \geq 1}$ , a sequence of random variables  $(X(N))_{N \geq 1}$  is said to be  $O_p(f(N))$ ,  $o_p(f(N))$ , respectively if the sequence  $(X(N)/f(N))_{N \geq 1}$  is tight, and  $X(N)/f(N) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Also,  $(X(N))_{N \geq 1}$  is said to be  $\Theta_p(f(N))$  if it is  $O_p(f(N))$  and there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (f(N))^{-1} \mathbb{E}(X(N)) \geq c$ .

Rest of the sections are organized as follows: In Section 2 we present the model, main results, and discuss their ramifications. Section 3 contains a sample-path analysis of the process and several hitting time estimates. These estimates will be used in Section 4 to establish the tightness of the sequence of random variables corresponding to appropriately centred and scaled steady-state total number of tasks, in the number of servers  $N$ . In Section 5, we prove the process-level convergence. Proofs of some results are moved to the appendix for better readability.

## 2 Model Description and main results

Consider a system with  $N$  parallel single-server queues and one dispatcher. Tasks with independent unit-mean exponentially distributed service requirements arrive at the dispatcher as a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda(N)$ . Denote the per-server load by  $\lambda_N := \lambda(N)/N$ . Each arriving task is assigned instantaneously and irrevocably to the shortest queue at the time of arrival. Ties are broken arbitrarily. The service discipline at each server is oblivious to the actual service requirements (viz., FCFS). We will analyze the system in the so-called *super-Halfin-Whitt* heavy-traffic regime, where

$$\lambda_N = 1 - \frac{\beta}{N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}} \quad (2.1)$$

with fixed parameters  $\beta > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Our goal is to characterize the behavior of the queue-length process as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . At time  $t$ ,  $S^{(N)}(t)$  denotes the total number of tasks in the system,  $I^{(N)}(t)$  denotes the number of idle servers, and  $Q_i^{(N)}(t)$  denotes the number of servers of queue length at least  $i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Note that  $(Q_1^{(N)}, Q_2^{(N)}, \dots)$  provides a Markovian description of the system state and  $I^{(N)} = N - Q_1^{(N)}$ . We introduce the scaled process  $\{X^{(N)}(t), t \geq 0\}$  as

$$X^{(N)}(t) := \frac{S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}t) - N}{N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}}.$$

The process  $X^{(N)}$  is not Markovian. However, we can view it as a function of the Markov process  $(Q_1^{(N)}, Q_2^{(N)}, \dots)$ . Denote by  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  a random variable distributed as the centered and scaled total number of tasks in the  $N$ -th system in steady state. Our first main result below characterizes the weak-limit of  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  and convergence of its moments.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The sequence of random variables  $\{X^{(N)}(\infty)\}_{N \geq 1}$  converges weakly to the Gamma(2,  $\beta$ ) distribution as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where the probability density function of Gamma(2,  $\beta$ ) is given by  $f(x) = \beta^2 x e^{-\beta x}$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ . Moreover, for any  $p > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{(N)}(\infty)]^p \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(p+2)}{\beta^p}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the standard Gamma function.

Let  $W^{(N)}$  be a random variable denoting the waiting time of a typical task in steady state for the  $N$ -th system. By Little's law [17, § 6.4 (a)], note that  $\mathbb{E}(W^{(N)}) = (N\lambda_N)^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(Q_i^{(N)}(\infty)) = (N\lambda_N)^{-1} \mathbb{E}(S^{(N)}(\infty) + I^{(N)}(\infty) - N)$ . Now, since in steady state, expected total arrival rate equals expected total service rate, we have  $\mathbb{E}(I^{(N)}(\infty)) = N(1 - \lambda_N) = \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . Therefore, we have the following immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.**

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}(W^{(N)}) = \frac{2}{\beta}.$$

**Remark 2.3** (Contrast with centralized systems). *An interesting aspect of the many-server limit of the JSQ policy is revealed as we compare it with the corresponding M/M/N system with the same load per server. As briefly mentioned in the introduction, the key difference between the JSQ dynamics and the M/M/N dynamics lies in the non-idling nature of the latter. In the JSQ dynamics, there can be idle servers in the system, while having waiting tasks. However, as the system load becomes closer to 1, one may expect that most of the servers must remain busy to keep the system stable. Consequently, JSQ should behave similarly to the centralized queueing system. Theorem 2.1 shows that this is not the case even in the super-Halfin-Whitt regime. The centered and scaled total number of servers for JSQ converges to  $\text{Gamma}(2, \beta)$  with mean  $2/\beta$ , instead of  $\text{Exponential}(\beta)$  with mean  $1/\beta$  for the corresponding M/M/N system. Interestingly, indeed, if the load is much heavier, that is,  $\lambda_N = 1 - O(N^{-\alpha})$  with  $\alpha > 2$ , then the result in [15] implies that the appropriately scaled total number of tasks under the JSQ policy has the same limiting distribution as the centralized system. It will be an interesting future direction to identify the precise scaling of the system load where this transition of behavior occurs.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given at the end of this section. We will proceed by establishing the process-level limit, ergodicity of the limiting process, and the tightness of the random variables  $\{X^{(N)}(\infty)\}_{N \geq 1}$ . The next result states that the sequence of processes  $\{X^{(N)}(t), t \geq 0\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  converges weakly to the process  $X$ , uniformly on compact time intervals, where  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a certain Bessel process with negative drift.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Assume that  $X^{(N)}(0) \rightarrow x_0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $x_0 > 0$  is a constant, and that there exist constants  $K_1, K_2 > 0$ , such that  $I^{(N)}(0) \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,  $Q_2^{(N)}(0) \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , and  $Q_3^{(N)}(0) = 0$ , for all  $N \geq 1$ . Then the scaled process  $X^{(N)}$  converges weakly to the path-wise unique solution to the following stochastic differential equation, uniformly on compact time intervals:*

$$dX(t) = \left( \frac{1}{X(t)} - \beta \right) dt + \sqrt{2} dW(t), \quad (2.2)$$

with  $X(0) = x_0$ , where  $W = (W(t), t \geq 0)$  is the standard Brownian motion.

Theorem 2.4 is proved in Section 5.

Observe that the SDE in (2.2) is a certain Langevin diffusion and is ergodic. Its stationary distribution can be explicitly characterized as follows.



**Proposition 2.5.** *The SDE in (2.2) has a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$  with probability density function  $\frac{d\pi}{dx} = \beta^2 x e^{-\beta x}$ ,  $x > 0$ , having  $p$ -th moment  $\Gamma(p+2)/\beta^p$ .*

The proof of Proposition 2.5 is given in Section 5.

**Remark 2.6.** *The limiting diffusion in (2.2) behaves like a Bessel process of dimension 2 for small values of  $X$  and a Brownian motion with negative drift for large values of  $X$ . In particular, this diffusion almost surely never hits zero (see Lemma 5.6). This is a consequence of the fact that the idle process in the prelimit moves in scale  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  and thus vanishes under the scaling  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  appearing in  $X^{(N)}$ . Moreover, the drift of the above diffusion process is smooth on  $(0, \infty)$ , unlike the diffusion limit in the NDS regime [12]. This results in the stationary density of the diffusion in [12] being  $C^1$  but not  $C^2$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , whereas our stationary density is smooth on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

The following theorem gives tail estimates for  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  for all fixed large  $N$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *There exist positive constants  $N_0, B, C_1, C_2$  such that for any  $N \geq N_0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(X^{(N)}(\infty) \geq x) \leq \begin{cases} C_1 \exp\{-C_2 x^{1/5}\}, & 4B \leq x \leq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ C_1 \exp\{-C_2 x^{1/44}\}, & x \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.8.** *The analysis of the steady-state tail behavior is based on a renewal theoretic representation of the stationary measure. We consider the continuous time Markov process  $(I^{(N)}(\cdot), \{Q_i^{(N)}(\cdot)\}_{i \geq 2})$  starting from  $(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)$  for a suitably large  $B > 0$ . We then wait for  $Q_2$  to fall to  $\lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor$ , then for  $\sum_{i=3}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)}$  to drop to zero, and subsequently for  $Q_2$  to climb back to  $\lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor$ . This (random) time  $\Theta$  is a renewal time as the process observed from time  $\Theta$  onward has the same distribution as the one starting from time 0. On showing that  $\Theta$  has finite expectation, the stationary distribution admits the representation in (4.24). Hence, obtaining tail behavior of the stationary distribution reduces to quantifying the extremal behavior of the process path before time  $\Theta$ . We do not believe that the exponents in the tail bounds above are optimal; however, they show that the steady-state tails are sufficiently light to have finiteness of all moments.*

Theorem 2.7 is proved in Section 4. It implies that  $\{X^{(N)}(\infty)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is tight in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.7, the interchangeability of the  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limits in Theorem 2.1 can be established using routine arguments.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Since  $\{X^{(N)}(\infty)\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is tight, any subsequence  $\{X^{(\tilde{N})}(\infty)\}_{\tilde{N}=1}^{\infty}$  has a convergent further subsequence  $\{X^{(\hat{N})}(\infty)\}_{\hat{N}=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $X^{(\hat{N})}(\infty) \xrightarrow{d} \hat{X}$  as  $\hat{N} \rightarrow \infty$ . Now assume that  $X^{(\hat{N})}(0)$  is distributed as  $X^{(\hat{N})}(\infty)$ , the steady state of the process  $(X^{(\hat{N})}(t), t \geq 0)$ . Then  $X^{(\hat{N})}(t)$  is also distributed as  $X^{(\hat{N})}(\infty)$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.5,  $\hat{X}$  is the unique stationary distribution of  $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ . This proves the weak convergence of  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For the convergence of  $p$ -th moment, note that  $X^{(N)}(\infty)$ 's are nonnegative random variables and hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{(N)}(\infty)]^p = p \int_0^{\infty} x^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(X^{(N)}(\infty) > x) dx.$$

Take  $B, N_0$  as in Theorem 2.7. From the tail-probability bound in Theorem 2.7, we have that for any  $p > 0$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{N \geq N_0} \mathbb{E} \left[ X^{(N)}(\infty) \right]^{p+\tilde{\varepsilon}} &\leq \sup_{N \geq N_0} \left( (4B \vee 1)^{p+\tilde{\varepsilon}} + (p+\tilde{\varepsilon}) \int_{(4B \vee 1)}^{\infty} x^{p+\tilde{\varepsilon}-1} \mathbb{P}(X^{(N)}(\infty) > x) dx \right) \\ &\leq \left( (4B \vee 1)^{p+\tilde{\varepsilon}} + (p+\tilde{\varepsilon}) \int_{(4B \vee 1)}^{\infty} x^{p+\tilde{\varepsilon}-1} C_1 \exp \{ -C_2 x^{1/44} \} dx \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since  $X^{(N)}(\infty) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Gamma}(2, \beta)$  and (2.3) holds, by [5, Corollary of Theorem 25.12], for any  $p > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E} [X^{(N)}(\infty)]^p \rightarrow \Gamma(p+2)/\beta^p$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

### 3 Sample-path analysis of the pre-limit process

In this section, we will obtain quantitative estimates on the sample path behavior of the prelimit process that will be useful in Sections 4 and 5. Define

$$\bar{Q}_2^{(N)}(t) := \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)}(t), \quad \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(t) := \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)}(t).$$

and, for  $x, y, z \geq 0$ , define the stopping times

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1^{(N)}(x) &:= \inf \{ t \geq 0 : I^{(N)}(t) = \lfloor xN^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor \}, \\ \tau_2^{(N)}(y) &:= \inf \{ t \geq 0 : Q_2^{(N)}(t) = \lfloor yN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor \}, \\ \tau_s^{(N)}(z) &:= \inf \{ t \geq 0 : S^{(N)}(t) = \lfloor zN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Also, for any  $B > 0$ , let  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$  denote a birth-death process with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_B^{(N)} &\nearrow \bar{I}_B^{(N)} + 1 \text{ at rate } N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, \\ \bar{I}_B^{(N)} &\searrow (\bar{I}_B^{(N)} - 1)_+ \text{ at rate } N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $N$  is large enough so that  $N > BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} > \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . If  $Q_2^{(N)}(0) > BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , then observe that there exists a natural coupling such that  $I^{(N)}(t \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \leq \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B))$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . Define the stopping time  $\bar{\tau}_B^{(N)}(x) := \inf \{ t \geq 0 : \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) = \lfloor xN^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor \}$  for  $x \geq 0$ . For  $\eta > 0$ , let us introduce the notation  $\mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(\cdot) := \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = \lfloor \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists  $B_0 \geq 1$  such that for any  $\eta > 0$ ,  $B \geq B_0$ ,  $N \geq N_B$ , and  $y \in [0, \eta]$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \left( \exp \left\{ \frac{BN^{2\varepsilon}}{8} \bar{\tau}_B^{(N)}(y) \right\} \right) \leq e^{\eta-y}.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\hat{I}_B^{(N)}(t) = \frac{\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}$  and  $\sigma^{(N)} = \bar{\tau}_B^{(N)}(y)$ . For  $\theta > 0$  to be chosen later, define

$$Z_B^{(N)}(t) := \exp \{ \hat{I}_B^{(N)}(t) + \frac{\theta}{2} t \}.$$

For  $t < \bar{\tau}_B^{(N)}(0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}Z_B^{(N)}(t) &= \frac{\theta}{2}Z_B^{(N)}(t) + e^{\frac{\theta}{2}t} \left[ \left( e^{\hat{f}_B^{(N)}(t) + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - e^{\hat{f}_B^{(N)}(t)} \right) (N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( e^{\hat{f}_B^{(N)}(t) - \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - e^{\hat{f}_B^{(N)}(t)} \right) (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2}Z_B^{(N)}(t) + Z_B^{(N)}(t)N \left( e^{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} + e^{-\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - 2 \right) \\ &\quad + Z_B^{(N)}(t) \left[ - \left( e^{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - 1 \right) BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} - \left( e^{-\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - 1 \right) \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \right],\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$  is the infinitesimal generator of the associated continuous time Markov process. Fix two constants  $c, a > 0$ , such that for all  $x \in (-a, a)$ ,  $e^x + e^{-x} - 2 \leq cx^2$ , and note that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^x - 1 \geq x$ . Now, let  $N_B \geq 1$  be such that  $N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < a$  and  $\frac{1}{2}BN^{2\varepsilon} > \beta$  for all  $N \geq N_B$ . Then for all  $N \geq N_B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) &\leq \frac{\theta}{2}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) + cN^{2\varepsilon}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) \\ &\quad + Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) \left[ - \left( e^{\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - 1 \right) BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} - \left( e^{-\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}} - 1 \right) \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{2}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) + cN^{2\varepsilon}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) - \frac{BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) + \beta Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{2}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) + cN^{2\varepsilon}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) - \frac{BN^{2\varepsilon}}{2}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $B_0 \geq 1$  be such that  $c - \frac{B}{2} \leq -\frac{B}{4}$ ,  $\forall B \geq B_0$ . Take  $\theta = \frac{B}{4}N^{2\varepsilon}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , implying for all  $B \geq B_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)})) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(Z_B^{(N)}(0)), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

By Fatou's lemma and the observation  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)}) = Z_B^{(N)}(\sigma^{(N)})$  a.s., we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(Z_B^{(N)}(\sigma^{(N)})) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(Z_B^{(N)}(t \wedge \sigma^{(N)})) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(Z_B^{(N)}(0)) \leq e^\eta,$$

implying that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}(e^{\frac{\theta}{2}\bar{\tau}_B^{(N)}(y)}) \leq e^{\eta-y}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The following elementary lemma gives a supremum bound on the path of a compensated Poisson process.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $A(\cdot)$  is a Poisson process with unit rate. For any  $T > 0$  and  $x \in [0, 4T]$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |A(s) - s| \geq x\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{x^2}{8T}}.$$

*Proof.* By [18, Theorem 5, Chapter 4, Page 351], for any  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} (A(s) - s) \geq x\right) \leq \exp\left\{-\sup_{\lambda > 0} (\lambda x - 2T(e^\lambda - 1 - \lambda))\right\}.$$

Since  $e^\lambda - 1 - \lambda \leq \lambda^2$ , for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have for any  $x \in [0, 4T]$ ,

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} (\lambda x - 2T(e^\lambda - 1 - \lambda)) \geq \sup_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} (\lambda x - 2T\lambda^2) = \frac{x^2}{8T}.$$

We can perform the same calculation with  $-(A(s) - s)$  in place of  $(A(s) - s)$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We want to estimate  $\int_0^t \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s) ds$  for large  $B$  and  $t$ . We will do so by identifying certain excursions in the path of the process  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$ . The integral will then be estimated by controlling the duration of each such excursion and the supremum of the process  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$  on this excursion. Let  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = 0$ . Given  $\eta > 0$ , define the following stopping times:  $\bar{\sigma}_0^{(N)} := 0$ , and for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}^{(N)} &:= \inf\{t \geq \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)} : \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \lfloor \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor\}, \\ \bar{\sigma}_{2i+2}^{(N)} &:= \inf\{t \geq \bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}^{(N)} : \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \leq \lfloor \frac{\eta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor\}.\end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, we fix  $\eta = 1 \wedge (\beta/8)$  and define:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} &:= \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)} - \bar{\sigma}_{2i-1}^{(N)}, \quad i \geq 1, \\ \bar{u}_i^{(N)} &:= \sup_{s \in [\bar{\sigma}_{2i-1}^{(N)}, \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)}]} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s) - \lfloor \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor, \quad i \geq 1, \\ \bar{K}_t^{(N)} &:= \inf\{k : \bar{\sigma}_{2k}^{(N)} \geq N^{2\varepsilon} t\}.\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will be used to control the integral of the process  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$  over the excursion interval  $[\bar{\sigma}_{2i-1}^{(N)}, \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)}]$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Take  $B_0$  as in Lemma 3.1. There exist positive constants  $\bar{c}$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , such that for any  $B \geq B_0$ ,  $\exists \tilde{N}_B > 0$  such that for all  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(i)} \quad &\mathbb{P}(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{x N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon}}{B^{\frac{3}{2}}}) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 \sqrt{x}}, \quad \forall x \geq 0; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad &\mathbb{E}(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_1^{(N)}) \leq \frac{\bar{c} N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon}}{B^{\frac{3}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{x N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon}}{B^{\frac{3}{2}}}) \leq \mathbb{P}(\bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{B} N^{-2\varepsilon}) + \mathbb{P}(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{B} N^{-2\varepsilon}). \quad (3.3)$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Markov's inequality, for  $B \geq B_0$ ,  $N \geq N_B$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{B} N^{-2\epsilon}) \leq e^{-\frac{\theta\sqrt{x}}{BN^{2\epsilon}}} \mathbb{E}_{\eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}(e^{\theta\bar{\tau}_B^{(N)}(\frac{\eta}{2})}) \leq e^\eta e^{-\sqrt{x}/8}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\theta = BN^{2\epsilon}/8$  as in Lemma 3.1. Now, for  $s \in [0, \sigma_2^{(N)} - \sigma_1^{(N)})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\sigma_1^{(N)} + s) &= \lfloor \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor + A_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})s) - A_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})s) \\ &= \lfloor \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor + \hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})s) - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}s + \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}s, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_1, A_2$  are i.i.d. Poisson processes with unit rate and  $\hat{A}_i(s) = A_i(s) - s$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore, choosing  $\tilde{N}_B \geq N_B$  such that  $\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{x}}{B} N^{1-2\epsilon}$  for all  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ , we obtain for any  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}, \bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{B} N^{-2\epsilon}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \sigma_2^{(N)} - \sigma_1^{(N)})} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\sigma_1^{(N)} + s) \geq \lfloor \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}, \sigma_2^{(N)} - \sigma_1^{(N)} < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{B} N^{-2\epsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \sqrt{x}/(BN^{2\epsilon})]} \hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})s) - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}s + \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}s \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \sqrt{x}/(BN^{2\epsilon})]} \hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})s) \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right) \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \sqrt{x}/(BN^{2\epsilon})]} |\hat{A}_1(Ns)| \geq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2\sqrt{B}} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right) \leq 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{\sqrt{x}}{32}\right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the second inequality is due to  $BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} > \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$  that we assumed while defining  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$ , and the last inequality is due to Lemma 3.2. Plugging (3.4) and (3.5) into (3.3), we get part (i). Part (ii) follows directly from part (i).  $\square$

The next lemma gives an upper bound in probability for the number of excursions on the time interval  $[0, N^{2\epsilon}t]$  for large enough  $t$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *There exist  $\tilde{c}, t_0 > 0$  such that for all  $B \geq 1$ ,  $a \geq \frac{256B}{\eta^2}$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , and  $t \geq t_0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{K}_t^{(N)} \geq aN^{4\epsilon}t) \leq \exp\{-\tilde{c}aN^{4\epsilon}t\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_i^{(N)} = \mathbf{1}[\bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)} > \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\epsilon}]$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Recall  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  as defined in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Also, define the one-dimensional Skorohod map  $\Psi$  as follows: for any function  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  having càdlàg paths,  $\Psi[x](t) := x(t) + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \max\{-x(s), 0\}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Also write

$$x^{(N)}(s) := \lfloor \frac{\eta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor + \hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})s) - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}s + \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}s, s \geq 0.$$

Then, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(H_i^{(N)} = 0\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)}]} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)} + s) \geq \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)} \leq \frac{\eta^2}{32} N^{-2\varepsilon}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\varepsilon}]} \Psi\left[x^{(N)}\right](s) \geq \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\varepsilon}]} \left|x^{(N)}(s)\right| \geq \frac{\eta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right), \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the last step, we used the fact that  $\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \Psi[x^{(N)}](s) \leq 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |x^{(N)}(s)|$  for any  $T \geq 0$ . Now, since  $BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} > \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  as before and  $\eta \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{s \in [0, \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\varepsilon}]} \left|x^{(N)}(s)\right| &\leq \sup_{s \in [0, \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\varepsilon}]} \left|\hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s)\right| + \frac{\eta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} + \frac{\eta^2}{64} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \\
&\leq \sup_{s \in [0, \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\varepsilon}]} \left|\hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s)\right| + \frac{3\eta}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this in (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(H_i^{(N)} = 0\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \frac{\eta^2}{64B} N^{-2\varepsilon}]} \left|\hat{A}_1((N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})s) - \hat{A}_2((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s)\right| \geq \frac{\eta}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{2(\eta^2/64B)N^{1-2\varepsilon}}{(\eta^2/16)N^{1-2\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Doob's  $L^2$ -maximal inequality and the assumption  $B \geq 1$ . Then, for  $a \geq \frac{256B}{\eta^2}$  we can write for  $t \geq t_0$  sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{K}_t^{(N)} \geq aN^{4\varepsilon}t\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor atN^{4\varepsilon} \rfloor} (\bar{\sigma}_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \bar{\sigma}_{2i}^{(N)}) < N^{2\varepsilon}t\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor atN^{4\varepsilon} \rfloor} H_i^{(N)} < \frac{64B}{\eta^2} N^{4\varepsilon}t\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor atN^{4\varepsilon} \rfloor} (H_i^{(N)} - \mathbb{E}(H_i^{(N)})) < \frac{64B}{\eta^2} N^{4\varepsilon}t - \frac{1}{2} \lfloor atN^{4\varepsilon} \rfloor\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor atN^{4\varepsilon} \rfloor} (H_i^{(N)} - \mathbb{E}(H_i^{(N)})) < -\frac{1}{8} atN^{4\varepsilon}\right) \\
&\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{\tilde{c}a^2 N^{8\varepsilon} t^2}{aN^{4\varepsilon}t}\right\} = \exp\{-\tilde{c}aN^{4\varepsilon}t\}
\end{aligned}$$

for some  $\tilde{c} > 0$ , where the last inequality above follows from Azuma's inequality.  $\square$



The next lemma gives a concentration bound on sums of random variables with stretched exponential tails. It is used multiple times in this article, and is of independent interest.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose  $\{\Phi_i^{(r)} : i \geq 1, r \in R\}$  are independent nonnegative random variables adapted to a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_i^{(r)} : i \geq 1, r \in R\}$ , where  $R$  is an arbitrary indexing set. Let  $\mathcal{F}_0^{(r)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1^{(r)}$  be an arbitrary  $\sigma$ -field. Suppose there exist deterministic constants  $c, c_1 > 0, \theta \in (0, 1)$  not dependent on  $r$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Phi_i^{(r)} \geq x | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{(r)}) \leq ce^{-c_1 x^\theta}, x \geq 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

Define  $\mu := \int_0^\infty ce^{-c_1 x^\theta} dx \geq \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{E}(\Phi_i^{(r)} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{(r)}), \forall i \geq 1$ . Then there exist  $c_2, c_3 > 0$ , not depending on  $r$ , such that for any  $a \geq 4\mu$ ,

$$\sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^{(r)} \geq an | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) \leq c_2 \left(1 + \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2+\theta}}}{a^{\frac{\theta}{2+\theta}}}\right) \exp\{-c_3(a^2 n)^{\frac{\theta}{2+\theta}}\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} := \Phi_i^{(r)} \mathbb{1}[\Phi_i^{(r)} \leq d]$  for some  $d > 0$  that does not depend on  $r$ , to be chosen later. Then by Azuma's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} \geq \frac{an}{2} | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) \\ & \leq \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} - \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{(r)})) \geq \frac{an}{2} - n\mu | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) \\ & \leq \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} - \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{(r)})) \geq \frac{an}{4} | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) \\ & \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{a^2 n}{32d^2}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, using Markov's inequality and the union bound

$$\sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi_i^{(r)} - \tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)}) \geq \frac{an}{2} | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) \leq \frac{2}{a} \left[ dce^{-c_1 d^\theta} + \int_d^\infty ce^{-c_1 x^\theta} dx \right] \leq \frac{\tilde{c}de^{-\tilde{c}'d^\theta}}{a}, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $\tilde{c}$  and  $\tilde{c}'$  are positive constants not depending on  $d$ . Using (3.7) and (3.8) with  $d = (a^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2+\theta}}$ , the lemma follows upon using

$$\sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i^{(r)} \geq an | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) \leq \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)} \geq \frac{an}{2} | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right) + \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi_i^{(r)} - \tilde{\Phi}_i^{(r)}) \geq \frac{an}{2} | \mathcal{F}_0^{(r)}\right),$$

and choosing appropriate constants  $c_2$  and  $c_3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** Take  $B_0$  as in Lemma 3.1,  $\tilde{N}_B$  for  $B \geq B_0$  as in Lemma 3.3, and  $t_0$  as in Lemma 3.4. There exist constants  $c, c^*, c' > 0$ , such that the following holds for all  $B \geq B_0, N \geq \tilde{N}_B, t \geq t_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{K_t^{(N)}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\zeta}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}}} N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} t\right) \leq c \exp\{-c' B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\}.$$

*Proof.* Write

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\bar{K}_t^{(N)}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B^{\frac{3}{2}}} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t\right) \leq \mathbb{P}(\bar{K}_t^{(N)} \geq a' N^{4\varepsilon} t) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor a' N^{4\varepsilon} t \rfloor} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B^{\frac{3}{2}}} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t\right). \quad (3.9)$$

For the first term, we take  $a' = \frac{256B}{\eta^2}$ , and thus, Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{K}_t^{(N)} \geq a' N^{4\varepsilon} t) \leq \exp\{-\tilde{c} a' N^{4\varepsilon} t\}. \quad (3.10)$$

For the second term, define

$$\Phi_i^{(N)} := \frac{B^{3/2}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)}, \quad i \geq 1,$$

and a sequence of filtrations  $\mathcal{F}_{N,i} := \sigma\{\Phi_j^{(N)} : j \leq i\}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Then, as  $\{\Phi_j^{(N)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are i.i.d., from Lemma 3.3 we know for  $B \geq B_0$  and  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Phi_i^{(N)} \geq x | \mathcal{F}_{N,i-1}) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 \sqrt{x}}, \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

We will use Lemma 3.5 for the random variables  $\{\Phi_i^{(N)} : i \geq 1, N \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Note that  $\mu$  in the lemma takes the form  $\mu = \int_0^\infty c_1 e^{-c_2 \sqrt{x}} dx$  in our case. Write  $c^* = 4\mu \times 256$ . Applying Lemma 3.5 with  $\theta = 1/2$ ,  $n = \lfloor a' N^{4\varepsilon} t \rfloor$ , and  $a = 4\mu$ , we get for some constants  $c'_1, c'_2 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor a' N^{4\varepsilon} t \rfloor} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B^{\frac{3}{2}}} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t | \mathcal{F}_{N,0}\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor a' N^{4\varepsilon} t \rfloor} \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon}}{B^{\frac{3}{2}}} \Phi_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B^{\frac{3}{2}}} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t | \mathcal{F}_{N,0}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor a' N^{4\varepsilon} t \rfloor} \Phi_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^* B}{\eta^2} N^{4\varepsilon} t | \mathcal{F}_{N,0}\right) \leq c'_1 \exp\left\{-c'_2 \left[(4\mu)^2 a' N^{4\varepsilon} t\right]^{1/5}\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Plugging the bounds from (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.9) completes the proof of the lemma for appropriately chosen constants  $c$  and  $c'$  dependent on  $\beta$  but not  $B$ .  $\square$

The following lemma gives probability bounds on the integral and supremum of the idleness process that is crucial to the stability analysis of the prelimit total queue length process.

**Lemma 3.7.** Assume  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = 0$ . There exist  $t_0 > 0, B_1 \geq 1$  such that for all  $B \geq B_1, N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ , and  $t \geq t_0$ , the following hold:

- (i)  $\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon} s} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u) du \geq \frac{\beta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} s \text{ for some } s \geq t\right) \leq c_1 \exp\{-c_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\};$
- (ii)  $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon} s) \geq \frac{\beta}{16} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t\right) \leq \exp\{-\tilde{c}_1 B N^{4\varepsilon} t\} + \tilde{c}_2 N^{4\varepsilon} t \exp\{-\tilde{c}_3 \sqrt{B} N^{2\varepsilon} t\}.$

The above constants  $c_i, \tilde{c}_i$  may depend on  $\beta$ , but not on  $B, N, t$ .

*Proof.* (i) Recall  $\eta = 1 \wedge (\beta/8)$  and note that

$$\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon} t} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s) ds \leq \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{K}_t^{(N)}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} \leq \frac{\beta}{8} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{K}_t^{(N)}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)}. \quad (3.12)$$

Now, choose  $B_1 \geq B_0$  (from Lemma 3.1) such that  $\frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{\beta}{8}$ , where  $c^*$  is the constant from Lemma 3.6. By Lemma 3.6 and (3.12), there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , such that for all  $B \geq B_1$ ,  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds \geq \frac{\beta}{4}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\bar{K}_i^{(N)}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta}{8}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\bar{K}_i^{(N)}} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \bar{\xi}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{c^*}{\eta^2 B^{\frac{1}{2}}}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \leq c_1 \exp\{-c_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

For any  $t \geq t_0$ , define  $s_k = kt, k \geq 1$ . Then, for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta s}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ for some } s \in [s_k, s_{k+1})\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s_{k+1}} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta s_k}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s_{k+1}} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta s_{k+1}}{4}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq c_1 \exp\{-c_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} s_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{5}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (i) follows on summing over  $k$  and applying the union bound.

(ii) Write  $a = \frac{256B}{\eta^2}$ , and note that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s) \geq \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{K}_t^{(N)} \geq aN^{4\varepsilon}t\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq aN^{4\varepsilon}t} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t - \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right). \quad (3.14)$$

By Lemma 3.4, for  $t \geq t_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{K}_t^{(N)} \geq aN^{4\varepsilon}t\right) \leq \exp\{-\tilde{c}aN^{4\varepsilon}t\}. \quad (3.15)$$

Due to Equations (3.4) and (3.5), we have that for  $B \geq B_0$ , and large enough  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq aN^{4\varepsilon}t} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t - \eta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq aN^{4\varepsilon}t} \bar{u}_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta}{32}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \\ &\leq aN^{4\varepsilon}t \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta}{32}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \\ &\leq aN^{4\varepsilon}t \cdot \left[\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{u}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta}{32}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t, \bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} < \frac{\beta t}{32\sqrt{B}}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{\xi}_1^{(N)} \geq \frac{\beta t}{32\sqrt{B}}\right)\right] \\ &\leq aN^{4\varepsilon}t \cdot c_0 \exp\{-c'_0 \beta \sqrt{B} N^{2\varepsilon}t\} \text{ for some constants } c_0, c'_0 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Plugging (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.14) and choosing appropriate constants  $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3$  complete the proof.  $\square$

For integers  $x \in [0, N]$ ,  $y \in [0, N - x]$  and a vector of non-negative integers  $\underline{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^\infty$  with  $y \geq z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots$ , introduce the notation

$$\mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid I^{(N)}(0) = x, Q_2^{(N)}(0) = y, Q_i^{(N)}(0) = z_{i-2} \text{ for } i \geq 3) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(\cdot) := \sup \left\{ \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(\cdot) : \underline{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^\infty, z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots, z_1 \leq y \right\}.$$

Also, recall that for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $S^{(N)}(t)$  denotes the total number of tasks in the system at time  $t$ , and  $\tau_2^{(N)}(B)$  denotes the first time  $Q_2^{(N)}$  hits  $\lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor$ . The next lemma gives fluctuation tail bounds on excursions of the total queue length process.

**Lemma 3.8.** *There exist  $t_0, B_1$ , such that for all  $B \geq B_1, N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ , and  $x \geq t_0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (S^{(N)} \text{ hits } S^{(N)}(0) + x\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ before time } \tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \\ & \leq \hat{c}_1 \exp\{-\hat{c}_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{46}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}\} + \hat{c}_3 \exp\{-\hat{c}_4 x\}, \end{aligned}$$

where constants  $\hat{c}_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  do not depend on  $B$  and  $N$ .

*Proof.* Note that there is a natural coupling between  $I^{(N)}(t)$  and  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)$ , such that for all  $t \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ ,  $I^{(N)}(t) \leq \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)$ . Thus, for all  $t \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(N)}(t) & \leq S^{(N)}(0) + A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - D\left(\int_0^t (N - \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s))ds\right) \\ & = S^{(N)}(0) + \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - \hat{D}\left(\int_0^t (N - \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s))ds\right) + \int_0^t \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}t, \end{aligned}$$

where recall  $A(\cdot)$  and  $D(\cdot)$  are independent unit-rate Poisson processes representing arrivals and departures respectively, and  $\hat{A}(s) = A(s) - s$  and  $\hat{D}(s) = D(s) - s$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (S^{(N)} \text{ hits } S^{(N)}(0) + x\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ before } \tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta x}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + \frac{\beta s}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ for some } s \geq 0\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{M}^*(t) - \frac{\beta t}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \text{ hits } \frac{3\beta x}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ for some } t \geq 0\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}^*(t) = \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - \hat{D}(\int_0^t (N - \bar{I}_B(s))ds)$ . Recall  $t_0, B_1$  from Lemma 3.7. For  $x \geq t_0, B \geq B_1, N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta x}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + \frac{\beta s}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ for some } s \geq 0\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}x} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta x}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(u)du \geq \frac{\beta s}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ for some } s \geq x\right) \\ & \leq \hat{c} \exp\{-\hat{c}' B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{46}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

for some constants  $\hat{c}, \hat{c}' > 0$ , where the last inequality follows by (3.13) and Lemma 3.7 (i). For the second term on the right-hand-side of (3.17), denote  $\bar{s}_k = kN^{2\epsilon}x$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . For any  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\bar{s}_k, \bar{s}_{k+1}]} \left(\mathcal{M}^*(s) - \frac{\beta s}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right) \geq \frac{3\beta x}{4} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \bar{s}_{k+1}]} \mathcal{M}^*(s) \geq \frac{2N^{-2\epsilon}\bar{s}_k + 3x}{4} \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \bar{s}_{k+1}]} \mathcal{M}^*(s) \geq \frac{2k+5}{4} x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \leq c'' e^{-\bar{c}''(k+1)x}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $c'', \bar{c}'' > 0$ , where the last inequality follows from Lemma 3.2. Summing over  $k$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{M}^*(t) - \frac{\beta t}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \text{ hits } \frac{x}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \text{ for some } t \geq 0\right) \leq c'' e^{-\bar{c}''x}. \quad (3.19)$$

Plugging (3.18) and (3.19) into (3.17) and choosing appropriate constants complete the proof.  $\square$

Recall  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(t) := \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)}(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . The following lemma provides quantitative control on the excursions of  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\cdot)$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *Define*

$$\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = \sup_{s \in [0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B)]} (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0))_+, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Z}^{(N)} = \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0).$$

There exists  $B_2 > 0$ , such that for all  $B \geq B_2$ , we can obtain  $\tilde{N}_B^* \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the following hold for all  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B^*$  and  $x > 0$ .

(i) *There exist constants  $\bar{c}_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , not depending on  $N, x$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\bar{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \bar{z})} (\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} \geq x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \\ & \leq \bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5}\} (\exp\{-\bar{c}_3 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\epsilon}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}\} + \exp\{-\bar{c}_4 x\}). \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \inf_{\bar{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \bar{z})} (\bar{Z}^{(N)} \leq -[\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) \wedge \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}]) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Recall  $t_0$  from Lemma 3.8. Let  $\bar{N}_B \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $N - 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \geq \frac{N}{2}$  and  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}/(2\beta) > t_0$  for all  $N \geq \bar{N}_B$ . If  $\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} \geq x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ , then there exists  $s \in [0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B)]$  such that  $Q_2^{(N)}(s) = N$ ,  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) = \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) + x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ , and  $I^{(N)}(0) = 0$ . Hence, for all  $N \geq \bar{N}_B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(N)}(s) &= N + N + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) + x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \\ &\geq (N - I^{(N)}(0) + Q_2^{(N)}(0) + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0)) + (N - 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} + x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \\ &= S^{(N)}(0) + (N - 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} + x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \\ &\geq S^{(N)}(0) + \left(\frac{N}{2} + x \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Take  $B_1, \tilde{N}_B$  as in Lemma 3.8 and define  $\tilde{N}'_B := \tilde{N}_B \vee \bar{N}_B$ . Thus, for  $B \geq B_1, N \geq \tilde{N}'_B, x > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} \geq x\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \\
& \leq \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (S^{(N)} \text{ hits } S^{(N)}(0) + \frac{N}{2} + x\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ before time } \tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \\
& \leq \hat{c}_1 \exp \left\{ -\hat{c}_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} (N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}/(2\beta) + x)^{\frac{1}{5}} \right\} + \hat{c}_3 \exp \{ -\hat{c}_4 (N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}/(2\beta) + x) \} \\
& \leq \bar{c}_1 \exp \left\{ -\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5} \right\} \left( \exp \{ -\bar{c}_3 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}} \} + \exp \{ -\bar{c}_4 x \} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is due to Lemma 3.8 upon recalling  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}/(2\beta) + x > t_0$  by the definition of  $\tilde{N}_B$ . The last inequality is due to  $(a+b)^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq a^{\frac{1}{5}} + b^{\frac{1}{5}}$ , for all  $a, b \geq 0$ , and  $B_1 \geq 1$ .

(ii) From part (i), for  $B \geq B_1$  and  $N \geq \tilde{N}'_B$ ,

$$\sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} > 0) \leq 2\bar{c}_1 \exp \left( -\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5} \right). \quad (3.20)$$

Starting from  $I^{(N)}(0) = 0, Q_2^{(N)}(0) = \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor$  and any  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0)$ , on the event  $\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = 0$ ,  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \leq \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0)$  and  $Q_2^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \leq Q_2^{(N)}(0) - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1$ . Thus, we have

$$S^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \leq S^{(N)}(0) - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1.$$

Also, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(N)}(t) & \geq S^{(N)}(0) + A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - D(Nt) \\
& = S^{(N)}(0) + \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - \hat{D}(Nt) - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}t,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{A}(s) = A(s) - s$  and  $\hat{D}(s) = D(s) - s$ . Let  $\hat{M}(s) = \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s) - \hat{D}(Ns)$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a constant  $\tilde{c} > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\tau_2^{(N)}(B) < \frac{B}{2\beta} N^{2\varepsilon}, \bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = 0) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \inf_{s \leq (B/2\beta)N^{2\varepsilon}} (\hat{M}(s) - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}s) \leq -BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \inf_{s \leq (B/2\beta)N^{2\varepsilon}} \hat{M}(s) \leq -\frac{B}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \leq 4 \exp \{ -\tilde{c}B \}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Furthermore, since the instantaneous rate of decrease of  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}$  is at least 1 when  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}$  is positive, and that  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}$  can only decrease when  $\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = 0$ , observe that, using Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) > \left( \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) - \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\varepsilon} \right)_+, \bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = 0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq \frac{B}{2\beta} N^{2\varepsilon} \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \text{Po} \left( \frac{B}{2\beta} N^{2\varepsilon} \right) < \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\varepsilon} \right) \leq 2e^{-c'BN^{2\varepsilon}}, \text{ for some constant } c' > 0 \text{ dependent on } \beta, \quad (3.22)
\end{aligned}$$



where  $\text{Po}(\frac{B}{2\beta}N^{2\varepsilon})$  is a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\frac{B}{2\beta}N^{2\varepsilon}$ . Inequalities (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22), yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{Z}^{(N)} \leq -[\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) \wedge \frac{B}{4\beta}N^{2\varepsilon}]) \\
&= \inf_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \leq (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) - \frac{B}{4\beta}N^{2\varepsilon})_+) \\
&\geq 1 - \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{Z}_*^{(N)} > 0) - \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\tau_2^{(N)}(B) < \frac{B}{2\beta}N^{2\varepsilon}, \bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = 0) \\
&\quad - \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) > (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) - \frac{B}{4\beta}N^{2\varepsilon})_+, \bar{Z}_*^{(N)} = 0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq \frac{B}{2\beta}N^{2\varepsilon}) \\
&\geq 1 - 2\bar{c}_1 \exp(-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}) - 4\exp\{-\bar{c}B\} - 2e^{-c'B N^{2\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $B_2 \geq B_1$  such that  $4\exp\{-\bar{c}B\} \leq 1/4$  for all  $B \geq B_2$ . For  $B \geq B_2$ , we can obtain  $\tilde{N}_B^* \geq \tilde{N}'_B$  such that the lower bound above is at least  $1/2$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3.1 Down-crossing estimate

**Proposition 3.10.** Recall  $B_1 \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{N}_B$  for  $B \geq B_1$  from Lemma 3.7. There exist constants  $t_0, c'_i > 0$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , such that for any  $B \geq B_1, N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ , and  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\
&\leq 4e^{-c'_0 t} + c'_1 \exp\{-c'_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\} + c'_3 N^{4\varepsilon} t \exp\{-c'_4 \sqrt{B} N^{2\varepsilon} t\}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \vee \frac{8}{\beta}(B+x).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Starting from  $I^{(N)}(0) = 0, Q_2^{(N)}(0) = 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} Q_i^{(N)}(0) \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , for  $t \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2^{(N)}(t) &\leq S^{(N)}(t) - Q_1^{(N)}(t) = S^{(N)}(t) - (N - I^{(N)}(t)) \\
&= S^{(N)}(0) + A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - D(Nt - \int_0^t I^{(N)}(s)ds) - N + I^{(N)}(t) \\
&\leq S^{(N)}(0) - N + \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) + A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - D(Nt - \int_0^t \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds) \\
&\leq (2B+x)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) + \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - \hat{D}(Nt - \int_0^t \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}t,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{A}(s) = A(s) - s$  and  $\hat{D}(s) = D(s) - s$ . Recall

$$\mathcal{M}^*(t) = \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - \hat{D}(Nt - \int_0^t \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds).$$

Thus, using the above upper bound for  $Q_2^{(N)}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\
&= \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (Q_2^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}t) \geq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor \text{ and } \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds \geq \frac{\beta}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}t) \geq \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P}\left((2B+x)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + (\mathcal{M}^*(N^{2\varepsilon}t) - \frac{\beta}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t) + \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t \geq BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Then, for  $B \geq B_1, N \geq \tilde{N}_B, t \geq t_0$ , by Lemma 3.7(i),

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(s)ds > \frac{\beta}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t\right) \leq c_1 \exp\{-c_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\}, \tag{3.24}$$

and moreover, by Lemma 3.7(ii),

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}t) \geq \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t) \leq \exp\{-\tilde{c}_1 BN^{4\varepsilon}t\} + \tilde{c}_2 N^{4\varepsilon}t \exp\{-\tilde{c}_3 \sqrt{B}N^{2\varepsilon}t\}. \tag{3.25}$$

For  $t \geq \frac{8}{\beta}(B+x)$ , by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left((2B+x)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + (\mathcal{M}^*(N^{2\varepsilon}t) - \frac{\beta}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t) + \frac{\beta}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t \geq BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}^*(N^{2\varepsilon}t) \geq \frac{\beta}{4}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t - (B+x)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{M}^*(N^{2\varepsilon}t) \geq \frac{\beta}{8}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}t) \leq 4e^{-c't},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where  $c'$  is a positive constant not depending on  $N$ . Plugging (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26) into (3.23) and choosing appropriate constants complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2 Up-crossing estimate

Recall  $\tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : I^{(N)}(t) = \lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor\}$ . We will write  $\underline{Q}_3^{(N)} := (Q_3^{(N)}, Q_4^{(N)}, \dots)$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** Assume  $I^{(N)}(0) = x$ ,  $Q_2^{(N)}(0) = y$ , and  $\underline{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) = \underline{z}$ . For any  $N$  such that  $\lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor \geq 1$  and any  $x \geq 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\sup_{y, \underline{z}} \mathbb{E}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(e^{\tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta)/2}) \leq \frac{x}{\lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor},$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(\cdot) = \mathbb{E}(\cdot | I^{(N)}(0) = x, Q_2^{(N)}(0) = y, \underline{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) = \underline{z})$ .

*Proof.* Define  $W^{(N)}(t) = e^{\frac{t}{2}} I^{(N)}(t)$ . Since the rate of increase of  $I^{(N)}(t)$  is at most  $N - I^{(N)}(t)$  and the rate of decrease is  $N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  if  $I^{(N)}(t) > 0$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}W^{(N)}(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2}W^{(N)}(t) + e^{\frac{t}{2}}[(N - I^{(N)}(t)) - (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})] \\ &= e^{\frac{t}{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}I^{(N)}(t) + \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$  is the infinitesimal generator. For  $t < \tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta)$ ,  $\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{I^{(N)}(t)}{2}$ , and so

$$\mathcal{L}W^{(N)}(t)\mathbb{1}[t < \tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta)] \leq 0.$$

This implies that for all  $y, \underline{z} \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}(W^{(N)}(t \wedge \tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta))) \leq \mathbb{E}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}(W^{(N)}(0)) = x, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

By Fatou's lemma and the observation that, almost surely,  $(t \wedge \tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta)) = \tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta)$  for sufficiently large  $t$ , we have that for all  $y, \underline{z} \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}(W^{(N)}(\tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta))) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(W^{(N)}(t \wedge \tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta))) \leq x, \quad (3.27)$$

and therefore,

$$\sup_{y,\underline{z}} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}(e^{\tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta)/2}) \leq \frac{x}{\lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor}.$$

□

**Proposition 3.12.** *For any fixed  $B > 0$ , there exist  $p_B, t'_B, N'_B > 0$  such that  $\forall t \geq t'_B, N \geq N'_B$ ,*

$$\sup_{x,\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})}(\tau_2^{(N)}(2B) > N^{2\varepsilon}t) \leq c_1 \sqrt{t} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \exp\{-c_2 N^{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{t}\} + (1 - p_B)^{\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor},$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are constants that do not depend on  $N, B, t$ .

*Proof.* The proof involves identifying excursions in the process path based on the  $I^{(N)}$  process hitting a certain threshold. The length of each excursion will then be controlled using Lemma 3.11. During each excursion, it will be shown that  $Q_2^{(N)}$  hits the level  $2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  with a positive probability that does not depend on  $N$ . This will lead to a geometric number of such excursions required for  $Q_2^{(N)}$  to hit the level  $2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ . These estimates will be combined to complete the proof.

Define the stopping times:  $\theta_0^{(N)} := 0$  and for  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)} &:= \inf\{t \geq \theta_{2k}^{(N)} : I^{(N)}(t) \leq \lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor\}, \\ \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)} &:= \theta_{2k+1}^{(N)} + N^{2\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $I^{(N)}(\theta_{2k}^{(N)}) \leq \lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor$ , then  $\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)} = \theta_{2k}^{(N)}$ ; equivalently, if  $\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)} - \theta_{2k}^{(N)} > 0$ , then  $I^{(N)}(\theta_{2k}^{(N)}) > \lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor$ . Thus, for any  $k \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ , any  $N$  such that  $\lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)} - \theta_{2k}^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t) &\leq \sup_{x > \lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor, y, \underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(\tau_1^{(N)}(2\beta) > N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\ &\leq e^{-N^{2\varepsilon}t/2} \sup_x \sup_{y, \underline{z}} \mathbb{E}_{(x, y, \underline{z})}(e^{\tau_1(2\beta)/2}) \leq \frac{N}{\lfloor 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor} \exp\{-N^{2\varepsilon}t/2\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 3.11 and  $x \leq N$ . Next, we claim the following:

**Claim 3.13.** Fix any  $N > \max\{(5B)^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)}, (2\beta/B)^{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}}\}$ . For any  $k \geq 0$ , the following holds on the event  $\sup_{s \in [0, \theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ .

$$\left\{ \sup_{s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}]} S^{(N)}(s) \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \sup_{s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right\}, \quad (3.29)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that for some  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\sup_{s \in [0, \theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  and  $\exists s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}]$  such that  $S^{(N)}(s) \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})$ . Let

$$\bar{s} := \inf\{s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}] : S^{(N)}(s) \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})\}.$$

Since  $N > 5BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , we claim that  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\bar{s}) \leq \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})$ . If this was not the case,  $\bar{s} > \theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}$  and there would be  $\tilde{s} \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \bar{s})$  such that  $\tilde{s}$  is a ‘point of increase’ of  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}$ , that is,  $Q_2^{(N)}(\tilde{s}) = N$ ,  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\tilde{s}) = \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})$  and  $I^{(N)}(\tilde{s}) = 0$ . Hence, recalling that  $\sup_{s \in [0, \theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(N)}(\tilde{s}) &= N + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + N \geq N - 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + Q_2^{(N)}(s) + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + (N - I^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})) \\ &= N - 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) > 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to the fact that  $\tilde{s} < \bar{s}$ . Hence, we conclude that  $N > 5BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  implies  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\bar{s}) \leq \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})$ . Using this observation and the definition of  $\bar{s}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} &= S^{(N)}(\bar{s}) - S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) \\ &= (Q_2^{(N)}(\bar{s}) + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\bar{s}) + N - I^{(N)}(\bar{s})) - (Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + N - I^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})) \\ &\leq Q_2^{(N)}(\bar{s}) - Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + I^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) \\ &\leq Q_2^{(N)}(\bar{s}) - Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + 2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, note that  $2\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} < BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , due to the choice of  $N$ . Thus, the above yields

$$Q_2^{(N)}(\bar{s}) \geq Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) + 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \geq 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of the claim.  $\square$

Therefore, due to Claim 3.13,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{x, \underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sup_{s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mid Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\
& \geq \inf_{x, \underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sup_{s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}]} (S^{(N)}(s) - S^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)})) \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mid Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\
& \geq \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}]} A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s) - D(Ns) \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\
& = \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}]} \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s) - \hat{D}(Ns) - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}s \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\
& \geq \mathbb{P} \left( \hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})N^{2\varepsilon}) - \hat{D}(N^{1+2\varepsilon}) - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \geq 3BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\
& = \mathbb{P} \left( N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (\hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})N^{2\varepsilon}) - \hat{D}(N^{1+2\varepsilon})) - \beta N^{-2\varepsilon} \geq 3B \right) \\
& \geq p_B > 0, \text{ for sufficient large } N.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Observe that  $p_B$  does not depend on  $N$  since  $N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} (\hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})N^{2\varepsilon}) - \hat{D}(N^{1+2\varepsilon})) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} N(0, 2)$  by the Martingale FCLT. Therefore, for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{x, \underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\tau_2^{(N)}(2B) > N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor} \sup_{x, \underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)} - \theta_{2k}^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}\sqrt{t}) \\
& \quad + \sup_{x, \underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sup_{s \in [\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}, \theta_{2k+2}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, Q_2^{(N)}(\theta_{2k+1}^{(N)}) < 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, \forall k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{t}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{\beta} \exp\{-N^{2\varepsilon}\sqrt{t}/2\} + (1 - p_B)^{\lfloor \sqrt{t} \rfloor},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to (3.28) and (3.30).  $\square$

### 3.3 Supremum of $Q_2^{(N)}$

In this section, we will give bounds on the supremum of the process  $Q_2^{(N)}(\cdot)$  on a time interval  $[0, N^{2\varepsilon}T]$  for fixed  $T > 0$ . Let  $I^{(N)}(0) = 0$ ,  $Q_2^{(N)}(0) = \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor$ , and  $Q_3^{(N)}(0) = 0$ . Define  $\sigma_0^{(N)} := 0$ , and for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)} &:= \inf\{t \geq \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} : Q_2^{(N)}(t) \leq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor\}, \\
\sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)} &:= \inf\{t \geq \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)} : Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor\}, \\
K_T^{(N)} &:= \inf\{k : \sigma_{2k}^{(N)} \geq N^{2\varepsilon}T\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Fix  $B \geq (B_1 \vee 2\beta \vee 5)$ , where  $B_1$  was introduced in Lemma 3.7.

**Lemma 3.14.** Recall  $\tilde{N}_B$  from Lemma 3.3. There exist  $x_B \geq 2B$  such that for all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \geq x \geq x_B$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \leq c_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* x\} + c_3^* \exp\{-c_4^* N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}\},$$

where  $c_j^*$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , are constants that do not depend on  $N, x$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \geq x \geq x_B$ , where  $x_B \geq 2B$  will be chosen later. Define the stopping time

$$\hat{\sigma}_i^{(N)} := \inf\{s \geq \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} : Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \text{ or } Q_2^{(N)}(s) \leq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor\}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Note that, as  $x \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ , when  $Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0$ ,  $Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \hat{\sigma}_i^{(N)}]$ , and in that case, we have  $S^{(N)}(t) = N - I^{(N)}(t) + Q_2^{(N)}(t)$ . Therefore, we obtain for  $t \in [0, \hat{\sigma}_i^{(N)} - \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2^{(N)}(t + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) - I^{(N)}(t + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) - (Q_2^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) - I^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)})) &= S^{(N)}(t + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) - S^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \\ &= \left[ A\left((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})(t + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)})\right) - A\left((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}\right) \right] - D\left(\int_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}^{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}+t} (N - I^{(N)}(s)) ds\right) \\ &= \left[ \hat{A}\left((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})(t + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)})\right) - \hat{A}\left((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}\right) \right] - \hat{D}\left(\int_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}^{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}+t} (N - I^{(N)}(s)) ds\right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}^{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}+t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{A}(s) = A(s) - s$  and  $\hat{D}(s) = D(s) - s$ .

Using the above and the strong Markov property at time  $\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} (Q_2^{(N)}(s) - I^{(N)}(s)) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\sigma}_i^{(N)} - \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} \geq N^{2\varepsilon} t \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} + N^{2\varepsilon} t]} (Q_2^{(N)}(s) - I^{(N)}(s)) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq N^{2\varepsilon} t) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon} t]} \left(2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) + \int_0^s I^{(N)}(u) du - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} s\right) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) := \hat{A}\left((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s\right) - \hat{D}\left(\int_0^s (N - I^{(N)}(u)) du\right)$ , and the first equality above is due to the fact that  $Q_2^{(N)}$  can increase only when  $I^{(N)} = 0$ . Now, for the first term in the above bound,



observe that by Proposition 3.10, for  $B \geq B_1$ ,  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ , and  $t \geq t_0 \vee \frac{8B}{\beta}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq N^{2\varepsilon}t) \leq 4e^{-c'_0 t} + c'_1 \exp\{-c'_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\} + c'_3 N^{4\varepsilon} t \exp\{-c'_4 \sqrt{B} N^{2\varepsilon} t\}. \quad (3.33)$$

For the second term on the right side of (3.32),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}t]} \left( 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) + \int_0^s I^{(N)}(u) du - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} s \right) \geq x N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(u) du > \frac{\beta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t \right) + \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}t]} \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) \geq (x - \frac{\beta t}{2} - 2B) N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(u) du > \frac{\beta}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} t \right) + \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}t]} \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) \geq (x - \frac{\beta t}{2} - 2B) N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.7 (i) for the first term and Lemma 3.2 for the second term in the above bound, we have that for  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,  $t \geq t_0$  and  $x - \frac{\beta t}{2} - 2B \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}t]} \left( 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) + \int_0^s I^{(N)}(u) du - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} s \right) \geq x N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq c_1 \exp\{-c_2 B^{\frac{1}{5}} N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} t^{\frac{1}{5}}\} + 2 \exp\{-c_3 (x - \beta t/2 - 2B)^2/t\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

for positive constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  not depending on  $x, t, N$ .

Thus, taking  $t = \frac{x}{\beta}$ , for  $x \geq x_B := (t_0 \beta \vee 8B)$ , and putting the upper bounds in (3.33) and (3.34) into (3.32), the result holds for appropriately chosen constants.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.15.** *There exist constants  $x', N' > 0$ ,  $c', \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2$  and  $c_i^*, i = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , depending only on  $B$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_B$ ,  $x \geq x'_B$ ,  $T \geq x^{-1}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}T]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq x N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \exp\{-c' T x\} + T x (\bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\} + c_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* x\} + c_3^* \exp\{-c_4^* N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}\}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Define  $\phi_i^{(N)} = \mathbb{1}[\sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} \geq N^{2\varepsilon}]$ . For  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{(N)}(s + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \\ & = N + \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \\ & + \left[ A \left( (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})(s + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \right) - A \left( (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})\sigma_{2i}^{(N)} \right) \right] - D \left( \int_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}^{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}+s} (N - I^{(N)}(u)) du \right) \\ & = N + \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor + \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) + \int_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}^{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}+s} I^{(N)}(u) du - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} s \\ & + \left[ \hat{A} \left( (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})(s + \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \right) - \hat{A} \left( (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})\sigma_{2i}^{(N)} \right) \right] - \hat{D} \left( \int_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}^{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}+s} (N - I^{(N)}(u)) du \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where  $\hat{A}(s) = A(s) - s$  and  $\hat{D}(s) = D(s) - s$ . Recall that

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) = \hat{A}\left((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})s\right) - \hat{D}\left(\int_0^s (N - I^{(N)}(u))du\right) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

For  $B \geq (2\beta \vee 5)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\phi_i^{(N)} = 0 \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} + N^{2\varepsilon}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \leq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} + N^{2\varepsilon}]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \leq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_3^{(N)}(s) = 0 \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_3^{(N)}(s) > 0 \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} + N^{2\varepsilon}]} S^{(N)}(s) \leq N + \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B)]} \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) > 0\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}]} (\lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor + \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}s) \leq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor\right) + \bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}]} \hat{\mathcal{M}}(s) \leq -\frac{B}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right) + \bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\} \\ & \leq \frac{8N^{1+2\varepsilon}}{B^2N^{1+2\varepsilon}} + \bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for sufficient large } N, \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality uses the strong Markov property for the second term and the observation that  $S^{(N)}(s) \leq N + Q_2^{(N)}(s)$  if  $Q_3^{(N)}(s) = 0$  for the first term. The fourth inequality is due to the strong Markov property, (3.35) and Lemma 3.9, and the last inequality follows from Doob's  $L^2$ -maximal inequality. Thus,  $\mathbb{E}(\phi_i^{(N)} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0) \geq 1/2$  for sufficiently large  $N$ . Now, for  $T > 0$ , recall  $K_T^{(N)} = \inf\{k : \sigma_{2k}^{(N)} \geq N^{2\varepsilon}T\}$ . By Azuma's inequality and Lemma 3.9, taking  $a \geq 8$ ,  $T \geq a^{-1}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(K_T^{(N)} \geq aT\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor aT \rfloor} (\sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor aT \rfloor} \phi_i^{(N)} \leq T \text{ and } \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_3^{(N)}(s) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor aT \rfloor\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\exists 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor aT \rfloor, \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_3^{(N)}(s) > 0\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor aT \rfloor} \left(\phi_i^{(N)} - \mathbb{E}(\phi_i^{(N)} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0)\right) \leq -\frac{aT}{8}\right. \\
&\quad \text{and } \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_3^{(N)}(s) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor aT \rfloor \Big) \\
&\quad + aT \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_3^{(N)}(s) > 0 \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\
&\leq e^{-\bar{c}'aT} + aT\bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Take  $a = x$ . Then, using (3.37), Lemma 3.14, and union bound, for  $N \geq \tilde{N}_B$ ,  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \geq x \geq (x_B \vee 8)$ ,  $T \geq x^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, N^{2\epsilon}T]} Q_2^{(N)}(s) \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(K_T^{(N)} \geq xT) + Tx \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)}]} Q_2^{(N)} \geq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \mid Q_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) = 0\right) \\
&\leq \exp\{-\bar{c}'Tx\} + Tx\left(\bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5}\} + c_1^* \exp\{-c_2^*x\} + c_3^* \exp\{-c_4^* N^{\frac{4\epsilon}{5}} x^{\frac{1}{5}}\}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition.  $\square$

## 4 Steady state analysis

Our goal is to identify points in the state space which are hit infinitely often by the process and the length between successive hitting times has a finite expectation. This will provide a renewal-theoretic representation (see (4.24)) of the stationary measure. Throughout this section, we will choose and fix a number  $B = B_0$  as the maximum of the lower bounds on  $B$  given in the results in Section 3.

Recall the stopping times  $\sigma_0^{(N)} = 0$ , and for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)} &= \inf\{t \geq \sigma_{2i}^{(N)} : Q_2^{(N)}(t) \leq \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor\}, \\
\sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)} &= \inf\{t \geq \sigma_{2i+1}^{(N)} : Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

and define

$$\bar{K}^{(N)} := \inf\{k \geq 1 : \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2k}^{(N)}) = 0\}.$$

Write  $\Theta := \sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)}$ . Note that  $I^{(N)}(\Theta) = 0$ ,  $Q_2^{(N)}(\Theta) = \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor$  and  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\Theta) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Theta$  is a renewal time point.

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exist  $N_0, c_1^*, c_2^* > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_0, k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, 0)}(\bar{K}^{(N)} \geq 1 + k + k^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) \leq kc_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/11}\} \exp\left\{-c_2^* (k/N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{11}}\right\} + 2^{-k}.$$

The following lemma will be used to prove Lemma 4.1. Define  $\bar{K}^* := \inf\{k \geq 1 : \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2k}^{(N)}) \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}\}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exist  $N_0, c_1^*, c_2^* > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_0, k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sup_{0 \leq \underline{z} \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{K}^* \geq 1 + kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) \leq c_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/11}\} \exp\left\{-c_2^* (k/N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{11}}\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Define  $\bar{Z}_i^{(N)} := \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)})$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{K}^* \geq 1 + kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) \\ & \leq \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i \bar{Z}_j^{(N)} > \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon} - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0), \forall 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

To estimate (4.2), define  $\Phi_i^{(N)} := \mathbb{1}[\bar{Z}_i^{(N)} \leq -(\frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon} \wedge \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}))]$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . By Lemma 3.9 (ii), for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\inf_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{E}_{(0, 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}, \underline{z})} (\Phi_i^{(N)} | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}}) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Using Azuma-Hoeffding inequality, we get for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} \Phi_j^{(N)} \leq \frac{kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{3} \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} (\Phi_j^{(N)} - \mathbb{E}(\Phi_j^{(N)} | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{2j}^{(N)}})) \leq -\frac{kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{6} \right) \leq e^{-ckN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for some  $c > 0$ , where in the first inequality, we have used (4.3). Therefore, for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i \bar{Z}_j^{(N)} > \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon} - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0), \forall 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1 \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} \left( \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon} \right) \Phi_j^{(N)} > 0 \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} \Phi_j^{(N)} \leq \frac{kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{3} \right) \\ & \quad + \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} k \right) \\ & \leq e^{-ckN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} + \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} k \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where the last inequality uses (4.4). Note that, by Lemma 3.9 (i), for any  $j \geq 1, x > 0$ , and sufficient large  $N$ ,

$$\sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{[\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \geq x \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{2j}^{(N)}}\right) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5}} e^{-c_3 x^{1/5}}, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$  are constants. Choose  $N_1$  sufficiently large such that (4.3) and (4.6) hold, and for  $N \geq N_1$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5}} e^{-c_3 x^{1/5}} dx \leq \frac{B}{4 \times 12\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}.$$

Take any  $N \geq N_1$ , and  $k \geq 1$ . We will use Lemma 3.5 with

$$\theta = \frac{1}{5}, r = \underline{z}, R = \{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}\}, a = \frac{B}{12\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}, n = \lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor,$$

and

$$\Phi_j^{(r)} = \frac{[\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}},$$

with starting configuration  $(I^{(N)}(0), Q_2^{(N)}(0), \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0)) = (0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})$ , and associated filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_j^{(r)} : j \geq 1, r \in R\}$  being the natural filtration generated by the above random variables. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} k \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{r \in R} \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{j=0}^n \Phi_j^{(r)} \geq an \right) \leq c'_1 \left( 1 + \frac{(\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor)^{5/11}}{\left(\frac{B}{12\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{11}}} \right) \exp \left[ -c'_2 \left( \frac{k}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right] \\ & \leq c''_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \exp \left[ -c''_2 \left( \frac{k}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover, for any  $k \geq 1$ , from (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} k \right) \\ & \leq kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P} \left( [\bar{Z}_0^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{2\epsilon} \right) \\ & \leq kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} c_1 \exp \left\{ -c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Hence, (4.7) and (4.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} k \right) \\ & \leq \min \left\{ c_1'' N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \exp \left[ -c_2'' \left( \frac{k}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right], kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} c_1 \exp \left\{ -c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Note that, there exists a constant  $N_2$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_2$  and  $k \leq N^{1-2\epsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} c_1 \exp \left[ -c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right] \\ & = \left[ kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} c_1 \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_2}{2} N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right\} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2}{2} N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right] \\ & \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2}{4} N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2}{2} N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right] \\ & \leq \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2}{4} k^{\frac{1}{10}} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2}{2} N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/5} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and for  $k \geq N^{1-2\epsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \exp \left[ -c_2'' \left( \frac{k}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right] \\ & \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2''}{2} N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/11} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{c_2''}{2} \left( \frac{k}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exist constants  $\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}' > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_1 \vee N_2$  and  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1} [\bar{Z}_j^{(N)}]_+ \geq \frac{B}{12\beta} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} k \right) \\ & \leq \tilde{c} \exp \left\{ -\tilde{c}' N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/11} \right\} \exp \left\{ -\tilde{c}' (k/N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{11}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Finally, using (4.2) and plugging (4.10) into (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} (\bar{K}^* \geq 1 + kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) \\ & \leq \sup_{\underline{z}: \sum_i z_i \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \rfloor, \underline{z})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i \bar{Z}_j^{(N)} > \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon} - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0), \forall 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor - 1 \right) \\ & \leq c_1^* \exp \left\{ -c_2^* N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon)/11} \right\} \exp \left\{ -c_2^* (k/N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{11}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1^*$  and  $c_2^*$  are appropriate constants. □

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.* Define  $\bar{K}_0^* := 0$  and for  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$\bar{K}_{j+1}^* := \inf \left\{ l \geq \bar{K}_j^* + 1 : \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2l}^{(N)}) \leq \frac{B}{4\beta} N^{2\epsilon} \right\}.$$



Define  $\chi_j^{(N)} := \mathbb{1}[\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2\bar{K}_j^*+2}^{(N)} = 0]$ ,  $j \geq 0$ . By Lemma 3.9 (ii),

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\chi_j^{(N)} = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{2\bar{K}_j^*}^{(N)}}) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall j \geq 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Thus, there exist constants  $c_2^*, N_0 > 0$ , such that for  $k \geq 1, N \geq N_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{K}^{(N)} \geq 1 + k + k^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{K}_{j+1}^* - \bar{K}_j^* \geq 1 + kN^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\chi_j^{(N)} = 0, \forall 0 \leq j \leq k-1) \\ & \leq kc_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11}\} \exp\left\{-c_2^* (k/N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{11}}\right\} + 2^{-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma 4.2 and the inequality in (4.11).  $\square$

Recall  $\Theta = \sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)}$ . The next proposition gives tail estimates for  $\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta > N^{2\varepsilon}t)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *There exist constants  $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, N_0, t_0 > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_0, t \geq t_0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta > N^{2\varepsilon}t) \leq \bar{c}_1 e^{-\bar{c}_2 t^{1/5}} + \bar{c}_1 e^{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11}} e^{-\bar{c}_2 \left(\frac{t}{N^{4(1/2-\varepsilon)}}\right)^{1/44}}.$$

*Proof.* Note that for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta > N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2) + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{K}^{(N)} > 1 + k + k^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)} - \sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2, 1 < \bar{K}^{(N)} \leq 1 + k + k^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

We will upper bound each of the above terms. Note that there exist  $t_0, N_0 > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_0, x > 0$  and  $t \geq t_0 \vee 8\beta^{-1}(B+x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mathbb{Z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Z})}(\sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2) \\ & \leq \sup_{\mathbb{Z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Z})}(\sigma_1^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/4) \\ & \quad + \sup_{\mathbb{Z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Z})}(\sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2, \sigma_1^{(N)} \leq N^{2\varepsilon}t/4) \\ & \leq ce^{-c'\sqrt{t}} + ce^{-c'N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}}t^{1/5}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where the last inequality is from Proposition 3.10 and Proposition 3.12. Write  $l(k, N) = 1 + k + k^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . By Lemma 4.1, for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{K}^{(N)} \geq l(k, N)) \leq kc_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11}\} \exp\left\{-c_2^* (k/N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{11}}\right\} + 2^{-k}. \quad (4.14)$$

Next, recall  $\bar{Z}_j^{(N)} = \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2j+2}) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2j})$ . Then we have for any  $x > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)} - \sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2, 1 < \bar{K}^{(N)} \leq l(k, N)) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l(k, N)} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2j+2}^{(N)} - \sigma_{2j}^{(N)} > \frac{N^{2\varepsilon}t}{2l(k, N)}, \bar{Z}_0^{(N)} > 0) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l(k, N)} \left( \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{Z}_j^{(N)} > xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2j+2}^{(N)} - \sigma_{2j}^{(N)} > \frac{N^{2\varepsilon}t}{2l(k, N)}, \bar{Z}_0^{(N)} > 0, \bar{Z}_j^{(N)} \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

By Lemma 3.9 (i), for all large enough  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l(k, N)} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{Z}_j^{(N)} > xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \leq c_1 l(k, N) e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} e^{-c_2 x^{1/5}}. \quad (4.16)$$

For all  $N$  large enough,  $1 \leq j \leq l(k, N)$ ,  $x > 0$  and  $t \geq [t_0 \vee 8\beta^{-1}(B+x)]l(k, N)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2j+2}^{(N)} - \sigma_{2j}^{(N)} > \frac{N^{2\varepsilon}t}{2l(k, N)}, \bar{Z}_0^{(N)} > 0, \bar{Z}_j^{(N)} \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{Z}_0^{(N)} > 0) \cdot \sup_{\mathbb{Z}: \sum_i z_i \leq xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Z})}(\sigma_2^{(N)} > \frac{N^{2\varepsilon}t}{2l(k, N)}) \\ & \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} \left[ ce^{-c' \left(\frac{t}{l(k, N)}\right)^{1/2}} + ce^{-c' N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} \left(\frac{t}{l(k, N)}\right)^{1/5}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where the first inequality is due to the strong Markov property and the last inequality is due to Lemma 3.9 and (4.13). Hence, taking  $x = \frac{t}{2l(k, N)}$  and using (4.16) and (4.17) in (4.15), we have for  $t \geq 2(t_0 + 8\beta^{-1}B)l(k, N)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)} - \sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2, 1 < \bar{K}^{(N)} \leq l(k, N)) \leq c_1 l(k, N) e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} e^{-c_3 \left(\frac{t}{l(k, N)}\right)^{1/5}}. \quad (4.18)$$

Also, for  $t_0 \leq t \leq 2(t_0 + 8\beta^{-1}B)l(k, N)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)} - \sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2, 1 < \bar{K}^{(N)} \leq l(k, N)) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\bar{Z}_0^{(N)} > 0) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Combining (4.18) and (4.19), we have for all  $t \geq t_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\sigma_{2\bar{K}^{(N)}}^{(N)} - \sigma_2^{(N)} > N^{2\varepsilon}t/2, 1 < \bar{K}^{(N)} \leq l(k, N)) \leq c'_1 l(k, N) e^{-c'_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} e^{-c'_3 \left(\frac{t}{l(k, N)}\right)^{1/5}}. \quad (4.20)$$

Finally, taking  $k = t^{1/4}$  and  $l(k, N) = 1 + t^{1/4} + \sqrt{t}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ , we obtain the proposition by plugging (4.13), (4.14), and (4.20), into (4.12).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *There exist  $N_0, c, c' > 0$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta^2) &\leq cN^{4\varepsilon}, \\ \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta) &\geq c'N^{2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Take  $t_0$  as in Proposition 4.3. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta^2/N^{4\varepsilon}) \leq t_0^2 + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta > N^{2\varepsilon}\sqrt{t}) dt.$$

The upper bound on  $\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta^2)$  now follows from Proposition 4.3. To obtain the lower bound on  $\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta)$ , recall  $\tau_s^{(N)}$  from (3.1) and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\Theta) &\geq \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \mathbb{1}[\tau_2^{(N)}(B) < \tau_2^{(N)}(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \mathbb{1}[\tau_2^{(N)}(B) < \tau_s^{(N)}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})]) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \mathbb{1}[\tau_2^{(N)}(B) < \tau_s^{(N)}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})]) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \mathbb{1}[\tau_s^{(N)}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \tau_2^{(N)}(B)]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Now, for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \geq N^{2\varepsilon}t) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\inf_{s \leq t} S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s) \geq \lfloor N + BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\inf_{s \leq t} (S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s) - S^{(N)}(0)) \geq \lfloor N + BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor - \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \mathbb{Q})}(\inf_{s \leq t} (A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})N^{2\varepsilon}s) - D(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s} (N - I^{(N)}(u))du)) \geq -BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \inf_{s \leq t} (\hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})N^{2\varepsilon}s) - \hat{D}(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s} (N - I^{(N)}(u))du) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}s) \geq -\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \inf_{s \leq t} (\hat{A}((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})N^{2\varepsilon}s) - \hat{D}(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s} (N - I^{(N)}(u))du) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}s) \geq (\beta t - B)N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{A}(s) = A(s) - s$  and  $\hat{D}(s) = D(s) - s$ . Using Lemma 3.2 in the above lower bound, there exist  $\underline{t} > 0, \underline{N} > 0$  such that for all  $t \leq \underline{t}, N \geq \underline{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) > N^{2\varepsilon}t \right) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\underline{t}N^{2\varepsilon}. \quad (4.22)$$

Next, we will show that the second term in the bound (4.21) is much smaller than the first term. To show this, recall the stopping times  $\{\sigma_j^{(N)}\}$  from (3.31) and define  $\hat{K}^{(N)} := \inf\{k \geq 0 : \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2k+1}^{(N)}) = 0\}$ . Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 readily extend to  $\hat{K}^{(N)}$  in place of  $K^{(N)}$  and  $\sigma_{2\hat{K}^{(N)}+1}^{(N)}$  in place of  $\Theta$ . Hence, using the same argument to bound the second moment of  $\Theta^2/N^{4\varepsilon}$ , we obtain  $\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left[ \left( \sigma_{2\hat{K}^{(N)}+1}^{(N)} \right)^2 \right] \leq cN^{4\varepsilon}$ . Now, observe that

$$\tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \leq \sigma_{2\hat{K}^{(N)}+1}^{(N)}.$$

Using this observation along with Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(B + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \mathbb{1}[\tau_s^{(N)}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \tau_2^{(N)}(B)] \right) \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left[ \left( \sigma_{2\hat{K}^{(N)}+1}^{(N)} \right)^2 \right]} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \right)} \\
&\leq cN^{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \right)} \\
&\leq cN^{2\varepsilon} \left( c \exp\left(-c'N^{4\varepsilon/5}N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\right) + c \exp\left(-c'N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right) \right), \quad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from Lemma 3.8. Finally, the lower bound on  $\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})}(\Theta)$  claimed in the corollary is established by plugging (4.22) and (4.23) into (4.21).  $\square$

We introduce the following representation of the stationary measure

$$\pi(S^{(N)} \geq x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \int_0^\Theta \mathbb{1}(S^{(N)}(u) \geq x) du \right)}{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})}(\Theta)}. \quad (4.24)$$

This representation, combined with our estimates on  $\Theta$  and the tail estimates for excursions of  $S^{(N)}(\cdot)$ , translates to the steady-state tail behavior stated in Theorem 2.7.

*Proof of Theorem 2.7.* Note that due to (4.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\left(S^{(N)} \geq N + xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right) &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\mathbf{1}\left(\tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta\right)\Theta\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}(\Theta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}(\Theta^2)} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta\right)}{\mathbb{E}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}(\Theta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Recall  $t_0$  from Lemma 3.8. For  $x \in [t_0\beta + 2B, N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta\right) &= \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \tau_2^{(N)}(B)\right) \\ &\leq c \exp\{-c'x\} + c \exp\{-c'N^{4\varepsilon/5}x^{1/5}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where the inequality is due to Lemma 3.8. The bound of (4.26) can be extended to  $x \in [4B, N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}]$  by adjusting the constants. Moreover, for  $x \in (N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}]$ , by Lemma 3.9 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B)]} (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0)) > 0\right) \\ &\leq c_1 \exp\left\{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\right\} \leq c_1 \exp\left\{-c'_2 x^{1/5}\right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

for positive constants  $c_1, c_2, c'_2$ . Next, consider  $x \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . Write

$$\bar{Z}_{*i}^{(N)} := \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}]} (\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}))_+, \quad i \geq 0.$$

Take any  $k \geq 1$ . Recall  $l(k, N) = 1 + k + k^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\bar{K}^{(N)} \geq l(k, N)\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\sup_{0 \leq i \leq l(k, N)} \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}]} \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2}, \bar{Z}_{*0}^{(N)} > 0\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

By Lemma 4.1, for sufficiently large  $N$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)}\left(\bar{K}^{(N)} \geq l(k, N)\right) \leq c_1 k e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11}} e^{-c_2 \left(\frac{k}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}\right)^{1/11}} + 2^{-k}. \quad (4.29)$$

Also, note that since  $\bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}]} \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) &\leq \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}]} \left( \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left( \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2j+2}^{(N)}) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2j}^{(N)}) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^i \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2j}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2j+2}^{(N)}]} \left( \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) - \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(\sigma_{2j}^{(N)}) \right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^i \bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \sup_{0 \leq i \leq l(k, N)} \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}]} \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2}, \bar{Z}_{*0}^{(N)} > 0 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l(k, N)-1} \bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)} \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Letting  $k = k(x) = \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor$ , we have  $l'(x, n) := l(k(x), N) = 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor + \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor^2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . Note that, by Lemma 3.9 (i), for any  $j \geq 1$ ,  $x > 0$ , and sufficient large  $N$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \frac{\bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \geq x \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{2j}^{(N)}} \right) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} e^{-c_3 x^{1/5}}, \quad (4.31)$$

where  $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$  are constants. Also, there exists an  $N''$  such that for all  $N \geq N''$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} e^{-c_3 x^{1/5}} dx \leq \frac{x}{4 \times 2l'(x, N)}.$$

Take any  $N \geq N''$ . We will use Lemma 3.5 with trivial indexing set  $R$  and

$$\theta = \frac{1}{5}, a = \frac{x}{2l'(x, N)}, n = l'(x, N), \text{ and } \hat{\Phi}_j = \frac{\bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}$$

with starting configuration  $(I^{(N)}(0), Q_2^{(N)}(0), \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(0)) = (0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})$ , and associated filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_j : j \geq 1\}$  being the natural filtration generated by the above random variables. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l'(x, N)-1} \bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)} \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{j=0}^n \hat{\Phi}_j \geq an \right) \leq c'_1 \left( 1 + \frac{(l'(x, N))^{5/11}}{\left( \frac{x}{2l'(x, N)} \right)^{1/11}} \right) \exp \left[ -c'_2 \left( \frac{x^2}{4l'(x, N)} \right)^{1/11} \right] \\ &\leq \hat{c}'_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \exp \left\{ -\hat{c}'_2 \left( \frac{x}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Moreover, for any  $k \geq 1$ , from Lemma 3.9 (i),

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l'(x,N)-1} \bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)} \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2} \right) \leq l'(x,N) \mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_{*0}^{(N)} > 0) \leq c_1 x N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \exp \{ -c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5} \}. \quad (4.33)$$

Using (4.32) and (4.33) and proceeding exactly as in deriving (4.10) from (4.9), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{l'(x,N)-1} \bar{Z}_{*j}^{(N)} \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2} \right) \leq c_1'' \exp \{ -c_2'' N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11} \} \exp \left\{ -c_2'' \left( \frac{x}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right\}, \quad (4.34)$$

for positive constants  $c_1'', c_2''$ . Plugging (4.34) into (4.30), we have that for all  $N \geq N''$ ,  $x \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq i \leq l(k,N)} \sup_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}^{(N)}, \sigma_{2i+2}^{(N)}]} \bar{Q}_3^{(N)}(s) \geq \frac{xN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}{2}, \bar{Z}_{*0}^{(N)} > 0 \right) \\ \leq c_1'' \exp \{ -c_2'' N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11} \} \exp \left\{ -c_2'' \left( \frac{x}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Moreover, plugging (4.35) and (4.29) (with  $k = \lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor$ ) into (4.28), we have that for sufficiently large  $N$ , for  $x \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta \right) \\ \leq \bar{c} \exp \left\{ -\bar{c}' \left( N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/11} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{x}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/11} \right) \right\} + \bar{c} e^{-\bar{c}' \sqrt{x}} \\ \leq \bar{c} \exp \{ -2\bar{c}' x^{1/44} \} + \bar{c} e^{-\bar{c}' \sqrt{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Equations (4.26), (4.27) and (4.36) imply that there exist  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and positive constants  $C'_1, C'_2$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor 2BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \tau_s^{(N)}(x + N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) < \Theta \right) \leq \begin{cases} C'_1 \exp \{ -C'_2 x^{1/5} \}, & 4B \leq x \leq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ C'_1 \exp \{ -C'_2 x^{1/44} \}, & x \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \quad (4.37)$$

Thus, the theorem follows upon using (4.37) and Corollary 4.4 in (4.25).  $\square$

## 5 Proof of process-level limit

In this section, we will analyze the process-level limit of the scaled occupancy process, and in particular, prove Theorem 2.4. The main ingredient in establishing Theorem 2.4 is to analyze the idle-server process  $I^{(N)}$ . We start with the martingale representation of the process  $X^{(N)}$ .



**Martingale representation.** Let  $A(\cdot)$  and  $D(\cdot)$  be two independent unit-rate Poisson processes. We will write the arrival and departure processes as random time change of  $A$  and  $D$  respectively; see [25, Section 2.1]. Hence, the arrival and departure processes can be written as  $A(N\lambda_N t)$  (recall  $\lambda_N = 1 - \frac{\beta}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}$ ) and  $D\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} (N - I^{(N)}(s))ds\right)$  respectively. Let us introduce the related filtrations  $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_{N,t} : N \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, \infty]\}$  where

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,t} := \sigma\left(S^{(N)}(0), A(N^{1+2\varepsilon}\lambda_N s), D\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}s} (N - I^{(N)}(u))du\right), 0 \leq s \leq t\right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_{N,\infty} := \sigma(\cup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{N,t})$ . Recall  $X^{(N)}(t) = (S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}t) - N)/N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where  $S^{(N)}$  is the total queue length process. We write

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(N)}(t) - X^{(N)}(0) &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \left[ A(N^{1+2\varepsilon}\lambda_N t) - D\left(\int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} (N - I^{(N)}(s))ds\right) \right] \\ &= \mathcal{M}_a^{(N)}(\lambda_N t) - \mathcal{M}_d^{(N)}\left(t - \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s)ds\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s)ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \quad (5.3)$$

$$- \beta t + \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_a^{(N)}(t) = \frac{A(N^{1+2\varepsilon}t) - N^{1+2\varepsilon}t}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_d^{(N)}(t) = \frac{D(N^{1+2\varepsilon}t) - N^{1+2\varepsilon}t}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{M}_a^{(N)}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_d^{(N)}(t)$  are martingales adapted to the filtration  $\mathbf{F}$ . We will proceed by first showing in Proposition 5.4 that the integral in (5.2) converges to 0 uniformly on any (scaled) finite time interval. Using this, we will be able to show that the difference of martingales in (5.2) convergence weakly to  $\sqrt{2}W$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $W$  is the standard Brownian motion. The next major challenge is to show that the difference of the two terms in (5.3) converges to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This is achieved in Proposition 5.5. Finally, a continuous mapping theorem-type result will complete the proof of Theorem 2.4.

In its core, the analysis of  $I^{(N)}$  will be done by upper and lower bounding it with suitable birth-and-death processes. Now, for any fixed  $B > 0$ , recall the stopping time  $\tau_2^{(N)}(B)$  from (3.1) and the process  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$  from (3.2), and note that if  $Q_2^{(N)}(0) > BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , then for all  $t \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ ,  $I^{(N)}(t)$  can be stochastically upper bounded by  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)$  with  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = I^{(N)}(0)$ . As before, throughout we assume  $N$  to be large enough so that  $N > BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} > \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . We emphasize that, unlike in Section 3, we will be interested in *small* values of  $B$  for the process level limit and thus cannot directly apply the estimates in Section 3 which deals with *large* values of  $B$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *There exist  $N_0, a, b > 0$  depending only on  $T, B$ , and  $\beta$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \mid \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = 0\right) \leq ae^{-bN^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows in three steps: first, we upper bound the tail probability of the stationary distribution of  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$ . Next, we upper bound the tail probability of  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)$  when  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0)$  is a random variable having the same distribution as the steady state of  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$ , and finally, we consider  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)$  when  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = 0$ .

**Claim 5.2.** Let  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\infty)$  denote a random variable having stationary distribution of  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$ . Then there exist constants  $N'_0$ ,  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ , that only depend on  $B$  and  $\beta$ , such that for all  $N \geq N'_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\infty) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) \leq a_1 e^{-b_1 N^\delta}.$$

*Proof.* Note that the stationary distribution of  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$  is given by  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) = k) = (1-\rho)\rho^k$  for  $k \geq 0$ , where  $\rho = \frac{N-BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{N-\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}$ . Therefore, there exists  $N'_0 > 0$  such that for all  $N \geq N'_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\infty) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) = \left( \frac{N-BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{N-\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} = \left( 1 - \frac{BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{N-\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right)^{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} \leq a_1 e^{-b_1 N^\delta},$$

for appropriate constants  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  that depend only on  $B$  and  $\beta$ .  $\square$

**Claim 5.3.** Assume that  $\{\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t), t \geq 0\}$  is an equilibrium process. Then there exist positive constants  $N_1, a_2$ , and  $b_2$  which only depend on  $T, B$  and  $\beta$  such that for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) \leq a_2 e^{-b_2 N^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $j = \lceil \frac{N^{2\epsilon}T}{N^{-\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \rceil$  and consider the times  $t_i = iN^{-\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ . Denote the number of increments in  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}$  in a subinterval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$  by  $\zeta_i^{(N)}$ . Then  $\zeta_i^{(N)}$  has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} - BN^\delta$ . For any Poisson random variable  $\text{Po}(\lambda)$  with parameter  $\lambda$ , we have (see [22, Theorem 2.3(b)]) that for  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{Po}(\lambda) - \lambda \geq \xi\lambda) \leq e^{-\frac{3}{8}\xi^2\lambda}.$$

Hence, for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\zeta_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) \leq \mathbb{P}(\text{Po}(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}}.$$

Take  $N'_0$  as in Claim 5.2. Since we are considering the equilibrium process, due to Claim 5.2, we know for all  $N \geq N'_0$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) \leq a_1 e^{-b_1 N^\delta}.$$

Now, note that

$$\left\{ \sup_{t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t_i) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \right\} \cup \left\{ \zeta_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \right\},$$

for  $i = 0, 2, \dots, j-1$ , and we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \mathbb{P}(\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t_i) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \mathbb{P}(\zeta_i^{(N)} \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}) \\ &\leq (N^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon-\delta}T + 1)(a_1e^{-b_1N^\delta} + e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exist constants  $a_2, b_2 > 0$ , and  $N_1 \geq N'_0$ , which depend only on  $B, \beta$ , and  $T$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) \leq a_2e^{-b_2N^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}.$$

□

Finally, the proof for  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = 0$  follows from Claim 5.3 by observing that for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \mid \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = 0\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \mid \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = k\right).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

**Proposition 5.4.** Fix any  $T > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ , and take  $N_0$  as in Lemma 5.1. For any  $K_1 > 0$ , there exist constants  $N_1, a, b > 0$  that depend only on  $B, \beta$ , and  $T$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_1, x \leq K_1N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}, y \geq BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ ,

$$\sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq (N^{2\epsilon}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) \leq ae^{-bN^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}, \quad (5.5)$$

and consequently, for all  $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1+2\epsilon}} \int_0^{(N^{2\epsilon}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} I^{(N)}(s) ds \geq \tilde{\epsilon}\right) = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof.* Recall  $N_0$  as in Lemma 5.1. Take  $N_1 \geq N_0$  such that  $\frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \geq K_1N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$  and consider  $N \geq N_1$ . Note that for any  $x \leq K_1N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}, y \geq BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$  and  $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{N}_0^\infty$  with  $z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq (N^{2\epsilon}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \left(K_1N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} + \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)\right) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\epsilon}T} \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) \geq \frac{5}{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}\right) \\ &\leq ae^{-bN^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows from the fact that for  $t \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ , the process  $I^{(N)}(\cdot)$  starting from  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  is stochastically dominated by  $K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} + \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(\cdot)$ . The last inequality follows from Lemma 5.1. Next, for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,  $y \geq B N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , and feasible  $\underline{z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})} \left( \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{(N^{2\varepsilon}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} I^{(N)}(s) ds \geq 5N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} T \right) \\ \leq \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq (N^{2\varepsilon}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} I^{(N)}(s) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \leq a e^{-bN^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, (5.6) holds for any  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *Under the assumptions on the initial conditions stated in Theorem 2.4, the following holds as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge (N^{-2\varepsilon} \tau_2^{(N)}(B))} \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

The proof of Proposition 5.5 is given in Appendix A.

**Lemma 5.6.** *The stochastic differential equation*

$$dX(t) = \left( \frac{1}{X} - \beta \right) dt + \sqrt{2} dW(t), \quad (5.7)$$

with  $X(0) > 0$ , has a path-wise unique strong solution. Also, if  $\tau_\varepsilon := \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) \leq \varepsilon\}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\tau := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau_\varepsilon$ , then  $\tau = \infty$  almost surely.

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the process  $X(t)$ , for  $t \leq \tau_\varepsilon$  satisfies an SDE with Lipschitz coefficients. Such SDE are known to have path-wise unique strong solutions (see [27, Theorem V.11.2]).

Next, to show that  $\tau = \infty$  almost surely, consider the SDE

$$d\hat{X}(t) = \frac{1}{\hat{X}(t)} + \sqrt{2} dW(t),$$

and define the analogous quantities  $\hat{\tau}_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\hat{\tau}$  for  $\hat{X}$ . Note that  $\frac{\hat{X}(t)}{\sqrt{2}}$  is a Bessel process of dimension 2. By Girsanov's Theorem, we can add and remove the drift  $\beta t$  to  $\hat{X}$  with an exponential change of measure. Hence, the law of  $X$  and that of  $\hat{X}$  are mutually absolutely continuous on compact time intervals. For  $n \geq 2$ , the  $n$ -dimensional Bessel process is transient from its starting point with probability one, i.e., the  $n$ -dimensional Bessel process will be greater than 0 for all  $t > 0$  almost surely [16]. Thus, for any  $a > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\tau \leq a) = \mathbb{P}(\hat{\tau} \leq a) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\tau = \infty$  almost surely.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* We will proceed as in the proof of [12, Theorem 1], using Proposition 5.5 stated above in place of their Proposition EC.3. Recall the martingale representation of  $X^{(N)}$

in (5.2)–(5.4). First consider (5.2). By the assumption on  $\lambda_N$  and Proposition B.1, we have that, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lambda_N t \rightarrow t \text{ and } t - \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} t,$$

uniformly on the interval  $[0, T]$ . By the Martingale FCLT [29] and the independence of  $\mathcal{M}_a$  and  $\mathcal{M}_d$ , we have that as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\left\{ \mathcal{M}_a^{(N)}(\lambda_N t) - \mathcal{M}_d^{(N)}\left(t - \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds\right) : t \geq 0 \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \sqrt{2}W(t) : t \geq 0 \right\},$$

where  $W$  is a standard Brownian motion. Next, we will consider (5.3). For any fixed  $B > 0$ , define  $\hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X^{(N)}(t) \leq B\}$  and  $\hat{\tau}(B) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) \leq B\}$ , where  $X(t)$  is the unique strong solution to the S.D.E. (5.7) with initial value  $X(0) > 0$ . The claim below establishes a relation between  $\hat{\tau}^{(N)}$  and  $\tau_2^{(N)}$ .

**Claim 5.7.** *For any fixed  $B > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(N^{2\varepsilon}\hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T) \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T)\right) = 1.$$

*Proof.* First, note that on the event  $\{\tau_2^{(N)}(B) \geq N^{2\varepsilon}T\}$ , trivially,

$$N^{2\varepsilon}\hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T) \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T).$$

Now, on the event  $\{\tau_2^{(N)}(B) < N^{2\varepsilon}T\} \cap \{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0\}$ , we have that for  $0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T$ ,

$$S^{(N)}(t) - N = Q_2^{(N)}(t) - I^{(N)}(t) \leq Q_2^{(N)}(t),$$

and thus,

$$S^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) - N \leq Q_2^{(N)}(\tau_2^{(N)}(B)) = \lfloor BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor,$$

which implies that  $N^{2\varepsilon}\hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ . Hence, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(N^{2\varepsilon}\hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T) \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T)\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0\right). \quad (5.8)$$

By Proposition 3.15, the right hand side of (5.8) tends to 1 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . ┘

Using Claim 5.7 and Proposition 5.5, we can conclude

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) \wedge T} \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, defining

$$\delta^{(N)}(t) := \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

(when the integrals are well defined) we have that for any fixed  $B > 0$ , the process  $(\delta^{(N)}(t \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B)) : t \geq 0)$  converges weakly to a process that is identically equal to 0, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Also, recall that  $X^{(N)}(0) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X(0)$  where  $X(0)$  is a positive constant. Thus, by the Skorohod representation theorem, there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  such that, almost surely, the following convergence holds

$$\left( X^{(N)}(0), \left\{ \mathcal{M}_a^{(N)}(\lambda_N t) - \mathcal{M}_d^{(N)}\left(t - \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds\right), \right. \right. \quad (5.9)$$

$$\left. \delta^{(N)}(t \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(K^{-1}) \wedge \hat{\tau}(K^{-1})) : t \in [0, T], K \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{u.o.c.}} \left\{ X(0), (\sqrt{2}W(t), 0) : t \in [0, T], K \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.10)$$

where ‘u.o.c.’ denotes convergence of the associated processes uniformly on compact subsets of  $[0, T]$ , and the above random variables are seen as  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathcal{D}([0, T] : \mathbb{R}^2))^{\mathbb{N}}$  valued random variables. For  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the event

$$\Omega_K := \left\{ \inf_{t \in [0, T]} X(s) \geq K^{-1} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 5.6,  $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_K) = 1$ . Let

$$b^{(N)}(t) := \mathcal{M}_a^{(N)}(\lambda_N t) - \mathcal{M}_d^{(N)}\left(t - \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds\right) + \delta^{(N)}(t)(\omega)$$

and  $b(t) := \sqrt{2}W(t)$ . Define the following subsets of  $\Omega$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, K}^{(N)} := \left\{ \left| X^{(N)}(0) - X(0) \right| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(K^{-1}) \wedge \hat{\tau}(K^{-1})} \left| b^{(N)}(s) - b(s) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, K \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (5.10), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, K}^{(N)}) = 1$ .

Using the triangle inequality, we have that for all  $t \in [0, T \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(B) \wedge \hat{\tau}(B)]$ ,

$$\left| X^{(N)}(t) - X(t) \right| \leq \left| X^{(N)}(0) - X(0) \right| + \left| b^{(N)}(t) - b(t) \right| + \int_0^t \left| \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} - \frac{1}{X(s)} \right| ds.$$

Observe that, for any  $B > 0$ , the map  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  is Lipschitz on  $[B, \infty)$  with Lipschitz constant  $B^{-2}$ . Thus, for  $t \in [0, T \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(K^{-1}/2) \wedge \hat{\tau}(K^{-1}/2)]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| X^{(N)}(s) - X(s) \right| \\ & \leq \left| X^{(N)}(0) - X(0) \right| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| b^{(N)}(s) - b(s) \right| + 4K^2 \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \mu} \left| X^{(N)}(s) - X(s) \right| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall’s inequality (see [25, Lemma 4.1]), we have on the set  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, 2K}^{(N)}$ ,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(K^{-1}/2) \wedge \hat{\tau}(K^{-1}/2)} \left| X^{(N)}(t) - X(t) \right| \leq \varepsilon e^{4K^2 T}.$$

Set  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_K = \frac{X(0)}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{4K} e^{-4K^2 T}$ . Let  $K_0 := \lceil 4/X(0) \rceil$ . Take any  $K \geq K_0$ . The above bound implies that, on the event  $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon_K, 2K}^{(N)}$ ,  $X^{(N)}(s) > \frac{1}{2K}$  for all  $s \in [0, T \wedge \hat{\tau}^{(N)}(K^{-1}/2) \wedge \hat{\tau}(K^{-1}/2)]$ . Moreover, on  $\Omega_K$ ,  $\hat{\tau}(K^{-1}/2) \geq \hat{\tau}(K^{-1}) \geq T$ . Hence, on  $\Omega_K \cap \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon_K, 2K}^{(N)}$ ,  $\hat{\tau}^{(N)}(K^{-1}/2) \wedge \hat{\tau}(K^{-1}/2) > T$ . Thus, the above bound gives on the event  $\Omega_K \cap \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon_K, 2K}^{(N)}$ ,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X^{(N)}(t) - X(t)| \leq \frac{1}{4K}.$$

Therefore, for any  $K \geq K_0$ ,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X^{(N)}(t) - X(t)| > \frac{1}{4K} \right) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Omega_K^c) + \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left( \left( \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon_K, 2K}^{(N)} \right)^c \right) = \mathbb{P}(\Omega_K^c).$$

On recalling  $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_K) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X^{(N)}(t) - X(t)| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

proving the theorem. □

*Proof of Proposition 2.5.* Write the SDE as

$$dX(t) = \left( \frac{1}{X} - \beta \right) dt + \sqrt{2} dW(t) = \sqrt{2} dW(t) - V'(X) dt, \quad (5.11)$$

where  $V(X)$  is a function with derivative

$$V'(X) = -\frac{1}{X} + \beta.$$

The diffusion (5.11) is a Langevin diffusion and, for any  $B > 0$ , it has an invariant measure with density given by  $\frac{d\pi}{dx} = \exp\{-V(x)\}$ , where

$$V(x) = \int_B^x \left( \beta - \frac{1}{u} \right) du = \beta(x - B) + [\ln B - \ln x] = \beta(x - B) + \ln \frac{B}{x}, \quad x > 0.$$

Therefore, we have an invariant distribution  $\pi(x)$  with density

$$\frac{d\pi}{dx} = C \frac{x}{B} e^{-\beta(x-B)},$$

where  $C$  satisfies that  $\frac{1}{C} = \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{B} e^{-\beta(x-B)} dx = \frac{e^{\beta B}}{\beta^2 B}$ . The computation of moments of  $\pi$  is routine. This completes the proof. □

## References

- [1] Ivo Adan and Jacques Resing. *Queueing Systems*. Eindhoven University of Technology, 2015.
- [2] Rami Atar. A diffusion regime with nondegenerate slowdown. *Oper. Res.*, 60(2):490–500, 2012.
- [3] Sayan Banerjee and Debankur Mukherjee. Join-the-shortest queue diffusion limit in Halfin-Whitt regime: Tail asymptotics and scaling of extrema. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 29(2):1262–1309, 2019.
- [4] Sayan Banerjee and Debankur Mukherjee. Join-the-shortest queue diffusion limit in Halfin-Whitt regime: Sensitivity on the heavy traffic parameter. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 30(1):80–144, 2020.
- [5] P. Billingsley. *Probability and Measure*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley, 2012.
- [6] Anton Braverman. Steady-state analysis of the join the shortest queue model in the Halfin-Whitt regime. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 45(3):1069–1103, 2018.
- [7] Amarjit Budhiraja, Eric Friedlander, and Ruoyu Wu. Many-server asymptotics for Join-the-Shortest-Queue: Large deviations and rare events. *arXiv:1904.04938*, 2019.
- [8] Anthony Ephremides, P Varaiya, and Jean Walrand. A simple dynamic routing problem. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, 25(4):690–693, 1980.
- [9] Patrick Eschenfeldt and David Gamarnik. Join the shortest queue with many servers. The heavy traffic-asymptotics. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 43(3):867–886, 2018.
- [10] G. Foschini and J. Salz. A basic dynamic routing problem and diffusion. *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, 26(3):320–327, 1978.
- [11] G J Foschini. On heavy traffic diffusion analysis and dynamic routing in packet switched networks. *Comp. Perf.*, pages 499–513, 1977.
- [12] Varun Gupta and Niel Walton. Load balancing in the non-degenerate slowdown regime. *Oper. Res.*, 67(1):281–294, 2019.
- [13] P.J. Hunt and T.G. Kurtz. Large loss networks. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 53(2):363–378, 1994.
- [14] Daniela Hurtado-Lange and Siva Theja Maguluri. Load balancing system under Join the Shortest Queue: Many-server-heavy-traffic asymptotics. *arXiv:2004.04826*, 2020.
- [15] Daniela Hurtado-Lange and Siva Theja Maguluri. Load balancing system in the many-server heavy-traffic asymptotics. *Preprint*, 2021.
- [16] Makoto Katori. Bessel process, Schramm-Loewner evolution, and Dyson model, 2011.



- [17] László Lakatos, Laszlo Szeidl, and Miklos Telek. *Introduction to queueing systems with telecommunication applications*. Springer, 2019.
- [18] Robert Liptser and Albert Nikolaevich Shiryaev. *Theory of martingales*, volume 49. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [19] Xin Liu and Lei Ying. A simple steady-state analysis of load balancing algorithms in the sub-Halfin-Whitt regime. *ACM SIGMETRICS Perform. Eval. Rev.*, 46(2):15–17, 2019.
- [20] Xin Liu and Lei Ying. On universal scaling of distributed queues under load balancing. *arXiv:1912.11904*, 2019.
- [21] Costis Maglaras, John Yao, and Assaf Zeevi. Optimal price and delay differentiation in large-scale queueing systems. *Manage. Sci.*, 64(5):2427–2444, 2018.
- [22] Colin McDiarmid. Concentration. In Michel Habib, Colin McDiarmid, Jorge Ramirez-Alfonsin, and Bruce Reed, editors, *Probabilistic Methods for Algorithmic Discrete Mathematics*, pages 195–248. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1998.
- [23] Debankur Mukherjee, Sem C. Borst, Johan S. H. Van Leeuwen, and Philip A. Whiting. Universality of load balancing schemes on the diffusion scale. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 53(4), 2016.
- [24] Debankur Mukherjee, Sem C Borst, Johan S H van Leeuwen, and Philip A Whiting. Universality of Power-of-d Load Balancing in Many-Server Systems. *Stoch. Syst.*, 8(4):265–292, 2018.
- [25] Guodong Pang, Rishi Talreja, and Ward Whitt. Martingale proofs of many-server heavy-traffic limits for markovian queues. *Probab. Surveys*, 4:193–267, 2007.
- [26] Martin I. Reiman. Some diffusion approximations with state space collapse. In *Modelling and performance evaluation methodology*, pages 207–240. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1984.
- [27] L. C. G. Rogers and David Williams. *Diffusions, Markov Processes, and Martingales*, volume 1 of *Cambridge Mathematical Library*. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2000.
- [28] M. van der Boor, S.C. Borst, J.S.H. van Leeuwen, and D. Mukherjee. Scalable load balancing in networked systems: A survey of recent advances, 2018.
- [29] Ward Whitt. Proofs of the martingale FCLT. *Probab. Surveys*, 4, 2007.
- [30] Wayne Winston. Optimality of the shortest line discipline. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 14(1):181–189, 1977.
- [31] Hanqin Zhang, Guang-Hui Hsu, and Rongxin Wang. Heavy traffic limit theorems for a sequence of shortest queueing systems. *Queueing Syst.*, 21(1):217–238, 1995.

## A Proof of Proposition 5.5

In this appendix, we will prove Proposition 5.5. Recall that  $I^{(N)}$  has instantaneous transition rates at time  $t$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(N)}(t) &\nearrow I^{(N)}(t) + 1 \text{ at rate } Q_1^{(N)}(t) - Q_2^{(N)}(t), \\ I^{(N)}(t) &\searrow (I^{(N)}(t) - 1)_+ \text{ at rate } N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Note that  $I^{(N)}(0) \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  and  $Q_2^{(N)}(0) \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , as stated in Theorem 2.4. As before, fix any  $B > 0$  and we will consider  $N$  to be large enough so that  $N > BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} > \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . Define the following stopping times:  $\zeta_0^{(N)} = 0$  and for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)} &= \inf \{t \geq \zeta_{2i}^{(N)} : I^{(N)}(t) = 0\}, \\ \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+2}^{(N)} &= \inf \{t \geq \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)} : I^{(N)}(t) > 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Also, let  $i_N^* := \min \{i \geq 0 : \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)} \geq \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T)\}$ . For convenience, denote  $T(N, B) := \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon}T)$ . In the following, the set  $\{0, -1\}$  is assumed to be the null set.

**Lemma A.1.** *For any  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ , there exist constants  $a_1, b_1, N_1 > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,*

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \emptyset)} \left( \exists i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\} \text{ such that } \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq 2N^{\delta-2\varepsilon} \right) \leq a_1 e^{-b_1 N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The following technical lemma from [12] will be used in the proof of Lemma A.1.

**Lemma A.2** ([12, Lemma EC.18]). *Let  $Q$  be the length of an M/M/1 queue with arrival rate  $\alpha$  and service rate  $\mu$ , with  $\mu > \alpha$ . Also, let  $\tilde{T}$  be the length of the renewal cycle from the queue being of length 1 to the queue being empty. Then, for  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{T} \geq t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}} e^{-(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\alpha})^2 t}.$$

*Proof of Lemma A.1.* Note that for any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P} \left( \zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq 2N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}, i < i_N^* \right) \\
& \leq \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) < N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}, i < i_N^* \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)} \geq N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) < N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}, i < i_N^* \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

For the first term of the right hand side of (A.4), take any  $\tilde{K} \geq K_2$  large enough such that the bound in Proposition 3.15 holds with  $2B$  there replaced by  $\tilde{K}$  for large enough  $N$ . Also assume that  $N$  is large enough such that  $N^\delta > x'_{\tilde{K}/2}$ , where the latter constant appears in Proposition 3.15. For such large enough  $N$ , we obtain constants  $c'_1$  and  $c'_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor \tilde{K} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, 0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\
& \leq \exp\{-\bar{c}' T N^\delta\} + T N^\delta (\bar{c}_1 \exp\{-\bar{c}_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}\} + c_1^* \exp\{-c_2^* N^\delta\} + c_3^* \exp\{-c_4^* N^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{5}} N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}\}) \\
& \leq c'_1 e^{-c'_2 N^{\delta/5}},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where the second inequality is from Proposition 3.15. For the second term in (A.4), there exist constants  $c'_3$  and  $c'_4$  such that for large enough  $N$  and any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) < N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}, i < i_N^* \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \text{Exp}(N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}) \geq N^{\delta-2\varepsilon} \right) \leq c'_3 e^{-c'_4 N^{\delta+1-2\varepsilon}},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where  $\text{Exp}(N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta})$  is an exponential random variable with rate  $N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}$ . The first inequality in (A.6) is due to the fact that the rate of increase of  $I^{(N)}(t)$  when  $I^{(N)}(t) = 0$  is  $N - Q_2^{(N)}$ . Now, consider the last term in the right hand side of (A.4). Recall the evolution of the process  $I^{(N)}(t)$  as in (A.1). For  $t \leq \tau_2^{(N)}(B)$ ,  $Q_1^{(N)}(t) - Q_2^{(N)}(t) \leq N - BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , and thus, there is a

natural coupling so that the process  $I^{(N)}$  is upper bounded by an M/M/1 queue,  $Q$ , with arrival rate  $\alpha := N - B^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  and service rate  $\mu := N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . Therefore, for any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}(\zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)} \geq t, i < i_N^*) \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{T} \geq t), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where  $\tilde{T}$  for the process  $Q$  is as defined in Lemma A.2. Now, note that there exists  $N_0 > 0$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}} &= \sqrt{\frac{N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}{N - B^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \leq 2 \\ (\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\alpha})^2 &= (\sqrt{N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} - \sqrt{N - B^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}})^2 \\ &= (N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}) \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{B - \beta N^{-2\varepsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} - \beta N^{-2\varepsilon}}}\right)^2 \geq \frac{B^2}{32} N^{2\varepsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where the last inequality is due to the facts that  $1 - \sqrt{1-x} \geq \frac{x}{2}$ , for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , and for all  $N \geq N_0$ ,  $N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \geq \frac{N}{2}$  and  $\frac{B - \beta N^{-2\varepsilon}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} - \beta N^{-2\varepsilon}} \geq \frac{B}{2} N^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus, plugging (A.8) into Lemma A.2, we get for any  $t \geq 0, i \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}(\zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)} \geq t, i < i_N^*) \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{T} \geq t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{B^2}{32} N^{2\varepsilon} t}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Plugging (A.5), (A.6), and (A.9), into (A.4), we obtain constants  $c'_5$  and  $c'_6$  such that for any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}(\zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq 2N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}, i < i_N^*) \\ &\leq c'_1 e^{-c'_2 N^{\delta/5}} + c'_3 e^{-c'_4 N^{\delta+1-2\varepsilon}} + 2e^{-\frac{B^2}{32} N^{\delta}} \leq c'_5 e^{-c'_6 N^{\delta/5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

To prove (A.3), note that the value of  $i_N^*$  is upper bounded by the number of increments in  $I^{(N)}$  in the interval  $[0, \tau_2^{(N)}(B) \wedge (N^{2\varepsilon} T)]$ , which is stochastically upper bounded by a Poisson random variable with parameter  $N^{1+2\varepsilon} T$ . Hence, using standard concentration inequality for Poisson random variables (see [22, Theorem 2.3(b)]), we have

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}(i_N^* \geq \frac{3}{2} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T) \leq \mathbb{P}(\text{Po}(N^{1+2\varepsilon} T) \geq \frac{3}{2} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T) \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and therefore, using (A.10), there exists  $N_1 \geq N_0$ , depending on  $T$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})}(\exists i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\} \text{ such that } \zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}) \\
& \leq \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \left[ \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)}(i_N^* \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T) + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \cdot c'_5 e^{-c'_6 N^{\delta/5}} \right] \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T} + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \times c'_5 e^{-c'_6 N^{\delta/5}} \leq a_1 e^{-b_1 N^{\delta/5}}, \tag{A.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are appropriate constants.  $\square$

Now that we have proved that the time of each excursion of the idleness process is short with high probability, we can now show that the fluctuation of  $S^{(N)}$  within each of these excursions is not too large.

**Proposition A.3.** *For any  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ , there exist constants  $N_2, a_2, b_2 > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_2$ ,*

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \leq a_2 e^{-b_2 N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}.$$

*Proof.* Define the event  $w^{(N)} := \{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) < N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\
& \leq \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\zeta_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 3N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)} \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \zeta_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\zeta_{2i+2}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)} \right). \tag{A.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By (A.5), for all large enough  $N$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \leq c'_1 e^{-c'_2 N^{\delta/5}}. \tag{A.14}$$

For the second term in the right hand side of (A.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 3N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)} \right) \\
& \leq \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)} \right) \\
& + \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 3N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)}, \tilde{w}^{(N)} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

where  $\tilde{w}^{(N)} := \{ \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} < N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \}$ . Since the instantaneous rate of increase of  $I^{(N)}$  when  $I^{(N)}(t) = 0$  is  $N - Q_2^{(N)}$ , then for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$ ,  $\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}$  is upper bounded by an exponential random variable,  $\text{Exp}(N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta})$ . Hence, proceeding along the same line as (A.6), (A.11) and (A.12), there exist constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that for large enough  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)} \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(i_N^* \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T) + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \times e^{-(N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta})N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}} \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T} + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \times e^{-(N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta})N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}} \\
& \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

For each  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$  and  $t \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| & \leq A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})t) - A((N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon})\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) + D(Nt) - D(N\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \\
& \leq_{st} \text{Po}(2N(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)})),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Po}(\lambda)$  is a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$ . Therefore, there exist constants  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  such that for all  $N$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 3N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)}, \tilde{w}^{(N)} \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(i_N^* \geq \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T) + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \mathbb{P}(\text{Po}(2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}) \geq 3N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}) \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T} + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \times e^{-\frac{3}{16}N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}} \leq c_3 e^{-c_4 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

Plugging (A.16) and (A.17) into (A.15), we have for all  $N$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 3N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, w^{(N)} \right) \\ & \leq c_5 e^{-c_6 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where  $c_5$  and  $c_6$  are constants. Now, consider the third term of the right hand side of (A.13). For any  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  and  $BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} (i_N^* > \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T) + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \rceil - 1} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} (i_N^* > \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T) + \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}T]} Q_3^{(N)}(t) > 0 \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \rceil - 1} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Recall that, by (A.11),

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} (i_N^* > \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T) \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T}. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

By Proposition 3.15, there exist constants  $B_0, c_1, c_2, N'_0 > 0$ , possibly depending on  $T$ , such that for all  $N \geq N'_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{0})} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}T]} Q_3^{(N)} > 0 \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(0, \lfloor B_0 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \rfloor, \underline{0})} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, N^{2\varepsilon}T]} Q_2^{(N)}(t) = N \right) \leq c_7 e^{-c_8 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Next, for  $i \leq i_N^* - 1$  and  $t \in [\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]$ , observe that on the event  $\sup_{t \in [0, N^{2e}T]} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]} |S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2})| &\leq \sup_{t \in [\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]} \left( I^{(N)}(t) + Q_2^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}) - Q_2^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}) \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]} I^{(N)}(t) + Q_2^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the inequalities are due to the fact that when there is no queue with length greater than 3, the difference between  $S^{(N)}(t)$  and  $S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2})$  is caused by the change of  $I^{(N)}(t)$  and the change of  $Q_2^{(N)}(t)$ , and for  $t \in [\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]$ ,  $I^{(N)}(t)$  is always positive so  $Q_2^{(N)}(t)$  can only decrease during  $[\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]$ . Now, consider the last term of (A.19). For any  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  and  $BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} |S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2})| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( Q_2^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

Since  $\delta < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ , from Proposition 5.4, we have that for  $N \geq N_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq (N^{2e}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \leq ae^{-bN^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants depending on  $B$ ,  $\beta$  and  $T$  only.

Proceeding as in (A.5), by Proposition 3.15, there exist  $c'_1, c'_2, N'_0 > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N'_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq (N^{2e}T) \wedge \tau_2^{(N)}(B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \leq c'_1 e^{-c'_2 N^{\delta/5}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

Hence, plugging (A.23) and (A.24) into (A.22), we have that for all sufficiently large  $N$ , any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} |S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2})| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0, i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right) \leq c''_1 e^{-c''_2 N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}, \tag{A.25}$$



where  $c_1''$  and  $c_2''$  are constants dependent on  $K_2$ ,  $B$ ,  $\beta$  and  $T$ . Plugging (A.20), (A.21), and (A.25) into (A.19), we obtain for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+2}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 10N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T} + c_7 e^{-c_8 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}} + \left\lceil \frac{3}{2}N^{1+2\varepsilon}T \right\rceil \times c_1'' e^{-c_2'' N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}} \leq c_3'' e^{-c_4'' N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Finally, plugging (A.14), (A.18) and (A.26) into (A.13), we can choose appropriate constants  $a_2$  and  $b_2$  such that for all sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \geq 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \\ & \leq c_1' e^{-c_2' N^{\delta/5}} + c_5 e^{-c_6 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}} + c_3'' e^{-c_4'' N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}} \leq a_2 e^{-b_2 N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma A.4.** *Under the assumptions on the initial state as given in Theorem 2.4, the following holds as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$\sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left[ \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^t I^{(N)}(s) ds + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^t \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} ds \right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

*Proof.* Fix any  $0 < \delta < (2\varepsilon) \wedge (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)$ . By Lemma A.1, for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq 2N^{\delta-2\varepsilon} \right) \leq a_1 e^{-b_1 N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

By Proposition 5.4,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \right) \leq a e^{-b N^{\frac{\delta}{5}}}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

and similarly as in (A.21), for all  $N \geq N'_0$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} < y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,0)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T} Q_3^{(N)}(t) > 0 \right) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 N^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)/5}}. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Define the event

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(N)} := \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} I^{(N)}(t) < 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N,B)} Q_3^{(N)}(t) = 0, \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} < 2N^{\delta-2\varepsilon}, \forall i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta < 2\varepsilon$ , there exists  $N'_B > 0$  such that for  $N \geq N'_B$ , on the event  $E^{(N)}$ , for  $s \in [0, T(N, B)]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(N)}(s) &= Q_2^{(N)}(s) + N - I^{(N)}(s) \\ &\geq BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + N - 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \geq \frac{1}{2}BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} + N, \end{aligned}$$

implying that for  $N \geq N'_B$  and  $s \in [0, T(N, B)]$ ,

$$\frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \leq \frac{2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}{B}. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Hence, on the event  $E^{(N)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left[ \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^t I^{(N)}(s) ds + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^t \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} ds \right] &\leq 10N^{2\delta-4\varepsilon} + \frac{4}{B}N^{\delta-4\varepsilon} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\delta < (2\varepsilon) \wedge (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)$ . By (A.28)–(A.30), we have  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(E^{(N)}) = 1$ . Thus, the desired result holds.  $\square$

Now, fix  $T > 0$  and  $\delta < (\varepsilon/2) \wedge (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)$ . Define the event

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}^{(N)} := \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N, B)} I^{(N)}(t) \leq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N, B)} Q_2^{(N)}(t) \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}, \right. \\ \left. \sup_{\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left| S^{(N)}(t) - S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \right| \leq 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \forall i \leq i_N^* - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we will work under the assumptions stated in Theorem 2.4 for fixed  $T > 0$  and  $\delta < (\varepsilon/2) \wedge (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma A.5.**  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\bar{E}^{(N)}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from Proposition 3.15, Proposition 5.4, and Proposition A.3.  $\square$

On the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ , the following equation holds:

$$2N = S^{(N)}(t) + Q_1^{(N)}(t) - Q_2^{(N)}(t) + 2I^{(N)}(t), \quad t \in [0, T(N, B)]. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

Also, for  $t \in [0, T(N, B)]$ , the evolution of  $I^{(N)}$  can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(N)}(t) &\nearrow I^{(N)}(t) + 1 \text{ at rate } 2N - S^{(N)}(t) - 2I^{(N)}(t), \\ I^{(N)}(t) &\searrow (I^{(N)}(t) - 1)_+ \text{ at rate } N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, on  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ , for each excursion interval  $[\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ , we construct two  $M/M/1$  queues,  $I_{u,i}^{(N)}$  and  $I_{l,i}^{(N)}$ , on the same probability space as  $I^{(N)}$ , and both starting from zero, to bound the

process  $I^{(N)}$  from above and below respectively. For  $t \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ , we define  $I_{u,i}^{(N)}$  and  $I_{l,i}^{(N)}$  with the following transition rates:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t) &\nearrow I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t) + 1 \text{ at rate } \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)} = 2N - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) + 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \\ I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t) &\searrow I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t) - 1 \text{ at rate } \mu_{u,i}^{(N)} = N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) &\nearrow I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) + 1 \text{ at rate } \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)} = 2N - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}, \\ I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) &\searrow I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) - 1 \text{ at rate } \mu_{l,i}^{(N)} = N. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is easy to check.

**Lemma A.6.** *On the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ , for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$  and  $t \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ , we have*

$$\lambda_{l,i} \leq 2N - S^{(N)}(t) - 2I^{(N)}(t) \leq \lambda_{u,i}.$$

Due to Lemma A.6, we can naturally couple  $I_{u,i}^{(N)}$  and  $I_{l,i}^{(N)}$  with  $I^{(N)}$  by setting  $I_{u,i}^{(N)}(\xi_{2i}^{(N)}) = I_{l,i}^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) = I^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) = 0$ , so that for  $t \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ ,  $I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) \leq I^{(N)}(t) \leq I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t)$ . Note that since  $\{(I^{(N)}(t), t \geq 0)\}_{N=1}^\infty$  are defined on the same probability space (see the representation (5.1)),  $\{(I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t), t \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]), i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}\}_{N=1}^\infty$  and  $\{(I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t), t \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}]), i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}\}_{N=1}^\infty$  are on the same probability space as well.

**Lemma A.7.** *The following holds for all large enough  $N$ :*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\{i_N^* \geq \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\varepsilon+\delta} \rceil\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{9T}N^{-4\varepsilon-2\delta}.$$

*Proof.* On  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ , we have that for  $t \in [0, T(N, B)]$ ,

$$2N - S^{(N)}(t) - 2I^{(N)}(t) = N - I^{(N)}(t) - Q_2^{(N)}(t) \geq N - 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \geq N - 6N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}.$$

On each  $[\xi_{2i}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)})$ , we construct an M/M/1 queue  $M_i^{(N)}$  with rate of increase  $N - 6N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}$  and rate of decrease  $N$ . We can couple  $M_i^{(N)}$  with  $I^{(N)}$  on  $[\xi_{2i}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)})$  by setting  $M_i^{(N)}(\xi_{2i}^{(N)}) = I^{(N)}(\xi_{2i}^{(N)}) = 1$  such that  $M_i^{(N)}(t) \leq I^{(N)}(t)$ . Define  $\xi_{m,i}^{(N)} := \inf\{t \geq \xi_{2i}^{(N)} : M_i^{(N)}(t) = 0\}$ . Due to the coupling, we have  $\xi_{m,i}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i}^{(N)} \leq \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i}^{(N)}$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\{i_N^* \geq \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\varepsilon+\delta} \rceil\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)}\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\varepsilon+\delta} \rceil} (\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i}^{(N)}) \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T\right\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\varepsilon+\delta} \rceil} (\xi_{m,i}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i}^{(N)}) \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $H_i^{(N)} := \xi_{m,i}^{(N)} - \xi_{2i}^{(N)}$ . Since  $H_i^{(N)}$  is the busy time of the M/M/1 queue  $M_i^{(N)}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}(H_i^{(N)}) = \frac{1}{6}N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon-\delta}$  and  $\text{Var}(H_i^{(N)}) = \frac{2}{6^3}N^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon-3\delta} - \frac{1}{6^2}N^{-1-2\varepsilon-2\delta} \leq \frac{2}{6^3}N^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon-3\delta}$  [1, Section

7.9, Page 75]. Hence, by Doob's  $L^2$ -maximal inequality, we have for all large enough  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{i_N^* \geq \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil\right\} \cap \bar{E}^N\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil} (H_i^{(N)} - \mathbb{E}(H_i^{(N)})) \leq -N^{2\epsilon}T\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil \times 2N^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon-3\delta}}{6^3 N^{4\epsilon} T^2} \leq \frac{1}{9T} N^{-4\epsilon-2\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma A.8.** *The following hold as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^*-1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{l,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}} - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad (\text{A.33})$$

$$\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^*-1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (\text{A.34})$$

*Proof.* We will prove (A.33). The proof of (A.34) is similar. Note that due to Lemma A.5, it suffices to prove that the left hand side converges to zero under the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ . Note that, for any  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{l,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}} = \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}}{S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - N + 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}}.$$

Now,  $x \mapsto \frac{2N-x}{x-N}$  is Lipschitz continuous on the interval  $[N + \frac{1}{2}BN^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}, \infty)$  with Lipschitz constant  $\frac{4}{B^2}N^{-2\epsilon}$ . Therefore, for any  $s \in [\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ , under the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}}{S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - N + 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{B^2}N^{-2\epsilon} \left( \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^*-1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)} \leq s \leq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} |S^{(N)}(\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - S^{(N)}(s)| + 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{144N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon+\delta}}{B^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^*-1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{l,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}} - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\epsilon}T} \frac{144N^{\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon+\delta}}{B^2} ds = \frac{144T}{B^2} N^{-2\epsilon+\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $\delta < \epsilon/2$ . □

**Lemma A.9.** *The following hold:*

$$\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,$$

$$\inf_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( I_{l,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{l,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

The next lemma will be used in the proof of Lemma A.9.

**Lemma A.10.** *Suppose that  $Q$  is an M/M/1 queue with arrival rate  $\alpha$  and service rate  $\mu$ , with  $\mu > \alpha$ . Let  $\tilde{T}$  be the length of the excursion of the M/M/1 queue started from zero (that is, the time taken for the queue length to become non-zero and then zero again). Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{\tilde{T}} \left( Q(s) - \frac{\alpha}{\mu - \alpha} \right) ds \right] = 0. \quad (\text{A.35})$$

Moreover, there exists a universal positive constant  $C$  not depending on  $\alpha, \mu$  such that for any integer  $a \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\tilde{T}} Q(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq C \left[ a^2 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\mu}{(\mu - \alpha)^3} \right) + \frac{(\mu/\alpha)}{(\log(\mu/\alpha))^2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \right)^{a/8} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{(\mu/\alpha)^{1/4}}{(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\alpha})^4} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{A.36})$$

*Proof.* Throughout this proof, we will denote by  $C'$  a universal positive constant, not depending on  $\alpha, \mu$ , whose value might change between lines.

(A.35) is proved in [12, Lemma EC.19]. To prove (A.36), write  $\bar{Q} := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tilde{T}} Q(s)$ . Note that, for any integer  $a \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\tilde{T}} Q(s) ds \right)^2 \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ (a\tilde{T} + \tilde{T}\bar{Q}\mathbb{1}(\bar{Q} \geq a))^2 \right] \leq 2a^2\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{T}\bar{Q}\mathbb{1}(\bar{Q} \geq a))^2] \\ &\leq 2a^2\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}^2] + 2\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}^4]}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\bar{Q}^4\mathbb{1}(\bar{Q} \geq a)]}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.37})$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We can write  $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_1 + \tilde{T}_2$  where  $\tilde{T}_1$  is an  $\text{Exp}(\alpha)$  random variable denoting the arrival time of the first task and  $\tilde{T}_2$  denotes the time taken after  $\tilde{T}_1$  for the queue to become empty again (busy time). Using  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}_1^2] = 2\alpha^{-2}$  and [1, Section 7.9, Page 75],

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}^2] \leq 2\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}_1^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}_2^2] \leq 4 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\mu}{(\mu - \alpha)^3} \right]. \quad (\text{A.38})$$

Recall from Lemma A.2 that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{T}_2 \geq t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}} e^{-(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\alpha})^2 t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

From this, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} [\tilde{T}^4] \leq 2^4 \mathbb{E} [\tilde{T}_1^4] + 2^4 \mathbb{E} [\tilde{T}_2^4] \leq C' \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^4} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\alpha})^8} \right]. \quad (\text{A.39})$$

Moreover, using [12, Lemma EC.17], for any  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} (\bar{Q} \geq x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^x \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)^{n-1}} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^{x-1}.$$

From the above bound, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} [\bar{Q}^4 \mathbb{1}(\bar{Q} \geq a)] \leq \sum_{x=a^4}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^{\lfloor x^{1/4} \rfloor - 1} \leq \frac{\mu^2}{\alpha^2} \int_{a^4-1}^{\infty} e^{-\log(\mu/\alpha)z^{1/4}} dz \leq \frac{C'}{(\log(\mu/\alpha))^4} \frac{\mu^2}{\alpha^2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^{a/4}. \quad (\text{A.40})$$

Using (A.38), (A.39) and (A.40) in (A.37), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^T Q(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \leq 8a^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\mu}{(\mu - \alpha)^3} \right] + 2C' \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{(\mu/\alpha)^{1/4}}{(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\alpha})^4} \right] \frac{\mu/\alpha}{(\log(\mu/\alpha))^2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\right)^{a/8},$$

which proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma A.9.* Throughout this proof, we will denote by  $C, C'$  universal positive constants, possibly depending on  $B, T$  but not  $N$ , whose values might change between lines.

We will only prove the first case since the second case is similar. As before, due to Lemma A.5, we will prove the convergence to zero on the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ . Note that the process  $I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t)$  is defined only on the time interval  $[\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ . However, let us assume that  $I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t)$  is allowed to continue past time  $\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$ , and let  $\xi_{u,i}^{(N)} := \inf\{t \geq \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)} : I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t) = 0\}$ . Further, let

$$Z_i^{(N)} = \int_{\xi_{2i-1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{u,i-1}^{(N)}} \left( I_{u,i-1}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{u,i-1}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i-1}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i-1}^{(N)}} \right) ds, \quad i \geq 1.$$

We expand the first integral in the lemma for  $j \geq 0$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j Z_{i+1}^{(N)} - \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{u,i}^{(N)}} \left( I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} Z_i^{(N)} + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{u,i}^{(N)}} \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.41})$$

Define  $M_0^{(N)} = 0$  and

$$M_j^{(N)} := \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^j Z_i^{(N)}, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.42})$$

Write  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_i$  for the stopped natural filtration of the original queueing process up till time  $\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Define  $\mathcal{G}_0 := \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$  and

$$\mathcal{G}_i := \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_i \cup \left( \bigcup_{l=0}^{i-1} \sigma\{I_{u,l}^{(N)}(t) : t \geq \tilde{\tau}_{2l+1}^{(N)}\} \right), \quad i \geq 1.$$

By (A.35),  $\mathbb{E}(Z_{i+1}^{(N)} | \mathcal{G}_i) = 0$  for any  $i \geq 0$ . Hence,  $M^{(N)}$  is a martingale. We will show that, for any  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left\{ \sup_{j \leq i_N^*} |M_j^{(N)}| > \eta \right\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.43})$$

Define the stopping time  $\tilde{\tau}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  by

$$\tilde{\tau} := \inf\{i \geq 0 : \tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)} \geq T(N, B) \text{ or } Q_2^{(N)}(\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) > N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta}\}.$$

Now, we estimate  $\mathbb{E}[(Z_{i+1}^{(N)})^2 | \mathcal{G}_i]$  on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ . Note that for  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(Z_{i+1}^{(N)})^2 | \mathcal{G}_i] \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\tilde{\tau}_{u,i}^{(N)}} I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) ds \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_i \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right)^2 (\tilde{\tau}_{u,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)})^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_i \right]. \quad (\text{A.44})$$

Recall  $\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)} = 2N - S^{(N)}(\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) + 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta}$  and  $\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} = N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ . By (A.36), there exists a deterministic universal constant  $C > 0$  such that for any integer  $a \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\tilde{\tau}_{u,i}^{(N)}} I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) ds \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_i \right] \\ & \leq C \left[ a^2 \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^2} + \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{(\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^3} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^2} + \left( \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}} - \sqrt{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}})^4} \right) \frac{1}{(\log(\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}/\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}))^2} \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right)^{a/8} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$N - N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+\delta} \leq \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)} = N - Q_2^{(N)}(\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) + 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon+\delta} \leq N - \frac{B}{2} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$

Using these bounds, on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^2} + \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{(\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^3} &\leq CN^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon}, \\ \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^2} + \left( \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}} - \sqrt{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}})^4} \right) \frac{1}{(\log(\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}/\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}))^2} \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} &\leq CN^{1-6\epsilon}, \\ \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right)^{a/8} &\leq e^{-C'aN^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, choosing  $a = N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ , on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_{\tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\tilde{\tau}_{u,i}^{(N)}} I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) ds \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_i \right] \leq CN^{\frac{1}{2}-5\epsilon+2\delta}. \quad (\text{A.45})$$

Now, we estimate the second term in (A.44). Note that, for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \leq \frac{4}{B} N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

Moreover, using [1, Section 7.9, Page 75], on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \tilde{\tau}_{u,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)} \right)^2 \right] \leq 4 \left[ \frac{1}{(\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^2} + \frac{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)}}{(\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)})^3} \right] \leq 4CN^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon}.$$

Hence, on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right)^2 \left( \tilde{\tau}_{u,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\tau}_{2i+1}^{(N)} \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_i \right] \leq CN^{\frac{1}{2}-5\epsilon}. \quad (\text{A.46})$$

Using the bounds (A.45) and (A.46) in (A.44), we conclude that, on the event  $\{\tilde{\tau} \geq i+1\}$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ (Z_{i+1}^{(N)})^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_i \right] \leq CN^{\frac{1}{2}-5\epsilon+2\delta}. \quad (\text{A.47})$$

The quadratic variation of the stopped martingale  $\{M_{j \wedge \tilde{\tau}}^{(N)}\}_{j \geq 0}$  is given by:  $\langle M^{(N)} \rangle_0 = 0$  and

$$\langle M^{(N)} \rangle_{j \wedge \tilde{\tau}} = N^{-1-2\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{j \wedge \tilde{\tau}} \mathbb{E} \left[ (Z_i^{(N)})^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_{i-1} \right], \quad j \geq 1.$$

Using (A.47), we obtain

$$\langle M^{(N)} \rangle_{j \wedge \tilde{\tau}} \leq CN^{-\frac{1}{2}-7\epsilon+2\delta} j, \quad j \geq 1.$$



Hence, by Doob's  $L^2$  inequality, for any  $\eta > 0$ , for large enough  $N$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{j \leq \tilde{\tau} \wedge \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil} |M_j^{(N)}| > \eta\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{j \leq \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil} |M_{j \wedge \tilde{\tau}}^{(N)}| > \eta\right) \leq 12CT\eta^{-2}N^{-4\epsilon+3\delta}. \quad (\text{A.48})$$

Note that, on the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ ,  $\tilde{\tau} = i_N^* + 1$ . Therefore, for any  $\eta > 0$ , for sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{j \leq i_N^*} |M_j^{(N)}| > \eta\right\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)}\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{j \leq \tilde{\tau} \wedge \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil} |M_j^{(N)}| > \eta\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\{i_N^* \geq \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)}\right) \\ &\leq 12CT\eta^{-2}N^{-4\epsilon+3\delta} + \frac{1}{9T}N^{-4\epsilon-2\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last bound follows from (A.48) and Lemma A.7. Recalling  $\delta < \epsilon/2$ , (A.43) follows from the above bound.

Now consider the second term of the right hand side of the inequality (A.41). For  $i \leq i_N^* - 1$ , for sufficient large  $N$ , on  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ ,

$$0 \leq \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \leq \frac{4N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{B}.$$

Additionally, let  $\tilde{\zeta}_{l,1,i}^{(N)} := \inf\{t \geq \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)} : I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) > 0\}$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}_{l,i}^{(N)} := \inf\{t \geq \tilde{\zeta}_{l,1,i}^{(N)} : I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) = 0\}$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$ . We have that for sufficient large  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}}^{\tilde{\zeta}_{u,i}^{(N)}} \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} ds \leq \sum_{i=1}^j \int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{l,i}^{(N)}}^{\tilde{\zeta}_{u,i}^{(N)}} \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} ds \leq \frac{4N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{i_N^*-1} (\tilde{\zeta}_{u,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\zeta}_{l,i}^{(N)}), \quad (\text{A.49})$$

where the first inequality is due to the fact that for  $t \in [\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}, \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)})$ ,  $I_{l,i}^{(N)}(t) \leq I^{(N)}(t) \leq I_{u,i}^{(N)}(t)$ , which implies that  $\tilde{\zeta}_{l,i}^{(N)} \leq \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)} \leq \tilde{\zeta}_{u,i}^{(N)}$ . For an M/M/1 with arrival rate  $\alpha$  and departure rate  $\mu$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{T}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\mu-\alpha}$  where  $\tilde{T}$  is same as in Lemma A.10. Then, for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$ , we have for all sufficiently large  $N$ , on  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\zeta}_{u,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\zeta}_{l,i}^{(N)}) &= \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\zeta}_{u,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\zeta}_{l,i}^{(N)} - \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2N - S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) + 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} + \frac{1}{S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} - 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2N - S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} - \frac{1}{S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - N + 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} - 13N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} - \frac{1}{S^{(N)}(\tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}) - N + 23N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta}} \\ &\leq CN^{-\frac{1}{2}-3\epsilon+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and from (A.49),

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{u,i}^{(N)}} \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} ds \right) \mathbf{1} \left( \{i_N^* \leq \lceil 12TN^{\frac{1}{2}+3\epsilon+\delta} \rceil\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)} \right) \right] \leq C' N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+2\delta}.$$

By Markov's inequality and Lemma A.7, we get that

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left\{ \sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{u,i}^{(N)}} \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} ds \geq C' N^{-2\epsilon+4\delta} \right\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)} \right) \leq N^{-\delta}. \quad (\text{A.50})$$

From (A.41), (A.43) and (A.50), we conclude that

$$\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

□

*Proof of Proposition 5.5.* Again, we will consider events within  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ . Observe that for any  $t \leq T(N, B)$ , either  $t \leq \xi_1^{(N)}$ , or  $t \in [\xi_{2j+1}^{(N)}, \xi_{2j+3}^{(N)}]$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq i_N^*$ , or  $t \in [\xi_{2i_N^*+1}^{(N)}, T(N, B)]$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N, B)} \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_0^t \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_0^{\xi_1^{(N)}} \left| I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right| ds \\ & \quad + \sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \int_{\xi_1^{(N)}}^{\xi_{2j+3}^{(N)}} \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\xi_{2j+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2j+3}^{(N)}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \int_t^{\xi_{2j+3}^{(N)}} \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\xi_{2i_N^*+1}^{(N)}}^{T(N, B)} \left| I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right| ds. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.51})$$

For  $t \in [0, T(N, B)]$ ,  $I^{(N)}(t)$  can be upper bounded by  $\bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t)$  as defined in Section 3. Then, there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_1^{(N)} \geq N^{\delta-2\epsilon}) \leq \mathbb{P}(\inf\{t > 0 : \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(t) = 0\} \geq N^{\delta-2\epsilon} \mid \bar{I}_B^{(N)}(0) = \lfloor K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \rfloor) \leq C e^{-C' N^\delta}, \quad (\text{A.52})$$

where the first inequality is due to the assumption  $I^{(N)}(0) \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$  and the second inequality is due to Lemma 3.1 and Markov's inequality. Also, on the event  $\bar{E}^{(N)}$ , we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N, B)} I^{(N)}(t) \leq 5N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N, B)} \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(t)}{S^{(N)}(t) - N} \leq \frac{2N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}{B}. \quad (\text{A.53})$$

Hence, on  $\{\bar{\zeta}_1^{(N)} < N^{\delta-2\epsilon}\} \cap \bar{E}^{(N)}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_0^{\bar{\zeta}_1^{(N)}} \left| I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right| ds \leq CN^{-4\epsilon+2\delta} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

With  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\bar{\zeta}_1^{(N)} < N^{\delta-2\epsilon}) = 1$  due to (A.52) and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\bar{E}^{(N)}) = 1$  due to Lemma A.5, we have

$$\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_0^{\bar{\zeta}_1^{(N)}} \left| I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right| ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad (\text{A.54})$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, consider the second term in the right hand side of (A.51). For  $j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( I_{l,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{l,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{l,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{l,i}^{(N)}} - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\bar{\zeta}_1^{(N)}}^{\bar{\zeta}_{2j+3}^{(N)}} \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( I_{u,i}^{(N)}(s) - \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} \right) ds + \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=0}^j \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}}^{\bar{\zeta}_{2i+3}^{(N)}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}}{\mu_{u,i}^{(N)} - \lambda_{u,i}^{(N)}} - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma A.8 and Lemma A.9,

$$\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \int_{\bar{\zeta}_1^{(N)}}^{\bar{\zeta}_{2j+3}^{(N)}} \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.55})$$

By Lemma A.4, we have

$$\sup_{j \in \{0, \dots, i_N^* - 1\}} \sup_{\bar{\zeta}_{2j+1}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \bar{\zeta}_{2j+3}^{(N)}} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \left| \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{2j+1}^{(N)}}^t \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (\text{A.56})$$

To estimate the last term in the bound (A.51), note that using the same argument used to derive (A.6) and (A.9),

$$\mathbb{P} \left( T(N, B) - \bar{\zeta}_{2i_N^*+1}^{(N)} \geq N^{\delta-2\epsilon} \right) C e^{-C' N^{\delta}}.$$

From this and (A.53), we conclude

$$\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{2i_N^*+1}^{(N)}}^{T(N, B)} \left| I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right| ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (\text{A.57})$$

Using (A.54), (A.55), (A.56) and (A.57) in (A.51), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T(N, B)} \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \int_0^t \left( I^{(N)}(s) - \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} \right) ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (\text{A.58})$$

For any  $t$  such that  $N^{2\varepsilon}t \leq T(N, B)$ , by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} ds \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.59}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} ds &= \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s)}{S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s) - N} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \int_0^t \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} + \frac{N - S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s)}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}}{\frac{S^{(N)}(N^{2\varepsilon}s) - N}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} ds = -\frac{t}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that for all  $t$  such that  $N^{2\varepsilon}t \leq T(N, B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} \frac{2N - S^{(N)}(s)}{S^{(N)}(s) - N} ds \right| + \frac{t}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, combined with (A.58), yields that as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge (N^{-2\varepsilon}\tau_2^{(N)}(B))} \left| \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}t} I^{(N)}(s) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{X^{(N)}(s)} ds \right| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0,$$

which concludes the proof of Proposition 5.5.  $\square$

## B Upper bound on $I^{(N)}$

**Proposition B.1.** *For any fixed  $K_1, K_2, T > 0$  and  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ , there exist constants  $a, b, N_1 > 0$ , such that for all  $N \geq N_1, x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ ,*

$$\sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \underline{z})} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon}T} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \right) \leq ae^{-bN^{2\delta}},$$

and consequently, for all  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, \underline{z})} \left( \frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon}T} I^{(N)}(s) ds \geq \tilde{\varepsilon} \right) = 0. \tag{B.1}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{I}^{(N)}$  denote a birth-death process with

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{I}^{(N)} &\nearrow \tilde{I}^{(N)} + 1 \text{ at rate } N - \tilde{I}^{(N)}, \\ \tilde{I}^{(N)} &\searrow (\tilde{I}^{(N)} - 1)_+ \text{ at rate } N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma [12, Lemma EC.17], starting from 1, the probability  $h_1^x$  of  $\tilde{I}^{(N)}$  hitting  $x$  before returning to 0 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}h_1^x &= \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^x \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}{N - m}} \leq \prod_{m \leq x-1} \frac{N - m}{N - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ - \sum_{m \leq x-1} \frac{m - \beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}{N} \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \frac{x(x-1)}{2N} + \frac{\beta N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(x-1)}{N} \right\},\end{aligned}\tag{B.2}$$

where the second inequality is due to  $1 - z \leq e^{-z}$ , for  $z \geq 0$ . Now, recall  $\xi_i^{(N)}$  for  $i \geq 1$ , from (A.2). Since rate of increase of  $I^{(N)}$  is  $Q_1^{(N)} - Q_2^{(N)} = N - I^{(N)} - Q_2^{(N)} \leq N - I^{(N)}$ , in the interval  $[\xi_{2i}^{(N)}, \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}]$ , we can naturally couple the processes  $I^{(N)}(t)$  and  $\tilde{I}^{(N)}(t)$  with  $I^{(N)}(\xi_{2i}^{(N)}) = \tilde{I}^{(N)}(\xi_{2i}^{(N)}) = 1$ , such that sample path-wise,  $I^{(N)}$  is dominated by the process  $\tilde{I}^{(N)}$ . Thus, taking  $x = N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$  in (B.2), we have that for each  $i \geq 1$  and large enough  $N$ ,

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} \sup_{x, y, z} \mathbb{P}_{(x, y, z)} \left( \sup_{\xi_{2i}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \xi_{2i+1}^{(N)}} I^{(N)}(t) \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \right) \leq h_1^{N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}} \leq e^{-cN^{2\delta}},\tag{B.3}$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant. This bounds  $I^{(N)}$  on each of its excursions. Now we will consider the interval  $[0, \xi_1^{(N)}]$ . Take  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \geq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  and (B.3) holds for all  $N \geq N_1$ . Note that for all  $N \geq N_1$  and  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,  $y \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{(x, y, z)} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \xi_1^{(N)}} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \tilde{I}^{(N)}(t) \text{ hits } 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \text{ before hitting } 0 \mid \tilde{I}^{(N)}(0) = x \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \tilde{I}^{(N)}(t) \text{ hits } 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \text{ before hitting } 0 \mid \tilde{I}^{(N)}(0) = \lfloor K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left( \tilde{I}^{(N)}(t) \text{ hits } N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \text{ before hitting } 0 \mid \tilde{I}^{(N)}(0) = 1 \right) \leq e^{-cN^{2\delta}},\end{aligned}$$

where for the third inequality, we consider two copies of the process  $\tilde{I}^{(N)}$ :  $\{\tilde{I}_1^{(N)}(t), t > 0 \mid \tilde{I}_1^{(N)}(0) = 1\}$  and  $\{\tilde{I}_2^{(N)}(t), t > 0 \mid \tilde{I}_2^{(N)}(0) = \lfloor K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \rfloor\}$  which are coupled as follows: if there is a new arrival in  $\tilde{I}_1^{(N)}$  (that is,  $\tilde{I}_1^{(N)}$  increases by 1), then there is an arrival in  $\tilde{I}_2^{(N)}$  with probability  $\frac{N - \tilde{I}_2^{(N)}}{N - \tilde{I}_1^{(N)}}$ ; if there is a departure in  $\tilde{I}_2^{(N)}$ , then there is a departure in  $\tilde{I}_1^{(N)}$  (or if  $\tilde{I}_1^{(N)}$  was zero before the departure, it stays zero after it). In this way,  $|\tilde{I}_1^{(N)}(t) - \tilde{I}_2^{(N)}(t)| \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$  for all  $t$  which implies that the third inequality holds. Also, writing  $i_N^{**} := \inf\{i \geq 0 : \xi_{2i+3}^{(N)} \geq N^{2\varepsilon} T\}$ , we have by the

same argument used to derive (A.11),

$$\sup_{\substack{x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \\ y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \mathbb{P}\left(i_N^{**} \geq \frac{3}{2} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T\right) \leq e^{-\frac{3}{32} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T}.$$

Hence, uniformly over all  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,  $y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , and feasible  $\underline{z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq N^{2\varepsilon} T} I^{(N)} \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(i_N^{**} \geq \frac{3}{2} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T\right) + \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{\zeta}_1^{(N)}} I^{(N)}(t) \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{3}{2} N^{1+2\varepsilon} T \sup_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\sup_{\tilde{\zeta}_{2i}^{(N)} \leq t \leq \tilde{\zeta}_{2i+1}^{(N)}} I^{(N)} \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right) \leq ae^{-bN^{2\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all  $N \geq N_1$ ,  $x \leq K_1 N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ ,  $y \leq K_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1+2\varepsilon}} \int_0^{N^{2\varepsilon} T} I^{(N)}(s) ds \geq 2N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right) \\ & \leq \sup_{\underline{z}} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y,\underline{z})}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq N^{2\varepsilon} T} I^{(N)}(s) \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}\right) \leq ae^{-bN^{2\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □