

UNIFORMITY OF QUADRATIC POINTS

TANGLI GE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend a uniformity result of Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger [8] to dimension two and use it to get a uniform bound on the set of all quadratic points for non-hyperelliptic non-bielliptic curves in terms of the Mordell-Weil rank.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a non-hyperelliptic, non-bielliptic curve (i.e. having no 2-to-1 map to an elliptic curve or \mathbb{P}^1) defined over a number field F . Harris-Silverman showed in [17, Corollary 3] that the set of quadratic points on C is finite by applying Falting's Theorem [9] to its symmetric product. Motivated by this and the recent uniformity result of Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger [8], we will show that

Theorem 1.1. *Let $g \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$ be integers. Then there exists a constant $c = c(g, d) \geq 1$ such that for any non-hyperelliptic non-bielliptic smooth irreducible curve C of genus g defined over a number field F with $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$, we have*

$$\#C(F, 2) \leq c^{1+\rho}$$

where $C(F, 2)$ is the set of points on C that are defined over some F' with $[F' : F] \leq 2$ and ρ is the rank of $\text{Jac}(C)(F)$.

Let us call a positive dimensional variety X defined over a field K geometrically Mordellic or GeM, if $X_{\bar{K}}$ does not contain subvarieties which are not of general type (see [1, Definition 1.4]). For a subvariety of an abelian variety, Kawamata first showed that being GeM is equivalent to containing no translates of positive dimensional abelian subvarieties, see [19]. Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a family of abelian varieties defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ where S is a variety over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a family of 2-dimensional GeM subvarieties of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$. The above theorem relies on a uniform bound of the same quality as in [8], on the number of rational points for such a family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$. Namely we need the following (see §2 for the notations and heights involved)

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a principally polarized abelian scheme with level l structures where S is a variety over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume the modular map $\tau : S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is quasi-finite, where \mathbf{A}_g is the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with level l structures. Let h be a height function on \mathbf{A}_g associated to an ample line bundle on \mathbf{A}_g . Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a family of 2-dimensional irreducible GeM subvarieties in $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$. Assume also \mathcal{X}_s generates \mathcal{A}_s for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then there is some $c > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $h(\tau(s)) > c$, and any subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r , we have*

$$\#\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{1+r}.$$

Date: June 1, 2021.

Work supported in part by funds from NSF grant DMS-2100548 and DMS-1759514.

The idea of our proof is as follows. We first use the non-degeneracy result by Gao [10, Theorem 10.1] and the height inequality developed by Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger [8, Theorem 1.6] to find several families of curves in $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$, over possibly different bases, with one marked family over S , satisfying the following: for any point P of \mathcal{X} not on the marked family of curves, all of the points that are "close" to P live on a single curve among these families of curves (see Lemma 6.1 for details). Then we prove and use the following Theorem 1.3 to bound the number of points on those families of curves. Finally we combine it with a classical result of Rémond for large points to get the desired bound on $\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ with large modular height.

Theorem 1.3. *Let S be a variety over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a family of abelian varieties. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a family of GeM curves in them. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and any subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r ,*

$$\#\mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{1+r}.$$

Theorem 1.3 itself is of interest to us. Compared with [11, Theorem 1.1] or [20, Theorem 4], it is also valid for the case when the ambient abelian varieties are not the Jacobians of the curves. Also, the curves can be singular or reducible.

The main new ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is the use of Hilbert scheme to construct non-degenerate subvarieties of a family of abelian varieties which could, for example, even be a constant family of abelian varieties (see §3). This is motivated by the work of Hrushovski [18, Lemma 1.3.2] and Scanlon [28] on automatic uniformity, and the Betti rank formula by Gao [10, Theorem 10.1].

From the proof of Theorem 1.3, we see that the difficulty in generalizing its proof to higher dimensions falls in two parts. One is the need of uniform Bogomolov conjecture for higher dimensions. The other is that we are no longer able to compare distance between points with the base height, cf. the note after its proof.

In a joint project [12] with Gao and Kühne, we will prove the uniform Mordell-Lang conjecture for any subvariety. The non-degeneracy construction using Hilbert schemes in §3 will be used. Compared to the current paper, some finer properties of Hilbert schemes are also required. As a consequence of this result, the constant c in Theorem 1.1 can be taken independent of the degree d of the number field.

2. NOTATION

We work over characteristic 0. The field of definition is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. A variety is a reduced separated scheme of finite type over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. A subvariety of a variety is a closed reduced subscheme. A variety X is said to be defined over a number field F , if $X = X_0 \times_{\text{Spec } F} \text{Spec } \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some X_0 over F .

A curve is a one-dimensional variety. A family of curves is denoted by $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ for some variety S . A family of 2-dimensional varieties is denoted by $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$. Whenever we say a family, the projection map is always assumed to be surjective. We do not require a family to be flat, although we will reduce to the flat case in the proofs.

For any family $U \rightarrow H$, denote by $U^n = U_H^n$ the n -th fiber product of U over H . Since our fiber products for families are always over the corresponding base, we sometimes leave out the subscript.

For a projective morphism $Y \rightarrow B$, denote by $\text{Hilb}(Y/B)$ its relative Hilbert scheme, cf. [15] and [23]. It is a fine moduli space which represents the functor that sends any scheme B' over B to the set of closed subschemes of $Y \times_B B'$ that are flat over B' .

Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a family of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g . Fix some $l \geq 3$. A level l structure on $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ consists of $2g$ sections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2g} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, such that $\sigma_1(s), \dots, \sigma_{2g}(s)$ form a basis of the l -torsion subgroup of \mathcal{A}_s . The moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g with level l structures is representable by a quasi-projective variety denoted by \mathbf{A}_g , see [22, Theorem 7.9 and its proof] for the existence. Let $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ be the universal family. If $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ comes with a level l structure, then there exist natural modular maps $\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ and $\iota : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_g$.

Fix a relatively ample symmetric line bundle \mathcal{L}_0 for the universal family $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ which defines fiber-wise Néron-Tate heights \hat{h} on the abelian varieties. Fix an ample line bundle M_0 on \mathbf{A}_g which defines a height function $h : \mathbf{A}_g(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

By the n -th Faltings-Zhang morphism \mathcal{D}_n for $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$, we mean

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n : \mathcal{A}^{n+1} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^n \\ (P_0, \dots, P_n) &\longmapsto (P_1 - P_0, \dots, P_n - P_0). \end{aligned}$$

We say a subvariety X of an abelian variety A generates the abelian variety if $X - X$ is not contained in any proper abelian subvarieties of A .

3. NON-DEGENERACY

The notion of non-degeneracy first appeared in the work of Habegger [16]. Here we take the definition from [8, Definition 1.5]. For an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a smooth point $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$, there is an analytic neighborhood Δ of s and a so-called Betti map

$$b_\Delta : \mathcal{A}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2g}$$

where b_Δ is real analytic and \mathbb{T}^{2g} is the real torus of dimension $2g$, see [8, §2] for details. For an irreducible subvariety \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{A} dominant over S , restrict the Betti map to $\mathcal{X}^{\text{sm,an}}$, the analyticification of the smooth locus of \mathcal{X} . The *generic Betti rank* is defined to be the maximal rank of $db_\Delta|_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{sm,an}} \cap \mathcal{A}_\Delta}$, the differential of the restricted Betti map, see [10]. We say \mathcal{X} is *non-degenerate* if the generic Betti rank of \mathcal{X} is equal to $2 \dim \mathcal{X}$.

In this section, we will use Gao's non-degeneracy criterion [10, Theorem 10.1] to construct a new type of non-degenerate varieties.

Let us start with the following observation:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $Y \rightarrow B$ be a projective morphism and $H \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(Y/B)$ be a quasi-finite map with H irreducible. Let $U \rightarrow H$ be the corresponding flat family. Assume $U \rightarrow H$ has reduced fibers. Then for large enough n , the map $U_H^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$ is generically finite.*

Proof. We may assume $B = \text{Spec } k$ is a point. If H is a finite set, there's nothing to prove. Otherwise for any $h_1, h_2 \in H$ whose images in $\text{Hilb}(Y)$ are distinct, there is a point $P_1 \in U_{h_1} \setminus U_{h_2}$ since U_{h_1} and U_{h_2} have different moduli and are reduced. Let $H_1 := \{h \in H : P_1 \in U_h\}$ which is a proper closed subset of H . As long as H_1 is not of dimension 0, for the subfamily $U_1 \rightarrow H_1$, we can find another point P_2 such that $H_2 := \{h \in H : P_1, P_2 \in U_h\}$ is a proper closed subset of H_1 . Keep going and eventually we can get some n and P_1, \dots, P_n such that only finitely many fibers contain them.

This implies that for the morphism $U_H^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$, the preimage of (P_1, \dots, P_n) is a finite set. In particular, $U_H^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$ is generically finite. \square

Remark 3.2. If $H \subseteq \text{Hilb}(Y/B)$ is an immersion, then the same argument shows that $U_H^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$ is moreover birational to its image for large n .

Corollary 3.3. *Let $Y \rightarrow B$ be as above and $U \rightarrow H$ be a flat family of subvarieties inside $Y_H = Y \times_B H \rightarrow H$, with H irreducible. Assume that the geometric generic fiber $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ is reduced. If the induced map $H \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(Y/B)$ is generically finite, then there exists $n > 0$ such that the map $U_H^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$ is generically finite*

Proof. If $H \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(Y/B)$ is generically finite, then there exists a dense open subset $H' \subseteq H$ such that $H' \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(Y/B)$ is finite [29, Tag 02NV] and that all fibers over points of H' are reduced [29, Tag 0574]. By Lemma 3.1, there exists large enough n such that $(U')_{H'}^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$ is generically finite. Since $(U')_{H'}^n \subseteq U_H^n$ is dense open, $U_H^n \rightarrow Y_B^n$ is also generically finite. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ be the universal abelian scheme. Let S be an irreducible variety with a generically finite morphism $S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ and $U \rightarrow S$ is the induced family inside $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{A}_g \times_{\mathbf{A}_g} S \rightarrow S$. For the geometric generic fiber $U_{\bar{\eta}}$, assume the following:*

- (1) $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ is integral of positive dimension;
- (2) $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ is not contained in any proper subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$;
- (3) $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ has finite stabilizer in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$.

Then for n large enough, $U^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. Note that $U \rightarrow S$ satisfies the assumptions of Gao's Betti rank formula [10, Theorem 10.1(i)]. So for each $t \geq 0$, the Betti rank of U^n is at least $2(\dim \iota(U^n) - t)$ for all $n \geq \dim S - t$. But by Lemma 3.3, if n is large enough,

$$\iota : U^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_g^n$$

is generically finite which implies $\dim \iota(U^n) = \dim U^n$. Therefore, letting $t = 0$ and $n \geq \dim S$ large enough, the Betti rank of U^n is equal to $2 \dim U^n$, that is, $U^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ is non-degenerate. \square

We remark that if the induced map $S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ for $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ is generically finite, then $S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ is generically finite for free.

Sometimes we also want to consider the non-degeneracy of the image of the Faltings-Zhang morphism. Let $U \rightarrow T$ be a flat family of subvarieties in the abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}_T = \mathcal{A} \times_S T \rightarrow T$. Consider the following embedding of $U \times_T U$:

$$\begin{aligned} U \times_T U &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_U = U \times_T \mathcal{A}_T \\ (P, Q) &\longmapsto (P, Q - P). \end{aligned}$$

Denote its image by U_1 . It can be regarded as a family over U whose fiber over any point $P \in U_t$ is $U_t - P$. We have the following

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme and $U \rightarrow T$ be a flat family of subvarieties in the abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow T$. Let $U_1 \rightarrow U$ be the family whose fiber over any point $P \in U_t$ is $U_t - P$, as defined above. If the induced map $U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}/S)$ for $U_1 \rightarrow U$ is generically finite, then for n large enough, the map $\mathcal{D}_n(U_T^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_S^n$ is generically finite.*

Proof. Again we may assume S is a point and write A for \mathcal{A} . Write (P, t) for a point of $P \in U_t$. Note that

$$\mathcal{D}_n(U_T^{n+1}) = \{(t, P_1 - P_0, \dots, P_n - P_0) : P_0, \dots, P_n \in U_t\}.$$

The map $\mathcal{D}_n(U_T^{n+1}) \rightarrow A^n$ is given by forgetting the t -coordinate.

If $U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}/S)$ is generically finite, then by Corollary 3.3, there exists $n > 0$ large enough such that the map $(U_1)_U^n \rightarrow A^n$ is generically finite. Then there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ such that its preimage is nonempty and finite. Note that a fiber for $(U_1)_U^n$ over $(P, t) \in U$ is $(U_t - P)^n$. That means, there are only finitely many (P, t) such that $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (U_t - P)^n$. Then in particular, there are only finitely many t such that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is in the fiber of $\mathcal{D}_n(U_T^{n+1}) \rightarrow T$ over t . So $\mathcal{D}_n(U_T^{n+1}) \rightarrow A^n$ is generically finite. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ be the universal abelian scheme. Let S be an irreducible variety and $U \rightarrow S$ is a flat family inside $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{A}_g \times_{\mathbf{A}_g} S \rightarrow S$. For the geometric generic fiber $U_{\bar{\eta}}$, assume the following:*

- (1) $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ is integral of positive dimension;
- (2) $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ is not contained in any proper subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$;
- (3) $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ has finite stabilizer in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$.

Let $U_1 \rightarrow U$ be the family whose fiber over any point $P \in U_t$ is $U_t - P$, as above. Assume that the induced map $U \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ for $U_1 \rightarrow U$ is generically finite. Then for n large enough, $\mathcal{D}_n(U^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. Applying Gao's Betti rank formula [10, Theorem 10.1(ii)] with $t = 0$, the Betti rank of $\mathcal{D}_n(U^{n+1})$ is at least $2 \dim \mathcal{D}_n(\iota(U^{n+1})) = 2 \dim \iota(\mathcal{D}_n(U^{n+1}))$ for $n \geq \dim X$. But for large enough n , $\dim \iota(\mathcal{D}_n(U^{n+1})) = \dim \mathcal{D}_n(U^{n+1})$ by our assumption and Lemma 3.5. So $\mathcal{D}_n(U^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ is non-degenerate. \square

Remark 3.7. The assumption on the finiteness of $U_1 \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ is a fairly restrictive condition. It does not allow the family to have too many translates of a same subvariety. For example, it excludes the case when $U \rightarrow S$ is given by the corresponding $U'_1 \rightarrow U'$ for another family $U' \rightarrow S'$.

4. KNOWN RESULTS

4.1. Classical results. In this subsection, we recall some classical results developed by Rémond.

Proposition 4.1 (Rémond, curve case). *Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a family of GeM curves in an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ with S quasi-projective. Let \mathcal{L} be a relatively ample line bundle for $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ and M be an ample line bundle on S , which induce Néron-Tate heights \hat{h} on abelian varieties and height h on S respectively. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ a subgroup of finite rank r , we have*

$$\#\{P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma : \hat{h}(P) > c \max\{1, h(s)\}\} \leq c^r.$$

Proof. This is a generalization of [7, Corollary 2.4] with the similar proof. We may assume that the relatively ample line bundle $\iota^* \mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ defines an embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ for some constant n . By the formulation of [7, Corollary 2.3], for any $\mathcal{C}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s$, there exists $c_1 = c_1(n, \deg \mathcal{C}_s) > 0$ such that

$$\#\{P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}(P) > c_1 \max\{1, h(\mathcal{C}_s), h_1 = h_1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{L}_s), c_{\text{NT}} = c_{\text{NT}}(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)\}\} \leq c_1^r.$$

Since for the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$, the degree $\deg \mathcal{C}_s$ is bounded, we can take c_1 uniformly for all $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.

By the proof of [8, Proposition 8.1] and [8, Lemma 6.1(ii)], we have

$$h(\mathcal{C}_s), h_1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{L}_s), c_{\text{NT}}(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{L}_s) \leq c_2 \max\{1, h(s)\}$$

for some $c_2 > 0$, uniformly in $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. The proposition follows by take $c := \max\{c_1, c_2\}$. \square

Take a very ample symmetric line bundle L on an abelian variety A with the corresponding embedding $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Then L defines a Néron-Tate height \hat{h} on A and let $|P| := \hat{h}(P)^{1/2}$ for $P \in A(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Let us recall the following explicit Vojta and Mumford's inequality of Rémond for dimension two, which are special cases of [26, Théorème 1.2] and [25, Proposition 3.4], respectively (note that increasing the constants appeared in them will only weaken the results).

Lemma 4.2 (Vojta's inequality). *For any GeM subvariety $X \subseteq A$ of dimension 2, there exists constants $c_1 = c(n, \deg(X)) > 0$ such that if $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ satisfy for $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_i, P_{i+1} \rangle &\geq (1 - 1/c_1)|P_i||P_{i+1}| \\ |P_{i+1}| &\geq c_1|P_i| \end{aligned}$$

then $|P_1|^2 \leq c_1 \max\{1, h(X), h_1, c_{NT}\}$.

Lemma 4.3 (Mumford's inequality). *Assume that $(P, P_1, P_2) \in X^3(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is an isolated point in the fiber of the Faltings-Zhang morphism $\mathcal{D}_2 : X^3 \rightarrow A^2$. There exists constant $c_2 = c_2(n, \deg(X))$ such that if P, P_1, P_2 satisfy for $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, P_i \rangle &\geq (1 - 1/c_2)|P||P_i| \\ ||P| - |P_i|| &\geq \frac{1}{c_2}|P| \end{aligned}$$

then $|P|^2 \leq c_2 \max\{1, h(X), h_1, c_{NT}\}$.

To prove the following family version of Rémond's result for dimension 2, we need to invoke Theorem 1.3, the proof of which has nothing to do with the 2-dimensional world. It is expected to some extent, as Rémond in his original proof of [25, Proposition 3.3], uses the most general results for lower dimension too.

Proposition 4.4 (Rémond, surface case). *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a family of GeM subvarieties of dimension 2 in an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ with S quasi-projective. Let \mathcal{L} be a relatively ample line bundle for $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ and M be an ample line bundle on S , which induce Néron-Tate heights \hat{h} on abelian varieties and height h on S respectively. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ a subgroup of finite rank r , we have*

$$\#\{P \in \mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma : \hat{h}(P) > c \max\{1, h(s)\}\} \leq c^r.$$

Proof. Consider the following subvariety $Y \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathcal{A}$ defined by the property that any fiber over $a \in \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ for $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is $\mathcal{X}_s \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - a)$. Since \mathcal{X}_s is GeM, the stabilizer of \mathcal{X}_s is finite. So for all but finitely many (say N , uniformly in s) $a \in \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, the fiber of $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ over a is at most of dimension 1. By the upper-semicontinuity of fiber dimension [13, Corollaire 13.1.5], there exists a dense open subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, such that the restricted family $Y' := Y \times_{\mathcal{A}} V \rightarrow V$ is a family of GeM curves. Applying Theorem 1.3 to this family of curves, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ a subgroup of finite rank r and any $a \in V_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, $\#Y'_a(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c_1^{1+r}$. Let N' be an upper bound for $\deg(\mathcal{X}_s)$.

Lemma 4.5. *For any set Σ of points in $\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ with cardinality $\#\Sigma > N + (N')^2 \cdot c_1^{1+r}$ and $P \in \mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, there exist P_1, P_2 in this set such that (P, P_1, P_2) is an isolated point in the fiber of the Faltings-Zhang morphism $\mathcal{D}_2 : \mathcal{X}_s^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s^2$.*

Proof. Indeed, note that (P, P_1, P_2) is isolated in the fiber over $(P_1 - P, P_2 - P)$, if and only if the local dimension of $(\mathcal{X}_s - P) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_1) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_2)$ at the identity 0 is zero. Since the stabilizer of $\mathcal{X}_s - P$ has cardinality N , there are at most N points P_1 such that $(\mathcal{X}_s - P) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_1) = \mathcal{X}_s - P$. Pick $P_1 \in \Sigma$ such that $\dim((\mathcal{X}_s - P) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_1)) \leq 1$. Assume P_2 is such that the local dimension of $(\mathcal{X}_s - P) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_1) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_2)$ at the identity 0 is not zero. Then $\mathcal{X}_s - P_2$ must contain some irreducible component $0 \in C$ of dimension one of $(\mathcal{X}_s - P) \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - P_1)$. This implies that

$$P_2 \in \bigcap_{a \in C} (\mathcal{X}_s - a) = \mathcal{X}_s \cap \bigcap_{a \in C \setminus 0} (\mathcal{X}_s - a).$$

Note that the right hand side is contained in some $\mathcal{X}_s \cap (\mathcal{X}_s - a)$ for some $a \in C(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of dimension 1, which is in our family $Y' \rightarrow V$. So there are at most c_1^{1+r} points of such $P_2 \in \Gamma$. On the other hand, the number of such irreducible components C is at most

$$\sum_C \deg C \leq \deg(\mathcal{X}_s)^2 \leq (N')^2.$$

So for (P, P_1) , there are at most $(N')^2 \cdot c_1^{1+r}$ choices of P_2 such that (P, P_1, P_2) is not isolated in the fiber of the Faltings-Zhang morphism. Hence the claim. \square

Now we are ready to use Vojta and Mumford's inequality. Since $\deg \mathcal{X}_s$ and n are bounded for the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$, we could take a uniform $c_2 > 0$, such that both Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 are satisfied.

Regard $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$ as a normed vector space of dimension r . By [25, Corollaire 6.1], we could cover the vector space by at most $(1 + \sqrt{8c_2})^r$ closed cones, such that for any x, y in a same cone, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle \geq (1 - 1/c_2)|x||y|.$$

Inside a cone, consider all the points $\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ whose Néron-Tate height is greater than

$$c_2 \max\{1, h(\mathcal{X}_s), h_1 = h_1(s), c_{\text{NT}} = c_{\text{NT}}(s)\}.$$

We arrange them so that $|P_0| \leq |P_1| \leq \dots$. Then Mumford's inequality together with the claim tells us that for any number $B > c_2 \max\{1, h(\mathcal{X}_s), h_1, c_{\text{NT}}\}$, there are at most $N + (N')^2 \cdot c_1^{1+r}$ points P_j with

$$B \leq |P_j| \leq (1 + 1/c_2)B$$

Take N_1 to be an upper bound for $\log_{1+1/c_2}(c_2)$ so that $(1 + 1/c_2)^{N_1} > c_2$. By above, letting

$$N_2 := (N + (N')^2 \cdot c_1^{1+r}) \cdot N_1,$$

we have $|P_{i+N_2}| \geq c_2 |P_i|$ for any i . By Vojta's inequality, we get then there are at most $2N_2$ points in the cone, since otherwise we could take the triple (P_0, P_{N_2}, P_{2N_2}) in Lemma 4.2 to get a contradiction.

Hence overall, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{P \in \mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma : \hat{h}(P) > c_2 \max\{1, h(\mathcal{X}_s), h_1, c_{\text{NT}}\}\} &\leq (1 + \sqrt{8c_2})^r \cdot 2N_2 \\ &\leq c_3^{1+r} \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_3 > 0$.

As in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$h(\mathcal{X}_s), h_1, c_{\text{NT}} \leq c_4 \max\{1, h(s)\}.$$

So take $c > 0$ large enough we would have the proposition. \square

4.2. Recent results. The first theorem we recall is the height inequality for a non-degenerate subvariety, as proved in [8, Theorem 1.6 and Appendix B].

Theorem 4.6 (Height inequality). *Let S be an irreducible quasi-projective variety and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ be a family of abelian varieties defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with Néron-Tate height $\hat{h} = \hat{h}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}}$ on \mathcal{A} associated to a relatively ample symmetric line bundle \mathcal{L} and height $h = h_{S, M}$ on S associated to an ample line bundle M . Let X be an irreducible closed subvariety of \mathcal{A} that dominates S . Suppose X is non-degenerate. Then there exists constants $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ and a Zariski dense open subset U of X such that*

$$\hat{h}(P) \geq c_1 h(s) - c_2 \quad \text{for all } P \in U_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

The other theorem is the Uniform Bogomolov conjecture for curves by Kühne [20, Proposition 21]. For our purpose, we need the following version:

Theorem 4.7 (Uniform Bogomolov conjecture for curves). *Let S be an irreducible algebraic variety over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ a family of principally polarized abelian varieties with level l structures, and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ a family of irreducible GeM curves in $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$. Assume that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, the curve \mathcal{C}_s generates \mathcal{A}_s . Then, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\#\{x \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}(x) \leq c_1\} < c_2,$$

where the fiber-wise Néron-Tate heights are induced from those on the universal family $\mathfrak{A}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$, as defined in §2.

Proof. As our setup is slightly more general than [20, Proposition 21] and [11, Theorem 8.3], we explain the new input to modify the proof in [11, Theorem 8.3].

As in the original case, we do induction on $\dim S$.

Note first that we may assume $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is flat by induction hypothesis. So we have an induced morphism $\phi : S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$. If ϕ is not generically finite, then we use induction hypothesis and pull it back. Note that pull-back works since our Néron-Tate heights are taken from the universal family. So we can assume ϕ is generically finite.

To prove the results on $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$, we remark that the rest of the proof in [11, Theorem 8.3] is valid except that the nondegeneracy of \mathcal{C}^n is provided by Proposition 3.4. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Proof. Induct on $\dim S$. We arrange the proof in a few steps.

Step 0: We make several reductions in this step. Note first the following simple fact:

If $S' \rightarrow S$ is a dominant map, then the result on $\mathcal{C}_{S'} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{S'}$ implies the result on $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Immediate consequences of the fact are that we may assume S is quasi-projective by Chow's lemma and the map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is flat.

Without loss of generality, assume \mathcal{C} and S are irreducible. Then the generic fiber \mathcal{C}_η is irreducible, which may not be geometrically irreducible. There is a finite extension of the function field $k(S)$, which gives rise to a quasi-finite dominant map $T \rightarrow S$, such that the generic fiber of the pullback $\mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ decomposes into geometrically irreducible components. By the fact, we may work on $\mathcal{C}_T \rightarrow T$ instead. Then we can work on each irreducible

component of \mathcal{C}_T . Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_T$ be an irreducible component that is dominant over T . Then the generic fiber of \mathcal{C}_1 is an irreducible component of the generic fiber of \mathcal{C}_T . So the generic fiber of $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow T$ is geometrically irreducible. By [29, Tag 0553], there exists a dense open subset T' of T such that $\mathcal{C}_1 \times_T T' \rightarrow T'$ has geometrically irreducible fibers. Together with induction hypothesis, we reduce to study such a family as $\mathcal{C}_1 \times_T T' \rightarrow T'$ and may assume *every fiber is geometrically irreducible*.

We can assume *the curves pass through 0*. Indeed, by possibly taking a finite cover, which is justified by the fact above, we can assume there is a point $\text{Spec } k(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\eta$ on the generic fiber. By spreading out the morphism, there is a dense open subset S' of S such that $S' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}' := \mathcal{C} \times_S S'$ is a section. By the fact, it suffices to work on $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow S'$. Translating \mathcal{C}' by the section, we get $\mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow S'$. The result on $\mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow S'$ implies the result on $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow S'$, since $\mathcal{C}'_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{C}''_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) - P) \cap \langle \Gamma, P \rangle$, where $\langle \Gamma, P \rangle$ is the group generated by Γ and P , which has rank at most $1 + \text{rank } \Gamma$.

We may assume *the curves generate the ambient abelian varieties*. Indeed, if the generic curve \mathcal{C}_η generates \mathcal{A}_η , then for some n large enough, the addition map $+: (\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C})^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is surjective over the generic point of S . By a spreading out result [13, Théorème 8.10.5(vi)], there is a nonempty open subset S' of S over which the addition map is surjective. Namely, the curves over $s \in S'$ generate the ambient abelian varieties. By induction we are good in this case. If the generic curve \mathcal{C}_η is contained in a proper coset $P + \mathcal{B}_\eta$ of \mathcal{A}_η , since $0 \in \mathcal{C}_\eta$ by our assumption in the last paragraph, we could take $P = 0$. Here \mathcal{B}_η is probably only defined over a finite extension of $k(S)$. Then by spreading out \mathcal{B}_η , there is a quasi-finite dominant map $S' \rightarrow S$, such that \mathcal{C}_s generates \mathcal{B}_s for any $s \in S'$. By the fact, we may assume $S' = S$. Assume we have the result for $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \rightarrow S$, namely there is $c > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and any abelian subgroup Γ of $\mathcal{B}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r , we have $\#\mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{1+r}$. Then the result for $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ will be an immediate corollary because for any finite rank subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, the intersection $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is an abelian subgroup of possibly lower rank, which means the same $c > 0$ would work for $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Next, we will add polarizations and level structures to the abelian varieties. Let (A', L') be a principally polarized abelian variety isogenous to the generic abelian variety \mathcal{A}_η . By spreading out (A', L') and the morphism, there is a principally polarized abelian scheme $(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{L}')$ over a dense open subset S' of S with an isogeny $\mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times_S S'$. Let \mathcal{C}' be the pullback of \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{A}' . Then the result on $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$ would imply the result on $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ over S' . In particular, we may assume *$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ is a family of principally polarized abelian varieties*.

For level structures, note that there is a quasi-finite dominant map $S' \rightarrow S$ such that the l -torsion points of the generic abelian variety \mathcal{A}_η are all defined over $k(S')$ and the torsion points spread out to distinct torsion sections over S' . Then we may impose the level l structures on $\mathcal{A} \times_S S'$. By the fact, we thus assume *$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ is a family of principally polarized abelian varieties with level l structures*.

Overall, we can assume the following:

- (1) S is an irreducible quasi-projective variety over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ is a family of principally polarized abelian varieties with level l structures;
- (3) $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is a flat family of irreducible curves passing through 0 in $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$, such that every curve \mathcal{C}_s generates \mathcal{A}_s .

In particular, there is an ample line bundle M defining height $h : S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on S by (1), a natural map from S to the moduli space \mathbf{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties with level l structures by (2), and a natural map from S to the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ by

(3). The map $S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ induces fiber-wise Néron-Tate heights on $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ by pulling back from the universal family.

Step 1: In this step, we will demonstrate how to apply the height inequality 4.6 to the non-degeneracy result from §3 to study the distance of geometric points on the curves.

By Step 0, if $\psi : S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ induced from the family $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is not generically finite, then the image of S has lower dimension. In this case we can simply use induction on the image family and pull it back. So we may assume $\psi : S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ is generically finite.

Consider the following embedding of $\mathcal{C} \times_S \mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \times_S \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C} \times_S \mathcal{A} \\ (P, Q) &\longmapsto (P, Q - P). \end{aligned}$$

Denote its image by \mathcal{C}_1 . Then the projection onto the first factor is a family of curves $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ inside $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Note that $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is a subfamily of $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ due to our assumption that $0 \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. By the universal property of Hilbert schemes, there is a natural map $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$. Then $\psi(S) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{C})$. Depending on whether ϕ is generically finite or not, we apply Theorem 4.6 in two ways.

Take the Néron-Tate heights as in §2. Fix an ample line bundle M on S and denote a height associated to M by h .

If ϕ is generically finite, we use the Faltings-Zhang morphism to study the distance. By Proposition 3.6, for n large enough, $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ is non-degenerate. By Theorem 4.6, there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and a dense open subset V of $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ such that

$$\hat{h}(P_1 - P_0) + \dots + \hat{h}(P_n - P_0) \geq n \cdot (c_1 h(s) - c_2)$$

for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $(P_1 - P_0, \dots, P_n - P_0) \in V_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.

If ϕ is not generically finite, then the scheme-theoretic images of $\psi : S \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ and $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathfrak{A}_g/\mathbf{A}_g)$ are the same, since $\psi(S) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{C})$ and they are both irreducible of the same dimension. By Proposition 3.4, for n large enough, $\mathcal{C}^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}^n$ is non-degenerate. By Theorem 4.6, there exist (by abuse of notation) $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and a dense open subset $V' \subseteq \mathcal{C}^n$ such that

$$(5.0.1) \quad \hat{h}(P_1) + \dots + \hat{h}(P_n) \geq n \cdot (c_1 h(s) - c_2)$$

for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $(P_1, \dots, P_n) \in V'_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Step 2: For the rest, let us say two points $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ are *close* if $\hat{h}(P_1 - P_2) < c_1 h(s) - c_2$.

Define $E_n := \{(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_n) \in \mathcal{C}^{n+1} : Q_i \text{ is close to } P \text{ for any } i\}$ and $F_n := \bar{E}$. Claim: F_n is a proper subset of \mathcal{C}^{n+1} . Indeed, it is clear for the first case where ϕ is generically finite. For the other case, since $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ are pullbacks of the same family, V' induces an open dense subset V'' of $(\mathcal{C}_1)_{\mathcal{C}}^n$ with the same height inequality as in (5.0.1). Then it is clear that E_n is not dense in \mathcal{C}^{n+1} through the following identification

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{n+1} &\longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}_1)_{\mathcal{C}}^n \\ (P, Q_1, \dots, Q_n) &\longmapsto (Q_1 - P, \dots, Q_n - P). \end{aligned}$$

Let $V_n := \mathcal{C}^{n+1} \setminus F$. The image of V_n in S , say S_0 , is open by flatness. We can use induction hypothesis on $S \setminus S_0$. So without loss of generality, assume the projection $V_n \rightarrow S$ is surjective.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists $N_1, N_2 > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$*

$$\#\{P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \text{there are more than } N_1 \text{ points close to } P\} \leq N_2$$

Proof. This is essentially what proved in [8, Proposition 7.1]. Here we give an alternative proof using an idea from the proof of [4, Lemma 1.1].

Define F_i inductively for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ as follows. Let F_i be the largest closed subset of \mathcal{C}^{i+1} such that $\pi_{i+1}^{-1}(F_i) \subseteq F_{i+1}$ where $\pi_{i+1} : \mathcal{C}^{i+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{i+1}$ is the projection leaving out the last component. In other words, F_i is the set of points over which fibers are positive dimensional. It is closed due to upper semi-continuity of fiber dimension [13, Corollaire 13.1.5] and properness of the map $F_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^i$. Let $V_i := \mathcal{C}^{i+1} \setminus F_i$. Then $F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i+1}} \mathcal{C}^{i+1}$ is finite over V_i . Let d_i be an upper bound on the cardinality of the fibers. Let N_1 be the maximum of all d_i 's.

Since V_n surjects to S by assumption above this lemma, F_i is proper subset of \mathcal{C}^{i+1} , even fiber-wise over any $s \in S$. In particular, $F_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ maps finitely to S . Denote its degree by N_2 .

Then N_1, N_2 satisfy the requirement. Indeed, we will show that if $P \in (V_0)_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, then there are at most N_1 points close to P . Let $j(P) \geq 1$ be the smallest integer j such that all points $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_j) \in \mathcal{C}_s^{j+1}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ with all Q_i 's close to P is contained in F_j . By definition of $j(P)$, there is a point $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_{j(P)-1})$ with all Q_i 's close to P in $V_{j(P)-1}$. But any prolongation $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_{j(P)-1}, Q)$ with Q close to P is in $F_{j(P)}$. Since the fiber of $F_{j(P)}$ over a point of $V_{j(P)-1}$ is finite of cardinality bounded by N_2 , the number of such Q 's that are close to P is bounded by N_1 . \square

Step 3: Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be a subgroup of finite rank r with $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.

For s such that $h(s) \geq \max\{1, \frac{2c_2}{c_1}\}$, we use above and Rémond's result to get the desired bound on $\mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$. Note that if two points P, Q in \mathcal{X}_s satisfies $\hat{h}(P - Q) \leq \frac{c_1}{2}h(s)$, then they are close. By Proposition 4.1, there exists $c_3 > 0$, such that the number of large points in $\mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ whose Néron-Tate heights are greater than $c_3 \max\{1, h(s)\} = c_3 h(s)$ is at most c_3^r . So it suffices to give a bound on the number of points $P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ with $\hat{h}(P) \leq c_3 h(s)$. Regard $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$ as a normed vector space with norm given by $|\cdot| := \hat{h}^{1/2}$. Let $R_1 := \sqrt{c_3 h(s)}$ and $R_2 := \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{2} h(s)}/2$. The closed ball of radius R_1 centered at 0 is covered by at most $(1 + 2R_1/R_2)^r = (1 + 4\sqrt{2c_3/c_1})^r$ closed balls of radius R_2 by [25, Lemme 6.1]. In any closed ball of radius R_2 , any two points are close. So by Lemma 5.1, there are at most N_1 in one closed ball of radius R_2 , with an overall exception of N_2 points. Thus overall we have

$$\#\mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c_3^r + N_1 \cdot (1 + 4\sqrt{2c_3/c_1})^r + N_2 \leq c^{1+r}$$

for some large $c > 0$.

Step 4: For s such that $h(s) < \max\{1, \frac{2c_2}{c_1}\}$, we use Kühne's result and Rémond's result to get the desired bound on $\mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$. Write c_4 for an upper bound of $c_3 \max\{1, h(s)\}$. Rémond's result implies that the number of large points with Néron-Tate heights greater than c_4 is at most c_3^r . To bound the small points, we use Theorem 4.7 for the family $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Then there exists $c_5, c_6 > 0$ such that for any $P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$\#\{Q \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}(Q - P) \leq c_5\} < c_6.$$

This immediately tells us that a closed ball of radius $\sqrt{c_5}/2$ contains less than c_6 points. Now we cover the large ball of radius $\sqrt{c_4}$ in $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$ by $(1 + 2\sqrt{c_4/c_5})^r$ small balls of radius

$\sqrt{c_5}/2$. Similar argument as above then yields

$$\#\mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c_3^r + c_6 \cdot (1 + 2\sqrt{c_4/c_5})^r \leq c^{1+r}$$

for some large $c > 0$. □

Note that for higher dimensional analogue of Theorem 1.3, there would be an intermediate case to deal with, namely the image of ϕ could have dimension $\dim S + 1$, which may cause trouble.

Remark 5.2. A different proof to Theorem 1.3 discovered by Gao is sketched in the Appendix. The idea is to deduce the so-called New Gap Principle for curves in arbitrary abelian varieties from the New Gap Principle for smooth curves in their Jacobians, cf. [11, Theorem 4.1]. The proof requires a careful comparison of the involved heights. Also we need a stronger version of Rémond's result than Proposition 4.1 with $h(s)$ replaced by the moduli height of the ambient abelian variety.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Proof. Induct on $\dim S$.

By [10, Theorem 1.3], for m large enough, $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{X}^{m+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^m$ is nondegenerate. By Theorem 4.6, there is a dense open subset U of $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{X}^{m+1})$ with constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, such that for any $(Q_1 - P, \dots, Q_m - P)$ in the dense open $U(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$(6.0.1) \quad \sum_i \hat{h}(Q_i - P) \geq m \cdot (c_1 h(\tau(s)) - c_2).$$

Again, we say two points P, Q in a fiber of $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ are close if $\hat{h}(P - Q) < c_1 h(s) - c_2$. By induction hypothesis, we may moreover assume U surjects into S .

Lemma 6.1. *There exist m S -schemes $S_1 = S, S_2, \dots, S_m$ (not necessarily irreducible) and m families of curves $\mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{S_i} \rightarrow S_i$ such that for any $P \in \mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, either $P \in (\mathcal{C}_1)_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, or the points that are close to P are on a curve $C = (\mathcal{C}_i)_{s'}$ for some $2 \leq i \leq m$ and $s' \in S_i(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ over $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

Proof. The strategy is similar to our proof of Lemma 5.1. By (6.0.1), there is a proper closed subset F_m of \mathcal{X}^{m+1} such that if $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_m) \in \mathcal{X}^{m+1}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ is such that all Q_1, \dots, Q_m 's are close to P , then $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_m) \in F_m$.

Define F_i inductively for $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ as follows. Let F_i be the largest closed subset of \mathcal{X}^{i+1} such that $\pi_{i+1}^{-1}(F_i) \subseteq F_{i+1}$ where $\pi_{i+1} : \mathcal{X}^{i+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{i+1}$ is the projection leaving out the last component. In other words, F_i is the set of points over which fibers are 2 dimensional, which is closed due to upper semi-continuity of fiber dimension [13, Corollaire 13.1.5]. Let $V_i := \mathcal{X}^{i+1} \setminus F_i$. Then by definition $F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i+1}} \mathcal{X}^{i+1}$ is at most a curve when restricted to V_i .

Since V_m surjects to S by assumption above this lemma, F_i is a proper subset of \mathcal{C}^{i+1} , even fiber-wise over any $s \in S$. In particular, $F_0 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a family of (at most) curves over S .

Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow S_1$ be $F_0 \rightarrow S$. Let $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow S_i$ be $F_i|_{V_i} \rightarrow V_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 2$.

If $P \notin \mathcal{C}_0$, then let $j(P) \geq 1$ be the smallest integer j such that all points $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_j) \in \mathcal{X}_s^{j+1}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ with Q_i 's close to P is contained in F_j . By definition of $j(P)$, there is a point $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_{j(P)-1})$ with all Q_i 's close to P in $V_{j(P)-1}$. Any prolongation $(P, Q_1, \dots, Q_{j(P)-1}, Q)$ with Q close to P is in $F_{j(P)}$. The fiber of $F_{j(P)}$ over a point of $V_{j(P)-1}$ is a curve in the family $\mathcal{C}_{j(P)} \rightarrow S_{j(P)}$. So all points that are close to P must live in this curve. □

Since all \mathcal{X}_s are GeM, curves appeared in the families are GeM. By applying Theorem 1.3 to these families of curves, there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and a subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r , and for any $s' \in S_i(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ over s , we have $\Gamma \cap (C_i)_{s'}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \leq c_3^{1+r}$.

Now if we take $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $h(s) > \max\{1, \frac{2c_2}{c_1}\}$, then $\frac{c_1}{2}h(s) < c_1h(s) - c_2$. This means, if two points P, Q in \mathcal{X}_s satisfies $\hat{h}(P - Q) \leq \frac{c_1}{2}h(s)$, then they are close. Consider a subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r . By Proposition 4.4, there exists a constant $c_4 > 0$ such that the number of large points $P \in \mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ with $\hat{h}(P) > c_4 \max\{1, h(s)\} = c_4h(s)$ is at most c_4^n . So it suffices to give a bound on the number of points $P \in \mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$ with $\hat{h}(P) \leq c_4h(s)$.

To do this, we use a classical argument by regarding $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$ as a normed vector space of rank r with norm given by $|\cdot| = \hat{h}^{1/2}$. Let $R_1 := \sqrt{c_4h(s)}$ and $R_2 := \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{2}h(s)}/2$. The closed ball of radius R_1 centered at 0 is covered by at most $(1 + 2R_1/R_2)^r = (1 + 4\sqrt{2c_4/c_1})^r$ closed balls of radius R_2 by [25, Lemme 6.1]. In any closed ball of radius R_2 , we have two possibilities. One is that it contains only points in $(C_1)_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$, which is fine since we know its number is bounded by c_3^{1+r} . The other is the opposite: it contains some point P outside $(C_1)_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma$. But by our choice of R_2 , all other points in the ball are close to P . So by Lemma 6.1, they must belong to a curve $(C_i)_{s'}$ for some $2 \leq i \leq m$ and $s' \in S_i(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ over $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Since $\#(C_i)_{s'}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c_3^{1+r}$, the number of points in the closed ball of radius R_2 is at most c_3^{1+r} . In any case, a closed ball of radius R_2 contains at most c_3^{1+r} points. So the ball of radius R_1 contains at most

$$c_3^{1+r} \cdot (1 + 4\sqrt{2c_4/c_1})^r$$

points. Overall, we have

$$\#\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c_4^r + c_3^{1+r} \cdot (1 + 4\sqrt{2c_4/c_1})^r \leq c^{1+r}$$

for some large $c > 0$. □

Remark 6.2. Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1 have a similar flavor and can be generalized to higher dimension.

7. APPLICATION

Consider a smooth irreducible curve C of genus $g \geq 3$ over a number field F . Let $\text{Jac}(C)$ be its Jacobian variety. Suppose there is a quadratic point $P \in C(F')$ for some quadratic extension $[F' : F] = 2$ and let P' be its Galois conjugate. The symmetric product $C^{(2)} := \frac{C \times C}{S_2}$ corresponds to divisors of degree 2 on C . It is well-known that the map

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(2)} &\longrightarrow \text{Jac}(C) \\ (Q_1, Q_2) &\longmapsto [Q_1 + Q_2 - P - P'] \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding if and only if C is not hyperelliptic. Let $W_2(C)$ be its image. It is shown in [17, Theorem 2] that $W_2(C)$ contains no curves of genus 1 if C is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic. Therefore Faltings' Theorem [9] tells us that there are only finitely many rational points on $W_2(C)$ which implies the same for $C^{(2)}$. Note that there is a bijection between the set of rational points of $C^{(2)}$ and the set of quadratic points $C(F, 2)$ of C modulo Galois action, see [17, Corollary 3] for details. Therefore $\#C(F, 2)$ is finite in this case.

Now consider the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of smooth irreducible curves of genus g over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with level l structures. It is representable by an irreducible quasi-projective variety over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, see for

example [2, XVI.2]. It is well-known that the locus of hyperelliptic curves of genus g forms a $(2g - 1)$ -dimensional irreducible closed subvariety \mathcal{H}_g in \mathcal{M}_g , see [2, XIII.8]. The locus of bielliptic curves of genus g forms a $(2g - 2)$ -dimensional irreducible closed subvariety $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{be}}$ in \mathcal{M}_g , see [3]. We are interested in the complement of these two loci and define $\mathcal{M}_g^\circ := \mathcal{M}_g \setminus (\mathcal{H}_g \cup \mathcal{M}_g^{\text{be}})$, which is an open subset of dimension $3g - 3$ in \mathcal{M}_g .

Let $\mathcal{C}_g^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\circ$ be the universal non-hyperelliptic non-bielliptic curve with level l structures. Let $\mathcal{J}_g^\circ := \text{Jac}(\mathcal{C}_g^\circ/\mathcal{M}_g^\circ)$ be the relative Jacobian. It is a principally polarized abelian scheme with an induced level l structure, see [2, XVI.2]. To embed the curves in their Jacobians, we need a quasi-section. By [14, Corollaire 17.6.2 and 17.6.3], there exists an étale surjective map $S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\circ$ for some affine variety S , such that the base change $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_g^\circ \times_{\mathcal{M}_g^\circ} S \rightarrow S$ has a section

$$i : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

Write $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow S$ for the base change of $\mathcal{J}_g^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\circ$. Then we embed $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ into $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow S$ using the section i , by sending \mathcal{C}_s to $\mathcal{C}_s - i(s) \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s$ for any $s \in S$.

Let $\mathcal{X} := W_2(\mathcal{C})$ be the image of the symmetric product $\mathcal{C}^{(2)} := \frac{\mathcal{C} \times_S \mathcal{C}}{S_2}$ of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ inside \mathcal{J} . Let $\tau : S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ be the modular map induced by $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow S$. Then τ is quasi-finite since the Torelli morphism with level structures $\mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is quasi-finite.

The argument of Theorem 1.1 is similar to [8, Proof of Theorem 1.1].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us fix $l = 3$ for this proof and consider level 3 structures only.

By applying Theorem 1.2 to the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ inside $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow S$, there exist constants $c > 0$ such that for any $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $h(\tau(s)) > c$, and any subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r , we have $\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{1+r}$.

On the other hand, by Northcott's property, there are only finitely many points defined over a number field of degree at most $2d$ in \mathbf{A}_g with height at most c . Since the morphism $\tau : S \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_g$ is quasi-finite, there are also only finitely many points $s_1, \dots, s_N \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $h(\tau(s_i)) \leq c$ and $\tau(s_i)$ is defined over a number field of degree at most $2d$. For all such s_i , we apply Rémond's estimate [5, page 643] to say that there is a constant $c > 0$, $\mathcal{X}_{s_i}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{1+r}$ for any subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{s_i}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of rank r .

We remark that if we translate \mathcal{X}_s by any point $a \in \mathcal{J}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, then $(\mathcal{X}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) - a) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{2+r}$, since the group generated by Γ and a is of rank at most $r + 1$.

Now take a non-hyperelliptic non-bielliptic smooth irreducible curve C of genus g defined over some F with $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d$. The curve corresponds to an F -rational point of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$, the coarse moduli space of smooth irreducible curves of genus g without level structures. The fine moduli space of smooth irreducible curves of genus g with level 3 structures \mathcal{M}_g is a finite cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$. We recall that \mathcal{M}_g is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ where $\zeta_3 \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a third root of unity. Let $K := F(\zeta_3)$. Then we may give $C_K := C \times_{\text{Spec } F} \text{Spec } K$ a level 3 structure. So C_K corresponds to a K -point $s' \in \mathcal{M}_g(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Note that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2d$. Let $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be a point over s' . Then $\tau(s)$ is defined over K , which is a number field of degree at most $2d$. So either $h(\tau(s)) > c$ or $s = s_i$ for some i .

To prove the theorem, we may assume there is a quadratic point $P \in C(F')$ for some $[F' : F] = 2$ and P' be its Galois conjugate. Embed $C^{(2)}$ in $\text{Jac}(C)$ by sending (Q_1, Q_2) to $[Q_1 + Q_2 - P - P']$. This is an F -morphism. Denote the image by $W_2(C)$. Note that $\text{Jac}(C) = \mathcal{J}_s$. We regard $\Gamma = \text{Jac}(C)(F)$ as a subgroup of $\mathcal{J}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. By Mordell-Weil Theorem, Γ is of finite rank. Note also $W_2(C)$ is a translate of \mathcal{X}_s . By our discussion, then

$$\#W_2(C)(F) = W_2(C)(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma \leq c^{2+\rho}.$$

Therefore by the correspondence between the Galois orbits of $C^{(2)}(F)$ and the quadratic points of C , we have

$$\#C(F, 2) \leq 2 \cdot \#W_2(C)(F) \leq 2c^{2+\rho} \leq (2c)^{1+\rho}.$$

□

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we sketch the proof to reduce Theorem 1.3 to the case of smooth curves inside their Jacobians proved by Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger [8] and Kühne [20]. The main idea of this proof is communicated to us by Gao. We will use the formulation of the New Gap Principle, introduced by Gao in [11].

As in the previous proof of the theorem, we may use induction on $\dim S$ and reduce to assume everything in Step 0 of that proof. In particular, each fiber \mathcal{C}_s is geometrically irreducible and generates \mathcal{A}_s .

Consider the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\eta$. By a spreading out argument (see for example [24, Theorem 3.2.1]), after possibly replacing S by an open dense subset, we may assume there is a family of smooth irreducible curves $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow S$ of genus g with a surjective morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ over S , such that any fiber $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s$ is the normalization of \mathcal{C}_s .

Let \mathcal{J} be the Jacobian of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow S$. By the New Gap principle [11, Theorem 4.1], there exists $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on g such that for each $s \in S(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$

$$\#\{\tilde{Q} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}(\tilde{Q} - \tilde{P}) \leq c_1 \max\{1, h_{\text{Fal}}(\mathcal{J}_s)\}\} \leq c_2.$$

There is a map $\phi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Note that ϕ restricted to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a finite map onto $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$. This implies the pullback of an S -relatively ample line bundle on $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$ is S -relatively ample on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as well, see [21, Proposition 1.2.13 and Theorem 1.7.8]. In particular, the Néron-Tate heights on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} - \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are equivalent to the pullback Néron-Tate heights from $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$. Thus we have for any point $P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$\#\{Q \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}(Q - P) \leq c_1 \max\{1, h_{\text{Fal}}(\mathcal{J}_s)\}\} \leq c_2.$$

On the other hand, note that $\phi_s : \mathcal{J}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s$ is surjective. So \mathcal{A}_s is a quotient of \mathcal{J}_s . By a property of the Faltings heights, we have $h_{\text{Fal}}(\mathcal{A}_s) \leq h_{\text{Fal}}(\mathcal{J}_s)$, see for example [27, Corollaire 1.2]. Therefore in particular we have

$$\#\{Q \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}(Q - P) \leq c_1 \max\{1, h_{\text{Fal}}(\mathcal{A}_s)\}\} \leq c_2.$$

Let us remark that $c_{\text{NT}}(s), h_1(s)$ are bounded by $c_3 \max\{1, h_{\text{Fal}}(\mathcal{A}_s)\}$. Then for any subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ of finite rank r and any $\eta > 0$ we are able to bound the number of points of the following set

$$\{P \in \mathcal{C}_s(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap \Gamma : \hat{h}(P) \leq \eta \max\{1, c_{\text{NT}}(s), h_1(s)\}\}$$

by using the standard ball-packing argument.

By a stronger version of Rémond's result, taking into account not only the small points and large points but also the middle points, see for example [6, Théorème 6.8], this would imply Theorem 1.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am extremely grateful to my advisor Dan Abramovich for introducing me to this interesting topic and the arithmetic world. His guidance and insights, both mathematically and mentally, help me survive the hard quarantine days. I am deeply indebted to Ziyang Gao for the enlightening discussions during the preparation of this paper and the insightful comments to the first draft. I would also like to thank Joseph Silverman for his wonderful lectures which triggered my interest in arithmetic geometry.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dan Abramovich and José Felipe Voloch. Lang’s conjectures, fibered powers, and uniformity. *New York J. Math.*, 2:20–34, electronic, 1996.
- [2] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, and Phillip A. Griffiths. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Volume II*, volume 268 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. With a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris.
- [3] F. Bardelli and A. Del Centina. Bielliptic curves of genus three: canonical models and moduli space. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 10(2):183–190, 1999.
- [4] Lucia Caporaso, Joe Harris, and Barry Mazur. Uniformity of rational points. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(1):1–35, 1997.
- [5] Sinnou David and Patrice Philippon. Minorations des hauteurs normalisées des sous-variétés de variétés abeliennes. II. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 77(4):639–700, 2002.
- [6] Sinnou David and Patrice Philippon. Minorations des hauteurs normalisées des sous-variétés des puissances des courbes elliptiques. *Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP*, (3):Art. ID rpm006, 113, 2007.
- [7] Vesselin Dimitrov, Ziyang Gao, and Philipp Habegger. Uniform bound for the number of rational points on a pencil of curves. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):1138–1159, 2021.
- [8] Vesselin Dimitrov, Ziyang Gao, and Philipp Habegger. Uniformity in mordell-lang for curves. 2021.
- [9] Gerd Faltings. Diophantine approximation on abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 133(3):549–576, 1991.
- [10] Ziyang Gao. Generic rank of Betti map and unlikely intersections. *Compos. Math.*, 156(12):2469–2509, 2020.
- [11] Ziyang Gao. Recent developments of the uniform mordell-lang conjecture, 2021.
- [12] Ziyang Gao, Tangli Ge, and Lars Kühne. The uniform mordell-lang conjecture. *available on arXiv*, 2021.
- [13] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (28):255, 1966.
- [14] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.
- [15] Alexander Grothendieck. Techniques de construction et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6*, pages Exp. No. 221, 249–276. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.
- [16] Philipp Habegger. Special points on fibered powers of elliptic surfaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 685:143–179, 2013.
- [17] Joe Harris and Joe Silverman. Bielliptic curves and symmetric products. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 112(2):347–356, 1991.
- [18] Ehud Hrushovski. The Manin-Mumford conjecture and the model theory of difference fields. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 112(1):43–115, 2001.
- [19] Yujiro Kawamata. Characterization of abelian varieties. *Compositio Math.*, 43(2):253–276, 1981.
- [20] Lars Kühne. Equidistribution in families of abelian varieties and uniformity, 2021.
- [21] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.

- [22] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1994.
- [23] Nitin Nitsure. Construction of Hilbert and Quot schemes. In *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, volume 123 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 105–137. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [24] Bjorn Poonen. *Rational points on varieties*, volume 186 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [25] Gaël Rémond. Décompte dans une conjecture de Lang. *Invent. Math.*, 142(3):513–545, 2000.
- [26] Gaël Rémond. Inégalité de Vojta en dimension supérieure. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 29(1):101–151, 2000.
- [27] Gaël Rémond. Propriétés de la hauteur de Faltings. <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~remond/2476.pdf>, 2020.
- [28] Thomas Scanlon. Automatic uniformity. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (62):3317–3326, 2004.
- [29] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, BOX 1917, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, U.S.A.
Email address: tangli_ge@brown.edu